





. .

el.				
		•		
	· (3)			



OF THE

HISTORICAL, POLITICAL,

AND MISCELLANEOUS

WORKS

O F

Correctly printed from the Original Editions.

WITH AN

HISTORICAL and CRITICAL ACCOUNT

OF THE

Containing several Original Papers of His,

Never before Published.

IN TWO VOLUMES.

VOL. I.

Printed for A. MILLAR, at Buchanan's Head, against St. Clement's Church in the Strand.

•

. ()

-**.s**

•

Advertisement to the Reader.

IN this new Edition of Milton's Prose Works, the Pieces are disposed according to the Order in which they were first printed; with the addition of a Tract omitted by Mr. TOLAND, concerning the Reason of the War with Spain in 1655, and several Pages in the History of BRI-TAIN, expunged by the LICENSERS of the Press, and not to be met with in any former Edition.

To make the Work more complete, the Editor has compiled a full and faithful Account of the Author's Life; containing besides the Particulars given us by Toland, and other Authors, many never before printed, with several Pieces now first published from the original Manuscripts of MILTON. To which is prefixed a curious Head of the Author, engraven by Mr. VERTUE from a Drawing by Mr. RICHARDSON, after a Bust done for the Author in his Life-time. To the Work is fubjoined a large Alphabetical Index, which no other Edition has; and the Impression is much more beautiful and correct than any hitherto published.

These Advantages we hope will sufficiently re-

commend this Edition to the Publick.

TRACTS contained in the FIRST VOLUME.

N Account of the Life and Writings of Mr. John Milton, by A T. Birch, A.M. and F. R.S. Of Reformation in England, and the Causes that have hitherto hindred it: In two Books, written to a Friend. Of Prelatical Episcopacy, and whether it may be deduc'd from the Apostolical Times by virtue of those Testimonies which are alledg'd to that purpose in some late Treatises; one whereof goes under the Name of James Archbishop of Armagh. The Reason of Church-Government urg'd against Prelacy. In two Animadversions upon the Remonstrant's Defence against Smeetymnuus. 76

Án

Tracts contained in the First Volume.

An Apology for Smeetymnuus. page 103
Of Education: to Master Samuel Hartlib.
Arcopagitica; a Speech for the Liberty of Unlicens'd Printing, to the
Parlament of England.
Parlament of Engline of Diverse refler'd to the good of both
The Doctrine and Discipline of Divorce restor'd to the good of both
Sexes, from the Bondage of Canon Law, and other Mistakes, to
the true Meaning of Scripture in the Law and Gospel, compar'd, &c.
162
Tetrachordon: Expositions upon the four chief Places in Scripture
which treat of Marriage, or Nullities in Marriage, &c. 214
The Judgment of Martin Bucer concerning Divorce: Written to Ed-
ward the Sixth, in his fecond Book of the Kingdom of Christ, $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{C}}$.
271
Colasterion: A Reply to a nameless Answer against the Doctrine and
Discipline of Divorce: wherein the trivial Author of that Answer is
discover'd, the Licenser conferr'd with, and the Opinion which they
traduce, defended.
The Tenure of Kings and Magistrates: proving that it is lawful, and
hath been held so thro' all Ages, for any who have the Power, to call
to account a Tyrant or wicked King, and after due Conviction, to
to account a 1 yiam of wicked Ring, and after due convertion, to
depose, and put him to death, if the ordinary Magistrate have neg-
lected, or deny'd to do it, &c.
Observations on the Articles of Peace between James Earl of Ormond,
for King Charles the First, on the one hand, and the Irish Rebels
for King Charles the Pint, on the one hand, and the Hijb Rebels
and Papists on the other hand: And on a Letter sent by Ormond to
Colonel Jones, Governour of Dublin; and a Representation of the
Scots Presbytery at Belfast in Ireland. To which the said Articles,
Letter, with Colonel Jones's Answer to it, and Representation, &c.
are prefix'd.
Eikonoclastes: In Answer to a Book, intitled, Eikon Basilike; The Por-
traiture of his facred Majesty in his Solitude and Sufferings. 360
A Defence of the People of England, in Answer to Salmasius's Defence
of the King. 445
A Treatise of Civil Power in Ecclesiastical Causes: Shewing that it is not
lawful for any Power on Earth to compel in Matters of Religion. 554
Considerations touching the likeliest means to remove Hirelings out
of the Church, $\mathcal{C}c$.
of the Church, Ot.
The present Means and brief Delineation of a Free Commonwealth,
easy to be put in practice, and without delay. In a Letter to Ge-
neral Monk. Published from the Manuscript 585
The ready and easy Way to establish a Free Commonwealth, and the
The ready and early way to creating a 12-15 conveniencies and Dangers
Excellencies thereof compar'd with the Inconveniencies and Dangers
of re-admitting Kings in this Nation.
Brief Notes upon a late Sermon, intitled, The Fear of God and the
King, preach'd, and fince publish'd, by Matthew Griffith D. D. and
Chaplain to the late King, wherein many notorious Wrestings of
Chaplain to the fate King, wherein many notorious vereitings of
Scripture, and other Falsities, are observ'd. — 602
Accedence commenc'd Grammar: Supply'd with sufficient Rules for
the Use of such as, Younger or Elder, are desirous without more
trouble than needs, to attain the Latin Tongue; the Elder fort
Country that the transfer the many that the Latter to be the first
especially with little Teaching, and their own Industry. — 607

Historical and Critical Account

OF THE

LIFE and WRITINGS

Mr. 70 HN MILTON.

By Thomas Birch, M. A. and F. R. S.

S Mr. Toland has already publish'd a Life of Milton, my Design at first was only to have corrected and supply'd his Account. But upon a Review of it, I found, that he quotes no Authority for the particular Facts related by him, which is justly expected, in order to establish the Credit of them; that almost half the Life consists of mere Abstracts of Milton's Writings, which, before an Edition of them, appears to be absolutely unnecessary; and that, besides his numerous Mistakes, he has omitted a great many particulars of importance.

Upon these considerations, I was induc'd to alter my former Scheme, and digeft my Collections into a regular and uniform Body; in which will be inferted feveral original Papers never before publish'd, and the whole

supported by proper Authorities.

R. John Milton was descended of an ancient Family of that Name at Milton near Abington in Oxfordshire, where it had been a long time feated, as appears from the Monuments still to be seen in the Church of Milton, till one of the Family having taken the unfortunate Side in the Contests between the Houses of York and Lancaster, was sequester'd of all his Estate, except what he held by his Wife (a). Our Author's Grandfather, whose Name was John Milton, was an Under-ranger or Keeper of the Forest of Shotower near Haiton in Oxfordshire (b). He being a zealous Papist, disinherited his Son, Mr. John Milton, our Author's Father, on account of his embracing the Protestant Religion, when he was young; which oblig'd the latter to retire to London, where he applied himself to the Basinets of a Scrivener, by the Advice of an intimate Friend of his, who was eminent in that Protession; and by his Diligence and Occonomy gain'd a competent Estate (c). He was a Man of good Taste in Music, in which he made so considerable a progress, that he is faid to have composed an *In Nomine* of forty Parts; for which he was rewarded with a gold Medal and Chain by a Polific Prince, to whom he prefented it. However, this is certain, that for feveral Songs of his Composition, after the way of those times, three or four of which are flill to be seen in old Wilby's Set of Airs, befides fome Compositions of his in Revenferest's Pjalnus; he gain'd the Reputation of a confiderable Mafter in this Science (d_i . His Son compliments him upon this Head in one of his Later Poems, intitled, Ad Patrem, in which he has the following Lines:

⁽a) Life of Mr. John Milton, p. 4. profixed to such the areas given by lim to a Friend of his.

the English Translation of his Letters of State,
Edit. London 1944. This Life areas arrither by Edit London 1921, in oil.

his Nephero Mr. Edward Prailips, as appeared from a Note in the Copy. Table I made to the Copy.

Vol. 1. Vol. i.

An Account of the Life and Writings

Nec tu perge, precor, sacras contembere Musas, Nec vanas inopesque puta, quarum ipse peritus Munere, mille sonos numeros componis ad aptos, Millibus & vocem modulis variare canoram Dostus, Arionii merito sis nominis beres. Nunc tibi quid mirum, si me genuisse Poetam Contigerit, charo si tam prope sanguine junsti Cognatas artes studiumque affine sequamure? Ipse volens Phæbus se dispertire duodus, Altera dona mibi, dedit altera dona Parenti, Dividuumque Deum Genitorque Puerque tenemus.

He married Sarab, of the Family of the Castons, originally deriv'd from Wales, as Mr. Philips tells us (e); but Mr. Wood (f) afferts, that the was of the ancient Pamily of the Bradshaws. She was a Woman of incomparable Virtue and Goodness (g), and by her Mr. Milton had two Sons and one Daughter. The eldest Son was John, the Subject of the present History; the younger Christopher, who being defign'd for the study of the common Law of England, was enter'd young a Student of the Inner-Temple, of which House he liv'd to be an ancient Bencher, and kept close to that Study and Profession all his Life-time, except during the civil Wars in England; when he adher'd to the royal Cause, and became obnoxious to the Parlament by acting to the utmost of his power against them, so long as he kept his Station at Reading in Berkshire; and therefore as foon as that Town was taken by the Parlament-Forces, he was oblig'd to quit his House there, and steer'd his Course according to the motion of the King's Army. When the War was ended, and his Compofition made thro' his Brother's Interest with the then prevailing Powers, he betook himfelf again to his former Study and Profession, following Chamber-Practice every Term; yet came to no Advancement in a long time, except a fmall Employment in the Town of Ipswich, where and near it he spent all the latter time of his Life. In the beginning of the Reign of King James II. he was recommended by fome Perfons of Quality to his Majesty; and at a call of six Serjeants received the Coif, and the same day was sworn one of the Barons of the Exchequer (b), and knighted (i); and foon after made one of the Judges of the Common Pleas. But his Years and Indisposition rendering him unable to bear the Fatigue of public Employment, he continued not long in either of those Stations; but obtaining his Quietus, retir'd to a Country Life, his Study, and Devotion (k). Mr. Toland tells us (l), that Sir Christopher was " of a very superstitious " Nature, and a Man of no Parts or Ability;" and that King James II. wanting a Set of Judges, that would declare his Will to be superior to our legal Constitution, appointed him one of the Barons of the Exchequer. But Mr. Philips (m) represents him as a Person of a modest quiet Temper, preserving Justice and Virtue before all worldly Pleasure or Grandeur; and assures us, that he was recommended to that King by some Persons of Quality, for bis known Integrity and Ability in the Law. Anne, the only Daughter of Mr. John Milton the Elder, had a confiderable Portion given her by her Father, in marriage with Mr. Edward Philips, Son of Mr. Edward Philips of Shrewsbury, who coming up to London young, was enter'd into the Crown-Office in Chancery, and at length became Secondary of that Office under Mr. Bembo. By him she had, befides other Children, who died Infants, two Sons, John and Edward. She married for her fecond Husband Mr. Thomas Agar, who, upon the Death of his intimate Friend Mr. Philips, succeeded him in his place, which he held for many Years, and left to Mr. Thomas Milton, Son of Sir Christopher. He had by Mr. Philips's Widow two Daughters, Mary, who died very young, and Anne, who was living in the Year 1694 (n).

But to return to our Author, Mr. John Milton; he was born in his Father's House at the Sign of the Spread-Eagle in Bread-Street within the City of London, December 9th, 1608 (a). Mr. Philips (p) and Mr. Toland (q) place his Birth in 1606, but erroneously; for we find by the Inscription under his Effigies prefix'd to

⁽e) P. 5. See likewise Toland's Life of Alilton, p. 6. pressivit to Milton's Historical, Political, and Miscellaneous Works, Edit. Amsterdam [i.e. London] 1698, in sol. (f) Ubi supra.

⁽g) Philips, p. 6. (b) Id. p. 5, 6, 7. (i) Toland, ubi fupra, p. 6. (k) Philips, p. 7. (l) p. 6. (m) p. 6. (n) Philips, (p. 7. (p. 4) p. 3 (p. 6) p. 3

his Logic, that in 1671 he was fixty-three Years of Age; and the very Cut of him before the Edition of his Hydrical, Political, and Medileneous Works, to which Toland's Life of him is prefix'd, informs us, that he was born in 1608. He appears to have had a domestic Tutor from the fourth Elegy among his Latin Poems, written in the eighteenth Year of his Age, to Mr. Thomas Young, Paftor of the English Company of Merchants at Hamburg, in which he stiles Mr. Toung his Master. He was sent like wife, with his Brother, to St. Paul's School, of which Mr. (r) Alexander Gill the elder was then Master, to whose Scn. Dr. Alexander Gill, and not to the Father, as Mr. Toland mistakes, some of his familiar Letters are written. While he was at this School he made an uncommon Progress by his admirable Genius and indefatigable Application; for from his twelfth Year he generally fate up half the Night, as well in voluntary Improvements, as in the perfecting of his School-exercites; and this, with his frequent Head-achs, was the first rain of his Eyes (s). Mr. Wood (t) and Mr. Telend (u) affert, that he was feat to the University of Cambridge at fifteen Years of Age. But this is undoubtedly a Mistake; sor he tells us in his Defensio secunda, that he spent seven Years at the University; and in his Apology for Sme Symnus (x), that he continued there till after he had taken two Degrees. Now it appears from the Register of the University, that he took the Degree of Master of Arts in 1032; and consequently that he did not go to the University till 1625, in the seventeenth Year of his Age. He was enter'd in Christ's College, where he was put under the tuition of Mr. William Chappell, afterwards Bishop of Ress in Ireland (y). He had already given proofs of his early Genius for Poetry; for at fifteen Years of age he translated the 114 and 136 Pfalms into English Verie. In his fixteenth Year he wrote a Latin Ode upon the Dath of the Vice-Chancellor of the University; and in his feventeenth Year, a Copy of English Verfes on the Death of his Sifter's Child, who died of a Cough; and a Latin Elegy on the Death of the B shop of Winchester, and another on that of the Bishop of Ely. It was then also, that he composed his fine Latin Poem on the Gunpowder-Treason; concerning which, and the rest of his juvenile Poems, Mrbof in his Polyhistor declares, that they shew Milton to have been a Man in his Childhood, and are vally superior to the ordinary Capacity of that Age. In his nineteenth Year he wrote the feventh of his Latin Elegies upon his falling in Love for the first time with a Lady, whom he met upon some Walks near London, but lost fight of her, and never knew who she was, nor faw her more; but refolv'd that Love should thenceforth give him no farther Trouble. He was extremely belov'd and admir'd by the whole University, and perform'd his Academical Exercises with great Applause, some of which are still extant among his Poems on feveral Occasions, and at the end of his femiliar Letters. In 1628 he took the Degree of Batchelor of Arts (z). In 1629 he wrote an excellent Ode on the Morning of Christ's Nativity; and in 1630 his Verses on Shakespear, which were printed with the Poems of that Author at London in 1640. In the twenty-third Year of his Age he wrote a Letter to a Friend of his, who had importun'd him to enter into some Profession. There are two Draughts of this Letter in his own hand-writing among his Manuscripts in Trinity College Library at Cambridge, the first of which Draughts is as follows.

" SIR,

[&]quot;Befides that in fundry respects I must acknowledge me to proffit by you, whenever we meet, you are often, and were yesterday especially, to me as a good Watchman to admonish, that the howres of the Night passe on, (for so I call my Life as yet obscure and unserviceable to Mankind,) and that the Day is at hand, wherin Christ commands all to labour, while there is Light. Which because I am persuaded you doe to no other purpose, than out of a true Desire that God should be honour'd in every one, I am ever readie, you know, when occasion is, to give you account, as I ought, though unaskt, of my tardie moving according to the præcept of my Conscience, which I sirmely trust is not without God. Yet

⁽r) Mr. Toland, p. 6. errontously sliles lim Doctor. (s) Miltoni Defensio fecunda p. 82. Edst. 12. Edst. in 4to. (y) Wood, Col. 263. 1654. Vol. II. p. 331 of the present Edit. and (z) From the University Register.

co now I will not streine for any set Apologie, but only referre my selfe to " what my Mynd shall have at any tyme to declare herselfe at her best ease. "Yet if you thinke, as you faid, that too much Love of Learning is in fault, " and that I have given up my felfe to dreame away my Yeares in the arms of " a studious Retirement, like Endymion with the Moon on Latmus Hill; yes confider, that if it were no more but this, to overcome this, there is on the other fide both ill more bewitchfull to entice away, and natural Yeares more " fwaying, and good more availeable to withdraw to that which you wish me; " as first, all the fond hopes, which forward Youth and Vanitie are fledge with; " none of which can fort with this Pluto's Helmet, as Homer calls it, of obscurity, and would soon cause me to throw it ost, if there were nothing " else in't but an affected and fruitlesse Curiosity of knowing. And then a " naturall Defire of Honour and Renown, which, I think, possesses the brest " of every Scholar, as well of him that shall, as of him that never shall ob-" taine it (if this be altogether bad,) which would quickly overfway this 66 flegme and melancholy of Bashfulnesse, or that other Humor; and præ-" vaile with me to præferre a Life, that had at least some Credit in it, some " place given it, before a manner of living much difregarded and difcoun-"tenancit. There is besides this, as all well know, about this tyme of a man's " life, a strong inclination, he it good or no, to build up a House and Fa-66 mily of his owne in the best manner he may; to which nothing is more "helpful then the early entring into fome credible Employment, and no-thing more croffe then my Way, which my wasting Youth would præ-fently bethinke her of, and kill one Love with another, if that were all. But what Delight or what peculiar Conceit, may you in charitie thinke, could hold out against the long Knowledge of a contrarie Command from " above, and the terrible feafure of him, that hid his Talent? Therefore " committ Grace to Grace, or Nature to Nature, there will be found on the other way more obvious Temptations to bad, as Gaine, Præfermenr, "Ambition, more winning Præsentments of Good, and more prone Affections of Nature to encline and dispose, not counting outward Causes, as Expecta-66 tions and Murmurs of Friends, Scandals taken, and fuch-like, then the bare Love of Notions could refift. So that if it be that which you suppose. " it had by this bin round about begirt and over-master'd, whether it had or proceeded from Virtue, Vice, or Nature in me. Yet that you may fee, that I am fom tyme suspicious of my felf, and do take notice of a cer-" taine Belatedness ine me, I am the bolder to fend you some of my night-" ward Thoughts some while since, since they come in fitly, in a Petrarchian " Stanza.

"How foon bath Time, the futtle Theefe of Youth,
"Stolne on his Wing my three and twentieth Yeare!
"My hasting Days fly on with full Careere;
"But my late Spring no Bud or Blossom shew'th.
"Perhaps my Semblance might deceave the Truth,
"That I to Manhood am arriv'd so neere,
"And inward Ripenesse doth much lesse appear
"That some more tymely-happie Spirits indu'th.
"It shall be still in strictest Measure even,
"It shall be still in strictest Measure even,
"To that same Lot, however meane or high,
"Towards which Tyme leads me, and the Will of Heaven.
"All is, if I have Grace to use it so,
"As ever in my great Task-maister's Eye.

The last Draught is as follows.

« SIR,

"Besides that in sundry other respects I must acknowledge me to proffit by you, whenever wee meet, you are often to me, and were yesterday estimated pecially, as a good Watchman to admonish, that the howres of the night passe on, (for so I call my Life as yet obscure and unserviceable to Man"kind)

of Mr. JOHN MILTON.

" kind) and that the Day with me is at hand, wherin Christ commands all to labour, while there is Light; which because I am perswaded you doe " to no other purpose then out of a true Defire that God should be honour'd " in every one, I therfore thinke my felie bound, tho' unafkt, to give you "account, as oft as occasion is, of this my tardie moving, according to the præcept of my Conscience, which, I firmely trust is not without God. "Yet now I will not streine for any set Apologie, but only referre my selle " to what my Mynd shall have at any tyme to declare her selfe at her best ease. But if you thinke, as you said, that too much Love of Learning is in " fault, and that I have given up my felfe to dreame away my Yeares in the armes of studious Retirement, like Endymion with the Moone, as the tale of Latmus goes; yet confider that if it were no more but the meere " Love of Learning, whether it proceed from a Principle bad, good, or na-" turall, it could not have held out thus long against so strong opposition on the other fide of every Kind; for if it be bad, why fhould not all the fond Hopes, that forward Youth and Vanitie are fledge with, together " with Gaine, Pride, and Ambition, call me forward more powerfully then " a poore regardlesse and unprofitable Sin of Curiofity should be able to with-" hold me, wherby a Man cutts himfelfe off from all Action, and becomes " the most helpless, pusilanimous, and unweapon'd Creature in the World, " the most unfit and unable to doe that which all Mortals most aspire to, ei-" ther to be usefull to his Friends, or to offend his Enemies. Or it it be to 66 be thought an natural! Pronenesse, there is against that a much more pose tent Inclination inbred, which about this tyme of a Man's Life follicits most, " the Defire of House and Family of his owne, to which nothing is esteemed more helpful then the early entring into credible Employment, and "nothing more hindering then this affected Solitarinesse. And though this were amough, yet there is to this another Act, if not of pure, yet of re-" fined Nature, no leffe availeable to diffuade prolonged Obfcurity, a De-" fire of Honour and Repute and immortall Fame feated in the Brett of every " true Scholar, which all make haft to by the readiest Ways of publishing and " divulging conceived Merits, as well those that shall, as those that never " shall obtaine it. Nature therefore would præfently worke the more præ-" valent way, if there were nothing but this inferiour Bent of herfelf to re-" straine her. Lastly, the Love of Learning, as it is the persuit of some-"thing good, it would sooner follow the more excellent and supream Good "known and præsented, and so be quickly diverted from the emptic and fan-" taftick chafe of shadows and notions to the folid Good flowing from due " and tymely Obedience to that Command in the Gospell sett out by the "terrible feafing of him, that hid the Talent. It is more probable therefore, that not the endlesse Delight of Speculation, but this very consideration of " that great Commandment, does not presse forward, as soon as many do, to " undergoe, but keeps off with a facred Reverence and religious Advisement " how best to undergoe; not taking thought of beeing late, so it give advantage to be more fit; for those that were latest lost nothing, when the " Maister of the Vinyard came to give each one his hire. And here I am " come to a streame-head, copious enough to disburden itselfe like Nilus at se-"ven Mouthes into an Ocean. But then I should also run into a reciprocall Contradiction of ebbing and flowing at once, and do that which I ex-" cufe myfelf for not doing, preach and not preach. Yet that you may fee that I am fomething fuspicious of myselfe, and doe take notice of a certaine Belatednesse in me, I am the bolder to fend you some of my nightward "Thoughts some while since, because they come in not altogether unfitly, " made up in a Petrarchian Stanza, which I told you of.

" How foone hath Time, &c.

"By this I believe you may well repent of having made mention at all of this matter; for if I have not all this while won you to this, I have certainly wearied you of it. This therfore alone may be a fufficient reason for me to keepe me as I am, least having thus tired you singly, I should deale worse with a whole Congregation, and spoyle all the patience of a Parish; for I my selfe doe not only see my owne Tediousnesse, but now grow offended with Vol. I.

"it, that has hinder'd me thus long from coming to the last and best period of my Letter, and that which must now chiefely worke my pardon, that I my your true and unfained Freind."

It appears from this Letter, that his Friend, to whom he wrote it, had importun'd him to enter the fervice of the Church; to which, fays he in one of his Tracts (a), by the intentions of my Parents and Friends I was defined of a Child, and in mine own Resolutions, till comming to some maturity of Icers, and perceaving what Tyranny had invaded the Church, that he, who well take Orders, must subscribe Slave, and take an Oath withall, which unlesse be took with a Conscience, that could retch, he must either strait persure, or split his Faith; I thought it better to preferre a blamelesse silence before the effice of speaking bought and begun with servitude and forswearing.

After he had taken the Degree of Master of Arts, which, as we observed above, was in 1632, he lest the University. Mr. Toland remarks (b), that some Verses in the first of his Latin Elegies written from London to his Friend Charles Diodati, in which he seems to reslect upon the University, and preser the Pleasures of the City, might probably give occasion to a Calumny, that he either was expelled Cambridge, or lest it in discontent, because he could obtain no Preserment; and that at London he spent his time with leud Women,

or at Play-Houses. The Verses are these:

Me tenet urbs reflua quam Thamesis alluit unda, Meque nec invitum patria dulcis babet. Jam nec arundiferum mihi cura revisere Camum, Nec dudum vetiti me Laris angit amor. Nuda nec arva placent, umbrasque negantia molles! Quam male Phabicolis convenit ille Locus! Nec duri libet usque minas perferre Magistri, Cateraque ingenio non subeunda meo. Si sit hoc exilium patrios adiisse penates, Et vacuum curis etia grata sequi, Non ego vel profugi nomen sontemve recuso, Lætus & exilii cenditione sruor. Tempora nam liect hie placidis dare libera Musis, Et totum rapiunt me mea Vita Libri, Excepit hinc fessum sinuosi pompa Theatri, Et vocat ad plausus garrula Scena suos. Sed neque sub teeto semper nec in urba latemus, Irreta nec nobis tempora Veris cunt. Nos queque lucus habet vicina consitus ulmo, Atque suburbani nobilis umbra Loci. Sapius hic blandas spirantia sydera flammas, Virgineos videas præteriisse Choros.

The Author of the Modest Consutation against a standarous and scurrilous Libel having charged him with being vomited out of the University, after an inordinate and riotous Touth spent there, Milton writes thus in Vindication of himself (c):

"For which commodious Lye, that he may be incouraged in the trade another time, I thank him; for it hath given me an apt occasion to acknowledge publickly, with all gratefull Minde, that more then ordinary Favour and Resulting and learned Men, the Fellowes of that Colledge, wherein I spent some Yeares; who at my parting, after I had taken two Degrees, as the Manner is, signified many wayes, how much better it would content them that I would stay; as by many Letters sull of Kindnesse and loving respect both before that time and long after, I was assured of their singular good affection towards

⁽a) Reason of Church Government, B. II. (b) p. 7. (c) Apology for Smellymnuus, p. 12. p. 41. Edit. 1641. in 4to.

of Mr. JOHN MILTON.

" wards me. Which being likewise propense to all such, as were for their " studious and civill life worthy of effeeme, I could not wrong their Judgments " and upright intentions fo much, as to think I had that regard from them for other cause then that I might be still encouraged to proceed in the honest and " laudable courfes, of which they apprehended I had given good proofe. And to those ingenuous and friendly Men, who were ever the Countenancers of "vertuous and hopefull Wits, I wish the best and happiest things that friends in absence wish one to another." We find the abovemention'd Calumny repeated by the Author of Regii Sanguinis Clemor ad Colum adverjus Parricidas Anglicanos (d), who affirms, that it was reported, that Milton had been expell'd Cambridge for his fcandalous Behaviour; and to avoid this diffrace, lest his Countrey, and gone to Italy. Aiunt hominem Cantabrigiergi in ademid ob flagitia pulsum, dedecus & patriam sugisse, & in Italiam commigrees. In anfwer to this our Author in his Defensio secunda (e) affures us, that he had liv'd at Cambridge without the least of irregularity of Behaviour, and esteem'd by all good Men, till he had taken the Degree of Mafter of Arts with applaufe. and did not fly into Italy, but went voluntarily to his Father's House, to the great regret of most of the Fellows of his College, by whom he was highly respected.

For the space of five Years he liv'd for the most part wich his Father and Mother at their House at Horton near Coles rook in Bucking hamphire (f), whither his Father, having got an Estate to his content, and lest off all Business, was retir'd (g). Here our Author at full Leisure read over all the Greek and Luin Writers; but was not so much in love with his Solitude, as not to make now and then an Excursion to London, sometimes to buy Books, or to meet his Friends from Cambridge; and at other times to learn something new in the Ma-

thematics or Music, with which he was extremely delighted (b).

In 1634 he wrote his Mask perform'd before the President of Wales at Ludlow-Castle. In the Library of Trinity College at Cambridge is the Original Manufcript of this Piece, which I have compat'd with the printed Edition; and as it will be extremely agreeable to see the first Thoughts and subsequent Corrections of so great a Poet as Melton, I shall set them down, as I find them in the Manuscript, distinguishing the Lines, in which they occur, by inverted Comma's. Mr. Waller's Observation is a very just one:

Poets lose kalf the Praise they should have got, Could it be known what they discreetly blot,

A M A S K E. 1634.

The first Scene discovers a wild Wood.

A Guardian Spirit or Dæmon.

After the Line [In Regions milde, &c.] follow these Lines cross'd out :

" Amidst th' Hesperian Gardens, on whose Banks

"Bedew'd with Nectar and celeftiall Songs,

" Æternal Rofes grow, and Hyacinth,

" And Fruits of golden Rind, on whose faire Tree

" The scalie-harnest Dragon ever keeps

"His uninchanted (i) Eye, around the Verge

"And facred Limits of this blisfull (k) Ifle.

- "The jealous Ocean, that old River, winds
 "His farre extended Armes, till with steepe fall
- " Halfe his wast Flood the wide Atlantique fills, " And halfe the flow unfadom'd Stygian Poole (1).
- But foft, I was not fent to court your Wonder
- "With diftant Worlds, and strange removed Climes.
- "Yet thence I come, and oft from thence behold
- " Above the Smoake, $\mathcal{C}c$.

After

(d) C. 1. p. 9. Edit. Hague 1652. in 4to.
(c) p. 82. Edit. 1652. Vol. II. p. 331. of the prefent Edit. (f) Philips, p. 7. and Toland, p. 7.
(g) Philips and Toland erroneoufly fay Berkshire.

(h) Miltoni Defenfio fee anda, p. 83. Edit 16 + Vol. II. p. 331 of the present Edit.
(i) never enarmed.
(l) happie.

(1) Poole of S.yx.

After the Line [Strive to keep up a frail and feaverish Being] follows this cross'd out.

"Beyond the written Date of mortall Change.

That opes the Palace of Eternity.

MS. "That flows the Palace of Æternity."

But to my Task, &c.
MS. "But to my Buisnesse now. Neptune, whose sway

" Of every falt Flood and each ebbing Streame Tooke in by Lot twixt high and neather Jour

"Imperial Rule of all the fea-girt Isles." The greatest and the best of all the Maine,

MS. "The greatest and the best of all his Empire."

Whom therefore she brought up, and Comus nam'd.

MS. "Whom therefore she brought up, and nam'd him Comus."

And in thick Shelter of black Shades imbower'd, &c.

MS. " And in thick Covert of black Shade imbour'd,

" Excells his Mother at her potent Art."

For mest do taste through sond intemperate Thirst.

MS. 'For most doe taste through weake intemperate Thirst.'

All other parts remaining as they were,

MS. " All other parts remaining as before." Likeliest and neerest to the present Avd, &c.

MS. " Arcrest and neerest to the present Aya, &c.
MS. " Arcrest and likeliest to give præsent Aide
" Of this Occasion: But I hear the tread

" Of Virgin Steps: I must be viewlesse now."

Goes out.

MS. " Comus enters, with a charming Rod and Glasse of Liquor, with his Rout all headed like some wild Beasts, thire Garments; some like Men's, and " some like Women's. They come on in a wild and antick Fashion. Intrant Καμάζοντες."

In the steep Atlantic Stream, &c.

MIS. " In the steepe Tartarian Streame

"And the flope Sun his upward Beame Shoots against the Northern Polc."

And Advice with forupulous Head,

MS. "And quick Law with her ferupulous Head."

And on the tawny Sands and Shelves.

MS. " And on the yellow Sands and Shelves." S. ay thy cloudy Ebon Chair,

MS. "Stay thy polifht Ebon Chaire, "Wherein thou ridst with Hecate,

"And favour our close Jocondrie,
"Till all thy Dues bee done, and nought left out."

In a light fantastic Round,

MS. " With a light and frolic Round."

The Measure.

MS. "The Measure in a wild, rude, and wanton Antick."

Break off, break off, I feel the different Pace, &c.

MS. " Breake off, breake off, I bear the different Pace "Of some chast footing neere about this Ground.

"Some Virgin fure, benighted in these Woods, For so I can distinguish by myne Art.

"Run to your Shrouds, within these Braks and Trees, Our Number may affright."

"Our Number may affright."

——Now to my Charms

And to my wily Trains,

MS." ——Now to my Trains

" And to my Mother's Charmes."

———Thus I burl
My dazzling Spells

My dazzling Spells into the fpungy Air,
Of power to cheat the Eye with blear Illusion,
And give it false Presentments, lest the Place, &c.

of Mr. JOHN MILTON.

" Thus I hurle

" My powder'd Spells into the spungie Air

" Of power to cheate the Eye with fleight Illusion,

"And give it false Præsentments, else the Place, &c."
And hug him into Snares

MS. "And hugge him into Nets."

I shall appear some harmlesse Villager,
And hearken, if I may, her Business here.
But here she comes, I fairly step aside.

MS. " I shall appeare some harmelesse Villager,

"Whom Thrift keeps up about his Countrie Geare.

"But heere she comes, I fairly step aside,

"And hearken, if I may, her Buisnesse heere."
When for their teeming Flocks, and Granges full,

MS. "When for thire teeming Flocks, and Garners full."

In the blind Mazes of this tangled Wood,

MS. " In the blind Alleys of this arched Wood."

Rose from the hindmost Wheels of Phwbus Wain.

MS. "Rose from the hindmost Wheeles of Phæbus Chaire."

They had ingag'd their wandring Steps too far,

And envious Darkness, e'er they could return,

Had stole them from me.

MS. "They had ingag'd thire youthly Steps too farre "To the foone-parting Light, and envious Darkness"

"Had ftolne them from me."

With everlasting Oil to give due Light
MS. "With everlasting Oyle to give thire Light."

And ayrie Toungs, that fyllable Men's Names.

MS. "And ayrie Toungs, that lure night-wanderers."

Thou hovering Angell, girt with golden Wings,

And thou unblemisht Form of Chastity, &c.

MS. " Thou flittering Angell girt with golden Wings,

"And thou unspotted Forme of Chastity, I see ye visibly, and while I see yee,

"This dufkye Hollow is a Paradife,

"And Heaven-gates ore my Head: now I believe
That the supreme Good, to whome all things ill

" Are but as flavish Officers of Vengeance,

"Would fend a gliftering Cherub, if need were, &c." Within thy airy Shell

MS. " Within thy agrie Cell."

———Scylla wept,

And chid her barking Waves into Attention,

MS. "——Scylla would weepe,
"Chiaing her barking Waves into Attention.

Dwell'st here with Pan

MS. Liv'st heere with Pan."

To touch the prosperous Growth of this tall Wood,

MS. "To touch the prospering Growth of this tall Wood."

Could that divide you from neer-ushering Guides?

MS. "Could that divide you from thire ushering Hands? Without the fure guess of well-prastiz'd Feet.

MS. "Without fure Steerage of well-practiz'd Feet."

Dingle, or bushy Dell of this wild Wood

MS. "Dingle, or bushie Dell of this wide Wood."

MS." _____Square my Tryal."

But O that haples Virgin, our lost Sister!
Where may she wander now, whither betake her
From the chill Dew, amongst rude Burrs and Thistles?
Perhaps some cold Bank is her Boulster now,
Or 'gainst the rugged Bark of some broad Elm
Leans her unpillow'd Head fraught with sad fears.

Vol., I.

What if in wild Amazement and Affright, Or while we speak, within the diresul Grasp Of savage Hunger, or of savage Heat?

ELDER BRO. Peace Brother, be not over-exquisite To cast the fashion of uncertain Evils; For grant they be so, while they rest unknown, What need a Man forestall his Date of Grief, And run to meet what he would most avoid? Or if they be but false Alarms of Fear, How bitter is such Self-delusion?

I do not think my Sister, &c.
MS." But oh that haplesse Virgin, our lost Sister!

" Where may she wander now, whither betake her

" From the chill Dew in this dead Solitude? " Perhaps some cold Banke is her Boulster now,

" Or 'gainst the rugged Barke of some broad Elme She leans her thoughtfull Head musing at our Unkindnesse,

" Or loft in wild Amazment and Affright " So fares, as did forfaken Proferpine

" When the big wallowing Flakes of pitchie Clouds

" And Darknesse wound her in.

→ I Bro. Peace, Brother Peace,

" I doe not thinke my Sifter, $\mathcal{C}e$." Could stir the constant Mood of her ealm Thoughts,

MS. " Could stirre the stable Mood of her calme Thoughts." Benighted walks under the mid-day Sun; Himself is his own Dungeon.

MS. " Walks in black Vapours, though the noon-tyde Brand, " Blaze in the Summer-folftice.

For who would rob a Hermit of his Weeds, His few Books, or his Beads, or maple Dish?

MS. For who would rob a Hermit of his Beads, His Books, or his haire-gowne, or maple Dish?" Uninjur'd in this wilde furrounding Wast.

MS. " Uninjur'd in this vast and hideous Wild."

ELDER BRO. I do not, Brother, Inferr, as if I thought my Sister's State Secure without all Doubt or Controversy: Ict where an equal poise, &c.

MS. " ______ I. Bro. I doe not, Brother, " Inferre, as if I thought my Sister's State

"Secure, without all Doubt or Question: No,
"I could be willing, though now i'th' darke, to trie " A tough Encounter (m) with the shaggiest Russian,

"That lurks by Hedge or Lane of this dead Circuit,

"To have her by my Side, though I were fure

"She might be free from Perill where she is. " But where an equal Poife, &c." She that has that, is clad in compleat Steel, And like a quiver'd Nymph with Arrows keen May trace huge Forrests and unharbour'd Heaths, Infamous Hill, and fandy perilous Wilds, Where through the sacred Rays of Chastity, No Savage fierce, Bandite, or Mountaneer Will dare to foyl ber Virgin Purity.

MS. " She that has that is clad in compleate Steele,

" And may, on every needfull Accident, " Be it not don in Pride or wilfull tempting,

"Walk through huge Forrests and unharbour'd Heaths,

" Infamous Hills, and fandie perilous Wilds, " Where, through the facred Awe of Chastitie.

" No Savage feirce, Bandite, or Mountaneere

"Shall dare to foile her Virgin Puritie." In Fog, or Fire, by Lake, or moorish Fen, Blew meager Hag, or stubborn unlaid Ghost.

MS. "In Fog, or Fire, by Lake, or moorie Fen, "Blue wrinckled Hagge, or stubborne unlaid Ghost." That wife Minerva wore, unconquer'd Virgin.

MS. "That wife Minerva wore, aternal Virgin."
With sudden Adoration and blank Awe.

MS. "With suddaine Adoration of her Purenesse. That when a Soul is found sincerely so.

MS. " That when it finds a Soule fincerely fo." But most by leud and lavish Ast of Sin.

MS. "And most by the lascivious Act of Sin."
Oft seen in Charnel-Vaults, and Sepulchres
Lingering, &c.

MS. " Oft feene in Charnel-Vaults and Monuments Hovering, &c.

MS. " ______Lift, lift, I hear, &c.

MS. " _____Lift, lift, me thought, &c."

Some roving Robber calling to his Fellows.

MS. "Some curl'd Man of the Swoord calling to his Fellows."

If he be friendly, he comes well; if not,

Defence is a good Caufe, and Heaven be for us.

MS. "If he be friendly, he comes well; if not,
"Had best looke to his Forehead: heere be brambles."
Come not too near; you fall on iron Stakes else.

MS. "Come not too neere; you fall on pointed Stakes elfe."

Spir.

MS. "DÆM."

And sweetned every musk-rose of the Dale.

MS. "And sweetned every musk-rose of the Valley." Slipt from the Fold,

MS. "Leapt ore the Penne."
What fears good Thyrsis?

MS. "What feares, good Shepherd?
Deep-skill'd in all his Mother's Witcheries.

MS. " Nurtur'd in all his Mother's Witcheries." Tending my Flocks hard by i'th' hilly Crofts.

MS. "Tending my Flocks hard by i'th' pastur'd Lawns."
With flaunting Honysuckle.

MS. "With fpreading Honysuckle." The aidless innocent Lady.

MS. "The belplesse innocent Ladie."

Harpyes and Hydra's, or all the monstrous Forms
'Twist Africa and Inde, I'le find him out,

And force him to restore his purchase back,

Or drag him by the Curls, to a soul death

Curs'd as his Life.

MS. "Harpyes and Hydra's, or all the monstrous Buggs
"'Twixt Africa and Inde, Ile find him out,

"And force him to release his new-got Prey,
"Or drag him by the Curles, and cleave his Scalpe

"Down to the Hips.
But here thy Sword can do thee little Stead.

MS. "But here thy Steele can doe thee small Availe."

He with his bare Wand can unthred thy Joynts,

And crumble all thy Sinews.

MS. "He with his bare Wand can unquilt thy Joynts, "And crumble every Sinew."

And shew me Simples of a thousand Names.

MS. "And shew me Simples of a thousand Hues."

That Hermes once to wife Ulysses gave.

MS. " Which Mercury to wife Ulysses gave."

```
(As I will give you, when we go.)
MS. " (As I will give you, as we go.)"
     Boldly affault the Necromancer's Hall,
     Where if he be, with dauntless hardihood,
     And brandisht Blade rush on him, break his Glass,
     And shed the lushious Liquor on the Ground.
MS. " Boldly affault the Necromantik Hall,
     "Where if he be, with fuddaine Violence,
     "And brandish't Blade rush on him, breake his Gla sse,
     "And powre the lushious Potion on the Ground.
     Thyrsis, lead on apace; He follow thee,
     And fome good Angel bear a Shield before us.
MS. "Thyrsis, lead on a-pace; I follow thee,
     " And good Heaven cast his best Regard upon us."
     That Fancy can beget on youthful Thoughts,
     When the fresh Blood grows lively.
MS. " That Youth and Fancie can beget,
     " When the brifke Blood grows lively."
     To Life so friendly, or so cool to thirst.
     Why should you be so cruel to your self?
MS. " To Life fo friendly, and fo coole to thirst.
     " Poor Ladie, thou haft need of fome refreshing.
     " Why should you, &c.
      But, fair Virgin,
     This will restore all soon.
MS. " ----- Hcere, fair Virgin,
     "This will restore all soone."
     These oughly-headed Monsters.
MS. These ougly-headed Monsters."
     With visor'd Falshood and base Forgery.
MS. " With vifor'd Falfhood and bafe Forgeries."
     To those budge Doctors of the Stoick Furr.
MS. " To those budge Doctors of the Stoick Gowne."
     Thronging the Seas with Spawn innumerable,
     But all to please, and sate the curious Tast.
MS. " Cramming the Seas with Spawne innumerable,
     "The Feilds with Cattell, and the Aire with Fowle."
     Should in a Pet of Temperance feed on Pulse.
MS. " Should in a Pet of Temperance feed on Fetches.
     The Sea o'erfraught would swell, and th' unsought Diamond
     Would so emblaze the forehead of the Deep,
     And fo bestudd with Stars, that they below
     Would grow inur'd to light, and come at lest
     To gaze upon the Sun with shameless Brows.
MS. " The Sea orefraught would heave her Waters up
     " Above the Stars, and th' unfought Diamonds
     " And so bestudde the Center with thire Light,
     " Were they not taken thence, that they below
     "Would grow enur'd to Day, and come at last
     " To gaze upon the Sun with shamelesse Browes."
     It withers on the Stalk with languisht Head.
MS. " It withers on the Stalke, and fades away."
They had their name thence, coarse complexions.

MS. "They had thire name thence, coarse beetle-brows."
     And bound him fast; without his Rod reverst,
MS. " And bound him fast; without his Art reverst."
     We cannot free the Lady, that fits here,
MS. " We cannot free the Lady, that remains."
     Some other Means I have.
MS. " There is another Way."
     Sabrina is her Name, a Virgin pure.
```

MS. " Sabrina is her Name, a Goddess chaste." The guiltless Damsel slying the mad pursuit.

MS. " She guiltleffe Damfell flying the mad perfuite."

Commended her fair Innocence to the Flood.

MS. "Commended her faire Innocence to the Streame."

Held up their pearled Wrists, and took her in,

Bearing her strait to aged Nercus Hall.

MS. "Held up thire white Wrists, and receav'd her in,
"And hore her straite to aged Nereus Hall."
Helping all urchin Blasts, and ill luck signs,
That the shrewd medling Else delights to make,
Which she with pretious viol'd Liquors heals.

MS. "Helping all urchin Blafts, and ill luck fignes,
"That the shrewd medling Else delights to leave,
"And often takes our Cattel with strange pinches,
"Which she with pretious viol'd Liquors heales."
Carrol ber Goodness loud in rustick Layes.

MS. " Carrol her Goodnesse loud in lively Layes. Of Pansies, Pinks, and gaudy Dasfadils.

MS. " Of Panties, and of bonnie Daffadils."

The clasping Charm, and thaw the numming Spell.

MS. " Each classing Charme, and fecret holding Spell."

In hard besetting need, this I will try,

And add the Power of some adjuring Verse.

MS. "In bonour'd Vertue's Cause, this will I trie, "And add the Power of some adjuring Verse." That in the Channel strayes.

MS. " That my rich Wheeles inlayes." Brightest Lady, looke on me.

MS. " Vertuous Ladie, looke on me."

To wait in Amphitrite's Bow'r.

MS. "To waite on Amphitrite in her Bowre."

May thy brimmed Waves for this.

MS. " May thy crystall Waves for this."

That tumbled down the snowy Hills.

MS. " That tumbled down from fnowie Hills," Where this night are met in state.

MS. "Where this night are come in state.

Come let us haste, the Stars grow bigh,

But Night sits monarch yet in the mid Sky.

MS. "Come let us haste, the Stars are high,
"But Night reignes monarch yet in the mil Skie."

Of lighter toes, and such court guise
As Mercury did first devise.

MS. " Of lighter toes, and courtly guise, " Such as Hermes did devise.
With a Crown of deathless Praise.

MS. "To a Crown of deathlesse Bays."

Than her pursled Scarf can show,

And drenches with Elystan Dow,

MS. "Than her purfled Scarfe can shew, "Yellow, watchet, greene and blew, "And drenches with Sabwan Dew."

It appears from Sir Henry Wotton's Letter to our Author dated April 13to, 1638, that this Mask had been printed at the End of Mr. R's (n) Poems at Oxford. There was an Edition of it likewise at London in 1637 in 4to, under the following Title; A Mask presented at Ludlow Castle, 1634, on Michaelmasse-Night, before the Right Honorable, John Earle of Bridgewater, Vicount Brackly, Lord Prasident of Wales, and one of his Majesties most honorable Privile Counsell. The Dedication of it by Mr. H. Lawes to the Right Honorable John Lord Vicount Brackly, Son and Heire Apparent to the Earle of Bridgwater, &c. is as tollows: "My Lord, This Poem, which receiv'd its first Occasion of Birth

⁽a) Perhaps Mr. Tho. Randolph; but I have never met with any Edition of his Pseus to which Milton's Must is added.

" Birth from your felfe and others of your noble Familie, and much honour " from your own Person in the Personmance, now returns agains to make a finall " Dedication of itselfe to you. Although not openly acknowledged by the Au-"thor, yet it is a legitimate Offspring, to lovely and fo much defired that it " often copying of it hath tired my Pen to give my feverall Friends fati-faction. " and brought me to a necessitie of producing it to the publick view, and now to offer it up in all rightfull devotion to those faire Hopes and rare Encow-"ments of your much-promising Youth, which give a full assurance to all that "know you of a future Excellence. Live, fweet Lord, to be the How ar of " your Name, and receive this as your owne, from the hands of him, who " hath by many Favours beene long oblig'd to your most honour'd Parents; " and as in this Repræfentation your attendant Thyrsis, so now in all reall la-" preffion, "Your faithfull and most humble Servant,

H. Lawes."

It appears by the End of this Edition of the Majk, that the principal Perfors, who perform'd in it, were the Lord Brackly, Mr. Tho. Egerton, and the Lady Alice Egerton. This Picce is very beautiful, and, as Mr. Riebarison obferves (o), of a kind purely original. A very learned and ingenious Friend of mine (f), in a Letter to me containing feveral curious Remarks upon Allica, observes, that in this Piece our Author has Shekespeare very much in his Fig., and that there is a brighter Vein of Poetry intermined with a softness of Deservation, than is to be found in the charming Scenes of Eden.

In November 1637 Milton wrote his Lycidas, in which he laments the Death of his Friend Mr. Edward King, who was drown'd in his Paffage from Gights on the Irish Seas in 1637. This Poem of our Author's was printed the Year following at Cambridge in 4to, in a Collection of Latin and English Poems up-on Mr. King's Death. The Latin Poems have this tide: Justa Eduardo King naufrage ab Amicis nurrentibus Ameris & passas xhips. This part contains 36 pages, and confifts of Poems by T. Farnabe, H. More, f. Pearson. The Latin Poptaph informs us, that Mr. King was Son of Sir John King, Secretary for Ireland to Queen Elizabeth, King James I. and Charles I. and that he was Fellow of Christ's College Cambridge, and was drown'd in August 1637, aged 25 Years. The English Part is intitled, Obsequies to the Memory of Mir. Edward King, Anno Dominis 1638. It contains 25 pages, and consists of Poems by H. King, J. Beaumont, J. Cleaveland, IV. More, W. Hall, Sams. Briggs, Isaac Obvier, J. H. C. B. R. B. T. N. J. M. i. e. John Millen, whose Lycidas is the last of the Poems.

I shall subjoin here the first Thoughts of Million, as they appear'd in his own

Manuscript abovemention'd.

Who would not fing for Lycidas? he know.

MS. " Who would not fing for Lycidas? he well knew."

And bidfair Peace be to my fable shrowd.

MS. " To bid faire Peace be to my fable fhroud." Under the opening eye-lids of the morn.

MS. " Under the glimmering eye-lids of the morne."

Oft till the Star, that rose at Ev'ning bright, Toward Heaven's Descent had flop'd his westering Wheel.

MS. " Oft till the Even-Starre bright,

"Toward Heaven's Descent had sloapt his hurnisht Wheele."

Or frost to flowers, that their gay wardrop wear.

MS. " Or frost to flowers, that thire gay buttons weare." Where your old Bards, the famous Druids, lie."

MS. " Where the old Bards, the famous Druids, lie." What could the Muse herself that Orpheus bore?

The Muse herself for her inchanting Son, Whom universal Nature did lament,

When by the rout, that made the hideous Roar,

⁽b) The Rev. Mr. William Watburton dution of many excellent Notes published in Mr. Theobald's Loft. By J. Riebardson, Father and Son. Edit. Edition of Shakespeare,

of Mr. JOHN MILTON.

His goary vifage down the fiream was fent, Down the fwift Hebrus to the Leftian Shere,

MS. 4 What could the golden-hayr'd Call.ope

" For her inchaunting Son,

"When shee beheld (the Gods sarre-fighted bee)
"His goarie Scalpe rowle downe the Thracian Lee,"

Or with the tangles of Neure's hair.

MS. " Hid in the tangles of Neara's haire."

O Fountain Arethuse, and then honour'd flood,
Smoth-sliding Mineius.

MS. "Oh Fountain Arethufe, and thou fineeth flood, "Soft-fliding Mincius."

Inwrought with figures dim.

MS. " Seraul'd ore with figures dim." Daily devours apace, and nothing fed.

MS. "Daily devours apace, and little fed."

On whose fresh Lap the swart Star sparely looks,

Throw hither all your quaint enamel'd Eyes.

MS. "On whose fresh Lap the swart Starre stintly looks, "Bring hither all your quaint enamel'd Eyes."

Bring the rathe Pimrose that forsaken dies, The tusted Crow-toe, and pale Gessamine, The white Pink, and the Pansie freakt with Jet, The glowing Violet; The Musk-rose, and the well atrived Woodbine. With Cowssips wan that hang the pensive Head, And every Flower that sad Embroidery wears. Bid Amarantus all his Beauty shed, And Dassadilites fill their Cups with Tears.

MS. " Bring the rathe Primrofe, that unwedded dies, "Colouring the pale cheeke of uninjoy'd Love,

" And that fad Floure that strove

"To write his own Woes on the vermel Graine.

"Next adde Narciffus, that fill weeps in vaine:
"The Woodbine and the Pancie freakt with Jet;

" The glowing Violet;

"The Cowflip war, that hangs his penfive head; And every Bud, that Sorrow's Liverie weares,

Let Daffadillies fill thire Cups with Teares:

" Bid Amaranthus all his Beautie flied."

Let our frail Thoughts dally with false surmise.

MS. " Let our fad Thoughts, &c.

Ay me! whilft thee the Shores and founding Seas.

MS. "Ay mee, whilft thee the Floods and founding Seas." Where thou perhaps under the whelming Tide.

MS. "Where thou perhaps under the bumming Tide." Sleefft by the Fable of Bellerus old.

MS. "Sleepst by the Fable of Corineus old.

And hears the unexpressive nuptial Song.

MS. " Listening the unexpressive nuptial Song.

Upon the Death of his Mother he obtain'd leave of his Father to travel, and having waited upon Sir Henry Wotton, formerly Embaffadorat Venice, and then Provost of Eaton College, to whom he communicated his Design, that Gentleman soon after wrote to him the following Letter dated from the College April 18th, 1638.

"SIR.

"It was a special Favour, when you lately bestow'd upon me here the first " taste of your Acquaintance, tho no longer than to make me know, that I se wanted more time to value it, and to enjoy it rightly. And in truth, if "I could then have imagined your farther flay in these Parts, which I underthood afterward by Mr. H. I would have been bold, in our vulgar phrase, " to mend my draught, for you left me with an extreme Thirst; and to have " begged your Conversation again jointly with your said learned Friend, at a " poor Meal or two, that we might have banded together fome good Authors " of the antient time, among which I observ'd you to have been samiliar. " Since your going, you have charged me with new Obligations, both for " a very kind Letter from you, dated the fixth of this Month, and for a dainty " piece of Entertainment, that came therewith; wherein I should much commend " the Tragical Part, if the Lyrical did not ravish with a certain Darie Delicacy " in your Songs and Odes, wherein I must plainly confess to have seen yet no-"thing parallel in our Language, Ipsa mollities. But I must not omit to tell " you, that I now only owe you thanks for intimating unto me, how modefly foever, the true Artificer. For the Work it felf I had viewed fome good " while before with fingular Delight, having received it from our common "Friend Mr. R. in the very close of the late R's Poems printed at Oxford; "whereunto it is added, as I now suppose, that the Accessory might help out " the Principal, according to the Art of Stationers, and leave the Reader coal " la bocca dolce.

"Now, Sir, concerning your Travels, wherein I may challenge a little more Privilege of Difcourfe with you; I suppose, you will not blanch Paris in your Way. Therefore I have been bold to trouble you with a sew Lines to Mr. M.B. whom you shall easily find attending the young Lord S. as his Governor; and you may surely receive from him good Directions for shaping of your farther Journey into Italy, where he did reside by my Choice fome time for the King, after mine own Recessfrom Venice.

"I should think, that your best Line will be through the whole Length of "France to Marseilles, and thence by Sea to Genoa, whence the passage into "Tuscany is as diurnal as a Gravesend Barge. I hasten, as you do, to Liorence or Sienna, the rather to tell you a short Story, from the Interest you have given me in your Safety.

"At Sienna I was tabled in the House of one Alberto Scipione, an old Roman Courtier in dangerous times, having been Steward to the Duca di Pagliano, who with all his Family were strangled, fave this only Man, that escaped by fore-fight of the Tempest. With him I had often much Chat of those Affairs; into which he took Pleasure to look back from his native Harbour; and at my Departure toward Rome, which had been the center of his Experience, I had won considence enough to beg his Advice, how I might earry myself securely there, without Offence of others, or of mine own Conscience: Signor Arrigo mio, says he, i pensieri stretti, & il viso sciolto, that is, your Thoughts class, and your Countenance loose, will go safely over the whole World. Of which Delphian Oracle (for so I have found it) your judgment doth need no Commentary; and therefore, Sir, I will commit you with it to the best of all Securities, God's dear Love, remaining,

"Your Friend, as much at Command as any of longer date,

"P. S. Sir, I have expressly sent this by my Foot-Boy to prevent your Departure, without some Acknowledgment from me of the receipt of your obliging Letter, having myself through some Business, I know not how, neglected the ordinary Conveyance. In any part where I shall understand you fixed, I shall be glad and diligent to entertain you with Home-novelties, even for some Fomentation of our Friendship, too soon interrupted in the Cradle."

Soon after the receipt of this Letter he fet out for France, accompanied only with one Man, who attended him thro' all his Travels. At Paris he waited upon the Lord Scudamore, Embassador from King Charles I. in France. His Lordship

Lordship receiv'd him with great Civility; and understanding that Mr. Milton had a desire to make a Visit to Hugo Grotius, Embassador from Christina Queen of Sweden to the Court of France, sent several of his Attendants to wait upon him, and introduce him in his name to that great Man. After a few Days, not intending to make the usual Tour of France, he took his Leave of the Lord Scudamore, who gave him Letters to the English Merchants residing in any part, thro' which he was to travel, in which they were requested to do him all the good Offices, which lay in their power. From Paris he hasten'd on his Journey to Nice, where he embark'd for Genoa, from whence he went to Legborn, and Pisa, and so to Florence. In this City he staid two Months, during which time he contracted an intimate Acquaintance with several Persons of the highest Distinction for Learning and Quality, and was daily present at their private Academies, which they held, according to the 'audable Custom of Italy, for the Improvement of Learning and Friendship (q). His principal Friends here were Jacomo Gaddi, Carlo Dati, Frescobaldi, Coltellino, Bonmatthei, Clementilli, Antonio Francini, &c. Carlo Dati gave him the following testimonial of his Esteem.

Johanni Miltoni, Londinensi, Juveni patria, virtutibus eximio: Viro, qui multa peregrinatione, studio cunsta orbis terrarum loca prospecit, ut novus Ulysse omnia ubique ab omnibus apprehenderet. Polyglotto, in cujus ore Linguæ jam deperditæ sic reviviscunt, ut idiomata emnie sint in ejus laudibus infacunda; & jure ca percallet, ut admirationes & plausus populorum ab propria sapientia excitatos intelligat. Illi, cujus Animi Dotes corporisque sensus ad admirationem commovent, & per ipsam motum cuique auserunt; cuius opera ad plausus hortantur, sed venustate vocem auditoribus adimunt. Cui in memoria totus Orbis; in Intellestu Sapientia; in voluntate Arior Gloriæ; in ore Elequentia. Harmonicos calestium Sphærarum sonitus, Astronomia duce, audienti; charasteres mirabilium Naturæ, per quos Dei magnitudo describitur, magistra Philosophia, legenti; Antiquitatum latebras, Vetustatis excidia, Eruditionis ambagos, comite assidua dutorum Lestione, exquirenti, restauranti, percurrenti. At cur nitor in arduum? Illi, in cujus Virtutibus evulgandis ora Famæ non suspeciant, nec Hominum stupor in laudandis sutis est. Reverentiæ & Amoris ergo hoc ejus Meritis debitum Admirationis tributum offert

CAROLUS DATUS Patricius Florentinus, Tanto homini Servus, tanta virtutis Amator.

Antonio Francini is not less liberal of his Praises of our Author in the long Italian Ode, which he compos'd in his honour, and in which he complements the English Nation, and foretold the future Greatness of Milton. The eighth of our Author's familiar Letters, dated at Florence, Sept. 10th, 1638, is written to Beneditto Bonnatthei, upon the latter's design of publishing an Italian Grammar, in which he advises him to add some Observations concerning the true

Pronunciation of that Language, for the fake of Foreigners.

From Florence he took his Journey next to Sienna, and from thence to Rome, where he ftay'd about two Months, and became acquainted with feveral learned Men, particularly Lucas Holftenius, Keeper of the Vatican Library (r), who shewed him all the Greek Authors, whether publish'd or otherwise, which had pass'd through his Correction; and introduc'd him to Cardinal Barberini, who, at an Entertainment of Music perform'd at his own Expence, waited for him at the Door, and brought him into the Assembly. To thank Holstenius for these Favours, Milton wrote the ninth of his familiar Letters, dated at Florence, March 30th, 1630. At Rome he likewise commenc'd a Friendship with Giovanni Salsilli, who wrote the following Epigram upon him.

Ad Joannem Miltonem, Anglum, triplici poeseos Laurea coronandum, Graca nimirum, Latina, atque Hetrusca, Epigramma Joannis Salsilli Romani.

Cede, Meles; cedat depressa Mincius urna; Sebetus Tassum desinat usque loqui: At Thomesis Victor cunetis serat altior undas, Nam per te, Milto, par tribus unus erit.

Milton

(7) Miltoni Desensio secunda p. 84. Edit. 1654.

Vol. II. p. 332. and Philips, p. 11, 12.

(r) Miltoni Desensio secunda, p. 84, 85. Edit. 1654.

Vol. II. p. 332 of the present Edition; and Philips, p. 13.

XV111

An Account of the Life and Writings

Milton in return fent to Salfilli, foon after lying fick, those fine Scazons, which may be read among his Juvenile Poems. Here likewise Selvaggi wrote the following Distich upon him:

> Gracia Maonidem, jattet sibi Roma Maronem: Anglia Miltonum jastat utrique parem.

From Rome he travell'd to Naples, where he was introduced by a certain Hermit, who accompanied him in his Journey from Rome thither, to Giovanni Baptista Manso (s) Marquis of Villa, a Neapolian by Birth, a Person of great Quality and Merit, to whom Taffo inscribed his Dialogue of Friendship, and whom that Poet makes honourable mention of in the xx Book of his Gieruselemme conquistate:

> Fra Cavalier magnanimi è cortest Resplende il Manso.

The Marquis received Milton with extraordinary Respect and Civility, and went himself to shew him all the remarkable Places in the City, visiting him often at his Lodging, and made this Diffich in honour of him:

> Ut mens, forma, decor, facies, mos, si pietas sic, Non Ånglus, verùm herelè Angelus ipfe fores.

The Exception to Milton's Piety relates to his being a Protestant; and the Marquis told him at his Departure, that he should have been glad to have done him several other good Offices, if he had been more referv'd in matters of Religion (t). Our Author out of Gratitude for the Marquis's Civilities, before he left Naples, sent him a beautiful Latin Eclogue, intitled Mansus; in which he intimates his Defign of writing a Poem upon the Story of King Arthur, as appears from the following Lines:

> O mihi si mea sors talem concedat Amicum, Phabæos deccrâffe viros qui tam bene nôrit, Si quando indigenas revecabo in carmina Reges, Arturumque ctiam sub terris bella moventem: Aut dicam invitta sociali sadere mensa Magnanimos Heroas, & (O modo Spiritus adsit) Frangam Saxonicas Britonum sub Marte phalanges.

He was now preparing to pass over into Sicily and Greece, when he was diverted from his Refolution by the fad News of a Civil War breaking forth in England; effecting it an unworthy thing for him to be taking his Pleafure in foreign Parts, while his Countrymen were contending at home for Liberty. However, he refolv'd to fee Rome once more; and tho' the Merchants gave him a Caution, that the Jesuits were framing Designs against him, by reason of the Freedom, which he us'd in his Difcourfes about Religion, yet he ventur'd to go to Rome the fecond time, determining with himself not to begin any Dispute a. bout Religion; but being ask'd, not to dissemble his Sentiments. He stay'd two months in that City, neither concealing his Name, nor declining openly to defend the Truth, when any thought proper to attack him. Notwithstanding this, he return'd fafe to his Friends at Florence, who received him with great Joy and Affection. Here he ftay'd as long as he had done before, except an Excursion of a few Days to Lucca; and then crossing the Appenine, pass'd thro' Bononia and Ferrara to Venice, where having spent one Month, and shipped off the Books, which he had collected in his Travels thro' Italy, he came thro' Verona, Milan, and along the Lake Leman to Geneva. In this City he contracted an intimate Friendship with Giovanni Deodati, and Frederic Spanbeim (u), both Professors of Divinity there. He return'd thro' France by the same Way, which he pass'd in going to Italy; and after having been absent from England about a Year and three Months, arriv'd fafe in his own Country about

(s) Miltoni Desensio secunda, ubi supra.

(t) Ibid.

(u) Toland p. 20. says Ezechiel

Spanheim, the celebrated Critic and Antiquary,
and Son of Frederic: But 11th is is undoubtedly a the 17th of his samiliar Letters.

the time of the King's fecond Expedition against the Scots, and not long before the calling of the Long Parliament (x). Upon his return, he had the missfortune of being affur'd of the Death of his dearest Friend and School-sellow, Cherles Deodati, who was descended from a Family at Lucca in Tuscany, but born in England. This Gentleman studied Physic, and was an excellent Scholar. Mr. Toland tells us (y), that he had in his Hands two Greek Letters of Deodati's to Milton, written with great Elegance. Milton lamented his immature Death in an excellent Latin Ecloque, intitled Demon, extant among his Poems; by which we find, that he had already conceiv'd the Plan of an Epic Poem, the subject of which he design'd to be the warlike Actions of the old British Heroes, and particularly of King Arthur, as he tells us himself in these Verses:

Infe ego Dardanias Rutupina per aquora puppes Dicam, & Pandrafidos regnum vetus Inogeniae, Bremumque Arviregumque duces, prifeumque Belinum, Et tandem Armoricos Britonum fub lege colonos; Tum gravidam Arturo fateli fraude Jogernen, Mendaces vultus, effumptaque Gorloïs arma, Merlini Dolus.

He then declares his defign of performing fomething in his native Language, which might perpetuate his Name in these Islands, tho' he should be the more obscure and inglorious by it to the rest of the World.

Soon after his Return, and Visits paid to his Father and his Friends, he hir'd a Lodging in St. Bride's Church-yard in Fleet-street, at the House of Mir. Russel a Taylor, where he undertook the Education and Instruction of his Sister's two Sons, Edward and John Philips; the elder of whom, John, had been wholly committed to his Care. And here it will not be impertinent to mention the many Latin and Greek Authors, which, thro' his excellent Judgment and Method of teaching, far above the Pedantry of common Schools, (where such Authors are scarce ever heard of) were read over, within no greater Compass of time, than from ten to fifteen Years of Age (z). Of the Latin, the four grand Writers de Re Russia, Cato, Varro, Columella, and Palladius; Cornelius Celsus, the Physician; a great Part of Pliny's Natural History; Vitruvius's Architecture; Prontinus's Stratagens; and the Philosophical Poets, Lucretius and Manilius. Of the Greek Writers, Hesiod; Aratus's Phanomena and Diosemeia; Dienssius de situ Orbis; Oppian; Quintus Calaber; Apollonius Rhodius; Plutarch's Placita Philosophorum, & wiph madion droppias; Geminus's Astronomy; Xenophon's Institution of Cyrus and Araeas; Elian's Tactics; and Polyaenus's Stratagems.

Thus by teaching, he in some measure inlarg'd his own Knowledge, having the reading of all these Authors by Proxy; and all this might possibly have conduced to the preserving of his Sight, if he had not been perpetually engag'd in reading and writing. Nor did this Application of his to the Latin and Greek Tongues hinder him from attaining the principal of the Oriental Languages, viz. the Hebrew, Chaldee, and Syriae, and a good Skill in Mathematics and

Aftro-

(x) Miltoni Desensio secunda, p. 85, 86, 8-, (3) p. 10. Edit. 1654. Vol. II. p. 332 of the profine Edit. (2) Philips, p. 16, 17. Astronomy. The Sunday's Work for his Pupils was for the most part to read a Chapter of the Greek Testament, and hear his Exposition of it. The next Work after this was to write from his Dictation, some part of a System of Divinity, which he collected from the most eminent Writers upon that subject, as simefus,

Wollebius. &c. (a).

He did not continue long in his Lodgings in St. Bride's Church-yard, but took an handsome Garden-House in Aldersgate-street, situated at the Lnd of a passage, and the fitter for his purpose by reason of its privacy and freedom from Noise and Disturbance. Here it was, that he put his Academical Institution in practice, he himself giving an Example of hard Study and spare Diet to those under him; for it was not long before his elder Nephew, Mr. Edward Philips, was put to board with him. "Only this advantage he had, says Mr. "Philips (b), that once in three Weeks or a Month, he would drop into the Society of some young Sparks of his Acquaintance; the chief whereof were Mr. Alphry and Mr. Millar, two Gentlemen of Gray's-Inn, the Beaus of chose Days. With these Gentlemen he would so far make bold with his Body, as now and then to keep a Gawdy-day." In this House he continued several Years.

In 1641 he published at London in 4to, a Piece, intitled, Of Reformation touching Church-Discipline in England, and the Causes that hitherto have buildred

it. Two Bookes. Written to a Friend.

About the same time certain Ministers wrote a Treatise against Episcopacy, printed at London 1641, in 4to, under the following Title: An Answer to a Book, intitled, An humble Remonstrance; in which the Originall of Liturgy and Existences is discussed, and Queries propounded concerning both; the Parity of Bishops and Presbyters in Scripture demonstrated; the occasion of their Imparitie in Antiquitie discovered; the Disparitie of the ancient and our moderne Bishops manifested; the Antiquitie of Ruling Elders in the Chuch vindicated; the Prelatical Church bownded. Written The Authors of this Treatise were fire, the first Letters of by Smectymnuus. whose Christian and Sur-Names compose the Word Smeetymnuus; viz. Stephen Marshal, Edmund Calamy, Thomas Young, Matthew Newcomen, and William Spurflow. The Humble Remonstrance, to which this was defigned as an Answer, was written by Dr. Joseph Hall, Bishop of Norwick. Archbishop Usher having publish'd, in opposition to Smellymnuus, a Tract concerning the Original of Bishops and Metropolitans, printed at Oxford 1641 in 4to; Milton published at London the fame Year in 410, a Piece, intitled, Of Prelatical Episcopacy, and whether it may be deduc'd from the Apostolical times by virtue of those Testimonies, which are alledg'd to that purpose in some late Treatises; one whereof goes under the Name of James Archbishop of Armagh.

Hisnext performance was The Reason of Church Governement urg'd against Prelaty: By Mr. John Milton. In two Books. London 1641 in 410. In the beginning of the fecond Book he mentions his Defign of writing a Poem in the English Language; where he tells us, that " in the privat Academies of Italy, whither I, fays be, was " favour'd to refort, perceiving, that some trifles, which I had in memory, comof pos'd at under twenty or thereabout, (for the manner is, that every one must " give fome proof of his Wit and reading there) met with acceptance above what was lookt for, and other things, which I had shifted in scarsity of Books "and Conveniences to patch up amongst them, were receiv'd with written Encomiums, which the *Italian* is not forward to bestow on Men on this side the " Alps; I began thus farre to affent both to them and divers of my Friends " here at home, and not leffe to an inward prompting, which now grew daily " upon me, that by Labour and intent Study, (which I take to be my portion " in this Life) joyn'd with the strong Propensity of Nature, I might perhaps " leave fomething fo written to after-times, as they should not willingly let it "die. These thoughts at once possest me, and these other, that if I were cer-" tain to write as Men buy Leafes, for three Lives and downward, there ought " no Regard be fooner had, than to God's Glory by the Honour and In"ftruction of my Country. For which Caufe, and not only for that I knew " it would be hard to arrive at the fecond Rank among the Latines, I apply'd " my felfe that resolution, which Ariosto follow'd against the perswasions of " Bembo, to fix all the industry and art I could unite, to the adorning of my " native

" native tongue; not to make verbal Curiofities the End; that were a toylfom " Vanity; but to be an Interpreter and Relater of the best and sagest things " among mine own Citizens throughout this Island in the mother Dialect. "That what the greatest and choycest Wits of Athens, Rome, or modern Italy, " and those Hebrews of old did for their Country, I in my proportion, with " this over and above of being a Christian, might doe for mine, not earing to " be once nam'd abroad, though perhaps I could attaine to that; but content " with these British Islands as my World, whose Fortune hath hitherto bin, "that if the Athenians, as fome fay, made their small deeds great and renowned by their eloquent Writers; England hath had her noble Atchiev-" ments made small by the unskilfull handling of Monks and Mechanicks. "Time fervs not now, and perhaps I might feem too profuse to give any 66 certain Account of what the Mind at home in the spacious Circuits of her " musing hath liberty to propose to herself, though of highest hope and hardest " attempting; whether that Epick form, whereof the two poems of Homer, " and those other two of Virgil and Tasso are a dissuse, and the Book of Job a " brief Model. Or whether the Rules of Ariftotle herein are strictly to be kept, " or Nature to be followed; which in them that know Art, and use Judge-ment, is no Transgression, but an inriching of Art. And lastly what King " or Knight before the Conquest might be chosen, in whom to lay the pattern " of a Christian Heroe. And as Taso gave to a Prince of Italy his chois, whe-" ther he would command him to write of Godfrey's Expedition against the In-" fidels, or Belisarius against the Gothes, or Charlemain against the Lombards; ", if to the Instinct of Nature and the imbold'ning of Art ought may be trusted, " and that there be nothing advers in our Climate, or the fate of this age, " it haply would be no raffinesse from an equal Diligence and Inclination to or prefent the like offer in our own ancient Stories. Or whether those Drama-"tick Constitutions, wherein Sophecles and Euripides raigne, shall be found more doctrinal and exemplary to a Nation, the Scripture also affords us a " divine Pastoral Drama in the Song of Salomon, consisting of two Persons and " a double Chorus, as Origen rightly judges. And the Apocalyps of St. John is the majestick Image of a high and stately Tragedy, shutting up and inter-" mingling her folemn Scenes and Acts with a fevenfold Chorus of Halleluja's and " harping Symphonies; and this my opinion the grave Authority of Pareus com-" menting that Booke is fufficient to confirm. Or if occasion shall lead to "imitat those magnifick Odes and Hymns, wherein Pindarus and Callimachus are in most things worthy, some others in their frame judicious, in their matter most an end faulty; but those frequent Songs through out the Law " and Prophets beyond all thefe, not in their divine Argument alone, but in " the very critical Art of Composition, may be easily made appear over all the "Kinds of Lyrick Poefy, to be incomparable...... The thing, which I had " to fay, and those intentions, which have liv'd within me ever fince I could " conceiv myself any thing worth to my Countrie, I return to crave excuse, " that urgent reason hath plukt from me by an abortive and fore-dated Dif-" covery. And the accomplishment of them lies not but in a power above "Man's to promife; but that none hath by more studious ways endeavour'd, " and with more unwearied Spirit that none shall, that I dare almost averre of my " felf, as farre as Life and free Leafure will extend...... Neither doe I think " it shame to covnant with any knowing Reader, that for some few Yeers yet " I may go on trust with him toward the payment of what I am now indebted, " as being a Work not to be rays'd from the heat of Youth, or the Vapours " of Wine, like that which flows at walt from the pen of fome vulgar Amo-" rift, or the trencher-fury of a riming Parafite; nor to be obtain'd by the " Invocation of Dame Memory and her Siren Daughters; but by devout " Prayer to that Eternal Spirit, who can inrich with all utterance and know-" ledge, and fends out his Seraphim with the hallow'd Fire of his Altar, to "touch and purify the Lips of whom he pleafes. To this must be added industrious and felect reading, steddy Observation, insight into all feemly " and generous Arts and Affaires; till which in some measure be compast, at " mine own peril and cost I refuse not to sustain this Expectation from as many, " as are not loath to hazard fo much Credulity upon the best pledges, that I " can give them." Vol. I. f Bifhop

Bishop Hall having publish'd a Piece intitled, A Defence of the Humble Remonstrance against the frivolous and false Exceptions of Smeetymnuus; wherein the Right of Leiturgie and Episcopacie is clearly vindicated from the veine Cavils and Challenges of the Answerers. By the Author of the said Humble Remonstrance: London 1641 in 410; Milton wrote his inimadversions upon the Remonstrant's Defence against Smellymnuus. London 1641 in 410.

Soon after this there was publish'd against this Tract of our Author's, A modest Consutation against a slanderous and scurrilous Libel; which Milton tells us (e), was reported to be written by a Son of Bishop Hall. In this Piece the Writer having severely reflected on him, and represented him as having been expell'd the University, and as being a frequenter of Playbouses and the Bordelloes; Milton publish'd at London 1642 in 4to, An Apology against a Pampblet call'd, A modest Consutation of the Animadversions upon the Kemonstrant against Smestymmus; or, as the Title-page is in some Copies, An Apology for Smestymmus,

with the Reason of Church-Government. By John Milton, Gent.

During the time of his Continuance in his House in Aldersgate-street, there happen'd feveral Occasions of increasing his Family. His Father, who till the taking of Reading by the Earl of Effect's Forces, had liv'd with his Son Christopher at his House there, was then oblig'd to remove to his eldest Son, with whom he liv'd for some Years. He had likewise an Addition of Scholars (d); and in 1643 married Mary, the Daughter of Richard Powell, Esq, of Foresthill in Oxfordshire. " About Whitsuntide it was, or a little " after, fays Mr. Philips (e), that he took a Journey into the Countrey, no 66 body about him certainly knowing the Reason, or that it was any more than " a Journey of Recreation. After a Month's stay, home he returns a mar-" ried Man, who went out a Bachelor, his Wife being Mary, the eldeft " Daughter of Mr. Richard Powell, then a Justice of Peace, of Foresthill near " Shotover in Oxfordshire; fome few of her nearest Relations accompanying the 66 Bride to her new Habitation, which by reason the Father nor any body else " were yet come, was able to receive them; where the Feafting held for some "Days in celebration of the Nuptials and for entertainment of the Bride's "Friends. At length they took their Leave, and returning to Foresthill, lest "the Sifter behind; probably not much to her Satisfaction, as appeared by the Sequel. By that time she had for a Month or thereabout led a Philo-" fophical Life, after having been used at home to a great House, and much " Company and Joviality, her Friends, possibly incited by her own Defire, " made earnest suit by Letter, to have her Company the remaining part of the "Summer; which was granted, on condition of her Return at the time appoint-" ed, Michaelmas, or thereabout."

In the mean time came his Father, and some of the foremention'd Scholars; and their Course of Studies was prosecuted with great vigour. Milton diverted himself sometimes in an Evening in visiting the Lady Margaret Leigh, Daughter to the Earl of Marlborough, Lord High Treasurer of England, and President of the Privy Council to King James I. This Lady being a Woman of admirable Wit and good Sense, had a particular Esteem for our Author, and took much delight in his Company; as likewise did her Husband, Captain Hobson (f). And what Regard Milton had for her, appears from a Sonnet, which he wrote

to her, extant among his Occasional Poems (g).

Michaelmas being now come, and Milton receiving no Account of his Wife's Return, he fent for her by Letter, and having no Answer, wrote several other Letters, which were also unanswer'd; so that at last he dispatch'd a Messenger with another Letter, desiring her to return; but the Messenger was dismiss'd with some kind of Contempt. "This proceeding, says Mr. Philips (b), in all probability, was grounded upon no other Cause but this, namely, that the Family being generally addicted to the Cavalier Party, as they called it, and some of them possibly engag'd in the King's Service, who by this time had his Head-Quarters at Oxford, and was in some prospect of Success; they began to repent them of having match'd the eldest Daughter of their Family so contrary to them in Opinion, and thought it would be a Blot in their Escutcheon, whenever that Court should come to flourish again. However,

⁽c) Apology for Smectymnuus, p. 21. Edit. (g) Sonnet x. p. 15. Edit. London 1673. London, in 4to. (d) Philips, p. 21, 22. (k) Toland, p. 18. (f) Philips, p. 23.

" it fo incens'd our Author, that he thought it would be dishonourable ever " to receive her again, after fuch a Repulse; so that he forthwith prepar'd to " fortify himself with Arguments for such a Resolution." He publish'd therefore in 1644 in 4to, The Dostrine and Discipline of Divorce, without his Name; as not willing, fays he (i), it should sway the Reader either for me or against me. But when I was told, that the stile, which what it ailes to be so soon distinguishable, I cannot tell, was known by most Men, and that some of the Clergie began to inveigh and exclaim on what I was credibly inform'd they had not read, I took it for my proper Season both to shew them a Name, that could easily contemn such an indiferest kind of Censure, and to reinforce the Question with a more accurat Diligence. Accordingly he publish'd a second Edition of it the same Year at London in 4to, under this title: The Dottrine and Discipline of Divorce restored to the Good of both Sexes, from the Bondage of the Canon Law, and other Mistakes, to the true meaning of Scripture in the Law and Gospel compard. Wherin also are set down the bad Consequences of punishing or condemning of Sin, that which the Law of Goi allowes, and Christ abolisht not. Now the second time revised and much augmented. In two Books. To the Parlament of England, with the Affembly. The Author J. M. The grand Position, which he maintains in this Treatise is, "That Indisposition, Unsitness, or Contrariety of Mind, arising from a Cause in nature " unchangeable, hindering and ever likely to hinder the main Benefits of con-" jugal Society, which are Solace and Peace, is a greater reason of Divorce "than natural Frigidity; especially if there be no Children, and that there be " mutual Confent." The same Year he publish'd at London in 410, The Judgement of Martin Bucer concerning Divorce. Writt'n to Edward the fint, in his fecond Book of the Kingdom of Christ. And now Englisht. Wherin a late Book restoring the Doctrine and Discipline of Divorce is beer construed and justify'd by the Authoritie of Martin Bucer. To the Parliament of England. Publisht by Authoritie. In 1645 he published at London in 410, Tetrachordon: Expositions upon the foure chief Places in Scripture, which treat of Mariage, or Nullities in Mariage, on Gen. 1. 27, 28. compared and explained by Gen. 2. 18, 23, 24. on Deut. 24, 1, 2. on Matth. 5. 31, 32. with Matth. 19. from the 3d v. to the 11th. on 1 Cor. 7. from the 10th to the 16th. Wherin the Dostrine and Difcipline of Divorce, as was lately publish'd, is confirm'd by explanation of Scripture, by testimony of ancient Fathers, of civill Lawes in the Primitive Church, of fa-mousest Reformed Divines, and lastly, by an intended Act of the Parlament and Church of England in the last Year of Edward the sixth. By the former Author

On the first appearance of the Dottrine and Discipline of Divorce, the Clergy were extremely offended at it, and daily follicited the Parliament to pass a Censure upon it; and at last one of them, in a Sermon before the Parliament on a day of Humiliation in August 1644, told them, that there was a wicked Book abroad, which deserved to be burnt; and that among their other sins they ought to repent, that it had not yet been branded with a Mark of their Displeasure (k). And Mr. Wood tells us (l), that upon Milton's publishing his three Books of Divorce, "the Assembly of Divines, then sitting at West-"minster, took special Notice of them; and thereupon, tho' the Author had obliged them by his Pen in his defence of Smellymnuus and other their Controversies had with the Bishops, they impatient of having the Clergy's Juristication (as they reckon'd it) invaded, did, instead of answering or disproving what these Books had afferted, cause him to be summoned before the House of Lords. But that House, whether approving the Doctrine, or not

" favouring his Accuser, did foon dismiss him."

His Treatise of Divorce was attack'd by a piece intitled, Divorce at pleasure; and by another printed at London 1644 in 4to, and intitled, An Answer to a Book, intituled, The Doctrine and Discipline of Divorce, or, a Plea for Ladies and Gentlemen, and all other married IVomen against Divorce. Wherein both Sexes are vindicated from all Bondage of Canon Law, and other Mistakes whatsoever; and the unsound Principles of the Author are examined and fully consuted by Authority of Holy Scripture, the Laws of the Land, and sound Reason. Mr. Joseph Caryl.

⁽i) Preface to The Judgement of Martin Bucer. (1) Col. 261.

⁽k) Milton's Preface to his Tetrachordon.

An Account of the Life and Writings

Caryl, a Presbyterian Divine, who wrote a very voluminous Commentary on the Book of Job, gave on the 14th of November 1644, his Imprimetur to this piece in the following Words: "To preferve the strength of the Marriage-6 bond, and the Honour of that Estate, against those sad Breaches and dan-" gerous Abuses of it, which common Discontents (on this fide Adultery) are " likely to make in unstaied minds and men given to change, by taking in or " grounding themselves upon the Opinion answered, and with good Reason " confuted in this Treatife, I have approved the printing and publishing of it." In this piece the Author (m) stiles Milton's Book a frothie Discourse, and tells us, that were it not sugred over with a little neat language, would appear so immeritous and undeferving, so contrary to all humane Learning, yea Truth and common Experience itself, that all that reade it, must needs count it worthie to be burnt by the Hangman. In answer to this Piece, Milton published at London 1645, in 410. Colasterion: A Reply to a nameles Answer against The Dostrine and Discipline of Divorce. Wherein the trivial Author of that Answer is discover'd, the Licencer conferred with, and the Opinion which they traduce defended. By the former Author, I. M. In this he complains, that when his Dostrine and Discipline of Discree had been a whole Year published the second time with many Arguments added, and the former ones bettered and confirmed, the Answer above-mentioned was directed only against the first Edition. And he tells us that the Author of that differer was a Servingman turn'd Sollicitor assisted by a young Divine or two. He treats his Antagonist with great Contempt; but concludes with observing, that "as for " the fubject itself, which I have writt, and now defend, according as the opof position beares, if any Man equal to the matter shall think it appertains him " to take in hand this Controversy, either excepting against ought writt'n, or " perswaded hee can shew better how this question of such moment to bee through-" Iy known may receav a true determination, not leaning on the old and rott'n " fuggestions, whereon it yet leans, if his intents bee fincere to the public, " and fhall carry him without bitternes to the opinion, or to the person dis-" fenting; let him not, I intreate him, guels by the handling, which merito-" riously hath bin bestow'd on this object of contempt and laughter, that I account it any Displeasure don mee to bee contradicted in print; but as it leads to the attainment of any thing more true, shall esteem it a Benefit, and shall "know how to return his Civility and faire Argument in fuch a fort, as hee " shall confess that to doe so is my choise, and to have don thus was my chance." About this time, he was follicited by feveral Gentlemen of his acquaintance, to take upon him the Education of their fons, his great fuccess in his first Undertaking of that Kind being known. Upon this he hir'd a larger House, than that in which he then liv'd; but in the Interval before he remov'd into it, "there fell out, fays Mr. Philips (n), a passage, which tho' it altered not the whole Course he was going to steer, yet it put a Stop or rather an End to a " grand Affair, which was more than probably thought to be then in agitation. "It was indeed a Defign of marrying one of Dr. Davis's Daughters, a very " handsome and witty Gentlewoman, but averse, as it is said, to this Motion. "However the Intelligence hereof, and the then declining State of the King's "Caufe, and confequently of the Circumstances of Justice Powell's Family, " caused them to set all Engines on work to restore the late married Woman " to the flation, wherein they a little before had planted her. At last this De-" vice was pitch'd upon. There dwelt in the Lane of St. Martins-Le-Grand, " which was hard by, a Relation of our Author's, one Blackborough, whom it " was known he often vifited, and upon this Occasion the Visits were the more " narrowly observ'd, and possibly there might be a Combination between both " Parties; the Friends on both fides concentring in the fame Action, tho' on " different behalfs. One time above the rest, he making his usual Visit, the "Wife was ready in another Room, and on a fudden he was furpriz'd to fee " one, whom he thought to have never feen more, making fubmission, and " begging Pardon on her Knees before him. He might probably at first make " fome shew of Aversion and Rejection; but partly his own generous Nature, " more inclinable to Reconciliation than to perseverance in Anger and Revenge, " and partly the strong Intercession of Friends on both sides, soon brought him " to an Act of Oblivion, and a firm League of Peace for the future. And it

was at length concluded, that she should remain at a Friend's House till such "time as he was fettled in his new House in Barbican, and all things for her "Reception in order. The place agreed on for her prefent Abode was the " Widow Webber's House in St. Clement's-Church-yard, whose second Daugh-" ter had been married to the other Brother many Years before. " Fruits of her Return to her Husband was a brave Girl, born within a Year " after; tho' whether by ill Constitution, or want of Care, she grew more and " more decrepit." Mr. Elijab Fenton observes (0), that it is not to be doubted, but the abovemention'd Interview between Milton and his Wife, must wonderfully affect him; and that perhaps the Impressions it made on his Imagination, contributed much to the painting of that pathetic Scene in Paradife Lost, B. x. Verf. 909. in which Eve addresses herself to Adam for Pardon and Peace. After this Reunion, fo far was Milton from retaining an unkind Memory of the Provocations, which he had receiv'd from her ill Conduct, that he entertain'd her Father and several of her Brothers and Sisters in his House till after his own Father's Death (p).

About this time he wrote a fmall piece, printed in one sheet in 4to, under this title, Of Education. To Moster Samuel Hartlib. It was reprinted at the End of his Poems upon several Occasions, London 1673, in 8vo. "In this Treatise, " says Mr. Wood (q), he prescrib'd an easy and delightful Method for the " training up of Gentry to all forts of Literature, that they might at the fame " time by like Degrees advance in Virtue and Abilities to ferve their Country; " fubjoining Directions for their obtaining other necessary and ornamental Ac-" complishments." Mr. William Petty, afterwards Sir William, wrote likewise to Mr. Harelib a piece upon the same subject, printed at London 1647, in 410, under the following title, Advice to Mr. Samuel Hartlib for the Advancement of fome particular Parts of Learning ; and Mr. Fohn Durie wrote another to the same purpose, printed at London 1651, in 8vo, with this title: The Reformed School, and the Reformed Librarie-Keeper, by John Durie.

In 1644, Milton publish'd at London in 4to. his Areopagitica: A Speech of Mr. John Milton for the Liberty of unlicenced Printing, to the Parliament of England. From a MS. Note in a Copy of this piece presented by him to a Friend, it apppears to have been publish'd in November that Year. Mr. Warburton above-citedobserves, that it is in all respects a Master-piece. A new Edition of it in 8vo, is just now publish'd (r), with a Presace by another Hand. Mr. Toland tells us (s), that fuch was the Effect of this Piece of our Author, that the following Year, Mabol, a Licenser, offer'd Reasons against Licensing, and at his own Request was discharg'd that Office. But that Writer is guilty of two Mistakes in this Passage; for the Licenser's Name was not Mahol, but Gilbert Mabbot, who continued in his Office till May 22d, 1649, when, as Mr. Whitelocke observes (t), upon his defire, and Reasons against Licensing of Books to be printed, he was discharg'd of that Employment. And we find a particular Account of the Affair in a Weekly-Paper, printed in 4to, and intitled, A perfect Diurnall of some Passages in Parliament, and the daily Proceedings of the Army under his Excellency the Lord Fairfax. From Munday May 21, to Munday May 28, 1649. Collected for the satisfaction of such as desire to be truly informed. No 304. In which, under Tuesday Mey 22d, p. 2531, we read as follows: " Mr. Mabbet " hath long defired feverall Members of the Houfe, and lately the Councell of " State, to move the House, that he might be discharged of Licencing Books for the future upon the reasons following, viz.

"I. Because many thousand of seandalous and malignant Pamphlets have been published with his Name thereunto, as if he had licensed the same (though he " never saw them) on purpose (as he conceives) to prejudice him in his Reputation

· amongst the honest Party of this Nation.

" II. Because that Imployment (as he conceives) is unjust and illegall, as to the " Ends of its first Institution, viz. to stop the Presse for publishing any thing, that " might discover the Corruption of Church and State in the time of Popery, Episco-" pacy, and Tyranny, the better to keep the People in ignorance, and carry on their " Popijh, Factious, and Tyrannical Designs, for the enslaving and destruction both " of the Bodies and Souls of all the free People of this Nation. III.

[6] Life of Milton, p. 13 prefixed to Paradife (r) I write the loit, Edit. London 1727 in Seq. (1) p. 23 (2) Phillips, p. 27. (2) Uvi fupra, Col. 261. London, 1732 (r) I write this in January 1737-8. (1) Memorials p. 403. Ed.r. Vol. I.

"III. Because Licencing is as great a Monopoly as ever was in this Nation, in that all Men's Judgements, Reasons, &c. are to be bound up in the Licencer's (as to Licencing;) for if the Author of any Sheete, Booke, or Treatise, writ not to please the Fancy, and come within the Compasse of the Licencer's Judgement, then hee is not to receive any Stampe of Authority for publishing thereof.

"VI. Because it is lawfull (in his Judgement) to print any Booke, Sheete, &c. without Licencing, so as the Authors and Printers do subscribe their true Names thereunto, that so they may be liable to answer the Contents thereof; and if they offend therein, then to be punished by such Lawes as are or shall be for those Cases provided.

"A Committee of the Councell of State being fatisfied with these and other Reasons of M. Mabbot concerning Licencing, the Councell of State reports to the House; upon which the House ordered this Day, that the said M.

" Mabbot should be discharged of licencing Books for the future."

In 1645, our Author's Juvenile Poems appear'd under the following title: Poems ef Mr. John Milton, both English and Latin, compos'd at several times. Printed by bis true Copies. The Songs were fet in Musick by Mr. Henry Lawes, Gentleman of the King's Chapel, and one of his Majesties private Musick. Printed and publish'd according to Order. London printed by Ruth Raworth, for Humphrey Moiely, and are to be fold at the Signe of the Princes Arms in St. Pauls Churchyard, 1645, in 12mo. The title of the Latin Poems is as follows: Jeannis Miltoni Londinensis Poemata. Quorum pleraque intra annum ætatis vigesimum conscrip-sit. Nunc primum edita. To this Edition is prefix'd the following Preface of Humpbry Moseley the Stationer, to the Reader. " It is not any private respect of gain, gentle Reader, for the slightest pamphlet is now adayes more vendi-ble then the Works of learnedest Men; but it is the Love I have to our " own Language, that hath made me diligent to collect and fet forth fuch " peeces both in profe and vers, as may renew the wonted Honour and Effects " of our English tongue: and it's the worth of these both English and Latin "Poems, not the flourish of any prefixed Encomions, that can invite thee to " buy them, though these are not without the highest Commendations and "Applause of the learnedest Academies both domestick and forreign; and a-66 mongst those of our own Countrey, the unparallel'd Provost of Eaton, Sir " Henry Wootton. I know not thy Palate how it relifies fuch Dainties, nor how "harmonious thy Soul is; perhaps more trivial Airs may please thee better. 44 But howfoever thy Opinion is ipent upon these, that Incouragement I have " already receiv'd from the most ingenious Men in their clear and courteous En-"tertainment of Mr. Waller's late choice Peeces, hath once more made me adventure unto the World, prefenting it with these ever-green, and not to be blasted Laurels. The Author's more peculiar Excellency in these Studies " was too well known to conceal his Papers, or to keep me from attempting " to follicit them from him. Let the Event guide itself which way it will, I 66 shall deferve of the Age by bringing into the Light as true a Birth as the "Muses have brought forth fince our famous Spencer wrote, whose Poems " in these English ones are as rarely imitated, as sweetly excell'd. Reader, if "thou art eagle-eied to censure their Worth, I am not fearful to expose them to thy exactest perusal." This Edition contains the following Poems: On the Morning of Christ's Nativity, composed 1629. The Hymn. A Paraphrase on Psalm CXIV. Psalm CXXXVI. The Passion. On Time. Upon the Circumcision. At a solemn Musick. An Epitaph on the Marchioness of Winchester. Song on May Morning. On Shakespeare, 1030. On the University Carrier, who sickn'd in the time of his Vacancy, being forbid to go to London, by reason of the Plague. Ano. ther on the same. L'Allegro. Il Penseroso. X Sonnets. Arcades, Part of an Entertainment presented to the Countess Dowager of Darby at Harefield by some noble Persons of her Family. Lycidas; In this monody the Author bewailes a learned Friend unfortunately drown'd in his passage from Chester on the Irish Seas, 1637; and by occasion foretels the ruine of our corrupted Clergy then in their height. A Mask presented at Ludlow-Castle, 1634, before the Earl of Bridgewater, then President of Wales. Among the Latin Poems are contain'd all that are publish'd in the Edition of his Poems, &c. upon several Occasions, at London 1673, in 800, except Apologus de Rustico & Hero; and Ad Jeannem Rousum Oxoniensis

Academiæ Bibliothecarium, de libro poematum amisso, quem ille sibi denuo mitti postulabat, ut eum aliis nostris in Bibliotheca publica reponeret, Ode; dated Jan. 23, 1646. To the Edition of 1645 is prefix'd the Author's Picture, with the sollowing Greek Epigram under it written by himself:

'Αμαθει γεγράφθαι χειρὶ τήνδε μὲν εἰκόνα Φαίης τάχ' ἀν, πρός Είδος ἀυτοφυὲς βλέπων Τὸν δ' ἐκτυπωτὸν ἐκ ἐπιγνόντες φίλοι Γελάτε φαύλε δυσμίμημα ζωγράφε.

Upon the Death of his Father, his Wife's Relations returning to their feveral Habitations, "his House look'd again, fays Mr. Philips (u), like a House of " of the Muses only, tho' the accession of Scholars was not great. Possibly his " proceeding thus far in the Education of Youth may have been the Occasion " of fome of his Adversaries calling him Pedagogue and School-master; where-" as it is well known, he never fet up for a public School to teach all the young " Fry of a Parish; but only was willing to impart his Learning and Know-" ledge to Relations, and the Sons of Gentlemen, that were his intimate " Friends; and that neither his Converse, nor his Writings, nor his manner of " teaching ever favour'd in the leaft any thing of Pedantry. And probably he " might have some prospect of putting in practice his Academical Institution, " according to the Model laid down in his Sheet of Education. The Progress of which Defign was afterwards diverted by a Series of Alteration in the Af-" fairs of State. For I am much mistaken, if there was not about this time a " Defign of making him Adjutant-General in Sir William Weller's Army; but "the new modelling of the Army foon following (x), prov'd an Obstruction " to that Defign."

Soon after the March of Fairfax and Cromwell with the whole Army thro' the City, in order to suppress the Insurrection, which Brown and Massey were endeavouring to raise there against the Army's Proceedings, he left his great House in Barbican for a smaller in High-Holborn, which open'd backward into Lincoln's-Inn-Fields; where he profecuted his Studies, till after the King's Tryal and Death, when the Form of Government being now chang'd into a Commonwealth, and the Presbyterians declaring their Abhorrence of the King's Execution, and afferting, that his Person was sacred and inviolable, Milton publish'd, The Tenure of Kings and Magistrates; proving that it is lawfull, and bath been beld so through all Ages, for any who have the Power to call to Account a Tyrant or wicked King, and, after due Conviction, to depose and put him to death, if the ordinary Magistrate have neglected or denied to doe it; and that they, who of late so much blame deposing, are the Men that did it themselves. The Author J. M. Wood supposes (y), that this Piece was written before King Charles I's Death; but Milton himself assures us (z), that it was not publish'd till after it and even then, with a View rather to compose the Minds of the People, than to determine any thing with relation to that Prince: Liber ifte, fays he, non nisi post Mortem Regis prodiit, ad componendos potius hominum animos factus, quam ad statuendum de Carolo quicquam, quod non mei, sed Magistratuum intererat, & peractum jam tum erat. And I find by a MS. Note in a printed Copy of this Book, that it was publish'd in February 1648-9.

Not long after his he wrote his Observations on the Articles of Peace between James Earl of Ormond for King Charles the sirst, on the one hand, and the Irish Rebels and Papists on the other hand; and on a Letter sent by Ormond to Colonel Jones Governour of Dublin; and a Representation of the Scots Presby-

tery at Belfast in Ireland (a).

After this, he applied himself to his own private Studies, and had already finish'd four Books of his History of England, when he was taken into the service of the Commonwealth, and made Latin Secretary to the Council of State (b); who resolv'd, neither to write to others abroad, nor to receive any Answers, except in the Latin Tongue, which was common to them all (c).

(u) p. 27, 28. (x) This Model of the Army p. 93. Edit. 1654. Vol. II. p. 333, 334, of the pretook place about April .645. See Whitelocke's fent Edit. (a) This Representation is dated Memorials, p. 140, Edit. 1.0ndon 1732. February 15th, 1649. (b) Miltoni Defensio (y) Col. 264, 265. (c) Defensio fecunda, p. 94. Edit. 1654. (c) Philips, p. 30.

He had not long discharg'd the Business of his Office, when he was order'd to write an Answer to the Europe Brown, which had been publish'd immediately after King Charles I's Death under his Majesty's name. Milton's Answer was printed at London in 410, under the following title. ΕΙΚΟΝΟΚΛΑ ΣΤΗΣ, in Answer to a Book intitl'd "ΕΙΚΩΝ ΒΑΣΙΛΙΚΗ, The Portrature of his sacred Majesty in his Solitudes and Sufferings. The Author I. M. Publish'd by Authority. There is a French Translation of it printed at London in 12mo. under the following title: Ε'ΙΚΟΝΟΚΛΑ'ΣΤΗΣ, ou Reponse au Livre intitulé 'EIKΩN BAΣIAIKH': ou le Pourtraict de sa sacrée Majesté durant sa solitude & ses souffrances. Par le Sr. Jean Milton. Traduite de l'Anglois sur la feconde & plus ample Edition, & revûë par l'Auteur. A laquelle font ajoûtees diverses pieces mentionnees en la dite Reponse pour la plus grande Commodité du Letteur. A Londres par Guill, Du-Gard, Imprimeur du Confeil d' Etat, l'an 1652. It was answer'd in a Book printed in 1451, pagg. 267. in 4to. under the following title: ΈΙΚΩΝ ΑΚΛΑΣΤΟΣ: The Image unbroaken. A perspective of the Impudence, Falshood, Vanitie, and Prophannes, published in a Libell intitled, ΕΙΚΟΝΟΚΛΑΣΤΗΣ against ΕΙΚΩΝ ΒΑΣΙΛΙΚΗ, or the Portraitture of bis facred Majestie in his Solitudes and Sufferings. And upon the reprinting our Author's Book at Amsterdam 1690, in 8vo, there was publish'd at London 1692, in Svo, Vindiciae Carolinae: Or, a Defence of "Eman Busidian", the Portraicture of his facred Majesty in his Solitudes and Sufferings. In a Reply to a Book intituled, Encountagens, written by Mr. Milton, and lately reprinted at Amsterdam. Milton in his 'Europoula's ris, among other severe Reproaches upon the King, charges him with borrowing one of his Prayers out of Sir Philip Sidney's Areadia (d), and with being Author or Instigator of the Rebellion in Ireland, and giving the Irish a Commission under the Great Seal of Scotland to rife in Arms; who no sooner receiv'd such Command, but they obey'd, and begun the Messacre (e). But as the Discussion of these points would too much interrupt the Thread of our Author's Life, I shall reserve it for the Appendix to this Life.

In 1650, there was published at London in 410, pagg. 22. a piece, intitled, The Grand Case of Conscience concerning the Ingagement stated and resolved. Or, A strict Survey of the Solemn League and Covenant in reference to the present Engagement. Mr. Wood tells us (f), that Milton was thought to be the Author of it; but the style and manner of writing do not the least favour that supposition.

I come next to his most celebrated Work, his Propopulo Anglicano Defensio contra Claudii Anonymi alias Selmasti Defensionem Regiam: London 1651, in sol. It was written upon this Occasion. King Charles II. had engaged Claudius Salmasius to write a Defence of his Father, the lateKing, which Defence was printed in 1649, with this title; Defensio Regia pro Carolo I. ad Carolum II. Salmafius was at that time an honorary Professor at the University of Leyden, and eminent for his Plinianæ Exercitationes in Solinum and other Critical Writings, and is allow'd to have been a Man of the most extensive Learning of any in that Age, Grotius himfelf speaking of his consummatissima Eruditio (g); tho', as Herman Convingius observes (b), his Defensio Regia did not answer the Expectation conceived of it, and he was a ways remarkable for an Haughtiness of Temper and Virulency of Style. Mr. Toland speaks of him in very severe terms, where he observes (i), that this Author "being better vers'd in Writings of "Grammarians and Lexicographers (which fort of Men were his chief Admi-" rers) than in those of Legislators and Politicians, gave a true Demonstration, "that mere Scholars, when they meddle with any thing, that requires Rea-" foring or Thought, are but mere Asses; for being wholly occupied about frivolous Etymologies, or the bare found of Words, and living most of " their time excluded from Conversation, buried in Dust among Worms and " moldy Records, they have no exact Knowledge of things, and are perfect " strangers to all the useful Business of the World. Accordingly the Royal De-"fence was destitute of Eloquence or Art, being nothing else but a huge heap " of Rubbish, consisting of injudicious Quotations, very disorderly piec'd to-" gether, feldom making for his purpose, and when they seem'd to savour him, " quite spoil'd by his own impertinent Comments. But what is worse than all "the rest, he appear'd on this occasion such an absolute stranger and bungler " in his own province, as to open a large Field for Milton to divert himself

⁽¹⁾ E122 022 ásns, Sect. 1. (c) Ibid. Sect. rileg. Edit. Paris 1623. (k) De Regno An-12. (f) Col. 265. (g) Not. ad Stobæi Flo-glorum. (i) Life of Milton, p. 31.

" with his barbarous Phrases and Solecisms. Nor had he more Wit likewise " than to publish his Defence of Monarchy in Holland, at the same time that he " had a Penfion from that free State, and was actually entertain'd in their Service. For the dutch were then no good Friends to the English, being jealous " of their growing Power; yet they could not be pleas'd with any Writing op-" pos'd to the common Caufe of Liberty, and accordingly they blam'd Salma" fius, and order'd the Defence to be suppress'd." Claudius Sarravius, Counfellor in the Parliament of Paris, and an intimate Friend of Salmafius, in a Letter to him dated at Paris Feb. 18, 1650 (k), expresses his surprize, that he should write in the Preface to his Defensio with so much Zeal in desence of the Bishops of England, when he had in another Work of his de Presbyteris & Episcopis, printed at Leyden 1641, in Svo, under the fictitious name of Wallo Missfalinus, attack'd them with the utmost Acrimony; which he observes might expose him to the Imputation of a Time-server, who paid no regard to Truth itself. Hoc sand dicent esse τῷ καιρῷ δελεύειν potius quam τη αληθεία ωτίθεσθαι. And in another Letter (1), dated at Paris March 5, of the same Year, he reminds him of this Inconfidency, which would make his Sincerity question'd. De Necefsitate Etiscopatus Anglicani quod obiter diveras in Prafatione, uti jam monui, fortius adbue urges ipfo opere, contra dictata Wallonis Meffalini; quod tibi vitio ver tetur, diceturque te calidum & frigidum eodem en ore offlare, nec generostati tuz id convenire existimabitur. Salmasius having wrote an answer to Sarravius upon this point, the latter replied to him thus in a Letter dated March 12th, 1650. Te ergo babemus reum fatentem &c. i. e. " We have now your own Confession " of your Fault; for it is the fame thing to us, whether you adapt yourfelf to " the times or to the caule. But before this, it was faid, that you was a Man " of an inflexible Disposition, who like the God Terminus, would not give " way to Jove himfelf. Befides, I am of opinion, that even a King's Advocate " ought not, in his Mafter's cause, to speak in public differently from what he " fpeaks and thinks in private; as the Laws which we use in private Life, are not " at all different from those, upon which Decrees are made in Courts of Judica-"ture. But you wrote, you fay, by command. And was it possible for any "Commands to prevail on you to change your opinion? Your favourite Epic-" tetus tells us, that our Opinion is one of those things in our power, and so far in " our power, that nothing can take it away from us without our Consent." As foon as Salmafius's Book appear'd in England, the Council of State unanimously appointed Milton, who was prefent, to answer it (m). Mr. Bayle observes (n), that Milton's Defensio " made him talk'd of every where; that it shews him " to have been a Master of the Latin Tongue; that his style is slowing, " lively, and elegant; and that he has defended the Enemies to Monarchy with great skill and ingenuity; but that he hastreated the subject in too ludi-" crousa manner." It was burnt at *Paris*, not by order of the Parliament, but that of the Lieutenant Civil; and at *Toulouse* by the hands of the common Hangman (0); but this ferv'd to procure it more Readers; and it is certain, that it was read every where with the utmost Attention, as Mr. Ziegler assures us in the Preface to his Exercitationes ad Regicidium Anglorum. And the Author of the Apologia pro Rege & Populo Anglicano contra Johannis Polypragmatici (alias Miltoni Angli) Defensionem destructivam Regis & Populi Anglicani, complains, that it was with the utmost difficulty that one Edition of Salmasus's Book could be procur'd, while that of Milton was printed feveral times: Quod ornatissimus Salmassus ad tuendum jus & bonorem Caroli Britannia Monarchae, sceleratorum manibus interfecti prudenter scripscrat, und tantum impressione, id que magnà cum difficultate in lucemerupit, tanto odio hisee ultimis temporibus veritatem mundus persequitur. Sed quod scelestissimus Miltonus, ad lacerandam famam Regis defuntti, & subvertendum in subditos dominium bæreditarium, invidiose elaboravit, illius tot sunt Exemplaria, ut nescio cui Lectorem remitterem, sie mendaciorum & convitiorum amore flagrant Homines (p). Milton was likewife, on the first Appearance of this Book, visited or invited by all the Embassadors at London, not excepting even those from Princes; and was particularly efteem'd by Adrian Paaw, Embaffador from the United Provinces. He was highly complimented at the same time by

Vol. I.

(k) See Burman's Edition of Claudii Sarravii fecunda p. 95. Edit. 1654 (n) Hist. and Critical pistolæ ex Bibliotheca Gudiana auctiores, p. Dictionary, Article of MILTON. (1) Miltoni De-224 Edit. Utrecht 1697, in 4to. fensio secunda, p. 127. (p) Apologia pro Rege & (l) Ibid. p. 226. (m) Miltoni Defensio Populo Anglicano &c. In Monito ad Lectorem.

Epissolæ ex Bibliothecâ Gudianâ auctiores, p.

Letters from the most ingenious Persons in Germany and France (q); and Leonard Philaras, an Athenian born, and Embassador from the Duke of Parma to the King of France, wrote a fine Commendation of his Defence, and fent him his Picture, as appears from Milton's Letter to Philaras, dated at London in June 1652. He was rewarded with a thousand Pounds for this Performance (r).

Mr. Toland observes (s), "that some have blam'd Milton for his rough Usage of Salmafius; nor herein will I pretend wholly to excuse him. But when I confider how basely the whole English Nation was abused by Salmasius, as so many Barbarians and Enthusiasts, it goes a great way with me towards Mil-" ton's Justification; and if we add to this, that he speaks not in his own 66 Person, but as the Mouth of a potent State traduc'd by a pitiful Professor, "there be those in the World, that will positively commend him." Mr. Richardson likewise tells us (t), " that he will not wholly justify his Plea-" fantry and personal Reflections, all foreign to the Argument, and unworthy " the importance of the Subject, and Love of Truth. Something must how-" ever be allowed to the time and custom. The Ancients in their Wars were barbarous compar'd to the Moderns: at present War is a polite Amusement 66 to what it was an age or two ago. 'Tis much the fame in Controversy. If " Milton was in fault here, his Adversaries were no less so; I hope more; for "they loaded him with Lyes. After all, as Mr. Bayle observes on this occa-" casion, 'tis of use to get the Laughers on one's side: It is not the serious and the " reasonable, who are to determine, if the Majority are to be Judges."

This Work was translated into English by Mr. Washington, and printed in 1692, in 8vo. In 1652 Sir Robert Filmer publish'd some Remarks upon it in a Piece, printed at London in 4to, and intitled, Observations concerning the Originall of Government, upon Mr. Hobbes's Leviathan; Mr. Milton against Salmasius; H.

Grotius de Jure Belli.

Salmasius made a great Figure at this time in the Swedish Court, whither Queen Christina invited all the most eminent Men of Learning in Europe. But no sooner had Milton's Defence of the People of England reach'd Sweden, and was read to the Queen at her own defire, but Salmafius, who till then had been her chief Favourite, and who, when he first faw the Book, swore that he would destroy Milton and the whole Parliament, declin'd so much in her Esteem and the Opinion of others, that he thought it not proper to continue longer there, and was difmiss'd with extraordinary Coldness and Contempt (u). He died at Spa in Germany, Sept. 3d, 1652, leaving a posthumous Reply to Milton, which was publish'd at London in 1660, in 24to, under the following title; Claudii Salmafii ad Joannem Miltonum Responsio, Opus posthumum. The Dedication to King Charles II. by Salmasius's Son Claudius, is dated at Dijon Sept. 1, 1660. This Book is written with a prodigious severity of stile. He treats Milton as an ordinary School-master; Qui Ludimagister in Schola triviali Londinensi suit (x); and charges him with divorcing his Wife after a year's marriage, for reasons best known to himself, and defending the lawfulness of Divorce for any Causes whatsoever (y). He stiles him impura Bellua, quæ nihil hominis sibi reliqui secit præter lippientes oculos (z); and charges him with some false Quantities in his Latin Juvenile Poems (a); and throughout the whole Book gives him the titles of Bellua, fanaticus Latro, Homunculus, Lippulus, Cæculus, Homo perditissinus, Nebulo. impurus, scelestus audax & nefarius Alastor, infandus Impostor, &c. and declares that he would have him tortur'd with burning Pitch or fealding Oil till he expir'd: Pro cæteris autem tuis factis dictisque dignum dicam videri, qui pice ardenti, vel oleo fervente, perfundaris, usque dum Animam effles nocentem & carnifici jam pridem debitam (b).

In 1651 there was publish'd in 12mo, a Piece, intitled, Apologia pro Rege & Populo Anglicano contra Johannis Polypragmatici (alias Miltoni Angli) Defensionem destructivam Regis & Populi Anglicani. Mr. Philips tells us (c), that some supposed this Piece to be written by one Janus a Lawyer of Gray's-Inn; and others, by Dr. John Bramball, Bishop of Derry, made Archbishop of Armagh in Ireland after the Restoration. But Mr. Wood is of opinion (d), that there was no ground to imagine to have been the performance of that Prelate; as indeed it was very improbable,

(q) Miltoni Defensio secunda, p. 129, 130.

Edit. 1654. Vol. II. p. 341 of the present Edit.
(r) Toland, p. 32. (1) p. 31. (1) p. 79.
(u) Miltoni Desensio secunda, p. 11, 12.

(x) Salmasii Responsio, p. 3. (y) Ibid. (z) Ibid. p. 4. (a) Ibid. p. 5. (b) Ibid. p. 11. (c) p. 32. (d) Athen. Oxon Vol. II:

that a Piece written in so barbarous a Latin Stile, and so full of Solecisms, could come from the hands of a Man of such distinguish'd Abilities and Learning. But whoever the Author was, fays Mr. Philips (e), the Book was thought sit to be taken into Correction; and our Author not thinking it worth his own undertaking, to the disturbing the progress of whatever more chosen Work he had then in his hands, committed this Task to the youngest of his Nese phews, but with such exact Emendations before it went to the Press, that it might very well have pass'd for his, but that he was willing the Person, that took the pains to prepare it for his Examination and Polishment, should have the Name and Credit of being the Author. It was printed at London in 1652, under this title; Joannis Philippi Angli Responsio ad Apologiam Anonymi cujusdam Tenebrionis pro Rege & Populo Anglicano infantissimam. In this Book Mr. John Philips every where treats Dr. Bramball with great Severity, as the Author of the Apology.

During the writing and publishing of this Book, Milton lodg'd at one Thomson's next Door to the Bull-Head Tavern at Charing-Cross, opening into the Spring-Garden; which appears to have been only a Lodging taken, till his design'd Apartment in Scotland-yard was prepared for him; for hither he soon remov'd, and here his third Child, a Son, was born, which, thro' the ill usage or bad Constitution of the Nurse, died an Insant. From this Apartment, whether he thought it not healthy, or otherwise inconvenient for his use, he soon remov'd to a Garden-House in Petty France in Westminster, next Door to the Lord Scudamore's, and opening into St. James's Park; where he remain'd eight Years, viz. from the Year 1652 till within a sew Weeks of the Restoration. In this House his sirst Wise dying in Childbed (f), he married a second, Catherine, the Daughter of Captain Woodcock of Hackney, who within a Year died also in Childbed, and was about a Month after follow'd by her Child, which was a Girl (g). Upon the Death of this Wise he wrote the following beautiful Sonnet:

Methought I saw my late espoysed Saint
Brought to me like Alcestis from the Grave,
Whom Jove's great Son to her glad Husband gave,
Rescued from Death by sorce, though pale and sain.,
Mine, as whom washt from spot of child-bed taint,
Purification in the old Law did save,
And such, as yet once more I trust to have
Full sight of her in Heaven without restraint,
Came vested all in white, pure as her Mind:
Her Face was veil'd, yet to my fancied Sight,
Love, Sweetness, Goodness in her Person shin'd
So clear, as in no Face with more Delight.
But O! as to embrace me she inclin'd,
I wak'd, she sled, and Day brought back my Night.

This fecond Marriage was about two or three Years after his being wholly depriv'd of his Sight; for by reason of his continual Studies and the Head-ach, to which he was subject from his Youth, and his perpetual tampering with Physic, his Eyes had been decaying for twelve Years before, and the Sight of one for a long time intirely lost (b). In his Defenso secunda (i) he tells us himself, that when he was injoin'd by public Authority to write his Desence of the People of England against Selmasius, he was in an ill State of Health, and the Sight of one Eye was almost lost already, the Physicians declaring, that he would lose the other, if he should attempt that Work. In a Letter of his to Leonard Philaras, Envoy from the Duke of Parma to the King of France, dated at Westminster Sept. 28, 1654, he gives a particular Account of the manner, in which he lost his Sight; which we shall give an Extract of in Mr. Richardson's Translation (k). "Since you advised me not to sling away all hopes of recovering my Sight, for that you have a Friend at Paris, Thevenot, the Physician, particularly samous for the Eyes, whom you offer to consult in my behalf, if you receive from me an Account, by which he may judge of the "Cause"

(e) p. 32. (f) Philips, p. 33. (g) Id. p. Edit. 1654. Vol. II. p. 324 of the present Edit. 33, and 41. (b) Id. p. 33, 34. (i) p. 47 (k) Life of Milton, p. 76, 77, 78.

" Cause and Symptoms of my Disease; I will do what you advise me to, that " I may not feem to refuse any Assistance, that is offered, perhaps from God. "I think 'tis about ten Years, more or lefs, fince I began to perceive, that my " Eye-fight grew weak and dim; and at the same time my Spleen and Bowels " to be oppress'd and troubled with Flatus; and in the Morning, when I he-" gan to read, according to my Custom, my Eyes grew painful immediately, " and to refuse reading, but were refresh'd after a moderate Exercise of the " Body. A certain Iris began to furround the Light of the Candle, if I looked at it; foon after which, on the left part of the left Eye (for that was some Years fooner clouded) a Mist arose, which hid every thing on that side; and looking forward, if I shut my right Eye, Objects appeared smaller. My " other Eye alfo, for these last three Years, failing by degrees, some months " before all Sight was abolish'd, things, which I look'd upon, seem'd to swim " to the right and left. Certain inveterate Vapours feem to possess my Fore-" head and Temples, which, after Meat especially, quite to Evening generally Nor would I omit, " urge and depress my Eyes with a sleepy Heaviness. " that whilft there was as yet fome Remainder of Sight, I no fooner lay down " in my bed, and turn'd on my fide, but a copious Light dazzled out of my " fhut Eyes; and as my Sight diminish'd, every day Colours gradually more " obscure flash'd out with vehemence; but now that the Lucid is in a manner "wholly extinct, a direct Blackness, or else spotted, and, as it were, woven with "Afh-colour, is us'd to pour itself in. Nevertheless the constant and settled Darkness, that is before me, as well by Night as by Day, feems nearer to the " whitish than the blackish; and the Eye rolling itself a little, seems to admit "I know not what little fmallness of Light as thro' a Chink." But what he thought of his Blindness, and how he bore it, may be seen by

his Sonnet to his Friend Cyriac Skinner, which is as follows:

Cyriac, this three years day, these Eyes, the clear To outward View of Blemish or of Stot, Bereft of Sight, their feeing have forgot; Nor to their idle Orbs doth Day appear, Or Sin, or Moon, or Star, throughout the Year, Or Man or Woman. Tet I argue not Against Heaven's Hand or Will, nor bate one Jot Of Heart or Hope, but still bear up, and steer Right onward. What supports me, dost thou ask? The Conscience, Friend, t'have lost them overply'd In Liberty's Defence, my noble Task, Whereof all Europe rings from side to side. This Thought might lead me thro' this world's vain Mask, Content, the blind, had I no other Guide.

In 1652 there had been publish'd at the Hague in 4to, a Book intitled, RegiiSanguinis Clamor adversus Parricidas Anglicanos. In this Book a great many fcandalous Imputations were cast upon Milton, who is treated with prodigious Scurrility, and among other Epithets is stiled, Tartareus Furciser, teterrimus Carnisex, Hominis monstrum, &c. and at the end is a Satire in Iambic Verse in impurissimum Nebulonem Joannem Miltonum, Parricidarum & Parricidii Advocatum. The Book is dedicated to King Charles II. (whose Picture is prefix'd to it) by Adrian Ulac, the Printer, who calls Milton, Monstrum horrendum, informe, ingens, cui lumen ademptum, & Generis humani Dehonestamentum. true Author of the Book was Peter du Moulin the younger, afterwards Prebendary of Canterbury, as he owns himself in the Edition of his Latin Poems (1), printed at Cambrigde 1670 in 8vo; where he tells us, that he had fent his Papers to Salmasius, who committed them to the Care of Alexander Morus, a French Minister, and this latter publish'd them, with a Dedication to King Charles II. written in the Name of the Printer. This Morus was Son of a Scotsman, who was Principal of the Protestant College at Castres in France, and was a Man of a very haughty Disposition, his Contempt of his Collegues making him odious and uneafy wherever he liv'd; and was generally thought to be a Person of immoderate Inclination for Women. He was extoll'd as an admirable Preacher; but his chief Talent must have consisted in the Gracefulness of his Pronunciation and Gesture, and in those quaint Turns, Allusions, and Puns, of which his Sermons were full; for it is certain, that they do not now retain those Charms in print, which they were said to have had formerly in the Pu'pit. He being suspected to be the Author of the Book abovemention'd, Milton by public Command publish'd a second Desence of the People of England at London, 1654, in 8vo, under this title: Joannis Miltoni Angli pro Populo Anglicano Desensio secunda. Contra infamem Libellum anonymum, cui titulus, Regii Sanguinis Clamor ad Cælum adversus Parricidas Anglicanos. In this Book he considers Morus as the Author of the Regii Sanguinis Clamor, and accuses him of having behav'd in a very profligate and debauch'd Manner at Geneva and other Places, and inserts a Distich made upon the Report of his having gotten Salmastus's Maid with child, which had been before printed in the News-papers at London (m), and which is as follows:

Galli ex concubitu gravidam te, Pontia, Mori, Quis benè moratam morigeramque neget?

And Morus having threatned him with a fecond Edition of Salmafius's Defence of the King, inlarg'd with Animadversions on his Defence of the People, he introduces the following Epigram:

Gaudete, Scombri, & quicquid est piscium Salo, Qui frigida Hyeme incolitis algentes freta, Vestrum misertus ille Salmasius Eques Bonus amicire nuditatem cogitat, Chartaque largus apparat papyrinos Vobis Cucullos praeferentes Claudii Insignia, nomenque, & decus Salmasii; Gestetis ut per omne cetarium sorum Equitis Clientes, scriniis mungentium Cubito virorum, & capsulis gratissimos.

Morus publish'd, in answer to this Book of Milton, a Piece intitled, Alexandri Mori, Ecclesiasta & sacrarum Literarum Prosessoris, Fides Publica, contra Calumnias Joannis Miltoni: Hague 1654, in 12mo: in which he inserted a great many Testimonies of his Orthodoxy and Morals, sign'd by the Consistories, Academies, Synods, and Magistrates of the Places where he had liv'd. This occasion'd Milton to reply in his Defensio pro se contra Alexandrum Morum Ecclesiastem, Libelli famosi, cui titulus, Regii fanguinis Clamor, &c. Authorem reste distum. London 1655, in 8vo. Peter Du Moulin in the passage abovequoted, tells us, that Morus being uneasy at the severe attack upon his Character by Milton in his Defensio secunda, begun to grow cool in the Royal Cause; and in his Answer appeal'd to two Gentlemen of great Credit with the Parliament-Party, who knew the real Author of the Regii Sanguinis Clamor. This expos'd Du Moulin to great Danger, he being then in England; but he informs us, that Milton being unwilling to own himself guilty of a mistake in his charge upon Morus, perfifted in his Accufation; fo that the Parliament-Party let the true Author escape with impunity, lest they should publicly contradict the Patron of their Cause. At Morus, tanta invidia impar, in Regiâ Causa frigere capit, & Clamoris Authorem Miltono indicavit. Enimvero in sua ad Miltoni Maledista responsione, duos a bibuit testes præcipuæ apud perduellos Fidei, qui Authorem probè nossent, & rogati possent revelare. Unde sanè mihi & capiti meo certissmum impendebat exitium. At magnus ille Justitiæ vindex, cui & banc operam & hoc caput libens devoveram, per Miltoni superbiam salutem meam asseruit, ut ejus sapientia solenne est ex malis bona, ex tenebris lucem elicere. Miltonus enim, qui plenis caninæ Eloquentiæ velis in Morum investus suerat, quique id sermè unieum Desensionis secundæ suæ secerat argumentum, ut Mori vitam atque samam laceraret, adduci nunquam potuit, ut se tam crasse hallucinatum esse sateretur. Scilicet metuens ne Cæcitati ejus populus illuderet, eumque compararent Grammaticorum pueri Catulo illi cæco apud Juvenalem, qui piscem Domitiano donatum laudaturus,

An Account of the Life and Writings

plurima dixit In lævum converfus, at illi dextra jacebat Bellua.

Perseverante igitur Miltono totum illu i periculcsi in Regem amoris erimen Moro impingere, non peterant eateri perduelles sine magna boni patroni sui injurid alium à Moro tanti eriminis reum peragere. Cumque Miltonus me salvum esse mallet quam seridiculum, hoe opera mea praminm tuli, ut Miltonum, quem inclementius ac-

ceperam, haberem patronum, & capitis mei sedulum ध्याद्रवाहरी.

Milton being now at ease from State-Adversaries and public Contests, had leisure again to prosecute his own Studies, and private Designs; particularly his History of Britain, and his new Thesaurus Linguae Latinae, according to the Method of Robert Stephens; "a Work, says Mr. Philips (n), he had been long "fince collecting from his own Reading, and still went on with at times even "very near to his dying day. But the Papers after his Death were so discoming of and deficient, that they could not be made sit for the Press." These Papers consisting of three large Volumes in solio, and containing a Collection out of all the best and purest Roman Authors, were made use of by the Editors of the Cambridge Distinary printed in 1693 in 4tc, with the title of Linguae Remana Distinarium luculentum novum (o). But the grand Design, to which he now began to apply himself, was his Paradise Lost 'p)

We have a Letter of his to Emeric Bigot, a learned French Writer, dated at Westminster March 24th, 1656, in which "he thanks that Gentleman for "the Honour of his Visit, when in England, and the Letter which he had received from him; and takes notice, that he bore his Blindness with the greater er patience, as he was in hopes, that this Missortune would add new Vigour to his Genius; and was far from being averse to his Studies, which had occidented his Loss of Sight, being animated by the Example of Telephus King of the Mysicus, who readily consented to be heal'd by the Weapon from which he had received his wound." Orbitatem certic Luminis quidni leniter foram, qued non tam amissum quam revocatum intus arque retrassum, ad acuendam potius mentis Acien quam ad beletandam sperem? Quo sit, ut neque Literis irosear, nec carum studia penitus intermittam, etiamsi me tam male multaverint; tam enim moressus ne sim, Mysorum Regis Telephi saltem Exemplum erudiit, qui eo telo, quo vulneratus suit, sanari posea non recusavit.

In 1655 there was publish'd at London in 4to, page 42, Seriptum Dom. Protectoris Reipublicæ Angliæ, Seotiæ, Hiberniæ, &c. ex Consensu atque sententia Concilii sui editum; in quo bujus Reisublicæ Causa contra Hispanos justa esse demonstratur. Londini excudebant Henricus Hills & Johannes Field, Impressors Dom. Protectoris, 1655. This piece, from the peculiar Elegance of the Stile, appears to have been drawn up in Latin by our Author, whose Province it was, as Secretary to Cromwell in that Language; and is reprinted in the present Edition.

In 1658 he published at London in 8vo, a Piece of Sir Walter Raleigh's under the following Title: The Cabinet-Council, containing the ekief Arts of Empire, and Mysicries of State; discabineted in Political & Polemical Aphorisms, grounded on Authority and Experience, and illustrated with the choicest Examples and Historical Observations. By the ever renown'd Knight Sir Walter Raleigh. Published by John Milton Esq. To this our Author prefix'd the following Advertisement to the Reader. "Having had the Manuscript of this Treatise, written by Sir "Walter Raleigh, many years in my hands, and finding it lately by chance a-"mong other Books and Papers; upon reading thereof, I thought it a Kinde of injury to withhold longer the work of so eminent an Author from the publick, it being answerable in stile to other Works of his already extant, as far as the Subject would permit; and given me for a true Copy by a learned Man at his Death, who had collected several such pieces."

In 1659 he published at London in 12mo, A Treatise of the Civil Power in Ecclesiastical Causes; and another Tract, intitled, Considerations touching the likeliest Means to remove Hirelings out of the Church. Wherein is also discoursed of Tithes, Church-sees, Church-Revenues; and whether any Maintenance of Ministers can be settled by Law. The Author J. M. London 1659, in 12mo.

Upon the Dissolution of the Parliament by the Army, after Richard Cromwell had been oblig'd to refign the Protectorship, Milton wrote a Letter, in

(1) f. 34. (0) See the Preface, p. 4. of Mr. compendiarius, Edit. London 1736, in 410.
Robert Ainfrorth's Thefawus Lingua Latina (p) Philips, p. 34.

which he lays down the Model of a Commonwealth; not fuch as he thought the best, but what might be readiest settled at that rime to prevent the Restoration of Kingly Government and domestic Diforders, till a more favourable Seafon, or better Dispositions for erecting a perfect Democracy. This and another small Piece to the same purpose, which seems to be address'd to General Monk, were communicated to Mr. Toland by a Gentleman, who, a little after Milton's Death, had them from his Nephew; and Mr. Tolend gave them to be publish'd in the Edition of our Author's Works in 1698, in Fol. (q).

Milton publish'd his Ready and casy Way to establish a free Commonwealth; and the Excellence thereof compar'd with the Inconveniences and Dangers of re-admitting Kingship in the Nation, at London 1659, in 410. Mr. Wood tells us (r), that this was publish'd in February 1659-60. It was answer'd by G, S, in his Dignity of Kingship; and foon after attack'd in a burlesque Pamphlet pretended to be written by Mr. James Harrington's Republican Club, and printed under the title of The Censure of the Rota upon Mr. Milton's Book, entituled, The Ready and easie way to establish a Free-Commonwealth. London printed by Paul Giddy, Printer to the Rota, at the Sign of the Windmill in Turn-againe-Lane, 1660. Pagg. 16. In the Title-page is the following Order.

" Die Lune 26, Martii, 1600.

" Ordered by the Rota, that Mr. Harrington be defired to draw up a Nar-" rative of this Daye's Proceeding upon Mr. Milton's Book, called, The Rease " and Easte Way, &c. and to cause the same to be forthwith printed and pub-" lifted, and a Copy thereof to be fent to Mr. Milton.

"Trundle Wheeler, Clerk to the Rota."

Soon after this, our Author publish'd his Brief Notes npon a late Sermon, intitled, The Fear of God and the King, &c. London 1660, in 4to. This Sermon, was preach'd by Dr. Matthew Griffith at Mercer's Chapel, March 25th, 1660, on Prov. xxiv. 21. and printed at London 1660, in 410. Sir Roger Leftrange publish'd in answer to Milton's Notes on this Sermon, a Piece, intitled, No blind

Guides, &c. printed in his Apology, London 1660, in 4to.

Just before the Restoration he was remov'd from his Office of Latin Secretary, and oblig'd to leave his House in Petty France, where for eight Years before he had been vifited by all Foreigners of Note and feveral Persons of Quality; and by the Advice of his Friends absconded, till such time as the Event of public Affairs should direct him what course to take. For this purpose he retir'd to a Friend's House in Bartholomew-Close near West Smithsteld, till the Act of Oblivion came forth; " which, fays Mr. Philips (s), prov'd as favourable to him " as could be hoped or expected, thro' the intercession of some, that stood his Friends both in Council and Parliament: particularly in the House of " Commons, Mr. Andrew Marvel, a Member for Hull, acted vigorously in " his behalf, and made a confiderable party for him; fo that, together with " John Goodwin of Coleman-fireet, he was only to far excepted, as not to bear any Office in the Commonwealth." But we have the most accurate Account of this Affair in Mr. Richardson's Life of our Author (t), whose Words we shall transcribe. "That Milton escap'd, is well known, but not how. By the "Account we have, it was by the Act of Indemnity; only incapacited for any public Employment. This is a notorious Mittake, tho' Toland, the Bishop of Sarum, Fenton, &c. have gone into it, confounding him with "Goodwin. Their Cases were very different, as I found upon Enquiry. Not " to take a matter of this importance upon trust, I had first recourse to the Milton is not among the Excepted. If he was fo condi-" Act itself. "tionally pardoned, it must then be by a particular Instrument. " could not be after he had been purified intirely by the general Indemnity; " nor was it likely the King, who had declar'd from Breda, he would pardon " all but whom the Parliament should judge unworthy of it, and had thus " lodg'd the matter with them, should, before they come to a Determination, " bestow a private Act of Indulgence, and to one so notorious as Milton. 'Tis " true, Rapin fays, several principal Republicans applied for Mercy, whilst the Act " was yet depending, but quotes no Authority; and upon fearch, no fuch Pardon " appears on Record, thô many are two or three Years after, but then they are

(9) Toland, p. 37. (1) Col. 266. (s) p. 37. (t) p. 86, & seq. " without Restrictions. Some People were willing to have a Particular as well " as the General Pardon. But whatever was the Cafe of others, there is a Rea-" fon besides what has been already noted, to believe no such Favour would now " be shewn to Milton. The House of Commons (16th June 1660) vote the King " be mov'd to call in Milton's two Books, and that of John Goodwin, written in " justification of the Murder of the King, in order to be burnt; and that the Attor-" ney-General do proceed against them by Indictment or otherwise. June 27th, " an Order of Council reciting that Vote of the 16th, and that the Persons were " not to be found, directs a Proclamation for calling in Milton's two Books, " which are here explained to be that against Salmasius (the Defence) and his " Answer to Eikon Basilike; as also Goodwin's Book. And a Proclamation was iffued accordingly, and another to the fame purpose 13th August. As " for Goodwin, he narrowly escap'd with Life, but he was voted to be ex-" cepted out of the Act of Indemnity among the twenty defign'd to have "Penalties inflicted short of Death. And August the 27th, those Books of " Milton and Goodwin were burnt by the Hangman. The Act of Oblivion was pass'd the 29th, [Kennet's Register]. 'Tis seen by this Account, that Milton's Person and Goodwin's are separated, tho' their Books are blended " together. As the King's Intention appear'd to be to pardon all but actual "Regicides, as Bishop Burnet says (u); 'tis odd he should say in the same " Breath, almost all People were surpriz'd that Goodwin and Milton escap'd " all Censure, (neither is that true, as has been seen). Why should it be so strange, they being not concern'd in the King's Blood? That he was forgot, as "Toland fays some People imagin'd, was very unlikely. However it is certain, 66 by what has been shewn from Bishop Kennet, he was not. That he should be "diftinguish'd from Goodwin with advantage, will justly appear strange, for " his vaft Merit as an honest Man, a great Scholar, and a most excellent Wri-" ter, and his Fame on that account, will hardly be thought the Caufes, espe-"cially when 'tis remember'd Paradife Lot was not yet produc'd, and the "Writings, on which his vast Reputation stood, were now accounted Cri-" minal, every one of them; and those most, which were the main Pillars " of his Fame. Goodwin was an inconfiderable Offender compai'd with him. "Some secret Cause must be recurr'd to in accounting for this Indulgence. I have " heard that Secretary Morrice and Sir Thomas Clarges were his Friends, and "manag'd Matters artfully in his favour. Doubtless they or somebody else "did, and they very probably, as being very powerful Friends at that time. "But still how came they to put their Interest on such a stretch in favour of a " Man fo notoriously obnoxious? Perplex'd and inquisitive as I was, I at length " found the Secret. 'Twas Sir William Davenant obtain'd his Remission in re-"turn for his own Life procur'd by Milton's Interest, when himself was under " Condemnation, Anno 1650. A Life was owing to Milton, (Davenant's,) and "twas paid nobly: Milton's for Davenant's at Davenant's Intercession. " Management of the Affair in the House of Commons, whether by fignify-" ing the King's Desire, or otherwise, was perhaps by those Gentlemen nam'd." This Account Mr. Richardson had from Mr. Pope, who was inform'd of it by Mr. Thomas Betterton, the celebrated Actor, who was first brought upon the Stage by Sir William Davenant.

I cannot discover upon what account Milton was in custody of the Serjeant at Arms of the House of Commons in December following, as he appears to have been from the following Minutes in the Books of that House, for a Copy of

which I am oblig'd to Mr. Richardson.

" Saturday, 15th Dec. 1660.

"Ordered, That Mr. Milton, now in Custody of the Serjeant at Arms attending this House, be forthwith released, paying his Fees.

" Monday, 17th Dec.

"A Complaint made, that the Serjeant at Arms had demanded excessive "Fees for the Imprisonment of Mr. Milton.

"Ordered, That it be referr'd to the Committee for Privileges to examine this Business, and to call Mr. Milton and the Serjeant before them, and to determine what is fit to be given the Serjeant for his Fees in this Case."

We have no Account, when he was taken into Custody. Guy Patin indeed in a Letter dated July 13th, 1660 (1) writes, that he had just been told by Monf. de la Mothe le Vayer, that "Milton's Book against the late King of Eng-" land was burnt by the hands of the common Hangman; that Milton was in "Custody; that he would probably be hang'd; that Milton wrote that Book only in English, and that a Person, nam'd, Peter du Moulin, Son of Peter du " Moulin of Sedan, who had translated it into elegant Latin, was in danger of "his Life." There is one very gross mistake here, since du Moulin was a zealous Royalist, and Author of Regii Sanguinis Clamor ad Calum, as I have observ'dabove. However Mons. Demissy in a Letter of his printed in the Biblic. theque Britannique, Tom. IX. Part 2. Art. 1. p. 234, observes, that this Letter of Patin may ferve to give us some Light into the time, when Milton was taken into Custody. As this Letter is dated July 13th, and mentions the News as just then receiv'd; it is possible, that it might reach Paris from London in four or five days, being dated the eighth or ninth of July, new stile, and the twentyeighth or twenty-ninth of June, old stile. Milton might have been taken one of those days. The Order of Council, which shews that he was not to be found, is dated the twenty-feventh, and would not ftop the fearch after him. "I own, 44 fays Monsieur Demissy, that Patin adds another piece of News, which was not " exactly true, viz. that Milton's Book against the late King of England had been " burnt by the hands of the common Hangman. Milton's Book, or rather Books, "were not burnt till Aug. 27th. But one may eafily conceive how fuch a piece " of News, which was at the bottom true, might be anticipated on account of "the Order of Council for burning the condemn'd Books; but it cannot be fo eafily conceiv'd in my Opinion, that a Correspondent in *England*, who appears to have known of this Order, in which Milton is faid not to be found, " should positively say, Milton is in Custody, unless he was seiz'd after the pub-" lication of the Order."

Milton being fecur'd by his Pardon, appear'd again in public, and remov'd to fewen-Street near Aldersgate-Street, where he married his third Wise, Elizabeth the Daughter of Mr. Minshul of Cheshire, recommended to him by his Friend Dr. Paget of Coleman-Street, to whom she was related; but he had no Children by her (y). She died at Nantwich in Cheshire a few Years ago.

Soon after the Restauration he is said to have been offer'd the place of Latin

Secretary to the King, which he refus'd. Mr. Richardson, who relates this Story, expresses himself in these terms (z): "My Authority is Henry Bendish Esq;" a Descendant by his Mother's side from the Protector Oliver Cromwell. Their Family and Milton's were in great Intimacy before and after his Death; and the thing was known among them. Mr. Bendish has heard the Widow or Daughter (of Milton) or both say it, that soon after the Restauration, the King offer'd to employ this pardon'd Man, as Latin Secretary; the Post in which he serv'd Cromwell with so much Integrity and Ability. (That a like offer was made to Thurloe, is not disputed, as ever I heard.) Milton withstood the Offer, the Wife press'd his Compliance. Thou art in the right, says he; you, as other Women, would ride in your Coach: for me, my Aim is to live and die an honest Man."

In 1661 he publish'd his Accedence commenc'd Grammar, at London in 8vo, and a Tract of Sir Walter Ralegh, printed there in 8vo, and intitled, Aphorisms of State. It appears, that Milton liv'd in Jewen-Street in 1662, from a passage in the Life of Thomas Ellwood, an eminent Quaker, who tells us (a), "that our Author having fill'd a public Station in the former times, liv'd now a private and retired Life in London, and having wholly lost his Sight, kept always a Man to read to him, which was usually the Son of some Gentleman of his Acquaintance, whom in Kindness he took to improve in his Learning." Mr. Ellwood was recommended to him by Dr. Paget, and went every Day in the Asternoon, except Sunday (b), and read to him such Books in the Latin Tongue as Milton thought proper (c). "At my first sitting to read to him, says Mr. Ellwood (d), observing that I us'd the English Pronunciation, he told me. "if I would have the Benesit of the Latin Tongue, not only to read and un-

⁽x) Letters Choisies de seu Mr Guy Patin, Vol. II. Lettr. 187. Edit. de Cologne 1691. (y) Philips, p. 38, 41. (a) Liste of Milton, p. 100.

⁽a) History of the Life of Thomas Ellacional written by his own Hand, p. 154. 2d. Edit London 1714. in Svo (b) Ibid. p. 156 (c) Ibid. p. 154.

An Account of the Life and Writings

"derstand Latin Authors, but to converse with Foreigners either abroad or at " home, I must learn the Foreign Pronunciation. To this I consenting, he " instructed me how to found the Vowels, so different from the common Pro-" nunciation used by the English, who speak Anglice their Latin, that (with " fome few other Variations in founding fome Confonants in particular Cases, " as c before e and i like ch, fc before i like fh, &c.) The Latin thus fpoken " feemed as different from that which was delivered as the English generally " fpeak it, as if it were another Language.... This Change of Pronunciation prov'd a new Difficulty to me. It was now harder to me to read, than it "was before to understand when read. But

Improbus;

" and fo did I; which made my Reading the more acceptable to my Master. "He, on the other hand, perceiving with what earnest Desire I pursued "Learning, gave me not only all the Encouragement, but all the Help he could. For having a curious Ear, he understood by my tone, when I un-"derstood what I read, and when I did not; and accordingly would stop me,

" examine me, and open the most difficult Passages to me."

It was not long after Milton's third Marriage, that he removed to an House in the Artillery-Walk leading to Bunbill-Fields; and this, fays Mr. Philips (f), was his lest Stage in this World; but it was of many Years continuance; more perbaps than be had bad in any other Place besides. And Mr. Richardson informs us (g), that " he used to sit in a grey coarse Cloth Coat at the Door of this House, in warm funny Weather, to enjoy the fresh Air; and so, as well as in his "Room, receiv'd the Visits of People of distinguish'd Parts, as well as Quality. " And very lately I had the good Fortune, continues Mr. Richardton, to have " another Picture of him from an ancient Clergyman in Dorfetsbire, Dr. Wright. "He found him in a small House; he thinks but one Room on a Floor. " In that, up one pair of Stairs, which was hung with a rufty Green, he found " John Milton fitting in an Elbow Chair; black Clothes, and neat enough; " pale, but not cadaverous; his Handsand Fingers gouty, and with Chalk-stones. "Among other Discourse he express'd himsest to this purpose, that was he free " from the Pain this gave him, his Blindness would be tolerable."

When the Plague began to encrease in London in 1665, Mr. Ellwood took a finall House for Milton and his Family at St. Giles Chalfont, in Buckinghamshire; and after the Sickness was over, and the City well cleansed and become safely habitable again, Milton return'd to London (h).

I have in my hands a Sonnet faid to be written by Milton upon occasion of the Plague, and to have been lately found on a Glass-Window at Chalfont. It is as follows:

> " Fair Mirrour of foul Times! whose fragile Sheene " Shall as it blazeth, break; while Providence '' (Aye watching o'er his Saints with Eye unseen,) " Spreads the red Rod of angry Pestilence, " To sweep the wicked and their Counsels bence;

" Yea all to treak the Pride of lustful Kings, " Who Heaven's Lore reject for brutish Sense;

" As erst he scourg'd Jeffides' Sin of yore

" For the fair Hittite, when on Serath's Wings " He sent him War, or Plague, or Famine jore."

But the obvious Mistake in this Sonnet, in representing the Pestilence as a Judgment upon David for his Adultery with Backfloota, whereas it was on account of his numbring the People, renders it juilly suspected not to be our Author's, who was too converfant in Scripture to commit fuch an Error. For this and fome other Reasons, which I might mention, I consider it only as a very happy Imitation of Milton's Style and Manner. However I am inform'd by Mr. George Vertue, that he has feen a fatirical Medal upon King Charles II. struck abroad, without any Inscription, the Device of which corresponds extremely with the Sentiment in this Sonnet. On one fide is represented the King, drest in the most magnificent Manner; and on the Reverse, his Subjects perithing by a raging Pestilence sent from Heaven.

His

His Paradise Lost was now finish'd, for when Mr. Ellwood visited him at St. Giles Chalfont, Milton lent him the Manuscript of it, in order that he might read it over, and give him his Judgment of it. When Mr. Ellwood return'd it, Milton ask'd him how he lik'd it, and what he thought of it; "which I modestly but freely told him, says Mr. Ellwood (i); and after some further Discourse about it, I pleasantly said to him, Thou hast said much of Paradise Lost; but what bast thou to say of Paradise Found? He made me no Answer, but sate some time in a Muse; then broke off that Discourse, and fell upon another Subject." When Mr. Ellwood afterwards waited upon him in London, Milton shew'd him his Paradise Regain'd, and in a pleasant tone said to him, This is owing to you; for you put it into my Head by the Question you put to me at Chalsont; which before I had not thought of. Mr. Philips observes (k), that the Subject of Milton's Paradise Lost was first design'd for a Tragedy; "and in the fourth Book of the Poem, says he, there are ten Verses, which, several Years before the Poem was begun, were shewn to me and some others, as design'd for the very Be"ginning of the said Tragedy." The Verses were these;

O then! that, with surpassing glory crown'd, Look'st from thy sole dominion like the God Of this new World; at whose sight all the Stars Hide their diminish'd Heads; to thee I call, But with no friendly Voice, and add thy name, O Sun! to tell thee, how I hate thy Beams, That bring to my Remembrance from what State I fell; how glorious once above thy Sphere; 'Till Pride, and worse Ambition, threw me down, Warring in Heav'n against heav'n's matchless King.

There are several Plans of *Paradise Lost* in the form of a Tragedy in our Author's own hand-writing in the Manuscript in *Trinity*-College Library, which contains likewise a great Variety of other Subjects for Tragedies, and is as follows:

" The Persons. " The Persons. « Moses " Miehael " Divine Justice, Mercie, Wisdom, Hea. " Chorus of Angels "Heavenly Love Lucifer " venly Love " The Evening Starre Hesperus " Adam with the Serpent. " Chorus of Angels " Lucifer " Adam " Conscience " Eve " Death · Labour " Conscience « Sicknesse " Labour " Discontent " Sicknesse "Discontent Mutes " Ignorance with others " Ignorance " Feare " Faith " Hope " Death " Charity, " Faith " Hope " Charity.

PARADISE LOST.

The Persons.

" Moses προλογίζει, recounting how he affum'd his true Bodie; that it cor"rupts not, because 'tis with God in the Mount; declares the like of Enoch
"and Eliah; besides the Purity of the Place, that certaine pure Winds, Dues,
and Clouds præserve it from Corruption; whence exhorts to the Sight of
God; tells they cannot se Adam in the State of Innocence by reason of thire
sin.

"Justice"

```
" Justice debating what should become of Man, if he fall.
  " Wisdome )
  " Chorus of Angels finging a Hymne of the Creation.
                             " Act II.
  Heavenly Love
  " Evening Starre.
  " Chorus fing the Mariage Song, and describe Paradice.
                             " Act III.
  " Lucifer contriving Adam's ruine.
  " Chorus feares for Adam, and relates Lucifer's Rebellion and Fall.
                             " Act IV.
  Adam fallen
  " Conscience cites them to God's Examination.
  " Chorus bewailes, and tells the Good Adam hath loft.
                              " Act V.
  " Adam and Eve driven out of Paradice:
        " Præfented by an Angel with
  " Labour, Griefe, Hatred, Envie, Warre, Famine, Pesti- Mutes, "lence, Sicknesse, Discontent, Ignorance, Feare, Death
" to whome he gives thire Names: likewife Winter, Heat, Tempest, &c.
  " Faith
             comfort him, and instruct him.
  " Hope
  " Charity
  " Chorus briefly concludes.
                        " The Deluge.
                                            Sodom.
            "Dinah. Vide Eufeb. Præparat. Evang. L. 9. C. 22.
                               " The Persons.
                                         " Hamor.
     " Dine.
                                         " Sichem.
     " Debora, Rebecca's Nurse.
                                         " Counselors 2.
     " Facob.
                                        " Nuncius.
     " Simeon.
                                         " Chorus.
     " Levi.
  "Thamar Cuophorusa; where Juda is sound to have bin the Author of that
"Crime, which he condemn'd in Tamar.
  "Tamar excus'd in what she attempted.
  "The Golden Calfe, or the Massacre in Horeb.
  " The Quails, Num. 11.
  "The Murmurers, Num. 14.
  " Corab, Dathan, &c. Num. 16, 17.
  " Moabitides, Num. 25.
  " Ackan, Jojue 7 and 8.
  " Jesuch in Gibeon, Jos. 10.
  "Gideon Idoloclastes, Jud. 6, 7. Gideon pursuing, Jud. 8.
  " Abimelech the Usurper, Jud. 9. Samson marriing or in Ramah Lechi, Jud. 15.
   " Samson pursophorus, or Hybristes, or Dagonalia, Jud. 16.
   " Comazontes, or the Benjaminites, or the Rioters, Jud. 19, 20, 21.
   "Theristria, a Pastoral out of Ruth.
   " Eliade, Hopbni and Phinehas, Sam. 1, 2, 3, 4, beginning with the first
Overthrow of Israel by the Philistins, interlac't with Samuel's Vision concern-
" ing Eli's Familie.
   "Jonathan rescued, Sam. 1, 14.
   " Doeg flandering, Sam. 1, 22.
  "The Sheepshearers in Carmel, a Pastoral, 1 Sam. 25.
  Saul in Gilboa, 1 Sam. 28, 31.
```

David revolted, 1 Sam. from the 27 C. to the 31.

- " David adulterous, 2 Sam. c. 11, 12.
- " Tamar, 2 Sam. 13.
- " Achitophel, 2 Sam. 15, 16, 17, 18.
- " Adoniah, 1 Reg. 2.
- " Solomen, Idolomargus, or Gynæcoeratumenus, aut Thysiazuse. Reg. 1. 11.
- " Rehobeam, I Reg. 12. wher is disputed of a Politick Religion.
- " Abias Thersaus. 1 Reg. 14. The Queen after much Dispute, as the last " Refuge fent to the Profet Abias of Shilo; receaves the meffage. The Epitafis
- in that shee hearing the Child shall die as she comes home, resuses to return, thinking therby to elude the Oracle. The former part is spent in bringing
- " the fick Prince forth as it were defirous to shift his Chamber and Couch as
- "dying Men use, his Father telling him what facrifize he had fent for his
- "Health to Bethel and Dan; his fearlefnesse of Death, and puting his Father
- " in mind to fet to Abiah. The Chorus of the Elders of Israel, bemoaning his
- " Vertues bereft them, and at another time wondring why Jeroboam being bad " himself should so grieve for Son that was good, &c.
 - " Imbres, or the Showers, 1 Reg. 18. 19.
 - " Naboth συκοΦαυτέμενος, 1 Reg. 21.
- " Abab, 1 Reg. 22. beginning at the Synod of fals Profets; ending with re-lation of Abab's Death; his Bodie brought; Zedechiah slain by Abab's Freinds
- " for his feducing. (See Lavater, 2 Chron. 18.)
 - " Flias in the Mount, 2 Reg. 1. 'Ogsiβάτης, or better Elias Polemistes.
 - " Elisæus Hudrocheos, 2 Reg. 3. Hudrophantes, Aquator.
 - " Elisseus Adorodocétas.
 - " Eliseus Menutes, five in Dothaimis, 2 Reg. 6.
 - " Samaria Liberata, 2 Reg. 7.
- " Achabai Cunoborumeni, 2 Reg. 9. The Scene Jefrael: beginning from
- "the Watchman's Discovery of Jebu till he go out: in the mean while, mes-
- " fage of things passing brought to Jesebel, &c. Lastly the 70 Heads of A" hab's Sons brought in, and message brought of Abaziah's brethren slain on the
- " Way, C. 10.
 - " Jehu Belicola, 2 Reg. 10.
 - " Athaliah, 2 Reg. 11.
 - " Amaziah Doryalotus, 2 Reg. 14. 2 Chron, 25.
- " Hezechias πολιοgκάμενος, 2 Reg. 18, 19. Hesechia bessegd. The wicked "Hypocrify of Shebna, spoken of in the 11, or thereabout of Isaiah, and the
- " Commendation of Eliakim will afford αφόρμας λόγε, together with a Faction,
- " that fought help from Egypt.
 - fosiah Αιαζοπεπος 2 Reg. 23.
 Zedechiah νεοτεςίζων, 2 Reg. but the Story is larger in Jeremiah.
- "Solymon Halosis; which may begin from a message brought to the City, of "the Judgment upon Zedechiah and his Children in Ribla, and so seconded
- " with the burning and destruction of City and Temple by Nebuzaradan; la-
- " mented by Jeremiah.
- " Asa or Æthiopes, 2 Chron. 14, with the deposing his Mother, and burn-" ing her Idol.
 - "The three Children, Dan. 3.
 - " British Trag.
 - " 1. The Cloister King Constans set up by Vortiger.
 - " 2. Vortiger poison'd by Roena.
- " 3. Vortiger immur'd. Vortiger marrying Roena. Reproov'd by Vodin " Archbishop of London. Speed.
- " 4. Sigher of the East-Saxons revolted from the Faith, and reclaim'd by
- " Jarumang.
- 5. Ethelbert of the East-Angles staine by Offa the Mercian. See Holinsh. L. 6. C. 5. Speed in the Life of Offa and Ethelbert.
- " 6. Sebert slaine by Penda after he had left his Kingdom. See Holinshed, " 116 р.
 - " 7. Wulfer flaying his tow Sons for beeing Christians.
- "8. Osbert of Northumberland slain for ravishing the Wise of Bernbocard, and the Dans brought in. See Stow. Holinsb. L. 6. C. 12. and especial-
- " ly Speed, L. S. C. 2. " 9. Ed-Vos. I. 1

An Account of the Life and Writings

" 9. Edmund last King of the East-Angles martyr'd by Hinguar the Dane. See " Speed, L. 8. C. 2.

10. Sigbert, Tyrant of the West-Saxons slaine by a Swinheard.

"11. Edmund Brother of Athelstan staine by a Theese at his owne Table. "Malmest.

"12. Edwin, Son to Edward the yonger, for Lust depriv'd of his Kingdom, or rather by Faction of Monks, whome he hated; together the imposter "Dunstan.

" 13. Edward Son of Edgar murder'd by his Step-mother. To which may be inferted the Tragedie stirr'd up betwixt the Monks and Priests about

" Mariage.

"14. Etheldred, Son of Edgar, a flothful King, the Ruin of his Land by the Danes.

15. Ceaulin, King of West-Saxons, for Tyrannic depos'd, and banish't, and

" dying.

- "16. The flaughter of the Monks of Bangor by Edelfride stirr'd up, as is faid, by Ethelbert, and he by sinftine the Monke, because the Britains would be a single start of the Britains would be single start of the Britains with the Britains would be single start of the Britains with the Britains would be single start of the Britains with the B
- " not receave the Rites of the Roman Church. See Bede, Geffrey Monmouth, and Holinshed, p. 104. which must begin with the Convocation of British Clergie by siustin to determin superstuous Points, which by them were re-
- " 17. Edwin by Vision promis'd the Kingdom of Northumberland on pro-"mise of his Conversion, and therin establish't by Rodoald King of East-

"mife of his Conversion, and therin establish't by Rodoald King of East"Angles.

"18. Oswin King of Deira flaine by Oswie his Friend King of Bernitia,

"through Instigation of Flatterers. See Holinshed, p. 115.

"19. Sigibert of the Eest-Angles keeping Companie with a Person excommunicated, slaine by the same Man in his House, according as the Bishop

" Cedaa had foretold.
" 20. Egfride King of the Northumbers flaine in Battle against the Piëts, having before wasted Ireland, and made warre for no reason on Men that ever lov'd the English; forewarn'd also by Cuthbert not to fight with the Piëts.

"21. Kinewulf, King of West-Saxons, Saine by Kineard in the House of one

" of his Concubins.

" 22. Guntbildis, the Danish Ladie, with her Husband Palingus, and her Son, slaine by appointment of the Traitor Edrick in King Ethelred's Days. Holinshed, 7 L. C. 5. together with the Massacre of the Danes at Oxford. Speed.

"23. Brightrick of West-Saxons poyson'd by his Wife Ethelburge Offa's Daughter, who dies miserably also in beggery after adultery in a Nunnery. Speed

" in Bithrick.

"24. Alfred in difguise of a Ministrel discovers the Danes negligence, sets on with a mightie slaughter; about the same tyme the Devonshire Men rout "Hubba and slay him.

"A Heroicall Poem may be founded formwhere in Alfred's Reigne, espeically at his iffuing out of Edelingsey on the Danes, whose Actions are wel
it like those of Ulysses.

" 25. Althestan exposing his Brother Edwin to the Sea, and repenting.

"26. Edgar flaying Ethelwold for false play in woing, wherein may be set out his Pride, Lust, which he thought to close by savouring Monks and build ing Monasteries: also the disposition of Women in Elfrida toward her Husband.

"27. Swane beseidging London, and Ethelred repuls't by the Londoners.

" 28. Harold flaine in Battle by William the Norman.

"The first Scene may begin with the Ghost of Alfred, the second Son of Etbelred, slaine in cruel manner by Godwin Harold's Father, his Mother and
Brother distuading him.

" 29. Edmond Ironfide defeating the Danes at Brentford, with his Combat

" with Canute.

"30. Edmund Ironfide murder'd by Edrick the Traitor, and reveng'd by Canute.
31. Gunilda, Daughter to King Canute and Emma, Wife to Henry the third

"Emperour, accus'd of Inchastitie, is defended by her English Page in Combat against

" against a giant-like Adversary; who by him at two blows is slaine, &c. " Speed in the Life of Canute.

 32. Hardiknute dying in his Cups, an example to Riot.
 33. Edward Confessor's divorting and imprisoning his noble Wife Editha, "Godwin's Daughter; wherin is shewed his over-affection to Strangers the Caute of Godwin's Insurrection, wherin Godwin's Forbearance of Battel " prais'd, and the English moderation on both fides magnified. His flacknesse " to redresse the corrupt Clergie, and superstitious Prætence of Chastitie.

" Scotch Stories, or rather Brittish of the North Parts.

"ATHIRCO flain by Natholochus, whose Daughter he had ravisht, and " this Natholochus usurping thereon the Kingdom, seeks to slay the Kindred of "Athirco, who scape him and conspire against him. He sends to a Witch to know the Event. The Witch tells the Messinger, that he is the Man shall " flay Natholochus: he detefts it, but in his Journie home changes his mind, " and performs it. Scotch Chron. English, p. 68, 69.

"Duffe and Donwald, a strange Story of Witchcrast, and murder

" discover'd and reveng'd. Scotch Story, 149, &c.

" HAIE, the Plowman, who with his tow Sons that were at plow running to " the Battell that was between the Scots and Danes in the next Field, staid the " Flight of his Countrymen, renew'd the Battell, and caus'd the Victoric, " &c. Scotch Story, p. 155.

"Kenneth, who having privily poifon'd Malcolm Duffe, that his own Son might fuceed, is flain by Fenella. Scotch Hift. p. 157, 158, &с. "Macbeth, beginning at the Arrivall of Alalcolm at Mackduffe. The " matter of Duncan may be express't by the appearing of his Ghost.

ABRAM from Morea, or Isack redeem'd.

" The Oiconomie may be thus. The first or fixt Day after Abraham's De-" parture, Eleazer Abram's Steward, first alone, and then with the Chorus, "discourfe of Abraham's strange voiage, thire Mistresse forrow and perplexity, 65 accompanied with frightfull Dreams; and tell the manner of his rifing by " night, taking his fervants and his fon with him. Next may come forth Sa-" reb herfelf; after the Chorus, or Ismael, or Agar; next some Shepheard " or companie of Merchants passing through the Mount in the time that Abram " was in the midwork, relate to Sarah what they faw. Hence Lamentations, " Fears, Wonders; the matter in the mean while divulg'd. Aner or Eschool, " or Manne Abram's Confederats come to the Hous of Abram to be more " certaine, or to bring news; in the mean while discoursing as the World " would, of fuch an Action divers ways, bewayling the Fate of fo noble a Man " faln from his reputation, either through divin Justice, or Superstition, or " covering to doe some notable Act through Zeal. At length a Servant sent " from Abram relates the Truth; and last he himselfe comes with a great "Traine of Melchizedee, whose shepheards beeing secretly ewitnesses of all pas-" fages had related to thir Master, and he conducted his Freind Abraham home " with joy.

BAPTISTES

The Scene, the Court.

Beginning from the Morning of Herod's Birth-Day.

" Herod by some Counseller persuaded (1) on his Birth-Day to release John " Baptift, purposes it, causes him to be sent for to Court from Prison. The " Queen hears of it, takes occasion to passe wher he is, on purpose, that un-" der prætence of reconfiling to him, or feeking to draw a kind retraction from " him of the Cenfure on the Marriage; to which End she sends a Courtier " before to found whether he might be perfuaded to mitigate his fentence, " which not finding, she herfelf craftily assays, and on his constancie founds an " accusation to Herod of a contumacious Affront on such a day before many " Peers, præpares the King to some Passion, and at last by her Daughter's " dancing effects it. There may prologize the Spirit of Philip, Herod's Brother. " It may also be thought, that Herod had well bedew'd himself with Wine, " which made him grant the eafier to his Wives Daughter. Some of his Dif-

(1) Or els the Queen may plot under prætense of begging for his Liberty, to seek to draw him into a fnare by his freedom of speech

xliv An Account of the Life and Writings

ciples also, as to congratulate his Liberty, may be brought in, with whom after certain command of his Death many compassioning Words of his Disciples, bewayling his Youth cut off in his glorious Cours, he telling them his Work is don, and withing them follow Christ his Maister.

SODOM.

The Scene before Lot's Gate.

"The Chorus confifts of Lot's Shepherds come to the Citty about some Affairs " await in the Evening thire Maister's return from his Evening Walk toward " the Citty-gates. He brings with him 2 young Men or Youths of noble form. " After likely Di courles præpares for thire entertainment. By then Supper " is ended, the Gallantry of the Town passe by in procession with musick and " fong to the Temple of Venus Urania or Peor, and understanding of tow noble Strangers arriv'd, they fend 2 of thire choylest Youth with the Priest to in-" vite them to their Citty Solemnities, it beeing an honour that thire Citty had " decreed to all fair personages, as beeing sacred to thir Goddesse. Lot, that " knows thire Drift, answers thwartly at last, of which notice given to the " whole Affembly, they haften thither, taxe him of præfumption, fingularity, 66 Breach of City-Customs; in fine, after Violence, the Chorus of Shepherds " præpare refistance in thire Maister's Defence, calling the rest of the serviture; 66 but beeing forc't to give back, the Ange's open the dore, rescue Lot, dis-" cover themselves, warne him to gether his Friends and Sons in Law out of " the Citty. He goes and returns, as having met with some incredulous. Some other Friend or Son in Law out of the way, when Lot came to his house, " overtakes him to know his Busines. Heer is disputed of Incredulity of divine Judgements, and fuch like matter: at last is described the parting from the Citty; the Chorus depart with thir Maister; the Angels doe the deed with " all dreadfull execution; the King and Nobles of the Citty may come forth, "and ferve to fet out the terror; a Chorus of Angels concluding, and the Angels relating the Event of Lot's Journey and of his Wife. The first " Chorus beginning, may relate the Courfe of the Citty, each evening every one with " Mistresse or Ganymed, gitterning along the Streets, or solacing on the Banks " of Jordan, or down the stream. At the Priests inviting the Angels to the " folemnity, the Angels pittying thir beauty may dispute of Love, and " how it differs from Luft, feeking to win them. In the last Scene, to the "King and Nobles, when the firce thunders begin aloft, the Angel appeares " all girt with Flames, which he faith are the flames of true Love, and tells "the King, who falls down with terror, his just suffering, as also Athane's, i. e. "Gener, Lot's Son in Law, for despising the continual admonitions of Lot: "then calling to the Thunders, Lightning, and Fires, he bids them heare the Call " and Command of God to come and deftroy a godlesse Nation: he brings " them down with fome fhort warning to other Nations to take heed,

Adam unparadiz'd.

"The Angel Gabriel either descending or entring, shewing since this Globe "was created, his Frequency as much on Earth, as in Heaven: describes Pa-" radife. Next the Chorus shewing the reason of his comming to keep his "Watch in Paradife after Lucifer's Rebellion, by command from God, and " withall expressing his defire to see and know more concerning this excellent " new Creature, Man. The Angel Gabriel, as by his name fignifying a prince of Power, tracing Paradile with a more free office, passes by the station of the " Chorus, and defired by them relates what he knew of Man, as the Creation of Eve, with thire Love and Mariage. After this Lucifer appeares after his overthrow, bemoans himfelf, feeks revenge on Man. The Chorus pre-" pare refiftance at his first approach. At last, after discourse of enmity on " either fide, he departs; wherat the Chorus fings of the Battell, and Vic-" torie in Heaven against him and his Accomplices; as before, after the first "Act, was fung a Hymn of the Creation. Heer again may appear Lucifer " relating and infulting in what he had don to the Destruction of Man. Man " next, and Eve having by this time bin feduc't by the Serpent appeares " confusedly cover'd with Leaves. Conscience in a shape accuses him, Jus-"tice cites him to the place, whither Jehova call'd for him. In the mean while "the Chorus entertains the Stage, and is informed by fome Angel the manner

of

"of his Fall. Heer the Chorus bewailes Adam's Fall. Adam then and Eve returne, accuse one another, but specially Adam layes the Blame to his Wife, is stubborn in his Offence. Justice appears; reasons with him convinces him. The Chorus admonisheth Adam, and bids him beware Luciser's Example of Impenitence. The Angel is sent to banish them out of Paradise; but before causes to passe before his Eyes in shapes a Mask of all the Evills of this Life and World. He is humbl'd, relents, dispaires; at last appeares Mercy, comforts him, promises the Messiah; then calls in Faith, Hope, and Charity; instructs him; he repents, gives God the Glory, submitts to his penalty. The Chorus briefly concludes. Compare this with the former Draught.

MOABITIDES OF PHINEAS.

"The Epitafis wherof may lie in the Contention, first between the Father of Zimri and Eleazer, whether he to have slain his son without Law. Next, the Embassadors of the Moabites exposulating about Coshi a stranger and a noble Woman slain by Phineas. It may be argued about Reformation and Punishment illegal, and, as it were, by tumult: after all arguments driv'n home, then the Word of the Lord may be brought acquitting and approving Phineas.

CHRISTUS PATIENS.

"The Scene in the Garden beginning from the comming thither till Judas betraies, and the Officers lead him away. The rest by Message and Chorus. His Agony may receav noble Expressions.

" Christ born.

" Herod massacring, or Rachel weeping, Matt. "

" Christ bound. Christ crucist'd.

" Christ risen.

" Lazarus. Joan. I."

Mr. Philips observes (m), that there was a very remarkable Circumstance in the Composure of Paradise Lost, which I have a particular Reason, says he, to remember; for whereas I had the perusal of it from the very beginning, for some Years as I went from time to time to wist him, in a parcel of ten, twenty, or thirty Verses at a time, (which being written by whatever Hand came next, might possibly want Correction as to the Orthography and Pointing,) having, as the Summer came on, not been shewed any for a considerable while, and destring the Reason thereof, was answer'd, that his Vein never happily flow'd but from the Autumnal Equinon to the Vernal; and that whatever he attempted at other times was never to his Satisfaction, though he courted his Fancy never so much; so that in all the Years he was about this Poem, he may be said to have spent but half his Time therein. Mr. Toland imagines (n), that Mr. Philips was mistaken with regard to the time, since Milton in his Latin Elegy, written in his twentieth Year upon the Approach of the Spring declares the contrary, and that his Poetic Talent return'd with the Spring.

Fallor? an & nobis redeunt in Carmina Vires,
Ingeniumque mihi munere Veris adest?
Munere Veris adest, iterumque vigescit ab illo,
(Quis putet?) atque aliquod jam sibi poscit Opus.

A Friend of Milton's likewise inform'd Mr. Toland, that our Author could never compose well but in the Spring and Autumn. But Mr. Richardson is of opinion (a), that neither of these Accounts is exactly true, nor "that a Man" with such a Work in his Head can suspend it for six Months together, or but one, though it may go on more slowly; but it must go on. This laying it aside is contrary to that Eagerness to sinish what was begun, which he says "[Epistle to Deodatus, dated Sept. 2d, 1637] was his Temper." The same Gentleman informs us (p), that when he dictated, he us'd to sit leaning backward obliquely in an easy Chair, with his Leg slung over the Elbow of it; that he frequently composed lying in Bed in a Morning; and that when he could not sleep, but lay awake whole Nights, he tried; not one Verse could he make: at other times flow'd easy his unpremediated Verse, with a certain Impetus and Estrum, as

(m) p. 36. (n) Life of Milton, p. 40. (o) p. 113. (p) p. 114. Vol.

bimself seem'd to believe. Then, at what Hour soever, he rung for his Daughter to secure what came. I have been also told, he would distate many, perhaps forty Lines in a Breath; and then reduce them to half the Number. I would not omit, says Mr. Richardson, the least Circumstance. These indeed are Trisles; but even such contrast a sort of Greatness, when related to what is great.

After the Work was ready for the Press, it was near being suppress'd by the

After the Work was ready for the Preis, it was near being suppreis'd by the Ignorance or Malice of the Licenser, who, among other frivolous Exceptions, imagin'd there was Treason in that noble Simile (q), B. I. Vers. 594, and seqq.

Looks thro' the horizontal misty Air,
Shorn of his Beams; or from behind the Moon,
In dim Eclipse, disastrous twilight sheds
On half the Nations, and with Fear of Change
Perplexes Monarchs.

Mr. Philips (r) and Mr. Toland (s) affert, that this Poem was publish'd in 1666; but this is undoubtedly a Miftake, fince Milton's Contract with his Bookfeller S. Simmons for the Copy bears Date April 27th, 1667; in which Contract our Author fold his Copy for no more than fifteen Pounds; the payment of which depended upon the fale of three numerous Impressions, as we are inform'd by Mr. Fenton (t), who with Mr. Wood is mistaken, in afferting, that it was first publish'd in 1669; tho' it is true, there are of the first Quarto Editions with that Year in the Title-page. The Case is thus; there are several Titles, with a little Variation in each, besides that of the Date. There are of 1667 and 1668, as well as of 1669. The Sheets are the same, only a Word and a Point or two alter'd; the Sheet otherwise the same, not cancell'd, but the Alteration made as it was printing; fo that part of the Impression was so far different from the other part. And there were not only three feveral Title-pages, but a fhort Advertisement to the Reader, the Argument to the several Books, and a List of Errata are added, with a little Discourse concerning the Kind of Verse. But these Additions were not exactly the same in every Year, as neither were the Names of the Booksellers, thro' whose hands it pass'd. The first Title, viz. that of 1667, was immediately followed by the Poem, without the Advertisement, Errata, &c. In 1674 Milton publish'd in 8vo a second Edition under this title, Paradise Lost. A Poem in twelve Books. The Author John Milton. The second Edition. Revised and augmented by the same Author. London, in 8vo. In this Edition he made some few Alterations, chiefly Additions; and now the Poem, which at first confisted of ten Books, was divided into twelve; " not, " fays Mr. Fenton (u), with respect to the Eneis (for he was, in both Senses of the Phrase, above Imitation,) but more probably, because the " length of the feventh and tenth requir'd a Pause in the Narration, he divided "them, each into two." Upon this Distribution, to the beginning of those Books, which are now the eighth and twelfth, Milton added the following Verses, which were necessary to make a Connection:

Book VIII. Verse 1.

The Angel added, and in Adam's eare
So charming left his Voice, that he a-while
Thought him still speaking; still stood fix'd to hear:
Then as new wak't, thus gratefully repli'd.

The latter half of the Verse was taken from this in the first Edition:

"To whom thus Adam gratefully reply'd."

Book XII. Verse 1.

'As one, who in his Journey bates at noon,
Though bent on Speed; so heer th' Arch-Angel paus'd,
Betwixt the World destroy'd, and World restor'd:
If Adam aught perhaps might interpose:
Then, with Transition sweet, new Speech resumes.

At the fame time he made fome few Additions in other Places of the Poem, which are as follow:

Book

(9) Toland p. 40. (1) p. 38. (1) p. 40. (1) Life of Milton, p. 19, 20, 21. (11) Postscript to bis Life of Milton.

Book V. Verse 637.

"They eat, they drink, and with Refection fweet Are fill'd, before th' all-bounteous King, &c."

were thus inlarg'd in the fecond Edition:

They eat, they drink, and in communion sweet Quaff Immortality and Joy, secure Of Surfeit, where full Measure only bounds Excess, before th' all-bounteous King, &c.

Book XI. Verse 484. after, "Intestine Stone, and Ulcer, Colic-pangs,"

these three Verses were added,

Dæmoniac phrenzie, moaping melancholie, And moon-struck madness, pining atrophie, Marasmus, and wide-wasting pestilence.

And Verse 551 of the same Book (which was originally thus, "Of rend'ring up. Michael to him reply'd")

receiv'd this Addition,

Of rend'ring up, and patiently attend My Diffolution. Michael reply'd.

Another Edition of this Poem was published in 8vo in 1678; and in 1688 it was published in solio with Cuts by Subscription. In 1695 Mr. Jacob Tonson printed our Author's Poetical Works in sol, with the same Cuts, and large Notes on Paradise Lost by P. H. who is said to be Philip Humes. This is the sixth Edition. Since that it has been re-printed in several Sizes. The thirteenth Edition was published at London 1727, in 8vo, with an Account of Milton's Life by Mr. Elijab Fenton. The sourceenth Edition was printed in 1730.

It has been a current Opinion, that the late Lord Somers first gave Paradise Lost a Reputation; but Mr. Richardson observes (x), that it was known and esteem'd long before there was such a Man as Lord Somers, as appears from the pompous Edition of it printed by Subscription in 1688, where among the List of the Subscribers are the Names of Lord Dorset, Waller, Dryden, Sir Robert Howard, Duke, Creech, Flatman, Dr. Aldrich, Mr. Atterbury, Sir Roger L'Estrange, Lord Somers, who was likewise a Subscriber, was then only John Somers Esq; No doubt, says Mr. Richardson, when he was so conspicuous himself as he afterward was, his Applause and Encouragement spread and brighten'd its Lustre; but it had beam'd out long before. However we find in the Dedication of one of the Editions of this Poem to Lord Somers, that it was his Lordship's Opinion and Encouragement, that occasion'd the first Appearing of this Poem in the Folio Edition, which from thence has been so well received, that notwithstanding the Price of it was four times greater than before, the Sale increas'd double the Number every Year. Mr. Richardson tells us (y), that he was informed by Sir George Hungerford, an ancient Member of Parliament, that Sir John Denham came into the House of Commons one Morning with a Sheet of Paradife Loft, wet from the Prefs, in his hand; and being ask'd what it was, said, that it was part of the noblest Poem, that ever was written in any Language or in any Age. However it is certain, that the Book was unknown till about two Years after, when the Earl of Dorset produc'd it, as appears from the following Story related to Mr. Richardson by Dr. Tancred Robinjon, an eminent Physician in London, who was inform'd by Sir Fleetwood Sheppard, that the Earl, in company with that Gentleman, looking over some Books in Little-Britain, met with Paradife Lost, and being surprized with some Paffages in turning it over, bought it. The Bookfeller defir'd his Lordship to fpeak in its favour, if he lik'd it, fince the Impression lay on his hands as wast paper. The Earl having read the Poem, fent it to Mr. Dryden, who in a short time return'd it with this Answer: This Man cuts us all out, and the Antients

In 1732, Dr. Richard Bentley publish'd at London in 4to, a new Edition of Paradise Lost; in the Preface to which, the Doctor tells us, that "the Friend

or Acquaintance, whoever he was, to whom Milton committed his Copy and the overfeeing of the Press, did so vilely execute that trust, that Paradise under his Ignorance and Audaciousness may be said to be twice lost. A poor Bookfeller, then living near Aldersgate, purchased our Author's Copy for ten Pounds, and (if a second Edition follow'd) for five Pounds more, as appears by the original Bond yet in being. This Bookfeller and that Acquaintance, who seems to have been the sole Corrector of the Press, brought forth their first Edition, polluted with such monstrous saults, as are beyond Example in any other printed Book..... But these typographical Errors, occasion'd by the Negligence of his Acquaintance, (if all may be imputed to that, and not several willfully made) were not the worst blemishes brought upon our Poem. For this suppos'd Friend (call'd in these Notes the Editor) knowing Milton's bad Circumstances; who, VII. 26.

" Was fall'n on evil Days and evil Tongues,

" In Darkness, and with Dangert compass'd round,

" And Solitude,

" thought he had a fit Opportunity to foift into his Book feveral of his own "Verses without the blind Poet's discovery." He afterwards observes, that the Proof-Sheets of the first Edition were never read to Milton; who, unless be was as deaf as blind, could not possibly let pass such gross and palpable Faults. Nay, the Edition, when published, was never read to him in several Years. The first came out in 1667, and a second in 1674, in which all the Faults of the former are continued with the addition of new ones. This Edition of Dr. Bentley was attack'd by feveral Writers, particularly by Dr. Zachary Pearce, who in 1733, publish'd at London in Svo, A Review of the Text of the Twelve Books of Milton's Paradise Lost: in which the chief of Dr. Bentley's Emendations are consider'd, and several other Emendations and Observations are offer'd to the Public. In the Preface he observes, that " Dr. Bentley is deservedly distinguish'd for his superior "Talents in Critical Knowledge, which are own'd by the unanimous Confent " of the Learned World, and have gain'd him a Reputation, which is real 46 and fubiliantial. But this will be understood with exception to what he has "done on Milton's Poem; in which, tho' he has given us fome useful and ju-"dicious Remarks, yet at the fame time he has made many Emendations, which may justly be call'd in question." Dr. Pearce then tells us, that in the Emendations, which he offers as from himself, he never ventures farther than to propose Words of like sound, which a blind Poet's Ear may be presum'd to have been sometimes mistaken in, when the Proof-sheets were read to him; and but few of this fort are mention'd. The greatest part arises from the Alteration of the points. in which it is not improbable, that Milton trusted much to the Care of the Printer and Reviser. He remarks next, that " he cannot agree with Dr. Bentce ley, that there was any fuch Person of an Editor, as made alterations, and added verses at his pleasure in the first Edition of this Poem; because the Account, which Mr. Toland gives us of Milton's Life, will not leave us room to fuspect, that he wanted one, or indeed many learned Friends to have done him Justice on this occasion. Most probably several of his Acquaintance, we are fure that fome of them, had had the perufal of the Poem before it was publish'd; and would none of them have discovered it to Million. if he had receiv'd fuch an Injury? Would none have warn'd him of the bold Alterations, time enough at least to have prevented their being continued in the fecond Edition, publish'd likewise in the Poet's Life-time. Besides the "first Edition of Paradise Regain'd appear'd in 1671; and Dr. Bentley says, that this Edition is without Faults, because Milton was then in high Credit, and had chang'd his old Printer and Supervisor. How far this changing his Printer might contribute to make the first Edition of this Poem more correct than the first Edition of Paradise Lost, we cannot certainly say; but it may be " ask'd of the Doctor, why Milton's still higher Credit in 1674, when the " fe and Edition of Paradife Lost appear'd, could not have procur'd him the " fame Supervifor, or one at least as good?" Dr. Pearce afterwards observes, that Milton took the first Hint of his Defign of writing a Tragedy upon the fubject of his Poem, from an Italian Tragedy call'd Il Paradiso perso, still extant, and printed many Years before he enter'd upon his Defign. Mr. Richardfon

chardson (2) likewise rejects the Hypothesis of Dr. Bentley, and shews (a) that the

Edition of 1674 is the finish'd, the genuine, the uncorrupted Work of Milton. This Poem has been translated in Blank Verse into Low Dutch, and printed at Harlem 1728, in 4tv. A French Translation of it by Mons. Dupré de S. Maur, with Mr. Addison's Remarks, and a Life of the Author, was printed at Paris 1729, in three Volumes 12mo, and reprinted at the Hague 1730 in three Volumes in 12mo; to whichis added Differtation critique de M. Constantin de Magny, which is thought by some to have been written by the Abbé Pellegrin, and La Chûte de l'Homme, Poëme François par M. Durand. In this Edition several paffages are reftor'd, which had been retrench'd in that of Paris. Signor Paolo Rolli, F. R. S. publish'd an Italian Translation of this Poem at London 1736 in fol. In 1690 Mr. William Hog or Hogaus publish'd at London in 8vo a Translation of Paradise Lost, Paradise Regained, and Sampson Agonistes, in Latin Verse. But this Version is very unequal to the Original. In 1699 there appear'd in a Pamphlet, intitled, Lusus Amatorius, sive Musei Poema de Heroneet Leandro, è Graca in Latinam Linguam translatum. Cui aliæ (tres scilicet) accedunt Nugæ Poeticæ, Authore C. B. London in 4to, which contains a Latin Translation of a Fragment of the fifth Book of Paradife Lost, beginning Verse 67, and ending Ver. 245. Mr. Powers also publish'd a Latin Version of the first Book of that Poem; as did Mr. Matthew Bold likewife in 1702, in 4to, whose Translation was republished in 1717. And in 1736, Mr. Richard Dawes, M. A. and Fellow of Emanuel College in Cambridge, publish'd Proposals for printing by subscription Paradist Amissi a Cl. Miltono conscripti Liber primus Græca Versione donatus, una cum Annotationibus: of which he gave the following Specimen, beginning B. I. V. 250.

> –Ζήσοιτε τρισόλβιοι άγρο**ι** Χαρματοοίκητοι Φοδεραί δ' έπιχαίρετ' έρημοι Κύσμος ύποχθόνιος τε, σύ δ' Αδης έυρυδάθις ος Ήγεμόν' ειδιξαιο υεήλυδα, τόυ γε νόημα Ο΄ πόπω εδέ χρόνω μετακίνητου Φορέουτα. 'Αυτοτοπος νόος ές-ί, καὶ ἀυτοΦύεσσ' ἀρετῆσιν 'Ο,ραυου αι περίοιδε μεταλλάζαι τε και "Αθηυ. Αθτότατος δ' άρ εων τίπτ' αν μελετώμι τόποιο, Τω μόνον όυχ έτος τον Επερμεγάλυνε κεραυνός; 'Αλλ' ωθε προέθηκεν έλευθερίης απολαύσειν "ΑΦθουος, ἀλλ' ένθενδ' Ύψίζυγος ἐπότ' ἀπείργει" ${}^{\tau}\Omega$ θε μεν ήσυχιοι βασιλεύσομεν ${}^{\cdot}$ αυταρ έγω ${}^{\prime}$ ε "Ευδοξου κάν "Αδη διομαι έμθασιλεύειν" Μίλλου αν Αδοτύραυνος η Ουρανόδελος ἐσοίμην.

This Poem of our Author has met with an Approbation, which will continue as long as a true Taste for Poetry shall remain among Mankind. I shall give the Judgments of some Writers upon it. Mr. Edward Philips (b), on account of this Performance, stiles Milton the exactest of Heroic Poets, either of the ancients or moderns, either of our own or whatsoever Nation else. However Mr. Thosnas Rymer, who treated Shakespeare with so much Contempt, presum'd likewise to declare War against Milton, threatning to write some Reslections upon Paradise Lost, which some, tays he (c), are pleas'd to call a Poem; and to affert Rhime aaga nst the slender Sophistry wherewith he attacks it.

Mr. Dryden (d) observes, that for our Author, "whom we all admire with for much Justice, his Design is not that of an Heroic Poem properly so call'd. " His Defign is the lofing of our Happiness; his Event is not prosperous like " that of other *Epic* Works; his heavenly Machines are many; and his human " Persons are but two. But I will not take Mr. Rymer's Work out of his " hands; he has promis'd the World a Critique on that Author, wherein, "the he will not allow his Poem for Heroic, I hope he will grant us, that " his Thoughts are elevated, his Words founding; and that no Man has fo "happily copied the manner of *Homer*, or so copiously translated his *Greeisms* and the *Latin* Elegancies of *Virgil*. 'Tis true, he runs into a flat Thought " fometimes for a hundred Lines together; but 'tis when he has got into a

Vol. I,

mon Sense of all Ages. In a Letter to Fleetavood Shepheard Esq; p. 143. Edit. London 1678
(d) Preface to his Translation of Juvenal, p.

⁽²⁾ P. 122, & seqq. (2) P. 138. (b) Theatium Poetarum among the Modern Poets, 114. Edit. London 1675. (c) Tragedly of the last Age considered and examined the star Poetarus of the Age considered and by the comby the Practice of the Ancients, and by the com-

" Track of Scripture. His antiquated Words were his Choice, not his Necessia-"ty; for therein he imitated Spenser, as Spenser did Chaucer. And tho' per-" haps the Love of their Mafters may have transported both too far in the frequent use of them; yet, in my Opinion, obsolete Words may then be lau-" dably reviv'd, when either they are more founding or more fignificant than " those in practice, and when their Obscurity is taken away by joining other "Words to them, which clear the fense, according to the Rule of Horace for the admission of new Words. But in both Cases a Moderation is to be ob-" ferv'd in the use of them, for unnecessary Coinage, as well as unnecessary "Revival, runs into Affectation, a fault to be avoided on either hand. Neither " will I justify Milton for his Blank Verse, tho' I may excuse him by the Example " of Hannibal Caro and other Italians, who have us'd it. For whatever Caufes " he alledges for the abolishing of Rhime, his own particular Reason is plainly "this, that Rhime was not his talent; he had neither the Ease of doing it, nor " the Graces of it; which is manifest in his Juvenilia, or Verses written in his 46 Youth, where his Rhime is always constrain'd and forc'd, and comes hardly "from him, at an age, when the Soul is most pliant, and the Passion of Love makes almost every Man a Rhimer, tho not a Poet." He afterwards tells us (e), that he confulted Ailton for the beautiful turns of Words and Thoughts: But as he endeavours every where, fays he, to express Homer, whose age had not arriv'd to that fineness, I found in him a true Sublimity, losty Thoughts, which were cloath'd with admirable Grecifus and antient Words, which he had been digging from the Mines of Chaucer and of Spenser, and which, with all their Rusticity, had somewhat of venerable in them; but I found not there what I looked for, viz. any elegant Turns, either on the Word or on the Thought. But the Author of the Tatler (f) is of a different opinion from Mr. Dryden in this last point, and having quoted that beautiful passage in Paradise Lost, B. IV. 639.

With thee converfing, &c.

he observes, that he could shew several passages in Milton, that have as excellent

Turns of this nature as any of our English Poets whatsoever.

Mr. Addison's Criticism upon this Poem publish'd in the Spectator, has been of great advantage to its Reputation; and, as Dr. Fiddes remarks (g), has discovered a multitude of Beauties in it, several of which might perhaps have been un-

discovered for many ages.

Bishop Atterbury in a Letter to Mr. Pope, dated at Bromley, Nov. 8th, 1717, writes thus concerning our Author: I return you your Milton, which, upon Collation, I find to be revised and augmented in several Places, as the Title-page of my third Edition pretends it to be. When I see you next, I will shew you the several Passages alter'd and added by the Author, beside what you mention'd to me. I protest to you, this last perusal of him has given me such new Degrees, I will not say of pleasure, but of admiration and associations, that I look upon the Sublimity of Homer, and the Majesty of Virgil with somewhat less reverence than I us'd to do. I challenge you, with all your Partiality, to shew me in the sirst of these any thing equal to the Allegory of Sin and Death, either as to the greatness and justness of the Invention, or the height and beauty of the colouring. What I look'd upon as a Rant of Barrow's, I now begin to think a serious Truth, and could almost venture to set my Hand to it;

Hæc quicunque legit, tantum cecinisse putabit Mæonidem Ranas, Virgilium Culices.

But more of this when we meet.

Mr. Charles Gildon (b) observes, that Mr. Addison in his Criticism upon Milton publish'd in the Spettator, seems to have mistaken the matter in endeavouring to bring Paradise Lost to the Rules of the Epopæia, which cannot be done; and that Sir Richard Blackmore in his Essay upon Epic Poetry, led by the same Error, endeavours to defend Milton by his own Rules of the Epopæia. "But they are both mistaken, says Mr. Gildon; it is not an Heroic Poem, but a Divine one, and indeed a new Species. It is plain, that the Proposition of all

⁽c) Ibid. p. 50. (f) No 114. (g) Pre- 1714. (b) Laws of Poetry explain'd and ilfatory Epistle concerning some Remarks to be lustrated, p. 259, Edit. London 1721, in Svo. 1 ublished on Homer's Iliad, p. 13. Edit. London

- "the Heroic Poems of the Antients mentions fome one Person as the Subject of their Poem. Thus Homer begins his Ilias by proposing to sing the Anger of Adoilles; and his Odyssey begins,
 - "Muse, speak the Man, who, since the Siege of Troy, So many Towns, such Change of Manners saw.
- " And Virgil begins his Eneis with,
 - " Arms and the Man I sing, &c.
- 66 But Milton begins his Poem of Things, and not of Men; as,
 - " Of Man's first Disobedience, and the Fruit
 - " Of that forbidden Tree, &c."

Monf. de Voltaire (i) tells us, that Milton, as he was travelling thro' Italy in his Youth, faw at *Florence* a Comedy call'd *Adamo*, written by one *Andreino*, a Player, and dedicated to Mary de Medicis, Queen of France. The Subject of the Play was the Fall of Man; the Actors, God, the Devils, the Angels, Adam, Eve, the Serpent, Death, and the feven mortal Sins. That Topic, so improper for a Drama, but so suitable to the absurd Genius of the Italian Stage, as it was at that time, was handled in a manner intirely conformable to the Extravagance of the Defign. The Scene opens with a Chorus of Angles, and a Cherubim thus fpeaks for the rest: " Let the Rainbow be the Fiddlestick of the Fiddle " of the Heavens; let the Planets be the Notes of our Music; let Time beat " carefully the Meafure, and the Winds make the Sharps, $\mathcal{C}v$." Thus the Play begins; and every Scene rifes above the last in profusion of impertinence. " Milton, continues Voltaire, pierc'd through the Abfurdity of that perform-" ance to the hidden Majesty of the Subject; which being altogether unsit for the Stage, yet might be, for the Genius of Milton, and for his only, the " Foundation of an Epic Poem. He took from that ridiculous Trifle the first " Hint of the noblest Work, which human Imagination hath ever attempted, " and which he executed more than twenty Years after. In the like manner Py-" thagoras ow'd the Invention of Music to the Noise of the Hammer of a Black-" finith. And thus in our days Sir Isaac Newton walking in his Gardens had " the first thought of his System of Gravitation, upon feeing an Apple falling " from a Tree. If the Difference of Genius between Nation and Nation ever appeared in its full Light, 'tis in Milton's Paradife Lost. The French anfwer with a scornful Smile, when they are told there is in England an Epic
Poem, the Subject whereof is the Devil fighting against God, and Adam and " Eve eating an Apple at the persuasion of a Snake. As that Topic hath af-66 forded nothing among them but fome lively Lampoons, for which that Nation is fo famous; they cannot imagine it possible to build an Epic Poem upon the Subject of their Ballads. And indeed fuch an Error ought to be ex-" cufed; for if we confider with what Freedom the politest part of Mankind "throughout all Europe, both Catholics and Protestants, are wont to ridicule " in Conversation those consecrated Histories; may if those, who have the high-" est respect for the Mysteries of the Christian Religion, and who are struck " with Awe at some parts of it, yet cannot forbear now and then making free " with the Devil, the Serpent, the Frailty of our first Parents, and the Rib, " which Adam was robb'd of, and the like; it feems a very hard Task for a " profane Poet to endeavour to remove those Shadows of Ridicule, to reconcile " together what is Divine and what looks abfurd, and to command a Refpect, "that the facred Writers could hardly obtain from our frivolous Minds. What " Milton fo boldly undertook, he perform'd with a superior Strength of " Judgment, and with an Imagination productive of Beauties not dream'd " of before him. The meannefs (if there is any) of fome parts of the Subject is " left in the Immensity of the poetical Invention. There is something above " the reach of Human Forces to have attempted the Creation without Bombast, " to have deferib'd the Gluttony and Curiofity of a Woman without Flatness, " to have brought Probability and Reason amidst the Hurry of imaginary things " belonging to another World, and as far remote from the Limits of our No-

⁽i) Essay upon the Epic Poetry of the European Nations from Homer down to Milton, p. 103. & seeq. Edit. London 1727.

"tions, as they are from our Earth; in short, to force the Reader to say, If "God, if the Angels, if Satan would speak, I believe they would speak as they " do in Milton. I have often admir'd how barren the Subject appears, and "how fruitful it grows under his hands. The Paradife Lost is the only " Poem, wherein are to be found in a perfect degree that Uniformity, which " fatisfies the Mind, and that Variety which pleafes the Imagination; all its " Episodes being necessary Lines, which aim at the Centre of a perfect Cir-" cle. Where is the Nation, who would not be pleas'd with the Interview of " Adam and the Angel, with the Mountain of Vision, with the bold Strokes, "which make up the relentless, undaunted, and sly Character of Satan? But above all, with that fublime Wifdom, which Milton exerts, when ever " he dares to describe God, and to make him speak? He seems indeed to draw " the Picture of the Almighty, as like as human Nature can reach to, through "the Dust in which we are clouded. The Heathens always, the Jews often, " and our Christian Priests sometimes, represent God as a Tyrant infinitely " powerful. But the God of Milton is always a Creator, a Father, and a '' Judge; nor is his Vengeance jarring with his Mercy, nor his Predeterminations repugnant to the Liberty of Man. These are the Pictures, which 'Ift up indeed the Soul of the Reader. *Milton* in that point, as well as in many " others, is as far above the antient Poets, as the Christian Religion is above "the Heathen Fables. But he hath especially an indisputable Claim to the " unanimous Admiration of Mankind, when he defcends from those high "Flights to the natural Description of human things. It is observable, that in " all other Poems Love is reprefented as a Vice; in *Milton* only 'tis a Virtue. "The Pictures he draws of it are naked as the Perfons he speaks of, and as "venerable. He removes with a chafte Hand the Veil, which covers every " where elfe the Enjoyments of that Passion. There is softness, tenderness, and " warmth without Lasciviousness: the Poet transports himself and us into that " State of innocent Happiness, in which Adam and Eve continued for a short "time. He foars not above human, but above corrupt Nature; and as there is no instance of such Love, there is none of such Nature." Mons. de Voltaire then proceeds to remark, that the French Critics would not approve of $Milton^3$ s Excursions (k); he touches upon his Errors, as Contradictions, his frequent Glances at the Heathen Mythology; his preposterous and awkeward Jests, his Puns, and too familiar Expressions (1); and objects to the Contrivance of the Pandamonium (m); the Fiction of Death and Sin (n); the Bridge built by Death and Sin (o); the Paradife of Fools (p); and the War in Heaven (q).

The Author of Lettres Critiques a Mr. le Comte *** sur le Paradis Perdu & Reconquis de Milton: Par R^{**} . printed at Paris 1731, in 8vo, tells us (r), that "Milton is in his Kind one of the greatest Geniuses, which ever appear'd " in the World. His Imagination, which is strong, elevated, extensive, live-" ly, brilliant, fruitful, adorn'd with every thing, which the fludy of polite " Learning can add to excellent natural Parts, gives him a fuperiority over all "those, who have run the same course with him, which Virgil and Homer alone " can dispute with him," But he declares, that Paradise Lost is very far from being fo faultless a Poem as Mr. Addison represents it; and he objects against the Subject of it, which he observes to be Original Sin; whereas the Subject of an Epic Poem ought always to be an Action virtuous, or at least innocent, and happy in the Event of it (s). He concludes his Criticism with remarking (t), that the Subject and Fable of Milton's appear to be absolutely faulty; Justness, Method, Probability, Decorum, in short, every thing, which requires Art and Reflection, is extremely neglected in Milton: one would often be tempted to think, that these Qualities effential to an Epic Poem were never known to him. Of seven or eight Epic Poets, which I have now in my hands, there is not one, but is superior to him in all these Points. But these Defects are happily effac'd by the Invention, the Fruitfulness, Force, and Beauty of Imagination, which shine throughout Paradise Lost. This Compensation has the same effect upon me as the sine Passages in Homer, Archilochus, &c. had upon Longinus: I can readily fay with that learned Critic (u): "One of these beautiful Strokes and sublime Thoughts in

(k) p. 110. (l) p. 112, 113. (m) p. 113, (s) p. 2, 3, 4, 5, 12. (l) p. 182, 183. (1) p. 114, 115, 116. (o) p. 117. (u) Lorgin, Traité du Sublime, Chap. 27. (f) Ibid. (q) p. 117, 118, 119. (r) p. 2.

" the Works of these excellent Authors, is sufficient to atone for their De-

Mr. Richardson observes, (x) that "Milton's Language is English, but'tis Milton's " English; 'tis Latin, 'tis Greek English. Not only the Words, the Phraseology, the " Transpositions, but the antient Idiomis seen in all he writes. ... Poetry pretends " to a Language of its own: that of the Italian Poetry is fo remarkably peculiar, "that a Man may well understand a Prose-Writer, and not a Poet. Words, Tours of Expression, the Order of them, all has something not Prosaic. This is " observable particularly in Shakespeare, Milton has applied it to that Sublimity " of Subject, in which he perpetually engages his Reader above what Shakespeare ever aim'd at, and where this is peculiarly necessary. Nor does he want a-" bundant instances of what all good Poets have; the Sound of the Words, "their Harshness, Smoothness, or other properties, and the ranging and mix-" ing them, all help to express, as well as their Signification. . . . A Reader " of Milton must be always upon Duty: he is surrounded with Sense; it rises " in every Line, every Word is to the purpose. There are no lazy Intervals: " all has been confider'd, and demands and merits Observation. Even in the " best Writers you sometimes find Words and Sentences, which hang on so loofely, you may blow them off. Milton's are all Substance and Weight: " fewer would not have ferv'd the turn, and more would have been fuper-" fluous. His Silence has the same effect, not only that he leaves Work for " the Imagination, when he has entertained it, and furnish'd it with noble Ma-" terials; but he expresses himself so concisely, employs Words so sparing-" ly, that whoever will possels his Ideas, must dig for them, and oftentimes " pretty far below the Surface. If this is called Obfcurity, let it be remem-" ber'd, 'tis fuch a one as is complaifant to the Realler, not miltrusting his Abi-"lity, Care, Diligence, or the Candidness of his Temper; not that vicious Obscurity, which proceeds from a muddled inaccurate Head, not accuse tom'd to clear, well-separated, and regularly-order'd Ideas, or from want of Words and Method and Skill to convey them to another, from "whence always arises Uncertainty, Ambiguity, and a fort of a moonlight prospect over a Landscape, at best not beautiful. Whereas if a " good Writer is not understood, 'tis because his Reader is unacquainted with or incapable of the Subject, or will not submit to do the Duty " of a Reader, which is to attend carefully to what he reads. " crobius says of Virgil, is applicable to Milton: He keeps his Eye fix'd and intent upon Homer, and emulates alike his Greatness and Simplicity, his Rea-"diness of Speech and filent Majesty. By filent Majesty he seems to mean with Longinus, his leaving more to the Imagination than is express'd." Mr. Richardson then observes (y), that it is of no great importance, whether Paradise Lost be call'd an Heroic or a Divine Poem, or only, as the Author himself has call'd it in his Title-page, a Poem. What if it were a Composition intirely new, and not reducible under any known Denomination? But tis properly and strictly Heroic, and fuch Milton intended it, as he has intimated in his short Discourse concerning the Kind of Verse, which is presix'd to it, as also in his Entrance on the ninth Book. And 'tis not his fault, if there have been those, who have not found a Hero, or who he is. 'Tis Adam, Adam, the first, the representative of Human Race. He is the Hero in this Poem, though, as in other Heroic Poems, Superior Beings are introduc'd. The Business of it is to conduct Man thro' Variety of Conditions of Happiness and Distress, all terminating in the utmost Good; from a State of precarious Innocence, through Temptation, Sin, Repentance, and finally a secure Recumbency upon, and Interest in the Supreme Good by the Mediation of his Son. He is not such a Hero as Achilles, Ulysses, Æneas, Orlando, Godsrey, &c. all Romantic Worthies, and incredible Performers of fortunate favage Cruelties. He is one of a nobler Kind, such as Milton chefe to write of, and found he had a Genius for the purpose. He is not such a Conqueror as subdued Armies or Nations, or Enemies in fingle Combat; but his Conquest was what justly gave Heroic Name to Person and to Poem: His Hero was more than a Conqueror through him, that loved us; as Rom. viii. 37. This was declared to be the Subject of the Poem at the Entrance on it, Man's first Disobedience and Nisery, till our Restoration to a more happy State. The Design of it is also declared; 'twas to justify Providence; all

which is done. The Moral we are also directed to; and this the Poet has put into the Mouth of an Angel. Many moral Reflections are excited throughout the whole Work; but the great one is mark'd strongly XII. 745, &c. PIETY AND VIRTUE, ALL COMPRIZ'D IN ONE WORD, CHARITY, IS THE ONLY WAY TO HAP-PINESS. If the Sublimity and Peculiarity of the Matter of this Poem, if its Superiority in that respect has rais'd it above some of the Rules given by Aristotle, or whatever other Critics, and gather'd from or founded on the Iliad, Odyssey, or Eneid; it has distinguish'd it to its greater Glory. 'Tis not only an Heroic Poem, but the most so that ever was wrote. Milton did not despise Rules, such as were built upon Reason, so far as those established reached; but as his free and exalted Genius aspir'd beyond what had yet been attempted in the Choice of his Subject, himself was his own Rule, when in Heights, where none had gone before, and higher than which none can ever go. Milton's true Character as a Writer is, that he is an Antient, but born two thousand Years after his Time. His Language indeed is modern, but the best, next to Greek and Latin, to convey those Images himself conceived; and that moreover Greek'd and Latiniz'd, and made as uncommon and expressive as our Tongue could be, and yet intelligible to us for whom he wrote. But all his Images are pure Antique, so that we read Homer and Virgil in reading him; we read them in our own Tongue, as we see what they conceived, when Milton speaks; yes, and we find ourselves amongst Persons and Things of a more exalted Character. Connoisseurs in Painting and Sculpture can best tell what is the Difference of Taste in Antient and Modern Work; and can therefore best understand what I am now saying. It must suffice that I tell others, that there is a certain Grace, Majesty, and Simplicity in that Antique, which is its distinguishing Character. The same Kind of Taste is seen in Writing; and Milton has it, I think, to a degree beyond what we have ever found in any Modern Painter or Sculptor, not excepting Rafaelle himself "Those who are unaccustomed to this Train of thinking, may "only please to dip into Chaucer, Spenser, Ariosto, even Tasso, or any of the Moderns, and observe what Gothic Figures and Things present them-" felves to their Imagination, or what are comparatively mean. Let them " read even the Antients, the best of them (always excepting the most antient of all, the Pentateuch, Job, and fome other of the facred Books;) and they " will find even these fill not, nor enrich the Mind, as Milton does. His E-" den, his Chaos, Hell, Heaven, his Human Figures, his Angels good and evil, his Mediator, his God, all is superior to what is elsewhere to be found, " all are with regard to the rest like what Rafaelle's Pictures exhibit, compar'd " with what we see in those of any other Master; or (to speak more familiarly " to common Observation) they are as Westminster-Abbey, or even St. Paul's " compar'd with the Pantheon, the Colifeum, the Temple of Thefeus, or other Remains of Architecture of the purest Antiquity. Even the Prints of them, those I mean done by the best Hands, and which are not very rare, will " explain and prove what I advance. In the Parnassus (one of the famous Pic-" tures of Rafaelle in the Vatican) Dante is represented as having his Eye upon "Homer. Had Milton been put there, Homer and he ought to have been emor bracing each other. He knew him perfectly; it should not be said he copied, "he imitated him, but that they both wrote by the felf-fame poetical Genius. What is purely Milton's own, is equal at least to the best of that Prince of " Poets; and when he profits himfelf of what he has done, 'tis with equal "Beauty and Propriety. A Simile, for instance, in Paradife Lost, shines no " less than in the Iliad or the Odyssey; and some of Milton's have the same " peculiarity as we find in some of Homer, they strike firmly on the point "they are directed to, and the main Business being done, the Poet gives the "Rein a little to Fancy, entertaining his Reader with what is not otherwise to the purpose. . . . Whatever Milton has woven into his Poem of others, " still his sublimest Passages are more so than could enter the Heart of Orpheus, "Hefiod, Homer, Pindar, Callimachus, &c. fuch as the Heathen World were incapable of by infinite degrees; fuch as none but the noblest Genius could attain to, and that affifted by a Religion reveal'd by God himfelf. We have then in Paradise Lost a Collection, the Quintessence of all that is excellent in writing, frequently improv'd and explain'd better than by the best of their profess'd Commentators, but never debas'd; and a Sublimity, which all other Human Writings put together have not. To compleat all, he " has made use of all these, so as to be subservient to the great End of Poetry, which is to please and inrich the Imagination, and to mend the Heart,

" and make the Man happy."

Mr. Warburton, in an excellent Work of his, just now published in 8vo, under the title of The Divine Legation of Moses demonstrated on the Principles of a Religious Deist, from the Omission of the Dostrine of a Future State of Reward and Punishment in the Jewish Dispensation, observes (z), that Milton produced a third Species of Poetry; for just as Virgil rivalled Homer, so Milton emulated both. He found Homer possessed of the Province of Morality, Virgil of Politics, and nothing left for him but that of Religion. This he seized, as assiring to share with them in the Government of the Poetic World; and by means of the superior Dignity of his Subject, got to the Head of that Triumvirate, which took so many ages in forming. These are the three Species of the Epic Poem; for its largest Province is human Action, which can be considered but in a moral, a political, or religious View; and these the three great Creators of them; for each of these Poems was struck out at a Heat, and came to perfession from its sirst Essay. Here then the grand Scene is closed, and all surther improvements of the Epic at an end.

In 1670 he publish'd at London in 4to his History of Britain, that part especially now call'd England. From the first traditional Beginning, continu'd to the Norman Conquest. Collected out of the antientest and best Authours thereof. It is reprinted in the first Volume of Dr. Kennet's Complete History of England. Mr. Toland observes (a), that " we have not this History as it came out of his hands; " for the Licenters, those fworn Officers to destroy Learning, Liberty, and good Sense, expung'd several Passages of it, wherein he expos'd the Super-" Itition, Pride, and Cunning of the Popish Monks in the Saxon Times, but " applied by the fagacious Licenfers to Charles the Second's Bishops." Milton bestow'd a Copy of the unlicens'd Papers on the Earl of Anglesea, who, as well as feveral of the Nobility and Gentry, was his constant Visiter (b). In 1681 a confiderable Paffage, which had been suppress'd in the Publication of this History, was printed at London, in 4to, under the following title: Mr. John Milton's Character of the Long Parliament and Assembly of Divines in MDCXLI. Omitted in his other Works, and never before printed, and very seafonable for these times. To this is prefix'd a Preface to the Reader, which is as follows: "The Reader may take notice, that this Character of Mr. Milton's "was a part of his History of Britain, and by him design'd to be printed; but " out of tenderness to a party (whom neither this nor much more Lenity has had the Luck to oblige) it was struck out for some harshness, being only " fuch a Digreffion, as the Hiftory itself would not be discomposed by its o-" mission: which, I suppose, will be easily discerned by reading over the be-"ginning of the third Book of the faid History, very near which Place this "Character is to come in. It is reported (and from the foregoing Character it " feems probable) that Mr. Milton had lent most of his personal Estate upon the " public Faith; which when he somewhat earnestly and warmly pressed to " have reftor'd, (observing how all in Offices had not only feathered their " own Nests, but had enrich'd many of their Relations and Creatures, before " the publick Debts were discharged,) after a long and chargeable Attendance, " met with very fharp Rebukes; upon which at last despairing of any Suc-" cefs in this Affair, he was forced to return from them poor and friendlefs, " having spent all his Money, and wearied all his Friends. And he had not " probably mended his worldly Condition in those Days, but by performing "fuch Service for them, as afterwards he did, for which scarce any thing would appear too great." Mr. Warburton, in a Letter of Observations on Milton, having observ'd, that his English prosestile has in it something very singular and original; it has Grandeur, and Force, and Fire, but is quite unnatural, the idiom and turn of the Period being Latin; remarks, that it is best juited to his English History, this Air of Antique giving a good Grace to it; and that this History is written with great Simplicity, contrary to his Custom in his prose Works, and is the better for it. But he sometimes rises to a surprising Grandeur in the Sentiment and Expression.

In 1671 he publish'd at London, in 8vo, Paradise Regain'd. A Poem in IV Books. To which is added, Samson Agonistes. The Author John Milton. This Book is licens'd July 2d, 1670. Paradise Regain'd was translated into French,

and printed at Paris 1730 in 12mo, under the title of, Le Paradis reconquis, traduit de l'Anglois de Milton; avec quelques autres Pieces de Poësies. The four Pieces, which the Translator has added, are Lycidas, Allegro, Il Penscroso, and the Ode on Christ's Nativity. Mr. Toland (c) observes, that Paradise Regain'd was generally effected much inferior to Paradife Loft; which Milton could not endure to hear, being quite of another mind. Father Niceron (d) is of opinion, that the Title of Paradise Regain'd is not a just one, since the Subject of the Poem is the Conquest of Christ over Satan in the Desert. Mr. Warburton stiles this " a charming Poem, nothing inferior in the Poetry and Sentiments to the " Paradife Lost; but confider'd as a just Composition in the Epic Poem, infi-" nitely inferior, and indeed no more an Epic Poem than his Mansius." The Author of the Lettres Critiques above cited observes (e), that if there are not so many surprizing Beauties in this Poem, as in Paradise Lost, yet there are sewer Faults, and those less gross ones. The Rev. Mr. John Jortin (f) observes, that our Author's Paradife Regain'd "has not met with the Approbation that it deferves. It has not "the Harmony of Numbers, the Sublimity of Thought, and the Beauties of "Diction, which are in Paradife Lost. It is composed in a lower and less " striking Stile, a Stile suited to the Subject. Artful Sophistry, false Rea-" foning fet off in the most specious Manner, and refuted by the Son of God "with strong unaffected Eloquence, is the peculiar Excellence of this Poem " Satan there defends a bad Caufe with great Skill and Subtilty, as one through-" ly verfed in that Craft:

> " Qui facere assuerat " Candida de nigris, & de candentibus atra.

" His Character is well drawn." In 1732 there was printed at London, in 4to,

a Critique on this Poem, pointing out the Beauties of it.

With regard to the Tragedy of Samson Agonistes, Bishop Atterbury in a Letter to Mr. Pope, dated June 15th, 1722, writes thus: I hope you won't forget what pass'd in the Coach about Samson Agonistes. I shan't press you as to time, but some time or other I wish you would review and polish that Piece. If upon a new perusal of it (which I desire you to make) you think as I do, that it is written in the very Spirit of the Antients; it deserves your Care, and is capable of being improv'd with little trouble into a persett model and standard of Tragic Poetry; always allowing for its being a Story taken out of the Bible, which is an Objection, that at this time of Day, I know is not to be got over. Mr. Warburton likewise observes, that this Tragedy, as well as Paradise Lost and the Mask, "is a persect Piece; and as an Imitation of the Ancients, has, as it were, a certain Gloomines intermix'd with the Sublime (the Subject not very different, the Fall of two Heroes by a Woman) which shines more ferenely in his Paradise Lost."

In 1672 our Author publish'd at London, in 12mo, Artis Logicae plenior Institutio ad Petri Rami Methodum concinnata; and the Year following, a Discourse, intitled, Of true Religion, Haresse, Schism, Toleration, and what best Means may be us'd against the Growth of Popery. The Author J. M. London 1673, in 4to. He publish'd likewise the same Year, Poems, &c. upon several Occasions. By Mr. John Milton. Both English and Latin, &c. Composed at several times. With a small Trastate of Education to Mr. Hartlib. London 1673, in 8vo. This Volume contains all the Poems printed in the Edition of 1645, with the addition of several others; but in both these Editions are omitted a Sonnet to Fairfax, another to Cromwell, another to Sir Henry Vane the Younger, and that to Cyriac Skinner on his Blindness, which were first printed by Mr. Philips at the End of his Life of Milton, and prefix'd to the English Translation of our Author's State-Letters. We shall insert from the Manuscript of Milton above quoted a Collation of it with the printed Copies of some of his Poems.

Part of a MASKE.

Less than half we find exprest; Envy bid conceal the rest. MS. " Less than halfe she hath exprest:

Envie

(c) P. 43. (d) Memoires pour servir à (f) Remarks on Spenser's Poems, p. 171, &c. l'Hilloire des Hommes Illustres, Tom. X. Part II. Edit. London 1734.

p. 115. Edit. Paris 1731. (e) Lettre VI. p. 251.

" Envie bid ber hide the rest." Sitting like a Goddess bright.

MS. " Seated like a Goddesse bright." Who had thought this Clime hath held.

MS. " Who would bave thought this Clime had hel!."

What shallow-searching Fame had lest untold. MS. "Those Virtues which dull Fame hath lest untold." For know by Lot from Jove I am the Power.

MS. " For know by Lot from Jove I have the Power." Hath lock'd up mortal Sense.

MS. " Hath chain'd Mortalitie."

At a solemn Musick.

Wed your divine founds, and mint power employ, &cc.

MS. " Mixe your choise Words, and happiest founds employ,

" And as your equal Raptures temper'd fweet " In high mifterious Spoufall meet,

" Snatch us from Earth a while,

" Us of our Woes beguile,

" And to our high-rays'd Phantafie præfent

" That undifturbed Song, &c. May rightly answer, &c.

MS. " May rightly answere that melodious noise, " By leaving out those harsh illsounding Jarres

" Of clamourous Sin, that all our Musick marres;

" And in our Lives and in our Song

" May keepe in tune with Heaven, till God ere long

" To his Celestial Confort us unite

"To live and fing with him in endlesse morne of light.

On Time.

MS. " To be fet on a Clock-Cafe."

The Sonnet, which begins thus, Captain, or Colonel, hath this Title, On bis Dore, when the Citty expected an Affault; or, When the Afault was intended against the Citty, 1642.

In the Sonnet, beginning, Lady, that in the prime, instead of this Verse,

And at thy growing Vertues fret their Spleen, he had written at first,

" And at thy blooming Vertue frot their Spleen."

And instead of

Passes to Bliss at the mid Hour of Night,

he had written,

" Opens the Dore of Blifs, that Howre of Night."

His Sonnet to Mr. H. Lawes was at first written thus:

To my Friend Mr. Hen. Lawes, Feb. 9. 1645.

MS. " Harry, whose tunefull and well-measur'd Song

" First taught our English Music how to span

" Words with just Notes, when most were wont to scan " With Midas Eares, misjoyning short and long; .

"Thy Worth and Skill exempts thee from the throng,

"And gives thee praise above the Pipe of Pax::

" To after age thou shalt be writt a Man,

"That didst reform thy Art, the chief among.

"Thou honourst Vers, and Vers must lend her Wing

" To honour thee, the Priest of Phabus Quire,

" That tun'it thir happiest Lines in Hymn or Story. " Fame, by the Tuscan's Leav, shall set thee higher

"Than old Casell, whom Dante won to sing

" Met in the milder fhades of Purgatory."

His Sonnet, which begins, I did but prompt the Age, &c. has this title in the Manuscript: On the Detraction which follow'd upon my writing certain Treatises; and instead of this Line,

AnJVol. I.

An Account of the Life and Writings

And still revolt, when Truth would set them free,

he had written

" And hate the Truth wherby they should be free.

The Sonnet beginning, When Faith and Love &c. has this title, On the religious Memorie of Mrs. Catharine Thomson, my Christian Freind deceas'd, 16 Decemb. 1646; and instead of these Lines,

Meekly thou didst resigne this earthly Load

Of Death, call'd Life, &c.

he had written

" Meekly thou didst resigne this earthy Clod

" Of Flesh and Sin, which Man from Heav'n doth sever.

" Thy Works and Alms, and all thy good Endeavor

" Strait follow'd thee the path that Saints have trod,

"Still as they journey'd from this dark Abode "Up to the Realm of Peace and Joy for ever.

"Faith who led on the Way, and knew them best

" Thy Handmaids, &c."

In the Sonnet beginning, A Book was writ of late, he had written, MS. "I writt a Book of late call'd Tetrachordon,

" And weav'd it elose both Matter, Form, and Stile:

"It went off well about the Town awhile,

" Numbering good &c."

In the Verses upon the Forcers of Conscience, instead of this Line,

To jetze the widdow'd Whore Plurality,

he had written the vacant Whore; instead of To force our Consciences, " the Conteiences"; instead of shallow Edwards, " haire brain'd"; instead of

Clip your Phylatteries, though bank your Ears, "Crop yee as close as Marginal P——s Ears."

MS.

and instead of When they shall read this, "When you shall read this &c."
The Sonnet to Sir Thomas Fairfax had this title: On the Lord General Fairfax at the Siege of Colchester; and in that Sonnet, instead of these Lines,

Their Hydra heads, and the false North displays Her broken League to imp her Serpent-Wings,

. And public Faith be releved from the Brand:

he had written,

" ____though new Rebellions raife MS.

"Their Hydra-heads, and the fals North displaies

" Her broken League to impe their Serpent-Wings.

" And public Faith clear'd from the spameful Brand."

The Sonnet to Cromwell had this title: To the Lord General Cromwell, May 1652. On the Proposalls of certaine Ministers at the Committee for propation of the Gospell.

In the Sonnet to Sir Henry Vane, instead of these Lines,

– Befides to know

Both Spiritual and Civil, what each means,

What Jerves each, thou hast learn'd, which few have done.

The Bounds of either Sword to thee we owe;

Therefore on thy right hand Religion leans,

And reckons thee in chief her eldest Son:

he had written:

----Besides to know МŜ.

"What Pow're the Church and what the Civill means

"Thou teachest best, which few have ever don.

"The Bounds of either Sword to thee we ow.

" Therfore on thy firme Hand Religion leans

"In Peace, and reekons thee her eldelt Son."

In the Sonnet to Mr. Cyriac Skinner, upon his Blindness, instead of these Lines, Against Heaven's Hand or Will, nor bate one for

Of

Of Heart or Hope, but still bear up and steer Right onward:

he had written,

MS. " Against God's Hand or Will, nor bate a Jot

" Of Heart or Hope, but still attend to steer

" Uphillward."

In 1674 his Epistolarum Familiarium Lib. I. and Prolusiones quædam Oratoriæ in Collegio Christi habitæ, were printed at London in 8vo. Besides the Works already mentioned, he was prevail'd upon by the Danish Resident to get his State-Letters transcrib'd, which were printed at London in 1676, in 12mo; and translated into English, and printed at London 1694. He translated likewise out of Latin into English the Declaration of the Poles concerning the Election of their King John III. which Translation was printed at London 1674, in 4to; and wrote The brief History of Moscovie, and of thir less known Countries lying eastward of

Russia as far as Cathay; printed at London 1682, in 8vo. He died at his House in Bunbill-Row November 15th, according to Mr. Richard Smith, his Neighbour, in his Obituary (g); tho' Mr. Wood tells us (b), that it was on the 9th, or 10th of that Month. He died of the Gout, but with fo little pain, that the time of his expiring was not perceiv'd by those in the Room (i). His Body was interr'd near that of his Father in the Chancel of the Church of St. Giles's Cripplegate, being attended by a great number of his Friends (k). Mr. Fenton observes (l), that he had defired a Friend of his to enquire at that Church, whether there was any Monument there to Milton's Memory; and the Sexton shew'd a small one, which he said was suppos'd to be our Author's; but the Infcription had never been legible fince he was employ'd in that Office, which he had possess'd above forty Years. This fure could never have happen'd, fays Mr. Fenton, in so short a space of time, unless the Epitaph had been industriously eras'd; and that Supposition carries with it so much inbumanity, that I think we ought to believe it was not erected to his Memory. In his Youth he is faid to have been extremely handsome, and while he was a Student at Cambridge, he was call'd the Lady of Christ's College. The Colour of his Hair was a light brown; the Symmetry of his Features exact; enliven'd with an agreeable Air, and a beautiful Mixture of fair and ruddy (11); which occasion'd John Baptista Manso to give his Epigram upon him above quoted the fame turn of thought, which Gregory Arch-Deacon of Rome had employ'd above a thousand Years before, in praising the amiable Complexion of some English Youths. But Mr. Wood observes, that his Eyes were none of the quickest. His Stature, as we find it measur'd by himself (0), did not exceed the middle-fize; neither too lean, nor too corpulent; his Limbs well proportion'd, nervous, and active, ferviceable in all respects to his exercising the Sword, in which he much delighted, and wanted neither Skill, nor Courage, to refent an Affront from Men of the most athletic Constitutions. In his Diet he was abstemious, not delicate in the choice of his Dishes; and strong Liquors of all kinds were his Aversion. Being too sadly convinced how much his Health had suffered by Night-studies in his younger Years, he us'd to go early (seldom later than nine) to rest; and rose commonly in the Summer at four, and in the Winter at five in the Morning; but when he was not difpos'd to rife at his usual Hours, he always had one to read to him by his Bed-fide. When his Blindness restrain'd him from other Exercises, he had a Machine to swing in for the preservation of his Health; and diverted himself in his Chamber with playing on an Organ. He had a delicate Ear, and excellent Voice, and great Skill in Vocal and Instrumental Music. His Deportment was erect, open, and affable: and his Conversation easy, chearful, and instructive (p).

As he look'd upon true and absolute Freedom to be the greatest Happiness of this Life, whether to Societies or fingle Perfons, fo he thought Constraint of any fort to be the utmost Misery; for which reason he us'd frequently to tell those about

⁽²⁾ An Extract of welich is printed by Francis Peck, M. A. in the food Volume of his Defiderata Curiota, B. XIV. p. 48. Edit. London 1736, in fal. (h) Fatti Oxon. Vol. I. da, p. 41. Edit. 1654. (f) Wolling Col. 266. (r) ld. ieid. (k) Id. ibid. and Phi-

^(/) Postscript

⁽a) Defensio Secun-(b) Wood, Col. 266.

him of the intire Satisfaction of Mind, that he had conftantly imploy'd his Strength and Faculties in the Defence of Liberty, and in direct Opposition to Slavery (q). However his Attachment to Cromwell has been thought by many a great inconfishency with the Zeal, which he profess'd for Liberty; fince it is certain, that Cromwell's affuming the Protectorship was a shocking Usurpation over the Rights and Liberties of the Nation, and render'd him detestable to almost all the Republican Party. What Milton did or might alledge in excuse for his ferving under fuch a Mafter, I cannot tell; but shall give the Reader a translation of some Passages of his Defensio Secunda, in which he gives Cromwell excellent Advice, not to abuse his Power in the Office of Protector. He thus addresses himself to Cromwell(r): "You have justly rejected the title of King; "for if you, who when a private Person was able to reduce it to nothing, should, " now you are fo highly advanc'd, be captivated with it, it would be exactly the " fame case, as if after having, by the Assistance of the true God subdued an ido-66 latrous Nation, you should worship the Deities, which you had conquer'd. " Confider often with yourfelf, that your Country has intrusted you with her dear-" eft Pledge, that of her Liberty. Regard the great Expectations conceived " of you; reflect that your Countrey's Hope is intirely from you; regard the Countenances and Wounds of so many brave Men, who, under your Conduct, " have fought for Liberty; regard the Manes of those, who have died in Battle ; regard what foreign Nations may think and fay of us, and the great Things, "which they have promis'd themselves from our noble Acquisition of Liberty, and our new Commonwealth fo gloriously begun to be establish'd, which it " it prove abortive, will be the greatest Infamy to this Nation; lastly, reee gard your own Character, and never fuffer that Liberty, for which you " have pass'd thro' so many toils and dangers, to be violated by yourself, or " in any measure lessen'd by others. You cannot be free yourself, unless we " are free; for fuch is the necessary Constitution of things, that whoever in-" vades the Liberty of others, first of all loses his own, and will be first sensible of his own being a Slave. But if he, who has been the Patron, and as it were " tutelar Deity of Liberty, and been esteem'd a Man of the greatest Sanctity and " Probity, should usurp over that Liberty, which he has defended; it will be a " pernicious and almost fatal wound, not only to his Reputation, but even to that of Virtue and Picty in general. Honesty and Virtue will seem to be lost; Re-66 ligion will have little regard paid to it, and Reputation will ever after be of " fmall account; than which no greater Misfortune can befall Mankind."

He ever express'd the profoundest Reverence to the Deity as well in Deeds as Words; and would fay to his Friends, that the divine Properties of Goodnefs, Justice, and Mercy were the adequate Rules of human Actions, nor less the Object of Imitation for private Advantage, than of Admiration or Respect for their own Excellence and Persection. In his early Years he was a Favourer of the Puritans; in his middle Age he was best pleas'd with the Independents and Anabaptists, as allowing of more Liberty than others, and coming nearest, in his Opinion, to the primitive Practice; but in the latter part of his Life he was not a profess'd Member of any particular Sect among Christians; he frequented none of their Assemblies, nor made use of any of their peculiar Rites in his Family (s). Mr. Richardson observes upon this occasion (t), that "it was very probable, that as he was always very Anti-Episcopal, and no Lover of our Establish'd Church, neither could he bear with the tolerated •• Preachers after the Reftoration; those of whom he speaks, when he says (u), "that they were feen under fubtle Hypocrify to have preached their own Follies, " most of them, not the Gospel, Time-servers, covetous, illiterate Persecutors, not Lovers of Truth, like in all things whereof they accused their Predecessors.... " His Aversion to and contempt of these pretended Divines, I am the more " perfuaded of, from a Story I well remember to have heard many times fince, in fuch a manner as to make it credible, tho' otherwise, and without what we learn from the little Tract just now cited, I should still wish it was not " true. Milton had a Servant, who was a very honest, filly Fellow, and a zealous and constant Follower of these Teachers. When he came from the " Meeting,

(7) Toland p. 46. (r) Defensio Secunda, 152, & fegg. Edit. 1654. (1) Toland, p. inserted in the beginning of the third Book of the 46. (1) p. 46. (1) Character of the Long History of Britain, in the present Edition.

" Meeting, his Master would frequently ask him what he had heard, and divert himself with ridiculing their Fooleries, or, it may be, the poor Fellow's Understanding; both one and t'other probably. However this was

" fo grievous to the good Creature, that he left his Service upon it."

Mr. Wood tells us (x), that "the Estate, which his Father lest him, was but "indifferent; yet by his Frugality he made it serve him and his. Out of his "Secretary's Salary he saved 2000! which being lodg'd in the Excise, and "that Bank sailing upon his Majesty's Restoration, he utterly lost that Sum." Mr. Phillips likewise observes (y), that he lost another great Sum by Mismanagement and for want of good Advice. His House in Bread-sireet, which was all then remaining of his Paternal Estate, and which Foreigners us'd to visit out of pure Devotion, as Mr. Wood expresses it, was burnt in the Fire of London (z). Towards the latter End of his Life he contracted his Library, both because the Heirs he lest could not make a right use of it, and that he thought he could sell it more to their advantage than they would be able to do themselves (a). He died worth 1500! in Money, besides his houshold Goods (b).

He had three Daughters, who furviv'd him, all by his first Wife; Anne, the eldest; Mary, the second; and Deborah, the youngest (c). The two youngest us'd to read to him; for Mr. Philips tells us (d), that the our Author "had " daily about him one or other to read, some Persons of Man's Estate, who of " their own accord greedily catch'd at the Opportunity of being his Readers, "that they might as well reap the Benefit of what they read to him, as ob-" lige him by the Benefit of their reading; and others of younger Years were " fent by their Parents to the same End: yet excusing only the eldest Daughter, " by reason of her bodily Infirmity and distingult Utterance of Speech (which, "to fay truth, I doubt was the principal Cause of excusing her,) the other two were condemn'd to the performance of reading, and exactly pronouncing " of all the Languages of whatever Book he should, at one time or other, "think fit to peruse; viz. the Hebrew (and I think the Syriac,) the Greek, "the Latin, the Italian, Spanish, and French. All which forts of Books to be confined to read, without understanding one Word, must needs be a Trial of Patience almost beyond endurance. Yet it was endur'd by both for a " long time; yet the irkfomeness of this Employment could not be always " concealed, but broke out more and more into Expressions of uneafiness; so •• that at length they were all (even the eldeft alfo) fent out to learn fome cu-"rious and ingenious forts of Manufacture, that are proper for Women to learn, " particularly Imbroideries in Gold or Silver."

And here I shall take the Opportunity of giving a more exact Account of *Milton*'s Children and Descendants, communicated to me by my learned Friend, Mr. John Ward, F. R. S. and Prosessor of Rhetorick in *Gresham* College *London*; who just now (e) received it from a Grand-daughter of our Author.

Milton's first Wife was Mary, Daughter of Richard Powell Esq; Lord of the Manor ot Forest bill in Oxfordsbire. By her he had four Children, viz. 1. Anne, born July 29th, 1646. 2. Mary, born October 25th, 1648. 3. John, born March 16th, 1650, who died an Infant. 4. Deborah, born May 3d, 1652; of whom her Mother died in Childbed. The three Daughters all furviv'd him. Anne married a Matter-Builder, and died in Childbed of her first Child, which died with her. Mary liv'd fingle. Deborah married Mr. Abraham Clarke, a Weaver in Spittle-Fields, and died August 24th, 1727, in the 76th year of her age. She had ten Children, viz. seven Sons and three Daughters. But none of rhem had any Children, except one of her Sons, nam'd Caleb, and the youngest Daughter, whose name is Elizabeth. Caleb went over to Fort St. George in the East Indies, where he married, and had two Sons, Abraham and Isaac. Of these Abraham the elder came to England with the late Governor Harrison, but return'd again upon advice of his Father's Death; and whether he or his Brother be now living, is uncertain. Elizabeth, the youngest Child of Deborah, married Mr. Thomas Foster, a Weaver, and lives now in Pelham-street in Spittle-fields. She has had feven Children, viz. three Sons and four Daughters, who are now all dead.

Mr. Ward saw Mrs. Clarke, Milton's Daughter, at the House of one of her Relations, not long before her Death, "when she informed me, says

(x) Col. 266. (y) p. 43. (z) Wood, ubi p. 43. (c) p. 40, 41. (d) p. 41, 42. fufra. (a) Toland, p. 45, 46. (b) Philips (e) Feb. 19th, 1737-8.

Vol. I.

" fays that Gentleman, that she and her Sisters us'd to read to their Father in " eight Languages; which by practice they were capable of doing with great " readiness and accuracy, tho they understood what they read in no other " Language but English; and their Father us'd often to fay in their hearing, one Tongue was enough for a Woman. None of them were ever fent to School, but all taught at home by a Mistress kept for that purpose. Isaiah, Homer, " and Ovid's Metamorphoses were Books, which they were often call'd to read " to their Father; and at my defire she repeated a confiderable number of Ver-" fes from the beginning of both those Poets with great Readiness. I knew who she was, upon the first fight of her, by the similitude of her Countenance "with her Father's Picture. And upon my telling her fo, she informed me "that Mr. Addison told her the same thing, upon her going to wait on him. " For he, upon hearing she was living, fent for her, and defired, if she had any "Papers of her Father's, she would bring them with her, as an Evidence of " her being Mr. Milton's Daughter. But immediately upon her being intro-" duc'd to him, he faid, Madam, you need no other Voucher; your Face is a suffi-" cient Testimonial whose Daughter you are. And he then made her a hand-" fome Prefent of a purse of Guineas, with a promise of procuring for her an " annual Provision for her Life; but he dying soon after, she lost the Benefit " of his generous Defign. She appear'd to be a Woman of good Senfe and " a genteel Behaviour, and to bear the Inconveniencies of a low Fortune with

" decency and prudence."

Since I receiv'd this account, I visited Mrs. Foster, her Daughter, from whose Mouth I had the following particulars, which she had often heard from her Mother; who meeting with very ill treatment from Milton's last Wife, left her Father, and went to live with a Lady, whom she call'd Lady Merian. This Lady going over to Ireland, and refolving to take Milton's Daughter with her, if he would give his Confent, wrote a Letter to him of her Defign, and affur'd him, that as Chance had thrown bis Daughter under her eare, she would treat her no otherwise than as his Daughter and her own Companion. She liv'd with that Lady, till her Marriage, and came over again to England during the Troubles in Ireland, under King James II. Milton's Widow, tho' she own'd, that he died worth 1500 l. yet allow'd his three Daughters but 100 l. each. Mrs. Fofter inform'd me, that Milton's Father was born in France. That Milton lost 2000l. by a Money-Scrivener, whom he had intrusted with it; and that an Estate of about 60%. per Ann. at Westminster, was taken away from him at the Restoration, it belonging to the Dean and Chapter there. That his second Wife did not die in Childbed, as Mr. Philips and Toland relate, but above three Months after of a Con-That he kept his Daughters at a great distance; and would not allow them to learn to write, which he thought unnecessury for a Woman. That he feldom went abroad in the latter part of his Life, but was conftantly vifited even then by Perfons of Distinction, both Foreigners and others. That there were three Pictures of him; the first, painted while he was at School; the second, when he was about twenty-five or twenty-fix Years of Age; and the third, when he was pretty well advanc'd in Age. That her late Majesty Queen Caroline fent his Daughter, Mrs. Clarke, fifty Pounds: and that the receiv'd feveral prefents of Money from other Gentlemen.

The Arms that he us'd, and feal'd his Letters with, were Argent a spread

Eagle, with two Heads gules, legg'd and beck'd fable (f).

Before I conclude this Life, I must not omit some Verses, said to be written by our Author, (tho' others ascribe them to Mr. Andrew Marvell), and sent with Cromwell's Picture to Christina, Queen of Sweden. In these Verses Cromwell is introduced speaking thus:

Bellipotens Virgo, septem Regina Trionum, .
Christina, Artivi lucida netla poli;
Cernis quas merui durâ sub Casside rugus,
Utque senex armis impiger era tero:
Invia satorum dum per vestigia nitor,
Exequor & populi sortia justa manu.

of Mr. JOHN MILTON.

Ast tibi submittit frontem reverentior umbra; Nec sunt hi vultus Regibus usque truces.

thus translated:

" Bright Martial Maid, Queen of the frozen Zone,

- "The North's resplendent Star; behold what Furrows, The Helmet's Weight has made upon these Brows;
- "While thro' th' untrodden Paths of Fate I move, And glad perform the Nation's bold Commands. Yet this stern Shade to you submits its Frowns,

"Nor are these Looks always severe to Princes."

Mr. Philips tells us (g), that our Author "had prepar'd for the Press, an Answer to some little scribbling Quack in London, who had written a scurrilous Libel against him: but whether by the disfuasion of Friends, as think-

" ing him a Fellow not worth notice, or for what other cause I know not, this

" Answer was never publish'd."

Milton has been very injuriously treated by the anonymous Author of Remarques Critiques sur la nouvelle Edition de Distionnaire Historique de Moreri donnée en 1704, in the second Edition of the Book publish'd by Mons. Bayle at Amsterdam 1706 in 12mo. For this Writer represents him, not only as a Man absolutely without the least Religion, but likewise as a wretched Poet, and worse Orator. But such a Judgment is a Reproach only to the Person, who is rash enough to pass it.

A Monument is expected to be erected to our Author's Memory in West-minster-Abbey by William Benson Esq; one of the Auditors of the Imprest. In short, the public Honours paid to Milton, and the universal Admiration, with which his Works are read, justify what he said himself, in his Ode (b) to Mr. Rouse Library-Keeper of the University of Oxford, concerning his own Writings, even before some of the most considerable of them were composed;

At ultimi Nepotes,
Et cordatior ætas
Judicia rebus æquiora forsitan
Adbibedit integro sinu.
Tum livore sepulto,
Siquid meremur, sana Posteritas sciet,

(g) P. 40. (b) Dated Jan. 23d, 1646.

APPENDIX

TO

The LIFE of MILTON.

S Mr. Toland in his Life of Milton and Edition of that Author's Profe Works, has offer'd to the Reader the Evidence on one Side only of the following controverted Points, viz. concerning the Author of Icon Basilike, Pamela's Prayer, and the Commission faid to be given by King Charles I. in the Year 1641, to the Irish Papists, for taking up Arms against the Protestants in Ireland; I think it necessary to exhibit here the full Evidence on both sides of these Questions, which I shall endeavour to do with the utmost Brevity, that the Nature of it will admit; and shall leave the Determination upon the Whole to the Impartial and Intelligent.

DISSERTATION I.

Concerning the Author of Einen Basilian': The Portraicture of his facred Majesty in his Solitude, and Sufferings; and concerning the Prayer of Pamela, subjoin'd to feveral Editions of that Book.

MILTON in some sew Passages of his Europenhagens has infinuated, as if there were some doubt whether King Charles I. was really the Author of "Exxw Bzoilizh; particularly in his Preface, where he fays, Is to the Author of these Soliloquies, whether it were the late King, as is vulgarly believed, or any secret Coadjutor, and some stick not to name him. And again in the fourth Section (a), Whether the King, or Houshold Rhetorician; and afterwards in the same Section (b), upon the Word Demagogue, 'lis believ'd this Wording was above his known Stile and Orthegraphic, and accuses the whole Composure to be conscious of some other Author. And again in the eighth Section, concerning the Fate of the Hothams (c), So like the Quibbles of a Court Sermon, that we may safely reckon them either fetcht from such a Pattern, or that the Hand of some Iloushold Priest foisted them in. Not withstanding this, in a great many other Places he owns the Book to be the King's; and when he quotes Passages out of it, he generally uses these Expressions, The King's own Language, his own Words, his own Testimony, his Aphorism, his Besides, in his Pro Populo Anglicano Desensio (d), printed in 1651; and in his Desensio secunda (c), printed in 1654, he refers to it as the King's Work; as he does likewise in his Ready and easy Way to chablish a free Commonwealth, publish'd in 1659, where he hath these Words, Episcopacy, which no Son of Charles returning but will certainly bring back with him, if he regard the last and strictest Charge of his Father: and then quotes the very Words out of the Chapter to the Prince, and prints them in Italic Character.

But the Controverfy concerning the real Author of the Icon, began first in the Year 1686, on occasion of a Memorandum, said to be sound by Mr. Millington the Auctioneer in a vacant Page of a printed Copy of that Book,

(a) Page 28. Edit. 1649.
(b) P. 36.
(c) P. 72.
(d) Quamque facili negotio nuper unus de multis, ipfumRegem velut ab inferis refurgentem, inque illo Libro post mortem edito novis ar-

gutiis & verborum Lenociniis populo fe vendi tantem redarguit atque summovit.

(e) Jampridem Carolus hoc inter alia Præcepta Filio mandaverat in il. a Icone Bafilica

APPENDIX to the Life of MILTON.

Ixv

and supposed to be written by the Earl of Anglesey's own Hand; which Memorandum was in these Words:

MEMORANDUM.

"King Charles the Second, and the Duke of York, did both (in the last Session of Parliament 1675, when I shew'd them, in the Lords House, the written Copy of this Book, wherein are some Corrections, written with the late King Charles the First's own Hand) assure me, that this was none of the said King's compiling, but made by Dr. Gauden, Bishop or Exeter; which I here insert for the undeceiving others in this Point, by attesting so much under my Hand,

" ANGLESEY."

This occasioning a great deal of Conversation upon the Subject, some Perfons applied themselves to Dr. Anthony Walker, Rector of Fysield in Essex, who had been Curate to Dr. Gauden at Bocking in that County, and were affur'd by him, that Dr. Gauden was really the Author of Έιχων Βασιλική. This Point afterwards came to be discuss'd in Print, in several Pamphlets, of which I shall give a Detail. The first was intitled, A Letter from Major-General Ludlow to Sir E. S. [Edward Seymour] comparing the Tyranny of the furst four Years of King Charles the Martyr, with the Tyranny of the four Years Reign of the late abdicated King, occasion'd by the reading Doctor Pelling's level Harrywees when the action of Loverne Major Level Harrywees when the action of the late abdicated King, occasion's day the reading Doctor Pelling's level Harrywees when the late abdicated King, occasion's day the reading Doctor Pelling's level Harrywees when the late abdicated King, occasion's day the reading Doctor Pelling's level Harrywees when the late abdicated King, occasion's day the reading Doctor Pelling's level Harrywees when the late abdicated King, occasion's day the reading Doctor Pelling's level Harrywees when the late abdicated King, occasion's day the reading Doctor Pelling's level Harrywees when the late abdicated King, occasion's day the reading Doctor Pelling's level Harrywees when the late abdicated King, occasion's day the reading Doctor Pelling's level Harrywees when the late abdicated King, occasion's day the reading Doctor Pelling's level Harrywees when the late abdicated King, occasion's day the reading Doctor Pelling's level Harrywees when the late abdicated King, occasion's day the reading Doctor Pelling's level Harrywees when the late abdicated King, occasion when the late abdicated King, occa lewd Harangues upon the 30th of January, being the Anniversary or general Madding Day. Amsterdam, printed Anno Domini 1691, pagg. 28, in 4to. This was answer'd in A Defence of King Charles I. occasion'd by the Lyes and Scandals ef many bad Men of this Age. By Richard Hollingworth, D. D. their Majesties Chaplain, at St. Botolph Aldgate, London. London 1692, in 4to. Pagg. 40. In this Piece Dr. Hollingworth having reflected upon Dr. Anthony Walker, abovementioned, for having reported, that Dr. Gauden was Author of Έικων Βεσιλική; Dr. Walker wrote A true Account of the Author of a Book entituled 'Eixw Bzgidin'; or, The Pourtraiture of his facred Majesty in his Solitudes and Sufferings. With an Answer to all Objections made by Dr. Hollingworth and others, in Defence of the said Book. Published for publick Satisfaction, and in Vindication of the Author hereof. London, 1692, in 4to. Pagg. 37. At the End of it is this Advertisement; "The Reverend Au-"thor, Dr. Anthony Walker, coming to London to publish this Treatise, it " pleased God, before it was finished at the Press, to take him to himself." But for the Satisfaction of any that are doubtful herein, there are several " credible Persons, that can testify the Truth hereof; and the Manuscript "Copy, under the Doctor's own Hand, will evidence the fame." Dr. Hollingworth replied to this in a Piece, intitled, A Defence of King Charles the First's boly and divine Book against the rude and undutiful Assaults of the late Dr. Walker of Essex. London, 1692, in 4to. Pagg. 27. The same Year was published a Pamphlet, intitled, A Letter from General Ludlow to Dr. Hollingworth, their Majesties Chaplain, at St. Botolph Aldgate. Defending his former Letter to Sir E.S. which compared the Tyranny of the first four Tears of King Charles the Martyr, with the Tyranny of the four Years of the late abdicated King; and vindicating the Parliament, which began in November 1640. Occasioned by the Lyes and Scandals of many lad Men of this Age. Amsterdam 1692, in 4to, Pagg 70. Dated at Amsterdam, January 30th, 1691-2. Upon this Dr. Hollingworth published A second Defence of King Charles I. By way of Reply to an infamous Livel, called Ludlow's Letter to Dr. Hollingworth. London, 1692, in 4to, Pagg. 53. with a Postscript of two Pages. Soon after this there appeared a Pamphlet intitled, Ludlow no Lyar; or a Detection of Dr. Hollingworth's Difingenuity in his second Defence of King Charles I. and a further Vindication of the Parliament of the 3d of November 1640. With exact Copies of the Pope's Letter to King Charles the First, and of his Answer to the Pope. In a Letter from General Ludlow to Dr. Hollingworth. Together with a Reply to the false and malicious Assertions in the Dostor's lewed Pamphlet, entituled, His Defence of the King's Holy and Divine Book, against the rude and undutiful Assaults of the late Dr. Walker of Ellen. Amsterdam, 1692, in 4to. Dated at Geneva, May 29th, 1692. The same Year Dr. Hellingworth published The C1: Vol. I.

Character of King Charles I. from the Declaration of Mr. Alexander Henderfon, (principal Minister of the Word of God at Edinburgh, and chief Commisfioner from the Kirk of Scotland, to the Parliament and Synod of England) upon his Death-bed. With a farther Defence of the King's Holy Book. To which is annex'd, some short Remarks upon a vile Book, called, Ludlow no Lyar. With a Defence of the King from the Irish Rebellion. London, 1692, in 4to. Pagg. 28. This occasion'd the following Pamphlet; Truth brought to Light: Or the gross Forgeries of Dr. Hollingworth in bis Pampblet, intituled, The Character of King Charles the First, from the Declaration of Mr. Alexander Henderson, &c. detected. Being a Vindication of Mr. Henderson and Dr. Walker from the Aldgate Chaplain's vile Scandals. To which is annex'd, A manifest Proof, that Dr. Gauden (not King Charles I.) was the Author of Icon Bufilike, by a late happy Discovery of his Original Papers upon that Occasion. In a Letter from Lieutenant General Ludlow to Dr. Hollingworth. London, 1693, in 4to. Pagg. 40. The same Year Thomas Long, B. D. Prebendary of Exeter, publish'd a Piece, intituled, Dr. Walker's true, modest, and faithful Account of ibe Author of EIKON BASINIKH strictly examined, and demonstrated to be false, impudent and deceitful. In two Parts: The first disproving it to be Dr. Gauden's; the second proving it to be King Charles the First's. London, 1693, in 4to. Pagg. 57. And Mr. Thomas Wag staffe published, without his Name, A Vindication of King Charles the Martyr, proxing that his Majesty was the Author of Eurov Browning, against a Memorendum said to be written by the Earl of Anglesey, and against the Exceptions of Dr. Walker, and others. London, 1693, in Svo. This was reprinted with Additions at London, 1697, in Svo. In 1698, Mr. Toland in his Life of Milton, endeavour'd to shew, that King Charles was not the Author of that Book; which Assertion he further urg'd against Mr. Wagstaffe's Vindication, in his Amyntor, or a Defence of Milton's Life, printed at London, 1699, in Svo. This occasion'd Mr. Hagsteffe to publish A Defence of the Vindication of King Charles the Martyr, justifying his Majesty's Title to "Eixw Bzoilin. In Answer to a lete Pamphlet, inticled, Amyntor. By the Author of the Vindication. Lendon, 1699, in 4to, There was also publish'd on the same Side, Several Evi-Pagg. 96. dences which have not yet appeared in the Controversy, concerning the Author of EIKON BASILIKE; produced in a Letter to the Rev. Mr. Wagstaffe. By J. Y. [Young] of Plymouth. In 1711, Mr. Wagstaffe published a third Edition of his Vindication, with large Additions, and prefix'd to it A Preface, wherein the bold and infolent Affertions published in a Passage of Mr. Bayle's Distionary, relating to the present Controversy, are examined and confuted. Together with Jome original Letters of King Charles I. under his own Hand, never before printed, and faithfully copied from the faid Originals.

The Evidence urg'd against the King's being the Author of the Book in question, is the Earl of Anglesey's Memorandum above-mentioned, and the Authority of Dr. Anthony Walker, who declares, 1. That "Dr. Gauden some time before the whole was finish'd, acquainted him "with his Design, and shew'd him the Heads of divers Chapters, and " fome of the Discourses written of them; and after some time spent in the "Perufal, he asked his Opinion concerning it; and he (Dr. Welker) told "him, he supposed it would be for the King's Reputation, but he expressly "added, he stuck at the Lawfulness of it, and ask'd him how he satisfied himself so to impose upon the World. To which Dr. Gauden replied, look on the Title; 'tis the Pourtraiture, &c. and no Man draws his own " Picture, &c. That he perfectly remember'd, that Dr. Gouden told him, that " by these Words in the second Chapter of the Death of the Earl of Strafford, · He only hath been least vexed by them, who counselved me not to consent against " the vote of my Conscience, he meant the then Binop of London, Dr. Junon " (f). 2. That being both in London, in an afternoon Dr. Gauden atk'd him " to walk with him to a Friend, and in the going told him, he was going " to the Bithop of Salisbury, Dr. Duppa, (whom he had acquainted with his "Design) to fetch what he had left with his Lordship to be perused, or to shew him what he had farther written. That Dr. Gauden desir'd him, " after a general Conversation to withdraw, which he did; and that upon their " return Dr. Gauden said to him, that my Lord of Saliflury told him, there " Were

"were two Subjects more he wish'd he had thought on, and propounded "them, viz. the Ordinance against the Common-Prayer, and the denying his " Majesty the Attendance of his Chaplains; and defir'd him to write two " Chapters upon them; which the Bishop recall'd, and desir'd him to finish " what remain'd, and leave those to him; and that Dr. Gauden did not pre-"tend to have written those, as he did to have done all the rest (g)". 3. That upon Dr. Walker's asking Dr. Gauden (after the King was murder'd) whether the King had ever feen the Book, Dr. Gauden answer'd, I know it certainly no more than you, but I us'd my best Endeavours that he might; for I deliver'd a Copy of it to the Marquiss of Hertford, when he went to the Treaty at the Isle of Wight, and intreated his Lordship, if he could obtain any private Opportunity, he would deliver it to his Majesty, and humbly desire to know his Majesty's Pleasure concerning it. But the Violence, which threatned the King, hastning so fast, he wentur'd to print it, and never knew what was the Islue of sending it; for when the thing was done, he judg'd it not prudent to make farther Noise about it by enquiry. 4. Dr. Walker asking him, (for we seldon, fays he, were in private but somewhat was discoursed of this Book, even to the last time I saw him, after he was Lord Bishop of Worcester elect) whether King Charles II. knew that he wrote it? he answer'd, I cannot positively and certainly say be doth, because he was never pleased to take express notice of it to me; but I take it for granted he doth, for I am sure the Duke of York doth, for he bath spoken of it to me, and own'd it as a seasonable and acceptable Service; and he knowing it, I question not but the King also doth. 5. Mrs. Gauden the Doctor's Wife, Mr. Gifford and Dr. Walker, believed it as much as they could believe any thing, and were as much assured of it as 'tis possible they could be of any Matter of Fast (b). 6. Dr. Gauden deliver'd to him with his own Hand what was last fent up, (after part was printed, or at least in Mr. Royston's band to be printed) and after he had shewed it him, and fealed it up, gave kim strist caution to deliver it; which he did on Saturday December 23, 1648, in the Evening, according to direction, to one Peacock (Brother to Dr. Gauden's Steward or Bailiff, some time before deceased) who was instructed by what hands to deliver it to Mr. Royston; and in the same manner after the Impression was finished, he received six Books by the Hand of Peacock, as an Acknowledgment; and one of them he hath still by him (i). To these Particulars Dr. Walker adds (k), that the Reason why the Covenant is more favourably mention'd in "Εικων Βεσιλική than the King or any other of his Party would do, was, because Dr. Gauden himself had taken it; that in the devotional part of the Book, there occur feveral Expressions, which were ha bitual to Dr. Gauden in his Prayers; and that to his knowledge it was Dr Gauden, who made that Collection of Sentences out of it, intitled Apothegmata Caroliniana.

This Evidence in behalf of Bishop Gauden supported by some Papers, said to be in the hands of Mr. Arthur North, a Merchant, living on Tower-Hill, which Papers are faid to be fent by Mrs. Gauden, the Bithop's Wife, to her Son Mr. John Gauden; after whose Death they came into the hands of Mr. Charles Gauden, and after his Death, to Mr. North. Amongit these Papers there is faid to be (l), A Letter from Sir Edward Nicholas, Secretary of State, dated in January 1660, to Dr. Gauden, then Bishop of Exeter, wherein the Secretary tells him, that he wrote by the King's Command, to acquaint him, that his Majesty had received his Letter; that he had him in his Thoughts; and that he should not have long Cause to complain of his Removal from Bocking. 2. A Copy of a Letter from the Bishop to the Lord Chancellor Hyde, dated December 28th, 1661, and a Copy of a Petition to the King, written by the Bishop's own Hand; in which he represents what Hazards he had run of Life and Estate, and what great Advantage had accrued to the Crown by his Service; that what he had done was for the conforting and encouraging of the King's Friends, exposing bis Enemies, &c. and that what was done like a King, should have a King-like Retributton. 3. A Copy of a Letter from the Bishop to the Duke of York, dated

(g) flid p. 4. 5. (b) Ibid. p. 5. (i) Ibid p. 5, 6. (k) p. 7. (l) Truth brought to Ligna, p. 36 & feq.

ted 17 Jan. 1661, strongly urging the great Service he had done, and importunately begging his Royal Highness to intercede for him with the King. 4. An original Letter from the Lord Chancellor Hyde to the Bishop, dated March 13th, 1661, importing, that the Chancellor had received feveral Letters from him; and that he was uneasy under the Bishop's Importunity, excusing his not being yet able to serve him, and mentioning a Commendam to his Bishopric; and towards the Close there is this Expression, The Particular you mention has indeed been imparted to me as a Secret: I am forry Iever knew it; and when it ceases to be a Secret, it will please none but Mr. Milton. 5. An Original Letter of Mrs. Gauden to her Son Mr. John Gauden, after the Death of her Husband; in which she speaks of the Book commonly called the King's Book, and calls it the Jewel; and tells her Son, that her Husband hoped to make a Fortune by it; and wonders it should be doubted whether her Husband wrote it; but says, that she has a Letter of a very great Man, which will clear it up. 6. A long Narrative of Mrs. Gauden's Handwriting; shewing, that her Husband wrote the Book; which she sent to her Son with the Letter, wherein she fays she had fent it, that she might be a Clavis to him. This Narrative fets forth, "That after her Husband had wrote " the Book, he shew'd it to the Lord Capel, who approved of it, and was for " the printing of it; but wish'd the King might have a fight of it. That im-" mediately after an Opportunity was taken to convey it to his Majesty by the " Lord Marquis of Hertford, when he went to the Treaty at the Isle of " Wight. That the Marquis, after his Return from thence, told her Husband " that he gave the Book to the King; and his Majesty did well like it; but " was for putting it out, not as his own, but another's. But it being urg'd, " that Cromwell and others of the Army having got a great Reputation with " the People for Parts and Piety, it would do best to be in the King's Name; " his Majesty took time to consider of it. That the Marquis told her Hus-" band, that he knew not what was become of the Papers, and faid, God "knows what will become of the King. That her Husband not hearing " the King's Pleasure about it, and finding Danger hastening on him, he ha-" ving kepta Copy by him, fent it by one Mr. Simmons, a persecuted Mi-" nifter, to the Press, together with a Letter. That Mr. Royston was the " Printer, but did not know but that the King wrote it. That part of it was " feiz'd in the Press, together with her Husband's Letter, and Mr. Simmons " was taken. Nevertheless the Work was carried on, and finish'd a few Days " after his Majesty's Death. That when it was published, the Parliament was enraged, and infinitely follicitous to find out the Author, and they " took that very Manuscript which her Husband had sent to his Majesty, " and faw that it was none of his Majesty's Hand-writing; and they appoin-" ted a Committee to examine the Business; and her Husband conceiving his " Life and Estate in danger, fled to Sir John Wentworth's near Tarmouth, " intending thence to pass the Seas; but Mr. Simmons falling sick, and dving " foon after, not having been examined, and it not being discovered, that " her Husband was concern'd in it (the Letter, which had been taken, having " no Name to it) he alter'd his Purpose, and return'd home. That there " was an Epistle at first intended. That the first Title was Suspiria Rega-" lia, but chang'd to Icon Bafilike; and that there were two Chapters " added. That the Marquis of Hertford, the Lord Capel, Bishop Duppa, "and Dr. Morley, were at first the only Persons privy to it. That after the King's Restoration, Dr. Morley told her Husband, that his Merit was " fuch, that he could ask nothing but he would receive it. That Bishop "Duppa of Winchester being very fick, her Husband went to the King, and " acquainted him, that he was the Author of the Book; and for the Truth " thereof appealed to Bishop Duppa his Majesty's Tutor, who was yet liv-" ing; and made an Apology for printing it without his Majesty's Father's " Order, or his; but pleaded the Circumstance of Time, and the King's "Danger. That his Majesty told her Husband, that till then he never "knew, that he wrote it; but thought it was his Father's; yet wonder'd " how he could have Time; and observ'd, that it was wrote like a Scholar, " as well as like a King; and faid, that if it had been published foother, it " might have fav'd his I ather's Life. That at the same time the King gave

him a Promise of the Bishopric of Winebester. That he asterwards acquainted the Duke of York, that he was the Author of that Book, which went under his Father's Name; and that the Duke answered, he had thought that his Father wrote it. That her Husband then told his Highmes, that the King had promis'd him the Bishopric of Winebester; and that his Highness assur'd him of his Favour. That Bishop Duppa dying, her Husband applied to the King upon his Promise; but Dr. Morley, who had told her Husband, that he might have what he would ask, got it, and her Husband was made Bishop of Worcester; but having enjoy'd it but about half a Year, fell sick and died. That she petition'd the King, setting forth, That her Husband left her a Widow, with sour Sons and a Daughter; that it cost her Husband 200 l. to remove from Exeter to Worcester; and pray'd his Majesty to bestow the half Year's Rents upon her; which he denied, and gave them to another."

I proceed now to state the Evidence on the other side of the Question, in favour of King Charles I.

With regard to the Memorandum, it is observed (m), I. That both King Charles II. and James II. have attested the contrary to what is afferted in the Memorandum, by their Letters Patents to Mr. Royston, granting him the sole Privilege to print all the Works of King Charles I. Those of King Charles II. bear date November 29th, 1660, and expressly mention the Fidelity of Mr. Royston to King Charles I. and to himself, and in these remarkable Words: In printing and publishing many Messages and Papers of our said blessed Father, especially those most excellent Discourses and Solvioquies by the Name of "Engen Betallet." Those of King James II. bear date February 22d, 1685, and expressly refer to the first Edition of the King's Works in 1662, in which his Majesty declares, That all the Works of his Royal Father were collessed and published.

II. The Memorandum bears no Date, with respect to the exact Time, when the King and the Duke gave the Lord Anglesey this Assurance. It says indeed, in the last Session of Parliament, 1675. But this is express'd very ambiguously; and the Question is, Whether by last Session the Memorandum means the last before the writing the Memorandum, or with respect to it; or the last Session of the Year. If the last with respect to the writing of the Memorandum, then we are not directed by the Memorandum, when that Sefsion was; for it self having no date, we have no possible Means to know the time of that Seffion. And as it gives us no determinate time, when those Words were spoken, so likewise has it no Date, when the Memorandum it felf was written. It is penn'd, as if there was a Fear of having it disprov'd. Had the Day been nam'd, when the King and the Duke of York had said this, perhaps by some unlucky Circumstance or other it might have appear'd, that one or both of them together (which was very rare) were not at the House that Day. Had the Memorandum been punctually dated, something might have happen'd to have prov'd, that the Earl of Anglesey was at that time travelling, or in the Country from his Study, or otherwife unlikely to have made fuch a *Memorandum* at that time (n).

III. It is unattested by any Witness, and (as the Case stands) it is impossible it should be, except there were one or more Persons, who saw the Earl of Anglesey write or sign it (o).

IV. It was the most improbable and unlikely Course, which could be taken, to answer those Ends mention'd in the Memorandum, viz. for the undecciving of others, to lodge it in a vacant Page of a Book, never to be seen 'till after the Earl's Death, and then liable to a thousand Contingencies, to be torn, to fall into private Hands, to lie neglected, and never see the Light (p).

V. The Memorandum afferts, that the Earl shew'd the King and the Duke the written Copy of this Book. Now is it not wonderful, that his Lordship should not insert this Memorandum in that very Manuscript, which he shew'd to them; but go and search in his Study for another Book to place it in? In the mean time it deserves Enquiry, Whether the Earl had such a Manuscript of the Fancor? If he had not, the Memoran-

(m) Wagferfie's Vindication of King Checks, 3d Edit. p. 4. (n) Id p. 7. 8, 9 (o) Id. p. 9, 10. (p) Id. p. 10, 11.

dum is at an end; if he had, the Memorandum tells us, that there were in it Corrections written with King Charles the First's own Hand. Then the Queltion is, Was the printed Book according to those Corrections, or not? If according to the Corrections, then Dr. Gauden's Title is at an end; for all the Narratives and Accounts of that fide fay, that it was printed by a Copy, which the King never faw. If not according to those Corrections, then that Manuscript snew'd to the King in the House could not properly be said to be a Copy of that printed Book, in which the Memorandium was written, because those Corrections ought to have been excepted (q).

VI. There is reason to believe, that there never was such a Manuscript, and confequently no fuch Memorandum, but that they were both forg'd at the

fame Anvil; because,

1. Mr. Millington, tho' he often pretended that he had it, and promis'd to shew it to Mr. Wagstaffe, always refus'd it, when Mr. Wagstaffe waited up-

on him for that purpole.

2. Lord Altham, the Earl of Anglesey's Son, inform'd Mr. Wagstaffe in a Letter, " That he had fent to Mr. Millington, and desir'd a Sight of the " Memorandum, which Millington refus'd to fend, but promis'd to bring it "himself, either that or the next Day; but he never came, so that his Lord-" ship could say nothing as to the Hand-writing; but if he may be allowed " to judge of the Memorandum by the confus'd manner, in which it is ex-" press'd, clogg'd with Parentheses, he should not think it was penn'd by " my Lord Anglesey, who was always observ'd to have a great Happiness in " expressing himself easily and plainly. And he looks upon it to be no more " his Father's Memorandum than Pamela's Prayer was the King's, but both " alike forg'd; because neither himself, nor any of the Family that he knows of, ever heard his Father question the King's being the Author, or say any "thing contain'd in the Memorandum. And as to the Manuscript, which the "Memorandum refers to, he had oftentimes the Keys of his Father's Library, " and Liberty to peruse what Books he pleased; but he never saw such a Ma-" nuscript, nor doth he know that my Lord Anglescy ever had such a Mann-" script." In a second Letter the Lord Altham declar'd, That he had been turning over his Father's Papers, amongst which he found a Parliament-Diary, written by himself, and relating particularly to himself, of that Year the Memorandum refers to; in which there are many things of far less Consequence, and particularly some things the King said to him in that House, but not one Syllable of what is express'd in the Memorandum (r). The Lord Altham had srequently and vivi voce declar'd all the same Matters (except what relates to the Parliament-Diary) to several Persons (f).

VII. The Writers on the other fide reckon as a remarkable Piece of Providence, the cafual finding of this Memorandum. Dr. Walker says (t), that Millington casually opening the Book upon the Sale; and Mr. Toland writes (u), that Millington putting up an Icon, and a few bidding very low for it, he had leifure to turn over the Leaves, when to his great furfrize he perceived the Memorandum. Now, this is a gross Falshood, since long before the Auction of the Lord Anglesey's Library, Aillington carried the Book about with him in his Pocket, and shew'd it to several Persons. And it seems extremely fuspicious, that he should take this particular Book from the whole Library, into his own private keeping, distinct from the rest, and carry it about in his Pocket for a confiderable time; and when he fold the Book, he tore the Leaf, on which the Mimorandum was written, and kept it ever after, that no body

could fee it without his Licence and Presence (w).

VIII. There is no Appearance, nor so much as Presumption, that the two Royal Brothers ever faid what is contain'd in the Memorandum to any other Person (x).

IX. Dr. James Canaries, in a Letter dated at Abingdon in Berks, July 17, 1693, writes, that his Father was affur'd by Mr. James Wood, one of the Ministers of St. Andrews, and Provost or Principal of the Old College in that

```
(q) Id p. 11. 12.
(r) Id. p. 12.13.14.
(r) Tourg's teveral Pvidences, which have not yet appeared in the Controversy
```

concerning the Author of "Erzwr Bastetal, P. 22.
(2) Anymor, p. 86.
(20) Wagfigue, p. 15, 16
(2) Id. p. 17.

University, and one of the Commissioners from Exotland to King Charles II. at Breda, in 1650, "That when he waited upon the King there, his Ma"jesty began a Discourse about his Father's Book, several Persons of
"Quality being present; and after a little Talk he turn'd to Mr. Wood, and
"faid to him, Mr. Wood, I hear that some are pleased to say, was my Father
"was not the Author of that Book. But it is no great wonder, that though,
"who have been so injurious to him upon all other respects, should not start
"his Moment in an Affair of this nature. However, I will less as he have "his Memory in an Affair of this nature. However, I will let you be how great a Calumny this is. Whereupon the King took Mr. Wood into his "Closet with him, and there he shew'd him the whole Book written all in " his Father's Hand, together with a Letter from his Father concerning it " to him. Then the King said, But, Mr. Wood, that you may not entertain "any Scruple about the Hand, here are several of my Father's Letters to me, all written in his own Hand; take any of them, and compare the Hand; together. So Mr. Wood compar'd the Hands, and then taid to the King, that he was fully convinced, that the Book and the Letter about it, were " all written in his Father's Hand. Upon which the King faid to him, " Now Mr. Wood, I appeal to you, whether or not my Father would have "ever written over a Book that was not his ewn, and have fent fuch a Letter to me about it?" Mr. Wood upon his Return to Scothand, told Dr. Canaries's Father the whole Passage, with all its Circumstances (y).

X. King Charles II. in a Letter dated at Beauvois, March 15th, 1650, to Monfieur Testard, a Protestant Minister of Blois, who was at that time translating "Emus Browlind into French, stiles it an incomparable Book composed by the

late King our Father of glorious Memory (z).

XI. King James II. in his Letters to the Lords and others of the Privy Council, to be communicated to the rest of the Nobility, the Lord Mayor of London, &c. dated at St. Germains en Laye, January 14th, 1688-9, giving the Reasons of his withdrawing, among others hath these Words: Together with a ferious Reflection on a Saying of our Royal Father of bleffed Memory, when he was in like Circumstances, That there is little Distance between the Prisons and the Graves of Princes, which afterwards prov'd too true in his Case; could not but persuade us to make use of that, which the Law of Nature gives to the meanest, of freeing our selves from Confinement and Restraint. These Expressions are in the 28th and last Chapter of this Book, and in the first Paragraph of that Chapter. Now this proves, in opposition to the Miemerandum, that King James believ'd, that his Father was the Author of that Book (a).

I shall now exhibit the Evidence brought to shew, that King Charles I. and no other Person, was the Author of the Book. And this Evidence is of two kinds, external, relating to outward Testimonies; and internal, drawn

from the Thing itself.

With regard to the external Exidence, I shall consider what is said in an-

fwer to the Attestation of Dr. Walker, and the Evidence of the Papers in the hands of Mr. North.

As for Dr. Walker's Account, I. All that is material in it, is resolved into the Testimony of Dr. Gauden himself, viz. That Dr. Gauden acquainted him with his Design; that Dr. Gauden told him the Discourse of the Bithop of

Salifbury, &c.

II. That which feems to be otherwife, is of no Validity at all; because, 1. It only feems to be fomething more, but in truth is not. It is indeed express'd, as if Dr. Walker had given us ocular Testimony, that he had seen the Heads and some of the Discourses; but this is very defective in a necesfary and material Point, and does not come up to any strict Evidence; for the he says, that Dr. Gauden sheete'd kim the Heads of divers Chapters, and some of the Discourses written of them, and some Time being spent in the perusal; yet that which should make this a Proof, that they were written by Dr. Gauden, is altogether wanting; which is, that they were written with Dr. Gauden's own Hand.

3. About

2. About a Year and a little more before the publishing of his printed Book, Dr. Walker gave an Account of his Knowledge concerning Linux Bxσιλική to Dr. Charles Goodall, President of the College of Physicians in London, which deserves to be compared with his Book. For when Dr. Walker gave that Testimony, he pretended that it was the cobole Knowledge that he had, or that he could remember concerning the scon. This Testimony was given March 23d, 1690-1, a little more than a Year before his Book was publish'd, probably not half a Year before it was compos'd. And yet by comparing it with his other Account, we shall perceive a very great Difference between them; and that there is not an entire Agreement in any one of the Paragraphs, but there are either Alterations, or Additions, or Subtractions, or Contradictions; of which Mr. Wagstaffe gives several Instances, with Observations upon them (b). With regard to the Contradictions, we may remark, that Dr. Walker, in his Testimony of March 23, 1690, writes thus: Dr. Walker and Mr. Gifford were both privy to those Affairs, living together in the Bishop's House; the the Doctor is uncertain, whether he ever read this Book in Manuscript, or only saw it with its Title of the Chapters, the he thinks that Mr. Giffard might copy it out. Now this is a flat Contradiction to his printed Relation (c), that Dr. Ganden acquainted him, some time before the Whole was finish'd, with his Design, and shew'd him the Heads of divers Chapters, and some of the Discourses written of them; and after some time fpent in the perusal, he ask'd his Opinion concerning it. With regard likewise to Mr. Gifford's being privy to the Affair of Dr. Gauden's writing the "Εμμην Βεσιλική, there is Evidence to the contrary, viz. That he believ'd that Book to be written by King Charles (d). Befides, what Credit is to be given to Dr. Walker's Affeverations, will appear from hence, that in his Account, p. 8. he writes thus: I am as fure as I can be of any thing, that Dr. Gauden made the Extract out of this Book, call'd Apothegmata Carolina; and produces this as a strong Reason, that Dr. Gauden made the Book. Now this is absolutely false; for it was Dr. Hooker, who made that Extract, the same who corrected "Einou Broiding itself, when it was printed at Mr. Dugard's Press (2); and Dr. Walker himself tells us, that the Apothegmata was printed by Dugard.

Let us now confider the Papers in the hands of Mr. North, feveral Particulars of which Mr. Wagstaffe clearly confutes (f). He remarks among other things (g), with Regard to this Passage in Lord Chancellor Hude's Letter, The Particular you mention has indeed been imparted to me as a Secret: I an forry I ever knew it; and when it ceases to be a Secret, it will please none but Mr. Milton; that the Earl of Clarendon, Son of the Lord Chancellor, inform'd him, in a Letter dated at Swallowfield, October 221, 1694, "That preparing to attend his Father in France, in the beginning of the "Year 1674, his Lordship went first to Farnham, to the late Bishop of Win-" ton [Dr. Morley] on the 14th of May; and among several things his "Lordship had in charge from the Bishop to his Father, he bad him tell " him, that the King had very ill People about him, who turn'd all things " into Ridicule; that they endeavour'd to bring him to have a mean Opi-" nion of the King his Father, and to persuade him that his Father was not "the Author of the Book, which goes under his Name. And when (after " his Lordship's Arrival in France, May 30th, 1674,) his Lordship had deli-" ver'd his Father these Particulars among others, to that concerning the "Book, his Father reply'd, Good God! I thought the Marquis of Hertford had " fatisfy'd the King in that Matter." From this Letter it appears, that the Lord Chancellor Hyde did not himself believe, that any other Person was the Author of that Book besides the King; and that he was surprized, that any Person should go about to perfuade the King, that his Father was not the Author of it. And this being almost thirteen Years after the Date of the former Letter from the Lord Chancellor to Dr. Gauden, it is evident, that whatever may be the Meaning of these Expressions, the Secret that would please none but Milton, they nei-

(b) p. 29, & feq. (c) p. 4. (d) Dr. 43, 44. (f) p. 48. & feq. (g) p. 45. Walker's Account examin'd. By Tho. Long, 45, 47. B. D. p. 6. (e) ld. p s. and Wagflaffe, p.

ther do nor can mean the Secret of Dr. Gauden's being the Author of

Mr. Wagstaffe likewise observes (g), that with respect to Dr. Gauden's Services, which might be the Plea he made to the King, he did indeed write and publish two Books, the one a Protestation against the King's Death, printed for Mr. Royston, dated Jan. 5, 1648; and another proving the Non-obligation of the Covenant; which might put him into the King's favour. And in truth it is very probable, that the Protestation was the only thing in which Dr. Gauden was concerned; and being printed by Mr. Royston, and about the same time, might be the Occasion of all this Mistake, and might be the Book he gave to the Marquis of Hertford, &c. if any fuch thing was ever done.

He then tells us (b), That the Whole of these Papers is finally resolved into the fingle Testimony of Dr. Gauden himself; and of what Consideration

that ought to be in this Case, will appear from these Particulars:

I. That a Man's own Evidence in his own Cause labours under very great Prejudices, especially when there is another Claim and Pretender in possession of the Thing in Controversy.

II. It is always refus'd, when any Interest or Advantage is to be reap'd by it. Now it is remarkable, that Mrs. Gauden owns, that her Husband hoped to make a Fortune by it; and these very Papers infinuate too much of an ambitious and felfish Temper in Dr. Gauden; for they lay before us a very strange and immodest magnifying of his own Merits, and an immoderate Defire of Reward, and undue Sollicitation for it.

III. Another thing, which would take off the Force of Dr. Gauden's Testimony in this Case, supposing that he ever attested it, is the Immorality and Infamy of the whole Practice, which must be charged upon him on such a Supposition; and that is, writing a Book in the King's Name, and therein personating him in the Acts of Piety, Devotion and high Points of Conscience; which, whatever the End might be, in the foftest Language, is first inventing a Falshood, and then imposing it on the World, and (as these Papers intimate) on the King too; for they plainly tell us, he never had the King's Consent (i).

These Papers likewise contradict Dr. Walker's Account in direct Terms; and of these Contradictions, all of them in Matter of Fact, Mr. Wagstaffe gives us thirteen Instances (k). In Dr. Walker's Evidence, Dr. Gauden did not certainly know, and no more than Dr. Walker himself, whether King Charles I. bad ever seen the Book. But in Mrs. Gauden's Evidence, the Marquis of Hertford told him, that he gave the Book to the King. In Dr. Walker's, He never knew what was the Issue of sending it. But in Mrs. Gauden's, That the King liked it well, but was for putting it out, not as his own, &c. In Dr. Walker's, When the Thing was done, he judg'd it not prudent to make further Noise about it by Enquiry; nor was it necessary for him to do so, as Mrs. Gauden represents it, when the Marquis had told him already, and by such a remarkable Circumstance, that Cromwell, &c. having got a great Reputation with the People for Parts and Piety, it would do best to be in the King's Name; and his Majesty took time to consider of it. In Dr. Walker's Evidence, Dr. Gauden could not positively and certainly say, that King Charles II. knew that be wrote it. But in Mrs. Gauden's, he told that King himself, that he was the Author of it, and appealed to Bishop Duppa for the Truth of it. In Dr. Walker's he gave this as a Reason why he could not positively say it, viz. because the King was never pleas'd to take express Notice of it to him. in Mrs. Gauden's, the King took express Notice of it to him, and told him, that till then he never knew that he wrote it, but thought it led been his Father's; yet wondred how he could have time, &c. and that had it been publish'd fooner, it might have faved his Father's Life. And all this by a very good Token, that at the same time the King promis'd him the Bishopric of Winchester, In Dr. Walker's, he collects the King's knowing it by Inference, and takes it for granted, because he is sure the Duke of York doth, and he knowing it, he does not question but the King also doth. But in Mrs. Gauden's, he acquainted the King himself; and not only so, but he acquainted the King first, and the Vol. I.

(g) p. 45, 46. (b) p. 56. (i) p. 56, 57, 58. (k) Defence of the Vindication, p. 53, 54, 55, 56.

Duke of York afterwards, as Mrs. Gauden expressly declares, that he afterwards acquainted the Duke that he was the Author; and by the same Token, that he then told his Highness, that the King promis'd him the Bishopric of Winchester. So that if it had not been said so expressly, this telling the Duke must be subsequent to that Promise, which (as Mrs. Gauden says) was at the same time that he told the King. And lastly, in Dr. Walker's, the Reason of Dr. Gauden's Assurance that the Duke knew it, was, because the Duke had spoken of it to him. But in Mrs. Gauden's, that he had acquainted the Duke himself. In short, either Dr. Gauden told these Things respectively to Dr. Walker and Mrs. Gauden, or he did not; if he did not, their Evidence is of no value; if he did, his own is of no value, as contradicting himself.

For a further Confirmation, we may add the Testimony of Dr. Gauden himself, when Bishop of Exeter, who was often heard by Mr. Thomas Long, Prebendary of that Church, to assimpt, that he was fully convinced, that the Lexus Bathara was entirely the King's Work (1). And Mr. Gauden, a Nephew of the Bishop, in the Year 1694, expressed his Indignation at the base Dealing of some Men, who would endeavour to rob the King of his Book, and make his Uncle guilty of so much Knavery as to usurp it; whereas he had often and often heard his Uncle say, that the King himself was the Author of it,

and no body else; and that of this he was well affur'd (m).

What Title Dr. Gauden had to this Book, and that he was only a Transcriber of it, appears from a Letter of Mr. Le Pla, Minister of Finching field in Essex, to Dr. Goodall, dated November 27th, 1696; in which he gives an Account, that William Allen, formerly Servant to Dr. Gauden, affirmed to him, "That Dr. Gauden told him, that he had borrowed the Book, and "was obliged to return it by such a time. That (besides what other time he might employ in it) he sat up one whole Night to transcribe it. And that himself sat up in the Chamber with the Doctor, to wait upon him, to make his Fires, and snuff his Candles." And Mr. Le Pla thinks, "That Allen said, that the Book was borrowed of Mr. Symmons of Rayne."

That Mrs. Gauden herfelf had no fuch Notion of this Book, as the Narrative and Dr. Walker ascribe to her, is evident from a Letter of Mr. Luke Beaulieu, Prebendary of Gloucester, to Dr. Goodall, dated May 30th, 1699, wherein he writes (n); "That Bishop Gauden's Widow, at her Death, gave " to one Mrs. Lamb many Parcels of Papers, written most of them with her "own Hand, with a Charge that they should be all burnt after her Decease, there being Verses and other Composures of her own amongst those Papers, " which she desir'd might not out-live her. That Mrs. Lamb had been dead " feveral Years; but her Husband, who had been Alderman and Mayor of "Gloucester, and was then living, often declar'd to many, and to Mr. Beaulieu " feveral times, that casting his eye on these Writings, which were by the "Author devoted to the Flames, he saw the Life of Bishop Gauden, written " all of it by his Wife, and out of Curiofity took it and read it; but there-" in found no manner of mention of the Bishop's having any hand in compo-" fing King Charles's Meditations; tho, as Mr. Lamb judged, there was " great Care taken to bring in all Circumstances of whatever the Bishop had " been, or had done, that might be for his Credit; about taking his Degrees, " being Chaplain to the Lord of Warwick's Family, preaching before the Parliament, and being thereupon presented with a Tankard bearing such " an Inscription; and many Passages of the like nature, which makes it " not probable, this vain Woman would have omitted the most glorious of all his Atchievements, had the Bishop indeed had any Hand in that hea-" venly Composure, which is by some ascribed to him. He himself is thought " to be oftentatious enough; and it appears he had acquainted his Wife with " whatever could bring him Reputation."

There is one further Observation to be made upon the Whole; which is, that after the Publication of this Book, the Men in Power did all that was possible for them to do, to blast and discourage it, and us'd every Method to fasten it upon any other Author. To this purpose were several Committees held, strict Examinations had, all Arts us'd, Threatnings denounc'd, and all manner

(1) Dr. Walker's Account examined, by (m) Waghafe, p. 63 Tho. Long, B. D. p. 4. (n) Id. p. 65, 66. manner of Rewards promifed; no Enticements of any kind were wanting. Great Sitms of Money were proffer'd to Mr. Royfton; great Rewards of bundreds of Pounds to Mr. Simmons's Widow, to own that the King was not the Author. And yet, not one of the Perfons concerned in, or privy to this pretended Secret, made the least Difcovery of it.

I shall now lay before the Reader the Evidence brought to prove the King to be the Author of the Book; fome of which Testimonies are summ'd up by Sir William Dugdale in these words (n). "I shall make it evident from the "Testimony of very credible Persons yet living, that he had begun the pen-" ning of them long before he went from Oxford to the Scots. For the Manu-" fcript itself, written with his own Hand, being found in his Cabinet, which " was taken at Nafeby Fight, was restored to him after he was brought to " Hampton-Court, by the hand of Major Huntington, through the Favour of "General Fairfax, of whom he obtain'd it; and that whilst he was in the " Isle of Wight, it was there seen frequently by Mr. Thomas Herbert, who "then waited on his Majesty in his Bed Chamber; as also by Mr. William " Levet, a Page of the Back-Stairs, (the Title then prefix'd to it being Suf-" piria Regalia," who not only read several Parts thereof, but saw the King "divers times writing further on it. Add hereunto the Testimony of Mr. " Richard Royston, a Bookseller at the Angel in Ivy Lane; who having in "those rebellious times adventured to print divers of his Majesty's Declara-"tions, Speeches, and Messages; about the beginning of October 1648, (the "King being then in the Isle of Wight,) was fent to by his Majesty to pre-" pare all things ready for the printing some Papers, which he purposed short-"Iy after to convey unto him; which was this very Copy, brought to him " on the 23d of December next following by one Mr. Edward Symmons, a " reverend Divine, who receiv'd it from Dr. Brian Duppa, then Bishop of Sa-" lifbury, and afterwards of Winchester. In the printing whereof Mr. Royston " made fuch speed, that it was finished before that dismal 30th of January, "that his Majesty's Life was taken away." In this Summary there are four confiderable Evidences, Major Huntington, Mr. Herbert, Mr. Levet, and Mr. Royston; three of them directly to the thing, and Mr. Royston's so circumstantiated, as amounts very near to a direct Evidence. Major Huntington's Teftimony in particular is of the utmost importance; because if it appears from thence, that any of the Papers relating to the *Icon* were written before *Nafeby* Fight, if they were then feiz'd, and recover'd afterwards, Dr. Gauden's Title is extinct for ever, fince all on that fide affirm, that the Book was begun long after that Fight, and that the King never faw it, till the Treaty at the Isle of Wight; which was at least three years after. In order therefore to support the Major's Testimony against Dr. Walker's Exceptions, there are produc'd several other weighty and valuable Testimonies, attesting that the Major had affirm'd the same thing to several persons, at several times, and upon several Occasions: as 1. Of Richard Duke Esq. a Justice of the Peace in Devon, in a Letter to Dr. Goodall, dated June 15th, 1692 (0). 2. Of Mr. Cave Beck, a Clergyman of Ipswich in Suffolk, in a Letter to Dr. Hollingworth (p). 3. Of Sir Paul Whichcott, who declar'd, that he had often heard his Father Sir Jeremy Whichcott tell, that he had the "Engo Browning fome time in his hands, lent him by Major Huntington, and that he transcribed about 17 Chapters, as he would have done the whole, had not the Major been in haste to restore it to the King (q). 4. Of Dr. Robert Hall, Son to Bishop Hall, attested by Mr. Long (r). 5. Of Mr. Rowney of Oxford, attested by Dr. Byrom Eaton, Principal of Gloucester-Hall in Oxford (s). The Testimony itself, which the Major gave to Sir William Dugdale, was in these Words: As to the Eikon Basilike, he faith, that after the King was brought to Hampton-Court, his Majefty there acquainting him with the Lofs of that Book at Naseby Fight, and defiring him to use his Interest to regain it, he did apply himself to General Fairfax, and by his means obtained it; it being bound up in a white Vellum Cover,

⁽n) Short View of the Troubles in Eng-

land, p. 380.

(a) Waz flaffe, p. 69, 70, 71.

(b) Hillingworth's Character of King Charles 1. p. 27.

⁽q) Wogslaffe, p. 72. (r) Dr. Walker's Account examin'd, by Thomas Long, B. D. p. 37.

⁽s) Wagitasfe, p. 72, 73.

and (as he well remembers) all the Chapters in it were written by the Hand of Sir Edward Walker, but much corrected with Interlineations by the King's own Hand; the Prayers being all written with the King's own Hand, which, he says, he knew so to be (t).

That these Papers were taken at Naseby, and afterwards restor'd to the King, is proved by a Variety of other Testimonies, independent of Major

Huntington; particularly of,

I. The Author of a Book intitled, The Princely Pelican: Royal R folves presented in sundry choice Observations extracted from his Majesty's Meditations. With satisfactory Reasons to the whole Kingdom, that his sacred Person was the only Author of them: Printed in 1649.

II. The Author of Εικων ή πις-η, printed the same year, in 4to.

III. Mr. William Sanderson, in his History of the Life and Reign of King

Charles, printed in 1658, p. 324.

IV. Dr. Perinchief, in his Life of King Charles I. who declares, that Archbishop Usher declar'd to several Persons of his acquaintance, that he was employed by his Majesty to recover these Papers from the Enemy after the Bastle of Nafeby.

V. Dr. Gorge, attested by Bishop Bull (u).

VI. Dr. Luke Eales a Physician of Welwyn in Hertfordsbire, who heard the Earl of Manchester affirm, that when the King's Cabinet was taken at Naseby, he found in it, in loose Papers, the "Εικων Βασιλική written and interlin'd with the King's own Hand (w).

VII. Mr. John Jones, who foon after the publication of the Icon, heard Mr. Stroud, a Parliament Colonel, declare, that Mr. Prynne affured him, that after Naseby Fight, he read several Chapters of that Book in the King's

own Hand (x).

VIII. Mr. William Foster; whose Mother heard Colonel Oakey declare, that he had feen feveral Sheets of the Icon written with the King's own Hand, which were taken at Nafeby (y).

IX. Mr. Thomas Herbert, afterwards Sir Thomas, who affirms, that the Icon was at first intitled by the King Suspiria Regalia; and that his Majesty gave him the original Manuscript of it written with his own Hand (z).

X. Mr. William Levet, who saw the King several times write part of it, read it often, and had the Charge of it, till he deliver'd it to the King at Hurst

Castle (a).

That the Icon was the genuine Work of King Charles I. appears from the

following Testimonies: viz. of

I. The Author of the Princely Pelican, above cited, who gives an Account of the early Intentions of the King, before he fet pen to paper; of the first Steps and Lineaments; and of the gradual Proceeding of his Majesty during the writing of it.

II. Mrs. Rhodes, and her Son Captain Rhodes, who declare that Dr. Rhodes, Husband of the former, read part of it in the King's Hand, in his

Progress from Newark (b).

III. Dr. Dillingham, who at Holdenby read one Chapter of it fresh written by the King himself (c).

IV. Sir John Brattle, who affifted his Father in methodizing the loofe Pa-

pers, all written with the King's own Hand (d).

V. Mr. Anthony Mildmay, who had a Bible given him by the King, wherein feveral parts of Scripture, especially the Psalms, were mark'd by the King; and comparing these mark'd Places with the Icon, found them to be the same

used in that Book (e). VI. Mr. Robert Hearne, who attests, that " he had often heard Sir Philip " Warwick, Mr. Odart, and Mr. Whitaker declare, that they had transcrib'd

"Copies of the King's Manuscript written with his own Hand (f)."

(1) Memoirs of the two last Years Reign of King Charles I. p 163. Edit. 1702.
(u) Young's several Evidences, &c. p. 5.

(v) Wagstaffe, p. 79, 80. (x) Id. p. 80. (y) Id. p. 80, 81. (z) Herbert's Carolina Threnodia.

(a) Waystaffe, p. 84, & feq. (b) Id. p. 90.

(c) Hollingworth's Character of Kin Charles I. p. 7, 8. (d) Dr. Hollingworth's Defence, p. 7. (e) Wag staffe, p. 98. (f) Id. ibid.

VII.

VII. Dr. Fowler, Bishop of Glouesser, whose Aunt heard Captain Wede, who was one of those that guarded the King in the Isle of Wight, declare, that he saw part of the Book in the King's own Hand-writing (g).

VIII. Robert Gun, who heard Serjeant Brown declare, that he faw the Icon in loofe Pap is pinn'd up behind the Hangings at Garifbrook-Caftle (b).

IX. Colonel Hammond, who confess'd to fivera! Persons, that he had few the Book in the King's hand, heard him read it, and seen him write part of it (i); and who, in the presence of John Wight Fisq; declar'd, that he had in his possession some of the Sheets of the rough Draught of that Book under the King's own Hand (k).

X. Mr. Henry Margetts, who heard Mr. Robert Sparkam relate, that Oliver Cromwell being ask'd, whether he thought that Book to be the King's, answer'd, yes certainly; for he was the greatest Hypocrite in the World (1).

answer'd, yes certainly; for he was the greatift Hypocrite in the World (1).

XI. The Author of Energy harry, above quoted; who had feen it in the King's own hand, and heard him own it.

XII. Mr. Rufhworth, who in his Collections (m), cites it as the King's.

XIII. An original Letter of the King, written with his own hand, bearing date *Thurfday* Night, *August* 31. 1648, directed to a person under the figures 48, and subscribed 39, by which last figure the King always meant himself. The Letter is as follows:

" 48,

Vol. I.

"This inclosed to N. is chiefly to have an Account from her of those Papers, that I left with her this day; and because I know she has defired your Assistance therein, I pray you to take care to point them well, and be sure to put the Interlinings in their right Places.

" 29."

XV.

This indeed is no direct Proof, because it is not mention'd in the Letter what Papers these were; but it is very probable, that they were these, because it does not appear, that the King at that time had any thing else, which he defign'd to publish; and there was good reason for the retarding them, for the Treaty began 18 Days after; and it is very probable that the King would fee the Success of that Treaty, before he would expose them to the Eyes of the Nation. For it is very plain, that they were then ready for the Press; because as soon as the Treaty was over, or rather before it was quite over, the King sent to Mr. Royson in October, to prepare all things ready for the printing some Papers, which he purposed shortly to send them; I say, before the Treaty was fully concluded, yet so as the King could easily see what the End of it would be. And therefore as he then took a resolution to print his Book, fo it is certain, that it was ready before, because the inceffant Business of the Treaty could give him neither Leisure nor Time to proceed with it, or add much to it; and we find the subject matter of the Book ends before that Treaty began; altho' it may be very probable, that so long as he kept it in his own hands he might be polishing it, and adding some interlinings, till he fent it away all together for the Press (n).

XIV. Mrs. Fotherley of Rickmansworth in Hertfordshire, Daughter of Sir Ralph Whitsheld, first Serjeant at Law to King Charles I. and Grand-daughter to Sir Henry Spelmen, who declares, "that some days before the King was "brought to Tryal, she was in the room with the Lady Whitsheld her Mother, when one Mr. Francis Boyton, a Norfolk Gentleman, who had a place in the Pipe-Office, discoursing with her concerning the King, said to her, Madam, the King has wrote such a Book as never was wrote in the World. We labour all we can to get it printed; but I am asraid we shall not be able, for could it be published and made known to the World, I am consident the Perple would rise, and never suffer him to be tryed. I and others have labour'd night and day, but cannot yet effect it." Mrs. Fotherley surther says, that she heard Colonel James Proger declare, that he had been told by Mr. Reading, that he had often seen the King writing several parts of the Icon, and when his Ma-

icily was tir'd with writing, wrote for him what he dictated (0).

(g) Wagslaffe, p. 98, 99.

(h) Id. p. 99.

(i) Dr. Perincheis's Life of King Clarles L.

(k) Wagslaffe, p. 9), 100.

(l) Id. p. 101.

(m) Part iii. Vol. i. p. 403.

(n) Wagslaffe, p. 102, 103.

(o) Id. p. 103, 104.

XV. Mr. Royston, who inform'd Sir William Dugdale (p), "that about "the beginning of October 1648, he was fent to by the King to prepare all "things ready for the printing some Papers, which he purposed shortly after "to convey unto him; and which was this very Copy brought to him on "the 23d of December next following by Mr. Edward Symmons; in the prin-"ting whereof Mr. Royston made such speed, that it was finish'd before the "30th of January, on which his Majesty's Life was taken away." This Testimony of Mr. Royston is corroborated by two others, viz. that of Mr. Thomas Milbourn (q) and Mr. James Clifford, who both assisted in the printing of it from the Copy of Mr. Odart (r).

XVI. Mr. Edward Hooker, who declares, that he corrected this Book, when it was printed at Mr. Dugard's Press, being brought thither by Mr. Edward Symmons, who affur'd Mr. Dugard and Mr. Hooker, that the Copy was written with the King's own Hand, and deliver'd to him by the King

himself (s).

XVII. Mr. Edward Symmons, who convey'd both the Copies, (viz. that written by Mr. Odart and that by the King) to the Press, and declar'd upon his Death-bed, that it was the King's Work (t); and affur'd several of his Friends at Forcy, when he fent them some of the Books, that he had printed them from the King's own Copy (u).

I proceed now to the Intrinsic Evidence, which arises from the Book itself;

and it plainly appears to be the King's from these particulars:

I. The general Style. By this, fays Mr. Wagstaffe (w), I do not only mean the Phrase and Expression, but together with that the Manner of management; and to this I add, the great Weight of the Matter. All these are very great and majestic, not only like a King, but like that very King to whom they are ascrib'd. And let any Man compare this Book with the other Works of this glorious Martyr, and he cannot but fee the same generous and free Expression, the same Clearness of Reason, the same Greatness of Mind, in short, the same Majesty throughout. But for the Works of Dr. Gauden, there is nothing in the world more unlike; a luscious Style stuffed with gawdy Metaphors and Fancy, far more Expression than Matter, a sort of noisy and romantic Eloquence. These are the Ornaments of Dr. Gauden's Writings, and differ as much from the Gravity and Majesty of the King's Book, as Tawdriness does from a genteel and accomplished Dress. The truth is, of all the Authors of that Age, there are scarcely any, whose Writings were more light and thin, than those of Dr. Gauden.

II. The Historical Part of it; which shews the Author to be well vers'd in the Affairs which he wrote of, an excellent Statesman, and of a clear and penetrating Judgment; all which very well agree with the Character of the King, the irreconcileable with that of Dr. Gauden, of whose Faculty in Hiflory we have but one Inflance, and that is, the Life of Mr. Richard Hooker, prefix'd to one Edition of the Ecclesiastical Polity, which is full of miftakes, and certainly the most injudicious History of a Man's Life, that ever

was written (x).

III. Some Particulars in the subject matter of it. And these are such things as could only be known to the King himself, and consequently could have no Author but him; as, 1. His fecret Intentions express'd all over the Book; and 2. The Matter of his Conscience, particularly in the Case of the Earl of

Strafford (y).

There is one Objection more, which deferves to be confidered; and that is with relation to a Prayer added to some Editions of the Icon, and intitled, A Prayer in time of Captivity, which seems to be borrowed from that of Pamela in Sir Philip Sidney's Arcadia; both which Prayers I shall subjoin for the Satisfaction of the Curious.

Prayer

(p) Dugdale's Short View, p. 380. tion, p. 105, 105.
(q) H.dingavorth's Defence, p. 12, 13, 14. (u) Toung's feveral Evidences, p. 17.
(r) Id. ilid. (v) p. 112.
(x) Id. p. 113, 114.
(v) Id. p. 114, 115, 116.

(r) ld. ilid. (s) Wazshasje, p. 107. (t) Hilingworth's Further Desence, p. 3, 4. Wag staffe's Defence, p. 90, 91. and \indicaThe Prayer afcrib'd to King Charles I.

The Prayer of Pamela.

Powerful and Eternal God, to All-seeing Light, and esternal whom nothing is so great that it Life of all things, to whom nomay resist, or so small that it is contained; look upon my Misery with sist, or so small that it is contemned; thine Eye of Mercy, and let thine in-look upon my Misery with thine Eye Avien.

finite Power vouchfafe to limit out some of Mercy, and let thine infinite Power Proportion of Deliverance unto me, as vouchfale to limit out some Proporto thee shall seem most convenient, tion of Deliverance unto me, as to Let not Injury, O Lord, triumph over thee shall seem most convenient. Let me, and let my Fault by thy Hand be not Injury, O Lord, triumph over corrected; and make not my unjust Ene, and let my Faults by thy Hand be corrected; and make not mine unyet, my God, if in thy Wisdom this just Enemy the Minister of thy Justice.
be the aptest Chastisement for my
unexcusable Transgressions; if this unthis be the aptest Chastisement for my
unexcusable Transgressions; if this ungrateful Bondage be fittest for my over- unexcusable Folly; if this low Bon-bigh Desires; if the Pride of my (not dage be sittest for my over-high Deenough humble) Heart be thus to be bro- fires; if the Pride of my not-enough ken, O Lord, I yield unto thy Will, humble Heart be thus to be broken, O and cheerfully embrace what Sorrow Lord, I yield unto thy Will, and joythou wilt have me suffer; only thus fully embrace what Sorrow thou wilt much let me crave of thee (let my crav- have me suffer; only thus much let me ing, O Lord, be accepted of, since it e- crave of thee (let my craving, O Lord, ven proceeds from Thee) that by thy be accepted of thee, since even that Goodness which is thy self, thou wilt proceeds from thee) let me crave even suffer some Beam of thy Majesty so to by the noblest Title, which in my great-Shine in my Mind, that I, who in my est Assiliction I may give my self, that greatest Afflictions acknowledge it my Iamthy Creature; and by thy Goodness, noblest Title to be thy Creature, may which is thy self, that thou wilt suffer still depend considently on Thee. Let some Beam of thy Majesty to shine into Calamity be the Exercise, but not the my Mind, that it may still depend Overthrow of my Vertue. O let not their considently on thee. Let Calamity be prevailing Power be to my Destruction; the Exercise, but not the Overthrow and if it be thy Will that they more of my Vertue; let their Power preand more vex me with Punishment, yet, vail, but prevail not to Destruction; O Lord, never let their Wickedness have let my Greatness be their Prey. Let such a hand but that I way still course my Pain be the Sweetness of their Rev fuch a hand but that I may still carry my Pain be the Sweetness of their Rea pure Mind and stedfast Resolution e- venge. Let them (if so it seem good ver to serve thee without Fear or Pre- unto thee) vex me with more and fumption, yet with that humble Confi- more Punishment; but, O Lord, let dence, which may best please thee; so never their Wickedness have such a that at the last I may come to thy eter- hand, but that I may carry a pure nal Kingdom, through the Merits of thy Mind in a pure Body. And paufing Son our alone Saviour, Jesus Christ. a while; And, O most gracious Lord, faid she, whatever becomes of me, preferve the virtuous Musidorus.

Milton, in his *Εικουοκλάς-ης (z), speaks upon Occasion of this Prayer as follows; Who would have imagin'd so little Fear in him of the true All-feeing Deity, so little Reverence of the Holy Ghost, whose Office it is to distate and present our Christian Prayers; so little Care of Truth in his last Words, or Honour to himself, or to his Friends, or Sense of his Afflictions, or of that sad Horror, which was upon him, as immediately before his Death to pop into the Hand of that grave Bishop, who attended him, as a special Relique of his Saintly Exercises, a Prayer stol'n word for word from the Mouth of a Heathen Woman praying to a Heathen God; and that in no serious Book, but in the vain and amaterious Poem of Sir Philip Sidney's Areadia; a Book in that Kind full of Worth and Wit, but among religious Thoughts and Duties not to be named; nor to be read at any time without good Caution, much less in time of Trouble and Affiction to be a Christian's PraverProver-Book In answer to this the Author of "Engine" Andas of, The Image unlingken, publish'd in 1651, observes(a); "That after the first Edition of his Majes-" ty's Book, the Printers finding the great Vent of them, in the following Editions, printed Prayers and other Things in the King's Name, not belonging to " the Book. Among these Prayers there is a Prayer taken out of the Arca-" dic. That Prayer is neither made by a Heathen Woman, nor to a Heathen God, but is compos'd by the Author, a Christian, without reference to any Heathen Deity; and the Author is not thought to unchristen " Prayer by it, the Libeller himself saying, the Book in its Kind is full of " Worth and Wit. But as his Outery hath no Cause from the Matter, to " here is no Evidence of the Fact, that his Majesty made use of the Prayer, or popt into the Bishop's Hands as a Relique of his Exercise, though he might warrantably have used it, and profess'd it.— If his Majesty had used " the Prayer, or deliver'd it, as he imagines, no Man of Christian Sobriery " could charge the Fact with Crime. What one Word or Sentence is there " in that Prayer, which a Christian may not use?" Mr. Thomas Wag staffe (b) gives us a very particular Account of this Affair; and observes, That tho he sees no reason, why a Man may not use good Expressions in his Prayers, let them be borrow'd from whom they will, as well as a good Sentence out of a Heathen Writer; and which was never any Blemish, tho' on the most pious Occafions; yet there is great Reason to believe, that the King did never make use of that Prayer, for that is not found in the first, ner in several other the mest early Editions of this Book. He then gives us a Catalogue of the several Editions of Einer Besthirn, both with and without the Prayers, mentioning the Size of the Volume, the Time of Printing, the Number of Pages that the Contents confift of, and the Number of the Pages of the Book it felf, when there were any fuch. From this Catalogue it appears, that there are no less than twenty nine Editions without the Prayers, and seventeen of them printed in 1648. and that there were twenty feven Editions with the Prayers. He acquaints us likewise (c), that since the first Edition of his Vindication, in 1693, he had received a full and convincing Information concerning the Mystery of this Prayer, that it was an Artifice of Bradshaw or Milton, or both, and by them furreptitiously thrust into the King's Work to discredit the Whole. This Information comes originally from Mr. Hills the Printer, but convey'd by two very worthy Gentlemen, and against whom there can be no possible Exception, Dr. Gill and Dr. Bernard, who were both Physicians to him, and very intimate with him. And because their Testimony is so very important, the Reader shall have it in their own Words, from a Letter of Dr. Gill to the Honourable Charles Hatton, Esq; at the end of which is added the Testimony of Dr. Bernard, and which I have now in my Custody; and is as follows, verbatim.

" SIR, May 1, 1694.

Most readily comply with your Request, in informing you, from whom I heard what I was saying (the last time I had the Honour to be in " your Company) that I was told, Pamela's Prayer was transferr'd out of Sir " Philip Sidney's Arcadia into Εικών Βασιλικό, by a Contrivance of Bradshaw's " and Milton's. Sir, I make no Secret of it, and I frankly tell you my Au-"thor, who was Mr. Henry Hills, Oliver's Printer. And the Occasion, as " he many Years ago told me, was this: Mr. Dugard (d), who was Milton's " intimate Friend, happen'd to be taken printing an Edition of the King's "Book. Milton used his Interest to bring him off, which he effected by the " means of Bradshaw, but upon this Condition, that Dugard should add " Pamela's Prayer to the aforefaid Book he was printing, as an Atonement " for his Fault, they defigning thereby to bring a Scandal upon the Book, " and blast the Reputation of its Author; pursuant to which Design, they indu-" striously took care afterwards, as soon as published, to have it taken notice of " Mr. Hills hath affirm'd this to me several times of his own Knowledge; and " I need not tell you how eafy it was for him to know it, who being a forward " and confiding Man, was in most of the Intrigues of that time, and in"trusted

(a) p. 82 (b) Vindication p. 117. & seq. (c) F. 117: Anglica

(a) He printed Militar's Defensio pro Popula Anglicano.

trusted with Business of the greatest Privacy by the then governing Parties; and no Man that I have met with, was better vers'd in the secret History of that Time than himself, as I have found by the often Discourse I had with him; for being his Physician for several Years, I had many Opportunities to talk with him about those Affairs, from whom I have received a different Account of the Transactions of those Times, than what was commonly known or made public, and many Passages that I was a Stranger to before. Thus, Sir, I have given you my Authority for what I said; which, if you please you may communicate to the rest of your Friends; and believe me always,

"Your most humble Servant, "THO. GILL."

Do remember very well, that Mr. Henry Hills the Printer told me, that he had heard Bradshaw and Milton laugh at their inserting a Paper out of Sir Philip Sidney's Arcadia at the end of King Charles's Book; and then Milton had jeer'd it in his Answer; adding withal, that they were Men would stick at nothing, that might gain their Point. And this I testify,

" May 10th, 1694.

FRANCIS BERNARD."

To this may be added part of a Letter written a Year before by Dr. Bernard to Dr. Goodall, in these Words;

"Concerning the Prayer out of Sir Philip Sidney (which Milton makes a great bustle about) I remember Henry Hills, who was Oliver's Printer, and my Patient, told me, amongst other Things, of the Artifice of that Party; that he had heard Bradshaw and Milton laugh how they had put a Cheat upon the World; and in order thereunto had printed the whole Book anew, that they might add that Prayer thereunto; and that they were not more studious of any thing, than to rob that good King of the Reputation of that Book. I doubt not, but Dr. Gill can remember something to this purpose from the same Henry Hills.

"I am,

March 13th, 1693.

"Your most affured humble Servant,
"FRANCIS BERNARD."

Dr. Edward Hooker, who was Corrector to Mr. Dugard's Press, when the Icon was first printed there, declares (e), " That Mr. Dugard having printed "that Book, and it coming to be known, he was thrown into Prison, and "turn'd out of Merchant-Taylor's School; and Hooker, to fave himself, went "to travel for several Years; and had during his Travels, by several Let-ters, an Account given him by Mr. Dugard what he had suffer'd in this "Service; in which Letters he remembers the following Expressions: They " have dealt with me worse than the Devil did with Job, having taken all from " me, yet left me all my Children. And that the faid Mr. Dugard acquainted him " in the faid Letters, That his Wife made Applicatin to President Bradshaw " for his Release, who told her, that he might come out, if he would take Ad-" vice of a Friend of his, and then he need not lie in Prison. And accordingly " Mr. Milton was fent to him, who offer'd him his Liberty, if he would do " what he would have him, who refus'd his Proposals, saying, God's Will be done, "tho' I be undone. But, said he, how my Wife and they juggle together, I have not; but I shall get out, and when I am, I will write to my dear Hooker, and follow your Christian Advice, so be a free Prisoner in the In-"terim. And Hooker believes, that Mr. Dugara's Wife printed Pamela's "Prayer taken out of Sir Philip Sidney's Arcadia, with the Alterations " made in it, as one of the Conditions of her Husband's Release out of

To this we may add the following Observations of Mr. Wagstaffe: 1. That it does not any where appear, that Sir Philip Sidney's Arcadia was a Book which the King used to read or delight in. And Sir Thomas Herbert, who Vol. I.

waited on the King from the time of his Imprisonment at Holdenby to his Death, and had the Charge of the King's Books, and gives a particular Account of what Books the King read, either in his serious Studies, or for Diversion, makes not the least mention of the Arcadia; whereas Milton was very well acquainted with that Book, and had spent much time in reading it (f). 2. It deferves Enquiry, who it was, that caused these Prayers to be printed, or by whose Hands they were conveyed to the Press? All the Prints, which give any Account of them, only say, that they were deliver'd by the King into the Hands of Dr. Juxon, Bishop of London, at his Death. And this is confirm'd by Milton, who writes thus, As immediately before his Death to pop into the Hands of that grave Bishop who attended him, as a special Relique of his Saintly Exercises, a Prayer stol'n word for word, &c. Now from hence it will appear plainly, That that Party, and they only, were the Persons who convey'd the Prayers to the Press, and caused them to be printed; fince what Papers foever the King might deliver to Bilhop Juxon, he could print none of them, nor yet keep them to himself; for the Regicides immediately feiz'd and imprison'd him, and examin'd him with all possible Rigour, and fearch'd him narrowly for all Papers, that he might have from the King, even to Scraps and Parcels; and moréover rifled all the King's Clothes, Scrutores, Cabinets, and Boxes; and whatever they found, they kept in their own hands. This Mr. Was fire proves from the Author of Regii fanguinis Clamor, p. 83. Saunderson's History, p. 1139. Dr. Bates's Elenchus, and Dr. Perincheif's Life of King Charles I. And he observes, from hence (g), "That it was utterly impossible for "Bishop Juxon, or any Person from him, or indeed any of the Royal "Party, to transmit those Prayers to the Press, or any other Papers which the King deliver'd to Bishop Junon, or left behind him in " his Pockets, or any where else within the compass of their Power: for "they were all taken, and never (like those of Naseby) restor'd again; but " all was kept in their own Custody. The Conclusion is this; That after that "time, whatever was printed, must come from themselves; and if any of "the Papers, that the King deliver'd to Bishop Juxon at his Death, were made pub-" lick, they are the Persons, who were the Publishers, and no others. And there is no doubt, but that Milton himself first brought these Papers, and got them or printed at Dugard's Press, and from thence they were quickly translated to Mr. Royston's; for every little Addition having the King's Name to it quic-"ken'd the Sale, and made all the Bookfellers, so soon as they had notice of " it, add the Prayers to their own Editions, supposing them all genuine, not 66 being conscious of Milton's Forgery; but however very instrumental, the' " innocently, to spread and propagate it. 'Tis very probable, that some of "these Prayers were such as were us'd and penn'd by the King. For it had 66 been ridiculous and impolitic, to have counterfeited four Prayers, when " it was one only they had to play upon; and they suffer'd those that were " genuine to pass, to give countenance to the other. And Milton hav-66 ing them in his hands, he added this of his own coining to the rest, to discredit the Whole, and to supply himself with Matter to burlesque the Book, and to abuse the King." Mr. Wagstaffe tells us (b), That he has a very good Evidence, that the King left but three Prayers behind him, and deliver'd to Bishop Juxon no more but three Prayers; and that is the Testimony of Mrs. Fotherley above mentioned; who declares, That within two Days after the King's Death, she saw in a Spanish Leather-Case three of those Prayers, that are printed in some, if not in all the Editions of that Book, which were faid to be us'd by him in the Time of his Restraint, and delivered to the Bishop of London at his Death; from whom they were taken away by the Officers of the Army; and it was from one of those Officers, in whose Custody they then were, that she had the favour to see them; and that the Person, who shewed her those Prayers, shewed her also the George with the Queen's Picture in it, and two Seals, which were the King's. "This further confirms the Truth, says Mr. Wagstaffe, that "the Prayers were only in their Custody; and moreover, that the " number of these Prayers was but three; the fourth is their own a

" and Milton vouchfafed to print the other three, for the fake of the "fourth; and he was contented the World should see some of the King's " Prayers, provided he might add one more to difparage all the rest." It appears also from the Testimony of Mr. Roger Norton, Mr. Royston's Printer, dated August 8, 1693, that King Charles II. had so little opinion of the Prayers added to the Icon, that when Mr.: Royston ask'd his leave for the reprinting it in 1680, he gave him leave, but expressly order'd him to omit those additional Prayers. It is to be observed, that this was five years after the pretended *Memorandum*. It seems, that King *Charles* II. was then satisfied, that the Book was his Father's, and he took so much care of it, as to throw out what he suspected might be supposititious. Mr. Norton observes, that Mr. Royston lik'd this Order of the King very well, for he feared, whilst he absconded, his Servants had some tricks put upon them in the Additional Prayers, the be could not fay certainly, that he who brought them to his Servants, was fent by Mr. Milton; but he much suspected it. Mr. Norton added, that Mrs. Royston could tell, that her Husband, by the Men then in Power, had great Sums of Money offer'd him, if he would say, that the King was not the Author of that Book; and that he himself (Mr. Norton) had often heard him say the same (i). Mr. Toland in his Amyntor treats Mr. Hills's Evidence as of no Weight, he

having turn'd Papist in King James II's Reign, in order to be that King's Printer, and takes a great deal of pains to prove, that Pamela's Prayer was us'd by the King; " which from him, as Mr. Wag staffe observes (k), is the pleasantest "thing in the world. He hath all along been endeavouring to prove the whole "Book a Forgery, and father'd it upon the King; and why not the Prayer "too? Why is not the Prayer Dr. Gauden's, as well as the Book also? And "his reason for this makes it yet more pleasant, which is, that Mr. Royston "printed it (1). Why, Mr. Royston printed the whole Book, and moreover affirms, that it was brought to him from the King, which is more than " was ever faid of the Prayer. And if Mr. Royston's printing and attesting "are not fufficient to prove the Book genuine; how comes his bare printing "without any further Circumstance, to be such an extraordinary Proof, for "the use of the Prayer?"

DISSERTATION

Concerning the Commission said to be given by King Charles I. in the Year 1641, to the Irish Papists, for taking up Arms against the Protestants in *Ireland*.

THIS Commission is in the following Words:

"

HARLES by the grace of God, King of England, Scotland, France, and Ireland, Defender of the Faith, &c. To all our Catholic Subjects within our Kingdom of Ireland, Greeting: Know ye, that We for " the fafeguard and prefervation of our Person, have been ensore'd to make " our abode and refidence in our Kingdom of Scotland for a long feafon, occafioned by reason of the obstinate and disobedient Carriage of our Parliament " in England against Us; who have not only presumed to take upon them " the Government and disposing of those Princely Rights and Prerogatives "that have justly descended upon Us from our Predecessors both Kings and " Queens of the faid Kingdom, for many hundred years past, but also have of possessed themselves of the whole Strength of the said Kingdom, in ap-" pointing Governours, Commanders, and Officers in all parts and places "therein, at their own wills and pleafures, without Our confent; whereby "we are deprived of Our Sovereignty, and left naked without defence." And for as much as we are (in our felf) very fenfible, that these Storms " blow aloft, and are very likely to be carried by the vehemence of the * Pro- *Puritanina " testant Party into our Kingdom of Ireland, and endanger our Regal Pow nother Copy. " er and Authority there also: Know ye therefore, that we reposing niuch " care and trust in your duties and obedience, which we have for many years " past found; do hereby give unto you full power and authority to assem-

lxxxiv APPENDIX to

ble and meet together with all the speed and diligence that a business of so great consequence doth require, and to advise and consult together by sufficient and discreet numbers at all times, days, and places which you shall in your judgments hold most convenient and material, for the ordering, settling, and effecting of this great work (mentioned and directed unto you in Our Letters:) And to use all politic ways and means possible to possess your selves (for Our use and safety) of all the Forts, Castles, and places of strength and defence within the said Kingdom (except the Places, Persons, and Estates of our loyal and loving Subjects the Scots:) And also to arrest and seize the Goods, Estates, and Persons of all the English Protestants within the said Kingdom to Our use. And in your care and speedy personnance of this Our will and pleasure, We shall perceive your wonted Duty and Allegiance unto us, which We shall accept and reward in due time.

Witness Our self at Edenbrough the first day of October in the seventeenth year of Reign.

Milton (m) represents this Commission as genuine; and Mr. Toland obferves (n), "That whoever would, besides the Confession of the Rebels "themselves, see further Reasons to believe the said Commission genuine, "(for in this Affair we determine nothing) may peruse the Irish Remon-"strance, and Dr. Jones's Book, both publish'd by Authority of Parliament; and also a Piece intitled, The Mystery of Iniquity, p. 35, 36. printed in 1643; Ilkewise Vicars's Chronicle, part 3. p. 70. wherein this Commission is in-

" ferted at large."

Mr. Richard Baxter likewise, in his Life (0), lays great stress upon the Case of the Marquis of Antrim, who had been one of the Irish Rebels in the beginning of that War, when, in the horrid Massacre, 200000 Protestants were murthered. His Estate being sequestred, he sought his restitution of it, when King Charles II. was restor'd. Ormond and the Council judg'd against him as one of the Rebels. He brought his Cause over to the King, and affirmed that what he did was by his Father's Consent and Authority. The King referred it to some very worthy Members of his Privy Council, to examine what he had to shew. Upon Examination they reported, that they found, that he had the King's Consent or Letter of Instructions for what he did; which amazed many. Hereupon his Majesty, King Charles II. wrote to the Duke of Ormond and Council to restore his Estates, because it appeared to those appointed to examine it, that what he did was by his Father's Order or Consent. Upon this the Parliament's old Adherents grew more confident than ever of the Righteousness of those Wars; and the very Destroyers of the King (whom the first Parliamentiers call'd Rebels) did presume also to justify their Cause, and said that the Law of Nature did warrant them. But it stopt not here. For the Lord Mazarine, and others of Ireland, did so far prosecute the Cause, as that the Marquis of Antrim was forced to produce in the Parliament of England, in the House of Commons, a Letter of the King's (Charles I.) by which he gave him orders for his taking up Arms; which being read in the House, did put them into a silence. But yet so egregious was their Loyalty and Veneration of Majesty, that it put them not at all one step out of the way which they had gone in. But the People without doors talked strangely; some said, Did you not persuade us, that the King was against the Irish Rebellion? and that the Rebels belyed him, when they said, they had his Warrant and Commission? Do not we now see, with what mind he would have gone himself with an Army into Ireland to fight against them? A great deal more, not here to be mentioned, was vented feditiously among the People, the sum of which was intimated in a Pamphlet, which was printed, called Murder will out; in which they published the King's Letter, and Animadversions on it. Some that were still loyal to the King did wish, that the King that now is, had rather declared, that bis Father did only give the Marquis of Antrim commission to raise an Army, as to have helped him egainst the Scots: and that his turning against the English Protestants in Ireland, and the Murdering of so many hundred thousands there, was against

(o) Part. iii. §.173. p 83. See likewife Dr. Calamy's Abridgment of Mr. Baxter's Life, p. 43. Edit. 1713.

⁽m) 'Etworonhásne, Sest. 12. (n) Edit. of Milton's Works, p 528. printed in 1698, in fot.

against bis Will. But quod scriptum erat, scriptum erat. King Charles II's Letter mentioned in this Passage, is as follows:

CHARLES R.

Ight trusty and well-beloved Cousins and Counsellors, \mathcal{C}_{ϵ} . We greet You well. How far we have been from interposing on the behalf of " any of our Irish Subjects, who by their miscarriages in the late Rebellion in "that Kingdom of Ireland had made themselves unworthy of Our Grace and " Protection, is notorious to all Men; and We were so jealous in that particu-" lar, that shortly after our return into this Our Kingdom, when the Marquis " of Antrim came hither to present his Duty to Us. upon the Information We " received from those Persons who then attended Us, by a Deputation from "Our Kingdom of Ireland, or from those who at that time owned our " Authority there, that the Marquis of Antrim had so mis-behaved himself to-" wards Us, and Our late Royal Father of bleffed Memory, that he was in no "degree worthy of the least Countenance from Us, and that they had mani-" fest and unquestionable Evidence of such his Guilt: Whereupon We resused " to admit the faid Marquis so much as into Our Presence, but on the con-" trary committed him Prisoner to Our Tower of London; where after he had " continued several Months under a strict restraint, upon the continued Infor-" mation of the faid Persons, We sent him into Ireland, without interposing " the least on his behalf, but left him to undergo such a Tryal and Punishment, " as by the Justice of that Our Kingdom should be found due to his Crime, eya pecting still that some heinous Matter would be objected and proved against "him, to make him uncapable, and to deprive him of that Favour and Pro-" tection from Us, which We knew his former Actions and Services had merited. After many Months attendance there, and (We presume) after such " Examinations as were requisite, he was at last dismissed without any Censure, " and without any transmission of Charge against him to Us, and with a Licence to transport himself into this Kingdom. We concluded, that it was " then time to give him some instance of Our Favour, and to remember the "many Services he had done, and the Sufferings he had undergone, for his Af-" fections and Fidelity to our Royal Father and Our Self, and that it was time " to redeem him from those Calamities, which yet do lie as heavy upon him " fince, as before our happy Return. And thereupon we recommended him to " you Our Lieutenant, that you should move Our Council there, for preparing " a Bill to be transmitted to Us, for the re-investing him the said Marquis into " the Possession of his Estate in that Our Kingdom, as had been done in " fome other Cases. To which Letter, you Our said Lieutenant returned us " answer, that you had informed Our Council of that Our Letter, and that " you were upon confideration thereof, unanimously of Opinion, that such a "Bill ought not to be transmitted to Us, the Reason whereof would forthwith " be presented to Us from Our Council. After which time We received the " inclosed Petition from the said Marquis, which we referred to the Conside-" rations and Examinations of the Lords of Our Privy Council, whose Names " are mentioned in that Our Reference, which is annexed to the faid Petition; " who thereupon met together, and after having heard the Marquis of Antrim, "did not think fit to make any Report to Us, till they might fee and understand "the Reasons which induced you not to transmit the Bill We had proposed, " which Letter was not then come to Our Hands: After which time We have " received your Letter of the 18th of March, together with several Petitions " which had been prefented to you, as well from the Old Soldiers and Adventu-" rers, as from the Lady Marchioness of Antrim, all which we likewise transmitted " to the Lords Referees. Upon a second Petition presented to Us by the Lord " Marquis, which is here likewise enclosed, commanding our said Referees to take " the same into their serious Consideration, and to hear what the Petitioner had " to offer in his own Vindication, and to report the whole matter to Us, which " upon a third Pstition herein likewise inclosed, We required them to expedite " with what speed they could. By which deliberate Proceedings of Ours you " cannot but observe, that no Importunity, how just so ever, could prevail with " Us to bring our felf to a Judgment in this Affair, without very ample Infor-Vol. I.

APPENDIX " mation. Our faid Referees, after feveral Meetings, and perufal of what hatit " been offered to them by the faid Marquis, have reported unto Us, That they " have seen several Letters, all of them the hand-writing of Our Royal Father to " the faid Marquis, and feveral Instructions concerning his treating and joining "with the Irish, in order to the King's Service, by reducing to their Obedience, " and by drawing some Forces from them for the Service of Scotland. That " besides the Letters and Orders under his Majesty's Hand, they have received sufficient Evidence and Testimony of several private Messages and Di-" rections sent from Our Royal Father, and from Our Royal Mother, with the " Privity and with the Directions of the King Our Father,; by which they " are perfuaded that whatever Intelligence, Correspondence or Actings, the " faid Marquis had with the Confederate Irish Catholicks, was directed or al-" lowed by the faid Letters, Instructions and Directions; and that it manifestly appears to them, that the King Our Father was well pleafed with what the "Marquis did, after he had done it, and approved the same. "This being the true state of the Marquis his Case, and there being no-"thing proved upon the first Information against him, nor any thing contained " against him in your Letter of March 18. but that you were informed, he had put in his Claim before the Commissioners appointed for executing the Ast of Settlement; and that if his Innocency be fuch as is alledged, there is no need of " transmitting such a Bill to Us as is defired; and that if he be Nocent, it confists " not with the Duty which you owe to Us, to transmit such a Bill, as, if it should " pass into a Law, must needs draw a great Prejudice upon so many Adventu-" rers and Soldiers, which are, as is alledged, to be therein concerned: We have " confidered of the Petition of the Adventurers and Soldiers, which was trans-" mitted to Us by you, the Equity of which confifts in nothing, but that they " have been peaceably in Possession, for the space of seven or eight years, of " those Lands, which were formerly the Estate of the Marquis of Antrim, and " others, who were all engaged in the late Irish Rebellion; and that they shall " fuffer very much, and be ruined, if those Lands should be taken from them. "And We have likewise considered another Petition from several Citizens of " London, near fixty in number, directed to Our Self, wherein they desire, That " the Marquis his Estate may be made liable to the Payment of his just Debts, "that so they may not be ruined in the favour of the present Possessors, who " (they fay) are but a few Citizens and Soldiers, who have difburfed very " small Sums thereon. Upon the whole matter, no man can think We are less " engaged by Our Declaration, and by the Act of Settlement, to protect those

who are innocent, and who have faithfully endeavoured to ferve the Crown, how unfortunate foever, than to expose to Justice those who have been really and maliciously guilty. And therefore we cannot in Justice, but upon the Petition of the Marquis of Antrim, and after the serious and strict Inquisition into his Actions, declare unto you, That we do find him innocent from any malice or rebellious purpose against the Crown; and that what he did by way of Correspondence or Compliance with the Irish Rebels, was in or-

"der to the Service of Our Royal Father, and warranted by his Instructions, and the Trust reposed in him; and that the benefit thereof accrued to the Service of the Crown, and not to the particular advantage and benefit of the

"Marquis. And as We cannot in justice deny him this Testimony, so We require you to transmit Our Letter to Our Commissioners, that they may know

"Our Judgments in this Case of the Lord of Antrim, and proceed accordingly.

"And so We bid you heartily farewell.

Given at Our Court at White-Hall, July 10, in the 15th Year of Our Reign, 1663.

To our Right Trusty and Right entirely Well-belowed Cousin and Counsellor, James Duke of Ormond, Our Lieutenant General, and General Governor of Our Kingdom of Ireland; and to the Lords of Our Council of that Our Kingdom.

By His Majesty's Command,

HENRY BENNET.

Entred at the Signet-Office, July 13, 1663.

Having

Having thus stated what has been urg'd against King Charles I. with relation to the Irish Rebellion; let us proceed now to represent what is alleg'd in Vindication of him.

With respect to the Commission pretended to be given by the King at Edinburgh, Ostober 1st, 1641, Monsieur Rapin (p) observes, that "the for " many reasons it is more than probable, that the King never granted a Com-" mission to the Irish to take Arms; it is however certain, that they boasted " of having fuch a Commission. But it is no less certain, that it cannot be the same with what has been just read; nor can this be the Commission " publish'd by the Leaders of the Irish Rebels. My reason is, because in " this Commission the King is made to say things, which happen'd not till " feveral Months after the Day of the Date, and which those, who are sup-" posed to have published it the 4th of November, could not foresee. The "King is made to fay on the 1st of October 1641, that the Parliament had " posses'd themselves of his Sovereignty, and appointed Governors, Com-" manders, and Officers in all places, which certainly was not done before " the Month of October 1641. It must therefore be, that Rushworth, who has " inferted this Commission in his Collections (q), had bad Memoirs and little " Judgment not to see, in this pretended Commission of the 1st of October 1641, "things, which happen'd not till the Year 1642." Mr. Indal, in his Notes upon his Translation of this Passage, adds another Reason, which seems to demonstrate the forgery of this Commission; which is, that this Commission is supposed to be under the Great Seal of Scotland; and yet in the Enumeration of the King's Titles, England is named before Scotland, which doubtlefs never was done in any Writings published by Authority in that Kingdom. Before the late Union in Queen Anne's Reign, the King's constant Title in all the Scots publick Asts was, of Scotland, England, &c. King. Mr. Rushworth likewise observes, that the words of the Commission are enough to show the villainous Practice of the Authors, and its bare recital a sufficient constitution and detection of the unparallel'd Forgery. And that it was forg'd by Sir Phelim O Neile, appears from the following Deposition of Dr. John Ker, Dean of Ardagh, (r), publish'd by Nalson.

"I John Ker, Dean of Ardagh, having occasionally discoursed with the Right Honourable George Lord Viscount Laneshorough concerning the late Rebellion of Ireland; and his Lordship at that time having defired to certify the said Discourse under my hand and seal, do declare as follows:

"tify the faid Discourse under my hand and seal, do declare as follows: "That I was present in Court, when the Rebel Sir Phelim O Neile was " brought to his tryal in Dublin (s), and that he was tryed in that Court, which is now the High-Court of Chancery; and that his Judges were Judge Domelan, afterwards Sir James Donelan; Sir Edward Bolton Knight, sometime Lord Chief Baron of the Exchequer; — Dungan, then called " Judge Dungan; and another Judge, whose name I do not now remember. And that amongst other Witnesses then brought in against him, there was " one Joseph Travers Clerk, and one Mr. Michael Harrison, if I mistake " not his Christian Name. And that I heard several Robberies and Murders " proved against him the said Sir Phelim, he having nothing material to plead " in his own Defence. And that the faid Judge, whose name I remember not " as above faid, examin'd the faid Sir Phelim about a Commission, that the faid "Sir Phelim should have had from Charles Stuart, as the said Judge then called the late King, for levying the said War. That the said Sir Phelim " made answer, that he never had any such Commission. And that it was " proved then in Court by the Testimony of the said Jeseph Travers and others, "that the faid Sir Phelim had fuch a Commission, and did then in the begin-" ning of the faid Irish Rebellion show the same unto the said Joseph and several " others then in Court. Upon which the faid Sir Phelim confesied, that when " he furprized the Castle of Charlemount and the Lord Causfield, that he order'd " the faid Mr. Harrison and another Gentleman, whose name I do not now " remember, to cut off the King's broad Seal from a Patent of the faid Lord's " they then found in Charlemount, and to affix it to a Committion, which he

" the faid Sir Phelim had order'd to be drawn up. And that the faid Mr. Harri-" fon did in the face of the whole Court confess, that by the faid Sir Phelim's Order " he did stitch the filk Cord or Label of that Seal with filk of the Colours of the " faid Label, and so fixed the Label and Seal to the faid Commission. And that " the faid Sir Edward Bolton and Judge Donelan urging the faid Sir Phelim " to declare, why he did so deceive the People? he did answer, that no Man " could blame him to use all means whatsoever to promote that Cause, he had so far engaged in. And that upon the second day of his Tryal, some of the " faid Judges told him, that if he could produce any material proof, that he " had fuch a Commission from the said Charles Squart, to declare and prove " it before Sentence should pass against him; and that he the said Sir Phelim " should be restor'd to his Estate and Liberty. But he answered, that he " could prove no fuch thing. Nevertheless they gave him time to confider " of it till the next Day, which was the third and last day of his Tryal. Up-" on which day the faid Sir Phelim being brought into the Court, and urg'd " again, he declared again, that he never could prove any fuch thing as a Com-" mission from the King; and added, that there were several Outrages com-" mitted by Officers and others, his Aiders and Abettors in the Management " of that War, contrary to his Intention, and which now pressed his Con-" science very much; and that he could not in Conscience add to them the " unjust calumniating the King, tho' he had been frequently follicited there-" unto by fair Promises and great Rewards while he was in prison (t). And " proceeding further in this Discourse, that immediately he was stopt, before " he had ended what he had further to fay, and the Sentence of Death was pronounced against him.

"And I do further declare, That I was present, and very near to the said "Sir Phelim, when he was upon the Ladder at his Execution. And that " one Marshal Peake and another Marshal, before the said Sir Phelim was cast, " came riding towards the Place in great haste, and called aloud, Stop a little; " and having passed thro' the Throng of the Spectators and Guards, one of "them whileered a pretty while with the faid Sir Phelim; and that the faid " Sir Phelim answered in the hearing of several hundreds of People, of whom " my felf was one, I thank the Lieutenant General * for his intended Mer-" cy; but I declare, good People, before God and his holy Angels, and all of " you that hear me, that I never had any Commission from the King for what " I have done, in levying or profecution of this War; and do heartily beg your " Prayers, all good Catholics and Christians, that God may be merciful unto " me, and forgive me my Sins. More of this Speech I could not hear, which

" of Execution.

" Ludlow.

" All that I have written as above, I declare to be true, and am ready, " if thereunto required, upon my Corporal Oath to attest the Truth of " every particular of it. And in testimony thereof, do hereunto subscribe " my Hand, and affix my Seal, this 28th Day of February, 1681.

" continued not long, the Guards beating off those that stood near the Place

" JOHN KER."

Mr. Thomas Carte likewise (u) informs us of a Particular, out of an Account of Sir Phelim O Neile's Trial, which he had often heard from a very worthy Clergyman, who was born in Ireland before the time of the Trial, and whose Uncle, from whom he had the Relation, was present at it in the Chancery Court of Dublin, where the High-Court of Justice sat, the Commissioners whereof were directed by a Committee, that sat in an adjoining Room, called the Chancery Chamber, what Questions they should propose to O Neile; a Communication being kept up by means of a Messenger, who went constantly between them, and represented to the Committee all Proceedings in the Court, and brought Instructions to the Commisfioners on every Occasion, speaking to them thro' a square Hole in the Wall.

(t) Mr. Tho. Carte, in his Life of James, the first Duke of Ormonde, Vol. I. p. 181, note (g), observes, "That Sir Richard Kenne-" dy (made Baron of the Exchequer of Ire-" fand by King Charles II) who attended "Sir Phelim in Prison, as his Council, used " frequently to mention this, as told him there by Sir Phelim, with great Detefia" tion of the Offer."

(u) The Irish Massacre set in a clear Light, p. 13. 2d Edit. London, 1713, in 4to.

Sir Phelim scenid, fays Mr. Carte, to appear in the Court with a Remorse for the Sins of his Life, and the Blood he had shed in the Rebellion, and with an unfergred Defire of washing away the Guilt of his former Crimes by a sincere Repentance of them. And therefore when the Commissioners, whose barbarous Endeavours to extort from him an Accusation of the King, during the Course of his Trial, (which was drawn out to the length of several Days, that he might be work'd upon in that Time) he had resisted with a Constancy, that could hardly be expected in his Circumstances, ewning that he had shew'd a Commission, but it was of his drawing, he having been bred in the Inns of Court in England, and the Broad Seal assisted to it, as above related; when they press'd him to plead this Commission, as given him by the King, be answered, that be would not increase his Crimes by accusing an innocent Man, who was dead. The same Writer, in his History of the Life of Tames the first Duke of Ormonde (x), observes, that Dr. William Speridan, formerly Bishop of Kilmore, and the late Mr. Lock, (a very worthy Man, and well known in Ireland by the Name of Father Lock, as some younger Members, who fat with him in the House of Commons there, us'd to stile him) were present at the Execution of Sir Phelim O Neile, and have to many Gentlemen now living confirm'd the Truth of Dean Ker's Relation. And Mr. Carte remarks (y), "That the very Patent, from which the Great Seal was torn, and which contained a Grant of some Lands in "the County of Tyrone, was about five or fix Years ago upon a Suit of "Law in relation to those Lands, produc'd at the Assizes of Tyrone by "the late Lord Charlemont, having on it evident Marks of the Seal's being torn from it, and an Indorsement proving the Fact; and was al-" lowed by the Judges as a proper Evidence to prove his Lordship's Right

* to the Lands in question."

It is remarkable likewise, that the Commission was pretended to be dated at Edinburgh, October 1, 1641, and had the Great Seal of Scotland affix'd to it, tho' all the King's Acts, whilst he was in Scotland, were dated from Holyrood-House, where was his constant Residence during his Stay there. And Dr. Gilbert Burnet, in his Memoirs of the Dukes of Hamilton (2), tells us, that the Keeper of the Great Seal of that Kingdom declar'd, That it had never been out of his keeping for many Months before and after that Time; and was never put to any fuch Commission. Mr. Howell obferves (a), That the King was so far from giving the Irish Rebels a Commission, that he had no Fore-knowledge of their Design, as, says he, (besides a world of other convincing Circumstances, which may clear him in this particular), appears from the Confession of the Lord Macguire before his Execution on February 20th, 1644, who, upon the Ladder, and another Person on the Scaffold, did absolutely acquit the King in this point. And the same Author afferts (b), that his Majesty was so far from having any Intimation of the Infurrection in Ireland, that the Spanish Embasfador here, and his Confessor, an Irishman, told him, that the King knew no more of it than the Great Mogul did. Roger Earl of Orrery (c) writes upon this Affair, as follows; "In the Year 1641, the Irish Papists pretended his Majesty's Authority, the pretending whereof having been so " horrid a Sin, (for it was no lefs than to have intitled his facred Maje-56 fty to all their unparallel'd Crimes, nay, to have made him Author " of them;) I think it a Duty to the Memory of that glorious Martyr, " to present the Reader with what will clearly evince their Malice to be as " great as his Majesty's Innocence. I will therefore only cite the Preamble " of their own Remonstrance, delivered by the Lord Viscount Gormanston, "Sir Lucas Dillon, and Sir Robert Talbot, Bart. to his Majesty's Commis-" fioners at the Town of Trim in the County of Meath, on the 17th of " March 1642. In which Remonstrance of Grievances, for so they call'd Vol. I.

(b) Italian Perspective, p. 289. (c) Answer to a scandalous Letter lately printed, and subscribed by Peter Helch, Procurator for the fecular and regular Popish Priests in Ireland, p. 29. Edit. Dublin, 1652

⁽x) Vol. I. B. 3. p. 181. Edit. London, 1736, in fol.
(y) Ibid. p. 182.
(z) p. 193, and 250.
(a) Glance on the Isle of Wight, p. 381.

"it, after they had taken notice, that his Majesty had authorized Commis-" fioners to hear what they should say or propound, these very Words fol-" low, viz. Which your Majesty's gracious and princely Favour we find ac-" companied with these Words, viz. Albeit We do extremely detest "THE ODIOUS REBELLION, WHICH THE RECUSANTS OF IRELAND " HAVE WITHOUT GROUND OR COLOUR RAISED AGAINST US, OUR " CROWN AND DIGNITY: Words which deserve to be written with a " Beam of the Sun, as an eternal Monument of his Majesty's Justice and "their Guilt. Nor were they spoken in a Corner, but spoken under the "Great Seal of England, and even in that Commission, which those salse "Accusers were to see, and hear read; and by those Expressions they were " fufficiently provoked to have pleaded the Authority, which they falle-" ly pretended, had they had the least Shadow for so black a Calumny." Father Welch or Walsh, in his Answer to the Earl's Book, p. 57. Sect. 79. acquits King Charles I. of the Imputation of having given the pretended Commission, which he acknowledges to have been the Invention of O Neile. Sir Roger Manley (c), having given an Account of King Charles I's. causing the Marquis of Ormonde to deliver up Dublin, then (Anno Dom. 1646) befieg'd by the Irish Army by Land, and block'd up by the Parliament Ships on the Sea-fide, into the hands of the latter, rather than of the former; and having briefly recited Sir Phelim O Neile's Attestation of that King's Innocence, expresses himself in these Words (d), Nor was it only with bim (O Neile) but with several other Prisoners, that they most impiously endeavoured by Promises of Life, Liberty and Estate, and no less abominable Artifices, to footh them to Confessions, that might entitle the King to that nefarious Rebellion. Mr. Carte (e) likewise observes, that the King's granting such a Commission is contrary, 1.(f) To the public and authentic Acts of the King himfelf and Lords Justices, to the Proclamations of October 20th, of January 1st, and February Sth, 1641; Acts of such a nature, as to vacate, or at least, to render useless all Commissions inconsistent with them, and granted in a clandestine way, if any could be so uncharitable as to suppose, that the King would grant any for the Crimes of Rapine, Murder and Rebellion; or fo fenseless as to imagine, that he would grant it for no end, or for one, that it could ferve but a Day, (or strictly speaking) but a Week. 2. To the King's furprize at the breaking out of the Rebellion, express'd in his Letter (g) to the Marquis of Ormand, wrote from Edinburgh, October, 31, 1641; and to his Care and improving every Hint and Intelligence he received of ill and seditious Designs for preventing them. See his Letter wrote by his Order to the Justices of Ireland, March 6th, 1640. 3. To his Professions of having had fince the beginning of that monstrous Rebellion no greater Sorrow, than for the bleeding Condition of the Kingdom of Ireland, and of his being griev'd from the very Soul at the Calamities of his good Subjects there. 4. To his repeated solemn Appeals to God, and calling him to witness for the Truth and Sincerity of his Professions. 5. To his whole Conduct and Actions, to his zealous Endeavours and Use of all Means in his Power, that timely Relief might be fent over to the Succour of the diffres'd Protestants; to his leaving the Management of the War there to the Parliament, and parting with his Prerogative, already sufficiently pared, that, if possible to move them by such a Sacrifice, it might be carried on the better; to his confenting to all Propositions (how disadvantageous soever to himself) that were offer'd to him for that purpose; to his sending over immediately, on the first News of the Insurrection of the Rebels, 1500 Men to oppose them, and sending afterwards Arms and Ammunition in such Proportion and Quantities, and at fuch times as he could very ill spare them; to his inflexible Resolution (even after the Battle of Naseby) when his Affairs seem'd desperate, that if the Condition of them were still more desperate, he would never redeem them by any Concessions to the Irish Rebels, which must wound his Honour and Conscience; and that, let his

Anglicana, 49. and Rufbworth's Abridgment, Vol. 111. 1- 168.

⁽c) History of the Rebellions in England, Scotland and Ireland, Edit. 1691.

⁽d) p. 92. (c) trip Massacre set in a clear Light, p. 13, & seq.

⁽f) Rorlace, p. 53, 34, 65, 30. Append. 3. p. 21. 6. p. 27.
(2) Sir R. Cow's Appendix to his Hibernia

Circumstances be what they would, he would run any Extremity, rather than do the least Act, that might hazard the Religion of the Church of England, in which, and for which he was refolv'd to die (b); and to his Orders from time to time to the Marquis of Ormond, in regard to which the Marquis (in his Answer to the Address of Thanks of the two Houses of Parliament in Ireland, for the Preservation of themselves and the rest of the Protestant Party there, thro' his Care, March 17th, 1646-7) declares, "That in all the time he had the Honour to serve the King his Master, "he had never received any Command from him, but fuch as fpake him a wife, pious, Protestant Prince, zealous of the Religion he professed, "the Welfare of his Subjects, and industrious to promote and fettle Peace " and Tranquillity in all his Kingdoms." 6. To not only what he did, but what he would further have done; and to his unfeigned Offers of venturing in his own Person all the Dangers of War; of hazarding his very Life for the Defence of his Protestants in Ireland, and for the Chastisement of those perfidious and barbarous Rebels (as he stiles them;) and of pawning or even felling his own Parks, Lands, and Houses for this Service. 7. To not only his constant Expressions of Abhorrence of that Rebellion; but also to his denying all Knowledge of it, with the strongest Asseverations and Declarations of it to such a degree, as to vow, That if his own Son had a hand in it, he would cut off his Head. 8. To this Consideration, which alone, (says Sir Richard Cox) must convince all Mankind of the King's Innocence in this Affair; and that is, that an Irish Rebellion was the most unlucky and fatal thing, that could happen to his Majesty at that Juncture; it broke all his Measures, and was so evidently against his Interest, that no Person could suspect him to contrive it, who did not at the same time think him mad.

I proceed now to consider the Case of the Marquis of Antrim, which has been frequently urg'd to cast an Odium upon the King, as concern'd in the Irish Rebellion and Massacre. Mr. Banter, in the Passage above cited, affirms, that this Marquis had been one of the Irish Rebels in the beginning of that War, when in the horrid Massacre 200000 Protestants were murther'd. But there will not appear the least Grounds for such an Assertion, if we consider, that the Marquis is not mention'd in any of the Lists or Accounts, which we have of those, who first appear'd in the Province of Uster, (in which Province the County of Antrim lies) for the Execution of the Conspiracy (i); nor in the List of the principal Rebels found among the Papers in the Clerk of the Commons House of Parliament's Office (k); nor in the Account, which we have of them in Dravdale's Deposition (l); nor in the Proclamation against the Rebels publish'd by the Lords Justices and Council February 8, 1641, wherein those then in Rebellion are particularly named (m). And when by some falle Reports carried over into England, he was aspersed there on account of the Rebellion, Sir William Parsons, under whose Eye he liv'd at that time at Dublin, wrote into England a Vindication of him from that Charge (n).

It appears likewise from Dr. Robert Maxwell's Depositions (0), that some of the Rebels complain'd of the Marquis's not taking up Arms, and that others of them exclaim'd against him so long as the March following at the End of the Year 1641, because their Cause suffer'd by his non-concurrence. He was very free in expressing his Detestation of their proceedings; and going down to his Estate in the County of Antrim in May 1642, did good Service in relieving Colerain; which was then besieg'd by the Rebels, and in danger of being taken for want of Provisions. Notwithstanding this Service, and tho' Mr. Archibald Stewart, his chief Agent or Steward, had by his interest and among his Tenants raised a Regiment, which did good Service against the Rebels; Major General Monroe, on pretence that some other of his Tenants were in the Rebellion, but in reality to gratify the Passions of a great Man in Scotland, and his own Avarice, by getting possession of his Estate and plundering his House, seized the Marquis of Antrim, whill he

⁽b) Cox, Part H. C. 1. p. 152. (i) Nalfor's Collections, Vol. H. p. 632. (k) Ib. p. 888 (l) Borhace's History, p. 39.

⁽m) Id. p. 65, and Appendix from p. 27, to 35
(n) Carte's Life of James, the first Duke of Ocmond, Vol. II. p. 277.
(o) See Borlace's Appendix, p. 126, & 187.

was entertaining him in his own Castle of Dunlace, and sent him prisoner to Carrickfergus. Thence he made his Escape into England, where he waited on the Queen at York in March 1643. Montrofs and some other Scots Noblemen coming thither, propos'd a Scheme for raising a Body of the King's Friends in Scotland, to oppose the Covenanters, who were then in Treaty with the Parliament. The Marquis of Antrim undertook to bring over some Irish Troops to their assistance; and with that View went to the North of Ireland, but was taken by Monroe the very instant of his landing, and imprisoned again at Carrickfergus. He made thence a second Escape into England, and never was concern'd in any action or engagement with the Rebels till after the Cessation; Monroe all that time enjoying his Estate, and refusing to allow him or his Agents to receive the Rents of it, tho' repeated Orders were fent from the King and the State of Ireland for that purpose. At the time of the Cessation, the Scots had declared they would assist the Parliament, and were raising Forces to invade England. The Marquis of Montross coming to Oxford, proposed to cut them out work at home, and to make a diversion in their own Country, if he had but a Body of Forces to begin the Affair, and to serve for a Protection to the Royalists, who would join with him. Antrim then at Oxford readily undertook to bring or fend a Body of Irish Troops for that purpose; and in order thereto went to Ireland in 1644. He could not make good this promife without the affiftance or countenance of the Council of Kilkenny; and in order to recommend himself to them, he took the Oath of Affociation, and was made a Member of that Body. There were still confiderable Expences to be defrayed, and great difficulties to be got over; which at last was done by the help and credit of the Marquis of Ormond. During this Negociation, Antrim had several Letters from the Queen, encouraging him to go on with the Affair, and pressing Dispatch. At last he sent off about 1500 Men, which landing in Scotland, enabled Montrofs to raise the Royal Party there, and laid the Foundation of all the great Enterprizes, which he undertook, and the amazing Victories, which he gained in that Country. "This, continues Mr. Carte (0), was certainly a very eminent Service, at-" tempted whilst the Marquis of Antrim was innocent; and if in order to the " performance, he contracted any Guilt, by corresponding with the Rebels, " (when they were no longer in arms,) which was absolutely necessary, or by " taking the Oath of Affociation; which tho' it was not, he might possibly "deem ferviceable to that End, there was nothing in his Conduct but what " might be very well excused and pardoned. But his After-actions did not " correspond to these Beginnings; and far from being proper or lawful means " of advancing the King's Affairs, were not so much as directed or intended for his Service." He join'd in all the violent Measures of the Nuncio and his Party; opposed the Peace of 1646, to the utmost of his power; embark'd in the defign to put the Kingdom under subjection to the Pope, or some foreign Power; was a declar'd Enemy to the Marquis of Ormond; and upon his return from France, whither he went in the beginning of 1648, join'd with the Nuncio in opposing the Cessation lately made with Lord Inchiquin, and flood out against the Peace, which follow'd it, and which was thought by the Marquis of Ormond and the Roman Catholic Confederates (between whom it was concluded) the only means to prevent the Death of the King. He kept a Correspondence with Cromwell from the time of his landing at Dublin, fow'd discontents among the Irish Troops, raising Jealousies between them and Lord Inchiquin's Party, which ruin'd the King's Affairs in Ireland; was a constant Spy on the Marquis of Ormand and all who adher'd to the King's Authority, giving intelligence to Jones and Irecon of all their Meafures and Defigns, and afterwards openly joining with their Party; and endeavour'd to asperse the Memory of the late King, by pretending to confess an antecedent Defign, wherein he pretended to be concern'd himfelf, but which never was acted, nor had the least foundation of Truth or Probability (p).

Soon after the Restoration he came to England; but upon information from the Commissioners of the Convention, that he had misbehaved himself both in regard to his Majesty and his Father, the King resused to see, and com-

mitted

⁽⁰⁾ Life of James the first Duke of Ormond, Vol. II. p. 273, 279.

mitted him prisoner to the Tower of London. He was continued there several Months under a close Restraint, upon the continued Information of the same Commissioners. But no Evidence being produced of his Guilt, as was considently promis'd, and a Petition being presented by his Wise to the King in Council, on March 29th, 1661, it was order'd, that he should be bail'd, upon the Lords Moore, Dillon, and Taasse entering into a Recognizance of 1. 20000, for his Appearance, within six Weeks after the Date of it, before the Lords Justices of Ireland; to whom were remitted all the Papers, which they had sent over about him. However, after above fourteen months Attendance, he was at last dissinissed without any Censure, or Transmission of a Charge against him, and with a Licence from the Lords Justices to transport himself into England. He there sollicited for the Restitution of his Estate, which consisted of 107611 Acres, and had been allotted to the Lord Masserventures and Pay, which did not in all exceed the Sum of 1. 7000.

The Queen-Mother folliciting strongly in favour of the Marquis, and the King seeing nothing prov'd against him, was prevail'd upon to write a Letter to the Duke of Ormond, Lord Lieutenant of Ireland, dated Decemb. 8, 1662, requiring him to move the Council of Ireland to prepare a Bill to be transmitted over, according to Poyning's Law, for putting the Marquis in possesfion of his Estate. The Council in Ireland were unanimously of opinion, that fuch a Bill ought not to be transmitted. Upon this Antrim presented a Petition to his Majesty, giving a favourable Account of his Case, and representing, "that upon the breaking out of the Irish Rebellion he had quitted that "Kingdom on account thereof, and had retired into England; that he was " fent back by the late King's positive Command for the carrying on of such Services there and in Scotland, as were given him in charge; and his En-"deavours therein were fo well accepted, that he was dignified with the title " of Marquis. That indeed he had been accused of defaming the late King, " and on that account had been imprison'd in the Tower, and forbid his Ma-" jesty's Presence, but during all his Attendance in Ireland, the Fact had " been never proved, and was indeed without foundation; and (as a Proof " of his constant Adherence to his Majesty) that he had been deprived by the " Irish and Usurpers of his whole Estate, and lived in great Misery till his "Majesty's happy Restoration." This Petition was referr'd to a Committee of the Council of England, who, having heard the Marquis, did not think fit to make any Report, till they first saw and understood the Reasons, which induc'd the Council of Ireland not to transmit the Bill proposed. These Reafons were fent in a Letter of March 18th, with feveral Petitions which had been presented to them, as well from the Soldiers and Adventurers, as from the Marquis himself. The Reasons imported, "that they were informed, "that the Marquis had put in his Claim before the Commissioners for execu-"ting the Act of Settlement; and if his Innocency were fuch as he alledged, "there was no need of transmitting such a Bill as was defired; and if he were " nocent, it confisted not with their Duty to his Majesty to transmit such a "Bill, as, if it should pass into a Law, must needs draw a great prejudice "upon fo many Adventurers and Soldiers, as were alledg'd to be therein concern'd." While these Papers were under Consideration, the Marquis presented another Petition of the same Tenor as the former, praying to be heard; and afterwards a third, pressing Dispatch, on account of the Inconveniences he fuffer'd by Delays.

The Committee of the Council proceeded with great Deliberation in the Affair, and heard what the Marquis had to offer in his own Vindication. He produced King Charles I's Instructions and Letters in 1643 and 1644 for his going into Ireland, and treating with the Irish, in order to reduce them to their Obedience, to draw from them Forces for the Service of Scotland, and to engage them to fend a Succour of 10000 Men to his Majesty's Assistance in England. Daniel O Neile, who had been sent with him as an Adviser, was an unexceptionable Witness of his Behaviour at that time. The Committee therefore made a Report in his favour, and accordingly the King wrote a Letter to the Lord Lieutenant and Council of Ireland, dated July 10th, 1663, and given at large above. This Letter arriv'd at Dublin July 20th, and the Vota I.

purport of it coming to be known, the Adventurers and Soldiers concern'd in Antrin's Estate presented a Petition to the Council of Ireland, who transmitted it to his Majesty, with their own Letters of the 31st of that Month. In these Letters they observed, that the Marquis's Case had not been fully stated to the Council in England, since his Conduct had been very criminal in many Instances, in opposing the Peace of 1646 and 1648, joining with the Pope's Nuncio and his Adherents against the Royal Authority, &c. The Petition of the Adventurers, which they transmitted with these Letters, contain'd the Heads of their Accusation against the Marquis, upon which he was scon after to be tried before the Court of Claims.

When the Marquis's Friends found, that the Certificate, which had been fent to the Lord Lieutenant and Council of Ireland, would not be transmitted to the Court of Claims, and that the Council were preparing a Remonstrance against it, they procur'd from the King another Certificate, dated August 11th in the form of a Letter to the Commissioners for executing the Act of Settlement, of the same Import, and in the same Words, except where the Form necessarily occasion'd a Variation. This Letter arriv'd at Dublin time enough to be made use of at the Marquis's Tryal, which was on the 20th of that Month. Mr. Carte (p) thinks it very probable, that Sir Henry Bennet, Secretary of State, out of Complaisance to the Queen-Mother, who greatly favour'd the Marquis, had secreted the joint Letter of the Council of Ireland of July 31st, till after his Majesty's Letter to the Commissioners of August 11th

was fent away.

The Marquis's Tryal before the Commissioners of the Court of Claims in Ireland came on August 20th, when his Majesty's Letter was first read; and four of the Commissioners thought, that this Letter was a sufficient ground for them to declare the Innocency of the Marquis; but the other three thinking it proper to hear what Evidence could be offer'd for criminating the Plaintiff, and afterwards to confider, whether what they alledg'd was comprehended within the Instructions and Directions mentioned in the Letter, the Matter was argued by the Council on both fides. At last the Council for the Defendants mov'd, that this Point of the King's Letters might be referred to the Lord Lieu-"tenant and Council, as had been before in Sarsfield's Case." But this was carried in the Negative. The next Question, whether they should hear any Evidence on the Defendants part, was carried in the Affirmative. The first thing, which the Defendants offer'd, was a Copy of the above-mentioned Letter of July 31, from the Lord Lieutenant and Council, in answer to his Majesty's; but the reading of it was carried in the Negative. They then attempted to prove, that Antrim knew of the Plot for the surprising of Dublin Castle, on Octob. 23, 1641. But all the Evidence was two hear-say Depositions taken in 1642, from Persons who were told so by the common Soldiers of the Irish, whilst they were Prisoners. The Conduct of his Tenants in the North was objected; but the only thing of any confequence urg'd against him before the Ceffation in 1643, was a Conference, which he was charg'd with having had with Roger Moore. Whether this was, fays Mr. Carte (q), in order to get a Pass to go to his Estate in the North, or for some other lawful purpose; or whether it was absolutely false, does not appear from any Witness on the Marquis's side; for his Council would examine none, choosing to rest their Cause upon the King's Testimony in his Letter, rather than to lessen its Weight by any Act of their own, in appealing to other Evidence. The Desendants, to prove the Fact, produc'd another of the old hear-fay Depositions, taken just after the Rebellion broke out, and fix living Witnesses, who all, speaking to one and the same Fact, fix'd it, some in January, others in February, another in April, and one (viz. Connor Donnogh, a Ronish Priest) in June 1642, at which time Antrim was Prisoner at Carrickfergus. But as Sir William Parsons at that time vindicated the Marquis's Innocency; as the Duke of Ormond consider'd him as a faithful Subject, when he waited upon him after the Battle of Kilrush, two or three days before Antrim went into the North; as no Indistment was laid, nor any Prosecution carried on against him, in a time of the severest Inquisition after the Adherents and Correspondents of the Rebels, when such Prosecutions were made upon the sughtest Suspicions and weakest.

weakest Grounds; as no Objection was made in the Council, composed as it was in Sir Will. Parsons's time, when Orders were sent them about a Year after. wards to put the Marquis in Possession of the Rents of his Estate: I do not see, fays Mr. Carte (r), the least reason to lay any stress upon these disagreeing Depositions; especially considering the Practices used at that time of the sitting of this Court of Claims, to procure and suborn Witnesses, whose Perjuries were fometimes prov'd in open Court by the Testimony of honourable Persons, who happen'd accidentally to be present. The Desendants next proceeded to shew, that he had figu'd the Roll of Affociation; that he had been of the supreme Council of Kilkenny; that he had acted as a Lieutenant-General among the Rebels; that he had join'd with the Nuncio, and with Owen Ro O Neile, and oppos'd the Peace of 1648; and that he came in 1650 with a Pass from Ireton to the English Camp, and had form'd a Defign to transport Soldiers to oppose King Charles II. in Scotland. When the Evidence of the Defendants was finish'd, and Antrim's opposing the Peace in 1646 and 1648 was prov'd, without any Defence on the Plaintiff's fide, the Court was to determine. That Opposition expressly barred his Innocency according to the Act of Settlement; the only Doubt was, whether those particular proofs were to be receiv'd in opposition to the King's general Testimony, and express directions to pronounce the Marquis innocent; as he was at last adjudg'd to be by the Majority of the Judges.

As foon as the Tryal was over, the Adventurers and Soldiers aggriev'd by this Sentence, prefented at the Council-Board a Petition to his Majesty praying relief against the Declaration of the Court of Claims, which they desir'd might be respited and referr'd to the consideration of the Lord Lieutenant and Council of Ireland. The King upon receipt of this Petition, immediately wrote another Letter to the Commissioners (to whom he transmitted at the fame time his former Letters to the Lord Lieutenant and Council, and their Answer of July 31.) representing therein, how he found by that Petition (a Copy whereof he fent them) "that upon the hearing of the Marquis of Antrim's "Cause on August 20. there were offered unto them in Evidence against the " faid Marquis feveral things, which by the Characters given of that Noble-" man to his Majesty, he did not conceive he had been guilty of; upon " which particulars (fays his Majesty in the Words of the Letter) as they were " not made known to us before, fo now being made known unto us, we can-" not but take notice of them, and declare our Sense, that they cannot con-" fift with the Marquis's Duty and Allegiance to our Royal Father or Our-" felf, neither can the same be warranted by any Authority supposed to be "derived from our Royal Father, or be any ways confistent with the Service of our Royal Father or Ourself. And therefore since that we are given to " understand, that the said Marquis made not any defence against the said " Evidence, but relied wholly on our Letters to you directed, which were " by you held very comprehensive for the acquitting the said Marquis of all " the Matters objected against him, and that the Crimes laid to his charge " (though confessed) were thereby avoided; and that thereupon only, you "did adjudge the faid Marquis to be an innocent Perfon within the faid "Act; we cannot therefore, but upon the whole matter declare unto you, that we conceive, that fuch actings of the faid Marquis can no ways be in-"tended to be warranted or excused by any of the Authorities derived from our " Royal Father or Ourfelf; and that the same were so far from being a Service " to our Royal Father, that they did much reflect upon him. And there-" fore we do hereby require you to forbear issuing out of any Decree for the " faid Marquis, until our further Pleasure be known therein; and if any " Decree be issued forth, that you do give order and take care for superse-" ding thereof; and for so doing this shall be your warrant, &c."

This Letter the King fent with another to the Lord Lieutenant and Council, wherein after acknowledging the Receipt of theirs of July 31. the Petition transmitted therewith, and the other last mentioned, he adds, "That " upon ferious Confideration thereof he had thought fit to fignify his Royal "Pleasure unto the said Commissioners by the inclosed, which he sent to " them, to the Intent that they should see the same duly observed; and

that if the Commissioners Decree in the Marquis's behalf should be executed before these his Letters came to their hands, then they should cause the Sherists of the Counties, where the Lands lay, to put the Adventurers and Soldiers in possession again, and continue them therein, until his Pleasure were further known, &c."

There was afterwards upon this last Petition of the Adventurers and Soldiers a folemn Hearing before his Majesty at his Council-Board in England, against the Judgment and Decree given by the major Part of the Commissioners for the Marquis's Innocency. The King, after much time spent in the Examination of the Case, declared, "That he saw no Cause, why the 66 faid Marquis should be adjudged innocent, much less that the Commis-" fioners, not at all confidering the Proofs, which they heard against him, " should lay the whole Weight of their Judgment upon his Majesty's Certifi-" cate, the faid Certificate being only to declare, that the Marquis was employe ed into Ireland, to procure what Forces he could from thence, to be trans-" ported into Scotland for his late Majesty's Service under the late Marquis " of Montrole, to the end that the Conversation of the said Marquis in the Rebels " Quarters, which was necessary for that Service, might not, according to the " Letter of the former Att, render him Criminal, if that had been the only, " as it was the least Objection against him; and therefore resolv'd that he hould undergo a new Tryal." To prevent this, Antrim, in an humble Petition to the King, acknowledg'd himself guilty, and besought his Majefly, that he might be supported by his Mercy, since he was not able to support himself by his own Innocency. The King thereupon, reflecting on the Services perform'd for his Father by the Marquiss in the Scots Affair " and fome eminent Services of his likewife done to himfelf, (the Marquis, " besides assisting him with Arms and Ammunition, when he was in the "West, having also furnish'd him with Ships to make his Escape into " foreign Parts, when his Armies were defeated in the West;) and consi-" dering that his Mercy was in the same Act extended to some, who had as " much demerited, did by the Act of Explanation provide for the Mar-" quis's being restored to all his Estate (except Impropriations) taking care " in the same Act to have the Judgment of the Court of Claims declared " void and null to all intents and purposes."

Mr. Carte observes (s), that there is nothing more unaccountable in this Relation of the Marquiss's Restitution, than the wonderful Zeal, with which the Queen-Mother exerted her Interest in his behalf; and that some Writers say, this was owing to the Influence of her Favourite the Earl of St. Albans, upon whom the Marquis had made a Settlement of his Estate, while he was imprisoned in the Tower in 1660, in order to engage his Interest for his Restitution; tho' after the Marquis had carried his Point, and it was agreed, that he should be restored to his Estate by a particular Clause in the Act of Explanation, it appeared, that before he came from Ireland, he had made a prior Settlement on his Brother Alexander Macdonnes and his Heirs; by which St. Albans was disappointed of the expected Re-

compense of all his Trouble (t).

With regard to the other Story in Mr. Baxter's Life about the Lord Maffareene's and others profecuting the Caufe so far, as that the Marquis of Antrim was forced to produce in the Parliament of England, in the House of Commons, a Letter of the King's (Charles I.) by which he gave him Orders for his taking up Arms; which being read in the House did put them to silence; Mr. Carte observes (u), "That if this Letter of the King's was one of those produced before the Lords Referees of the Council, it has been already consider'd and clear'd. And as it relates only to the Marquis's drawing Forces out of Ireland for the Service of Scotland, the King can be no more blameable for giving the Marquis of Antrim such Order, than for giving one to the Marquis of Montross for the like purpose and for the same service.

".... If it is pretended, that it is none of those, which were laid before the Lords of the Council; it will be hard to account how the Marquis came not to produce it before them for his fuller Vindication." The

same Writer likewise in his Preface (x) to the Life of James, the first Duke of Ormonde, remarks, that there was no Occasion for the Lord Massareene or the Adventurers to appeal to the Parliament from the Sentence given at the Trial of the Marquis, because it was immediately superseded and annulled by the King's express Orders; and he assures us, that he has searched all the Journals of the House of Commons from the Restoration till after 1670 (y), and could find no Entry nor Mention made of any such Letter, nor of the Marquis's appearing before the Commons, nor of the Lord Mussareene's presenting any Petition, or bringing the Cause before that House. And indeed, says he, if any such Application had been ever made, I should certainly have found some mention of it in Lord Aungier's Letters to the Duke of Ormonde, that Nobleman being a Member of the House of Commons in England, a constant Attender, scarce ever missing a Post in writing to the Lord Lieutenant, and feldom omitting to speak particularly of Lord Massareene's Proceedings whilft he was in England. Dr. Calamy tells us (z), that he had been inform'd, that the Original of this Letter was once in the Paper-Office. Upon which Mr. Carte observes (a), That the Paper-Office is a Repository of Papers, not such as are presented to the House of Commons, but fuch as are reposed with the Secretaries of State; however that he had fearch'd that Office likewife, but could find no fuch Letter, tho' he met with Petitions to the King in Council, and several other Papers relating to the Marquis of Antrim.

As Mr. Baxter refers to a Pamphlet, called, Murder will out, as an Authority for what he had advanced in the Passage from his Life above cited, I shall give some Account of it, as I met with it in the Earl of Arlington's Letters to the Duke of Ormond; whence it appears, that this Pamphlet was written and committed to the Press soon after the Date of the Letter of King Charles II. to the Lord Lieutenant and Council of Ireland, which makes the Substance, as it was the Ground of it. For Lord Arlington in a Letter dated October 17th, 1663, writes, That the Surveyors of the Press had, among other malicious Papers, found one with this Title, Murden will be the Surveyor of the Council and the Surveyor of the Press had, among other malicious Papers, found one with this Title, Murden will be the Surveyor of the Surveyo der will out, accompanied with a Preamble, saying, the King has accused his Father, to clear my Lord of Antrim; and then follows his Majesty's Letter to the Duke of Ormand, to whom Lord Arlington recommends the making the Enquiry whether it was printed in Ireland; and adds, that it is certain, at least, that the Instruction and Composure of it came from thence. To this Letter and Account of the Pamphlet Lord Arlington refers in another Letter to the Duke of Ormond, dated January 30th, 1664, in these Words; "This Day is the Anniversary of our late King's Murder; and some vil-"Inis Day is the Annivertary of our late Ring's Winder, and some vislainous People, to blot the Remembrance of it, have (as I am told) difperfed many Copies of that feditious Paper, call'd, Murder will out;
wherein his Majesty's Recommendation of my Lord Antrim is printed,
with some villainous Application to his Majesty, whereof I sent you at its " first coming abroad a Copy, and then supposed the first Impression there-" of came from Ireland. If your Grace could discover any thing of it there, it would be a great Service to the King to have the Author and " Printer of it suffer some exemplary Punishment. For now the Press and Pen " is beginning as hot a War upon us, as if they intended speedily to follow it " with the Sword." In both these Letters the Account given of the Pamphlet is exactly the same; and it is said to consist only of the King's Letter, and of a Preamble applying it to him; but not the least Hint is given of any Letter produced by the Marquis of Antrim, in the House of Commons in England; which furnishes us with another Reason to suspect that no such Letter was ever produc'd.

(x) p. 11. (y) Lord Massareene died in (z) Abridgement of Mr. Baxter's Life, p. 43. Edit. 1713. (a) Preface, p. 12.

End of the APPENDIX.



REFORMATION

in ENGLAND,

AND

The Causes that hitherto have hindred it.

In Two Books. Written to a Friend.

SIR,

Midft those deep and retired Thoughts, which with every Man christianly instructed, ought to be most frequent, of God, and of his miraculous Ways and Works amongst Men, and of our Religion and Works, to be perform'd to him; after the Story of our Saviour Christ, suffering to the lowest bent of weakness in the Flesh, and presently triumphing to the high-est pitch of Glory in the Spirit, which drew up his Body also, till we in both be united to him in the Revelation of his Kingdom; I do not know of any thing more worthy to take up the whole passion of Pity on the one side, and Joy on the other, than to confider first, the foul and sudden Corruption, and then after many a tedious Age, the long deferr'd, but much more wonderful and happy Reformation of the Church in these latter Days. Sad it is to think how that Doctrine of the Gospel, planted by Teachers divinely inspired, and by them winnow'd, and fifted from the Chaff of overdated Ceremonies, and refin'd to fuch a spiritual height and temper of Purity, and knowledge of the Creator, that the Body, with all the Circumstances of Time and Place, were purify'd by the Affections of the regenerate Soul, and nothing left impure but Sin; Faith needing not the weak, and fallible Office of the Senfes, to be either the Ushers or Interpreters of heavenly Mysteries, save where our Lord himself in his Sacraments ordain'd, that such a Doctrine should, through the groffness and blindness of her Profesfors, and the fraud of deceivable Traditions, drag fo downwards, as to backflide one way into the Jewish beggary of old cast Rudiments, and stumble forward another way into the new-vomited Paganism of sensual Idolatry, attributing Purity or Impurity to things indifferent, that they might bring the inward Acts of the Spirit to the outward and customary Eye-service of the Body, as if they could make God earthly and flethly, because they could not make themselves beavenly and spiritual; they began to draw down all the divine Intercourse betwixt God and the Soul, yea, the very shape of God himself, into an exterior and bodily form, urgently pretending a necessity and obligement of joining the Body in a formal Reverence, and Worship circumscrib'd; they hallow'd it, they fum'd it, they sprinkled it, they bedeckt it, not in Robes of pure Innocency, but of pure Linen, with other deformed and fantastick dresses, in Palls and Miters, Gold, and Guegaws fetcht from Aaron's old Wardrobe, or the Flamins Vestry: then was the Priest set to con his Motions and his Postures, his Liturgies, and his Lurries, till the Soul by this means of over-bodying herfelf, given up justly to fleshly delights, bated her Wing apace downward: And finding the ease she had from her visible and sensuous Collegue the Body, in performance of religious Duties, her Pinions now broken, and flagging, shifted off from her felf the labour of high soaring any more, forgot her heavenly flight, and left the dull and droyling Carcase to plod on in the old Road, and drudging Trade of outward Conformity. And here out of question from her perverse conceiting of God, and holy things, the had fal'n to believe no God at all, had not custom and the worm of Conscience nipt her Incredulity hence to all the Du-Vol. I.

ties of evangelical Grace, inflead of the adoptive and chearful boldness which our new Alliance with God requires, came fervile, and thrall-like fear : For in very deed, the superstitious Man, by his good will, is an Atheist; but being scar'd from thence by the pangs and gripes of a boiling Conscience, all in a pudder shuffles up to himself such a God, and such a Worf ip as is most agreeable to remedy his fear; which fear of his, as also is his hope, fixt only upon the Flesh, renders likewise the whole faculty of his Apprehension carnal; and all the inward Acts of Worship, iffuing from the native Scrength of the Soul, run out lavishly to the upper Skin, and there harden into a Crust of Formality. Hence Men came to fcan the Scriptures by the Letter, and in the Covenant of our Redemption, magnify'd the external Signs more than the quickning Power of the Spirit; and yet looking on them through their own guiltiness, with a servile fear, and finding as little comfort, or rather terror from them again, they knew not how to hide their flavish approach to God's Behefts by them not understood, nor worthily received, but by cloaking their fervile crouching to all religious Prefentments, fometimes lawful, fometimes idolatrous, under the name of Humility, and terming the py-bald Frippery, and oftentation of Ceremonies, Decency.

Then was Baptism chang'd into a kind of Exorcism, and Water, san Sify'd by Christ's Institute, thought little enough to wash off the original Spot without the Scratch, or cross Impression of a Priest's fore-singer: And that Feast of Free-grace, and Adoption to which Christ invited his Disciples to sit as Brethren, and Co-heirs of the happy Covenant, which at that Table was to be feal'd to them, even that Feast of Love and heavenly-admitted Fellowship, the Seal of filial Grace, became the subject of Horror, and glouting Adoration, pageanted about like a dreadful Idol: which fometimes deceives well-meaning Men, and beguiles them of their Reward, by their voluntary Humility; which indeed is fleshly Pride, preferring a foolish Sacrifice, and the Rudiments of the World, as Saint Paul to the Coloffians explaineth, before a favory Obedience to Christ's Example. Such was Peter's unseasonable Humility, as then his Knowledge was fmall, when Christ came to wash his feet; who at an impertinent time would needs strain Courtesy with his Master, and falling troublefomly upon the lowly, alwife, and unexaminable intention of Christ, in what he went with resolution to do, so provok'd by his interruption the meek Lord, that he threaten'd to exclude him from his heavenly Portion, unless he could

be content to be less arrogant and stiff-neckt in his Humility.

But to dwell no longer in characterizing the Depravities of the Church, and how they sprung; and how they took increase; when I recall to mind at last, after so many dark Ages, wherein the huge overshadowing Train of Error had almost swept all the Stars out of the Firmament of the Church; how the bright and blifsful Reformation (by Divine Power) strook through the black and fettled Night of Ignorance and Antichristian Tyranny, methinks a fovereign and reviving Joy must needs rush into the Bosom of him that reads or hears; and the fweet Odour of the returning Gofpel imbath his Soul with the fragran-Then was the facred BIBLE fought out of the dufty Corcy of Heaven. ners where profane Falfhood and Neglect had thrown it, the Schools opened, Divine and Humane Learning rak'd out of the Embers of forgotten Tongues, the Princes and Cities trooping apace to the new-erected Banner of Salvation; the Martyrs, with the unresistable might of Weakness, shaking the Powers of

Darknefs, and fcorning the fiery Rage of the old red Dragon.

The pleafing pursuit of these Thoughts hath oft-times led me into a serious question and debatement with myself, how it should come to pass that England (having had this Grace and Honour from God, to be the first that should set up a Standard for the recovery of lost Truth, and blow the first Evangelick Trumpet to the Nations, holding up, as from a Hill, the new Lamp of faving Light to all Christendom) should now be last, and most unfettled in the enjoyment of that Peace, wherof she taught the way to others; although indeed our Wicklef's preaching, at which all the fucceeding Reformers more effectually lighted their Tapers, was to his Countrymen but a short Blaze, foon dampt and stifled by the *Pope* and *Prelates* for fix or feven Kings Reigns; yet methinks the Precedency which God gave this Island, to be the first Restorer of buried Truth, should have been followed with more happy success,

Of Reformation in England.

and fooner attain'd Perfection; in which as yet we are amongst the last: for, albeit in purity of Doctrine we agree with our Brethren; yet in Discipline, which is the execution and applying of Dostrine home, and laying the Salve to the very Orifice of the Wound, yea, tenting and fearthing to the Core, without which Pulpit-preaching is but shooting at Rovers; in this we are no better than a Schism from all the Reformation, and a fore Scandal to them: for while we hold Ordination to belong only to Bishops, as our Prelates do, we must of necessity hold also their Ministers to be no Ministers, and shortly after their Church to be no Church. Not to speak of those fenseless Ceremonies which we only retain, as a dangerous earnest of sliding back to Rome, and ferving merely, either as a Mist to cover nakedness where true Grace is extinguish'd, or as an Enterlude to set out the Pomp of Prelatism. Certainly it would be worth the while therefore, and the pains, to enquire more particularly, what, and how many the chief Caufes have been, that have still hindred our uniform Consent to the rest of the Churches abroad, at this time especially when the Kingdom is in a good propensity thereto; and all Men in Prayers, in Hopes, or in Disputes, either for or against it.

Yet will I not insist on that which may seem to be the Cause on God's part; as his Judgment on our Sins, the trial of his own, the unmasking of Hypocrites: nor shall I stay to speak of the continual Eagerness and extreme Diligence of the Pope and Papists to stop the surtherance of Reformation, which know they have no hold or hope of England their lost Darling, longer than the Government of Bishops bolsters them out; and therefore plot all they can to uphold them, as may be seen by the Book of Santa Clara the Popish Priest in defence of Bishops, which came out piping hot much about the time that one of our own Prelates, out of an ominous fear, had writ on the same Argument; as if they had join'd their Forces, like good Consederates, to support one

falling Babel.

But I shall chiefly endeavour to declare those Causes that hinder the forwarding of true Discipline, which are among ourselves. Orderly proceeding will divide our Inquiry into our Fore-fathers Days, and into our Times. Henry VIII. was the first that rent this Kingdom from the Pope's Subjection totally; but his Quarrel being more about Supremacy, than other sultiness in Religion that he regarded, it is no marvel if he stuck where he did. The next Default was in the Bishops, who though they had renounced the Pope, they still hugg'd the Popedom, and shar'd the Authority among themselves, by their six bloody Articles, persecuting the Protestants no slacker than the Pope would have done. And doubtless, whenever the Pope shall fall, if his ruin be not like the sudden down-come of a Tower, the Bishops, when they see him tottering, will leave him, and fall to scrambling, catch who may, he a Patriarchdom, and another what comes next hand; as the Irenth Cardinal of

late, and the See of Canterbury hath plainly affected.

In Edward the VI's days, why a compleat Reformation was not effected, to any confiderate Man may appear. First, he no sooner entred into his Kingdom, but into a War with Scotland; from whence the Protector returning with Victory, had but newly put his hand to repeal the fix Articles, and throw the Images out of Churches, but Rebellions on all fides, stirr'd up by obdurate Papists, and other Tumults, with a plain War in Norfolk, holding tack against two of the King's Generals, made them of force content themfelves with what they had already Jone. Hereupon follow'd ambitious Contentions among the Peers, which ceas'd not but with the Protector's death, who was the most zealous in this point: And then Northumberland was he that could do most in *England*, who little minding *Religion*, (ashis Apostacy well shew'd at his death) bent all his Wit how to bring the Right of the Crown into his own Line. And for the *Bifhops*, they were fo far from any fuch worthy Attempts, as that they suffer'd themselves to be the common Stales to countenance, with their profittuted Gravities, every politick Fetch that was then on foot, as oft as the potent Statists pleas'd to employ them. Never do we read that they made use of their Authority, and high Place of Access, to bring the jarring Nobility to Christian Peace, or to withstand their disloyal Projects: but if a Toleration for Mass were to be begg'd of the King for his Sifter Mary, lest CHARLES the Fifth should be angry; who but the grave Prelates, Cranmer Vol. I. B 2

and Ridley, must be sent to extort it from the young King? But out of the mouth of that godly and royal Child, Christ himself return'd such an awful repulse to those halting and time-serving Prelates, that after much bold im-

portunity, they went their way not without Shame and Tears.

Nor was this the first time that they discover'd to be followers of this World; for when the Protector's Brother, Lord Sudley, the Admiral, through private malice and mal-engine was to lose his Life, no Man could be found fitter than Bishop Latimer (like another Dr. Shaw) to divulge in his Sermon the forged Accusations laid to his charge, thereby to defame him with the People, who else 'twas thought would take ill the innocent Man's death, unless the reverend Bishop could warrant them there was no foul play. What could be more impious than to debar the Children of the King from their Right to the Crown? To comply with the ambitious Usurpation of a Traitor, and to make void the last Will of Henry VIII. to which the Breakers had sworn observance? Yet Bishop Cranmer, one of the Executors, and the other Bishops none refusing, (less they should resist the Duke of Northumberland) could find in their Consciences to set their hands to the disinabling and defeating not only of Princess Mary the Papist, but of Elizabeth the Protestant, and (by the Bishops judgment) the lawful Issue of King Henry.

Who then can think (tho' these Prelates had sought a surther Reformation) that the least wry Face of a Politician would not have husht them? But it will be said, These Men were Martyrs: What then? Though every true Christian will be a Martyr when he is called to it; not presently does it follow, that every one suffering for Religion, is without exception. Saint Paul writes, that A Man may give his Body to he burnt, (meaning for Religion) and yet not have Charity: He is not therefore above all possibility of erring,

because he burns for some points of Truth.

Witness the Arians and Pelagians, which were slain by the Heathen for Christ's sake, yet we take both these for no true Friends of Christ. If the Martyrs (saith Cyprian in his 30th Epistle) decree one thing, and the Gospel another, either the Martyrs must lose their Crown by not observing the Gospel for which they are Martyrs, or the Majesty of the Gospel must be broken and

lie flat, if it can be over-topt by the novelty of any other Decree.

And herewithal I invoke the Immortal DEITY, revealer and judge of Secrets, That wherever I have in this Book plainly and roundly (though worthily and truly) laid open the faults and blemishes of Fathers, Mariyrs, or Christian Emperors, or have otherwise inveighed against Error and Superstition with vehement Expressions; I have done it, neither out of malice, nor list to speak evil, nor any vain-glory, but of mere necessity to vindicate the spotless Truth from an ignominious Bondage, whose native worth is now become of such a low esteem, that she is like to find small credit with us for what she can say, unless she can bring a Ticket from Cranmer, Latimer, and Ridley; or prove herself a retainer to Constantine, and wear his Badge. More tolerable it were for the Church of God, that all these Names were utterly abolished like the Brazen Serpent, than that Men's fond Opinion should thus idolize them, and the Heavenly Truth be thus captivated.

Now to proceed, whatfoever the Bishops were, it feems they themselves were unfatisfy'd in matters of Religion as they then stood, by that Commisfion granted to 8 Bishops, 8 other Divines, 8 Civilians, 8 common Lawyers, to frame Ecclefiafical Constitutions; which no wonder if it came to nothing, for (as Hayward relates) both their Professions and their Ends were different. Lastly, We all know by Examples, that exact Reformation is not perfected at the first push, and those unwieldy Times of Edward VI, may hold some Plea by this excufe. Now let any reafonable Man judge whether that King's Reign be a fit time from whence to pattern out the Constitution of a Church-Discipline, much less that it should yield Occasion from whence to foster and establish the continuance of Imperfection, with the commendatory Subscriptions of Confeffors and Martyrs, to intitle and engage a glorious Name to a gross Corruption. It was not Episcopacy that wrought in them the heavenly Fortitude of Martyrdom, as little is it that Martyrdom can make good Episcopacy; but it was Episcopacy that led the good and holy Menthrough the Temptation of the Enemy, and the fnare of this prefent World, to many blame-worthy and oppro-

brious

Of Reformation in England.

brious Actions. And it is still Episcopacy that before all our eyes worsens and slugs the most learned, and seeming religious of our Ministers, who no sooner advanc'd to it, but like a Seething-Pot set to cool, sensibly exhale and reak out the greatest part of that Zeal, and those Gists which were formerly in them, settling in a skinny congealment of ease and sloth at the top: and if they keep their Learning by some potent sway of Nature, 'tis a rare Chance; but their Devotion most commonly comes to that queazy temper of Lukewarmness, that gives a Vomit to God himself.

But what do we fuffer mif-shapen and enormous Prelatism, as we do, thus to blanch and varnish her Deformities with the fair Colours, as before of Martyrdem, so now of Episcopacy? They are not Bishops, God and all good Men know they are not, that have fill'd this Land with late Confusion and Violence, but a tyrannical Crew and Corporation of Impostors that have blinded and abus'd the World so long under that Name. He that enabled with Gifts from God, and the lawful and primitive Choice of the Church affembled in convepient number, faithfully from that time forward feeds his parochial Flock, has his coequal and compresbyterial Power to ordain Ministers and Deacons by publick Prayer, and Vote of Christ's Congregation in like fort as he himself was ordain'd, and is a true Apostolick Bissiop. But when he steps up into the Chair of Pontifical Pride, and changes a moderate and exemplary House for a mif-govern'd and haughty Palace, spiritual Dignity for carnal Precedence, and secular high Office and Employment for the high Negotiations of his heaven-Iy Embassage: Then he degrades, then he un-bishops himself; he that makes him Bishop, makes him no Bishop. No marvel therefore if S Martin complained to Sulpitius Severus, that fince he was Bishop he felt inwardly a sensible decay of those Virtues and Graces that God had given him in great measure before; altho' the fame Sulpitius write that he was nothing tainted or alter'd in his Habit, Diet, or personal Demeanor from that simple plainness to which he first betook himself. It was not therefore that thing alone which God took difpleafure at in the Bishops of those times, but rather an universal rottenness and gangrene in the whole Function.

From hence then I pass to Queen ELIZABETH, the next Protestant Prince, in whose days why Religion attain'd not a perfect reducement in the beginning of her Reign, I suppose the hindring Causes will be found to be common with fome formerly alledg'd for King EDWARD VI. the Greenness of the times, the weak Estate which Queen MARY lest the Realm in, the great Places and Offices executed by Papilts, the Judges, the Lawyers, the Justices of Peace for the most part Pepish, the Bishops firm to Rome; from whence was to be expected the furious flashing of Excommunications, and absolving the People from their Obedience. Next her private Counsellors, whoever they were, persuaded her (as Camden writes) that the altering of Ecclefiastical Policy would move Sedition. Then was the Liturgy given to a number of moderate Divines, and Sir Tho. Smith a Statesman to be purg'd and physick'd: And surely they were mode. rate Divines indeed, neither hot nor cold; and Grindal the best of them, afterwards Archbishop of Canterbury, lost favour in the Court, and I think was discharg'd the Government of his See, for favouring the Ministers, though Camden feem willing to find another Caufe: therefore about her fecond Year, in a Parliament, of Men and Minds fome scarce well grounded, others belching the four Crudities of yesterday's Popery, those Constitutions of Edward VI. which as you heard before, no way fatisfied the Menthat made them, are now establish'd for best, and not to be mended. From that time follow'd nothing but Imprisonments, Troubles, Disgraces on all those that found fault with the Decrees of the Convocation, and strait were they branded with the name of Puritans. As for the Queen herfelf, she was made believe that by putting down Bishops her Prerogative would be infring'd, of which shall be spoken anon as the course of Method brings it in: And why the Prelates labour'd it should be so thought, ask not them, but ask their Bellies. They had found a good Tabernacle, they fate under a fpreading Vine, their Lot was fallen in a fair Inheritance. And these perhaps were the chief Impeachments of a more found rectifying the Church in the Queen's Time.

From this Period I count to begin our Times, which because they concern us more nearly, and our own Eyes and Ears can give us the ampler scope to judge,

will require a more exact fearch; and to effect this the speedier, I shall diffinguish such as I esteem to be the hinderers of Reformation into three forts, Antiquitarians, (for so I had rather call them than Antiquaries, whose Labours are useful and laudable.) 2. Libertines. 3. Politicians.

To the Votarists of Antiquity I shall think to have fully answer'd, if I shall be able to prove out of Antiquity, First, That if they will conform our Bishops to the purer times, they must mew their feathers, and their pounces, and make but curt-tail'd Bishops of them; and we know they hate to be dockt and clipt, as much as to be put down outright. Secondly, that those purer times were corrupt, and their Books corrupted soon after. Thirdly, that the best of those that then wrote, disclaim that any Man should repose

on them, and fend all to the Scriptures.

First therefore, if those that over-affect Antiquity will follow the square thereof, their Bishops must be elected by the hands of the whole Church. The ancientest of the extant Fathers, Ignatius, writing to the Philadely blans, faith, that it belongs to them as to the Church of God to chuse a Bishop. Let no Man cavil, but take the Church of God as meaning the whole confittence of Orders and Members, as St. Paul's Epiftles express, and this likewise being read over: Besides this, it is there to be mark'd, that those Philadelphians are exhorted to chuse a Bishop of Antioch. Whence it seems by the way that there was not that wary limitation of Diocess in those times, which is confirm'd even by a fast Friend of Episcopacy, Camden, who cannot but love Bishops as well as old Coins, and his much lamented Monasteries, for antiquity's fake. He writes in his Description of Scotland, that over all the world Bishops had no certain Diocess, till Pope Dionysius about the year 268 did cut them out; and that the Bishops of Scotland executed their function in what place foever they came indifferently, and without destination, till King Malcolm the third, about the Year 1070. Whence may be guess'd what their function was: Was it to go about circled with a band of rooking Officials, with Cloak-bags full of Citations, and Proceffes to be ferv'd by a corporalty of griffon-like Promoters and Apparitors? Did he go about to pitch down his Court, as an Empirick does his Bank, to inveigle in all the Money of the Country? No certainly it would not have bin permitted him to exercise any such Function indifferently wherever he came. And verily some such matter it was as want of a fat Diocess that kept our Britain Bishops so poor in the primitive times, that being call'd to the Council of Ariminum in the Year 359, they had not wherewithal to defray the Charges of their Journey, but were fed and lodg'd upon the Emperor's cost; which must needs be no accidental, but usual poverty in them: for the Author Sulpitius Severus in his 2d Book of Church-Hiftory praises them, and avouches it praise-worthy in a Bishop to be so poor as to have nothing of his own But to return to the ancient election of Bishops, that it could not lawfully be without the confent of the People is fo express in Cyprian, and fo often to be met with, that to cite each place at large, were to translate a good part of the Volume; therefore touching the chief pussages, I refer the rest to whom so list peruse the Author himself: In the 24th Epist. If a Bishop, faith he, be once made and allow'd by the Testimony and Judgment of his Collegues and the People, no other can be made. In the 55. When a Bishop is made by the fuffrage of all the People in peace. In the 63. mark but what he fays; The People chiefly hath power either of chusing worthy ones, or resusing unworthy: This he there proves by Authorities out of the old and new Testament, and with folid Reafons: these were his Antiquities.

This voice of the People, to be had ever in Episcopal Elections, was so well known, before Cyprian's time, even to those that were without the Church, that the Emperor Alexander Severus desir'd to have his Governors of Provinces chosen in the same manner, as Lampralius can tell; so little thought it he offensive to Monarchy. And if single Authorities persuade not, hearken what the whole general Council of Nicara, the first and samousest of all the rest, determines, writing a Synodal Episte to the African Churches, to warn them of Arianism; it exhorts them to chuse orthodox Bishops in the place of the dead, so they be worthy, and the People chuse them, whereby they seem to make the People's affent so necessary, that Merit, without their free Choice, were not sufficient to make a Bishop. What would ye say now, grave Fathers, if you should wake and see unworthy Bishops, or ra-

Of Reformation in England.

ther no Bishops, but Egyptian task-masters of Ceremonies thrust purposely upon the groaning Church, to the affliction and vexation of God's people? It was not of old that a Conspiracy of Bishops could frustrate and fob off the right of the people; for we may read how St. Martin, foon after Constantine, was made Bishop of Turon in France, by the people's consent, from all places thereabout, maugre all the opposition that the Bishops could make. went matters of the Church almost 400 years after Christ, and very probably far lower: for Nicephorus Phocas the Greek Emperor, whose reign fell near the 1000 year of our Lord, having done many things tyrannically, is faid by Cedrenus to have done nothing more grievous and displeasing to the people, than to have enacted that no Bishop should be chosen without his will; so long did this right remain to the people in the midst of other palpable Corruptions. Now for Episcopal dignity, what it was, see out of *Ignatius*, who in his Epistle to those of Trallis, confesseth, That the Preshyters are his Fellow Counfellors and Fellow-Benchers. And Cyprian in many places, as in the 6, 41, 52 Epift. fpeaking of Prefbyters, calls them his Comprefbyters, as if he deem'd himfelf no other, whenas by the same place it appears he was a Bishop, he calls them Brethren; but that will be thought his meekness: yea, but the Presbyters and Deacons writing to him, think they do him honour enough when they phrafe him no higher than Brother Cyprian, and dear Cyprian in the 26 Epist. For their Authority 'tis evident not to have bin fingle, but depending on the counsel of the Presbyters, as from Ignatius was erewhile alledgid; and the fame Cyprian acknowledges as much in the 6 Epift, and adds thereto, that he had determin'd, from his entrance into the Office of Bishop, to do nothing without the confent of his people, and so in the 31 Epist. for it were tedious to course through all his writings, which are so full of the like affertions, infomuch that even in the womb and center of Apostacy, Rome itself, there yet remains a glimpfe of this truth; for the Pope himfelf, as a learned English writer notes well, performeth all Ecclefiastical Jurisdiction as in Consistory amongst his Cardinals, which were originally but the Parish-Priests of Rome. Thus then did the Spirit of unity and meekness inspire and animate every joint and finew of the mystical body; but now the gravest and worthiest Minister, a true Bishop of his fold, shall be revil'd and russled by an insulting and only Canon-wife Prelate, as if he were fome flight paltry companion: and the people of God, redeem'd and wash'd with Chrift's blood, and dignify'd with so many glorious titles of Saints, and Sons in the Gospel, are now no better reputed than impure Ethnicks; and lay-dogs, stones, and pillars, and crucifixes, have now the honour and the alms due to Christ's living members; the Table of Communion, now become a Table of Separation, Itands like an exalted platform upon the brow of the Quire, fortify'd with bulwark and barricado, to keep off the profane touch of the Laicks, whilst the obscene and surfeited Priest scruples not to paw and mammock the Sacramental Bread, as familiarly as his Tavern Bisket. And thus the people, vilify'd and rejected by them, give over the earnest study of vertue and godliness, as a thing of greater purity than they need, and the fearch of divine knowledge as a mystery too high for their capacities, and only for Church-men to meddle with; which is that the Prelates defire, that when they have brought us back to Popish blindness, we might commit to their dispose the whole managing of our Salvation, for they think it was never fair world with them fince that time. But he that will mould a modern Bishop into a primitive, must yield him to be elected by the popular voice, undiocest, unrevenu'd, unlorded, and leave him nothing but brotherly equality, matchlefs temperance, frequent fafting, inceffant prayer and preaching, continual watchings and labours in his Ministry; which what a rich booty it would be, what a plump endowment to the many-beneficegaping mouth of a Prelate, what a relish it would give to his canary-fucking, and fwan-eating palate, let old Bishop Mountain judge for me.

How little therfore those ancient times make for modern Bishops, hath bin plainly discours'd; but let them make for them as much as they will, yet why we ought not to stand to their arbitrement, shall now appear by a threefold corruption which will be found upon them.

1. The best times were spreadingly infected.

2. The best men of those times foully tainted.

3. The best writings of those men dangerously adulterated.

These Positions are to be made

good out of those times witnessing of themselves. First, Ignatius in his early days testifies to the Churches of Asia, that even then Herefies were sprung up, and rife every where, as Eufebius relates in his 3 Book, 35 chap. after the Greek number. And Hegesippus, a grave Church-writer of prime Antiquity, affirms in the same Book of Eusebius, c. 32. That while the Apostles were on earth, the depravers of Dostrine did but lurk; but they once gone, with open forehead they durst preach down the Truth with Falsities. Yea, those that are reckon'd for orthodox, began to make fad and fhameful rents in the Church about the trivial Celebration of Feasts, not agreeing when to keep Easter-day; which Controverfy grew fo hot, that Victor the Bishop of Rome excommunicated all the Churches of Asia for no other cause, and was worthily therof reproved by Ireneus. For can any found Theologer think that these great Fathers underflood what was Gospel, or what was Excommunication? Doubtless that which led the good Men into fraud and error was, that they attended more to the near tradition of what they heard the Apostles sometimes did, than to what they had left written, not confidering that many things which they did were by the Apostles themselves protest to be done only for the present, and of mere indulgence to some scrupulous Converts of the Circumcision, but what they writ was of firm decree to all future ages. Look but a century lower in the *reap.* of Eufebius 8 Book. What a univerfal tetter of Impurity had invenom'd everyPart, Order, and Degree of the Church, to omit the lay-herd, which will be little regarded, those that seem'd to be our Pastors, faith he, overturning the Law of God's worship, burnt in Contentions one towards another, and increasing in hatred and bitterness, outragiously sought to uphold Lordship, and command as it were a Tyranny. Stay but a little, magnanimous Bishops, suppress your aspiring thoughts, for there is nothing wanting but Constantine to reign, and then Tyranny herfelf shall give up all her Citadels into your hands, and count ye thenceforward her truftiest Agents. Such were these that must be called the ancientest and most virgin times between Christ and Constantine. Nor was this general Contagion in their actions, and not in their writings: who is ignorant of the foul errors, the ridiculous wresting of Scripture, the Heresies, the Vanities thick fown through the Volumes of Justin Martyr, Clemens, Origen, Tertullian, and others of eldest time? Who would think him fit to write an Apology for Christian Faith to the Roman Senate, that would tell them how of the Angels, which he must needs mean those in Genesis call'd the Sons of God, mixing with Women were begotten the Devils, as good Justin Martyr in his Apology told them. But more indignation would it move to any Christian that shall read Tertullian, terming St. Paul a novice, and raw in Grace, for reproving St. Peter at Antioch, worthy to be blam'd if we believe the Epistle to the Galatians: perhaps from this hint the blasphemous Jesuits pretum'd in Italy to give their Judgment of St. Paul, as of a hot-headed perfon, as Sandys in his Relations tells us.

Now besides all this, who knows not how many surreptitious works are ingrassed into the legitimate writings of the Fathers? and of those Books that pass for authentick, who knows what hath bin tamper'd withal, what hath bin raz'd out, what hath bin inserted? Besides the late legerdemain of the Papists, that which Sulpitius writes concerning Origen's Books, gives us cause vehemently to suspect, there hath bin packing of old. In the third chap, of his I Dialogue we may read what wrangling the Bishops and Monks had about the reading or not reading of Origen, some objecting that he was corrupted by Hereticks, others answering that all such Books had been so dealt with. How then shall I trust these times to lead me, that testify so ill of leading themselves? Certainly of their defects their own witness may be best received, but of the rectitude and sincerity of their life and doctrine, to judge rightly, we must

judge by that which was to be their rule.

But it will be objected, that this was an unfettled state of the Church, wanting the temporal Magistrate to suppress the licence of salse Brethren, and the extravagancy of still new opinions; a time not imitable for Church-government, where the temporal and spiritual Power did not close in one belief, as under Constantine. Iam not of opinion to think the Church a Vine in this respect, because, as they take it, she cannot subsist without classing about the Elm of worldly strength and selicity, as if the heavenly City could not sup-

Of Reformation in England.

port it felf without the props and buttreffes of fecular Authority. tol Constantine because he extoll'd them; as our home-bred Monks in their Histories blanch the Kings their Benefactors, and brand those that went about to be their Correctors. If he had curb'd the growing Pride, Avarice, and Luxury of the Clergy, then every Page of his Story should have swell'd with his faults, and that which Zozimus the Heathen writes of him should have come in to boot: we should have heard then in every declamation how he slew his Nephew Commodus, a worthy Man, his noble and eldeft Son Crispus, his Wife Fausta, besides numbers of his Friends; then his eruel Exactions, his unfoundness in Religion, favouring the Arians that had been condemn'd in a Council, of which himself sat as it were President; his hard measure and banishment of the faithful and invincible Athanasius; his living unbaptiz'd almost to his dying day; these blurs are too apparent in his Life. But since he must needs be the Load-star of Reformation, as some Men clatter, it will be good to fee further his knowledge of Religion what it was, and by that we may likewise guess at the fincerity of his times in those that were not Heretical, it being likely that he would converse with the samousest Prelates (for fo he had made them) that were to be found for Learning.

Of his Arianism we heard, and for the rest, a pretty scantling of his Knowledge may be taken by his deferring to be baptiz'd fo many years, a thing not usual, and repugnant to the tenor of Scripture, Philip knowing nothing that should hinder the Eunuch to be baptiz'd after profession of his Belief. Next, by the excessive devotion, that I may not say Superstition both of him and his Mother Helena, to find out the Cross on which Christ suffer'd, that had long lain under the rubbish of old ruins, (a thing which the Disciples and Kindred of our Saviour might with more ease have done, if they had thought it a pious duty:) fome of the nails wherof he put into his Helmet, to bear off blows in battel, others he faften'd among the studs of his bridle, to fulfil (as he thoughr, or his Court Bishops persuaded him) the Prophecy of Zechariah; And it shall be that that which is in the bridle shall be hely to the Lord. Part of the Crofs in which he thought fuch Virtue to refide, as would prove a kind of Palladium to fave the City wherever it remain'd, he caused to be laid up in a Pillar of Porphyry by his Statue. How he or his Teachers could trifle thus with half an eye open upon St. Paul's Principles, I know not how to imagine.

How should then the dim Taper of this Emperor's age that had such need of southful find any beam to our times wherewith we might hope to be better lighted, than by those Luminaries that God hath set up to shine to us far nearer hand. And what Resormation he wrought for his own time, it will not be amiss to consider; he appointed certain times for Fasts and Feasts, built stately Churches, gave large Immunities to the Clergy, great Riches and Promotions to Bishops, gave and minister'd occasion to bring in a deluge of Ceremonies, thereby either to draw in the Heathen by a resemblance of their Rites, or to set a gloss upon the simplicity and plainness of Christianity; which to the gorgeous Solemnities of Paganism, and the sense of the World's Children, seem'd but a homely and yeomanly Religion, for the beauty of inward Sanctity was not within their prospect.

So that in this manner the *Prelates*, both then and ever fince, coming from a mean and plebeian *Life*, on a fudden to be Lords of flately Palaces, rich furniture, delicious fare, and *princely* attendance, thought the plain and homefpun verity of *Christ's* Gospel unfit any longer to hold their Lordships acquaintance, unless the poor thread-bare Matron were put into better clothes; her chaste and modest vail, surrounded with celestial beams, they over-laid with wanton *tresses*, and in a flaring tire bespeckl'd her with all the gaudy allurements of a Whore.

Thus flourish'd the Church with Constantine's wealth, and therafter were the effects that follow'd; his Son Constantius proved a flat Arian, and his Nephew Julian an Apostate, and there his Race ended: the Church that before by insensible degrees welk'd and impair'd, now with large steps went down hill decaying; at this time Antichrist began first to put forth his horn, and that saying wascommon, that former times had wooden Chalices and golden Priests; but they golden Chalices and wooden Priests. Formerly (faith Sulpi-

Vol. I. C tius

fhopricks by vile Ambition are hunted after, (speaking of these times) and in another place, they gape after possessions, they tend Lands and Livings, they coure over their Gold, they buy and sell: and if there be any that neither possess nor traffique, that which is worse, they sit still, and expect gifts, and prostitute every induement of Grace, every holy thing to sale. And in the end of his History thus he concludes, all things went to wrack by the Fazion, Wilfulness, and Avarice of the Bishops, and by this means God's people, and every good Man was had in scorn and derision: which St. Martin found truly to be said by his Friend Sulpitius; for being held in admiration of all Men, he had only the Bishops his enemies, found God less savourable to him after he was Bishop than before, and for his last 16 years would come at no Bishop's meeting. Thus you see, Sir, what Constantine's doings in the Church brought forth, either in his own or in his Son's Reign.

Now left it should be thought that something else might all this Author thus to hamper the Bishops of those days; I will bring you the opinion of three the samousest Men for Wit and Learning that Italy at this day glories of, whereby it may be concluded for a receiv'd opinion even among Men professing the Romish Faith, that Constantine marr'd all in the Church. Dante in his 19 Canto of Inserno hath thus, as I will render it you in English blank Verse:

Ab Constantine, of how much ill was cause Not thy Conversion, but those rich demains That the first wealthy Pope received of thee?

So in his 20 Canto of Paradife he makes the like complaint, and Petrarcii feconds him in the fame mind in his 108 Sonnet, which is wip'd out by the Inquisitor in some Editions; speaking of the Roman Antichrist as merely breed up by Constantine.

Founded in chast and humble Powerty,

Gainst them that rais'd thee dost thou list thy horn,
Impudent whoore, where hast thou plac'd thy hope?

In thy Adulterers, or thy ill got wealth?

Another Constantine comes not in hast.

Ariosto of Ferrara, after both these in time, but equal in same, following the scope of his Poem in a difficult knot how to restore Orlando his chief Hero to his lost senses, brings Astolfo the English Knight up into the Moon, where St. John, as he seigns, met him. Cant. 34.

And to be short, at last his guide him brings Into a goodly valley, where he sees A mighty mass of things strangely confus'd, Things that on earth were lost, or were abus'd.

And amongst these so abused things, listen what he met withal, under the Conduct of the Evangelist.

Then past he to a flowry Mountain green, Which once smelt sweet, now stinks as odiously; This was that gift (if you the truth will have) That Constantine to good Sylvestro gave.

And this was a truth well known in England before this Poet was born, as our Chaucer's Plowman shall tell you by and by upon another occasion. By all these circumstances laid together, I do not see how it can be disputed what good this Emperor Constantine wrought to the Church, but rather whether ever any, though perhaps not wittingly, set open a door to more mischief in Christendom. There is just cause therfore that when the Prelates cry out, Let the Church be reform'd according to Constantine, it should found to a judicious ear no otherwise, than if they should say, Make us rich, make us lofty.

make

make us lawless; for if any under him were not so, thanks to those ancient remains of Integrity, which were not yet quite worn out, and not to his Government.

Thus finally it appears, that those purer times were not such as they are cry'd up, and not to be follow'd without suspicion, doubt and danger. last Point wherein the Antiquary is to be dealt with at his own Weapon, is to make it manifest, that the ancientest and best of the Fathers have disclaim'd all Sufficiency in themselves that Men should rely on, and sent all Comers to the Scriptures, as all-fufficient: That this is true, will not be unduly gather'd, -by fliewing what efteem they had of Antiquity themfelves, and what validity they thought in it to prove Doctrine, or Discipline. I must of necessity begin from the second Rank of Fathers, because till then Antiquity could have no Plea. Cyprian in his 63 Epiftle: If any, faith lie, of our Ancestors, either ignorantly, or out of fimplicity, hath not observed that which the Lord taught us by his Example, (fpeaking of the Lord's Supper) his fimplicity $G_{\theta,d}$ may pardon of his Mercy; but we cannot be excus'd for following him, being inftructed by the Lord And have not we the fame Inftructions; and will not this holy Man, with all the whole Confistory of Saints and Martyrs that liv'd of old, rife up and ftop our mouths in Judgment, when we fliall go about to father our Errors and Opinions upon their Authority? In the $73~E_{\pi}$ pist. he adds, In vain do they oppose Custom to us, if they be overcome by Reason; as if Custom were greater than Truth, or that in spiritual things that were not to be follow'd, which is reveal'd for the better by the Holy Ghost. In the 74, Neither ought Custom to hinder that Truth should not prevail; for Custom without Truth is but agedness of Error.

Next Lastantius, he that was prefer'd to have the bringing up of Constantine's Children, in his fecond Book of Institutions, Chap. 7, & S. disputes against the vain trust in Antiquity, as being the chiefest Argument of the Heathen against the Christians: They do not consider, saith he, what Religion is; but they are confident it is true, because the Ancients deliver'd it; they count it a Trespass to examine it. And in the eighth: Not because they went before us in Time, therfore in Wisslam; which being given alike to all Ages, cannot be prepossest by the Ancients: Wherfore seeing that to seek the Truth is inbred to all, they bereave themselves of Wisdom, the Gift of God, who without Judgment follow the Ancients, and are led by others like brute Beafts. St. Austin writes to Fortunation, that he counts it lawful in the Books of whomfoever, to reject that which he finds otherwife than true, and fo he would have others deal by him. He neither accounted, as it feems, those Fathers that went before, nor himfelf, nor others of his Rank, for Men of more than ordinary Spirit, that might equally deceive, and be deceiv'd: and oft-times fetting our fervile humours afide, yea, *God* fo ordering, we may find Truth with one Man, as foon as in a Council, as *Cyprian* agrees, 71 Epist. Many things, faith he, are better reveal'd to fingle Persons. At Nicaea in the first, and best-reputed Council of all the world, there had gone out a Canon to divorce married Priests, had not one old Man Paphnutius stood up, and

reason'd against it.

Now remains it to shew clearly that the Fathers refer all decision of Controversy to the Scriptures, as all-sufficient to direct, to resolve, and to determine. Ignatius taking his last leave of the Asian Churches, as he went to Martyrdom, exhorted them to adhere close to the written Doctrine of the Apostles, necessarily written for Posterity: so far was he from unwritten Traditions, as may be read in the 36 cap. of Eusebius 3 b. In the 74 Epist. of Cyprian against Stefan, Bishop of Rome, imposing upon him a Tradition; Whence, quoth he, is this Tradition? Is it fetch'd from the Authority of Christ in the Gospel, or of the Apostles in their Epistles? for God testifies that those things are to be done which are written. And then thus, What Obstinacy, what Presumption is this, to prefer Human Tradition before Divine Ordinance? And in the same Epist. If we shall return to the head, and beginning of Divine Tradition, (which we all know he means the Bible) humane Error ceases; and the reason of heavenly Mysteries unfolded, what soever was obscure, becomes clear. And in the 14 Distinct. of the same Epist. directly against our modern Fantasses of a still Visible Church, he teaches, That succession of Truth may fail; to renew which, we Vol. I.

must have recourse to the Fountains; using this excellent Similitude, If a Channel, or Conduit-pipe which brought in Water plentifully before, suddenly fail, do we not go to the Fountain to know the Cause, whether the Spring affords no more, or whether the Vein be stopt, or turn'd aside in the mid-course? Thus ought we to do, keeping God's Precepts, that if in ought the Truth shall be chang'd, we may repair to the Gospel, and to the Apostles, that thence may arise thereason of our doings, from whence our order and beginning arose. In the 75 he inveighs bitterly against Pope Stefanus, for that he could boast his Succession from Peter, and yet soist in Traditions that were not Apostolical. And in his Book of the Unity of the Church, he compares those that, neglecting God's Word, follow the Doctrines of Men, to Gorab, Dathan, and Abiran. The very first Page of Athanasius against the Gentiles, avers the Scriptures to be sufficient of themselves for the declaration of Truth; and that if his Friend Macarius read other Religious Writers, it was but Φιλομόλως come un virtuoso, (as the Italians fay) as a lover of Elegance: And in his fecond Tome, the 39 pag. after he hath reckon'd up the Canonical Books, In these only, faith he, is the Doctrine of Godliness taught; Let no Man add to these, or take from these. And in his Synothis, having again fet down all the Writers of the Old and New Testament, These, faith he, be the Anchors and Props of our Faith. Besides these, millions of other Books have been written by great and wife Men according to Rule, and agreement with thefe, of which I will not now speak, as being of infinite number, and mere dependance on the Canonical Books. Bafil in his 2d Tome, writing of true Faith, tells his Auditors, He is bound to teach them that which he hath learnt out of the Bible : And in the same Treatise he saith, That seeing the Commandments of the Lord are faithful, and sure for ever; it is a plain falling from the Faith, and a high pride, either to make void any thing therein, or to introduce any thing not there to be found: And he gives the reason, for Christ saith, My Sheep hear my Voice, they will not follow another, but fly from him, because they know not his Voice. But not to be endless in Quotations, it may chance to be objected, that there be many Opinions in the Fathers which have no ground in Scripture; fo much the lefs, may I fay, should we follow them, for their own words shall condemn them, and acquit us that lean not on them; otherwife thefe their words will acquit them, and condemn us. But it will be reply'd, the Scriptures are difficult to be understood, and therfore require the Explanation of the Fathers. 'Tis true, there be fome Books, and especially fome places in those Books, that remain clouded; yet ever that which is most necessary to be known, is most easy; and that which is most difficult, so sar expounds itself ever, as to tell us how little it imports our faving Knowledge. Hence to infer a general Obscurity over all the Text, is a mere Suggestion of the Devil to diffuade Men from reading it, and casts an Aspersion of Dishonour both upon the Mercy, Truth, and Wisdom of God. We count it no gentlenefs, or fair dealing in a Man of Power amongst us, to require strict and punctual Obedience, and yet give out all his Commands ambiguous and obscure, we should think he had a Plot upon us; certainly such Commands were no Commands, but Snares. The very Effence of Truth is plainness and brightness, the darkness and crookedness is our own. The Wisdom of God created Understanding, fit and proportionable to Truth, the Object, and End of it, as the Eye to the thing visible. If our Understanding have a Film of Ignorance over it, or be blear with gazing on other false Glisterings; what is that to Truth? If we will but purge with foveregin Eye-falve that intellectual Ray which God hath planted in us, then we would believe the Scriptures protesting their own plainness and perspicuity, calling to them to be instructed, not only the Wife and Learned, but the Simple, the Poor, the Babes, foretelling an extraordinary effusion of God's Spirit upon every Age, and Sex, attributing to all Men, and requiring from them the Ability of fearching, trying, examining all things, and by the Spirit discerning that which is good; and as the Scriptures themselves pronounce their own plainness, so do the Fathers testify of them.

I will not run into a Paroxysim of Citations again in this Point, only instance Athanasius in his fore-mention'd first page; The knowledge of Truth, saith he, wants no humane Lore, as being evident in itself, and by the preaching of Christ now opens brighter than the Sun. If these Doctors, who had scarce half the Light

that we enjoy, who all, except two or three, were ignorant of the Hebrew Tongue, and many of the Greek, blundering upon the dangerous and fulpectful Translations of the Apostate Apulla, the Heretical Theodotion, the Judaiz'd Symmachus, the Erroneous Origen; if these could yet find the Bible so easy, why should we doubt, that have all the helps of Learning, and faithful Industry that Man in this Life can look for, and the Assistance of God as near now to us as ever? But let the Scriptures be hard; are they more hard, more crabbed, more abstruse than the Fathers? He that cannot understand the sober, plain, and unaffected stile of the Scriptures, will be ten times more puzzled with the knotty Africanisms, the pamper'd Metaphors, the intricate and involv'd Sentences of the Fathers, besides the fantastick and declamatory staffnes, the cross-jingling Periods which cannot but disturb, and come thwart a fettled Devotion, worse than the din of Bells and Rattles.

Now, Sir, for the love of holy Reformation, what can be faid more against these importunate Clients of Antiquity, than she herself their Patroness hath faid? Whether think ye would she approve still to doat upon immeasurable, innumerable, and therfore unnecessary and unmerciful Volumes, chusing rather to err with the specious Name of the Fathers, or to take a found Truth at the hand of a plain upright Man, that all his days hath been diligently reading the holy Scriptures, and therto imploring God's Grace, while the admirers of Antiquity have been beating their Brains about their Ambones, their Diptychs, and Meniaia's? Now, he that cannot tell of Stations and Indictions, nor has wafted his precious hours in the endless conferring of Councils and Conclaves that demolish one another, although I know many of those that pretend to be great Rabbies in these studies, have scarce saluted them from the Strings, and the Title-Page; or to give them more, have bin but the Ferrets and Mouse-hunts of an Index: Yet what Pastor or Minister, how learned, religious, or difcreet foever, does not now bring both his Cheeks full blown with Occumenical and Synodical, shall be counted a lank, shallow, unfufficient Man, yea a Dunce, and not worthy to speak about Reformation of Church-Difcipline. But I trust they for whom God hath reserv'd the honour of reforming this Church, will eafily perceive their Adverfaries drift in thus calling for Antiquity; they fear the plain Field of the Scriptures, the Chafe is too hot; they feek the dark, the bushy, the tangled Forest, they would imbosk: they feel themselves strook in the transparent Streams of Divine Truth, they would plunge, and tumble, and think to lie hid in the foul Weeds and muddy Waters, where no Plummet can reach the bottom. But let them beat themselves like Whales, and spend their Oil till they be drag'd ashore: though wher fore should the Ministers give them so much Line for Shifts and Delays? Wherfore should they not urge only the Gospel, and hold it ever in their Faces like a Mirror of Diamond, till it dazle and pierce their mifty Eye-balls? maintaining it the honour of its absolute Sufficiency and Supremacy inviolable: for if the Scripture be for Reformation, and Antiguity to boot, 'tis but an advantage to the Dozen, 'tis no winning Caft: And though Antiquity be against it, while the Scriptures be for it, the Cause is as good as ought to be wish'd, Antiquity itself sitting judge.

But to draw to an end; the fecond fort of those that may be justly number'd among the hinderers of Reformation, are Libertines; these suggest that the Discipline sought would be intolerable: for one Bishop now in a Diocess we should then have a Pope in every Parish. It will not be requisite to answer these Men, but only to discover them; for Reason they have none, but Lust and Licentiousness, and therfore Answer can have none. It is not any Discipline that they could live under, it is the corruption and remisses of Discipline that they seek. Episcopacy dusy executed, yea, the Turkish and Fewish rigour against whoring and drinking; the dear and tender Discipline of a Father, the sociable and loving Reproof of a Brother, the bosom Admonition of a Friend, is a Presbytery, and a Consistory to them. 'Tis only the merry

Friar in Chaucer can disple them.

Full fweetly heard he Confession, And pleasant was his Absolution, He was an easy Man to give Penance.

And fo I leave them; and refer the political Discourse of Episcopacy to a Second Book.

Of REFORMATION, &c.

The Second Book.

SIR.

T is a work good and prudent to be able to guide one Man; of larger extended Virtue to order well one House: but to govern a Nation piously and justly, which only is to say happily, is for a Spirit of the greatest size, and divinest metal. And certainly of no less a mind, nor of less excellence in another way, were they who by Writing laid the folid and true foundations of this Science; which being of greatest Importance to the Life of Man, yet there is no Art that hath bin more canker'd in her Principles, more foil'd, and flubber'd with aphorisming pedantry, than the art of Policy; and that most, where a Man would think should least be in Christian Commonwealths. They teach not, that to govern well, is to train up a Nation in true Wisdom and Virtue, and that which springs from thence, Magnanimity, (take heed of that) and that which is our beginning, Regeneration, and happiest end, likeness to God, which in one word we call Godliness; and that this is the true flourishing of a Land, other things follow as the Shadow does the Substance; to teach thus were mere pulpitry to them. This is the Masterpiece of a modern Politician, how to qualify and mould the fufferance and Subjection of the People to the length of that Foot that is to tread on their Necks; how Rapine may ferve itself with the fair and honourable pretences of publick Good; how the puny Law may be brought under the wardship and controul of Lust and Will: in which attempt if they fall short, then must a superficial colour of Reputation by all means, direct or indirect, be gotten to wash over the unsightly bruise of Honour. To make Men governable in this manner, their Precepts mainly tend to break a national Spirit and Courage, by countenancing open Riot, Luxury, and Ignorance, till having thus disfigur'd and made Men beneath Men, as Juno in the Fable of Io, they deliver up the poor transform'd heifer of the Commonwealth to be ftung and vexed with the breefe and goad of Oppression, under the custody of some Argus with a hundred Eyes of Jealousy. To be plainer, Sir, how to soder, how to ftop a Leak, how to keep up the floating carcafe of a crafy and difeafed Monarchy or State, betwixt wind and water, fwimming still upon her own dead Lees, that now is the deep defign of a Politician. Alas, Sir! a Commonwealth ought to be but as one huge Christian personage, one mighty growth and stature of an honest Man, as big and compact in Virtue as in Body; for look what the grounds and causes are of single Happiness to one Man, the same ye shall find them to a whole State, as Aristotle both in his Ethicks, and Politicks, from the principles of Reason lays down: by confequence therfore that which is good and agreeable to Monarchy, will appear foonest to be so, by being good and agreeable to the true welfare of every Christian; and that which can be justly prov'd hurtful and offensive to every true Christian, will be evinc'd to be alike hurtful to Monarchy: for God forbid, that we should separate and diffinguish the end and good of a Monarch, from the end and good of the Monarchy, or of that, from Christianity. How then this third and last fort that hinder Reformation, will justify that it stands not with reason of State, I much muse: For certain I am, the Bible is shut against them, as certain that neither Plato nor Aristotle is for their turns. What they can bring us now from the Schools of Loyola with his Jesuits, or their Malvezzi, that can cut Tacitus into slivers and steaks, we shall presently hear. They alledge, 1. That the Church-government must be conformable to the civil Polity; next, that no form of Church-Government is agreeable to Monarchy, but that of Bishops. Must Church-Government that is appointed in the Gospel, and has chief respect.

fpect to the Soul, be conformable and pliant to Civil, that is Arbitrary, and chiefly conversant about the visible and external part of Man? This is the very Maxim that moulded the Calves of Betbel and of Den; this was the quinteffence of Jeroboam's Policy, he made Religion conform to his politick Interests; and this was the Sin that watch'd over the Ifraelites till their final Captivity. If this State-principle come from the Prelates, as they affect to be counted Statists, let them look back to *Elutherius* Bishop of *Rome*, and see what he thought of the Policy of England; being required by Lucius, the first Christian King of this Island, to give his Counsel for the founding of Religious Laws, little thought he of this fage Caution, but bids him betake himself to the Old and New Testament, and receive direction from them how to administer both Church and Commonwealth; that he was God's Vicar, and therefore to rule by God's Laws; that the Edicts of Casar we may at all times disallow, but the Statutes of God for no reason we may reject. Now certain if Church-Government be taught in the Gospel, as the Bishops dare not deny, we may well conclude of what late standing this Position is, newly calculated for the altitude of Bishop-elevation, and lettice for their Lips. But by what example can they shew that the form of Church-Discipline must be minted, and modelld out to fecular pretences? The ancient Republick of the Jews is evident to have run through all the changes of civil Estate, if we survey the Story from the giving of the Law to the Herods; yet did one manner of Priestly Government ferve without inconvenience to all these temporal Mutations; it ferv'd the mild Aristocracy of elective Dukes, and heads of Tribes join'd with them; the dictatorship of the Judges, the easy or hard-handed Monarchies, the domestick or foreign Tyrannies: Lastly, the Roman Schate from without, the Jewish Senate at home, with the Galilean Tetrarch; yet the Levites had some right to deal in civil Affairs: but seeing the evangelical Precept forbids Churchmen to intermeddle with worldly Employments, what interweavings, or interworkings can knit the Minister and the Magistrate in their feveral Functions, to the regard of any precise Correspondency? Seeing that the Churchman's Office is only to teach Men the Christian Faith, to exhort all, to encourage the Good, to admonish the Bad, privately the less Offender, publickly the scandalous and stubborn; to censure and separate from the Communion of Christ's Flock, the contagious and incorrigible, to receive with Joy and fatherly Compassion the Penitent: all this must be done, and more than this, is beyond any Church-Authority. What is all this either here or there, to the Temporal Regiment of Weal-publick, whether it be Popular, Princely, or Monarchical? Where doth it intrench upon the temporal Governor? Where does it come in his walk? Where does it make inroad upon his Jurisdiction? Indeed if the Minister's part be rightly discharg'd, it renders him the People more conscionable, quiet, and easy to be governed; if otherwife, his Life and Doctrine will declare him. If therfore the Constitution of the Church be already fet down by divine Prescript, as all sides confess, then can she not be a Hand-maid to wait on civil Commodities, and Respects: and if the Nature and Limits of Church-Discipline be such, as are either helpful to all political Estates indifferently, or have no particular relation to any, then is there no necessity, nor indeed possibility of linking the one with the other in a special conformation.

Now for their fecond conclusion, That no form of Church-Government is agreeable to Monarchy, but that of Bishops, although it fall to pieces of itself by that which hath been said; yet to give them play, front, and rear, it shall be my task to prove that Episcopacy, with that Authority which it challenges in England, is not only not agreeable, but tending to the destruction of Monarchy. While the Primitive Pastors of the Church of God labour'd faithfully in their Ministry, tending only their Sheep, and not seeking, but avoiding all worldly matters as clogs, and indeed derogations and debasements to their high Calling; little needed the Princes and Potentates of the Earth, which way soever the Gospel was spread, to study ways how to make a Coherence between the Church's Polity, and theirs: therfore when Filate heard once our Saviour Christ professing that his Kingdom was not of this World, he thought the Man could not stand much in Cassar's light, nor much indamage the Roman Empire; for if the Life of Christ be hid to this World, much more is his

Scepter unoperative, but in spiritual things. And thus liv'd for 2 or 3 Ages, the Succeffors of the Apostles. But when through Constantine's lavish Superfittion they for fook their first Love, and set themselves up too in God's stead; Mammon and their Belly, then taking advantage of the spiritual Power which they had on Men's Confciences, they began to cast a longing eye to get the Body also, and bodily things into their command; upon which their carnal defires, the Spirit daily quenching and dying in them, knew no way to keep themselves up from falling to nothing, but by bolilering and supporting their inward rottenness, by a carnal and outward Strength. For a while they rather privily fought opportunity, than haftily disclos'd their Project; but when Constantine was dead, and 3 or 4 Emperors more, their drift became notorious and offensive to the whole World; for while Theodosius the younger reign'd, thus writes Socrates the Historian, in his 7th Book Chap. 11. Now began an ill Name to stick upon the Bishops of Rome and Alexandria, who beyond their Priestly bounds now long ago had stepr into Principality, and this was scarce 80 years since their raising from the meanest worldly Condition. Of courtefy now let any Man tell me, if they draw to themselves a temporal Strength and Power out of Cafar's Dominion, is not C.efar's Empire thereby diminish'd? But this was a stolen bit, hitherto he was but a Caterpillar secretly gnawing at Monarchy; the next time you shall see him a Wolf, a Lion, lifting his Paw against his Raifer, as Petrarch express'd it, and finally an open enemy and fubverter of the Greek Empire. Philippicus and Leo, with divers other Emperors after them, not without the advice of their Patriarchs, and at length of a whole Eastern Council of three hundred thirty eight Bi-Shops, threw the Images out of Churches as being decreed idolatrous.

Upon this goodly occasion, the Bishop of Rome not only seizes the City, and all the Territory about into his own hands, and makes himself Lord therot, which till then was govern'd by a Greek Magistrate, but absolves all Italy of their Tribute and Obedience due to the Emperor, because he obey'd God's

Commandment in abolishing Idolatry.

Mark, Sir, here how the Pope came by S. Peter's Patrimony, as he feigns it; not the Donation of Constantine, but Idolatry and Rebellion got it him. Ye need but read Sigonius, one of his own Sect, to know the Story at large. And now to shroud himself against a Storm from the Greek Continent, and provide a Champion to bear himoutin these practices, he takes upon him by Papal Sentence to unthrone Chilpericus the rightful King of France, and gives the Kingdom to Pepin for no other cause, but that he seem'd to him the more active Man. If he were a Friend herein to Monarchy, I know not; but to the Monarch, I need not ask what he was.

Having thus made Pepin his fast Friend, he calls him into Italy against Aistulphus the Lombard, that warr'd upon him for his late Usurpation of Rome, as belonging to Ravenna which he had newly won. Pepin not unobedient to the Pope's call, passing into Italy, frees him out of danger, and wins for him the whole Exarchate of Ravenna; which though it had bin almost immediately before the hereditary Possession of that Monarchy which was his chief Patron and Benefactor, yet he takes and keeps it to himself as lawful prize, and given to St. Peter. What a dangerous fallacy is this, when a Spiritual Man may fnatch to himself any temporal Dignity or Dominion, under pretence of receiving it for the Church's use? Thus he claims Naples, Sicily, England, and what not? To be short, under shew of his zeal against the errors of the Greek Church, he never ceas'd baiting and goring the Successors of his best Lord Constantine, what by his barking Curfes and Excommunications, what by his hindring the Western Princes from aiding them against the Sarazens and Turks, unless when they humour'd him; so that it may be truly affirm'd, he was the fubversion and fall of that Monarchy, which was the hoisting of him. This besides Petrarch, whom I have cited, our Chaucer also hash obferv'd, and gives from hence a caution to England, to beware of her Bishops in time, for that their ends and aims are no more friendly to Monarchy, than the Popes.

The Emperor yafe the Pope sometime So high Lordship him about, That at last the filly Kime, The proud Pope put him out; So of this Realm is no doubt, But Lords beware, and them defend; For now these Folks be wonders stout, The King and Lords now this amend.

And in the next Stanza, which begins the third part of the Tale, he argues that they ought not to be Lords.

Moses Law forbode it tho
That Priests should no Lordships welde,
Christ's Gospel biddeth also
That they should no Lordships held:
Ne Christs Apostles were never so bold
No such Lordships to hem embrace,
But smeren her Sheep, and keep her Fold.

And fo forward. Whether the Bishops of England have deserved thus to be fear'd by men so wise as our Chancer is esteem'd; and how agreeable to our Monarchy and Monarchs, their demeanour has been, he that is but meanly read in our Chronicles needs not be instructed. Have they not been as the Canaanites, and Philistins, to this Kingdom? what Treasons, what revolts to the Pope? what Rebellions, and those the basest and most pretenceless, have they not bin chief in? What could Monarchy think, when Becket durst challenge the Custody of Rochester Castle, and the Tower of London, as appertaining to his Signory? To omit his other insolencies and affronts to regal Majesty, 'till the Lashes inslicted on the anointed Body of the King, wash'd off the holy Unstion with his Blood drawn by the polluted hands of Bishops, Abbots, and Monks.

What good upholders of Royalty were the Bifhops, when by their rebellious opposition against King John, Normandy was lost, he himself deposed, and this Kingdom made over to the Pope? When the Bifhop of Winchester durst tell the Nobles, the Pillars of the Realm, that there were no Peers in England, as in France, but that the King might do what he pleased. What could Tyranny say more? It wou'd be pretty now, if I shou'd insist upon the rendring up of Tournay by Woolfey's Treason, the Excommunications, Cursings, and Interdicts upon the whole Land: For haply I shall be cut off short by a Reply, that these were the saults of men and their Popish Errors, not of Episcopacy, that hath now renounc'd the Pope, and is a Protestant. Yes sure; as wise and samous men have suspected and sear'd the Protestant Episcopacy in England, as these that have fear'd the Papal.

You know, Sir, what was the Judgment of Padre Paolo, the great Venetian Antagonist of the Pope, for it is extant in the hands of many men, wherby he declares his fear, that when the Hierarchy of England shall light into the hands of busy and audacious men, or shall meet with Princes tractable to the Prelacy, then much mischief is like to ensue. And can it be nearer hand, then when Bishops shall openly affirm that, No Bishop, no King? A trim Paradox, and that ye may know where they have been a begging for it, I will setch you the Twin-brother to it out of the Jesuits Cell; they feeling the Ax of God's Reformation, hewing at the old and hollow trunk of Papacy, and finding the Spaniard their surest friend, and safest resuge, to sooth him up in his Dream of a fifth Monarchy, and withal to uphold the decrepit Papalty, have invented this super politick Aphorism, as one terms it, One Pope, and one King.

Surely there is not any Prince in Christendom, who hearing this rare Sophistry, can choose but smile; and if we be not blind at home, we may as well perceive that this worthy Motto, no Bishor, no King, is of the same batch, and infanted out of the same sears, a meer Ague-Cake coagulated of a certain Vol. I.

Fever they have, prefaging their time to be but short: and now like those that are finking, they catch round at that which is likelieft to hold them up; and would perfuade Regal Power, that if they dive, he must after. But what greater debasement can there be to Royal Dignity, whose tow'ring and fledfaft height refts upon the unmoveable foundations of Justice, and Heroick Vertue, than to chain it in a dependance of fublishing, or ruining, to the painted Battlements and gaudy Rottenness of Prelatry, which want but one puts of the King's to blow them down like a past-board House built of Court-Cards. Sir, the little ado which methinks I find in untacking these pleasant Sophisms, puts me into the mood to tell you a Tale e'er I proceed further; and Menenius Agrippa speed us.

A Tale of the Head and a Wen.

Upon a time the Body fummon'd all the Members to meet in the Guild for the common good, (as Æʃop's Chronicles aver many stranger Accidents:) The Head by right takes the first feat, and next to it a huge and monstrous Wen little less than the Head it self, growing to it by a narrower excrescency. The Members amaz'd began to ask one another what he was that took place next their chief; none could refolve. Whereat the Wen, though unwieldy, with much ado gets up, and befpeaks the Assembly to this purpose; That as in place he was second to the Head, so by due of merit; that he was to it an ornament, and strength, and of special near relation; and that if the Head should fail, none were fitter than himself to step into his place: therfore he thought it for the honour of the Body, that fuch Dignities and rich Endowments should be decreed him, as did adorn, and set out the noblest Members. To this was answer'd, that it should be consulted. Then was a wife and learned Philosopher fent for, that knew all the Charters, Laws and Tenures of the Body. On him it is impos'd by all, as chief Committee to examine, and discuss the Claim and Petition of Right put in by the Wen; who soon perceiving the matter, and wondring at the boldness of such a swoln Tumor, Wilt thou (quoth he) that art but a bottle of vicious and harden'd Excrements, contend with the lawful and free-born Members, whose certain number is fet by ancient, and unrepealable Statute? Head thou art none. though thou receive this huge fubstance from it: what Office bearest thou? What good canst thou shew by thee done to the Common-weal? The Wen not eafily dasht replies, that his Office was his Glory; for so oft as the Soul would retire out of the Head from over the steaming vapours of the lower parts to divine Contemplation, with him she found the purest and quietest retreat, as being most remote from soil, and disturbance. Lourdan, quoth the Philosopher, thy folly is as great as thy filth: know that all the faculties of the Soul are confin'd of old to their feveral vessels and ventricles, from which they cannot part without diffolution of the whole Body; and that thou contain'st no good thing in thee, but a heap of hard and loathsome Uncleannels, and art to the Head a foul disfigurement and burden; when I have cut thee off, and open'd thee, as by the help of these Implements I will do. all men shall see.

But to return whence was digrefs'd: Seeing that the Throne of a King, as the wife King Solomon often remembers us, is established in Justice, which is the universal Justice that Aristotle so much praises, containing in it all other Vertues, it may affure us that the fall of Prelacy, whose actions are so far distant from Justice, cannot shake the least fringe that borders the royal Canopy; but that their flanding doth continually oppose and lay battery to regal fafety, shall by that which follows easily appear. Amongst many secondary and accessary Causes that support Monarchy, these are not of least reckoning, though common to all other States: the love of the Subjects, the multitude and valour of the People, and store of Treasure. In all these things hath the Kingdom bin of late fore weaken'd, and chiefly by the Prelates. First, let any man consider, that if any Prince shall suffer under him a commission of Authority to be exercis'd, 'till all the Land groan and cry out, as against a whip of Scorpions, whether this be not likely to lessen, and keel the affections of the Subject. Next what numbers of faithful, and free-born Englishmen, and good Christians, have bin constrain'd to forsake their dearest home, their friends, and kindred, whom nothing but the wide Ocean, and the favage Deferts of America could hide and shelter from the fury of the Bishops? Bishops? O Sir, if we could but see the shape of our dear Mother England, as Poets are wont to give a perfonal form to what they please, how would the appear, think ye, but in a mourning weed, with ashes upon her Head, and tears abundantly flowing from her Eyes, to behold fo many of her Children expos'd at once, and thrust from things of dearest necessity, because their Conscience could not affent to things which the Bishops thought indifferent? What more binding than Confcience? What more free then Indifferency? Cruel then must that Indifferency needs be, that shall violate the first necessity of Conscience; merciless and inhuman that free choice and liberty that shall break asunder the bonds of Religion. Let the Astrologer be difmay'd at the portentous blaze of Comets, and impressions in the Air, as foretelling troubles and changes to States: I shall believe there cannot be a more ill-boding Sign to a Nation (God turn the Omen from us) than when the Inhabitants, to avoid infufferable Grievances at home, are inforc'd by heaps to forfake their Native Country. Now wheras the only remedy and amends against the depopulation and thinness of a Land within, is the borrow'd strength of firm alliance from without, these Priestly Policies of theirs having thus exhausted our domestick Forces, have gone the way also to leave us as naked of our firmest and faithfullest Neighbours abroad, by disparaging, and alienating from us all Protestant Princes, and Commonwealths, who are not ignorant that our Prelates, and as many as they can infect, account them no better than a fort of facrilegious and puritanical Rebels, preferring the Spaniard our deadly Enemy before them, and fet all orthodox Writers at nought in comparison of the Jesuits, who are indeed the only corrupters of Youth and good Learning; and I have heard many wife and learned men in Italy fay as much. It cannot be that the strongest knot of Confederacy should not daily flacken, when Religion, which is the chief engagement of our League, shall be turn'd to their reproach. Hence it is that the prosperous and prudent States of the United Provinces, whom we ought to love, if not for themselves, yet for our own good work in them, they having bin in a manner planted and erected by us, and having been fince to us the faithful watch men and discoverers of many a Popish and Austrian complotted Treason, and with us the partners of many a bloody and victorions Battel; whom the fimilitude of Manners and Language, the commodity of Traffick, which founded the old Burgundian League betwixt us, but chiefly Religion, should bind to us immortally; even such Friends as these, out of some Principles instill'd into us by the Prelates, have been often difinift with diffaftful Answers, and sometimes unfriendly Actions: nor is it to be confider'd to the breach of confederate Nations, whose mutual Interest is of such high consequence, though their Merchants bicker in the *East-Indies*; neither is it fafe, or wary, or indeed christianly, that the *French* King, of a different Faith, should afford our nearest Allies as good Protection as we. Sir, I persuade my self, if our zeal to true Religion, and the brotherly usage of our truest Friends, were as notorious to the world, as our Prelatical Schism, and Captivity to Rochet Apothegms, we had e'er this feen our old Conquerors, and afterwards Liegemen the Normans, together with the Britains our proper Colony, and all the Gascoins that are the rightful Dowry of our ancient Kings, come with cap and knee, defiring the shadow of the English Sceptre to defend them from the hot Perfecutions and Taxes of the French. But when they come hither, and fee a Tympany of Spanioliz'd Bishops swaggering in the fore-top of the State, and meddling to turn and dandle the Royal Ball with unskilful and Pedantie Palms, no marvel though they think it as unfafe to commit Religion and Liberty to their arbitrating as to a Synagogue of Jefuits.

But what do I ftand reckoning upon Advantages and Gains loft by the mifrule and turbulency of the *Prelates?* what do I pick up so thristily their scatterings and diminishings of the meaner Subject, whilst they by their seditious Practices have enlarger I to lose the King one third of his main Stock? What have they not done to banish him from his own Native Country? But

to speak of this as it ought, would ask a Volume by it self.

Thus as they have unpeopled the Kingdom by expulsion of so many thoufands, as they have endeavour'd to lay the skirts of it bare by disheartening and dishonouring our loyallest Confederates abroad, so have they hamstrung Vol. I. D 2 the

the Valour of the Subject by feeking to effeminate us all at home. Welk knows every wife Nation that their Liberty confifts in manly and honest Labours, in fobriety and rigorous honour to the Marriage-Bed, which in both Sexes should be bred up from chaste Hopes to loyal Enjoyments; and when the People flacken, and fall to Loofeness and Riot, then do they as much as if they laid down their Necks for some wild Tyrant to get up and ride. Thus fearnt Cyrus to tame the Lydians, whom by Arms he could not whilst they kept themselves from Luxury; with one easy Proclamation to set up Stews, dancing, feasting, and dicing, he made them soon his Slaves. I know not what drift the Prelates had, whose Brokers they were to prepare, and supple us either for a foreign Invasion or domestick Oppression; but this I am sure, they took the ready way to despoil us both of Manhood and Grace at once, and that in the shamefullest and ungodliest manner, upon that Day which God's Law, and even our own Reason hath consecrated, that we might have one day at least of feven fet apart wherin to examine and encrease our knowledge of God, to meditate, and commune of our Faith, our Hope, our eternal City in Heaven, and to quicken withal the study and exercise of Charity; at fuch a time that Men should be pluck'd from their soberest and faddest Thoughts, and by Bishops, the pretended Fathers of the Church, instigated, by publick Edict, and with earnest endeavour push'd forward to gaming. jigging, waffailing, and mixt dancing, is a horror to think. Thus did the Reprobate hireling Priest Balaam seek to subdue the Israclites to Moab, if not by force, then by this devilish Policy, to draw them from the Sanctuary of God to the luxurious and ribald Feafts of Baal-peer. Thus have they trefpass'd not only against the Monarchy of England, but of Heaven also, as

others, I doubt not, can profecute against them.

I proceed within my own bounds to shew you next what good Agents they are about the Revenues and Riches of the Kingdom, which declares of what moment they are to Monarchy, or what avail. Two Leeches they have that still fuck, and fuck the Kingdom, their Ceremonies and their Courts. If any man will contend that Ceremonies be lawful under the Gospel, he may be answer'd other where. This doubtless, that they ought to be many and over-costly, no true Protestant will affirm. Now I appeal to all wife Men, what an excessive waste of Treasure hath been within these sew years in this Land, not in the expedient, but in the idolatrous erection of Temples beautified exquisitely to out-vie the Papists, the costly and dear-bought Scandals and Snares of Images, Pictures, rich Copes, gorgeous Altar-cloths: and by the courses they took, and the opinions they held, it was not likely any stay would be, or any end of their Madness, where a pious Pretext is so ready at hand to cover their insatiate Desires. What can we suppose this will come to? What other materials than these have built up the spiritual. BABEL to the height of her Abominations? Believe it, Sir, right truly it may be faid, that Antichrist is Manumon's Son. The four Leven of human Traditions, mixt in one putrify'd Mass with the poisonous dregs of Hypocrify in the Hearts of Prelates, that lie basking in the sunny warmth of Wealth and Promotion, is the Serpent's Egg that will hatch an Antichrist wherfoever, and engender the same Monster as big, or little, as the Lump is which breeds him. If the Splendor of Gold and Silver begin to lord it once again in the Church of England, we shall see Antichrist shortly wallow here, though his chief Kennel be at Rome. If they had once thought upon God's Glory, and the advancement of Christian Faith, they would be a means that with these Expences, thus profusely thrown away in trash, rather Churches and Schools might be built, where they cry out for want, and more added where too few are; a moderate maintenance distributed to every painful Minister, that now scarce fustains his Family with Bread, while the Prelates revel like Belshazzar with their full carouses in Goblets, and Vessels of Gold snatch'd from God's Temple: Which (I hope) the worthy Men or our Land will consider. Now then for their Courts. What a Mass of Money is drawn from the Veins into the Ulcers of the Kingdom this way; their Extortions, their open Corruptions, the multitude of hungry and ravenous Harpies that fwarm about their Offices declare fufficiently. And what though all this go not over Sea? twere better it did: better a penurious Kingdom, than where excessive Wealth

Wealth flows into the graceless and injurious hands of common sponges, to the impoverishing of good and loyal men, and that by such execrable, such ir-

religious courfes.

If the facred and dreadful works of holy Discipline, Censure, Penance, Excommunication, and Absolution, where no prophane thing ought to have access, nothing to be affistant but sage and christianly Admonition, brotherly Love, staming Charity and Zeal; and then according to the effects, paternal Sorrow or paternal Joy, mild Severity, melting Compassion; if such divine Ministeries as these, wherein the Angel of the Church represents the Person of Christ Jesus, must lie prostitute to fordid Fees, and not pass to and fro between our Saviour that of free Grace redeem'd us, and the submissive Penitent without the truccage of perishing Coin, and the butcherly execution of Tormentors, Rooks and Rakeshames sold to lucre, then have the Babylonish Merchants of Souls just excuse. Hitherto, Sir, you have heard how the Prelates have weaken'd and withdrawn the external Accomplishments of Kingly prosperity, the love of the People, their multitude, their valour, their wealth; mining and sapping the out-works and redoubts of Monarchy. Now hear how they strike at the very heart and vitals.

We know that Monarchy is made up of two parts, the Liberty of the Subject, and the Supremacy of the King. I begin at the root. See what gentle and benign Fathers they have been to our Liberty. Their trade being, by the same Alchymy that the Pope uses, to extract heaps of Gold and Silver out of the droffy Bullion of the People's fins; and justly fearing that the quickfighted Protestant's eye, clear'd in great part from the mist of Superstition, may at one time or other look with a good judgment into these their deceitful Pedleries; to gain as many affociates of guiltiness as they can, and to infect the temporal Magistrate with the like lawless, tho' not sacrilegious extortion, fee a while what they do; they ingage themselves to preach, and persuade an affertion for truth the most false, and to this Monarchy the most pernicious and destructive that could be chosen. What more baneful to Monarchy than a popular Commotion, for the Diffolution of Monarchy flides aptest into a Democraiy; and what stirs the *Englishmen*, as our wifest Writers have observed, sooner to Rebellion, than violent and heavy hands upon their goods and purfes? Yet these devout Prelates, spight of our great Charter, and the Souls of our Progenitors that wrested their Liberties out of the Norman gripe with their dearest blood and highest prowess, for these many years have not ceas'd in their Pulpits wrinching and spraining the Text, to set at nought and trample under foot all the most facred and life-blood Laws, Statutes, and Acts of Parlament, that are the holy Covenant of Union and Marriage between the King and his Realm, by profcribing and confiscating from us all the right we have to our own Bodies, Goods and Liberties. What is this but to blow a trumpet, and proclaim a fire-cross to a hereditary and perpetual Civil War? Thus much against the Subjects Liberty hath been affaulted by them. Now how they have spar'd Supremacy, or are likely hereafter to submit to it, remains lastly to be confider'd.

The emulation that under the old Law was in the King towards the Priest, is now fo come about in the Gospel, that all the danger is to be fear'd from the Priest to the King. Whilst the Priests Office in the Law was set out with an exteriour lustre of Pomp and Glory, Kings were ambitious to be Priests; now Priests not perceiving the heavenly brightness and inward splendor of their more glorious Evangelick Ministry, with as great ambition affect to be Kings, as in all their courses is easy to be observed. Their eyes ever imminent upon worldly matters, their defires ever thirsting after worldly employments; instead of diligent and servent study in the Bible, they cover to be expert in Canons and Decretals, which may inable them to judge and interpofe in temporal Causes, however pretended *Ecclesiastical*. Do they not hord up *Pelf*, feek to be potent in secular Strength, in State Affairs, in Lands, Lordships, and Demeans, to sway and carry all before them in High Courts and Privy Councils, to bring into their grasp the bigh and principal Offices of the Kingdom? Have they not been bold of late to check the Common Law, to flight and brave the indiminishable Majesty of our highest Court, the Law-giving and Sacred Parlament? Do they not plainly labour to exempt Churchmen from the Magistrate?

Yea, fo presumptuously as to question and menace Officers that represent the King's Person for using their Authority against drunken Priests? The cause of protecting murderous Clergymen was the first heart-burning that swell'd up the audacious Beeket to the pestilent and odious vexation of Henry the Second. Nay more, have not some of their devoted Scholars begun, I need not say to nibble, but openly to argue against the King's Supremacy? Is not the Chief of them accus'd out of his own Book, and his late Canons, to affect a certain unquestionable Patriarchate, independent and unsubordinate to the Crown? From whence having first brought us to a service Estate of Religion and Manhood, and having predispos'd his Conditions with the Pope, that lays claim to this Land, or some Pepin of his own creating, it were all as likely for him to aspire to the Monarchy among us, as that the Pope could find means so on the sudden both to bereave the Emperor of the Roman Territory with the favour of Italy, and by an unexpected friend out of France, while he was in danger to lose his new-got Purchase, beyond hope to leap into the fair Exarchate of Ragerna.

A good while the Pope futtl'y acted the Lamb, writing to the Emperor, my Lord Tiberius, my Lord Mauritius; but no fooner did this his Lord pluck at the Images and Idols, but he threw off his Sheep's clothing, and started up a Wolf, laying his paws upon the Emperor's Right, as forfeited to Peter. Why may not we as well, having been forewarn'd at home by our renowned Chaucer, and from abroad by the great and learned Padre Paolo, from the like beginnings, as we fee they are, fear the like events? Certainly a wife and provident King ought to sufpect a Hierarchy in his Realm, being ever attended, as it is, with two such greedy Purveyors, Ambicion and Usurpation; I say, he ought to sufpect a Hierarchy to be as dangerous and derogatory from his Crown as a Tetrarchy or a Heptarchy. Yet now that the Prelates had almost attain'd to what their infolent and unbridl'd minds had hurried them; to thrust the Laity under the despotical rule of the Monarch, that they themselves might confine the Monarch to a kind of Pupillage under their Hierarchy, observe but how their own Principles combat one another, and supplant each one his fellow.

Having fitted us only for Peace, and that a fervile Peace, by lessening our numbers, draining our Estates, enseebling our Bodies, cowing our free Spirits by those ways as you have heard, their impotent actions cannot sustain themselves the least moment, unless they would rouze usup to a War sit for Cain to be the Leader of; an abhorred, a cursed, a fraternal War. England and Scotland, dearest Brothers both in Nature and in Christ, must be set to wade in one another's blood; and Ireland our free Denizen upon the back of us both, as occasion should serve: a piece of Service that the Pope and all

his Factors have been compaffing to do ever fince the Refermation.

But ever bleffed be he, and ever glorify'd, that from his high Watch-Tower in the Heavens, difcerning the crooked ways of perverse and cruel men, hath hitherto maim'd and infatuated all their damnable Inventions, and deluded their great Wizards with a delufion fit for Fools and Children: had God been so minded, he could have fent a Spirit of Mutiny amongst us, as he did between Abimelech and the Sechemites, to have made our Funerals, and flain heaps more in number than the miserable surviving remnant; but he, when we least deserv'd, fent out a gentle gale and message of Peace from the wings of those his Cherubims that fan his Mercy-seat. Nor shall the Wisdom, the Moderation, the Christian Piety, the Constancy of our Nobility and Commons of England be ever forgotten, whose calm and temperate connivance could fit still and smile out the stormy bluster of men more audacious and precipitant than of folid and deep reach, 'till their own fury had run it felf out of breath, affailing by rafh and heady Approaches the impregnable fituation of our Liberty and Safety, that laught fuch weak enginery to fcorn, fuch poor drifts to make a National War of a Surplice Brabble, a Tippet-seuffle, and ingage the untainted Honour of English Knighthood to unfurl the streaming Red Cross, or to rear the horrid Standard of those satal guly Dragons for so unworthy a purpose, as to force upon their Fellow-Subjects that which themfelves are weary of, the Skeleton of a Mass-Book. Nor must the Patience, the Fortitude, the firm Obedience of the Nobles and People of Scotland, striving against manifold Provocations; nor must their sincere and moderate proceed-

Of Reformation in England.

ings hitherto be unremember'd, to the shameful Conviction of all their Detractors.

Go on both hand in hand, O NATIONS, never to be dif-united; be the Praife and the Heroick Song of all Posterity; merit this, but feek only Vertue, not to extend your Limits; for what needs? to win a fading triumphant Laurel out of the tears of wretched Men, but to fettle the pure Worship of God in his Church, and Justice in the State: then shall the hardest difficulties smooth out themselves before ye; Envy shall sink to Hell, Crast and Malice be consounded, whether it be homebred mischief or outlandish cunning: yea, other Nations will then covet to ferve ye, for Lordship and Victory are but the pages of Justice and Vertue. Commit securely to true Wisdom the vanquishing and uncasing of crast and subtlety, which are but her two runnagates: join your invincible might to do worthy and godlike deeds; and then he that seeks to break your Union, a cleaving Curse be his inheritance to all Generations.

Sir, you have now at length this question for the time, and as my memory would best ferve me in such a copious and vast Theme, fully handled, and you your felf may judge whether Prelacy be the only Church-government agreeable to Monarchy. Seeing therefore the perillous and confused estate into which we are fallen, and that to the certain knowledge of all men, through the irreligious Pride and hateful Tyranny of Prelates, (as the innumerable and grievous complaints of every Shire cry out) if we will now refolve to fettle affairs either according to pure Religion or found Policy, we must first of all begin roundly to cashier and cut away from the public body the noisom and diseased tumour of Prelacy, and come from Schism to Unity with our neighbour Resorm'd Sister-Churches, which with the blessing of Peace and pure Doctrine have now long time flourified; and doubtless with all hearty Joy and Gratulation will meet and welcome our Christian Union with them, as they have bin all this while griev'd at our strangeness, and little better than separation from them. And for the Discipline propounded, seeing that it hath bin inevitably prov'd that the natural and fundamental causes of political Happiness in all Governments are the same, and that this Church-discipline is taught in the Word of God, and, as we see, agrees according to wish with all fuch States as have receiv'd it; we may infallibly affure our felves that it will as well agree with Monarchy, though all the Tribe of Aphorifmers and Politicasters would perfuade us there be fecret and mysterious reasons against For upon the fettling herof mark what nourishing and cordial restorements to the State will follow, the Ministers of the Gospel attending only to the work of Salvation, every one within his limited charge; befides the diffufive Bleffings of God upon all our actions, the King shall fit without an old Disturber, a daily Incroacher and Intruder; shall rid his Kingdom of a firong fequefter'd and collateral Power; a confronting Miter, whose potent Wealth and wakeful Ambition he had just cause to hold in jealoufy: not to repeat the other prefent evils which only their removal will remove, and because things simply pure are inconsistent in the mass of Nature, nor are the Elements or Humours in a Man's Body exactly homogeneal; and hence the bestfounded Commonwealths and least barbarous have aim'd at a certain mixture and temperament, partaking the feveral Virtues of each other State, that each part drawing to it felf may keep up a steady and even uprightness in

There is no Civil Government that hath been known, no not the Spartan, not the Roman, though both for this refpect fo much prais'd by the wife Polybius, more divinely and harmoniously tun'd, more equally balanc'd as it were by the hand and scale of Justice, than is the Commonwealth of England; where, under a free and untutor'd Monarch, the noblest, worthiest, and most prudent men, with full approbation and suffrage of the People, have in their power the supreme and final determination of highest Affairs. Now if Conformity of Church-Discipline to the Civil be so desir'd, there can be nothing more parallel, more uniform, than when under the Sovereign Prince, Chris's Vicegerent, using the Scepter of David, according to God's Law, the godliest, the wifest, the learnedest Ministers in their several charges have the instructing and disciplining of God's People, by whose full and free Election

they are confecrated to that holy and equal Ariffocracy. And why should not the Piety and Conscience of Englishmen, as Members of the Church, be trustest in the Election of Pastors to Functions that nothing concern a Monarch, as well as their worldly Wisdoms are privileg'd as Members of the State in suffraging their Knights and Burgesses to Matters that concern him nearly? And if in weighing these several Offices, their difference in time and quality be cast in, I know they will not turn the beam of equal Judgment the moiety of a Scruple. We therfore having already a kind of apostolical and ancient Church-Election in our State, what a perverseness would it be in us of all others to retain forcibly a kind of imperious and stately Election in our Church? And what a blindness to think that what is already evangelical, as it were by a happy chance in our Polity, should be repugnant to that which is the same by divine Command in the Ministry? Thus then we see that our Ecclesial and Political Choices may confent and fort as well together without any rupture in the STATE, as Christians and Freeholders. But as for Honour, that ought indeed to be different and diffinct, as either Office looks a feveral way; the Minister whose Calling and End is spiritual, ought to be honour'd as a Father and Physician to the Soul, (if he be found to be fo) with a Son-like and Difciple-like Reverence, which is indeed the dearest and most affectionate Honour, most to be desir'd by a wise man, and such as will easily command a free and plentiful provision of outward necessaries, without his further care of this World.

The Magistrate, whose Charge is to see to our Persons and Estates, is to be honour'd with a more elaborate and personal Courtship, with large Salaries and Stipends, that he himself may abound in those things wherof his legal Justice and watchful Care gives us the quiet Enjoyment. And this distinction of Honour will bring forth a scennly and graceful Uniformity over all the Kingdom.

Then shall the Nobles posses all the Dignities and Offices of temporal Honour to themselves, sole Lords without the improper mixture of scholastic and pusillanimous upstarts; the *Parlament* shall void her *Upper House* of the same annoyances; the Common and Civil Laws shall be both set free, the former from the controul, the other from the meer Vassalage and Copy-hold of

the Clergy.

And wheras temporal Laws rather punish men when they have transgress'd, than form them to be such as should transgress feldomest, we may conceive great hopes, through the showers of Divine Benediction watering the unmolested and watchful pains of the Ministry, that the whole inheritance of God will grow up so straight and blameless, that the Civil Magistrate may with far less toil and difficulty, and far more ease and delight, steer the tall and goodly Vessel of the Commonwealth through all the gusts and tides of the World's

mutability.

Here I might have ended, but that some Objections, which I have heard commonly flying about, press me to the endeavour of an Answer. We must not run, they fay, into fudden extremes. This is a fallacious Rule, unless understood only of the actions of Vertue about things indifferent: for if it be found that those two extremes be Vice and Vertue, Falshood and Truth, the greater extremity of Vertue and fuperlative Truth we run into, the more vertuous and the more wife we become; and he that flying from degenerate and traditional Corruption, fears to shoot himself too far into the meeting Embraces of a divinely warranted Reformation, had better not have run at all. And for the fuddenness, it cannot be fear'd. Who should oppose it? The Papifts? they dare not. The Protestants otherwise affected? they were There is nothing will be remov'd but what to them is profesfedly indifferent. The long affection which the People have born to it, what for it felf, what for the odiousness of Prelates, is evident: From the first year of Queen Elizabeth it hath still been more and more propounded, defir'd, and befeech'd, yea fometimes favourably forwarded by the Parlaments themselves. Yet if it were fudden and fwift, provided still it be from worse to better, certainly we ought to hie us from evil like a torrent, and rid our felves of corrupt Discipline, as we would shake fire out of our bosoms.

Of Reformation in England.

Speedy and vehement were the Reformations of all the good Kings of Juda, though the People had been nuzzl'd in Idolatry ever to long before; they fear'd not the bug-bear danger, nor the Lion in the way that the fluggish and timorous Politician thinks he fees; no more did our Brethren of the Reform'd Churches abroad, they ventur'd (God being their guide) out of rigid POPERV, into that which we in mockery call precise Puritanism, and yet we see no inconvenience befol them.

Let us not dally with God when he offers us a full Bleffing, to take as much of it as we think will ferve our ends, and turn him back the refl upon his hands, left in his anger he fnatch all from us again. Next, they alledge the Antiquity of *Epifcopacy* through all Ages. What it was in the Apoftle's time, that questionless it must be still; and therin I trust the Ministers will be able to fatisfy the Parlament. But if *Epifcopacy* be taken for *Prelacy*, all the Ages they can deduce it through, will make it no more venerable than *Papacy*.

Most certain it is (as all our Stories bear witness) that ever fince their coming to the See of Canterbury for near twelve hundred years, to speak of them in general, they have been in England to our Souls a sad and doleful succession of illiterate and blind guides; to our purses and goods a wastful band of robbers, a perpetual havock and rapine; to our State a continual Hydra of mischief and molestation, the forge of discord and rebellion: This is the Trophy of their Antiquity, and boasted Succession through so many ages. And for those Prelate-Martyrs they glory of, they are to be judg'd what they

were by the Gospel, and not the Gospel to be tried by them.

And it is to be noted, that if they were for Bishopricks and Ceremonies, it was in their Prosperity and sulness of Bread; but in their Persecution, which purify'd them, and near their death, which was their Garland, they plainly disliked and condemn'd the Ceremonies, and threw away those Episcopal Ornaments wherein they were install'd as soolish and detestable; for so the words of Ridley at his Degradement, and his Letter to Hooper, expressly shew. Neither doth the Author of our Church-History spare to record fadly the Fall (for so he terms it) and Infirmities of these Martyrs, though we would deisy them. And why should their Martyrdom more countenance corrupt Doctrine or Discipline, than their Subscriptions justify their Treason to the Royal Blood of this Realm, by diverting and intailing the Right of the Crown from the true Heirs, to the Houses of Northumberland and Susfolk? which had it took effect, this present King had in all likelihood never sat on this Throne, and the happy Union of this Island had bin frustrated.

Lastly, Wheras they add that some the learnedest of the Resormed abroad admire our Episcopacy; it had bin more for the strength of the Argument to tell us, that some of the wisest Statesmen admire it, for thereby we might guess them weary of the present Discipline, as offensive to their State, which is the bug we fear: but being they are Churchmen, we may rather suspect them for some Prelatizing Spirits that admire our Bishopricks, not Episcopacy.

The next Objection vanishes of itself, propounding a doubt, whether a greater Inconvenience would not grow from the corruption of any other Discipline than from that of Episcopacy. This seems an unseasonable foresight, and out of order, to defer and put off the most needful Constitution of one right Discipline, while we stand ballancing the Discommodities of two corrupt ones. First constitute that which is right, and of itself it will discover and rectify that which swerves, and easily remedy the pretended sear of having a Pope in every Parish, unless we call the zealous and meek centure of the Church a Popedom, which whoso does, let him advise how he can reject the Pastorly Rod and Sheep-hook of Christ, and those Cords of Love, and not fear to fall under the iron Scepter of his Anger, that will dash him to pieces like a Potsherd.

At another Doubt of theirs I wonder, whether this Discipline which we defire be such as can be put in practice within this Kingdom; they say it cannot stand with the common Law nor with the King's Sasety, the Government of Episcopacy is now so weav'd into the common Law. In God's name let it weave out again; let not human Quillets keep back divine Authority. 'Tis not the common Law, nor the civil, but Piety and Justice that are our soundresses; they stoop not, neither change colour for Aristocracy, Democracy, or Vol. I.

Mionarchy, nor yet at all interrupt their just courses; but far above the taking notice of these inferior Niceties, with persect Sympathy, wherever they meet, kiss each other. Lastly, they are rearrul that the Discipline which will fucceed cannot frand with the King's Safety. Wherefore? it is but Epifcopacy reduc'd to what it should be: were it not that the tyranny of Prelates under the name of Billiops hath made our ears tender, and startling, we might call every good Minister a Bishop, as every Bishop, year the Apostles themselves are call'd Ministers, and the Angels ministring Spirits, and the Ministers again Angels. But wherin is this propounded Government fo shrewd? Because the Government of Assemblies will succeed. Did not the Apostics govern the Church by Affemblies? How should it else be Catholick? How should it have Communion? We count it Sacrilege to take from the rich Prelates their Lands and Revenues, which is Sacrilege in them to keep, using them as they do; and can we think it fare to defraud the living Church of God of that right which God has given her in Assemblies? O but the Consequence! Assemblies draw to them the Supremacy of Ecclefiastical Jurisdiction. No surely, they draw no Supremacy, but that Authority which Christ, and St. Paul in his Name, confers upon them. The King may still retain the same Supremacy in the Affemblies, as in the Pirlament; here he can do nothing alone against the Common Law, and there neither alone, nor with Conient, against the Scriptures. But is this all? No, this Ecclefiaftical Supremacy draws to it the Power to excommunicate Kings; and then follows the worst that can be imagined. Do they hope to avoid this, by keeping Prelates that have fo often done it? Not to exemplify the malapert Infolence of our own Bishops in this kind towards our Kings, I shall turn back to the Primitive and pure Times,

which the Objectors would have the Rule of Reformation to us.

Not an Assembly, but one Biscop alone, Saint Amerose of Milan, held Theologius the most Christian Emperor under Excommunication above eight Months together, drove him from the Church in the presence of his Nobles; which the good Emperor bore with heroic kumility, and never ceas'd by Prayers and Tears, till he was absolv'd; for which coming to the Bishop with Supplication into the Salutatory, some Out-porch of the Church, he was charged by him of tyrannical madness against GoD, for coming into holy Ground. At last, upon Conditions absolved, and after great humiliation approaching to the Altar to offer, (as those thrice pure times then thought meet) he had scarce withdrawn his hand, and flood a while, when a bold Arch-deacon comes in the Bishop's name, and chaces him from within the Rails, telling him peremptorily, that the place wherin he flood, was for none but the Priests to enter, or to touch; and this is another piece of pure Primitive Divinity. Think ye then our Bishops will forego the Power of Excommunication on whomsoever? No certainly, unless to compass finister Ends, and then revoke when they see their time. And yet this most mild, though withal dreadful and inviolable Prerogative of Christ's Diadem, Excommunication, serves for nothing with them, but to prog and pander for Fees, or to display their Pride, and sharpen their Revenge, debarring Men the protection of the Law; and I remember not whether in some Cases it bereave not Menall right to their worldly Goods and Inheritances, besides the denial of Christian Burial. But in the Evangelical, and reformed use of this facred Censure, no such Prostitution, no such Iicaristica! Drifts are to be doubted, as that spiritual Doom and Sentence fhould invade worldly possession, which is the rightful Lot and Portion even of the wickedest Men, as frankly bestow'd upon them by the all-dispensing Bounty as Rain and Sunskine. No, no, it feeks not to bereave or destroy the Body; it feeks to fave the Soul by humbling the Body, not by Imprisonment, or a recuniary Mulct, much less by Stripes or Bonds, or difinheritance, but by fatherly Admonishment and christian Rebuke, to cust it into godly Sorrow, whose End is Joy, and ingenuous bashfulness to Sin: If that cannot be wrought, then as a tender Mother takes her Child and holds it over the Pit with scaring words, that it may learn to fear where danger is; fo doth Excommunication as dearly and as freely, without Money, use her wholesome and faving Terrors: she is instant, she beseeches, by all the dear and sweet Promises of SALVATION the entices and woos; by all the Threatnings and Thunders of the Law, and rejected Golos!, the charges, and adjures: this is all her Armory,

her Munition, her Artillery, than the awaits with long-lifterates, and yet or best Z al. In takel, there is no Aft in all the Erran of G. I. Magine, so Maskinsk, wherin paths more lower-like conteilation, however General at the Study of a regenerate Mail anging, than before, and in all the tree East tenes of Excommon leation. As for the fogging Professory of Money with first an eye of Prock Great with Legroly, and more Mayor with a Carte for does not all the look, and to intrate the heart her first with against that carting Dr. of Talayer that the this heaft, and buy and spotolated the action of more for the form Brow. He state it girlly and apollotally first with the in-take forms Brow. He state it girlly and apollotally first with the in-take forms Brow. He state it girlly and apollotally first with the in-take forms Brow. He state is failthed by the state of the East forms Brow and the Interest of the East form and the Interest of the East form Interest the Interest of the her Munition, her Artillery, than the awaits with long-lafferance, and yet

Sundiv at the College Make, or the Regard Hogh, or to come to their despets in light at their Parmor's Table, they would request us to endure this the rushing of their disten Carlocks, and that we would burst our Marris, rather than laugh to the them under this in all their Lawn and Surcenes, they would bear us in rand that we must of duty still appear before them once a least in \$7.00 palm. They good circameis'd Make and Fernale, to be tax'd by the Poll, to be from'd our Head-Money, our Two-vences in their Chausletly Shop-book of Eghr. They pray us that it would pleaf us to let them this alle as, and worry us with their Band-dogs and Purleyants and that it would bleak the Parlocute that they may yet have the whapping, freeding, and felling of us in their diabolical Courts, to tear the Fligh from our Bones, and into our wife would intend of Balm, to pour in the Oll of Tartar, Viriell, and Mercury; wounds inited to Burn, to pour in the Oil of Tarray, Virtial, Lad Mercury; Vol. I. 7

furely a right reasonable, innocent, and soft-hearted Petition. O the relenting Bowels of the Fathers! Can this be granted them, unless God have smitten us with Frenfy from above, and with a dazling giddiness at noon-day? Should not those Men rather be heard that come to plead against their own Preferments, their worldly Advantages, their own Abundance; for Honour and Obedience to God's Word, the Conversion of Souls, the Christian Peace of the Land, and Union of the Reformed Catholick Church, the unappropriating and unmonopelizing the Rewards of Learning and Industry, from the greafy clutch of Ignorance, and high feeding. We have try'd already, and miterably felt what Ambition, worldly Glory and immoderate Wealth can do, what the boisterous and contradictional hand of a temporal, earthly, and corporeal Spirituality can avail to the edifying of Christ's holy Church; were it such a defperate hazard to put to the venture the universal Votes of Christ's Congregation, the fellowly and friendly Yoke of a teaching and laborious Ministry, the Puftorlike and Apoftolick Imitation of meek and unlordly Difcipline, the gentle and benevolent Mediocrity of Church-maintenance, without the ignoble Hucsterage of pidling Tithes? Were it such an incurable mischief to make a little trial, what all this would do to the flourishing and growing up of Christ's mystical Body? As rather to use every poor shift, and if that serve not, to threaten Uproar and Combustion, and shake the Brand of civil Discord?

O, Sir, I do now feel myfelf inwrapt on the fudden into those Mazes and Labyrinths of dreadful and hideous thoughts, that which way to get out, or which way to end, I know not, unless I turn mine eyes, and with your help lift up my hands to that eternal and propitious Throne, where nothing is readier than Grace and Refage to the distresses of mortal Suppliants: And it were a shame to leave these serious thoughts less piously than the Heathen were wont

to conclude their graver Discourfes.

Thou therefore that fittest in Light and Glory unapproachable, Parent of Angels and Men! next thee I implore Omnipotent King, Redeemer of that lost Remnant whose Nature thou didst assume, inestable and everlasting Love! And thou the third subfistence of Divine Infinitude, illumining Spirit, the Joy and Solace of created Things! one Tri-personal Godhead! look upon this thy poor and almost spent and expiring Church, leave her not thus a Prey to these importunate Wolves, that wait and think long till they devour thy tender Flock; these wild Boars that have broke into thy Vineyard, and left the print of their polluting Hoofs on the Souls of thy Servants. O let them not bring about their damned Designs, that stand now at the entrance of the bottomlefs Pit, expecting the Watch-word to open and let out those dread ul Locusts and Scorpions, to re-involve us in that pitchy Cloud of infernal Darknels, where we shall never more see the Sun of thy Truth again, never hope for the chearful Dawn, never more hear the Bird of Morning fing. Be mov'd with pity at the afflicted state of this our shaken Monarchy, that now lies labouring under her Throws, and struggling against the Grudges of more dreaded Calamities.

O thou that after the impetuous rage of five bloody Inundations, and the fucceeding Sword of intestine War, toaking the Land in her own Gore, didst pity the sad and ceases Revolution of our swift and thick-coming Sorrows, when we were quite breathless, of thy free Grace didst motion Peace, and terms of Covenant with us; and having first well-nigh freed us from Antichristian Thraldom, didst build up this Britannick Empire to a glorious and enviable height, with all her Daughter-Islands about her; stay us in this Felicity, let not the Obstinacy of our Half-obedience and Will-worship bring forth that Viper of Sedition, that for these fourscore Years hath bin breeding to eat through the Entrails of our Peace; but let her cast her abortive Spawn without the danger of this travelling and throbbing Kingdom. That we may still remember in our solemn Thanksgivings, how forus, the Northern Ocean even to the frozen Thule, was scatter'd with the proud Shipwrecks of the Spanish Armado, and the very Maw of Hell ransack'd, and made to give up her conceal'd Destruction, ere she could vent it in that horrible and damned blast.

O how much more glorious will those former Deliverances appear, when we shall know them not only to have sav'd us from greatest Miseries past, but to have reserv'd us for greatest Happiness to come? Hitherto thou hast but free lus,

and that not fully, from the unjust and tyrannous Claim of thy Foes, now timite us entirely, and appropriate us to thy felf, tie us everlastingly in willing Homage to the *Prerogative* of thy eternal *Throne*.

And now we know, O thou our most certain Hope and Desence, that thine Enemies have been confulting all the Sorceries of the great Whore, and have join'd their Plots with that fad intelligencing Tyrant that mischies the World with his Mines of Ophir, and lies thirsting to revenge his naval Ruins that have larded our Seas: but let them all take Counfel together, and let it come to nought; let them decree, and do thou cancel it; let them gather themfelves, and be featter'd; let them imbattel themselves, and be broken; let them imbattel, and be broken, for thou art with us.

Then amidst the *Hymns* and *Hallelujabs* of *Saints*, some one may perhaps be heard offering at high Strains in new and lofty Measures, to sing and celebrate thy divine Mercies, and marvellous Judgments in this Land throughout all Ages; wherby this great and warlike Nation, inftructed and inur'd to the fervent and continual practice of Truth and Righteousness, and casting far from her the Rags of her old Vices, may press on hard to that high and happy Emulation to be found the soberest, wifest, and most Christian People at that day, when thou the eternal and shortly-expected King, shalt open the Clouds to judge the feveral Kingdoms of the World, and diffributing National Honours and Rewards to religious and just Commonwealths, shall put an end to all carthly Tyrannies, proclaiming thy universal and mild Monarchy through Heaven and Earth. Where they undoubtedly, that by their Labours, Counfels, and Prayers, have bin earnest for the common Good of Religion and their Country, shall receive above the inferior Orders of the Bleffed, the regal Addition of Principalities, Legions, and Thrones into their glorious Titles, and in fupereminence of beatific Vision, progressing the dateless and irrevoluble Circle of Eternity, shall clasp inseparable hands with Joy and Bliss, in over-meafure for ever.

But they contrary, that by the impairing and diminution of the true Faith, the Distresses and Servitude of their Country, aspire to high Dignity, Rule and Promotion here, after a shameful end in this Life, (which God grant them) shall be throwndown eternally into the darkest and deepest Gulf of Hell, where under the despiteful Controll, the Trample and Spurn of all the other Tamned, that in the anguish of their Torture, shall have no other ease than to exercise a raving and bestial Tyranny over them as their Slaves and Negroes, they shall remain in that plight for ever, the basest, the lowermest, the most dejected, most underfoot, and down-trodden Vassals of Perdition.

Prelatical Episcopacy,

And whether it may be deduc'd from the Apostolical Times by virtue of those Testimonies which are alledg'd to that purpose in some late Treatises; one wherof goes under the Name of James Archbishop of Armagh.

PISCOPACY, as it is taken for an Order in the Church above a Prefbyter, or as we commonly name him the Minister of a Congregation, is deither of Divine Constitution, or of Human. If only of Human, we have the same human Privilege that all Men have ever had since Adam, being born free, and in the Mistress Island of all the British, to retain this Episcopacy, or to remove it, confulting with our own Occasions and Conveniences, and for the prevention of our own Dangers and Difquiets, in what best manner we can devise, without running at a loss, as we must needs in those stale and useless Records of either uncertain or unfound Antiquity; which, if we hold fast to the grounds of the Reformed Church, can neither skill of us, nor we of it, so oft as it would lead us to the broken reed of Tradition. it be of Divine Constitution, to satisfy us fully in that, the Scripture only is able, it being the only Book left us of Divine Authority, not in any thing more divine than in the all-fufficiency it hath to furnish us, as with all other spiritual Knowledge, so with this in particular, setting out to us a perfect Man of God, accomplish'd to all the good works of his charge: through all which Book can be no where, either by plain Text, or folid reasoning, found any difference between a Bishop and a Presbyter, save that they be two names to fignify the same Order. Notwithstanding this clearness, and that by all evidence of Argument, Timothy and Titus (whom our Prelates claim to imitate only in the controlling part of their Office) had rather the Vicegerency of an Apostleship committed to them, than the ordinary charge of a Bishoprick, as being Men of an extraordinary calling; yet to verify that which St. Paul forctold of fucceeding times, when Men began to have itching Ears, then not contented with the plentiful and wholeforn fountains of the Gospel, they began after their own Lusts to heap to themselves Teachers, and as if the divine Scripture wanted a Supplement, and were to be eke'd out, they cannot think any doubt refolv'd, and any Doctrine confirm'd, unless they run to that indigested heap and fry of Authors, which they call Antiquity. Whatfoever time, or the heedless hand of blind chance, hath drawn down from of old to this present, in her huge Drag-net, whether Fish, or Seaweed, Shells, or Shrubs, un-pick'd, unchosen, those are the Fathers. Seeing therfore some Men, deeply conversant in Books, have had so little care of late to give the World a better account of their reading, than by divulging needless Tractates, stuff'd with specious names of Ignatius and Polycarpus; with fragments of old Martyrologies, and Legends, to distract and stagger the multitude of credulous Readers, and mislead them from their strong Guards and places of Safety, under the tuition of Holy Writ; it came into my thoughts to perfuade myself, setting all distances, and nice respects aside, that I could do Religion, and my Country no better fervice for the time, than doing my utmost endeavour to recall the People of God from this vain forraging after Straw, and to reduce them to their firm Stationsunder the standard of the Gospel; by making appear to them, first the infusficiency, next the inconveniency; and lastly, the impiety of these gay Testimonies, that their great Doctors would bring them to dote on. And in performing this, I shall not strive to be more exact in Method, than as their citations lead mc.

2 Tim. 4.

First, therefore concerning Ignatius shall be treated fully, when the Author shall come to infift upon some places in his Epistles. Next, to prove a succession of 27 Bishops from Timothy, he cites one Leontius Bishop of Magnesia, out of the 11th Act of the Chalcedonian Council: this is but an obscure and fingle witness, and for his faithful dealing who shall commend him to us, with this his Catalogue of Bishops? What know we further of him, but that he might be as factious and false a Bishop, as Leontius of Antioch, that was a hundred vears his Predecessor? For neither the praise of his Wisdom, or his Virtue, hath left him memorable to Pofterity, but only this doubtful relation, which we must take at his word: and how shall this Testimony receive credit from his word, whose very Name had scarce bin thought on but for this bare Tel-timony? But they will say, he was a Member of the Council, and that may deferve to gain him Credit with us. I will not fland to argue, as yet with fair allowance I might, that we may as justly suspect there were some bad and flippery Men in that Council, as we know there are wont to be in our Convocations: Nor shall I need to plead at this time, that nothing hath bin more attempted, nor with more fubtlety brought about, both anciently by other Hereticks, and modernly by Papifts, than to falfify the Editions of the Councils, of which we have none but from our Adversaries hands, whence Canons, Acts, and whole fpurious Councils are thrust upon us; and hard it would be to prove in all, which are legicimate against the lawful rejection of an urgent, and free disputer. But this I purpose not to take advantage of; for what avails it to wrangle about the corrupt Editions of Councils, whenas we know that many Years ere this time, which was almost 500 Years after Christ, the Councils themselves were foully corrupted with ungodly Prelatism, and so far plung'd into worldly Ambition, as that it flood them upon long ere this to uphold their now well-tafted Hierarchy by what a fair pretext foever they could, in like manner as they had now learnt to defend many other groß Corruptions by as ancient, and supposed authentick Tradition as Episcopacy? And what hope can we have of this whole Council to warrant us a matter, 400 Years at least above their time, concerning the distinction of Bishop and Presbyter, whenas we find them fuch blind Judges of things before their eyes, in their decrees of precedency between Bifhop and Bifhop, acknowledging Rome for the Apostolick Throne, and Peter in that See for the Rock, the Basis, and the Foundation of the Catholick Church and Faith, contrary to the interpretation of more ancient Fathers? And therfore from a mistaken Text did they give to Leo, as Peter's Successor, a kind of Preheminence above the whole Council, as Enagrius expresses (for now the Pope was come to that height, as to arrogate to himself by his Vicars incompetible honours) and yet having thus yielded to Rome the universal Primacy for spiritual Reasons, as they thought, they conclude their fitting with a carnal and ambitious Decree, to give the fecond place of Dignity to Constantinople from reason of State, because it was New ROME; and by like confequence, doubtlefs of earthlyPrivileges annext to each other City, was the Bishop therof to take his place.

I may fay again therfore, what hope can we have of fuch a Council, as beginning in the Spirit, ended thus in the Flesh? Much rather should we attend to what Eusebius, the ancientest Writer extant of Church-History, notwithftanding all the helps he had above thefe, confesses in the 4th Chapter of his 3d Book, That it was no eafy matter to tell who were those that were left Bishops of the Churches by the Apostles, more than by what a Man might gather from the AETs of the Apostles, and the Epistles of St. Paul, in which number he reckons Timothy for Bishop of Ephesus. So as may plainly appear, that this Tradition of Bishoping Timothy over Ephesus, was but taken for granted out of that place in St. Paul, which was only an intreating him to tarry at Ephefus, to do fomething left him in charge. Now if Eufebius, a famous Tim. i. 3-Writer, thought it so difficult to tell who were appointed Bishops by the Apostles, much more may we think it difficult to Leontius, an obscure Bishop, speaking beyond his own Diocess: and certainly much more hard was it for either of them to determine what kind of Bishops these were, if they had so little means to know who they were; and much less reason have we to stand to their definitive Sentence, feeing they have bin fo rash to raise up such lofty Bishops and Bishopricks out of places in Scripture merely misunderstood.

Thus while we leave the Bible to gad after these Traditions of the Ancients: we hear the Ancients themselves confessing, that what knowledge they had in

this point was fuch as they had gather'd from the Bible.

Since therefore Antiquity itself hath turn'd over the Controversy to that fovereign Book which we had fondly ftraggl'd from, we shall do better not to detain this venerable Apparition of *Leontius* any longer, but difmis him with his Lift of feven and twenty, to fleep unmolested in his former ob-

Now for the word weets we, it is more likely that Timothy never knew the word in that fenfe; it was the vanity of those next succeeding times not to content themselves with the simplicity of Scripture-phrase, but must make a new Lexicon to name themselves by; one will be call'd meoss wis, or Antistes, a word of Precedence; another would be term'd a Gnostick, as Clemens; a third Sacerdos, or Priest, and talks of Altars; which was a plain sign that their Doctrine began to change, for which they must change their expressions. But that place of Justin Martyr ferves rather to convince the Author, than to make for him, where the name ωξοες ω; των οδελφων, the President, or Pastor of the Brethren (for to what end is he their President, but to teach them?) cannot be limited to fignify a Prelatical Bishop, but rather communicates that Greek appellation to every ordinary Presbyter: For there he tells what the Christians had wont to do in their several Congregations, to read and expound, to pray and administer, all which he fays the $\pi \in \mathcal{L}$, or Antiftes did. Are these the Offices only of a Bishop, or shall we think that every Congregation where thefe things were done, which he attributes to this Antiftes, had a Bishop present among them? Unless they had as many Antistites as Presbyters, which this place rather feems to imply; and fo we may infer even from their own alledg'd Authority, that Antiftes was nothing else but Presbyter.

As for that nameless Treatise of Timothy's Martyrdom, only cited by Photius that liv'd almost 900 Years after Christ, it handsomly follows in that Author, the Martyrdom of the feven Sleepers, that flept (I tell you but what mine Author fays) three hundred feventy and two Years; for fo long they had bin flut up in a Cave without meat, and were found living. This Story of Timothy's Ephefian Bishoprick, as it follows in order, so may it for truth, if it only subsist upon its own Authority, as it doth; for Photius only faith he Euseb. 1. 6 lives of the Saints, and fent us from the shop of the Jesuits at Lovain, does but bear the name of *Polycrates*, how truly who can tell? and fhall have fome more weight with us, when Polycrates can persuade us of that which he affirms in the same place of Eusebius's 5th Book, that St. John was a Priest, and wore the golden Breaft-plate: and why should he convince us more with his Traditions of Timothy's Episcopacy, than he could convince Victor Bishop of Rome with his Traditions concerning the Feast of Easter, who not regarding his irrefragable instances of examples taken from Philip and his Daughters that were Prophetesses, or from Polycarpus, no nor from St. John himself, excommunicated both him, and all the Afan Churches, for celebrating their Easter judaically? He may therfore go back to the seven Bishops his Kinsmen, and make his moan to them, that we efteem his traditional Ware as lightly as Victor did.

Those of Theodoret, Felix, and John of Antioch, are Authorities of later times, and therfore not to be receiv'd for their Antiquities fake to give in evidence concerning an Allegation, wherin Writers, fo much their Elders, we fee so easily miscarry. What if they had told us that *Peter*, who as they say left *Ignatius* Bishop of *Antioch*, went afterwards to *Rome*, and was Bishop there, as this Ignatius, and Irenaus, and all Antiquity with one mouth deliver? there be nevertheless a number of learned and wife Protestants, who have written, and will maintain, that Peter's being at Rome as Bishop, cannot

stand with concordance of Scripture.

Now come the Epistles of *Ignatius* to shew us first, that *Onesimus* was Bishop of Epbesus; next, to affert the difference of Bishop and Presbyter, wherin I wonder that Men, teachers of the Protestant Religion, make no more difficulty of imposing upon our Belief a supposititious offspring of some dozen Epistles, wherof five are rejected as spurious, containing in them Heresies and Trifles;

неоФие.

which cannot agree in Chronology with Ignatius, entitling him Archbishop of Antioch Theopolis, which name of Theopolis that City had not till Justinian's time, long after, as Cedrenus mentions; which argues both the barbarous time, and the unskilful fraud of him that soisted this Epistle upon Ignatius. In the Epistle to those of Tarsus, he condemns them for Ministers of Satan, that say Christ is God above all. To the Philippians them that kept their Easter as the Asian Churches, as Polycarpus did, and them that fasted upon any Saturday, or Sunday, except one, he counts as those that had flain the Lord. To those of Antioch, he falutes the Sub-Deacons, Chaunters, Porters, and Exorcifts, as if these had bin Orders of the Church in his time: those other Epiftles less question'd, are yet so interlarded with Corruptions, as may justly indue us with a wholesome suspicion of the rest. As to the Trallians, he writes that a Bishop hath Power over all beyond all Government and Authority suhatsoever. Surely then no Pope can defire more than Ignatius attributes to every Bishop; but what will become then of the Archbishops and Primates, if every Bishop in Ignatius's judgment be as supreme as a Pope? To the Ephesians, near the very place from whence they fetch their proof for Episcopacy, there stands a line that casts an ill hue upon all the Epistle; Let no Man err, faith he; unless a Man be within the rays or enclosure of the Altar, he is depriv'd of the bread of Life. I say not but this may be stretch'd to a sigurative construction, but yet it has an ill look, especially being follow'd beneath with the mention of I know not what Sacrifices. In the other Epitle to Smyrna, wherin is written that they should follow their Bishop as Christ did his Father, and the Presbytery as the Apostles; not to speak of the infulse, and illlaid comparison, this cited place lies upon the very brim of another Corruption, which had they that quote this passage, ventur'd to let us read, all Men would have readily feen what grain the Testimony had bin of, where it is faid, that it is not lawful without a Bishop to baptize, nor to offer, nor to do facrifice. What can our Churches make of these Phrases but scandalous? And but a little further he plainly falls to contradict the Spirit of God in Solemon, judg'd by the words themselves; My Son, faith he, honour God and the King; but I fay, honour God and the Bifhop as High-Prieft, bearing the Image of Ged according to his ruling, and of Christ according to his Priesting, and after him honour the King. Excellent Ignatius! can ye blame the Prelates for making much of this Epistle? Certainly if this Epistle can serve you to set a Bishop above a Presbyter, it may serve you next to set him above a King. These, and other like places in abundance through all those short Epistles, must either be adulterate, or else Ignatius was not Ignatius, nor a Martyr, but most adulterate, and corrupt himself. In the midst therfore of so many forgeries, where shall we fix to dare say this is Ignatius? As for his stile, who knows it, fo disfigur'd and interrupted as it is? except they think that where they meet with any thing found, and orthodoxal, there they find Ignatius, and then they believe him not for his own Authority, but for a truth's fake, which they derive from elfewhere: to what end then should they cite him as Authentic for Episcopacy, when they cannot know what is authentic in him, but by the judgment which they brought with them, and not by any judgment which they might fafely learn from him? How can they bring fatisfaction from fuch an Author, to whose very effence the Reader must be sain to contribute his own Understanding? Had God ever intended that we should have fought any part of useful Instruction from Ignatius, doubtless he would not have fo ill provided for our knowledge, as to fend him to our hands in this broken and disjointed plight; and if he intended no fuch thing, we do injurioufly in thinking to tafte better the pure Evangelic Manna, by feafoning our mouths with the tainted fcraps and fragments of an unknown Table, and fearching among the verminous and polluted Rags dropt over-worn from the toiling shoulders of Time, with these deformedly to quilt and interlace the intire, the fpotlefs, and undecaying robe of Truth, the daughter not of Time, but of Heaven, only bred up here below in Christian Hearts, between two grave and holy Nurses, the Doctrine and Discipline of the Gospel.

Next follows Ireneus Bishop of Lyons, who is cited to affirm that Polycarpus was made Bishop of Smyrna by the Apostles; and this, it may seem, none could better tell than he who had both seen and heard Polycarpus: But when did he Vol. I.

hear him? himself confesses to Florinus, when he was a Boy. Whether that Age in Ireneus may not beliable to many mistakings; and whether a Boy may be truffed to take an exact account of the manner of a Church-Conflitution, and upon what terms, and within what limits, and with what kind of Commission Polycarpus receiv'd his Charge, let a Man consider, ere he be credulous. It will not be deny'd that he might have feen Polycarpus in his youth, a Man of great eminence in the Church, to whom the other Proflyters might give way for his Virtue, Wisdom, and the reverence of his Age; and so did Anicetus Bithop of Rome, even in his own City, give him a kind of Priority in administring the Sacrament, as may be read in Eusebius: butthat we should hence conclude a distinct, and superior Order from the young observation of Irenaus, nothing yet alledg'd can warrant us, unless we shall believe such as would face us down, that Calvin, and after him Beza were Bishops of Geneva, because that in the unsettled state of the Church, while things were not fully compos'd, their worth and learning cast a greater share of business upon them, and directed Men's eyes principally towards them: and yet these Men were the diffolvers of Epifcopacy. We fee the fame necessity in State-Affairs; Brutus that expell'd the Kings out of Rome, was for the time forc'd to be as it were a King himfelf, till matters were fet in order, as in a free Commonwealth. He that had feen Pericles lead the Athenians which way he lifted, haply would have faid he had bin their Prince; and yet he was but a powerful and eloquent Man in a Democraty, and had no more at any time than a temperary and elective fway, which was in the will of the people when to abrogate. And it is most likely that in the Church, they which came after these Apostolic Men, being less in Merit, but bigger in Ambition, strove to invade those Privileges by intrusion and plea of right, which Polycarpus, and others like him possest from the voluntary surrender of Men subdu'd by the excellency of their heavenly Gifts; which because their Successors had not, and so could neither have that Authority, it was their policy to divulge that the eminence which Polycarpus and his equals enjoy'd, was by right of constitution, not by free will of condefcending. And yet thus far Irenæus makes against them, as in that very place to call Polycarpus an Apostolical Presbyter. But what fidelity his relations had in general, we cannot fooner learn than by Eusebius, who near the end of his third Book, fpeaking of Papias a very ancient Writer, one that had heard St. John, and was known to many that had feen, and bin acquainted with others of the Apostles, but being of a shallow wit, and not understanding those Traditions which he receiv'd, fill'd his Writings with many new Doctrines, and fabulous Conceits; he tells us there, that divers Ecclefinstical Men, and Irenæus among the rest, while they look'd at his Antiquity, became infected with his Errors. Now if Irenæus were fo rash as to take unexamin'd opinions from an Author of fo finall capacity, when he was a Man, we should be more rash ourselves to rely upon those observations which he made when he was a Boy. And this may be a fufficient reason to us why we need no longer muse at the spreading of many idle Traditions so foon after the Apostles, whilst such as this Papias had the throwing them about, and the inconfiderate zeal of the next Age, that heeded more the Person than the Doctrine, had the gathering them up. Wherever a Man, who had bin any way conversant with the Aposlles, was to be found, thither flew all the inquisitive ears, although the exercise of right instructing was chang'd into the curiosity of impertment fabling: where the Mind was to be edify'd with folid Dollrine, there the Fancy was footh'd with folemn Stories: with lefs fervency was studied what Saint Paul, or Saint John had written, than was liften'd to one that could fay here he taught, here he flood, this was his stature; and thus he went habited, and O happy this house that harbour'd him, and that cold stone wheron he rested, this Village wherin he wrought fuch a miracle, and that pavement bedew'd with the warm effufion of his last blood, that sprouted up into eternal Roses to crown his Martyrdom. Thus while all their thoughts were pour'd out upon circumstances, and the gazing after fuch Men as had fat at table with the Apoftles (many of which Christ hath profest, yea tho' they had cast out Devils in his name, he will not know at the last day) by this means they lost their time, and truanted in the fundamental grounds of faving knowledge, as was feen shortly

Of Prelatical Episcopacy.

by their Writings. Laftly for Irenaus, we have cause to think him less judiclous in his reports from hand to hand of what the Apolles did, when we find him to negligent in keeping the Faith which they writ, as to fay in his third Book against Herefies, that the obedience of Mary was the cause of Salvation to herfelf, and all Mankind; and in his fifth Book, that as Lve was seduc'd to fly God, so the Virgin Mary was persuaded to obey God, that the Virgin Mary might be made the Advocate of the Virgin Eve. Trus if Irenaus for his nearness to the Apolles, must be the Patron of Episcopacy to us, it is no marvel though he be the Patron of Idolatry to the Papift, for the same cause. To the Epistle of those Brethren of Suyrna, that write the Martyrdom of Polycarpus, and stile him an Apostolical, and Prophetical Doctor, and Bishop of the Church in Smyrna, I could be content to give some credit for the great honour and affection which I see those Brethren bear him, and not underervedly, if it be true which they there fay that he was a Prophet, and had a voice from Heaven to comfort him at his death, which they could hear, but the rest could not for the noise and tumult that was in the place; and besides, if his Body were fo precious to the Christians, that he was never wont to pull off his floors for one or other that still strove to have the Office, that they might come to touch his feet, yet a light scruple or two I would gladly be refolv'd in: If Polycarpus (who, as they fay, was a Prophet that never tail'd in what he foretold) had declar'd to his triends, that he knew by Vision, he fhould die no other death than burning, how it came to pais that the fire when it came to proof, would not do his work, but flarting off like a full fail from the mast, did but reflect a golden light upon his unviolated limbs, exhaling fuch a fweet odour, as if all the incense of Arabia had bin burning; in to much that when the bill-men faw that the fire was over-aw'd, and could not do the deed, one of them steps to him and stabs him with a fword, at which wound fuch abundance of Blood gush'd forth, as quench'd the fire. By all this relation it appears not how the fire was guilty of his death, and then how can his Prophecy be fulfill'd? Next, how the standers-by could be fo foon weary of fach a glorious fight, and fuch a fragrant finell, as to haften the Executioner to put out the fire with the Martyr's Blood; unless perhaps they thought, as in all perfumes, that the Smoak would be more odorous than the Flame: yet thefe good Brethren fay he was Bifhop of Smyrna. No Man questions it, if Bishop and Presbyter anciently were all one, and how does it app ar by any thing in this testimony that they were not? If among his other high titles of Prophetical, Aposcolical, and most a lmired of those times, he be also stilled Bithop of the Church of Smyrna in a kind of speech, which the Rhetoricians call :227' igoxiv, for his excellence fake, as being the most samous of all the Smyrnian Presbyters; it cannot be prov'd neither from this nor that other place of Irenaus, that he was therefore in distinct and monarchical order above the other Probyters; it is more probable, that if the whole *Proflytery* had been as renowned as he, they would have term'd every one of them feverally Bishop of *Smyrna*. Hence it is that we read sometimes of two Bishops in one place; and had all the Preslyters there been of like worth, we might perhaps have read of twenty.

Tertullian accosts us next, (for Polycrates hath had his Answer) whose Testimony, state but the question right, is of no more force to deduce Episcopacy, than the two former. He fays that the Church of Smyrna had Polycarpus plac'd there by John, and the Church of Rome Clement ordain'd by Peter; and fo the rest of the Churches did shew what Bishops they had receiv'd by the appointment of the Apostles. None of this will be contradicted, for we have it out of the Scripture that Bishops or Presbyters, which were the same, were left by the Apolites in every Church, and they might perhaps give some special charge to Clement, or Polycarpus, or Linus, and put some special trust in them for the experience they had of their Faith and Constancy; it remains yet to be evine'd out of this and the like places, which will never be, that the word Bithop is otherwife taken, than in the language of Saint Paul, and the Acts, for an order above Preflyters. We grant them Bishops, we grant them worthy Men, we grant them plac'd in feveral Churches by the Apoftles; we grant that Ironeus and Tertul affirm this, but that they were placed in a fuperior Order above the Probytery, shew from all these words why we should Vol. 1. F 2

'Tis not enough to fay the Apostle left this Man Bishop in Reme, and that other in Epbesus, but to shew when they alter'd their own Decree set down by St. Paul, and made all the Presbyters underlings to one Bishop. But suppose Tertullian had made an imparity where none was originally, should he move us, that goes about to prove an imparity between God the Father, and God the Son, as these words import in his Book against Praxeas? The Father is the whole fubstance, but the Son a derivation, and portion of the whole, as he himself professes, because the Father is greater than me. lieve him now for a faithful relater of Tradition, whom you fee fuch an unfaithful expounder of the Scripture; besides, in his time all allowable Tra-For this fame Author whom you bring to testify the dition was now loft. Ordination of Clement to the Bishoprick of Rome by Peter, testifies also in the beginning of his Treatife concerning Chaftity, that the Bishop of Rome did then use to send forth his Edicts by the name of Pontifen Maximus, and Episcopus Episcoporum, chief Priest, and Bishop of Bishops: For shame then do not urge that Authority to keep up a Bishop, that will necessarily engage you to fet up a Pope. As little can your Advantage be from Hegesippus an Hitorian of the same time not extant, but cited by Eusebius; his words are, that in every City all things fo flood in his time as the Law, and the Profibets, and our Lord did preach. If they stood so, then stood not Bishops above Presbyters; for what our Lord and his Disciples taught, God be thanked, we have no need to go learn of him: and you may as well hope to perfuade us out of the fame Author, that James the Brother of our Lord was a Nazarite, and that to him only it was lawful to enter into the Holy of Holies; that his food was not upon any thing that had life, Fish or Flesh; that he used no

woollen Garments, but only Linen, and so as he trisses on.

If therfore the Tradition of the Church were now grown so ridiculous, and disconsenting from the Doctrine of the Apostles, even in those points which were of least moment to Men's particular ends, how well may we be assured it was much more degenerated in point of Episcopacy, and Precedency, things which could afford such plausible Pretences, such commodious traverses for

Ambition, and Avarice to lurk behind?

As for those Britain Bishops which you cite, take heed what you do; for our Britain Bishops, less ancient than these, were remarkable for nothing more than their Poverty, as Sulp. Severus, and Beda can remember you of

Examples good store.

Lastly (for the fabulous Metaphrastes is not worth an Answer) that Authority of Clemens Alexandrinus is not to be found in all his Works; and wherever it be extant, it is in controversy, whether it be Clemens or no; or if it were, it says only that Saint John in some places constituted Bishops: questionless he did, but where does Clement say he set them above Presbyters? No Man will gainfay the constitution of Bishops; but the raising them to a fuperior, and diffinct order above Presbyters, seeing the Gospel makes them one and the fame thing, a thoufand fuch Allegations as thefe will not give Prelatical Episcopacy one Chapel of Ease above a Parish Church. And thus much for this cloud I cannot say rather than petty-fog of Witnesses, with which Episcopal Men would cast a Mist before us, to deduce their exalted Episcopacy from Apostolic Times. Now although, as all Men well know, it be the wonted shift of Error, and fond Opinion, when they find themfelves outlaw'd by the Bible, and forsaken of sound Reason, to betake them with all speed to their old starting-hole of Tradition, and that wild, and overgrown covert of Antiquity, thinking to frame, there a large room, and find good stabling, yet thus much their own deify'd Antiquity betrays them, to inform us that Tradition hath had very feldom or never the gift of Pcrfurtion; as that which Church-Hiftories report of those $\it East$ and $\it Western$ P febalifts, formerly spoken of, will declare. Who would have thought that Plycarpus on the one fide could have err'd in what he faw Saint John do, or Anicetus Bishop of Rome on the other side, in what he or some of his Friends might pretend to have feen St. Peter or St. Paul do; and yet neither of these could persuade either when to keep Easter? The like frivolous Contention troubled the Primitive English Churches, while Colmanus, and Wilfride on either fide deducing their Opinions, the one from the undeniable Example of Saint

Saint John, and the learned Bishop Anatolius, and lastly the miraculous Columba, the other from Saint Peter and the Nicene Council, could gain no ground each of other, till King Ofwy perceiving no likelihood of ending the Controversy that way, was fain to decide it himself, good King, with that fmall knowledge wherewith those times had furnish'd him. So when those pious Greek Emperors began, as Cedrenus relates, to put down Monks, and abolish Images, the old Idolaters finding themselves blasted, and driven back by the prevailing Light of the Scripture, fent out their sturdy Monks call'd the Abramites, to alledge for Images the ancient Fathers Dionysius, and this our objected Ireneus: nay, they were fo high flown in their Antiquity, that they undertook to bring the Apostles, and Luke the Evangelist, yea Christ himself, from certain Records that were then current, to patronize their Idolatry. Yet for all this the worthy Emperor Theophilus, even in those dark times, chose rather to nourish himself and his People with the sincere Milk of the Gospel, than to drink from the mix'd Confluence of so many corrupt and poisonous Waters, as Tradition would have perfuaded him to, by most ancient seeming Authorities. In like manner all the reform'd Churches abroad, unthroning Episcopacy, doubtless were not ignorant of these Testimonies alledg'd to draw it in a line from the Apostles days; for furely the Author will not think he hath brought us now any new Authorities, or Confiderations into the World, which the Reformers in other places were not advis'd of: and yet we fee, the intercession of all these Apostolic Fathers could not prevail with them to alter their refolv'd Decree of reducing into order their ufurping and over-provender'd Episcopants; and God hath blest their Work this hundred years with a prosperous and stedsast, and still happy Success. And this may serve to prove the Insufficiency of these present Episcopal Testimonies, not only in themselves, but in the account of those ever that have bin the followers of Truth. It will next behoove us to confider the Inconvenience we fall into, by using ourselves to be guided by these kind of Testimonies. He that thinks it the part of a well-learned Man to have read diligently the ancient Stories of the Church, and to be no stranger in the Volumes of the Fathers, shall have all judicious Men confenting with him; not hereby to controul, and new-fangle the Scripture, God forbid, but to mark how Corruption and Apostacy crept in by degrees, and to gather up wherever we find the remaining sparks of original Truth, wherewith to stop the mouths of our Adverfaries, and to bridle them with their own curb, who willingly pass by that which is Orthodoxal in them, and studiously cull out that which is commentitious, and best for their turns, not weighing the Fathers in the balance of Scripture, but Scripture in the balance of the Fathers. If we therfore, making first the Gospel our Rule and Oracle, shall take the good which we light on in the Fathers, and fet it to oppose the evil which other Menseek from them, in this way of skirmish we shall easily master all Super-stition and false Doctrine; but if we turn this our discreet and wary usage of them into a blind devotion towards them, and whatfoever we find written by them, we both forfake our own grounds and reasons which led us at first to part from Rome, that is, to hold to the Scriptures against all Antiquity; we remove our Caufe into our Adversaries own Court, and take up there those cast Principles which will soon cause us to soder up with them again, in as much as believing Antiquity for itself in any one point, we bring an engagement upon ourfelves of affenting to all that it charges upon us. For suppose we should now, neglecting that which is clear in Scripture, that a Bishop and Proflyter is all one both in Name and Office, and that what was done by Timothy and Titus, executing an extraordinary place, as fellow-labourers with the Apofiles, and of a universal charge in planting Christianity through divers Regions, cannot be drawn into particular and daily example; suppose that neglecting this clearness of the Text, we should by the uncertain, and corrupted Writings of fucceeding times, determine that Bishop and Presbyter are different, because we dare not deny what Ignatius, or rather the Perkin Warbeck of Ignatius, fays; then must we be constrained to take upon our felves a thousand Superstitions and Falsities which the Papists will prove us down in from as good Authorities, and as ancient as these that set a Bishop above a Presbyter. And the plain truth is, that when any of our Men of those that are wedded to Antiquity come to dispute with a Papist, and leaving the Scriptures put themselves without appeal to the Sentence of Synods and Councils, using in the cause of Sion the hir'd Soldiery of revolted Israel, where they give the Romanists one buss, they receive two counterbusss. Were in thersore but in this regard, every true Bishop should be afraid to conquer in his Cause by such Authorities as these, which if we admit for the Authority's sake, we open a broad passage for a multitude of Doctrines that have no

ground in Scripture to break in upon us. Lastly, I do not know, it being undeniable that there are but two Ecclesiaftical Orders, Eishops and Deacons, mention'd in the Gospel, how it can be less than Impiety to make a demur atthat, which is there so perspicuous, confronting, and parallelling the facred Verity of Saint Paul with the offals and fweepings of Antiquity, that met as accidentally and abfurdly, as Epicurus his Atoms, to patch up a Leucippean Ignatius, i clining rather to make this phantasm an expounder, or indeed a depraver of Saint Paul, than Saint Paul an examiner, and discoverer of this Impostorship; nor caring how slightly they put off the verdict of holy Text unfalv'd, that fays plainly there be but two Orders, fo they maintain the Reputation of their imaginary Doctor that proclaims three. Certainly if Christ's Apostle have set down but two, then according to his own words, though he himself should unsay it, and not only the Angel of Smyrna, but an Angel from Heaven should bear us down that there be three, Saint Paul has doom'd him twice, Let him be accurs'd, for Christ hath pronounc'd that no tittle of his Word shall fall to the ground; and if one jot be alterable, it is as possible that all should perish: and this shall be our Righteousness, our ample warrant, and strong affurance both now, and at the last day never to be asham'd of, against all the heaped names of Angels, and Martyrs, Councils, and Fathers urg'd upon us, if we have given ourselves up to be taught by the pure, and living Precept of Goa's Word only; which without more additions, nay with a forbidding of them, bath within itself the promife of Eternal Life, the end of all our wearifome Labours, and all our fustaining Hopes. But if any shall strive to set up his Ephod, and Teraphine of Antiquity against the brightness and perfection of the Gospel; let him sear left he and his Baal be turn'd into Bosheth. And thus much may suffice to shew that the pretended Episcopacy cannot be deduc'd from the Apostolicas TIMES.

Reason of Church-Governmen T

Urg'd against

PRELATY.

In TWO BOOKS.

The PREFACE.

N the publishing of human Laws, which for the most part aim not beyond the good of civil Society, to fet them barely forth to the People without reafon or preface, like a phyfical Prefcript, or only with threatnings, as it were a lordly Command, in the judgment of Plato was thought to be done neither generously nor wifely. His advice was, feeing that perfuafion certainly is a more winning, and more manlike way to keep Men in obedience than fear, that to fuch Laws as were of principal moment, there should be us'd as an induction, some well-temper'd discourse, shewing how good, how gainful, how happy it must needs be to live according to honesty and justice; which being utter'd with those native colours and graces of fpeech, as true eloquence, the daughter of virtue, can best bestow upon her mother's praifes, would so incite, and in a manner charm the multitude into the love of that which is really good, as to embrace it ever after, not of custom and awe, which most Mendo, but of choice and purpose, with true and constant delight. But this practice we may learn from a better and more ancient authority than any heathen writer hath to give us; and indeed being a point of so high wishom and worth, how could it be but we should find it in that Book, within whose facred context all wisdom is infolded? Moses therelore the only Lawgiver that we can believe to have been visibly taught of God, knowing how vain it was to write Laws to Men whose hearts were not first feafon'd with the knowledge of God and of his works, began from the book of Genefis, as a prologue to his Laws; which Josephus right well hath noted: That the nation of the Jews, reading therin the univerfal goodness of God to all Creatures in the Creation, and his peculiar favour to them in his election of Abraham their ancestor, from whom they could derive fo many blessings upon themselves, might be mov'd to obey fincerely, by knowing so good a reason of their obedience. If then in the administration of civil Justice, and under the obicurity of Ceremonial Rites, fuch care was had by the wifest of the Heathen, and by Moses among the Jews, to instruct them at least in a general reason of that Government to which their subjection was required; how much more ought the Members of the Church under the Gofpel, feek to inform their understanding in the reason of that Government which the Church claims to have over them? especially for that the Church hath in her immediate cure those inner parts and affections of the mind where the seat of Reason is, having power to examine our spiritual knowledge, and to demand from us in God's behalf, a fervice entirely reasonable. But because about the manner and order of this Government,whether it ought to be Prefbyterial or Prelatical, fuch endless question, or rather uproar is arisen in this Land, as may be justly term'd what the Fever is to the Physicians, the eternal Reproach of our Divines; whilst other prosound Clerks of late greatly, as they conveive, to the advancement of Prelaty, are focurnefly meting out the Indian Processes fully Afia, to make good the prime Metropolis of Exhibition, as if tome of our

Prelates in all haste meant to change their Soil, and become Neighbours to the English Bishop of Chalcedon; and whilst good Breerwood as busily bestirs himself in our vulgar tongue, to divide precisely the three Patriarchates of Rome, Alexandria and Antioch; and whether to any of these England coth belong. I shall in the mean while not cease to hope, through the Mercy and Grace of Christ, the Head and Husband of his Church, that England shortly is to belong, neither to See Patriarchal, nor See Prelatical, but to the faithful feeding and disciplining of that ministerial Order, which the blessed Apostles constituted throughout the Churches; and this I shallessay to prove, can be no other than that of Presbyters and Deacons. And if any Manincline to think I undertake a task too difficult for my years, I trust, through the supreme inlightning asfistance far otherwise; for my years, be they few or many, what imports it? fo they bring reason, let that be look'd on: and for the task, from hence that the question in hand is so needful to be known at this time, chiefly by every meaner capacity, and contains in it the explication of many admirable and heavenly privileges reach'd out to us by the Gospel, I conclude the task must be easy: God having to this end ordain'd his Gospel to be the revelation of his power and wisdom in Christ Jesus. And this is one depth of his Wisdom, that he could so plainly reveal so great a measure of it to the gross distorted apprehension of decay'd mankind. Let others therfore dread and shun the Scriptures for their darkness, I shall wish I may deserve to be reckon'd among those who admire and dwell upon them for their clearness. And this feems to be the cause why in those places of holy Writ, wherin is treated of Church-Government, the Reasons therof are not formally and profestly set down, because to him that heeds attentively the drift and scope of Christian Profession, they easily imply themselves; which thing further to explain, having now prefac'd enough, I shall no longer defer.

CHAP. I.

That Church-Government is prescrib'd in the Gospel, and that to say otherwise is unsound.

HE first and greatest reason of Church-Government, we may securely, with the affent of many on the adverse new of with the affent of many on the adverse part, affirm to be, because we find it fo ordain'd and fet out to us by the appointment of God in the Scriptures; but whether this be Presbyterial, or Prelatical, it cannot be brought to the fcanning, until I have faid what is meet to fome who do not think it for the ease of their inconsequent Opinions, to grant that Church-Discipline is platform'd in the Bible, but that it is left to the discretion of Men. To this conceit of theirs I answer, that it is both unfound and untrue; for there is not that thing in the World of more grave and urgent importance throughout the whole Life of Man, than is Discipline. What need I instance? He that hath read with judgment, of Nations and Common-wealths, of Cities and Camps, of Peace and War, Sea and Land, will readily agree that the flourishing and decaying of all Civil Societies, all the moments and 'turnings of human Occasions are mov'd to and fro as upon the Axle of Discipline. So that whatfoever power or fway in mortal things weaker Men have attributed to Fortune, I durst with more confidence (the honour of Divine Providence ever fav'd) ascribe either to the vigour or the slackness of Discipline. Nor is there any fociable Perfection in this Life, Civil, or Sacred, that can be above Discipline; but she is that which with her musical cords preserves and holds all the parts therof together. Hence in those perfect Armies of Cyrus in Xenophon, and Scipio in the Roman Stories, the excellence of military Skill was esteem'd, not by the not needing, but by the readiest submitting to the Edicts of their Commander. And certainly Discipline is not only the removal of Diforder; but if any visible shape can be given to divine things, the very visible shape and image of Virtue, wherby she is not only seen in the regular gestures and motions of her heavenly Paces as she walks, but also makes the harmony of her Voice audible to mortal ears. Yea, the Angels themselves, in whom no disorder is fear'd, as the Apostle that saw them in a

Book I. urg'd against PRELATY.

his rapture describes, are distinguish'd and quaternion'd into their Celestial Princedoms, and Satrapies, according as God himfelf has writ his Imperial Decrees through the great Provinces of Heaven. The state also of the blesfed in Paradife, though never fo perfect, is not therfore left without Disci-pline, whose golden surveying Reed marks out and measures every Quarter and Circuit of New Jerusalem. Yet is it not to be conceived that those eternal Effluences of Sanctity and Love in the glorified Saints, should by this means be confin'd and cloy'd with repetition of that which is prescrib'd, but that our happiness may orb itself into a thousand vagancies of glory and delight, and with a kind of eccentrical Equation be, as it were, an invariable Planet of Joy and Felicity; how much less can we believe that God would leave his frail and feeble, tho' not less beloved Church here below, to the perpetual flumble of Conjecture and Diffurbance in this our dark Voyage, without the Card and Compass of Discipline? which is so hard to be of Man's making, that we may fee even in the guidance of a Civil State to worldly happiness, it is not for every learned, or every wife Man, though many of them confult in common, to invent or frame a Discipline : but if it be at all the work of Man, it must be of such a one as is a true knower of himself, and himself in whom Contemplation and Practice, Wit, Prudence, Fortitude, and Eloquence, muft be rarely met, both to comprehend the hidden causes of things, and span in his thoughts all the various effects that Passion or Complexion can work in Man's nature; and hereto must his hand be at defiance with Gain, and his heart in all Virtues heroic. So far is it from the ken of these wretched Projectors of ours, that beforaul their Pamphlets every day with new Forms of Government for our Church, And therfore all the ancient Lawgivers were either truly inspired, as Moses, or were such Men as with Authority enough might give it out to be so, as Minos, Lycurgus, Numa, because they wisely forethought that Men would never quietly fubmit to fuch a Discipline as had not more of God's hand in it than Man's. To come within the narrowness of Houshold-Government, observation will shew us many deep Counsellors of State and Judges do demean themselves incorruptly in the settled course of Affairs, and many worthy Preachers upright in their Lives, powerful in their Audience: but look upon either of these Men where they are left to their own disciplining at home, and you shall soon perceive, for all their single knowledge and uprightness, how deficient they are in the regulating of their own Family; not only in what may concern the virtuous and decent composure of their minds in their feveral places, but that which is of a lower and eafier performance, the right possessing of the outward Vessel, their Body, in Health or Sickness, Rest or Labour, Diet or Abstinence, wherby to render it more pliant to the Soul, and ufeful to the Common-wealth: which if Men were but as good to discipline themselves, as some are to tutor their Horses and Hawks, it could not be fo gross in most housholds. If then it appear so hard, and so little known how to govern a House well, which is thought of so easy discharge, and for every man's undertaking; what Skill of Man, what Wildom, what Parts can be fufficient to give Laws and Ordinances to the elect Houfhold of God? If we could imagine that he had left it at random without his provident and gracious ordering, who is he fo arrogant, fo prefumptuous, that durft dispose and guide the living Ark of the Holy Ghost, though he should find it wandring in the Field of Betlyhemesh, without the conscious warrant of some high Calling? But no protane Insolence can parallel that which our Prelates dare avouch, to drive outragiously, and shatter the holy Ark of the Church, not borne upon their shoulders with pains and labour in the Word, but drawn with rude Oxen their Officials, and their own brute Inventions. Let them make shews of reforming while they will, so long as the Church is mounted upon the Prelatical Cart, and not as it ought, between the hands of the Ministers, it will but shake and totter; and he that sets to his hand, though with a good intent to hinder the shogging of it, in this unlawful Waggonry wherin it rides, let him beware it be not fatal to him as it was to Uzza. Certainly if God be the Father of his Family the Church, wherin could be express that Name more, than in training it up under his own allwife and dear Oeconomy, not turning it loofe to the havock of Strangers and Wolves, that would ask no better plea than this to do in the Church of Christ, VOL. I.

whatever Humour, Faction, Policy, or licentious Will would prompt them to? Again, if Christ be the Church's Husband, expecting her to be presented before him a pure unspotted Virgin; in what could be shew his tender Love to her more, than in prefcribing his own ways, which he best knew would be to the improvement of her health and beauty, with much greater care doubtless than the Persian King could appoint for his Queen Esther, those maiden dietings and fet prescriptions of Baths and Odours, which may render her at last the more amiable to his eye? For of any Age or Sex, most unfitly may a Virgin be left to an uncertain and arbitrary Education. Yea, though she be well instructed, yet is she still under a more strait tuition, especially if betroth'd. In like manner the Church bearing the same refemblance, it were not reason to think she should be left destitute of that care which is as necessary and proper to her, as Instruction. For publick Preaching indeed is the Gift of the Spirit, working as best seems to his secret Will; but Discipline is the practic work of preaching directed and apply'd, as is most requisite, to particular Duty; without which it were all one to the benefit of Souls, as it would be to the cure of Bodies, if all the Physicians in London should get into the feveral Pulpits of the City, and assembling all the difeafed in every Parith, thould begin a learned Lecture of Pleurifies, Palfies, Lethargies, to which perhaps none there prefent were inclin'd; and fo without fo much as feeling one Pulfe, or giving the leaft order to any skilful Apothecary, should dismits them from time to time, some groaning, some languishing, some expiring, with this only charge, to look well to them-felves, and do as they hear. Of what excellence and necessity then Church-Discipline is, how beyond the faculty of Man to frame, and how dangerous to be left to Man's Invention, who would be every foot turning it to finister Ends; how properly also it is the Work of God as Father, and of Christ as Husband of the Church, we have by thus much heard.

CHAP. II.

That Church-Government is set down in Holy Scripture, and that to say otherwise is untrue.

A S therfore it is unfound to fay, that God hath not appointed any fet Government in his Church, so is it untrue. Of the time of the Law there can be no doubt; for to let pass the first Institution of Priests and Levites, which is too clear to be infifted upon, when the Temple came to be built, which in plain judgment could breed no effential change either in Religion, or in the Priestly Government; yet God, to shew how little he could endure that Men should be tampering and contriving in his Worship, though in things of less regard, gave to David for Solomon, not only a pattern and model of the Temple, but a direction for the courses of the Priests and Levites, and for all the work of their Service. At the return from the Captivity, things were only restor'd after the Ordinance of Moses and David; or if the least alteration be to be found, they had with them inspired Men, Prophets; and it were not fober to fay they did aught of moment without divine Intimation. In the Prophecy of Ezekiel, from the 40th Chapter onward, after the destruction of the Temple, God by his Prophet feeking to wean the hearts of the Jews from their old Law, to expect a new and more perfect Reformation under Christ, sets out before their eyes the stately Fabric and Constitution of his Church, with all the Ecclehastical Functions appertaining: indeed the Description is as forted best to the apprehension of those times, typical and shadowy, but in such manner as never yet came to pass, nor never must literally, unless we mean to annihilate the Gospel. But so exquisite and lively the description is in pourtraying the new state of the Church, and especially in those points where Government seems to be most active, that both Jews and Gentiles might have good cause to be affur'd, that God, whenever he meant to reform his Church, never intended to leave the Government therof delineated here in fuch curious Architecture, to be patch'd afterwards, and var-

with'd over with the devices and imbellishings of Man's Imagination. God take fuch delight in measuring out the Pillars, Arches, and Doors of a material Temple? Was he fo punctual and circumfpect in Lavers, Altars, and Sacrifices foon after to be abrogated, left any of these should have bin made contrary to his mind? Is not a far more perfect work, more agreeable to his perfection in the most perfect state of the Church Militant, the new Alliance of God to Man? Should not he rather now by his own prescribed Difcipline have cast his Line and Level upon the Soul of Man which is his rational Temple, and by the divine Square and Compass therof, form and regenerate in us the lovely shapes of Virtues and Graces, the sooner to edity and accomplish that immortal stature of Christ's Body, which is his Church, in all her glorious Lineaments and Proportions? And that this indeed God hath done for us in the Gospel we shall see with open eyes, not under a Vail. We may passover the History of the Acts and other places, turning only to those Fpiftles of St. Faul to Timothy and Titus; where the spiritual eye may discern more goodly and gracefully crected, than all the magnificence of Temple or Tabernacle, fuch a heavenly Structure of Evangelic Discipline, so diffusive of Knowledge and Charity to the prosperous increase and growth of the Church, that it cannot be wonder'd if that elegant and artful Symmetry of the promifed new Temple in Ezekiel, and all those sumptuous things under the Law were made to fignify the inward beauty and splendor of the Christian Church thus govern'd. And whether this be commanded, let it now be judg'd. St. Paul after his Preface to the first of Timothy, which he concludes in the 17th Verle with Amen, enters upon the subject of his Epistle, which is to establish the Caurch-Government, with a command: This charge I commit to thre, Son Timethy; according to the Prophecies which went before on thee, that thou by them mightest war a good Warfare. Which is plain enough thus expounded: This charge I commit to thee, wherin I now go about to instruct thee how thou shalt set up Church-discipline, that thou mightest war a good Warfare, bearing thyfelf conftantly and faithfully in the Ministry, which in the Ist to the Corintbians is also called a Warfare; and so after a kind of Parenthefis concerning Hymenæus, he returns to his command, though under the mild word of Exhorting, Chap. 2. ver. 1. I exhort therfore; as if he had interrupted his former command by the occasional mention of Hymenieus. More beneath in the 14th verse of the 3d Chapter, when he hath delivered the Duties of Bishops or Presbyters, and Deacons, not once naming any other Order in the Church, he thus adds; Thefe things write I unto thee, hoping to come unto thee shortly (such necessity it seems there was) but if I tarry long, that thou mayest know how thou oughtest to behave thyself in the House of God. From this place it may be justly ask'd, whether Timothy by this here written, might know what was to be known concerning the Orders of Church-Governours or no? If he might, then in fuch a clear Text as this may we know too without further jangle; if he might not, then did St. Paul write infufficiently, and moreover faid not true, for he faith here he might know; and Iperfuade myfelf he did know ere this was written, but that the Apoftle had more regard to the inftruction of us, than to the informing of him. In the fifth Chapter, after some other Church-Precepts concerning Discipline, mark what a dreadful Command follows, Ver. 1. I charge thee before God and the Lord Jesus Christ, and the elect Angels, that thou observe these things. And as if all were not yet fure enough, he closes up the Epitle with an adjuring charge thus; I give thee charge in the fight of God, who quickneth all things, and before Christ Jesus, that thoukeep this commandment: that is, the whole Commandment concerning Discipline, being the main purpose of the Epistle: although Hooker would feign have this denouncement refer'd to the particular Precept going before, because the word Commandment is in the singular number, not remembring that even in the first Chapter of this Epistle, the word Commandment is us'd in a plural Sense, Ver. 5. Now the end of the Commandment is Charity: And what more frequent than in like manner to fay the Law of Mofes? So that either to refrain the fignificance too much, or too much to inlarge it, would make the Adjuration either not fo weighty, or not fo pertinent. And thus we find here that the Rules of Church-difcipline are not only commanded, but hedg'd about with fuch a terrible impalement of Commands. Vol. I.

as he that will break through wilfully to violate the least of them, must hazard the wounding of his Conscience even to death. Yet all this notwithftanding, we shall find them broken well nigh all by the fair pretenders even of the next Ages. No less to the contempt of him whom they seign to be the Arch-sounder of Prelaty, St. Peter, who by what he writes in the 5th Chapter of his first Epistle, should seem to be far another Man than Tradition reports him: there he commits to the Presbyters only full Authority, both of feeding the Flock, and Episcopating; and commands that obedience be given to them as to the mighty hand of God, which is his mighty Ordinance. Yet all this was as nothing to repel the ventrous boldness of Innovation that ensu'd, changing the Decrees of God that are immutable, as if they had bin breath'd by Man. Nevertheless when Christ, by those Visions of St. John, breath'd by Man. foreshews the Reformation of his Church, he bids them take his Reed, and mete it out again after the first Pattern, for he prescribes him no other. Arise, said the Angel, and measure the Temple of God, and the Altar, and them that worship therin. What is there in the World can measure Men but Discipline? Our word Ruling imports no less. Doctrine indeed is the measure, or at least the reason of the measure, it's true; but unless the measure be applied to that which it is to measure, how can it actually do its proper work? Whether therfore Discipline be all one with Doctrine, or the particular Application therof to this or that Person, we all agree that Doctrine must be such only as is commanded; or whether it be something really differing from Doctrine, yet was it only of God's appointment, as being the most adequate measure of the Church and her Children, which is here the Office of a great Evangelist, and the Reed given him from Heaven. But that part of the Temple which is not thus measur'd, so far is it from being in God's tuition or delight, that in the following Verse he rejects it; however, in shew and visibility it may feem a part of his Church, yet in as much as it lies thus unmeasur'd, he leaves it to be trampl'd by the Gentiles; that is, to be polluted with idolatrous and Gentilish Rites and Ceremonies. And that the principal Reformation here foretold, is already come to pass, as well in Discipline as in Doctrine, the state of our neighbour Churches afford us to behold. Thus through all the periods and changes of the Church, it hath been prov'd that God hath still reserved to himself the right of enacting Church-Government.

CHAP. III.

That it is dangerous and unworthy the Gospel, to hold that Church-Government is to be pattern'd by the Law, as Bishop Andrews and the Primate of Armagh maintain.

WE may return now from this interpoling difficulty thus remov'd, to affirm, that fince Church-Government is fo ftrictly commanded in God's Word, the first and greatest reason why we should submit thereto, is because God hath so commanded. But whether of these two, Prelaty, or Presbytery can prove itself to be supported by this first and greatest reason, must be the next dispute: Wherin this Position is to be first laid down, as granted; that I may not follow a Chafe rather than an Argument, that one of these two, and none other, is of God's ordaining; and if it be, that Ordinance must be evident in the Gospel. For the imperfect and obscure Institution of the Law, which the Apostles themselves doubt not oft-times to vilify, cannot give Rules to the compleat and glorious Ministration of the Gospel, which looks on the Law as on a Child, not as on a Tutor. And that the Prelates have no fure foundation in the Gospel, their own guiltiness doth manifest; they would not else run questing up as high as Adam to setch their Original, as'tis faid one of them lately did in public. To which affertion, had I heard it, because I see they are so insatiable of Antiquity, I should have gladly affented, and confest them yet more ancient: For Lucifer before Adam,

was the first Prelate Angel; and both he, as is commonly thought, and our forefather Alam, as we all know, for afpiring above their Orders, were miferably degraded. But others better advis'd, are content to receive their beginning from Asron and his Sons, among whom Bishop Andrews of late years; and in these times the Primate of Armagh, for their learning, are reputed the best able to say what may be said in this Opinion. The Primate in his discourse about the original of Episcopacy newly revis'd, begins thus: The ground of Episcopacy is setch'd partly from the pattern prescribed by God in the Old Testament, and partly from the imitation thereof brought in by the Apostles. Herin I must entreat to be excus'd of the defire I have to be fatilfy'd, how for example the ground of Epifcopacy is fetch'd partly from the example of the Old Testament, by whom next, and by whose Authority. Secondly, how the Church-Government under the Gospel, can be rightly call'd an imitation of that in the Old Testament; for that the Gospel is the end and fulfilling of the Law, our liberty also from the Bondage of the Law, I plainly read. How then theripe age of the Gospel should be put to school again, and learn to govern herself from the infancy of the Law, the stronger to imitate the weaker, the Freeman to follow the Captive, the learned to be leffon'd by the rude, will be a hard undertaking to evince from any of those principles which either Art or Inspiration hath written. If any thing done by the Apostles may be drawn howfoever to a likeness of something Mosaical, if it cannot be prov'd that it was done of purpose in imitation, as having the right therof grounded in Nature, and not in Ceremony or Type, it will little avail the matter. The whole Judaic Law is either political, and to take pat tern by that, no Christian Nation ever thought itself obliged in Conscience; or moral, which contains in it the observation of whatsoever is substantially, and perpetually true and good, either in Religion, or Course of Life. That which is thus Moral, besides what we fetch from those unwritten Laws and Ideas which Nature hath ingraven in us, the Gospel, as stands with her dignity most, lectures to us from her own authentic hand-writing and command, not copies out from the borrow'd Manuscript of a subservient scrowl, by way of imitating: As well might she be said in her Sacrament of Water, to imitate the Baptism of John. What though she retain Excommunication us'd in the Synagogue, retain the morality of the Sabbath? fhe does not therfore imitate the Law her underling, but perfect her. All that was morally deliver'd from the Law to the Gospel, in the Office of the Priests and Levites, was, that there should be a Ministry set apart to teach and discipline the Church; both which Duties the Apostles thought good to commit to the Presbyters. And if any distinction of Honour were to be made among them, they directed it should be to those not that only rule well, but especially to those that labour in the Word and Doctrine. By which we I Tim. 5. are taught, that laborious teaching is the most honourable Prelaty that one Minister can have above another in the Gospel: If therfore the Superiority of Bishopship be grounded on the Priesthood as a part of the Moral Law, it cannot be faid to be an Imitation; for It were ridiculous that Morality should imitate Morality, which ever was the fame thing. This very word of patterning or imitating, excludes Epifcopacy from the folid and grave Ethical Law, and betrays it to be a mere Child of Ceremony, or likelier fome mifbegotten thing, that having pluckt the gay Feathers of her obfolete bravery, to hide her own deformed barrennefs, now vaunts and glories in her ftolen Plumes. In the mean while, what danger there is against the very Life of the Gospel, to make in any thing the Typical Lawher Pattern, and how impossible in that which touches the Priestly Government, I shall use such light as I have receiv'd, to lay open. It cannot be unknown by what Expressions the holy Apostle St. Paul spares not to explain to us the nature and condition of the Law, calling those Ordinances which were the chief and effential Offices of the Priests, the Elements and Rudiments of the World, both weak and beggarly. Now to breed, and bring up the Children of the Promife, the Heirs of Liberty and Grace, under fuch a kind of Government as is profest to be but an imitation of that Ministry which engender'd to bondage the sons of Agar; how can this be but a foul injury and derogation, if not a cancelling of that Birth-right and immunity which Christ hath purchas'd for us

with his blood? For the ministration of the Law confisting of carnal things, drew to it such a Ministry as confisted of carnal respects, dignity, precedence, and the like. And fuch a Ministry establish'd in the Gospel, as is founded upon the points and terms of superiority, and nests itself in worldly honours, will draw to it, and we fee it doth, fuch a Religion as runs back again to the old pomp and glory of the flesh: For doubtless there is a certain attraction and magnetick force betwixt the Religion and the ministerial Form therof. If the Religion be pure, spiritual, simple and lowly, as the Gospel most truly is, such must the face of the Ministry be. And in like manner if the Form of the ministry be grounded in the worldly degrees of Authority, Honour, temporal Jurisdiction, we see with our eyes it will turn the inward power and purity of the Gospel into the outward carnality of the Law; evaporating and exhaling the internal worship into empty conformities, and And what remains then but that we should run into as dangerous and deadly Apostacy as our lamentable neighbours the Papists, who by this very fnare and pitfall of imitating the Ceremonial Law, fell into that irrecoverable Superstition, as must needs make void the Covenant of Salvation to them that perfift in this blindness?

CHAP. IV.

That it is impossible to make the Priesthood of Aaron a pattern whereon to ground Episcopacy.

HAT which was promis'd next, is to declare the impossibility of grounding Evangelic Government in the imitation of the Jewish Priesthood; which will be done by confidering both the Quality of the Persons, and the Office itself. Aaron and his Sons were the Princes of their Tribe before Office itself. they were fanctify'd to the Priesthood: that personal eminence which they held above the other Levites, they receiv'd not only from their Office, but partly brought it into their Office; and so from that time forward the Priests were not chosen out of the whole number of the Levites, as our Bishops, but were born inheritors of the dignity. Therfore unlets we shall chuse our Prelates only out of the Nobility, and let them run in a blood, there can be no possible imitation of Lording over their Brethren in regard of their perfons altogether unlike. As for the Office, which was a Representation of Christ's own Person more immediately in the High-Priest, and of his whole Priestly Office in all the other, to the performance of which the Levites were but as Servitors and Deacons, it was necessary there should be a distinction of dignity between two Functions of fo great odds. But there being no fuch difference among our Ministers, unless it be in reference to the Deacons, it is impossible to found a Prelaty upon the imitation of this Priesthood: For wherin, or in what work is the Office of a Prelate excellent above that of a Pastor? In Ordination, you'll say, but flatly against Scripture; for there we know Timothy receiv'd Ordination by the hands of the Presbytery, notwithstanding all the vain delusions that are us'd to evade that Testimony, and maintain an unwarrantable Usurpation. But wherfore should Ordination be a cause of setting up a superior degree in the Church? Is not that wherby Christ became our Saviour a higher and greater work, than that wherby he did ordain Messengers to preach and publish him our Saviour? Every Minister fustains the Person of Christ in his highest work of communicating to us the Mysteries of our Salvation, and hath the power of binding and abfolving; how should he need a higher dignity to represent or execute that which is an inferior work in Christ? Why should the performance of Ordination, which is a lower Office, exalt a Prelate, and not the feldom discharge of a higher and more noble Office, which is preaching and administring, much rather depress him? Verily, neither the nature, nor the example of Ordination doth any way require an imparity between the Ordainer and the Ordained: For what more natural than every like to produce his like, Man to beget Man, Fire to propagate Fire? And in examples of highest opinion the Ordainer is inferior to the Ordained; for the Pope is not made by the precedent Pope, but by Cardinals, who ordain and confectate to a higher and greater Office than their own.

CHAP. V.

To the Arguments of Bishop Andrews, and the Primate.

IT follows here to attend to certain objections in a little Treatife lately printed among others of like fort at Oxford, and in the Title faid to be out of the rude draughts of Bishop Andrews: And surely they be rude draughts indeed, in so much that it is marvel to think what his Friends meant to let come abroad fuch shallow reasonings with the name of a Man so much bruited for learning. In the 12 and 23 Pages he feems most notoriously inconstant to himself; for in the former place he tells us he forbears to take any argument of Prelaty from Aaron, as being the type of Christ. In the latter he can forbear no longer, but repents him of his rash gratuity, affirming, that to fay, Christ being come in the Flesh, his figure in the High-Priest ceaseth, is the shift of an Anabaptist; and stiffly argues, that Christ being as well King as Priest, was as well fore-resembled by the Kings then, as by the High-Priest: So that if his coming take away the one Type, it must also the other. Marvellous piece of Divinity! and well worth that the Land should pay fix thousand pounds a year for, in a Bishoprick; although I read of no Sophister among the Greeks that was fo dear, neither Hippias nor Protagoras, nor any whom the Socratic School famoufly refuted without hire. Here we have the type of the King sew'd to the typet of the Bishop, futt'ly to cast a jealoufy upon the Crown, as if the right of Kings, like Meleager in the Metamorphofis, were no longer-liv'd than the firebrand of Prelaty. But more likely the Prelates fearing (for their own guilty carriage protests they do fear) that their fair days cannot long hold, practife by possessing the King with this most false doctrine, to engage his power for them, as in his own quarrel, that when they fall they may fall in a general ruin, just as cruel Tiberius would wish,

When I die, let the Earth be roll'd in Flames.

But where, O Bishop, doth the purpose of the Law set forth Christ to us as a King? That which never was intended in the Law, can never be abolish'd as part therof. When the Law was made, there was no King: if before the Law, or under the Law, God by a special type in any King would fore-fignify the future Kingdom of Christ, which is not yet visibly come; what was that to the Law? The whole ceremonial Law and Types can be in no Law else, comprehends nothing but the propitiatory Office of Christ's Priefthood, which being in substance accomplished, both Law and Priefthood fades away of itfelf, and passes into air like a transitory vision, and the Right of Kings neither stands by any Type nor falls. We acknowledge that the civil Magistrate wears an Authority of God's giving, and ought to be obey'd as his Vicegerent. But to make a King a Type, we fay is an abufive and unskilful speech, and of a moral solidity makes it seem a ceremonial shadow: therfore your typical chain of King and Priest must unlink. But is not the type of Priest taken away by Christ's coming? No, saith this samous Proteftant Bishop of Winchester, it is not; and he that saith it is, is an Anabaptist. What think ye, Readers, do ye not understand him? What can be gather'd hence, but that the Prelate would still facrifice? Conceive him, Readers, he would miffificate. Their Altars indeed were in a fair forwardness; and by such arguments as these they were setting up the molten Calf of their Mass again, and of their great Hierarch the Pope. For if the Type of Priest be not taken away, then neither of the High-priest, it were a strange beheading; and High-priest more than one there cannot be, and that one can be no less

than

than a Pope. And this doubtless was the bent of his career, though never fo covertly. Yea, but there was something else in the High-Priest besides the fo covertly. figure, as is plain by St. Paul's acknowledging him. 'Tistrue, that in the 17th of Deut, whence this authority arises to the Priest in matters too hard for the fecular Judges, as must needs be many in the occasions of those times, involv'd fo with ceremonial Niceties, no wonder though it be commanded to enquire at the mouth of the Priests, who besides the Magistrates their Collegues, had the Oracle of Urim to confult with. And whether the High-Priest Ananias had not increach'd beyond the limits of his Priestly Authority, or whether us'd it rightly, was no time then for St. Paul to contest about. But if this instance be able to affert any right of jurifdiction to the Clergy, it must impart it in common to all Ministers, fince it were a great folly to feek for Counsel in a hard intricate scruple from a Dunce Prelate, when there might be found a speedier solution from a grave and learned Minister, whom God hath gifted with the judgment of Urim more amply oft-times than all the Prelates together, and now in the Gospel hath granted the privilege of this oraculous Ephod alike to all his Ministers. The reason therfore of imparity in the Priefts, being now, as is aforefaid, really annuil'd both in their Perfon, and in their representative Office, what right of jurisdiction soever can be from this place levitically bequeath'd, must descend upon the Ministers of the Gospel equally, as it finds them in all other points equal. Well then, he is finally content to let Aaron go; Eleazar will ferve his turn, as being a Suprrior of Superiors, and yet no type of Christ in Aaron's life-time. O thou that would'st wind into any Figment, or Phantasm, to save thy Miter! yet all this will not fadge, though it be cunningly interpolish'd by some second hand with Crooks and Emendations: Here then, the type of Christ in some one particular, as of entring yearly into the Holy of Fiolies, and fuch like, rested upon the High-priest only as more immediately personating our Saviour: but to resemble his whole satisfactory Office, all the lineage of Aaron was no more than sufficient. And all, or any of the Priests consider'd separately without relation to the highest, are but as a lifeless trunk, and signify nothing this shews the excellence of Christ's Sacrifice, who at once and in one Person fulfill'd that which many hundreds of Priests many times repeating had enough to foreshew. What other imparity there was among themselves, we may fafely suppose it depended on the dignity of their Birth and Family, together with the circumstances of a carnal Service, which might afford many Priorities. And this I take to be the fum of what the Bishop had laid together to make plea for Prelaty by imitation of the Law: Though indeed, if it may stand, it will infer Popedom all as well. Many other courses he tries, enforcing himself with much ostentation of endless Genealogies, as it he were the Man that St. Paul forewarns us of in Timothy, but so unvigorously, that I do not fear his winning of many to his Caufe, but fuch as doting upon great names are either over-weak, or over-fudden of Faith. I shall not refuse therfore to learn fo much prudence as I find in the Roman Soldier that attended the Crofs, not to stand breaking of legs, when the breath is quite out of the Body, but pass to that which follows. The Primate of Armagh at the beginning of his Tractate feeks to avail himself of that place in the 66th of Isaiah, I will take of them for Priests and Levites, faith the Lord, to uphold hereby fuch a form of Superiority among the Ministers of the Gospel, fucceeding those in the Law, as the Lord's-day did the Sabbath. But certain if this method may be admitted of interpreting those prophetical passages concerning Christian times in a punctual correspondence, it may with equal probability be urg'd upon us, that we are bound to observe some monthly Solemnity answerable to the New Moons, as well as the Lord's-day which we keep in lieu of the Sabbath: for in the 23d verse the Prophet joins them in the fame manner together, as before he did the Priests and Levites, thus. And it shall come to pass that from one New Moon to another, and from one Sabbath to another, shall all flesh come to worship before me, saith the Lord. Undoubtedly with as good confequence may it be alledg'd from hence, that we are to iolemnize fome religious monthly meeting different from the Sabbath, as from the other any diffinct formality of Ecclefiaftical Orders may be inferr'd. This rather will appear to be the lawful and unconftrain'd sense of the Text, that

that God in taking of them for Priesls and Levites, will not esteem them unworthy, though Gentiles, to undergo any function in the Church, but will make of them a full and perfect Ministry, as was that of the Priests and Levites in their kind. And Bishop Andrews himself, to end the controversy, sends us a candid Exposition of this quoted verse from the 24th page of his faid book, plainly deciding that God by those legal names there of Priests and Levites means our Presbyters and Deacons; for which either ingenuous confession, or slip of his pen, we give him thanks, and withal to him that brought these Treatises into one volume, who setting the contradictions of two learned Men to near together, did not foresee. What other deducements or analogies are cited out of St. Paul to prove a likenefs between the Ministers of the Old and New Testament, having try'd their snews, I judge they may pass without harm-doing do our Cause. We may remember then that Prelaty neither hath nor can have foundation in the Law, nor yet in the Gospel; which affertion as being for the plainness therof a matter of eye-fight, rather than of disquisition, I voluntarily omit, not forgetting to specify this rote again, that the earnest defire which the Prelates have to build their Hierarchy upon the fandy bottom of the Law, gives us to fee abundantly the little affurance which they find to rear up their high roofs by the authority of the Gofpel, repuls'd as it were from the writings of the Apostles, and driven to take fanctuary among the Jews. Hence that open confession of the Primate before mention'd; Episcopacy is setch'd partly from the pattern of the Old Tetlament, and partly from the New as an imitation of the Old; though nothing can be more rotten in Divinity than such a position as this, and is all one as to fay, Epifcopacy is partly of divine institution, and partly of man's own carving. For who gave the authority to fetch more from the pattern of the Law than what the Apostles had already fetcht, if they fetcht any thing at all, as hath been prov'd they did not? So was Jercheam's Epifcopacy partly from the pattern of the Law, and partly from the pattern of his own Carnality; a parti-colour'd and a parti-member'd Episcopacy: and what can this be less than a monstrous? Others therfore among the Prelates, perhaps not fo well able to brook, or rather to justify this foul relapsing to the old Law, have condefcended at last to a plain confessing that both the names and offices of Bishops and Presbyters at first were the same, and in the Scriptures no where distinguish'd. This grants the Remonstrant in the fifth Section of his defence, and in the Preface to his last short answer. But what need respect be had whether he grant or grant it not, whenas through all Antiquity, and even in the loftieft times of Prelaty, we find it granted? ferome the learned'st of the Fathers hides not his opinion, that Custom only, which the Proverb calls a Tyrant, was the maker of Prelaty; before his audacious workmanship the Churches were rul'd in common by the Presbyters: and fuch a certain truth this was esteem'd, that it became a Decree among the Papal Canons compiled by Gratian. Anselm also of Canterbury, who to uphold the points of his Prelatifin made himself a traytor to his Country, yet commenting the Epiftles to Titus and the Philippians, acknowledges from the clearness of the Text, what Jerome and the Church-Rubric hath before acknowledg'd. He little dreamt then that the weeding-hook of Reformation would after two ages pluck up his glorious poppy from infulting over the good corn. Though fince some of our British Prelates, seeing themselves prest to produce Scripture, try all their cunning, if the New Testament will not help them, to frame of their own heads as it were with wax a kind of Mimic Bifhop limm'd out to the life of a dead Priesthood: Or else they would strain us out a certain figurative Prelate, by wringing the collective allegory of those seven Angels into seven single Rochets. Howsoever, fince it thus appears that custom was the creator of Prelaty, being less ancient than the government of Presbyters, it is an extreme folly to give them the hearing that tell us of Bilhops through fo many ages; and if against their tedious muster of Citations, Sees, and Successions, it be reply'd that wagers and Church-antiquities, fuch as are repugnant to the plain dictate of Scripture, are both alike the arguments of fools, they have their answer. We rather are to cite all those ages to an arraignment before the Word of God, wherfore, and what pretending, how prefuming they durft after that divine Institution Vol. I.

of Presbyters, which the Apostles who were no various and inconstant men furely had set up in the Churches; and why they chuse to live by custom and catalogue, or as St. Paul saith by sight and visibility, rather than by saith? But first I conclude from their own mouths, that God's command in Scripture, which doubtless ought to be the first and greatest reason of Church-government is wanting to Prelaty. And certainly we have plenteous warrant in the doctrine of Christ to determine that the want of this reason is of it felf sufficient to consulte all other pretences that may be brought in savour of it.

CHAP. VI.

That Prelaty was not set up for prevention of Schism, as is pretended; or if it were, that it performs not what it was first set up for, but quite the contrary.

ET because it hath the outside of a specious reason, and specious things we know are aprest to work with human limits. we know are aptest to work with human lightness and frailty, even against the solidest truth that sounds not plausibly, let us think it worth the examining for the love of infirmer Christians, of what importance this their fecond reason may be. Tradition they say hath taught them, that for the prevention of growing Schisin, the Bishop was heav'd above the Presbyter. And must Tradition then ever thus to the world's end be the perpetual canker-worm to eat out God's Commandments? Are his Decrees fo inconfiderate and fo fickle, that when the Statutes of Solon or Lycurgus shall prove durably good to many ages, his in forty years shall be found defective, ill-contriv'd, and for needful causes to be alter'd? Our Saviour and his Apostles did not only foresee, but foretel and forewarn us to look for Schism. Is it a thing to be imagin'd of God's wisdom, or at least of Apostolic prudence, to set up such a Government in the tenderness of a Church, as should incline, or not be more able than any others to oppose itself to Schism? it was well known what a bold lurker Schism was, even in the houshold of Christ between his own Disciples and those of John the Baptist about fasting: and early in the Acts of the Apostles the noise of Schism had almost drown'd the proclaiming of the Gospel; yet we read not in Scripture that any thought was had of making Prelates, no not in those places where diffension was most rife. If Prelaty had been then esteem'd a remedy against Schism, where was it more needful than in that great variance among the Corinthians which St. Paul fo labour'd to reconcile? and whose eye could have found the fittest remedy sooner than his? and what could have made the remedy more available, than to have us'd it fpeedily? And lastly, what could have bin more necessary than to have written it for our instruction? yet we see he neither commended it to us, nor us'd it himself. For the same division remaining there, or else bursting forth again more than twenty years after St. Paul's death, we find in Clement's Epiftle of venerable Authority, written to the yet factious Corinthians, that they were still govern'd by Presbyters. And the same of other Churches out of Hermas, and divers other the scholars of the Apostles, by the late industry of the learned Salmafius appears. Neither yet did this worthy Clement, St. Paul's Difciple, though writing to them to lay afide Schifm, in the leaft word advise them to change the Presbyterian Government into Prelaty. And therfore if God afterward gave or permitted this infurrection of Episcopacy, it is to be fear'd With fo good a will he did it in his wrath, as he gave the *Ifraelites* a King. doth he use to alter his own chosen Government once establish'd. whether this rare device of man's brain, thus preferr'd before the Ordinance of God, had better fuccess than fleshly wisdom, not counselling with God, is wont to have. So far was it from removing Schisim, that if Schisim parted the Congregations before, now it rent and mangl'd, now it rag'd. Herefy begat Heh certain monftrous hafte of pregnancy in her birth, at once born and bringing forth. Contentions, before brotherly, were now hoffile. Mea went to choose their Bishop as they went to a pitcht field, and the day of his election was like the facking of a City, fometimes ended with the blood of thousands. Northis among Heretics only, but men of the same belief, yes Confessors; and that with such odious ambition, that Enfebrus in his eighth Book teffifies he abhorr'd to write. And the reason is not obscure, for the poor dignity, or rather burden, of a Parochial Prosbyter could not engage any great party, nor that to any deadly feud: but Prelaty was a power of that extent and fway, that if her election were popular, it was feldom not the cause of some faction or broil in the Church. But if her dignity came by favour of fome Prince, the was from that time his creature, and obnozious to comply with his ends in state, were they right or wrong. So that instead of finding Prelaty an impeacher of Schifm or Faction, the more I fearch, the more I grow into all perfuafion to think rather that faction and flie, as with a spoulal ring, are wedded together, never to be divorc'd. But here let every one behold the just and dreadful judgment of God meeting with the audacious pride of man, that durst offer to mend the Ordinances of Heaven. God out of the ftrife of men brought forth by his Apostles to the Church that beneficent and ever diftributing office of Deacons, the Stewards and Ministers of holy alms: Man, out of the pretended care of peace and unity, being caught in the fiare of his impious boldness to correct the will of Christ, brought forth to himself upon the Church that irreconcileable Schifm of Perdition and Apoffacy, the Roman Antichrift; for that the Exaltation of the Pope arofe out of the reafon of Prelaty, it cannot be deny'd. And as I noted before, that the pattern of the High-Priest pleaded for in the Gospel (for take away the head Priest, the rest are but a carcass) sets up with better reason a Pope than an Archbishop; for if Prelaty must still rise and rise 'till it come to a Primate, why should it stay there? whenas the Catholic Government is not to follow the division of Kingdoms, the Temple best representing the universal Church, and the High Priest the universal Head: so I observe here, that if to quiet Schism there must be one head of Prelaty in a Land, or Monarchy, rising from a provincial to a national Primacy, there may upon better grounds of repressing Schism be set up one Catholic Head over the Catholic Church. For the Peace and Good of the Church is not terminated in the schifmless estate of one or two Kingdoms, but should be provided for by the joint consultation of all reformed Christendom: that all controversy may end in the final pronounce or canon of one Arch-primate or Protestant Pope. Although by this means, for aught I fee, all the diameters of Schism may as well meet and be knit up in the center of one grand falfhood. Now let all impartial men arbitrate what goodly inference thefe two main reasons of the Prelates have, that by a natural league of consequence make more for the Pope than for themselves; yea, to say more home, are the very womb for a new Sub-antichrift to breed in, if it be not rather the old force and power of the fame man of fin counterfeiting Protestant. It was not the prevention of Schism, but it was Schism it felf, and the hateful thirst of Lording in the Church, that first bestow'd a being upon Prelaty; this was the true cause, but the pretence is still the same. The Prelates, as they would have it thought, are the only mawls of Schifm. Forfooth if they be put down, a deluge of innumerable Sects will follow; we shall be all Brownists, Familists, Anabiptists. For the word Puritan seems to be quaiht, and all that heretofore were counted fuch, are now Brownists. And thus do they raife an evil report upon the expected reforming Grace that God hath bid us hope for, like those faithless spies, whose carcasses shall perish in the wilderness of their own confused ignorance, and never taste the good of Reformation. Do they keep away Schifm? if to bring a numb and chill ftupidity of Soul, an unactive blindness of mind upon the people by their leaden Doctrine, or no Doctrine at all; if to perfecute all knowing and zealous Christians by the violence of their Courts, be to keep away Schism, they keep away Schism indeed: and by this kind of Discipline all Italy and Spain is as purely and politically kept from Schifm as England hath been by them. With as good a plea might the dead-palfy boaft to a man, 'tis I that free you from stitches and pains, and the troublesome sceling of cold and heat, of wounds and strokes; if I were gone, all these would molest you. The winter might Vol. I. H_2

as well vaunt it felf against the Spring, I destroy all noisome and rank weeds, I keep down all pettilent vapours; yes, and all wholefome herbs, and all fresh dews, by your violent and hide-bound troft: but when the gentle west winds fhall open the fruitful bosom of the Earth, thus over-girded by your imprisonment, then the flowers put forth and fpring, and then the Sun shall scatter the mists, and the manuring hand of the tiller shall root up all that burdens the foil without thank to your bondage. But far worse than any frozen captivity is the bondage of Prelates; for that other, if it keep down any thing which is good within the Earth, fo doth it likewise that which is ill; but these let out freely the ill, and keep down the good, or elfe keep down the leffer ill, and let out the greatest. Be asham'd at last to tell the Parlament, ye curb Schismaticks, whenas they know ye cherish and side with Papists, and are now as it were one party with them, and 'tis said they help to petition for ye. Can we believe that your Government strains in good earnest at the petty gnats of Schifm, whenas we fee it makes nothing to swallow the camel Herefy of Rome, but that indeed your Throats are of the right Pharifaical strain? Where are those Schismaticks with whom the Prelates hold such hot skirmish? shew us your Acts, those glorious Annals which your Courts of loathed memory lately deceas'd have left us? Those Schismaticks I doubt me will be found the most of them fuch as whose only Schism was to have spoke the truth against your high abominations and cruelties in the Church; this is the Schism ye hate most, the removal of your criminous Hierarchy. A politic Government of yours, and of a pleafant conceit, fet up to remove those as a pretended Schism, that would remove you as a palpable Herefy in Government. If the Schifm would pardon ye that, fhe might go jagg'd in as many cuts and flashes as she pleas'd for you. As for the rending of the Church, we have many reasons to think it is not that which ye labour to prevent, fo much as the rending of your pontifical fleeves: that Schifm would be the forest Schifm to you, that would be Brownism and Anabaptism indeed. If we go down, say you, as if Adrian's wall were broke, a flood of Sects will rush in. What Sects? What are their opinions? give us the Inventory; it will appear both by your former profecutions and your prefent inflances, that they are only fuch to speak of, as are offended with your lawless Government, your Ceremonies, your Liturgy, an extract of the Mass-book translated. But that they should be contemners of publick prayer, and Churches us'd without superstition, I trust God will manifest it e'er long to be as false a slander, as your former slanders against the Noise it'till ye be hoarse, that a rabble of Sects will come in; it will be answer'd ye, No rabble, Sir Priest, but a unanimous multitude of good Protestants will then join to the Church, which now because of you stand separated. This will be the dreadful confequence of your removal. As for those terrible names of Sectaries and Schismaticks which ye have got together, we know your manner of fight, when the quiver of your arguments, which is ever thin, and weakly ftor'd, after the first brunt is quite empty, your course is to betake ye to your other quiver of flander, wherin lies your best archery. And whom ye could not move by fophistical arguing, them you think to confute by feandalous mitnaming; therby inciting the blinder fort of people to millike and deride found Doctrine and good Christianity, under two or three vile and hateful terms. But if we could eafily endure and diffo've your doubtieft reasons in argument, we shall more easily bear the worst of your unreasonableness in calumny and false report: Especially being foretold by Christ, that if he our Master were by your predecessors call'd Samaritan and Belzebub, we must not think it strange if his best Disciples in the Reformation, as at first by those of your Tribe they were call'd Lollards and Hussites, fo now by you be term'd Puritans and Brownists. But my hope is, that the people of England will not fuffer themselves to be juggl'd thus out of their Faith and Religion by a mist of names cast before their eyes, but will fearch wifely by the Scriptures, and look quite through this fraudulent afperfion of a difgraceful name into the things themselves: knowing that the Primitive Chriftians in their times were accounted fuch as are now call'd Familijts and Adamites, or worfe. And many on the Prelatic fide, like the Church of Sardis, have a name to live, and yet are dead; to be Protestants, and are indeed Papifts in most of their Principles. Thus perfuaded, this your old fallacy we

shall soon unmask, and quickly apprehend how you prevent Schifm, and who are your Schismatics. But what if ye prevent and hinder all good means of preventing Schifm? That way which the Apossles us'd, was to call a Connei: from which by any thing that can be learnt from the fifteenth of the Ads, no faithful Christian was debarr'd, to whom knowledge and piety might give entrance. Of fuch a Council as this every parochial Confittory is a right homogeneous and conflitting part, being in it felf as it were a little Synod, and towards a general Affembly moving upon her own basis in an even and firm progression, as those smaller Squares in battel unite in one great Cube, the main Phalanx, an emblem of truth and ftedfattncfs. Wheras on the other fide Prelaty ascending by a gradual monarchy from Bishop to Archbishop, from thence to Primate, and from thence, for there can be no reason yielded neither in Nature, nor in Religion, wherfore, if it have lawfully mounted thus high, it should not be a Lordly Ascendant in the Horoscope of the Church, from Primate to Patriarch, and fo to Pope: I fay, Prelaty thus afcending in a continual pyramid upon pretence to perfect the Church's unity, if netwithftanding it be found most needful, yea the utmost help to dearn up the rents of Schism by calling a Council, what does it but teach us that Prelaty is of no force to effect this work which she boasts to be her master-piece; and that her pyramid aspires and sharpens to ambition, not to perfection or unity? This we know, that as often as any great Schiff difparts the Church, and Synods be proclaim'd, the Prefbyters have as great right there, and as free vote of old, as the Bishops, which the Canon-law conceals not. So that Pre'aty, if the will feek to close up divitions in the Church, must be fore'd to difforve and unmake her own pyramidal figure, which she affirms to be of such uniting power, whenas indeed it is the most dividing and schilmatical form that Geometricians know of, and must be fain to inglobe or incube her felfamong the Presbyters; which she hating to do, sends her haughty Prelates from all parts with their forked Miters, the badge of Schifm, or the stamp of his cloven foot whom they ferve I think, who according to their Hierarchies acuminating still higher and higher in a Cone of Prelaty, instead of healing up the gashes of the Church, as it happens in fuch pointed bodies meeting, fall to gore one another with their tharp spires for upper place and precedence, 'till the Council it felf proves the greatest Schism of all. And thus they are so far from hindring differsion, that they have made unprofitable, and even noisome, the chiefest ramedy we have to keep Christendom at one, which is by Councils: and these, if we rightly confider Apostolic example, are nothing else but general Prefbyteries. This feem'd fo far from the Apostles to think much of, as if hereby their dignity were impaired, that, as we may gather by those Epistles of Peter and John, which are likely to be latest written, when the Church grew to a fettling, like those heroic Patricians of Rome (if we may use such comparifon) hasting to lay down their Dictatorship, they rejoic'd to call themselves, and to be as Fellow-elders among their Brethren; knowing that their high office was but as the fcaffolding of the Church yet unbuilt, and would be but a troublefome disfigurement, fo foon as the building was finish'd. But the lofty minds of an age or two after, fuch was their finall differning, thought it a poor indignity, that the high-rear'd Government of the Charch should so on a fudden, as it seem'd to them, squat into a Presbytery. Next, or rather before Councils, the timeliest prevention of Schism is to preach the Gospel abandantly and powerfully throughout all the Land, to instruct the Youth religiously, to endeavour how the Scriptures may be easiest understood by all men; to all which the proceedings of thefe men have been on fet purpose contrary. But how, O Prelates, should you remove Schisin? and how should you not remove and oppose all the means of removing Schilm? when Frelaty is a Schism itself from the most reformed and most flourithing of our neighbour Churches abroad, and a fad subject of discord and offence to the whole nation at home. The remedy which you alledge, is the very difeafe we groan under; and never can be to us a remedy but by removing itself. Your predeceffors were believ'd to affume this pre-eminence above their brethren, only that they might appeare diffension. Now God and the Church calls upon you, for the same reason, to lay it down, as being to thousands of good men ollen-Live, burdenforme, intolerable. Surrender that pledge, which, unless you foully

usurpt it, the Church gave you, and now claims it again, for the reason she first lent it. Discharge the trust committed to you, prevent Schism; and that ye can never do, but by discharging your selves. That Government which ye hold, we conseis, prevents much, hinders much, removes much; but what? the Schisms and Grievances of the Church? no, but all the peace and unity, all the welfare not of the Church alone, but of the whole King-And if it be ftill permitted ye to hold, will cause the most sad, I know not whether separation be enough to fay, but such a wide gulph of distraction in this Land, as will never close her difinal gap until ye be forc'd (for of your felves ye will never do as that Roman Curtius nobly did) for the Church's peace and your Country's, to leap into the midft, and be no more feen. By this we shall know whether yours be that ancient Prelaty which you say was first constituted for the reducement of quiet and unanimity into the Church, for then you will not delay to prefer that above your own preferment. If otherwise, we must be consident that your Prelaty is nothing else but your ambition, an infolent preferring of your felves above your brethren; and all your learned fcraping in antiquity, even to difturb the bones of old Aaron and his fons in their graves, is but to maintain and fet upon our necks a stately and fevere dignity, which you call facred, and is nothing in very deed but a grave and reverent gluttony, a fanctimonious avarice; in comparison of which, all the duties and dearnesses which ye owe to God or to his Church, to Law, Custom, or Nature, ye have resolv'd to set at nought. I could put you in mind what Counfel Clement a Fellow-labourer with the Apostles gave to the Prefbyters of Corinth, whom the people, though unjustly, fought to remove. Who among you, faith he, is noble-minded, who is pitiful, who is charitable? let him fay thus, If for me this fedition, this enmity, these differences be, I willingly depart, I go my ways; only let the flock of Christ be at peace with the Presbyters that are set over it. He that shall do this, faith he, shall get him great honour in the Lord, and all places will receive him. This was Clement's Counsel to good and holy men, that they should depart rather from their just office, than by their stay to ravle out the seamless garment of Concord in the Church. But I have better counsel to give the Prelates, and far more acceptable to their ears, this advice in my opinion is fitter for them: Cling fast to your Pontifical Sees, bate not, quit your selves like Barons, stand to the utmost for your haughty Courts and Votes in Parlament. Still tell us, that you prevent Schifm, though Schifm and Combustion be the very issue of your bodies, your first-born; and set your Country a bleeding in a Prelatical mutiny, to sight for your pomp, and that ill-savour'd weed of temporal honour that fits dishonourably upon your laic shoulders, that ye may be fat and flethy, fwoln with high thoughts, and big with mischievous defigns, when God comes to vifit upon you all this fourfcore years vexation of his Church under your Egyptian Tyranny. For certainly of all those bleffed Souls which you have perfecuted, and those miserable ones which you have lost, the just vengeance does not sleep.

CHAP. VII.

That those many Sects and Schisms by some suppos'd to be among us, and that Rebellion in Ireland, ought not to be a bindrance, but a bastening of Reformation.

A S for those many Sects and Divisions rumour'd abroad to be amongst us, it is not hard to perceive that they are partly the mere fictions and false alarms of the Prelates, therby to cast amazements and panic terrors into the hearts of weaker Christians, that they should not venture to change the prefent deformity of the Church for fear of I know not what worse inconveniencies. With the same objected sears and suspicions, we know that suttle Prelate Gardner sought to divert the first Reformation. It may suffice us to be taught.

£,

Book I. urg'd against PRELATY.

by St. Paul, that there must be Sects for the manifesting of those that are found-hearted. These are but winds and slaws to try the floating vessel of our Faith, whether it be stanch and fail well, whether our ballast be just, our anchorage and cable strong. By this is seen who lives by Faith and certain knowledge, and who by credulity and the prevailing opinion of the age; whose virtue is of an unchangeable grain, and whose of a slight wash. If God come to try our constancy, we ought not to shrink or stand the less firmly for that, but pass on with more stedfast resolution to estabilsh the Truth, though it were through a lane of Sects and Herefies on each fide. Other things men do to the glory of God: but Sects and Errors, it feems, God fuffers to be for the glory of good men, that the World may know and reverence their true fortitude and undaunted constancy in the Truth. Let us not therfore make these things an incumbrance, or an excuse of our delay in reforming, which God fends us as an incitement to proceed with more honour and alacrity. For if there were no opposition, where were the trial of an unfeigned goodness and magnanimity? Virtue that wavers is not virtue, but vice revolted from it felf, and after a while returning. The actions of just and pious men do not darken in their middle course; but Solomon tells us, they are as the shining light, that shineth more and more unto the perfect day. But if we shall suffer the trisling doubts and jealousies of future Sects to overcloud the fair beginnings of purpos'd Reformation, let us rather fear that another proverb of the same wife man be not upbraided to us, that the way of the wicked is as darkness, they stumble at they know not what. If Sects and Schifms be turbulent in the unfettled effate of a Church, while it lies under the amending hand, it best beseems our Christian Courage to think they are but as the throws and pangs that go before the birth of Reformation, and that the work it fell is now in doing. For if we look but on the nature of elemental and mixt things, we know they cannot fuffer any change of one kind or quality into another, without the struggle of contrarieties. And in things artificial, feldom any elegance is wrought without a superfluous waste and refuse in the transaction. No marble statue can be politely carv'd, no fair edifice built without almost as much rubbish and fweeping. Infomuch that even in the fpiritual conflict of St. Paul's conversion, there fell scales from his eyes that were not perceiv'd before. No wonder then in the reforming of a Church, which is never brought to effect without the fierce encounter of truth and falshood together, if, as it were the splintersand shares of so violent a jousting, there fall from between the shock many fond errors and fanatic opinions, which when Truth has the upper hand, and the Reformation shall be perfected, will easily be rid out of the way, or kept fo low, as that they shall be only the exercise of our knowledge, not the disturbance or interruption of our faith. As for that which Barclay in his image of Minds writes concerning the horrible and barbarous conceits of Englishmen in their Religion, I deem it spoken like what he was, a sugitive Papift traducing the Island whence he sprung. It may be more judiciously gather'd fromhence, that the Englishman of many other Nations is least atheistical, and bears a natural disposition of much reverence and awe towards the Deity; but in his weakness and want of better instruction, which among us too frequently is neglected, especially by the meaner fort, turning the bent of his own wits, with a scrupulous and ceaseless care, what he might do to inform himfelf aright of God and his Worship, he may fall not unlikely sometimes, as any other Land-man, into an uncouth opinion. And verily if we look at his native towardliness in the rough cast without breeding, some Nation or other may haply be better compos'd to a natural civility and right judgment than he. But if he get the benefit once of a wife and well-rectify'd nurture, which must first come in general from the godly vigilance of the Church, I suppose that where-ever mention is made of Countries, Manners or Men, the English People among the first that shall be prais'd, may deserve to be accounted a right pious, right honest, and right hardy Nation. But thus while some stand dallying and deferring to reform for fear of that which should mainly hasten them forward, left Schifm and Error should encrease, we may now thank our felves and our delays, if inftead of Schifm a bloody and inhuman rebellion be strook in between our flow movings. Indeed against violent and powerful opposition there can be no just blame of a lingring dispatch. But this I urge againft

against those that discourse it for a maxim, as if the swift opportunities of establishing or reforming Religion were to attend upon the fleam of state businefs. In State many things at first are crude and hard to digest, which only time and deliberation can supple and concoct. But in Religion, wherin is no immaturity, nothing out of season, it goes far otherwise. The door of Grace turns upon fmooth hinges wide opening to fend out, but foon shutting to recal the precious offers of mercy to a Nation: which unless watchfulness and zeal, two quick-fighted and ready-hunded virgins, be there in our behalf to receive, we lose: and still the ofter we lose, the straiter the door opens, and the less is offer'd. This is all we get by demurring in God's service. Tis not rebellion that ought to be the hindrance of Reformation, but it is the want of this which is the cause of that. The Prelates which boast themselves the only bridlers of Schifm, God knows have been fo cold and backward both there and with us to reprefs Herefy and Idolatry, that either through their carelessness or their craft all this mischief is befaln. What can the Irilb Subjest do less in God's just displeasure against us, than revenge upon English bodies the little care that our Prelates have had of their Souls? Nor hath their negligence been new in that Mand, but ever notorious in Queen Elizabeth's days, as Canden their known friend forbears not to complain. Yet fo little are they toucht with remotife of these their cruelties, for these cruelties are theirs, the bloody revenge of those Souls which they have famish'd, that whenas against our brethren the Scots, who by their upright and loyal deeds have now bought themselves an honourable name to posterity, whatsoever malice by flander could invent, rage in hostility attempt, they greedily attempted toward these murdrous Irish, the enemies of God and Mankind, a curfed off-fpring of their own connivance, no man takes notice but that they feem to be very calmly and indifferently affected. Where then should we begin to extinguish a rebellion that hath its cause from the misgovernment of the Church? where, but at the Church's reformation, and, the removal of that Government which purfues and wars with all good Christians under the name of Schifmatics, but maintains and fosters all Papists and Idolaters as tolerable Christians? And if the facred Bible may be our light, we are neither without example, nor the witness of God himself, that the corrupted estate of the Church is both the cause of tumult and civil wars, and that to ffint them, the peace of the Church must first be settled. Now for a long season, faith Azariah to King Afa, Ifrael hath been without the true God, and without a teaching Priest, and without Law: and in those times there was no peace to him that went out, nor to him that came in, but great vexations were u; on all the inhabitants of the countries. And Nation was destroy'd of Nation, and City of City, for God did vex them with all adversity. Be ye strong therfore, saith he to the Reformers of that age, and let not your hands be weak, for your work shall be rewarded. And in those Prophets that liv'd in the times of Reformation after the Captivity, often doth God stir up the People to consider that while establishment of Church-matters was neglected, and put off, there was no peace to him that went out or came in; for I, faith God, had fet all men every one against his neighbour. But from the very day forward that they went feriously and effectually about the welfare of the Church, he tells them that they themselves might perceive the fudden change of things into a prosperous and peaceful condition. But it will here be faid that the Reformation is a long work, and the miferies of Ireland are urgent of a speedy redress. They be indeed; and how speedy we are, the poor afflicted remnant of our martyr'd Countrymen that fit there on the Sea-shore, counting the hours of our delay with their fighs, and the minutes with their falling tears, perhaps with the distilling of their bloody wounds, if they have not quite by this time cast off, and almost curst the vain hope of our founder'd thips and aids, can best judge how speedy we are to their relief. But let their fuccours be hafted, as all need and reason is; and let not therfore the Reformation, which is the chiefest cause of success and victory, be still procrastinated. They of the Captivity in their greatest extremities could find both counfel and hands enough at once to build, and to expect the enemies affault. And we for our parts, a populous and mighty Nation, must needs be saln into a strange plight either of esseminacy or consusson, if " Ireland that was once the conquest of one single Earl with his private forces,

Zech. S.

Hag. 2.

Book II. urg'd against PRELATY.

and the small assistance of a petty Kernish Prince, should now take up all the Wisdom and Prowess of this potent Monarchy, to quell a barbarous crew of Rebels, whom if we take but the right course to subdue, that is, beginning at the Reformation of our Church, their own horrid Murders and Rapes will so fight against them, that the very Suttlers and Horse-boys of the Camp will be able to rout and chase them without the staining of any noble Sword. To proceed by other method in this Enterprize, be our Captains and Commanders never so expert, will be as great an Error in the Art of War, as any Novice in Soldiership ever committed. And thus I leave it as a declared Truth, that neither the fear of Sects, no nor Rebellion, can be a fit Plea to stay Reformation, but rather to push it forward with all possible diligence and speed.

THE SECOND BOOK.

OW happy were it for this frail, and as it may be truly call'd, mortal Life of Man, fince all earthly things which have the name 🧸 of good and convenient in our daily use, are withal so cumbersome and full of trouble, if Knowledge, yet which is the best and lightfomest possession of the mind, were, as the common saying is, no burden : and that what it wanted of being a load to any part of the body, it did not with a heavy advantage overlay upon the Spirit? For not to speak of that Knowledge that rests in the contemplation of natural Causes and Dimensions, which must needs be a lower Wisdom, as the Object is low, certain it is, that he who hath obtain'd in more than the scantiest measure to know any thing diffinctly of God, and of his true Worship, and what is infallibly good and happy in the state of Man's Life, what in itself evil and miserable, though vulgarly not so esteem'd; he that hath obtain'd to know this, the only high valuable Wisdom indeed, remembring also that God even to a strictness requires the improvement of these his entrusted Gifts, cannot but fultain a forer burden of mind, and more pressing than any supportable toil or weight which the Body can labour under: how and in what manner he thall dispote and employ those sums of Knowledge and Illumination which God hath fent him into this World to trade with. And that which aggravates the burden more, is, that having receiv'd amongst his allotted parcels, certain precious Truths of fuch an orient lustre as no Diamond can equal; which nevertheless he has in charge to put off at any cheap rate, yea, for nothing to them that will; the great Merchants of this World fearing that this course would soon discover, and disgrace the false glitter of their deceitful Wares wherwith they abuse the People, like poor Indians, with Beads and Glasses, practise by all means how they may suppress the vencing of such Rarities, and at fuch a cheapness as would undo them, and turn their Trash upon their hands. Therfore by gratifying the corrupt defires of Men in fleshly Doctrines, they ftir them up to perfecute with hatred and contempt all those that seek to bear themselves uprightly in this their spiritual Factory: which they foreseeing, though they cannot but testify of Truth, and the excellency of that heavenly Traffick which they bring, against what Opposition or Danger soever, yet needs must it sit heavily upon their Spirits, that being in God's prime Intention and their own, selected Heralds of Peace, and Dispensers of Treasure inestimable, without price to them that have no Pence, they find in the discharge of their Commission, that they are made the greatest Variance and Ossence, a very Sword and Fire both in House and City over the whole Earth. which the full Prophet Jeremiah laments, Wo is me my Mother, that theu hast born me a Man of strife and contention! And although divine Inspiration must certainly have bin fweet to those ancient Prophets, yet the irksomness of that Truth which they brought, was fo unpleafant unto them, that every where they call Yea, that mysterious Book of Revelation, which the great Evanat a Burden. VOL. I.

gelist was bid to eat, as it had bin some eye-brightning Electuary of Knowledge and Forefight, though it were fweet in his mouth, and in the learning, it was bitter in his belly, bitter in the denouncing. Nor was this hid from the wife Poet Sophocles, who in that place of his Tragedy, where Tirefias is call'd to refolve K. Œdipus in a matter which he knew would be grievous, brings him in bemoaning his lot, that he knew more than other Men. For furely to every good and peaceable Man, it must in nature needs be a hateful thing to be the displeaser and molester of thousands; much better would it like him doubtless to be the Messenger of Gladness and Contentment, which is his chief intended business to all Mankind, but that they resist and oppose their own true happiness. But when God commands to take the Trumpet, and blow a dolorous or a jarring blaft, it lies not in Man's Will what he shall fay, or what he shall conceal. If he shall think to be illent, as Jeremiah and, because of the reprouch and derifion he met with daily, and all his familiar Friends water der be balling, to be reveng'd on him for speaking the Truth, he would be forc'd to confess as he confest; his Word was in my heart as a burning fire shut up in my bones; I was weary with forbearing, and could not flay. Which might teach these times not fuddenly to condemn all things that are sharply spoken, or vehemently written, as proceeding out of Stomach, Virulence, and Ill-nature; but to confider rather that if the Prelates have lave to fay the worst that can be faid, or do the worst that can be done, while they strive to keep to themfelves, to their great pleasure and commodity, those things which they ought to render up, no man can be justly offended with him that shall endeavour to impart and bestow, without any gain to himself, those sharp but faving words, which would be a terror and a torment in him to keep back. me, Thave determin'd to lay up as the best Treasure, and solace of a good old Age, if God vouchfafe it me, the honest liberty of free speech from my Youth, where I shall think it available in so dear a Concernment as the Church's good. For if I be either by disposition, or what other cause, too inquifilive, or fuspicious of myself and mine own doings, who can help it? But this I foresee, that should the Church be brought under heavy oppression, and God have given me ability the while to reason against that Man that should be the Author of fo foul a deed; or should she, by blessing from above on the industry and courage of faithful Men, change this her distracted estate into better days, without the least furtherance or contribution of those few Talents which God at that present had lent me, I foresee what stories I should hear within myself, all my life after, of Discourage and Reproach. Timorous and ingrateful, the Church of God is now again at the foot of her infulting Enemies, and thou bewailest; what matters it for thee, or thy bewailing? When time was, thou could'st not find a syllable of all that thou hast read, or studied, to utter in her behalf. Yet eafe and leifure was given thee for thy retired Thoughts, out of the fweat of other Men. Thou hadst the diligence, the parts, the language of a Man, if a vain Subject were to be adorn'd or beautify'd; but when the cause of Godand his Church was to be pleaded, for which purpose that Tongue was given thee which thou hast, God listen'd if he could hear thy voice among his zealous Servants, but thou wert dumb as a beaft; from henceforward be that which thine own brutish filence hath made Or else I should have heard on the other ear; Slothful, and ever to be fet light by, the Church hath now overcome her late Distresses after the unwearied labours of many hertrue Servants that stood up in her defence; thou also wouldst take upon thee to share amongst them of their joy: But wherefore thou? Where canst thou shew any Word or Deed of thine which might have haften'd her peace? Whatever thou dost now talk, or write, or look, is the Alms of other Men's active prudence and zeal. Dare not now to fay, or do any thing better than thy former floth and infancy; or if thou dar'st, thou dose impudently to make a thrifty purchase of boldness to thy felf, out of the pain-. ful Merits of other Men; what before was thy Sin, is now thy Duty, to be abject and worthless. These, and such like lessons as these, I know would have bin my Matins duely, and my Even-fong. But now by this little diligence, mark what a privilege I have gain'd with good Men and Saints, to chim my right of lamenting the tribulations of the Church, if the should fuffer, when others that have ventur'd nothing for her fake, have not the ho-

nour to be admitted Mourners. But if she lift up her drooping head and prosper, among those that have something more than wish'd her welfare, I have my charter and freehold of rejoicing to me and my Heirs. Concerning therfore this wayward Subject against Prelaty, the touching wherof is to distastful and disquietous to a number of Men, as by what hath bin faid I may deferve of charitable Readers to be credited, that neither Envy nor Gall hath entred me upon this Controverty, but the enforcement of Confcience only, and a preventive fear left the omitting of this Duty should be against me when I would store up to myself the good provision of peaceful hours: So left it should be still imputed to me, as I have found it hath bin, that fomefelf-pleafing humour of vain-glory hath incited me to contest with Men of high estimation, now while green years are upon my head, from this needless surmifal I shall hope to distinate the intelligent and equal Auditor, if I can but fay fuccessfully that which in this Exigent behoves me; although I would be heard only, if it might be, by the elegant and learned Reader, to whom principally for a while I shall beg leave I may address my To him it will be no new thing, though I rell him that if I hunted after praife, by the oftentation of Wit and Learning, I should not write thus out of mine own feafon, when I have neither yet compleated to my mind the full Circle of my private fludies, although I complain not of any infufficiency to the matter in hand; or were I ready to my wishes, it were a folly to commit any thing elaborately compos'd to the careless and interrupted listening of these tumultuous times. Next, if I were wife only to my own ends, I would certainly take fuch a Subject as of itself might catch applause, wheras this hath all the Disadvantages on the contrary, and such a Subject as the publishing wherof might be delay'd at pleafure, and time enough to pencil it over with all the curious touches of Art, even to the perfection of a faultless Picture; whenas in this Argument the not deferring is of great moment to the good speeding, that if Solidity have leifure to do her office, Art cannot have Lastly, I should not chuse this manner of writing, wherin knowing myself inferior to myself, led by the genial Power of Nature to another Task, I have the use, as I may account it, but of my left hand. And though I shall be foolish in faying more to this purpose, yet since it will be such a folly, as wifest Men go about to commit, have only confess'd and so committed, I may trust with more reason, because with more folly, to have courteous pardon. For although a Poet, foaring in the high Region of his Fancies, with his Garland and finging Robes about him, might, without Apology, speak more of himself than I mean to do; yet for messitting here below in the cool Element of Profe, a mortal thing among many Readers of no Empyreal Conceit, to venture and divulge unufual things of myfelf, I shall petition to the gentler fort, it may not be envy to me. I must say therfore, that after I had from my first years, by the ceaseless diligence and care of my Father, whom God recompence, bin exercis'd to the Tongues, and some Sciences, as my Age would fuffer, by fundry Mafters and Teachers both at home and at the Schools, it was found, that whether aught was imposed meby them that had the over-looking, or betaken to of mine own choice in *English*, or other Tongue, profing or verfing, but chiefly this latter, the stile by certain vital Signs it had, was likely to live. But much latelier in the private Academies of Italy, whither I was favour'd to refort, perceiving that some Trisles which I had in memory, compos'd at under twenty or therabout, (for the manner is, that every one must give some proof of his wit and reading there) met with acceptance above what was look'd for; and other things which I had shirted in scarcity of Books and Conveniences to patch up amongst them, were receiv'd with written Encomiums, which the Italian is not forward to bestow on Men of this fide the Alps, I began thus far to affent both to them and divers of my Friends here at home; and not less to an inward prompting which now grew daily upon me, that by labour and intent study, (which Itake to be my portion in this Life) join'd with the strong propensity of Nature, I might perhaps leave something so written to after-times, as they should not willingly let it die. These thoughts at once posses'd me, and these other; That if I were certain to write as Men buy Leafes, for three Lives and downward, there ought no regard be fooner had than to God's glory, by the honour and in-Vol. I.

ftruction of my Country. For which cause, and not only for that I knew it would be hard to arrive at the second Rank among the Latins, I apply'd myfelf to that Resolution which Arisso follow'd against the persuasions of Bembo, to six all the Industry and Art I could unite to the adorning of my native Tongue; not to make verbal Curiosities the end, that were a toilsome Vanity, but to be an Interpreter and Relater of the best and sagest things among mine own Citizens throughout this Island in the mother dialect. That what the greatest and choicest Wits of Athens, Rome, or modern Italy, and those Hebrews of old did for their Country, I, in my proportion, with this over and above, of being a Christian, might do for mine; not caring to be once nam'd abroad, though perhaps I could attain to that, but content with these British Islands as my World, whose Fortune hath hitherto bin, that if the Athenians, as some say, made their small Deeds great and renowned by their eloquent writers, England hath had her noble Atchievements made small by the un-

skilful handling of Monks and Mechanics.

Time ferves not now, and perhaps I might frem too profuse to give any certain account of what the mind at home, in the spacious circuits of her mufing, hath liberty to propose to herself, though of highest hope, and hardest attempting; whether that Epic Form where the two Poems of Homer, and those other two of Virgil and Tallo are a diffuse, and the Book of Job a brief Model: or whether the Rules of Ariffolde herin are strictly to be kept, or Nature to be follow'd, which in them that know Art, and use Judgment, is no transgression, butan inriching of Art. And lastly, what King or Knight before the Conquest, might be chosen in whom to lay the pattern of a Christian Hero. And as Tallo gave to a Prince of Italy his choice, whether he would command him to write of Godfrey's expedition against the Infidels, or Belisarius against the Goths, or Charlemain against the Lombards; if to the instinct of Nature and the imboldning of Art aught may be trufted, and that there be nothing adverse in our Climate, or the fate of this Age, it haply would be no rashness from an equal diligence and inclination, to prefent the like offer in our own Or whether those Dramatic Constitutions, wherin Sophoancient Stories. cles and Euripides reign, shall be found more doctrinal and exemplary to a Nation. The Scripture also affords us a Divine pastoral Drama in the Song of Solomon, confisting of two Persons, and a double Chorus, as Origen rightly judges. And the Apocalypse of Saint John is the majestic Image of a high and stately Tragedy, shutting up and intermingling her solemn Scenes and Acts with a fevenfold Chorus of Hallelujah's and harping Symphonies: and this my opinion the grave authority of Pareus, commenting that Book, is sufficient to confirm. Or if occasion shall lead, to imitate those magnific Odes and Hymns wherin Pindarus and Callimachus are in most things worthy, some others in their frame judicious, in their matter most an end faulty. But those frequent Songs throughout the Law and Prophets beyond all thefe, not in their divine Argument alone, but in the very critical Art of Composition, may be eafily made appear over all the kinds of Lyric Poefy, to be incomparable. These abilities, wheresoever they be found, are the inspired gift of God rarely bestow'd, but yet to some (though most abuse) in every Nation: and are of power, beside the Office of a Pulpit, to inbreed and cherish in a great People the feeds of Virtue, and public Civility, to allay the perturbations of the Mind, and fet the affections in right tune; to celebrate in glorious and lofty Hymns the Throne and Equipage of God's Almightiness, and what he works, and what he fuffers to be wrought with high Providence in his Church; to fing victorious Agonies of Martyrs and Saints, the Deeds and Triumphs of just and pious Nations, doing valiantly through Faith against the Enemies of Christ; to deplore the general relapses of Kingdoms and States from justice and God's true worship. Lastly, whatsoever in Religion is holy and fublime, in Virtue amiable or grave, whatfoever hath Paffion or Admiration in all the changes of that which is called Fortune from without, or the wily fubtleties and refluxes of Man's thoughts from within; all these things with a folid and treatable fmoothness to paint out and describe. Teaching over the whole Book of Sanctity and Virtue, through all the instances of Example, with fuch delight to those, especially of soft and delicious Temper, who will not fo much as look upon Truth herfelf, unless they see her elegantly drest;

Book II. urg'd against Prelaty.

that wheras the Paths of Honesty and good Life appear now rugged and difficult, though they be indeed early and pleafant, they would then appear to all Men both eafy and pleafant, though they were rugged and difficult indeed. And what a benefit this would be to our Youth and Gentry, may be foon guest by what we know of the Corruption and Bane which they fuck in daily from the writings and interludes of libidinous and ignorant Poetasters, who having scarce ever heard of that which is the main confistence of a true Poems the choice of fuch Perfons as they ought to introduce, and what is moral and decent to each one, do for the most part lay up vicious Principles in fweet Pills to be Iwallow'd down, and make the tafte of virtuous Documents harfn and four. But because the Spirit of Man cannot demean itself lively in this Body without some recreating intermission of Labour, and serious things, it were happy for the Commonwealth, if our Magistrates, as in those famous Governments of old, would take into their care, not only the deciding of our contentious Law-cases and Brawls, but the managing of our public Sports, and festival Pastimes, that they might be, not such as were authoriz'd a while fince, the provocations of Drunkenness and Luft, but such as may inure and harden our Bodies by martial exercises to all war-like skill and performance; and may civilize, adorn, and make diferent our Minds by the learned and affable meeting of frequent Academies, and the procurement of wife and artful recitations, fweeten'd with cloquent and graceful inticements to the love and practice of Justice, Temperance, and Fortizude, instructing and bettering the Nation at all opportunities, that the call of Wifdom and Virtue may be heard every where, as Solomon faith; She crieth without, the uttereth her voice in the Streets, in the top of high places, in the chief concourse, and in the openings of the Gates. Whether this may be not only in Pulpits, but after another perfuafive method, at let and folemn Paneguries, in Theatres, Porches, or what other place or way, may win most upon the People to receive at once both Recreation, and Instruction; let them in Authority consult. The thing which I had to fay, and those Intentions which have liv'd within me ever fince I could conceive myfelf any thing worth to my Country, I return to crave excuse that urgent Reason hath pluckt from me, by an abortive and fore-dated difcovery. And the accomplishment of them lies not but in a power above man's to promife; but that none hath by more studious ways endeavour'd, and with more unwearied Spirit that none shall, that I dare almost aver of my felf, as far as life and free leifure will extend; and that the Land had once infranchis'd herfelf from this impertinent yoke of Prelaty, under whose inquisitorious and tyrannical duncery, no free and splendid Wit can flourish. Neither do I think it shame to covenant with any knowing Reader, that for some sew years yet I may go on trust with him toward the payment of what I am now indebted, as being a work not to be rais'd from the heat of Youth, or the vapours of Wine; like that which flows at wafte from the Pen of some vulgar Amorist, or the trencher fury of a riming Parasite; nor to be obtain'd by the invocation of Dame Memory and her Siren Daughters, but by devout Prayer to that eternal Spirit, who can enrich with all utterance and knowledge, and fends out his Seraphim, with the hallow'd Fire of his Altar, to touch and purify the Lips of whom he pleases: to this must be added, industrious and felect Reading, steady Observation, insight into all seemly and generous Arts and Affairs; till which in some measure be compass'd, at mine own peril and cost, I refuse not to sustain this expectation from as many as are not loth to hazard fo much credulity upon the best Pledges that I can give them. Although it nothing content me to have difclos'd thus much before hand, but that I trust hereby to make it manifest with what small willing ness I endure to interrupt the pursuit of no less hopes than these, and leave a calm and pleasing Solitariness, fed with chearful and confident thoughts, to imbark in a troubled Sea of Noifes and hoarfe Disputes, from beholding the bright countenance of Truth in the quiet and still air of delightful Studies, to come into the dim reflection of hollow Antiquities fold by the feeming bulk, and there be fain to club quotations with Men whose learning and belief lies in marginal stuffings; who when they have, like good sumpters, laid ye down their horse-load of Citations and Fathers at your door, with a Rapfordy of who and who were Bishops here or there, ye may take off their Packfaddles,

their day's work is done, and Episcopacy, as they think, stoutly vindicated. Let any gentle Apprehension that can distinguish learned Pains from unlearned Drudgery, imagine what pleafure or protoundness can be in this, or what honour to deal against such Adversaries. But were it the meanest under-service, if God by his fecretary Confcience enjoin it, it were fad for me if I should draw back; for me especially, now when all Men offer their aid to help, ease and lighten the difficult labours of the Church, to whose service, by the intentions of my Parents and Friends, I was deftin'd of a Child, and in mine own refolutions, till coming to some maturity of years, and perceiving what Tyranny had invaded the Church, that he who would take Orders must subferibe Slave, and take an Oath withal; which unless he took with a Confeience that would retch, he must either strait perjure, or split his Faith; I thought it better to prefera blameless silence before the sacred Office of speaking, bought and begun with fervitude and forfwearing. Howfoever thus Church-outed by the Prelates, hence may appear the right I have to meddle in these matters, as before the necessity and constraint appear'd.

CHAP. I.

That Prelaty opposeth the reason and end of the Gospel three ways, and first in her outward Form.

FTER this digreffion, it would remain that I should fingle out some A other reason which might undertake for Prelaty to be a fit and lawful Church-Government; but finding none of like validity with thefe that have already sped according to their fortune, I shall add one reason why it is not to be thought a Church-Government at all, but a Church-Tyranny, and is at hostile Terms with the end and reason of Christ's Evangelic Ministry. Albeit I must confess to be half in doubt whether I should bring it forth or no, it being fo contrary to the eye of the World, and the World fo potent in most Men's Hearts, that I shall endanger either not to be regarded, or not to be understood: For who is there almost that measures Wisdom by Simplicity, Strength by Suffering, Dignity by Lowliness? Who is there that counts it first to be last, something to be nothing, and reckons himself of great command in that he is a Servant? Yet God when he meant to fubdue the World and Hell at once, part of that to Salvation, and this wholly to Perdition, made choice of no other Weapons, or Auxiliaries than these, whether to save or to destroy. It had bin a small Mastery for him to have drawn out his Legions into array, and flank'd them with his Thunder; therfore he fent Foolishness to confute Wisdom, Weakness to bind Strength, Despisedness to vanquish Pride: And this is the great mystery of the Gospel made good in Christ himfelf, who as he testifies came not to be ministred to, but to minister; and must be fulfill'd in all his Ministers till his second coming. gainst these Principles St. Paul so fear'd, that if he should but affect the Wisdom of words in his preaching, he thought it would be laid to his charge, that he had made the Crofs of Christ to be of none effect. Whether then Prelaty do not make of none effect the Cross of Christ, by the principles it hath fo contrary to thefe, nullifying the power and end of the Gospel, it shall not want due proof, if it want not due belief. Neither shall I stand to trifle with one that will tell me of Quiddities and Formalities, whether Prelaty or Prelateity in abstract notion be this or that; it fuffices me that I find it in his Skin, fo I find it inseparable, or not oftner otherwise than a Phenix hath bin seen; although I persuade me that whatever faultiness was but superficial to Prelaty at the beginning, is now by the just Judgment of God, long fince branded and inworn into the very efsence therof. First therfore, if to do the work of the Gospel, Christ our Lord took upon him the form of a Servant; how can his Servant in this Ministry take upon him the form of a Lord? I know Bilson hath decypher'd us all the galanteries of Signore and Monfignore, and Monficur, as circumftantially as any punctu-

Book II. urg'd against PRELATY.

alift of Casteel, Naples, or Fountain-Bleau could have done: but this must not so complement us out of our right minds, as to be to learn that the form of a Servant was a mean, laborious, and vulgar Life aptest to teach; which sorm Christ thought fittest, that he might bring about his Will according to his own Principles, chusing the meaner things of this World, that he might put under the high. Now whether the pointous Garb, the lordly Life, the Wealth, the haughty distance of Prelaty be those meaner things of the World, wherby God in them would manage the mystery of his Gospel, be it the verdict of common sense. For Christ saith in St. John, The Servant is not greater than his Lord, nor he that is sent greater than he that sent him; and adds, If ye know these things, happy are ye if ye do them. Then let the Prelates well advise, if they neither know, nor do these things, or if they know, and yet do them not, wherin their Happiness consists. And thus is the Gospel frustrated by the lordly Form of Prelaty.

CHAP. II.

That the ceremonious Doctrine of Prelaty opposeth the reafon and end of the Gospel.

THAT which next declares the heavenly Power, and reveals the deep mystery of the Gospel, is the pure simplicity of Doctrine, accounted the feolishness of this World, yet crossing and confounding the Price and Wisdom of the Flesh. And wherin consists this slessly Wisdom and Pride? In being altogether ignorant of God and his Worship? No surely, for Men are naturally asham'd of that. Where then? It consists in a bold presumption of ordering the Worship and Service of God after Man's own Will in Traditions and Ceremonies. Now if the Pride and Wisdom of the Flesh were to be defeated and confounded, no doubt but in that very point wherin it was proudeft, and thought itself wifeft, that so the victory of the Gospel might be the more illustrious. But our Prelates, instead of expressing the spiritual Power of their Ministry, by warring against this chief bulwark and strong-hold of the Flesh, have enter'd into fast League with the principal Enemy against whom they were fent, and turn'd the strength of stelly Pride and Wisdom against the pure simplicity of saving Truth. First, mistrusting to find the Authority of their Order in the immediate Institution of Christ, or his Apostles, by the clear evidence of Scripture, they fly to the carnal supportment of Tradition; when we appeal to the Bible, they to the unwieldy Volumes of Tradition: And do not shame to reject the Ordinance of him that is eternal. for the perverse iniquity of fixteen hundred years; chusing rather to think Truth itself a Lyar, than that fixteen Ages should be tax'd with an error; not confidering the general Apostacy that was foretold, and the Church's flight into the Wilderness. Nor is this enough; instead of shewing the reason of their lowly Condition from divine example and command, they feek to prove their high pre-eminence from human Confent and Authority. But let them chaunt while they will of Prerogatives, we shall tell them of Scripture; of Cistom, we of Scripture; of Acts and Statutes, still of Scripture; till the quick and piercing Word enter to the dividing of their Souls, and the mighty weakness of the Gospel throw down the weak mightiness of Man's reafoning. Now for their demeanor within the Church, how have they disfigur'd and defac'd that, more than angelic brightness, the unclouded ferenity of Christian Religion, with the dark overcasting of superstitious Copes and slaminical Vestures, wearing on their Backs, and I abhor to think, perhaps in fome worse Place, the unexpressible Image of God the Father? Tell me, ye Priofts, wherfore this Gold, wherfore these Robes and Surplices over the Gospel? Is our Religion guilty of the first Trespass, and hathneed of cloathing to cover her nakedness? What does this else but cast an ignominy upon the perfection of Christ's ministry, by seeking to adorn it with that which was

the poor remedy of our Shame? Believe it, wondrous Doctors, all corporeal resemblances of inward Holiness and Beauty are now past; he that will cloath the Gospel now, intimates plainly, that the Gospel is naked, uncomely, that I may not fay reproachful. Do not, ye Church-maskers, while Christ is cloathing upon our Barrenness with his righteous Garment, to make us acceptable in his Father's fight; do not, as ye do, cover and hide his righteous verity with the polluted clothing of your Ceremonies, to make it feem more decent in your own eyes. How beautiful, faith Ijaiah, are the Feet of him that bring-eth good tydings, that publisheth Salvation! Are the feet so beautiful, and is the very bringing of these tydings so decent of itself? What new Decency then can be added to this by your spinstry? Ye think by these gaudy glisterings to ftir up the devotion of the rude Multitude; ye think so, because ye for sake the heavenly teaching of St. Paul for the hellish Sophistry of Papism. If the Multitude be rude, the lips of the Preacher must give Knowledge, and not Ceremonies. And although fome Christians be new-born Babes comparatively to fome that are stronger, yet in respect of Ceremony, which is but a rudiment of the Law, the weakest Christian hath thrown of the robes of his Minority, and is a perfect Man, as to legal Rites. What children's food there is in the Gospel, we know to be no other than the fincerity of the Hord, that they may grow therby. But is here the numost of your out-braving the service of God? No. Ye have bin bold, not to fet your threshold by his threshold, or your posts by his posts; but your Sacrament, your Sign, call it what you will, by his Sacrament, baptizing the Christian Infant with a folemn sprinkle, and unbaptizing for your own part with a prophane and impious Fore-finger: as if when ye had faid the purifying element upon his Forehead, ye meant to cancel and cross it out again with a character not of God's bidding. O but the Innocence of these Ceremonies! O rather the sottish absurdity of this excuse! What could be more innocent than the washing of a cup, a glass, or hands before meat, and that under the Law when so many Washings were commanded, and by long tradition? yet our Saviour detested their Customs, though never fo feeming harmless, and charges them severely that they had transgress'd the Commandments of God by their traditions, and worship'd him in vain. How much more then must these, and much grosser Ceremonies now in force, delude the end of Christ's coming in the slesh against the slesh, and stifle the fincerity of our new Covenant, which hath bound us to forfake all carnal Pride and Wisdom, especially in matters of Religion? Thus we see again how Prelaty, failing in opposition to the main end and power of the Gospel, doth not join in that mysterious Work of Christ, by lowliness to confound height, by fimplicity of doctrine the wildom of the world, but contrariwife hath made itself high in the world and the flesh, to vanquish things by the world accounted low, and made itself wife in tradition and fleshly ceremony, to confound the purity of doctrine which is the Wisdom of God.

CHAP. III.

That Prelatical Jurisdiction opposeth the reason and end of the Gospel and of State.

HE third and last Consideration remains, whether the Prelates in their Function do work according to the Gospel, practising to subdue the mighty things of this World by things weak, which St. Paul hath set forth to be the power and excellence of the Gospel; or whether in more likelihood they band themselves with the prevalent things of this world, to over-run the weak things which Christ hath made choice to work by; and this will soonest be discern'd by the course of their Jurisdiction. But here again I find my thoughts almost in suspense betwixt yea and no, and am nigh turning mine eye which way I may best retire, and not proceed in this subject, blaming the ardency of my mind that fix'd me too attentively to come thus far. For Truth, I

know not how, hath this unhappiness fatal to her, ere she can come to the trial and infpection of the Understanding; being to pass through many little wards and limits of the feveral Affections and Defires, the cannot thift it, but must put on such colours and attire, as those pathetical handmaids of the Soul please to lead her in to their Queen: And if she find so much savour with them, they let her pass in her own likeness; if not, they bring her into the presence habited and colour'd like a notorious Falshood. And contrary, when any Falshood comes that way, if they like the errand she brings, they are so artful to counterfeit the very shape and visage of Truth, that the Understanding not being able to differn the fucus which these Inchantresses with fuch cunning have laid upon the feature fometimes of Truth, fometimes of Falshood interchangeably, fentences for the most part one for the other at the first blush, according to the subtle imposture of these sensual Mistresses that keep the ports and passages between her and the object. So that were it not for leaving imperfect that which is already said, I should go near to relinquish that which is to follow. And because I see that most Men, as it happens in this world, either weakly or falfly principled, what through ignorance, and what through cuftom of licence, both in discourse and writing, by what hath bin of late written in vulgar, have not feem'd to attain the decision of this point, I shall likewise affay those wily Arbitresses who in most Men have, as was heard, the fole ushering of Truth and Falshood between the Sense and the Soul, with what loyalty they will use me in convoying this Truth to my understanding; the rather for that by as much acquaintance as I can obtain with them, I do not find them engag'd either one way or other. Concerning therfore Ecclesiastical Jurisdiction, I find still more controversy, who should administer it; than diligent enquiry made to learn what it is: for had the pains bin taken to fearch out that, it had bin long ago enroll'd to be nothing else but a pure tyrannical forgery of the Prelates; and that jurisdictive power in the Church there ought to be none at all. It cannot be conceiv'd that what Men now call Jurisdiction in the Church, should be other thing than a Christian Cenforship; and therfore is it most commonly and truly nam'd Ecclefiaftical Centure. Now if the Roman Cenfor, a civil function, to that fevere affize of furveying and controlling the privatest and sliest manners of all men and all degrees, had no Jurisdiction, no Courts of Plea, or Inditement, no punitive force annex'd; whether it were that to this manner of correction the intanglement of fuits was improper, or that the notice of those upright Inquisitors extended to such the most covert and spiritous vices as would slip eafily between the wider and more material grafp of the Law; or that it stood more with the Majesty of that Office to have no other Serjeants or Maces about them but those invisible ones of Terror and Shame: Or lastly, were it their fear, lest the greatness of this Authority and Honour, arm'd with Jurisdiction, might step with ease into a Tyranny: In all these respects, with much more reason undoubtedly ought the censure of the Church be quite divested and difintail'd of all Jurisdiction whatsoever. For if the course of Judicature to a political Cenforship seem either too tedious, or too contentious, much more may it to the Discipline of the Church, whose definitive decrees are to be speedy, but the execution of rigour flow, contrary to what in legal proceedings is most usual; and by how much the less contentious it is, by so much will it be the more Christian. And if the Cenfor, in his moral Episcopy, being to judge most in matters not answerable by writ or action, could not use an instrument so gross and bodily as Jurisdiction is, how can the Minister of the Gospel manage the corpulent and secular trial of Bill and Process in things merely spiritual? Or could that Roman Office, without this juridical Sword or Saw, strike such a reverence of itself into the most undaunted hearts, as with one fingle dash of ignominy to put all the Senate and Knighthood of Rome into a tremble? Surely much rather might the heavenly Ministry of the Evangel bind herfelf about with far more piercing beams of majefty and awe, by wanting the beggarly help of halings and amercements in the use of her powerful Keys. For when the Church without temporal support is able to do her great works upon the unforc'd obedience of Men, it argues a Divinity about her. But when she thinks to credit and better her spiritual efficacy, and to win herfelf respect and dread by strutting in the false vizard Vol. I.

of worldly Authority, 'tis evident that God is not there, but that her apostolic virtue is departed from her, and hath left her Key cold: Which she perceiving as in a decay'd nature, feeks to the outward fomentations and chafings of worldly help, and external flourishes, to fetch, if it be possible, some motion into her extreme parts, or to hatch a counterfeit life with the crafty and artificial heat of Jurisdiction. But it is observable, that so long as the Church, in true imitation of Christ, can be content to ride upon an Ass, carrying herfelf and her Government along in a mean and fimple guile, the may be, as he is, a Lion of the tribe of Jude; and in her humility all Men with loud Hofanna's will confess her greatness. But when despising the mighty operation of the Spirit by the weak things of this world, she thinks to make herfelf bigger and more confiderable, by ufing the way of civil force and jurisdiction, as she sits upon this Lion she changes into an Ass, and instead of Hosanna's every Man pelts her with stones and dirt. Lastly, if the wisdom of the Romans sear'd to commit Jurisdiction to an Office of so high esteem and dread as was the Cenfors, we may fee what a folecism in the art of policy it hath bin all this while through Christendom to give Jurisdiction to Eccle-staffical Centure. For that Strength, join'd with Religion, abus'd and pretended to ambitious ends, must of necessity breed the heaviest and most quelling Tyranny not only upon the necks, but even to the fouls of Men: which if Christian Rome had been so cautelous to prevent in her Church, as Pagan Rome was in her State, we had not had fuch a lamentable experience therof as now we have from thence upon all Christendom. For although I faid before, that the Church coveting to ride upon the lionly form of Jurisdiction, makes a transformation of herfelf into an Ass, and becomes despicable, that is, to those whom God hath enlighten'd with true knowledge; but where they remain yet in the reliques of Superstition, this is the extremity of their bondage and blindness, that while they think they do obeisance to the Lordly vifage of a Lion, they do it to an Ass, that through the just judgment of God is permitted to play the dragon among them because of their wilful stu-And let England here well rub her eyes, left by leaving Jurisdiction and Church-Cenfure to the same persons, now that God hath bin so long medicining her eye-fight, she do not with her over-politic fetches mar all, and bring herfelf back again to worship this Ass bestriding a Lion. Having hitherto explain'd, that to Ecclefiastical Censure no jurisdictive power can be added, without a childish and dangerous over-sight in Policy, and a pernicious contradiction in Evangelic Discipline, as anon more fully; it will be next to declare wherin the true reason and force of Church-Censure consists, which by then it shall be laid open to the root; so little is it that I fear lest any crookedness, any wrinkle or spot should be found in Presbyterial Government, that if Bodin the famous French Writer, though a Papist, yet affirms, that the Commonwealth which maintains this Discipline will certainly sourish in Virtue and Piety; I dare assure myself that every true Protestant will admire the Integrity, the Uprightness, the divine and gracious Purposes therof, and even for the reason of it so coherent with the doctrine of the Gospel, beside the evidence of command in Scripture, will confess it to be the only true Church-government; and that contrary to the whole end and mystery of Christ's coming in the slesh, a false appearance of the same is exercis'd by Prelaty. But because some count it rigorous, and that hereby Men shall be liable to a double Punishment, I will begin somewhat higher, and speak of Which, as it is an evil, I esteem to be of two forts, or rather Punishment. two degrees only, a reprobate Confcience in this life, and Hell in the other world. Whatever elfe Men call Punishment or Censure, is not properly an Evil, so it be not an illegal violence, but a faving medicine ordain'd of God both for the public and private good of Man; who confifting of two parts, the inward and the outward, was by the eternal Providence left under two forts of cure, the Church and the Magistrate. The Magistrate hath only to deal with the outward part, I mean not of the Body alone, but of the Mind in all her outward acts, which in Scripture is call'd the outward Man. So that it would be helpful to us if we might borrow fuch Authority as the Rhetoricians by patent may give us, with a kind of Promethean skill to shape and fathion this outward Man into the fimilitude of a Body, and fet him visible before us; imagining

Book II. urg'd against PRELATY.

imagining the inner Man only as the Soul. Thus then the civil Magistrate looking only upon the outward Man, (I say as a Magistrate, for what he doth further, he doth it as a Member of the Church) if he find in his complexion, skin, or outward temperature the Signs and Marks, or in his doings the Etfects of Injustice, Rapine, Lust, Cruelty, or the like, sometimes he shuts up as in frenetick or infectious Difeafes; or confines within doors, as in every fickly estate. Sometimes he shaves by Penalty or Mulct, or else to cool and take down those luxuriant Humours which Wealth and Excess have caus'd to abound. Otherwhiles he fears, he cauterizes, he fearifies, lets blood; and finally, for utmost remedy cuts off. The Patients, which most an end are brought into his Hospital, are such as are far gone, and beside themselves, (unless they be falfly accus'd) so that Force is necessary to tame and quiet them in their unruly fits, before they can be made capable of a more humane cure. His general End is the outward Peace and Welfare of the Commonwealth, and civil Happiness in this Life. His particular End in every Man is, by the infliction of pain, damage, and difgrace, that the Senfes and common perceivance might carry this Meffage to the Soul within, that it is neither eafeful, profitable, nor praife-worthy in this Life to do evil. Which must needs tend to the good of Man, whether he be to live or die; and be undoubtedly the first means to a natural Man, especially an Ossender, which might open his eyes to a higher confideration of Good and Evil, as it is taught This is feen in the often penitence of those that fuffer, who, in Religion. had they escap'd, had gone on finning to an immeasurable heap, which is one of the extremest punishments. And this is all that the Civil Magistrate, as fo being, confers to the healing of Man's mind, working only by terrifying Plaisters upon the rind and orifice of the Sore; and by all outward appliances, as the Logicians fay, a posteriori, at the Effect, and not from the Cause; not once touching the inward bed of Corruption, and that hectic difposition to evil, the fource of all Vice and Obliquity against the Rule of Law. Which how infufficient it is to cure the Soul of Man, we cannot better guess than by the Art of bodily Physic. Therfore God, to the intent of further healing Man's deprav'd Mind, to this Power of the Magistrate, which contents itself with the restraint of evil doing in the external Man, added that which we call Cenfure, to purge it and remove it clean out of the inmost Soul. In the beginning this Authority feems to have been plac'd, as all both civil and religious Rites once were, only in each Father of a Family: Afterwards among the Heathen, in the wife Men and Philosophers of the Age; but so as it was a thing voluntary, and no fet Government. More diffinctly among the Jews, as being God's peculiar, where the Priests, Levites, Prophets, and at last the Scribes and Pharifees took charge of instructing and overfeeing the Lives of the People. But in the Gospel, which is the straitest and the dearest Covenant can be made between God and Man, we being now his adopted Sons. and nothing fitter for us to think on than to be like him, united to him, and, as he pleases to express it, to have fellowship with him; it is all necessity that we thould expect this bleffed Efficacy of healing our inward Man to be miniftred to us in a more familiar and effectual Method than ever before. God being now no more a Judge after the Sentence of the Law, nor, as it were, a Schoolmaster of perishable Rites, but a most indulgent Father, governing his Church as a Family of Sons in their discreet Age: and therfore in the sweetest and mildest manner of paternal Discipline, he hath committed this other Office of preferving in healthful constitution the inner Man, which may be term'd the Spirit of the Soul, to his spiritual Deputy the Minister of each Congregation; who being best acquainted with his own Flock, hath best reason to know all the fecretest Diseases likely to be there. And look by how much the internal Man is more excellent and noble than the external, by fo much is his Cure more exactly, more throughly, and more particularly to be perform'd. For which cause the Holy Ghost by the Apostles join'd to the Minister, as affiftant in this great Office, sometimes a certain number of grave and faithful Brethren, (for neither doth the Physician doall in restoring his Patient, he prefcribes, another prepares the Medicine, some tend, some watch, some visit) much more may a Minister partly not see all, partly err as a Man: Besides, that nothing can be more for the mutual honour and love of the People to their Vol. I. Paftor,

Pastor, and his to them, than when in select numbers and courses they are feen partaking, and doing reverence to the holy Duties of Discipline by their ferviceable and folemn Prefence, and receiving honour again from their Employment, not now any more to be separated in the Church by Vails and Partitions as Laics and unclean, but admitted to wait upon the Tabernacle as the rightful Clergy of Christ, a chosen Generation, a royal Priesthood, to offer up spiritual Sacrifice in that meet place to which God and the Congregation shall call and assign them. And this all Christians ought to know, that the Title of Clergy St. Peter gave to all God's People, till Pope Higinus and the fucceeding Prelates took it from them, appropriating that Name to themfelves and their Priests only; and condemning the rest of God's Inheritance to an injurious and alienate condition of Laity, they separated from them by local Partitions in Churches, through their gross ignorance and pride imitating the old Temple, and excluded the Members of Christ from the property of being Members, the bearing of orderly and fit Offices in the Ecclefiaftical Body, as if they had meant to few up that Jewish Vail which Christ by his death on the Cross rent in funder. Although these Usurpers could not so presently over-master the Liberties and lawful Titles of God's freeborn Church; but that Origen, being yet a Lay-man, expounded the Scriptures publickly, and was therin defended by Alexander of Jerusalem, and Theothis us of Cæsarea, producing in his behalf divers Examples, that the privilege of teaching was anciently permitted to many worthy Lay-men: And Cyprian in his Epiftles professes he will do nothing without the advice and affent of his affistant Laies. Neither did the first Nicene Council, as great and learned as it was, think it any robbery to receive in, and require the help and prefence of many learned Lay-brethren, as they were then call'd. Many other Authorities to confirm this Affertion, both out of Scripture and the Writings of next Antiquity, Golartius hath collected in his Notes upon Cyprian; wherby it will be evident, that the Laity, not only by Apostolic permission, but by consent of many the ancientest Prelates, did participate in Church-Offices as much as is defir'd any Lay-elder should now do. Sometimes also not the Elders alone, but the whole Body of the Church is interested in the Work of Discipline, as oft as public Satisfaction is given by those that have given public Scandal. Not to speak now of her right in Elections. But another reason there is in it, which though Religion did not commend to us, yet moral and civil Prudence could not but extol. It was thought of old in Philotophy, that shame, or to call it better, the reverence of our Elders, our Brethren and Friends, was the greatest Incitement to virtuous Deeds, and the greatest disfussion from unworthy Attempts that might be. Hence we may read in the Iliad, where Heffor being wish'd to retire from the Battel, many of his Forces being routed, makes answer, that he durst not for shame, lest the Trojan Knights and Dames fhould think he did ignobly. And certain it is, that wheras Terror is thought fuch a great flickler in a Commonwealth, honourable Shame is a far greater, and has more reason: for where shame is, there is fear; but where fear is, there is not prefently shame. And if any thing may be done, to inbreed in us this generous and christianly Reverence one of another, the very Nurfe and Guardian of Piety and Virtue, it cannot fooner be than by fuch a Discipline in the Church, as may use us to have in awe the Assemblies of the Faithful, and to count it a thing most grievous, next to the grieving of God's Spirit, to offend those whom he hath put in Authority, as a healing superintendence over our Lives and Behaviours, both to our own happiness, and that we may not give offence to good men, who without amends by us made. dare not, against God's Command, hold Communion with us in holy things. And this will be accompanied with a religious dread of being out-cast from the company of Saints, and from the fatherly protection of God in his Church, to confort with the Devil and his Angels. But there is yet a more ingenuous and noble degree of honest shame, or call it, if you will, an esteem, whereby Men bear an inward Reverence toward their own Perfons. And if the Love of God, as a Fire fentfrom Heaven to be ever kept alive upon the Altar of our Hearts, be the first Principle of all godly and virtuous Actions in men, this pious and just honouring of ourselves is the second, and may be thought as the radical moisture and fountain-head, whence every laudable and wor-

Book II. urg'd against PRELATY.

thy Enterprize issues forth. And although I have given it the name of a liquid thing, yet is it not incontinent to bound itself, as humid things are, but hath in it a most restraining and powerful abstinence to start back, and glob itself upward from the mixture of any ungenerous and unbefeeining motion, or any Soil wherwith it may peril to flain itself. Something I confess it is to beafham'd of evil doing in the prefence of any; and to reverence the Opinion and the Countenance of a good Man rather than a bad, fearing most in his fight to offend, goes fo far as almost to be virtuous; yet this is but still the fear of Infamy, and many fuch, when they find themselves alone, faving their Reputation, will compound with other Scruples, and come to a close treaty with their dearer Vices in fecret. But he that holds himself in reverence and due efteem, both for the dignity of God's Image upon him, and for the price of his Redemption, which he thinks is visibly mark'd upon his Forehead. accounts himfelf both a fit Perion to do the nobleft and godlieft Deeds, and much better worth than to deject and defile, with fuch a debasement, and fuch a pollution as Sin is, himfelf fo highly ranfom'd and enobled to a new Friendthip and filial Relation with God. Nor can he fear to much the offence and reproach of others, as he dreads and would blush at the reslection of his own severe and modest eye upon himself, it it should see him doing or imagining that which is finful, though in the deepest secrecy. How shall a Man know to do himself this right, how to perform this honourable duty of Estimation and Respect towards his own Soul and Body? which way will lead him best to this Hill-top of Sanctity and Goodness, above which there is no higher ascent but to the Love of God, which from this felf-pious regard cannot be afunder? No better way doubtlefs, than to let him duly understand, that as he is call'd by the high Calling of God, to be holy and pure, to is he by the same appointment ordain'd, and by the Church's call admitted to fuch Offices of Discipline in the Church, to which his own spiritual Gifts, by the Example of Apottolic Institution, have authoriz'd him. For we have learnt that the scornful term of Laic, the confecrating of Temples, Carpets, and Table-cloths, the railing in of a repugnant and contradictive Mount Sinai in the Gospel, as if the touch of a Lay-christian, who is never the less God's living Temple, could prophane dead Judaisms, the exclusion of Christ's People from the Offices of holy Discipline through the pride of a usurping Clergy, causes the rest to have an unworthy and abject Opinion of themselves, to approach to holy Duties with a flavish fear, and to unholy doings with a familiar boldness. For feeing fuch a wide and terrible diftance between religious things and themfelves, and that in respect of a wooden Table, and the perimeter of holy Ground about it, a flagon Pot, and a linen Corporal, the Prieft efteems their Lay-ships unhallow'd and unclean, they fear Religion with such a fear as loves not, and think the purity of the Gospel too pure for them, and that any uncleanness is more suitable to their unconfecrated Estate. But when every good Christian throughly acquainted with all those glorious Privileges of Sanctification and Adoption, which render him more facred than any dedicated Altar or Element, shall be restor'd to his right in the Church, and not excluded from fuch place of spiritual Government, as his Christian Abilities, and his approved good Life in the eye and testimony of the Church shall prefer him to, this and nothing fooner will open his eyes to a wife and true valuation of himself; which is so requisite and high a point of Christianity, and will ftir him up to walk worthy the honourable and grave Employment wherwith God and the Church hath dignify'd him; not fearing left he should meet with fome outward holy thing in Religion, which his Lay-touch or prefence might profane; but left fomething unholy from within his own Heart, should dishonour and prophane in himself that Priestly Unction and Clergy-right wherto Christ hath entitled him. Then would the Congregation of the Lord foon recover the true likeness and visage of what she is indeed, a holy Generation, a royal Priesthood, a faintly Communion, the Houshold and City of God. And this I hold to be another confiderable Reason why the Functions of Church-Government ought to be free and open to any Christian man, though never for laic, if his Capacity, his Faithand prudent Demeanor commend him. And this the Apostles warrant us to do. But the Prelates object, that this will bring Prophaneness into the Church: to whom may be reply'd, that none have brought

brought that in more than their own irreligious courfes, nor more driven Holiness out of living into lifeless things. For wheras God, who hath cleans'd every beast and creeping worm, would not suffer S. Peter to call them common or unclean, the Prelate Bishops, in their printed Orders hung up in Churches, have proclaim'd the best of Creatures, Mankind, so unpurify'd and contagious, that for him to lay his Hat or his Garment upon the Chancel-Table, they have defin'd it no less heinous, in express words, than to prophane the Table of the Lord. And thus have they by their Ganaanitish Doctrine, (for that which was to the Jew but Jewish, is to the Christian no better than Canaanitish) thus have they made common and unclean, thus have they made prophane that nature which God hath not only cleans'd, but Christ also hath as-And now that the equity and just reason is so perspicuous, why in fum'd. Ecclesiastic censure the affistance should be added of such, as whom not the vile odour of Gain and Fees (forbid it God, and blow it with a Whirlwind out of our Land) but Charity, Neighbourhood, and duty to Church-Government hath call'd together, where could a wife Man wish a more equal, gratuitous, and meek examination of any Offence that he might happen to commit against Christianity than here? Would he prefer those proud simoniacal Courts? Thus therfore the Minister assisted attends his Heavenly and Spiritual Cure: where we shall see him both in the course of his proceeding, and first in the excellency of his end, from the Magistrate sar different, and not more different than excelling. His end is to recover all that is of Man, both Soul and Body, to an everlasting Health: and yet as for worldly Happiness, which is the proper Sphere wherin the Magistrate cannot but confine his motion without a hideous exorbitancy from Law, fo little aims the Minister, as his intended feope, to procure the much Prosperity of this Life, that ofttimes he may have cause to wish much of it away, as a Diet pussing up the Soul with a slimy fleshiness, and weakning her principal Organic parts. Two heads of evil he has to cope with, Ignorance and Malice. Against the former he provides the daily Manna of incorruptible Doctrine, not at those set Meals only in public, but as oft as he shall know that each Infirmity or Constitution requires. Against the latter with all the branches therof, not meddling with that restraining and styptic Surgery which the Law uses, not indeed against the Malady, but against the Eruptions, and outermost Effects therof; he on the contrary, beginning at the prime causes and roots of the Disease, sends in those two divine Ingredients of most cleansing power to the Soul, Admonition and Reproof; besides which two there is no Drug or Antidote that can reach to purge the mind, and without which all other Experiments are but vain, unless by accident. And he that will not let these pass into him, though he be the greatest King, as *Plato* affirms, must be thought to remain impure within, and unknowing of those things wherin his pureness and his knowledge should most appear. As soon therfore as it may be difcern'd that the Christian Patient, by feeding otherwhere on Meats not allowable, but of evil juice, hath difordered his Diet, and spread an ill Humour through his Veins, immediately disposing to a Sickness, the Minister, as being much nearer both in Eye and Duty than the Magistrate, speeds him betimes to overtake that diffus'd Malignance with some gentle Potion of Admonishment; or if aught be obstructed, puts in his opening and discussive Confec-This not fucceeding after once or twice, or oftner, in the prefence of two or three his faithful Brethren appointed therto, he advises him to be more careful of his dearest Health, and what it is that he fo rashly hath let down into the divine Vessel of his Soul, God's Temple. If this obtain not, he then, with the counsel of more Assistants, who are inform'd of what diligence hath been already us'd, with more speedy Remedies lays nearer siege to the entrench'd Causes of his Distemper, not sparing such fervent and well-aim'd Reproofs as may best give him to see the dangerous estate wherin To this also his Brethren and Friends intreat, exhort, adjure; and all these Endeavours, as there is hope lest, are more or less repeated. But if neither the regard of himfelf, nor the reverence of his Elders and Friends prevail with him, to leave his vitious Appetite; then as the time urges, such Engines of Terror God hath given into the hand of the Minister, as to search the tenderest Angles of the Heart: one while he shakes his stubbornness

urg'd against PRELATY. Book II.

with racking convulfions nigh despair, otherwhiles with deadly corrosives he gripes the very roots of his faulty liver to bring him to life through the entry of death. Hereto the whole Church befeech him, beg of him, deplore him, pray for him. After all this perform'd with what patience and attendance is possible, and no relenting on his part, having done the utmost of their cure, in the name of God and of the Church they diffolve their fellowship with him, and holding forth the dreadful fponge of Excommunion, pronounce him wiped out of the lift of God's Inheritance, and in the cuftody of Satan till he repent. Which horrid fentence, tho' it touch neither life nor limb, nor any worldly possession, yet has it such a penetrating force, that swifter than any chymical fulphur, or that lightning which harms not the fkin, and rifles the entrails, it fcorches the inmost Soul. Yet even this terrible denouncement is left to the Church for no other cause but to be as a rough and vehement cleanfing medicine, where the malady is obdurate, a mortifying to life, a kind of faving by undoing, And it may be truly faid, that as the mercies of wicked Men are cruelties, so the cruelties of the Church are mercies. For if repentance fent from Heaven meet this loft wanderer, and draw him out of that fleep journey wherin he was hafting towards destruction, to come and reconcile to the Church, if he bring with him his bill of health, and that he is now clear of infection, and of no danger to the other sheep; then with incredible expressions of joy all his brethren receive him, and set before him those persumed bankets of Christian Consolation; with precious ointments bathing and fomenting the old, and now to be forgotten stripes which terror and shame had inslicted; and thus with heavenly solaces they cheer up his humble remorfe, till he regain his first health and felicity. This is the approved way which the Gospel prescribes, these are the spiritual weapons of boly censure, and ministerial warfare, not carnal, but mighty through God to the pull- 2 Cor. x. ing down of strong holds, casting down imaginations, and every high thing that exalteth itself against the knowledge of God, and bringing into captivity every thought to the obedience of Christ. What could be done more for the healing and reclaiming that divine particle of God's breathing, the Soul, and what could be done lefs? he that would hide his faults from fuch a wholefor curing as this, and count it a two-fold punishment, as some do, is like a Man that having foul diseases about him, perishes for shame, and the fear he has of a rigorous incifion to come upon his flesh. We shall be able by this time to discern whether Prelatical Jurisdiction be contrary to the Gospel or no. First therfore the Government of the Gospel being occonomical and paternal, that is, of such a family where there be no fervants, but all fons in obedience, not in fervility, as cannot be denied by him that lives but within the found of Scripture; how can the Prelates justify to have turn'd the fatherly orders of Christ's houshold, the bleffed meekness of his lowly roof, those ever-open and inviting doors of his dwelling-house, which delight to be frequented with only filial accesses; how can they justify to have turn'd these domestic privileges into the bar of a proudjudicial Court, where sees and clamours keep shop and drive a trade, where bribery and corruption folicites, paltring the free and moneylefs power of discipline with a carnal satisfaction by the purse? Contrition, humiliation, confession, the very fighs of a repentant Spirit are there fold by the penny. That undeflour'd and unblemifhable fimplicity of the Gofpel, not the herfelf, for that could never be, but a falfe-whited, a lawny refemblance of her, like that air-born Helena in the fables, made by the forcery of Prelates, instead of calling her Disciples from the receit of custom, is now turn'd Publican herfelf; and gives up her body to a mercenary whoredom under those fornicated Arches which she calls God's house, and in the fight of those her altars which she hath set up to be ador'd, makes merchandize of the bodies and souls of Men. Rejecting Purgatory for no other reason, as it seems, than because her greediness cannot deser, but had rather use the utmost extortion of redeemed penances in this life. But because these matters could not be thus carried without a begg'd and borrow'd force from worldly authority, therfore Prelaty flighting the deliberate and chofen counfel of Christ in his spiritual government, whose glory is in the weakness of slesshly things, to tread upon the crest of the world's pride and violence by the power of spiritual Ordinances, hath on the contrary made these her friends and champions which are Christ's ene-

mies in this high design, smothering and extinguishing the spiritual force of his bodily weakness in the discipline of his Church with the boistrous and carnal tyranny of an undue, unlawful, and ungospel-like Jurisdiction. And thus Prelaty both in her slessly supportments, in her carnal Doctrine of Ceremony and Tradition, in her violent and secular power, going quite counter to the prime end of Christ's coming in the sless, to reveal his Truth, his Glory, and his Might, in a clean contrary manner than Prelaty seeks to do, thwarting and defeating the great mystery of God; I do not conclude that Prelaty is Antichristian, for what need I? the things themselves conclude it. Yet if such like practices, and not many worse than these of our Prelates, in that great darkness of the Roman Church, have not exempted both her and her present Members from being judg'd to be Antichristian in all orthodoxal esteem; I cannot think but that it is the absolute voice of Truth and all her children to pronounce this Prelaty, and these her dark deeds in the midst of this great Light wherin we live, to be more Antichristian than Antichrist himself.

The CONCLUSION.

The Mischief that Prelaty does in the State.

Add one thing more to those great ones that are so fond of Prelaty: this is certain, that the Gospel being the hidden might of Christ, as hath bin heard, hath ever a victorious power join'd with it, like him in the Revelation that went forth on the white Horse with his bow and his crown conquering, and to conquer. If we let the Angel of the Gospel ride on his own way, he does his proper business, conquering the high thoughts, and the proud reasonings of the flesh, and brings them under to give obedience to Christ with the Salvation of many Souls. But if ye turn him out of his road, and in a manner force him to express his irresistible power by a Doctrine of carnal might, as Prelaty is, he will use that fleshly strength which ye put into his hands to fubdue your Spirits by a fervile and blind Superstition; and that again shall hold fuch dominion over your captive minds, as returning with an infatiate greediness and force upon your worldly wealth and power, wherwith to deck and magnify herfelf, and her false worships, she shall spoil and havock your estates, disturb your ease, diminish your honour, inthrall your liberty under the fwelling mood of a proud Clergy, who will not ferve or feed your Souls with fpiritual food; look not for it, they have not wherwithal, or if they had, it is not in their purpose. But when they have glutted their ingrateful bodies, at least if it be possible that those open Sepulchres should ever be glutted, and when they have stuff'd their idolish Temples with the wastful pillage of your estates, will they yet have any compassion upon you, and that poor pittance which they have left you; will they be but fo good to you as that ravisher was to his fifter, when he had us'd her at his pleasure; will they but only hate ye, and fo turn ye loofe? No, they will not, Lords and Commons, they will not favour ye fo much. What will they do then in the name of God and Saints, what will these man-haters yet with more despight and mischief do? I'll tell ye, or at least remember ye, for most of ye know it already. That they may want nothing to make them true merchants of Babylon, as they have done to your Souls, they will fell your Bodies, your Wives, your Children, your Liberties, your Parlaments, all these things; and if there be aught else dearer than these, they will sell at an out-cry in their Pulpits to the arbitrary and illegal dispose of any one that may hereafter be call'd a King, whose mind shall serve him to listen to their bargain. And by their corrupt and fervile Doctrines boring our ears to an everlatting flavery, as they have done hitherto, fo will they yet do their best to repeal and erase every line and claufe of both our great Charters. Nor is this only what they will do, but what they hold as the main reason and mystery of their advancement that thev

they must do; be the Prince never so just and equal to his Subjects, yet such are their malicious and depraved eyes, that they fo look on him, and fo understand him, as if he required no other gratitude, or piece of service from them than this. And indeed they stand so opportunely for the disturbing or the destroying of a State, being a knot of creatures, whose dignities, means, and preferments have no foundation in the Gospel, as they themselves acknowledge, but only in the Prince's favour, and to continue so long to them, as by pleafing him they shall deferve: whence it must needs be they should bend all their intentions and fervices to no other ends but to his, that if it should happen that a Tyrant (God turn such a scourge from us to our encmics) should come to grasp the Scepter, here were his spear-men and his lances, here were his fire-locks ready, he should need no other *Preterian* band nor Penfionary than thefe, if they could once with their perfidious preachments awe the people. For although the Prelates in time of Popery were fometimes friendly enough to Magna Charta, it was because they stood upon their own bottom, without their main dependance on the Royal Nod: but now being well acquainted that the Protestant Religion, if she will reform herself rightly by the Scriptures, must undress them of all their gilded vanities, and reduce them, as they were at first, to the lowly and equal order of Presbyters, they know it concerns them nearly to study the times more than the text, and to lift up their eyes to the hills of the Court, from whence only comes their help; but if their pride grow weary of this crouching and observance, as ere long it would, and that yet their minds climb still to a higher ascent of worldly honour, this only refuge can remain to them, that they must of necessity contrive to bring themselves and us back again to the Pope's Supremacy, and this we see they had by fair degrees of late been doing. These be the two fair supporters between which the strength of Prelaty is borne up, either of inducing Tyranny, or of reducing Popery. Hence also we may judge that Prelaty is mere falshood. For the property of Truth is, where she is public-ly taught, to unyoke and set free the minds and spirits of a Nation first from the thraldom of Sin and Superstition, after which all honest and legal freedom of civil Life cannot be long absent; but Prelaty, whom the tyrant Custom begot a natural tyrant in Religion, and in State the agent and minister of Tyranny, feems to have had this fatal gift in her nativity, like another. Midas, that whatfoever she should touch or come near either in ecclesial or political Government, it should turn, not to Gold, though she for her part could wish it, but to the drofs and fcum of flavery, breeding and fettling both in the Bodies and the Souls of all fuch as do not in time, with the fovereign treacle of found Doctrine, provide to fortify their hearts against her Hierarchy. The fervice of God who is Truth, her Liturgy confesses to be perfect freedom; but her works and her opinions declare that the fervice of Prelaty is perfect flavery, and by confequence perfect fallhood. Which makes me wonder much that many of the Gentry, studious Men, as I hear, should engage themselves to write, and speak publicly in her defence; but that I believe their honest and ingenuous natures coming to the Universities to store themselves with good and solid Learning, and there unfortunately fed with nothing else but the foragged and thorny Lectures of monkish and miserable Sophistry, were tent home again with fuch a scholastical Bur in their throats, as hath stopp'd and hinder'd all true and generous Philosophy from entring, crack'd their voices for ever with metaphyfical Gargarifms, and hath made them admire a fort of formal outfide Men prelatically addicted, whose unchasten'd and unwrought minds were never yet initiated or fubdu'd under the true lore of Religion or moral Virtue, which two are the best and greatest points of Learning, but either slightly trained up in a kind of hypocritical and hackney course of literature to get their living by, and dazle the ignorant, or else fondly overstudied in useless controverfies, except those which they use with all the specious and delusive suttlety they are able, to defend their prelatical Sparta, having a Gospel and Churchgovernment let before their eyes, as a fair field wherin they might exercise the greatest virtues and the greatest deeds of Christian Authority, in mean fortunes and little furniture of this world; which even the fage Heathen Writers, and those old Fabritii and Carii well knew to be a manner of working, than which nothing could liken a mortal Man more to God, who delights most to Vol. I. Work

work from within himfelf, and not by the heavy luggage of corporeal inftruments, they understand it not, and think no such matter, but admire and dote upon worldly riches and honours, with an easy and intemperate life, to the bane of Christianity: yea, they and their Seminaries shame not to profess, to petition, and never lin pealing our ears, that unless we fat them like Boars. and crain them as they lift with Wealth, with Deaneries, and Pluralities, with Baronies and stately Preferments, all Learning and Religion will go under foot. Which is such a shameless, such a bestial plea, and of that odious impudence in Church-men, who should be to us a pattern of temperance and frugal mediocrity, who should teach us to contemn this World, and the gaudy things therof, according to the promife which they themselves require from us in Baptism, that should the Scripture stand by and be mute, there is not that fect of Philosophers among the Heathen so dissolute, no not Epicurus, nor Aristippus with all his Cyrenaic rout, but would shut his School-doors against fuch greafy Sophisters; not any College of Mountebanks, but would think fcorn to discover in themselves with such a brazen forehead the outragious defire of filthy lucre. Which the Prelates make fo little confcience of, that they are ready to fight, and if it lay in their power, to maffacre all good Christians under the names of horrible Schismatics, for only finding fault with their temporal dignities, their unconfcionable wealth and revenues, their cruel authority over their Brethren that labour in the Word, while they fnore in their luxurious excess: Openly proclaiming themselves now in the fight of all men, to be those which for a while they fought to cover under sheeps clothing, ravenous and savage wolves, threatening inroads and bloody incursions upon the flock of Christ, which they took upon them to feed, but now claim to devour as their prey. More like that huge Dragon of Egypt, breathing out waste and desolation to the Land, unless he were daily fatten'd with Virgin's blood. Him our old Patron St. George by his matchless valour flew, as the Prelate of the Garter that reads his Collect can tell. And if our Princes and Knights will imitate the fame of that old Champion, as by their order of Knighthood folemnly taken, they vow, far be it that they should uphold and fide with this English Dragon; but rather to do as indeed their oath binds them, they should make it their knightly adventure to pursue and vanquish this mighty sail-wing'd Monster that menaces to swallow up the Land, unless her bottomless gorge may be satisfy'd with the blood of the King's daughter the Church; and may, as fhe was wont, fill her dark and infamous den with the bones of the Saints. Nor will any one have reason to think this as too incredible or too tragical to be spoken of Prelaty, if he consider well from what a mass of slime and mud the slothful, the covetous and ambitious hopes of Church-promotions and fat Bishopricks, she is bred up and nuzzled in, like a great Python from her youth, to prove the general poison both of Doctrine and good Discipline in the Land. For certainly such hopes and such principles of earth as thefe wherin she welters from a young one, are the immediate generation both of a flavish and tyrannous life to follow, and a pestiferous contagion to the whole Kingdom, till like that fen-born Serpent she be shot to death with the darts of the Sun, the pure and powerful beams of God's Word. And this may serve to describe to us in part, what Prelaty hath bin, and what, if she stand, she is like to be toward the whole body of people in England. Now that it may appear how she is not such a kind of evil, as hath any good or use in it, which many evils have, but a distill'd quintessence, a pure elixir of mischief, pestilent alike to all, I shall shew briefly, ere I conclude, that the Prelates, as they are to the subjects a calamity, so are they the greatest underminers and betrayers of the Monarch, to whom they feem to be most favourable. I cannot better liken the state and person of a King than to that mighty Nazarite Sampson; who being disciplin'd from his birth in the precepts and the practice of temperance and sobriety, without the strong drink of injurious and excessive desires, grows up to a noble ftrength and perfection with those his illustrious and funny Locks, the Laws, waving and curling about his god-like shoulders. And while he keeps them about him undiminish'd and unshorn, he may with the jaw-bone of an Ass, that is, with the word of his meanest officer, suppress and put to confusion thoufands of those that rise against his just power. But laying down his head among

among the strumpet flatteries of Prelates, while he sleeps and thinks no harm, t -y wickedly shaving off all those bright and weighty tresses of his Laws, and j ft Prerogatives, which were his ornament and strength, deliver him over to indirect and violent countels, which as those Philistines put out the fair and far-fighted eyes of his natural discerning, and make him grind in the prison-house of their finiter ends and practices upon him: Till he, knowing this Prelatical Rafor to have bereft him of his wonted might, nourish again his puissant hair, the golden beams of Law and Right: and they sternly shook, thunder with ruin upon the heads of those his evil Counsellors, but not without great affliction to himfelf. This is the fum of their loyal fervice to Kings; vet these are the men that still cry, The King, the King, the Lord's Arointed. We grant it, and wonder how they came to light upon any thing to true; and wonder more, if Kings be the Lord's Anointed, how they dare thus oil over and befmear so holy an Unction with the corrupt and putrid ointment of their base flatteries; which while they smooth the skin, strike inward and envenom the life-blood. What fidelity Kings can expect from Prelates, both examples past, and our present experience of their doings at this day, wheren is grounded all that hath bin faid, may fuffice to inform us. And if they be fuch clippers of Regal Power, and shavers of the Laws, how they stand affected to the Law-giving Parlament, yourselves, worthy Peers and Commons, can best testify; the current of whose glorious and immortal actions liath bin only opposed by the obscure and pernicious designs of the Prelates, until their infolence broke out to fuch a bold affront, as hath juftly immur'd their haughty looks within strong walls. Nor have they done any thing of late with more diligence, than to hinder or break the happy affembling of Parlaments, however needful to repair the shattered and disjointed frame of the Commonwealth; or if they cannot do this, to cross, to difinable, and traduce all Parlamentary Proceedings. And this, if nothingelfe, plainly accuses them to be no lawful Members of the House, if they thus perpetually mutiny against their own body. And though they pretend, like Salomon's harlot, that they have right therto, by the same judgment that Salomon gave, it cannot belong to them, whenas it is not only their affent, but their endea-vour continually to divide Parlaments in twain; and not only by dividing, but by all other means to abolish and destroy the free use of them to all posterity. For the which, and for all their former misdeeds, wherof this Book and many Volumes more cannot contain the moiety, I shall move ye Lords in the behalf I dare fay of many thousand good Christians, to let your justice and speedy sentence pass against this great malefactor Prelaty. And yet in the midst of rigour I would befeech ye to think of mercy; and such a mercy, I fear I shall overshoot with a desire to save this falling Prelaty, such a mercy (if I may venture to say it) as may exceed that which for only ten righteous Persons would have sav'd Sodem. Not that I dare advise ye to contend with God, whether he or you shall be more merciful, but in your wife esteems to ballance the offences of those peccant Cities with these enormous riots of ungodly mif-rulethat Prelaty hath wrought both in the Church of Christ, and in the State of this Kingdom. And if ye think ye may with a pious presumption strive to go beyond God in mercy, I shall not be one now that would diffuade Though God for less than ten just Persons would not spare Sodom, yet if you can find after due fearch, but only one good thing in Prelaty, either to Religion or Civil Government, to King or Parliament, to Prince or People, to Law, Liberty, Wealth, or Learning, spare her, let her live, let her spread among ye, till with her shadow all your Dignities and Honours, and all the glory of the Land be darken'd and obscur'd. But on the contrary, if she be found to be malignant, hostile, destructive to all these, as nothing can be furer, then let your fevere and impartial Doom imitate the divine Vengeance; rain down your punishing force upon this godless and oppressing Government: and bring fuch a dead Sea of subversion upon her, that she may never in this Land rife more to afflict the holy reformed Church, and the elect People of God.

Vol. I. ANIMAD.

ANIMADVERSIONS

UPON THE

Remonstrants Defence against Smectymnuus.

The PREFACE.

Libough it be a certain Truth that they who undertake a religious Cause nced not care to be Nien-pleasers; yet because the satisfaction of tender and mild Consciences is far different from that which is call'd Men-pleasing, to satisfy such, I shall address myself in few words to give notice beforehand of something in this Book, which to some Men perhaps may seem offensive. that when I have render'd a lawful reason of what is done, I may trust to have fav'd the labour of defending or excusing hereafter. We all know that in private or personal Injuries, yea in public Sufferings for the cause of Christ, his Rule and Example teaches us to be so far from a readiness to speak evil, as not to answer the reviler in his Language, though never so much provok'd: yet in the detecting, and convincing of any notorious Enemy to Truth and his Country's peace, especially that is conceited to have a voluble and smart fluence of Tongue, and in the vain confidence of that, and out of a more tenacious cling to worldly respects, stands up for all the rest to justify a long Usurpation and convicted Pseudepiscopy of Prelates, with all their Ceremonies, Liturgies, and Tyrannies which God and Man are now ready to explode and hifs out of the Land; I suppose, and more than suppose, it will be nothing disagreeing from Christian Meekness, to handle such a one in a rougher Accent, and to send home his Haughtiness well bespurted with his own Holy-water. Nor to do thus are we unautoritied either from the moral Precept of SALOMON, to answer himtherafter that prides him in his Folly; nor from the example of Christ, and all his Followers in all Ages, who in the refuting of those that resisted sound Destrine, and by subtile Dissimulations corrupted the minds of Men, have wrought up their zealous Souls into fuch vehemencies, as nothing could be more killingly spoken: for who can be a greater enemy to Mankind, who a more dangerous deceiver, than he who defending a traditional Corruption uses no common Arts, but with a wily Stratagem of yielding to the time a greater part of his Cause, seeming to forgo all that Man's Invention bath done therin, and driven from much of his hold in Scripture; yet leaving it hanging by a twin'd Thread, not from Divine Command. but from Apostolical Prudence or Assent; as if he had the surety of some rolling Trench, creeps up by this means to his relinquish'd fortress of divine Authority again, and still hovering between the Confines of that which he dares not be openly, and that which he will not be fincerely, trains on the easy Christian insensibly within the close ambushment of worst Errors, and with a sly shuffle of counterfeit Principles, chopping and changing till he have glean'd all the good ones out of their Minds, leaves them at last, after a slight resemblance of sweeping and garnishing, under the sevenfold possession of a desperate Stupidity? And therfore they that love the Souls of Men, which is the dearest love, and stirs up the noblest jealousy, when they meet with such Collusion, cannot be blam'd though they be transported with the zeal of Truth to a well-heated fervency; effecially, seeing they which thus offend against the Souls of their Brethren, do it with delight to their great gain, ease, and advancement in this World; but they that seek to discover and oppose their false trade of Deceiving, do it not without a sad and unwilling Anger, not without many Hazards; but without all private and personal spleen, and without any thought of earthly Reward, whenas this very course they take stops their hopes of ascending above a lowly and unenviable pitch in this Life. And although in the serious uncasing of a grand Imposture (for to deal plainly with you Readers, Prelaty is no better) there be mix'd here and there such a grim laughter, as may appear at the same time in an austere Visage, it cannot be taxt of Levity or Insolence: for even this vein of laughing (as I could produce out of grave Authors) bath of t-times a strong and sinewy force in teaching and consuting; nor can there be a more proper object of Indignation and Scorn together, than a false Prophet taken in the greatest, dearest, and most dangerous cheat, the cheat of Souls: in the disclosing wheros, if it be harmful to be angry, and withal to cast a lowring Smile, when the properest Object calls for both, it will be long enough ere any be able to say, why those two most rational faculties of human intellect, Anger and Laughter, were first seated in the breast of Man. Thus much (Readers) in favour of the softer-spirited Christian, sorother exceptioners there was no thought taken. Only if it be ask'd, why this close and succinculationer of coping with the Adversary was rather chosen, this was the reason chiefly, that the ingenuous Reader, without further amusing himself in the Labyrinth of controversal Antiquity, may come to the speediest way to see the Truth vindicated, and Sophistry taken short at the first salse bound. Next, that the Remonstrant himself, as oft as he pleases to be frolick, and brave it with others, may find no gain of Money, and may learn not to insult in so had a Cause. But now he begins.

Sест. 1.

Remonstrant. My single Remonstrance is encounter'd with a plural Adversary. Pag. 1. Answer. Did not your single Remonstrance bring along with it a hot scent of your more than singular Affection to spiritual Pluralicies, your singleness would be less suspected with all good Christians than it is.

Remonst. Their Names, Persons, Qualities, Numbers, I care not to know. Answ. Their Names are known to the all-knowing Power above; and in the mean while doubtless they wreck not whether you or your Nomenclator know them or not.

Remonst. But could they say my name is Legion, for we are many?

Answ. Wherfore should you begin with the Devil's Name, descanting upon the number of your Opponents? wherfore that conceit of Legion with a bywipe? Was it because you would have Mentake notice how you esteem them, whom through all your Book so bountifully you call your Brethren? we had not thought that Legion could have furnish'd the Remonstrant with so many Brethren.

Remonst. My cause, ye Gods, would bid me meet them undismay'd, &c.. Answ. Ere a foot further we must be content to hear a preambling boast of your Valour, what a St. Dunstan you are to encounter Legions, either infernal or human.

Remonst. My cause, ye Gods.

Answ. What Gods? unless your Belly, or the God of this World be he? Shew us any one point of your Remonstrance that does not more concern Superiority, Pride, Ease, and the Belly, than the Truth and Glory of God, or the Salvation of Souls.

Remonst. My cause, ye Gods, would bid me meet them undismay'd, and

to fay with holy David, though an Host, &c.

Answ. Do not think to persuade us of your undaunted Courage, by misapplying to yourself the words of holy David; we know you fear, and are in an Agony at this present, lest you should lose that superfluity of Riches and Honour which your party usurp. And whosoever covets, and so earnestly labours to keep such an incumbring surcharge of earthly things, cannot but have an Earthquake still in his Bones. You are not arm'd Remonstrant, nor any of your Band; you are not dieted, nor your Loins girt for spiritual Valour, and Christian Warsare, the luggage is too great that follows your Camp; your hearts are there, you march heavily: How shall we think you have not carnal Fear, while we see you so subject to carnal Desires?

Remonst. I do gladly fly to the Bar.

Answ. To the Bar with him then. Gladly you fay. We believe you as Pag. 2. gladly as your whole Faction wish'd, and long'd for the assembling of this Parlament, as gladly as your Beneficiaries the *Priests* came up to answer the complaints and out-cries all of the Shires.

Remonst. The Areopagi? who were those? truly my Masters, I had thought

this had bin the name of the Place, not of the Men.

Answ. A Soar-Eagle would not stoop at a Fly; but sure some Pedagogue stood at your Elbow, and made it itch with this parlous Criticism; they urg'd you with a decree of the sage and severe Judges of Athens, and you cite them to appear for certain Paragogical Contempts, before a capacious Pedanty of hot-liver'd Grammarians. Mistake not the matter, courteous Remonstrant, they were not making Latins; if in dealing with an outlandish Name, they thought it best not to screw the English Mouth to a harsh foreign Termina-

tion.

Remon-

firance.

tion, fo they kept the radical word, they did no more than the elegantese Authors among the Greeks, Romans, and at this day the Italians in scorn of fuch a fervility use to do. Remember how they mangle our British Names abroad; what trespats were it, if we in requital should as much neglect theirs? and our learned Chaucer did not stick to do so, writing Semyramus for Semiramis, Amphiorax for Amphiaraus, K. Sejes for K. Ceyx the husband of Aleyone, with many other names strangely metamorphos'd from true Orthography, if he had made any account of that in these kind of words.

Remonst. Lest the World should think the Press had of late lorgot to speak At the beginany Language other than libellous, this honest Paper hath broken through the ning of his

> Answ. Mince the matter while you will, it shew'd but green practice in the Laws of discreet Rhetorique to blurt upon the ears of a judicious Parlament with fuch a prefumptuous and over-weening Proem: but you do well to be the Sewer of your own meffe.

Remonit. That which you miscall the Presace, was a too just complaint of

the shameful number of Libels.

Answ. How long is it that you and the Prelatical Troop have bin in such diftafte with Libels? ask your Lysimachus Nicaner what defaming Invectives have lately flown abroad against the Subjects of Scotland, and our poor expulsed Brethren of New-England, the Prelates rather applauding than shewing any dislike: and this hath bin ever so, infomuch, that Sir Francis Bacon in one of his Discourses complains of the Bishops uneven hand over these Pamphlets, confining those against Bishops to darkness, but licensing those against Puritans to be utter'd openly, though with the greater mischief of leading into contempt the exercise of Religion in the persons of sundry Preachers, and difgracing the higher matter in the meaner person.

Remonst. A point no less essential to that proposed Remonstrance.

Answ. We know where the shoe wrings you, you fret, and are gall'd at the quick; and O what a Death it is to the Prelates to be thus un-vislarded, thus uncas'd, to have the Periwigs pluck'd off that cover your Baldness, your infide Nakedness thrown open to publick view! The Romans had a time once every year, when their flaves might freely speak their minds; 'twere hard if the free-born People of England, with whom the voice of Truth for these many years, even against the Proverb, hath not bin heard but in corners, after all your Monkish Prohibitions, and expurgatorious Indexes, your Gags and Snaffles, your proud Imprimaturs not to be obtain'd without the shallow furview, but not shallow hand of some mercenary, narrow-soul'd, and illiterate Chaplain; when liberty of speaking, than which nothing is more sweet to Man, was girded, and streight-lac'd almost to a broken-winded Tizzic, if now at a good time, our time of Parlament, the very Jubilee and Refurrection of the State, if now the conceal'd, the aggrieved, and long perfecuted Truth, could not be fuffer'd to speak; and though she burst out with some efficacy of words, could not be excus'd after such an injurious strangle of filence, nor avoid the cenfure of Libeling, 'twere hard, 'twere fomething pinching in a Kingdom of free Spirits. Some Princes, and great Statists, have thought it a prime piece of necessary Policy to thrust themselves under difguife into a popular throng, to stand the night long under eaves of houses, and low windows, that they might hear every where the free utterances of private Breasts, and amongst them find out the precious gem of Truth, as amongst the numberless pebbles of the shore; wherby they might be the abler to discover, and avoid that deceitful and close-couch'd evil of Flattery that ever attends them, and misleads them, and might skilfully know how to apply the feveral Redreffes to each Malady of State, without trufting the difloyal Information of Parafites and Sycophants: wheras now this permission of free writing, were there no good elfe in it, yet at some times thus licenc'd, is fuch an unripping, fuch an Anatomy of the shiest and tenderest particular Truths, as makes not only the whole Nation in many points the wifer, but also presents and carries home to Princes, and Men most remote from vulgar Concourse, such a full insight of every lurking Evil, or restrained Good among the Commons, as that they shall not need hereafter in old Cloaks, and false Beards, to stand to the courtely of a night-walking Cudgeller for eavesdropping.

dropping, nor to accept quietly as a Perfume, the over-head emptying of fome falt Lotion. Who could be angry therfore, but those that are guilty, with thefe free-spoken and plain-hearted Men that are the Eyes of their Country, and the Prospective-glasses of their Prince? But these are the Nettlers, these are the blabbing Books that tell, though not halfy our fellows seats. You love toothless Satyrs; let me inform you, a toothless Satyr is as improper as a toothed fleek-stone, and as bullish.

Remonst. I beseech you Brethren spend your Logic upon your own works. Answ. The peremptory Analysis that you call it, I believe will be so hardy as once more to unpin your spruce fastidious Oratory, to rumple her laces, her

frizzles, and her bobins, tho' she wince, and sling never so peevishly.

Remonst. Those verbal Exceptions are but light froth, and will fink alone. Pag 4-Answ. O rare suttlety, beyond all that Cardan ever dreamt of! when I befeech you, will light things fink? when will light froth fink alone? Here in your phrase, the same day that heavy plummets will swim alone. Man, Readers, if you pleafe, whose Divinity would reconcile England with Rome, and his Philosophy make friends Nature with the Chaos, fine pondere babentia pondus.

Remonst. That four may be worth taking off which follows.

Answ. Spare your Ladle, Sir, it will be as bad as the Bishop's foot in the broth; the four will be found upon your own Remonstrance.

Remonst. I shall defire all indifferent eyes to judge whether these Men do not endeavour to cast unjust envy upon me.

Answ. Agreed.

Remonst. I had faid that the civil Polity as in general Notion, hath sometimes varied, and that the Civil came from Arbitrary Impofers; thefe gracious Interpreters would needs draw my words to the prefent and particular

Government of our Monarchy.

Answ. And deservedly have they done so; take up your Logic else and see: Civil Polity, fay you, hath fometimes varied, and came from Arbitrary Impofers; what Proposition is this? Bishop Downam in his Dialectics will tell you it is a general Axiom, though the univerfal Particle be not express'd, and you yourfelf in your Defence so explain in these words as in general notion. Hence is justly inferr'd, he that fays civil Polity is arbitrary, fays that the civil Polity of England is Arbitrary. The inference is undeniable, a thefi ad bypothesin, or from the general to the particular, an evincing Argument in

Remonst. Brethren, whiles ye define to seem godly, learn to be less ma-Pag. 5.

Answ. Remonstrant, till you have better learnt your principles of Logic, take not upon you to be a Doctor to others.

Remonst. God bless all good Men from such Charity.

Anfw. I never found that Logical Maxims were uncharitable before, yet should a Jury of Logicians pass upon you, you would never be sav'd by the Book.

Remonst. And your facred Monarchy from fuch Friends.

Anfw. Add, as the Prelates.

Remonst. If Episcopacy have yoked Monarchy, it is the Insolence of the Perfons, not the fault of the Calling.

Anfw. It was the fault of the Persons, and of no Calling; we do not count **P**relaty a Calling.

Remonst. The Testimony of a Pope (whom these Men honour highly.)

Answ. That flanderous Infertion was doubtlefs a pang of your incredible Charity, the want wherof you lay so often to their charge; a kind token of your favour lapt up in a parenthesis, a piece of the Clergy benevolence laid by to maintain the Episcopal broil, whether the 1000 Horse or no, time will discover: for certainly had those Cavaliers come on to play their parts, such a ticket as this of highly honouring the Pope, from the hand of a Prelate, might have bin of special use and safety to them that had car'd for such a ransom.

Remonst. And what fays Antichrift?

Answ. Ask your Brethren the Prelates that hold Intelligence with him, ask not us. But is the Pope Antichrist now? good news! take heed you be not

Pag. 7.

shent for this; for 'tis verily thought, that had this Bill bin put in against him in your last Convocation, he would have bin clear'd by most voices.

Remonst. Any thing ferves against Episcopacy.

Answ. See the frowardness of this Man, he would persuade us that the Succession and divine Right of Bishopdom hath bin unquestionable through all Ages; yet when they bring against him Kings, they were irreligious; Popes, they are Antichrist. By what Æra of Computation, through what Facry Land, would the Man deduce this perpetual bead-roll of uncontradicted Episcopacy? The Pope may as well boast his ungainsaid Authority to them that will believe that all his Contradicters were either irreligious or heretical.

Remonst. If the Bishops, faith the Pope, be declar'd to be of divine Right, they would be exempted from regal Power; and if there might be this danger in those Kingdoms, why is this enviously upbraided to those of ours? who

do gladly profess, &e.

Answ. Because your dissever'd Principles were but like the mangled pieces of a gash'd Serpent, that now begun to close, and grow together Popish again. Whatsoever you now gladly profess out of fear, we know what your drifts were when you thought yourselves secure.

Remonst. It is a foul slander to charge the name of Episcopacy with a Fac-

tion, for the Fact imputed to some few.

Answ. The more foul your Faction that hath brought a harmless name into obloquy, and the Fact may justly be imputed to all of ye that ought to have withstood it, and did not.

Remonst. Fie Brethren! are ye the Presbyters of the Church of England, and

dare challenge Episcopacy of Faction?

Answ. Yes, as oft as Episcopacy dares be factious.

Remonst. Had you spoken such a word in the time of holy Cyprian, what had

become of you?

Answ. They had neither bin hal'd into your Gehenna at Lambeth, nor ftrappado'd with an Oath ex officio by your Bow-men of the Arches: and as for Cyprian's time, the cause was far unlike, he indeed succeeded into an Episcopacy that began then to prelatize; but his personal Excellence like an Antidote overcame the malignity of that breeding Corruption which was then a Disease that lay hid for a while under shew of a full and healthy Constitution, as those hydropic humours not discernable at first from a fair and juicy slessifiers of body, or that unwonted ruddy colour which seems graceful to a cheek otherwise pale; and yet arises from evil causes, either of some inward obstruction or inflammation, and might deceive the first Physicians till they had learnt the sequel, which Cyprian's days did not bring forth; and the Prelatism of Episcopacy which began then to burgeon and spread, had as yet, especially in samous Men, a fair, though a salse imitation of flourishing.

Remonst. Neither is the wrong less to make application of that which was most justly charg'd upon the practices and combinations of libelling Separatirts,

whom I deservedly censur'd, &c.

Anfro. To conclude this Section, our Remonstrant we fee is refolv'd to make good that which was formerly faid of his Book, that it was neither bumble, nor a Remonstrance, and this his Defence is of the same complexion. he is constrain'd to mention the notorious violence of his Clergy attempted on the Church of Scotland, he flightly terms it a Fact imputed to some few; but when he speaks of that which the Parlament vouchsafes to name the City Petition, which I, faith he, (as if the State had made him public Cenfor) defervedly cenfur'd. And how? as before for a tumultuary and underhand way of procured Subscriptions, so now in his Defence more bitterly, as the practices and combinations of libelling Separatifts, and the mifzealous Advocates therof juftly to be branded for Incendiaries. Whether this be for the honour of our chief City to be noted with fuch an Infamy for a Petition, which not without some of the Magistrates, and great numbers of sober and confiderable Men, was orderly, and meekly presented, although our great Clerks think that these Men, because they have a Trade, (as Christ himself, and St. Paul had) cannot therfore attain to some good measure of knowledge, and to a reason of their Actions, as well as they that spend their youth in loitering, bezzling, and harlotting, their Studies in unprofitable Questions and barba-

Pag. 8.

Page 9.

rous Sophistry, their middle Age in Ambition and Idleness, their old Age in Avarice, Dotage, and Diseases: And whether this resect not with a Contumely upon the *Parlament* it self, which thought this Petition worthy, not only of receiving, but of voting to a Commitment, after it had been advocated, and mov'd for by some honourable and learned Gentlemen of the House, to be call'd a Combination of libelling Separatists, and the Advocates therof to be branded for Incendiaries; whether this appeach not the Judgment and Approbation of the *Parlament*, I leave to equal Arbiters.

SECT. 2.

Remonst. After the overflowing of your Gall, you descend to Liturgy and Episcopacy.

Answ. The overflow being past, you cannot now in your own Judgment

impute any Bitterness to their following Discourses.

Remonst. Dr. Hall, whom you name, I dare say for Honour's sake.

Answ. You are a merry Man, Sir, and dare fay much.

Remonst. And why should not I speak of Martyrs, as the Authors and

Users of this holy Liturgy?

Answ. As the Authors! the Translators, you might perhaps have said: for Edward the Sixth, as Hayward hath written in his Story, will tell you upon the word of a King, that the Order of the Service, and the use therof in the English Tongue, is no other than the old Service was, and the same words in English which were in Latin, except a few things omitted, so fond, that it had been a shame to have heard them in English; these are his words: wherby we are left uncertain who the Author was, but certain that part of the work was esteem'd so absurd by the Translators therof, as was to be assamed of in English. O but the Martyrs were the Resiners of it, for that only is left you to say. Admit they were, they could not refine a Scorpion into a Fish, though they had drawn it, and rinc'd it with never so cleanly Cookery, which made them sall at variance among themselves about the use either of it, or the Ceremonies belonging to it.

Remonst. Slight you them as you please, we bless God for such Patrons of

our good Cause.

Answ. O Benedicite! Qui color ater erat, nunc est contrarius atro. Are not these they which one of your Bishops in print scornfully terms the Foxian Confessor? Are not these they whose Acts and Monuments are not only so contemptible, but so hateful to the Prelates, that their Story was almost come to be a prohibited Book, which for these two or three Editions hath crept into the World by stealth, and at times of advantage, not without the open Regret and Vexation of the Bishops, as many honest Men that had to do in setting forth the Book will justify? And now at a dead lift for your Liturgies you bless God for them: out upon such Hypocrify.

Remonst. As if we were bound to make good every word that falls from Page 10.

the mouth of every Bishop.

Answ. Your Faction then belike is a subtile Janus, and has two faces: your bolder face to set forward any Innovations or Scandals in the Church, your cautious and wary face to disavow them if they succeed not, that so the fault may not light upon the Function, lest it should spoil the whole Plot by giving it an irrecoverable wound. Wherfore else did you not long ago, as a good Bishop should have done, disclaim and protest against them? wherfore have you sate still, and comply'd and hood-wink'd, till the general Complaints of the Land have squeezed you to a wretched, cold and hollow-hearted Confession of some prelatical Riots both in this and other places of your Book? Nay, what if you still defend them as follows?

Remonst. If a Bishop have said that our Liturgy hath been so wisely and charitably fram'd as that the Devotion of it yieldeth no cause of offence to a

very Pope's ear.

Answ. O new and never heard of Supererogative height of Wisdom and Charity in our Liturgy! Is the Wisdom of God or the charitable framing of God's Word otherwise inoffensive to the Pope's car, than as he may turn it to the working of his mysterious Iniquity? A little pulley would have stretch'd your wise and charitable frame it may be three Inches surther, that the Devotion of it might have yielded no cause of offence to the very Devil's ear, and

Vol. I. M. tha

that had been the fame wifdom and charity furmounting to the highest degree. For Antichrist we know is but the Devil's Vicar, and therfore please him with your Liturgy, and you please his Master.

Remonst. Would you think it requisite that we should chide and quarrel when we speak to the God of Peace?

Anfiv. Fie, no Sir, but forecast our Prayers so, that Satan and his Instruments may take as little exception against them as may be, lest they should chide and quarrel with us.

Remonst. It is no little advantage to our Cause and Piety, that our Liturgy is

taught to speak several Languages for use and example.

Anjw. The Language of Ashdod is one of them, and that makes so many Englishmen have such a smattering of their Philistian Mother. And indeed our Liturgy hath run up and down the World like an English galloping Nun proffering her felf, but we hear of none yet that bids money for her.

Remonit. As for that sharp Censure of learned Mr. Calvin, it might well

have been forborn by him in aliena Republica.

Anjw. Thus this untheological Remonstrant would divide the individual Catholic Church into several Republics: Know therfore that every worthy Paftor of the Church of Christ hath a universal right to admonish over all the world within the Church; nor can that care be alien'd from him by any diffrance or diffinction of Nation, fo long as in Christ all Nations and Languages are as one houshold.

Remonst. Neither would you think it could become any of our greatest Di-

vines to meddle with his charge.

Answ. It hath ill become 'em indeed to meddle fo maliciously, as many of them have done, though that patient and Christian City hath born hitherto all their profane scoffs with filence.

Remonst. Our Liturgy past the Judgment of no less reverend heads than his

Answ. It brib'd their Judgment with worldly engagements, and so past it. Remonst. As for that unparallel'd discourse concerning the antiquity of Liturgies; I cannot help your wonder, but shall justify mine own affertion.

Anfw. Your Justification is but a miferable shifting off those testimonies of the ancientest Fathers alledg'd against you, and the authority of some Synodal Canons, which are no warrant to us. We profess to decide our Controverfies only by the Scriptures; but yet to reprefs your vain-glory, there will be voluntarily bestow'd upon you a sufficient conviction of your novelties out of fucceeding antiquity.

Remonst. I cannot see how you will avoid your own contradiction, for I demand, is this order of praying and administration set or no? if it be not set, how is it an order? and if it be a fet order both for matter and form.

Answ. Remove that Form, left you tumble over it, while you make such hasteto clap a contradiction upon others.

Remonst. If the forms were merely arbitrary, to what use was the pre-

fcription of an order?

Answ. Nothing will cure this Man's Understanding but some familiar and kitchen Physic, which, with pardon, must for plainness sake be administer'd to him. Call hither your Cook. The order of Breakfast, Dinner, and Supper, answer me, is it set or no? Set. Is a Man therfore bound in the morning to poacht Eggs and Vinegar, or at noon to Brawn or Beef, or at night to fresh Salmon, and French Kickshose? may he not make his meals in order, though he be not bound to this or that viand? Doubtlefs the neatfinger'd Artist will answer yes, and help us out of this great Controversy without more trouble. Can we not understand an order in Church-Assemblies of praying, reading, expounding, and administring, unless our Prayers be still the same Crambe of words?

Remonst. What a poor exception is this, that Liturgies were composed by

fome particular Men?

Anjw. It is a greater prefumption in any particular Men to arrogate to themselves that which God universally gives to all his Ministers. A Minister that cannot be trufted to pray in his own words without being chew'd to, and fescu'd to a formal injunction of his Rotelesson, should as little be trusted to preach,

Pag. 11.

Pag. 12,

Pos. 13.

preach, befides the vain babble of praying over the fame things immed again; for there is a large difference in the repetition of some pathetical Ejaculation rais'd out of the fudden earnestness and vigour of the inflam'd Soul, (fuch as was that of Christ in the Garden) from the continual rehearfal of our daily oritons; which if a Man shall kneel down in a morning, and say over, and prefently in another part of the Roomkneel down again, and in other words ask but still for the same things as it were out of one Inventory, I cannot see how he will escape that heathenish Battology of multiplying words, which Christ bimfelf that has the putting up of our Prayers, told us would not be acceptable in Heaven. Well may Men of eminent Gifts fet forth as many forms, and helps to Prayer as they please; but to impose them upon Ministers lawfully called, and sufficiently try'd, as all ought to be ere they be admitted, is a supercilious Tyranny, impropriating the Spirit of God to themselves.

Remont. Do weabridge this liberty by ordaining a public form? Anfw. Your Bishops have set as fair to do it as they durst for that old Pharifaical fear that still dogs them, the fear of the Pople; though you will fay you are none of those, still you would seem not to have join'd with the worst, and yet keep aloof off from that which is best. I would you would either mingle, or part: most true it is what Savanarola complains, that while he endeavour'd to reform the Church, his greatest Enemies were still these

lukewarm ones.

Remonst. And if the Lord's Prayer be an ordinary, and stinted form, why not others?

Answ. Because there be no other Lords that can skint with like Authority.

Remonst. If Justin Martyr said that the Instructor of the People pray'd (as Peg. 14.

they falfly turn it) according to his ability.

Ans. Son Sungues all will be to render'd to the world's end by those that are not to learn Greek of the Remonstrant, and so Langus renders it to his face, if he could fee; and this ancient Father mentions no Antiphonies, or Responfories of the People here, but the only plain acclamation of Amen.

Remonst. The Instructor of the People pray'd according to his ability, 'tis

true, fo do ours; and yet we have a Liturgy, and fo had they.

Anfw. A quick come-off. The Ancients us'd Pikes and Targets, and therefore Ğuns and great Ordnance, because we use both.

Remonst. Neither is this liberty of pouring out our felves in our Prayers

ever the more impeacht by a public form.

Answ. Yes the time is taken up with a tedious number of Liturgical Tautologies, and Impertinencies.

Remonst. The words of the Council are full and affirmative.

Pag. 16

Answ. Set the grave Councils up upon their shelves again, and string them hard, lest their various and jangling opinions put their leaves into a flutter. I shall not intend this hot Scason to bid you the Base through the wide and dusty champaine of the Councils, but shall take counsel of that which counfel'd them, Reafon: and although I know there is an obfolete reprehension now at your tongue's end, yet I shall be bold to say, that Reason is the gift of God in one Man as well as in a thousand; by that which we have tasted already of their Cifterns, we may find that Reafon was the only thing, and not any divine Command that mov'd them to enjoin fet Ferms of Liturgy. First, left any thing in general might be missaid in their public Prayers through ignorance, or want of carc, contrary to the Faith: and next, lest the Lians, and Pelegians in particular should infect the People by their hymns, and forms of Prayer. By the leave of these ancient Fathers, this was no solid prevention of spreading Herefy, to debar the Ministers of God the use of their noblest talent, Prayer in the Congregation, unless they had forbid the use of Sermons, and Lectures too, but fuch as were ready made to their hands, as our Homelics; or elfe he that was heretically dispos'd, had as fair an opportunity of intecting in his discourse, as in his Prayer or Hymn. As infusficiently, and to fay truth, as imprudently did they provide by their contrived Liturgies, left any thing fhould be erroncoufly pray'd through ignorance, or want of care in the Ministers. For if they were carelefs, and ignorant in their Prayers, certainly they would be more careless in their preaching, and yet more careless in watching over their Flock; and what prescription could reach to bound Vol. I. M_{2}

them in both these? What if Reason, now illustrated by the word of God, shall be able to produce a better prevention than these Councils have left us against herefy, ignorance or want of care in the Ministry, that such wisdom and diligence be us'd in the education of those that would be Ministers, and fuch strict and serious examination to be undergone ere their admission, as Saint Paul to Timothy fets down at large, and then they need not carry fuch an unworthy suspicion over the Preachers of God's word, as to tutor their unfoundness with the Abcie of a Liturgy, or to diet their ignorance, and want of care, with the limited draught of a Mattin, and even-fong drench. may fuffice after all their labourfome scrutiny of the Councils.

Remonst. Our Saviour was pleas'd to make use in the celebration of his last and heavenly Banquet both of the fashions, and words which were usual in the

Jewish Feasts.

Arfev. What he pleas'd to make use of, does not justify what you please to force.

Remorft. The fet forms of Prayer at the Minche.

Ans. We will not buy your Rabbinical fumes, we have one that calls us to buy of him pure Gold try'd in the fire.

Remonst. In the Samaritan Chronicle.

Answ. As little do we esteem your Sameritan trumpery, of which People Christ himself testifies, Te worship ye know not what.

Remonst. They had their feveral Songs.

Answ. And so have we our several Psalms for several occasions, without gramercy to your Liturgy.

Remonst. Those forms which we have under the names of Saint James, &c. though they have fome interfertions which are plainly spurious, yet the substance of them cannot be taxt for other than holy and ancient.

Anjw. Setting afide the odd coinage of your phrase, which no mint-master of Language would allow for sterling, that a thing should be taxt for no other than holy and ancient, let it be suppos'd the substance of them may savour of fomething holy or ancient, this is but the matter; the form, and the end of the thing may yet render it either superstitious, fruitless, or impious, and so worthy to be rejected. The Garments of a Strumpet are often the fame materially, that clothe a chafte Matron, and yet ignominious for her to wear: the tubstance of the Tempter's words to our Saviour were holy, but his drift nothing lefs.

Remonst. In what fense we hold the Rowan a true Church, is so clear'd that this Iron is too hot for their fingers.

Anfw. Have a care it be not the iron to fear your own Confcience.

Remonst. Ye need not doubt but that the alteration of the Liturgy will beconfider'd by wifer heads than your own.

Anjw. We doubt it not, because we know your head looks to be one. Remenst. Our Livergy symbolizeth not with Popish Mass, neither as Mass nor as Popifb.

Anfiv. A pretty flip-fixin conveyance to fift Mafs into no Mafs, and Popifb into not Popish; yet faving this passing sine sophistical boulting hutch, so long as the lymbolizes in form, and pranks herfelf in the weeds of Popish Mais, it may be justly fear'd she provokes the jealousy of God, no otherwise than a Wife affecting whorish attire kindles a disturbance in the eye of her difcerning Huiband.

Remenst. It I find Gold in the Channel, shall I throw it away because it was ill lail?

Anfw. You have forgot that Gold hath been anothernatized for the idolatrous use; and to eat the good creatures of God once offer'd to Idols, is in Saint Paul's account to have fellowship with Devils, and to partake of the Devil's Table. And thus you throttle your felf with your own Similies.

Remonst. If the Devils confest the Son of God, shall I disclaim that truth? Anfw. You fifted not so clean before, but you shuffle as foully now; as if there were the like necessity of confessing Christ, and using the Liturgy: we do not disclaim that truth; because we never believ'd it for his testiniony, but we may well reject a Liturgy; which had no being that we can know of, but from the corruptest times: if therfore the Devil should be given

Fag. 17.

Pag. 18.

Pag. 19.

Pag. 23.

Pag. 24.

Remonstrants Defence, &c.

never fo much to *Prayer*, I should not therfore cease from that Duty, because I learnt it not from him; but if he would commend to me a new *Pater-noßer*, though never so feeming holy, he should excuse me the form which was his, but the matter, which was none of his, he could not give me, nor I be said to take it from him. 'Tis not the goodness of matter, therfore which is not, nor can be ow'd to the *Liturgy*, that will bear it out, if the form, which is the Essence of it, be fantastic and supersticious, the End simister, and the Imposition violent.

Remonst. Had it been composed into this frame on purpose to bring Papists

to our Churches.

Answ. To bring them to our Churches? alas, what was that? unless they had been first fitted by Repentance, and right Instruction. You'll say, the Word was there preacht which is the means of Conversion; you should have given so much honour then to the Word preacht, as to have left it to God's working without the interloping of a Liturgy baited for them to bite at.

Remonst. The Project had been charitable and gracious.

Answ. It was Pharifaical, and vain-glorious, a greedy defire to win Profelytes by conforming to them unlawfully; like the defire of Tamar, who to raise up Seed to her Husband, sate in the common Road drest like a Courtezan, and he that came to her committed Incest with her. This was that which made the old Christians paganize, while by their scandalous and base conforming to Heathenism they did no more, when they had done their utmost, but bring some Pagans to christianize; for true Christians they neither were themselves, nor could make other such in this sashion.

Remonst. If there be found aught in Liturgy that may endanger a Scandal, reg. 25.

it is under careful hands to remove it.

Answ. Such careful hands as have shown themselves sooner bent to remove and expel the Men from the Scandals, than the Scandals from the Men, and to lose a Soul rather than a Syllable or a Surplice.

Remonst. It is idoliz'd they fay in England, they mean at Amsterdam.

Answ. Be it idoliz'd therfore where it will, it is only idolatriz'd in England.

Remonst. Multitudes of People they say distaste it; more shame for those

that have fo mistaught them.

Answ. More shame for those that regard not the troubling of God's Church with things by themselves consest to be indifferent, since true Charity is afflicted, and burns at the offence of every little one. As for the Christian multitude which you affirm to be so mistaught, it is evident enough, though you would declaim never so long to the contrary, that God hath now taught them to detest your Liturgy and Prelacy; God who hath promis'd to teach all his Children, and to deliver them out of your hands that hunt and worry their Souls: hence is it that a Man shall commonly find more savoury knowledge in one Lay-man, than in a dozen of Cathedral Prelates; as we read in our Saviour's time that the common people had a reverend esteem of him, and held him a great Prophet, whilst the gowned Rabbies, the incomparable, and invincible Doctors were of opinion that he was a Friend of Beelzebub.

Remonst. If the multitude distaste wholesome Doctrine, shall we to humour $p_{ag, 26}$.

them abandon it?

Anfw. Yet again! as if there were the like necessity of faving Doctrine, and arbitrary if not unlawful, or inconvenient Liturgy: who would have thought a Man could have thwackt together fo many incongruous Similitudes, had it not been to defend the motley incoherence of a patch'd Missal?

Remontl. Why did not other Churches conform to us? I may boldly fay

ours was, and is the more noble Church.

Answ. O Laodicean, how vainly and how carnally dost thou boast of nobleness, and precedency! more Lordly you have made our Church indeed, but not more noble.

Remonst. The second quære is so weak, that I wonder it could fall from the p_{ag} , ag,

Pens of wife men.

Answ. You're but a bad Fencer, for you never make a proffer against another Man's weakness; but you leave your own side always open: mark what follows.

Remonst.

Remonst. Brethren, can ye think that our Reformers had any other Intentions than all the other Founders of Liturgies, the least part of whose care was

the help of the Minister's weakness?

Anfiv. Do you not perceive the noise you have brought your felf into Fag. 12. whilst you were so brief to taunt other Men with weakness? Is it clean out of your mind what you cited from among the Councils; that the principal scope of those Liturgy-Founders was to prevent either the malice or the weakness of the Ministers, their malice of insuling Heresy in their Forms of Prayer; their weakness, left formething might be composed by them through ignorance or want of care contrary to the Faith? Is it not now rather to be wondred that fuch a weakness could fall from the Pen of such a wife Remon-Arant Man?

Remonst. Their main drift was the help of the People's Devotion, that

they knowing before the matter that should be sued for.

Answ. A sollicitous care, as if the People could be ignorant of the matter to be pray'd for; feeing the heads of public Prayer are either ever constant, or very frequently the fame.

Remorft. And the words wherewith it should be cloth'd, might be the more

prepar'd, and be fo much the more intent, and less distracted.

Anfw. As for the words, it is more to be fear'd left the fame continually should make them carele's or sleepy, than that variety on the same known Subject should diffract; variety (as both Music and Rhetoric teacheth us) erects and rouzes an Auditory, like the Mafterful running over many Cords and Divisions; wheras if Men should ever be thumming the Drone of one plain Song, it would be a dull Opiat to the most wakeful attention.

Remonst. Tell me, is this Liturgy good or evil?

Answ. It is evil: repair the Acheloian horn of your Dilemma how you can, against the next push.

Remonst. If it be evil, it is unlawful to be us'd.

Answ. We grant you, and we find you have not your Salve about you. Remonst. Were the Imposition amiss, what is that to the People?

Answ. Not a little, because they bear an equal part with the Priest in many places, and have their Cues and Verfets as well as he.

Remonst. The ears and hearts of our people look for a fettled Liturgy. Answ. You deceive your self in their ears and hearts, they look for no

fuch matter. Remonst. The like answer serves for Homelies, surely were they enjoin'd to

Anfw. Let it ferve for them that will be ignorant, we know that Hayward their own Creature writes, that for defect of Preachers, Homilies were appointed to be read in Churches, while Edw. 6. reigned.

Remonst. Away then with the Book, whilst it may be supply'd with a more

profitable nonfenfe.

Anfw. Away with it rather, because it will be hardly supply'd with a more unprofitable nonfense, than is in some passages of it to be seen.

SECT. 3.

Remonst. Thus their Cavils concerning Liturgy are vanisht.

Answ. You wanted but Hey-passe to have made your transition like a mystical Man of Sturbridge. But for all your fleight of hand, our just exceptions against Liturgy are not vanisht, they stare you still in the face.

Remonst. Certainly had I done so, I had been no less worthy to be spit upon for my faucy uncharitableness, than they are now for their uncharitable falfhood.

Answ. We see you are in choler, therfore 'till you cool a while we turn us to the ingenuous Reader. See how this Remonstrant would invest himself conditionally with all the Rheum of the Town, that he might have fufficient to belpaul his Brethren. They are accus'd by him of uncharitable falfhood, wheras their only Crime hath been, that they have too creduloufly thought him, if not an over-logical, yet a well-meaning Man; but now we find him either grofly deficient in his Principles of Logic, or elfe purpofely bent to delude the Parlament with equivocal Sophistry, scattering among his

Periods

Pag. 30.

Pag. 31 .

Pag. 32.

Pag. 32.

Periods ambiguous words, whose interpretation he will afterwards dispense according to his pleasure, laying before us universal Propositions, and then thinks when he will to pinion them with a limitation: for say Remonstrant,

Remonst. Episcopal Government is cry'd down abroad by either weak or

factious Persons.

Answ. Choose you whether you will have this Proposition proved to you to be ridiculous, or fophistical; for one of the two it must be. Step again to Bithop Downam your Patron, and let him gently catechife you in the grounds of Logic, he will shew you that this Axiom, Episcopal Government is cry'd down abroad by either weak or factious Persons, is as much as to say, they that cry down Epifcopacy abroad, are either weak or factious Perfons. will tell you that this Axiom contains a Distribution, and that all such A_{Ni} oms are general; and laftly, that the Distribution in which any part is wanting, or abundant, is faulty, and fallacious. If therfore distributing by the adjuncts of Faction, weakens the Persons that decry Episcopacy, and you made your distribution imperfect for the nonce, you cannot but be guilty of fraud intended toward the honourable Court, to whom you wrote. If you had rather vindicate your honesty, and suffer in your want of Art, you cannot condemn them of uncharitable salshood, that attributed to you more skill than you had, thinking you had been able to have made a distribution, as it ought to be, general, and full; and fo any Man would take it, the rather as being accompanied with that large word (Abroad) and fo take again either your manifest lesing, or manifest ignorance.

Remonst. Now come these brotherly Slanderers.

 P_{ag} , 54

Answ. Go on dissembling Joab, as still your use is, call Brother and smite; call Brother and smite, 'till it be said of you, as the like was of Hered, a Man had better be your Hog than your Brother.

Remonst. Which never came within the verge of my thoughts.

Answ. Take a Metaphor or two more as good, the Precinct, or the Diocess of your thoughts.

Remonst. Brethren, if you have any remainders of Modesty or Truth, cry

God mercy.

Answ. Remonstrant, if you have no ground-work of Logic, or plain-dealing in you, learn both as fast as you can.

Remonst. Of the same strain is their witty descant of my consoundedness.

Answ. Speak no more of it, it was a satal word that God put into your mouth when you began to speak for Episcopacy, as boding consustion to it.

Remonst. I am still, and shall ever be thus self-confounded, as considently to Pag. 35. say that he is no peaceable, and right-affected Son of the Church of England,

that doth not wish well to Liturgy and Episcopacy.

Answ. If this be not that faucy uncharitableness, with which in the foregoing Page you voluntarily invelted your felf with thought to have shifted it off, let the *Parlament* judge, who now themselves are deliberating whether Liturgy and Episcopacy be to be well wisht to, or not.

Remonst. This they say they cannot but rank amongst my notorious—speak out Masters, I would not have that word stick in your Teeth, or in your Throat.

Answ. Take your Spectacles, Sir, it sticks in the Paper, and was a pectoral Roule we prepar'd for you to swallow down to your Heart.

Remoust. Wanton Wits must have leave to play with their own sterne. Pag. 36 Answ. A Meditation of yours doubtless observed at Lambeth from one of

the Archiepiscopal Kittens.

Remont. As for that form of Epifcopal Government, furely could those Remont. look with my Eyes, they would fee cause to be assumed of this their injurious misconceit.

Answ. We must call the Barber for this wise Sentence; one Mr. Ley the other day writ a Treatise of the Sabbath, and in his Preface puts the wisdom of Baalam's Ass upon one of our Bishops, bold Man for his labour; but we shall have more respect to our Remonstrant, and liken him to the Ass's Master, though the Story says he was not so quick-sighted as his Beast. Is not this Baalam the Son of Bear, the Man whose Eyesare open, that said to the Parlament, surely could those look with my Eyes; boast not of your Eyes, 'tis fear'd you have Baalam's Disease, a pearl in your Eye, Mammon's Prestriction.

Remenst.

Animadversions upon the

Pag. 37.

Pag. 43.

Pag. 44.

Remonst. Alas we could tell you of China, Japan, Peru, Brazil, New England, Virginia, and a thousand others that never had any Bishops to this day.

Answ. O do not foil your Cause thus, and trouble Ortelius; we can help you, and tell you where they have been ever since Constantine's time at least, in a place call'd Mundus alter & idem, in the spacious and rich Countries of Crapulia, Pamphagonia, Yuronia, and in the Dukedom of Orgilia, and Variana, and their Metropolis of Ucalegonium. It was an oversight that none of your prime Antiquaries could think of these venerable Monuments to deduce Episcopacy by; knowing that Mercurius Britannicus had them forth-coming.

SECT. 4.

Remonst. Hitherto they have flourish'd, now I hope they will strike.

Answ. His former transition was in the Fair about the Juglers, now he is at the Pageants among the Whisslers.

Remonst. As if Arguments were Almanacks.

Answ. You will find some such as will prognosticate your Date, and tell you that after your long Summer Solftice, the Æquator calls for you, to reduce you to the ancient and equal House of Libra.

Remonst. Truly Brethren, you have not well taken the height of the Pole. Answ. No marvel, there be many more that do not take well the height of your Pole; but will take better the declination of your Altitude.

Remonst. He that faid I am the Way, faid that the old way was the good

Way.

Anfw. He bids ask of the old Paths, or for the old Ways, where or which is the good Way; which implies that all old Ways are not good, but that the good Way is to be fearcht with diligence among the old Ways, which is a thing that we do in the oldest Records we have, the Gospel. And if others may chance to fpend more time with you in canvaffing later Antiquity, I fuppose it is not for that they ground themselves theron; but that they endeavour by shewing the corruptions, incertainties, and disagreements of those Volumes, and the eafiness of erring, or overslipping in such a boundless and vast fearch, if they may not convince those that are so strongly perfuaded thereof; yet to free ingenuous Minds from that over-awful Esteem of those more ancient than trufty Fathers, whom Custom and fond Opinion, weak Principles, and the neglect of founder and superiour Knowledge hath exalted so high as to have gain'd them a blind Reverence; whose Books in bigness, and number fo endless and immeasurable, I cannot think that either God or Nature, either divine or human Wisdom, did ever mean should be a rule or reliance to us in the decision of any weighty and positive Doctrine: For certainly every Rule and Instrument of necessary Knowledge that God hath given us, ought to be so in proportion, as may be wielded and manag'd by the Life of Man, without penning him up from the duties of human Society; and fuch a rule and instrument of Knowledge perfectly is the Holy Bible. But he that shall bind himself to make Antiquity his Rule, if he read but part, befides the difficulty of choice, his Rule is deficient, and utterly unfatisfying; for there may be other Writers of another mind, which he hath not feen; and if he undertake all, the length of Man's Life cannot extend to give him a full and requifite knowledge of what was done in Antiquity. Why do we therfore stand worshipping and admiring this unactive and lifeless Colossius, that like a carved Gyant terribly menacing to children and weaklings, lifts up his Club, but strikes not, and is subject to the muting of every Sparrow? If you let him rest upon his Basis, he may perhaps delight the Eyes of some with his huge and mountainous Bulk, and the quaint Workmanship of his massy Limbs: but if ye go about to take him in pieces, ye marr him; and if you think, like Pigmies, to turn and wind him whole as he is, befides your vain Toil and Sweat, he may chance to fall upon your own Heads. Go therfore, and use all your Art, apply your Sledges, your Levers, and your Iron Crows, to heave and hale your mighty *Polypheme* of Antiquity to the delusion of Novices, and unexperienc'd Christians. We shall adhere close to the Seriptures of God, which he hath left us as the just and adequate meafure of Truth, fitted and proportion'd to the diligent study, memory, and use of every saithful Man, whose every part consenting and making up the harmonious

armonious Symmetry of compleat Instruction, is able to set out to us a perfect Man of God, or Bishop throughly furnished to all the good Works of his 2 Tim. iii. Charge: and with this Weapon, without stepping a foot surther, we shall not 16, 17. doubt to batter and throw down your Nebuchadnezzar's Image, and crumble it like the chass of the Summer Threshing-Floors, as well as the Gold of those Apostolic Successors that you boast of, as your Constantinian Silver, together with the Iron, the Brass, and the Clay of those muddy and strawy Ages that foll w.

Rem. A. Let the boldest forehead of them all deny that Episcopacy hath con- Pag. 45.

tinued thus long in our Island, or that any till this Age contradicted it.'

Answ. That bold Forchead you have cleanly put upon yourself, 'tis you who deny that any till this Age contradicted it; no forchead of ours dures do to much: you have row'd yourself fairly between the Scylla and Charybdis, either of impudence or nonsense, and now betake you to whether you please.

Remonft. As for that supply of accessory Strength which I not beg.

Anjw. Your whole Reminstrance does nothing else but beg it, and your Fellow-Prelates do as good as whine to the Parlament for their Flein-pots of Egypt, making fad Orations at the Funeral of your dear Prelaty, like that doubty Centurion Afranius in Lucian; who to imitate the noble Pericles in his Epitaphian Speech, stepping up after the Battle to bewail the slain Severianus, falls into a pitiful Condolement, to think of those costly Suppers, and drinking Banquets which he must now taste of no more; and by then he had done, lack'd but little to lament the dear-loved Memory, and calamitous Loss of his Capon and White Broth.

Remonst. But raise and evince from the light of Nature, and the rules of just Policy, for the continuance of those things which long Use, and many Laws

have firmly establish'd as necessary and beneficial.

Answ. Open your eyes to the light of Grace, a better guide than Nature. Look upon the mean Condition of Christ and his Applies, without that accesfory strength you take such pains to raise from the light of Nature and Policy: take divine counfel, Labour not for the things that perish; you would be the falt of the Earth, if that favour be not found in you, do not think much that the time is now come to throw you out, and tread you under foot: Hark how St. Paul, writing to Timothy, informs a true Bishop; Bishops (faith he) must not be greedy of sitthy lucre; and having food and rayment, let us be therwith content: lut they (faith he, meaning more especially in that place Bishops) that will be rich, fall into temptation, and a frare, and into many foolish and burtful Lusts, which drown Men in destruction and perdition: for the love of Money is the root of allevil, which while some covered after, they have erred from the Faith. How can we therfore expect found Doctrine, and the folution of this our Controversy from any covetous and honour-hunting Bishop, that shall plead fo iliffly for these things? while St. Paul thus exhorts every Bishop; But thou, O Man of Cod, shee these things. As for the just Policy, that long Use and Custom, and those many Laws which you say have conferred these Benefits upon you; it hath been nothing else but the superstitious Devotion of Princes and great Men that knew no better, or the base importunity of begging Friers, haunting and haraffing the death-beds of Men departing this Life, in a blind and wretched Condition of hope to merit. Heaven, for the building of Churches, Cloyfers, and Convents. The most of your vaunted Possessions, and those proud Endowments that ye as finfully waste, what are they but the black revenues of *Purgatory*, the price of abused and murder'd Souls, the daraned *Simony* of *Trentels*, and *Indulgences* to mortal Sin? How can ye chuse but inherit the Curfe that goes along with fuch a Patrimony? Alas! if there be any releafement, any mitigation, or more tolerable being for the Souls of our mifguided Ancestors; could we imagine there might be any recovery to fome degree of eafe left for as many of them as are loft, there cannot be a better way man to take the misbestowed Wealth which they were cheated of, from these our Prelates, who are the true Successors of those that popt then into the other World, with this conceit of meriting by their Goods, victic was their final undoing; and to bestow their beneficent Gifts upon and Means of Christian Education, and the faithful Labourers in God's Harrity of Dives, left they come Vol. I. where

where their miferable Forefather was fent by the coufenage and mifleading of avaritious and worldly Prelates.

Remonst. It will stand long enough against the battery of their paper-pellets. Anfw. That must be try'd with a square Cap in the Council; and if Pellets will not do, your own Canons shall be turn'd against you.

Remonst. They cannot name any Man in this Nation that ever contradicted

Episcopacy, till this present Age.

Answ. What an over-worn and bed-rid Argument is this, the last Resuge ever of old falfhood, and therfore a good fign I trust that your Castle cannot hold out long. This was the plea of Judaism, and Idolatry against Christ and his Apoliles, of Papacy against Reformation; and perhaps to the frailty of Flesh and Blood in a Man destitute of better enlightening, may for some while be pardonable: for what has fleshly apprehension other to subsist by than Succeffion, Custom, and Visibility; which only hold, if in his weakness and blindness he be loth to lose, who can blame? But in a Protestant Nation that should have thrown off these tatter'd Rudiments long ago, after the many strivings of God's Spirit, and our fourscore Years vexation of him in this our Wilderness fince Reformation began, to urge these rotten Principles, and twit us with the present Age, which is to us an age of ages wherin God is manifestly come down among us, to do some remarkable good to our Church or State, is as if a Man should tax the renovating and re-ingendring Spirit of God with Innovation, and that new Creature for an upstart Novelty; yea, the new Jerusalem, which without your admired link of Succession descends from Heaven, could not escape some such like censure. If you require a further answer, it will not misbecome a Christian to be either more magnanimous, or more devout than Scipio was; who instead of other answer to the frivolous accusations of Petilius the Tribune, This day Romans (faith he) I fought with Hanibal profpercusty; let us all go and thank the Gods that gave us so great a Victory: in like manner will we now fay, not caring otherwise to answer this Un-protestantlike Objection; In this age, Britains, God hath reform'd his Church after many hundred years of *Popifh* corruption; in this Age he hath freed us from the intolerable yoke of *Prelates* and *Papal* Discipline; in this Age he hath renewed our Protestation against all those yet remaining dregs of Superstition. all go, every true protested Britain, throughout the three Kingdoms, and render thanks to God the Father of Light, and Fountain of heavenly Grace, and to his Son CHRIST our Lord; leaving this Remonstrant and his Adherents to their own Defigns, and let us recount even here without delay, the patience and longfuffering that God hath used towards our blindness and hardness time after time. For he being equally near to his whole Creation of Mankind, and of free power to turn his benefic and fatherly regard to what Region or Kingdom he p'eafes, hath yet ever had this Island under the special indulgent eye of his Providence: and pitying us the first of all other Nations, after he had decreed to purify and renew his Church that lay wallowing in Idolatrous Pollutions, fent first to us a healing Messenger to touch foftly our Sores, and carry a gentle hand over our Wounds: he knock'd once and twice and came again, opening our drowby Eye lids leifurely by that glimmering light which Wicklef, and his Followers dispersed; and still taking off by degrees the inveterate scales from our nigh perish'd fight, purg'd also our deaf Ears, and prepared them to attend his fecond warning Trumpet in our Grandfires days. How else could they have been able to have receiv'd the fudden affault of his reforming Spirit, warring against human Principles, and carnal sense, the pride of Flesh that still cry'd up Antiquity, Custom, Canons, Councils and Laws; and cry'd down the Truth for Novelty, Schisim, Prophaneness and Sacrilege: whenas we that have liv'd fo long in abundant Light, besides the sunny reflection of all the neighbouring Churches, have yet our hearts riveted with those old Opinions, and fo obstructed and benumb'd with the same sleshly reasonings, which in our Forefathers foon melted and gave way, against the morning-beam of Reformation. If God hath left undone this whole work to contrary to Flesh and Blood, till these times; how should we have yielded to his heavenly Call, had we been taken, as they were, in the starkness of our Ignorance; that yet after all these spiritual Preparatives and Purgations, have our earth-

ly Apprehensions so clamm'd, and furr'd with the old Leven. O if we freeze at noon after their early Thaw, let us fear left the Sun for ever hide himfelf, and turn his orient steps from our ingrateful Horizon, justly condemn'd to be eternally benighted. Which dreadful Judgment, O thou the ever-begotten Light and perfect Image of the Father, intercede, may never come upon us, as we trust thou hast; for thou hast open'd our difficult and sad times, and given us an unexpected breathing after our long Oppressions; thou hast done Justice upon those that tyrannized over us, while some Men waver'd and admir'd a vain shadow of Wisdom in a Tongue nothing slow to utter Guile, though thou hast taught us to admire only that which is good, and to count that only praife-worthy which is grounded upon thy divine Precepts. Thou haft discover'd the plots, and frustrated the hopes of all the wicked in the Land, and put to shame the Persecutors of thy Church; thou hast made our false Prophets to be found a lye in the fight of all the People, and chaced them with fudden Confusion and Amazement before the redoubled brightness of thy defcending Cloud, that now covers thy Tabernacle. Who is there that cannot trace thee now in thy beamy Walk through the midst of thy Sanctuary, amidst those golden Candlestics, which have long suffered a dimness amongst us through the violence of those that had seiz'd them, and were more taken with the mention of their Gold than of their ftarry Light; teaching the Doctrine of Balaam, to cast a stumbling-block before thy servants, commanding them to eat things facrificed to Idols, and forcing them to Fornication? Come therefore, O thou that hast the feven Stars in thy right hand, appoint thy chosen Priests according to their Orders and Courses of old, to minister before thee, and duly to press and pour out the consecrated Oil into thy holy and ever-burning Lamps. Thou hast fent out the Spirit of prayer upon thy Servants over all the Land to this effect, and ftirr'd up their vows as the found of many waters about thy throne. Every one can fay, that now certainly thou haft visited this Land, and haft not forgotten the utmost corners of the Earth, in a time when Men had thought that thou wast gone up from us to the farthest end of the Heavens, and hadst left to do marvellously among the Sons of these last O perfect and accomplish thy glorious Acts; for Men may leave their Works unfinish'd, but thou art a God, thy Nature is Perfection: shouldst thou bring us thus far onward from Egypt to destroy us in this Wilderness, though we deferve; yet thy great Name would fuffer in the rejoicing of thine Enemies, and the deluded hope of all thy Servants. When thou hast settled Peace in the Church, and righteous Judgment in the Kingdom, then shall all thy Saints address their voices of Joy and Triumph to thee, standing on the shore of that red Sea into which our Enemies had almost driven us. And he that now for hafte fnatches up a plain ungarnish'd Present as a Thank-offering to thee, which could not be deferr'd in regard of thy fo many late deliverances wrought for us one upon another, may then perhaps take up a Harp, and fing thee an elaborate Song to Generations. In that day it shall no more be faid as in fcorn, this or that was never held fo till this prefent Age, when Men have better learnt that the times and feafons pass along under thy feet, to go and come at thy bidding: and as thou didft dignify our Father's days with many Revelations above all the foregoing Ages, fince thou took'st the Flesh; fo thou canst vouchsafe to us (though unworthy) as large a portion of thy Spirit as thou pleafest; for who shall prejudice thy all-governing Will? feeing the power of thy Grace is not past away with the primitive times, as fond and faithless Men imagine, but thy Kingdom is now at hand, and thou standing at the door. Come forth out of thy Royal Chambers, O Prince of all the Kings of the Earth, put on the visible Robes of thy imperial Majesty, take up that unlimited Scepter which thy Almighty Father hath bequeathed thee; for now the voice of thy Bride calls thee, and all Creatures figh to be renew'd.

SECT. 5.

Remonst. Neglect not the Gift which was given thee by Prophecy, and by laying on the hands of Presbytery.

Anjw. The English Translation expresses the Article (the), and renders it

the Presbytery, which you do injury to omit.

Vol. I. N 2

Remonsto

Pag. 50.

Remonst. Which I wonder ye can so press, when Calvin himself takes it of

the Office, and not of the Men.

Answ. You think then you are fairly quit of this proof, because Calvin interprets it for you, as if we could be put off with Calvin's name, unless we be convinc'd with Calvin's reason; the word wperβυτέξιου is a collective Noun, fignifying a certain number of Men in one order, as the word Privy-Council with us, and so Beza interprets, that knew Calvin's mind doubtless, with whom he liv'd. If any amongst us should say the Privy-Council ordain'd it, and therby constrain us to understand one Man's Authority, should we not laugh at him? And therfore when you have us'd all your cramping Irons to the Text, and done your utmost to cram a Presbytery into the skin of one Person, 'twill be but a piece of frugal nonfense. But if your meaning be with a violent Hyperbaton to transpose the Text, as if the words lay thus in order, neglect not the gift of Presbytery; this were a construction like a Harquebuze shot over a file of words twelve deep, without authority to bid them floop; or to make the word Gift, like the River Mole in Surrey, to run under the bottom of a long line, and so start up to govern the word Presbytery, as an immediate Syntaxis; a device ridiculous enough to make good that old wife's tale of a certain Queen of England that funk at Charing-cross, and rose up at Queenhithe. No marvel though the Prelates be a trouble some Generation, and which way soever they turn them, put all things into a foul discomposure, when to maintain their domineering they feek thus to rout and dif-array the wife and well-couch'd order of Saint Paul's own words, using either a certain textual Riot to chop off the hands of the word Presbytery, or else a like kind of Simony to clap the word Gift between them. Besides, if the verse must be read according to this transposition, μη αμέλει τε έν σοι χαρίσματος τε προτβυτορία, it would be improper to call Ordination χάρισμα, whenas it is rather only χειρισσμα, an outward Teftimony of Approbation, unless they will make it a Sacrament, as the Papists do: But furely the Prelates would have Saint Paul's words ramp one over another, as they use to climb into their Livings and Bishopricks.

Remonst. Neither need we give any other satisfaction to the point, than from Saint Paul himself, 2 Timothy i. 6. Stir up the gift of God which is in thee by the

imposition of my bands; mine, and not others.

Answ. Ye are too quick; this last place is to be understood by the former, as the Law of Method, which bears chief fway in the Art of teaching, requires, that clearest and plainest Expressions be set foremost, to the end they may enlighten any following Obscurity; and wherfore we should not attribute a right method to the teachableness of Scripture, there can be no reason given: to which Method, if we shall now go contrary, besides the breaking of a logical Rule, which the Remonstrant hitherto we see hath made little account of, we shall also put a manifest Violence and Impropriety upon a known word against his common fignification, in binding a collective to a fingular Person. But if we shall, as Logic (or indeed Reason) instructs us, expound the latter place by the former cited, and understand, (by the Imposition of my hands) that is, of mine chiefly as an Apostle, with the joint Authority and Affistance of the Presbytery, there is nothing more ordinary or kindly in Speech, than fuch a Phrase as expresses only the chief in any Action, and understands the rest. So that the Imposition of Saint Paul's hands, without more expression in this place, cannot exclude the joint Act of the Presbytery affirmed by the former Text.

Pag. 76.

Remonst. In the mean while see Brethren how you have with Simon fish'd all

night, and caught nothing.

Answ. If we fishing with Simon the Apostle can catch nothing, see what you can catch with Simon Magus; for all his hooks and sishing Implements he bequeath'd among you.

Sест. 13.

Remonst. We do again profess, that if our Bishops challenge any other Power than was delegated to, and required of Timothy and Titus, we shall yield them Usurpers.

Answ. Ye cannot compare an ordinary Bishop with Timethy, who was an extraordinary Man, foretold and promis'd to the Church by many Prophecies,

dhis name join' das collateral with Saint Paul, in most of his Apostolic E-Pistles, even where he writes to the Bishops of other Churches, as those in Philippi. Nor can you prove out of the Scripture that Timothy was Bishop of any particular place; for that wherin it is faid in the third Verfe of the first Epiftle, As I befought thee to abide still at Ephesus, will be such a gloss to prove the conflitution of a Bishop by, as would not only be not fo good as a Bourdeaux gloss; but scarce be received to varnish a Vizard of Modona. All that can be gathered out of holy Writ concerning Timothy is, that he was either an Apolile, or an Apostle's extraordinary Vicegerent, not confin'd to the charge of any place. The like may be faid of Titus, (as those words import in the 5th verse) that he was for that cause left in Crete, that he might supply or proceed to set in order that which Saint Paul in Apostolic manner had begun, for which he had his particular Commission, as those words found, (as I had appointed thee.) So that what hedid in Crete, cannot so much be thought the exercise of an ordinary Function, as the direction of an infpired mouth. No lefs also may be gather'd from the 2 Cor. viii. 23.

Remonst. You descend to the Angels of the seven Asian Churches, your shift is, that the word Angel is here taken collectively, not individually.

Answ. That the Word is collective, appears plainly, Revel. ii.

First, Because the Text itself expounds it so; for having spoken all the while as to the Angel, the feventh Verfe concludes that this was spoken to the Churches. Now if the Spirit conclude collectively, and kept the fame tenor all the way, for we fee not where he particularizes; then certainly he must begin collectively, else the Construction can be neither Grammatical nor Logical.

Secondly, If the word Angel be individual, then are the faults attributed to him individual: but they are fuch as for which God threatens to remove the Candlestick out of his place, which is as much as to take away from that Church the Light of his Truth: and we cannot think he would do so for one Bishop's Therfore those faults must be understood collective, and by consequence the subject of them collective.

Thirdly, an individual cannot branch itself into Subindividuals; but this word Angel doth in the tenth Verie. Fear none of those things which thou shalt fuffer; behold the Devil shall cast some of you into prison. And the like from other places of this and the following Chapter may be observed. Therfore it is no individual word, but a collective.

Fourthly, In the 24th Verse this word Angel is made capable of a Pronoun plural, which could not be, unless it were a Collective. As for the supposed Manuscript of Tecla, and two or three other Copies that have expung'd the Copulative, we cannot prefer them before the more receiv'd reading, and we hope you will not against the Translation of your Mother the Church of England, that past the revise of your chiefest Prelates: Besides this, you will lay an unjust censure upon the much-praised Bishop of Thyatira, and reckon him among those that had the Doctrine of Jefabel, when the Text says, he only suffer'd ber. Wheras, if you will but let in a charitable conjunction, as we know your so much call'd-for Charity will not deny, then you plainly acquit the Bishop, if you comprehend him in the name of Angel, otherwise you leave his case very doubtful.

Remonst. Thou sufferest thy Wife JESABEL: was she Wife to the whole Compa- Pag. 105.

ny, or to one Bishop alone?

Anfw. Not to the whole Company doubtlefs, for that had bin worfe than to have bin the Levite's Wife in Gibeah: but here among all those that constantly read it otherwise, whom you trample upon, your good Mother of England is down again in the throng, who with the rest reads it, that Woman Jesabel: but suppose it were Wife, a Man might as well interpret that word figuratively, as her name Jesabel no Man doubts to be a borrow'd Name.

Remonst. Yet what makes this for a Diocesan Bishop? much every way. Answ. No more than a special Endorsement could make to puss up the Foreman of a Jury. If we deny you more precedence, than as the Senior of any Society, or deny you this priority to be longer than annual; prove you the contrary from hence, if you can. That you think to do from the title of eminence, Angel: alas your wings are too short. 'Tis not Ordination nor Ju-

Mat. xi.

risdiction that is Angelical, but the heavenly Message of the Gospel, which is the Office of all Ministers alike; in which sense John the Baptist is call'd an Angel, which in Greek fignifies a Messenger, as oft as it is meant by a Man. and might be fo rendered here without treason to the Hierarchy; but that the whole Book foars to a prophetic pitch in Types, and Allegories. Seeing then the reason of this borrow'd Name is merely to signify the preaching of the Gospel, and that this preaching equally appertains to the whole Ministry; hence may be drawn a fifth argument, that if the reason of this borrow'd Name Angel be equally collective, and communicative to the whole preaching Miniftry of the place, then must the name be collectively and communicatively taken; but the reason, that is to say, the office of preaching and watching over the Flock, is equally collective and communicative: Therfore the borrow'd name itself is to be understood as equally collective and communicative to the whole preaching Ministry of the place. And if you will contend still for a Superiority in one Person, you must ground it better than from this Metaphor, which you may now deplore as the Ax-head that fell into the water, and fay, Alas Master, for it was borrow'd; unless you have as good a faculty to make Iron fwim, as you had to make light Froth fink.

Remonst. What is, if this be not Ordination and Jurisdiction?

Pag. 124.

Answ. Indeed in the Constitution, and founding of a Church, that some Men infpired from God should have an extraordinary Calling to appoint, to order and dispose, must needs be. So Moses, though himself no Priest, sanctify'd, and ordained Aoron and his Sons; but when all needful things be fet, and regulated by the Writings of the Apostles, whether it be not a mere folly to keep up a superior Degree in the Church only for Ordination and Jurisdiction, it will be no hurt to debate a while. The Apostles were the Builders, and, as it were, the Architects of the Christian Church; wherin confifted their Excellence above ordinary Ministers? a Prelate would say in commanding, in controuling, in appointing, in calling to them, and sending from about them to all Countries their Bishops and Archbishops as their Deputies, with a kind of Legantine Power. No, no, vain Prelates, this was but as the Scaffolding of a new Edifice, which for the time must board, and overlook the highest Battlements; but if the Structure once finish'd, any Passenger should fall in love with them, and pray that they might still stand, as being a fingular Grace, and strengthning to the House, who would otherwife think, but that the Man were prefently to be laid hold on, and fent to his Friends and Kindred? The Eminence of the Apostles consisted in their powerful preaching, their unwearied labouring in the Word, their unquench. able Charity, which above all earthly respects like a working slame, had spun up to fuch a height of pure defire, as might be thought next to that Love which dwells in God to fave Souls; which, while they did, they were contented to be the Off-scouring of the World, and to expose themselves willingly to all Afflictions, perfecting therby their hope through patience to a Joy unspeakable. As for Ordination, what is it, but the laying on of hands, an outward fign or fymbol of Admission? It creates nothing, it confers nothing; it is the inward Calling of God that makes a Minister, and his own painful study and diligence that manures and improves his ministerial Gifts. In the Primitive times, many before ever they had receiv'd Ordination from the Apostles, had done the Church noble service, as Apollos and others. It is but an orderly form of receiving a Man already fitted, and committing to him a particular charge; the employment of preaching is as holy, and far more excellent; the care also and judgment to be used in the winning of Souls, which is thought to be fufficient in every worthy Minister, is an Ability above that which is required in Ordination: For many may be able to judge who is fit to be made a Minister, that would not be found fit to be made Ministers themselves; as it will not be deny'd that he may be the competent Judge of a neat Picture, or elegant Poem, that cannot limn the Why therfore we should constitute a superior Order in the Church to perform an Office which is not only every Minister's Function, but inferior also to that which he has a confest right to; and why this Superiority should remain thus usurp'd, some wife Epimenides tell us. Now for Jurisdiction, this dear Saint of the Prelates, it will be best to consider, first,

what it is: That Sovereign Lord, who in the discharge of his holy Anointment from God the Father, which made him supreme Bishop of our Souls, was so humble as to say, Who made me a Judge, or a Divider over ye? hath taught us that a Churchman's Jurisdiction is no more but to watch over his Flock in feafon, and out of feafon, to deal by fweet and efficacious Instructions, gentle Admonitions, and fometimes rounder Reproofs; against negligence or obstinacy, will be required a rousing Volley of pastorly Threatnings; against a perfisting stubbornness, or the fear of a reprobate sense, a timely separation from the Flock by that interdictive Sentence, left his Conversation unprohibited, or unbranded, might breathe a pestilential murrain into the other Sheep. In fum, his Jurisdiction is to see the thriving and prospering of that which he hath planted: what other work the Prelates have found for Chancellors and Suffragans, Delegates and Officials, with all the hell-peftering rabble of Sumners and Apparitors, is but an invafion upon the temporal Magistrate, and affected by them as Men that are not asham'd of the Ensign and Banner of Antichrift. But true Evangelical Jurisdiction or Discipline is no more, as was said, than for a Minister to see to the thriving and prospering of that which he hath planted. And which is the worthiest work of these two, to plant, as every Minister's Office is equally with the Bishops, or to tend that which is planted, which the blind and undiscerning Prelates call Jurisdiction, and would appropriate to themselves as a Business of higher dignity? Have patience therfore a little, and hear a Law-case: A certain Man of large Poffessions, had a fair Garden, and kept therin an honest and laborious Servant, whose skill and profession was to set or sow all wholesome Herbs, and delightful Flowers, according to every season, and whatever else was to be done in a well-husbanded Nursery of Plants and Fruits; now, when the time was come that he should cut his Hedges, prune his Trees, look to his tender flips, and pluck up the Weeds that hindered their growth, he gets him up by break of day, and makes account to do what was needful in his Garden; and who would think that any other should know better than he how the day's work was to be fpent? Yet for all this there comes another strange Gardener that never knew the Soil, never handled a Dibble or Spade to fet the least Pot-herb that grew there, much less had endur'd an hour's fweat or chilness, and yet challenges as his right the binding or unbinding of every Flower, the clipping of every Buth, the weeding and worming of every Bed, both in that and all other Gardens therabout. The honest Gardener, that ever fince the day-peep, till now the Sun was grown fomewhat rank, had wrought painfully about his Banks and Seed-plots, at his commanding Voice turns fuddenly about with fome wonder; and although he could have well beteem'd to have thank'd him of the ease he profer'd, yet loving his own handy-work, modeftly refus'd him, telling him withal, that for his part, if he had thought much of his own pains, he could for once have committed the Work to one of his fellow-labourers, for as much as it is well known to be a matter of less skill and less labour to keep a Garden handsome, than it is to plant it, or contrive it, and that he had already perform'd himself. No, faid the Stranger, this is neither for you nor your fellows to meddle with, but for me only that am for this purpose in dignity far above you; and the provision which the Lord of the Soil allows me in this Office is, and that with good reason, ten-fold your Wages. The Gardener smil'd and shook his head; but what was determined I cannot tell you till the end of this Parlament.

Remonst. If in time you shall see wooden Chalices, and wooden Priests, Pag. 127. thank yourselves.

Answ. It had been happy for this land, if your Priests had been but only wooden; all England knows they have been to this Island not wood, but wormwood, that have insected the third part of our waters, like that Apostate Star in the Revelation, that many Souls have died of their bitterness; and if you mean by wooden, illiterate or contemptible, there was no want of that fort among you; and their number increasing daily, as their laziness, their Tavern-hunting, their neglect of all found Literature, and their liking of doltish and monastical School-men daily increas'd. What should I tell you how the Universities, that Men look should be fountains of Learning and Knowledge,

Knowledge, have been poifon'd and choak'd under your Governance? And if to be wooden, be to be base, where could there be found among all the reformed Churches, nay, in the Church of Rome itself, a baser brood of flattering and time-ferving Priefts, according as God pronounces by Isaiah, the Prophet that teacheth lyes, he is the tail. As for your young Scholars that petition for Bishopries and Deanaries to encourage them in their studies, and that many Gentlemen else will not put their Sons to learning; away with fuch young mercenary Striplings, and their Simoniacal Fathers, God has no need of fuch, they have no part or lot in his Vineyard: they may as well fue for Nunneries, that they may have fome convenient flowage for their wither'd Daughters, because they cannot give them portions answerable to the pride and vanity they have bred them in. This is the root of all our mischief, that which they alledge for the encouragement of their studies, should be cut away forthwith as the very bait of pride and ambition, the very garbage that draws together all the fowls of prey and ravin in the land to come and gorge upon the Church. How can it be but ever unhappy to the Church of England, while the shall think to entice Men to the pure service of God by the fame means that were us'd to tempt our Swiour to the fervice of the Devil, by laying before him honour and preferment? Fit professors indeed are they like to be, to teach others that Godliness with content is great gain, whenas their godliness of teaching had not been but for worldly gain. The heathen Philosophers thought that virtue was for its own sake inestimable, and the greatest gain of a Teacher to make a foul virtuous; so Xenophon writes of Socrates, who never bargain'd with any for teaching them; he fear'd not left those who had receiv'd so high a benefit from him, would not of their own free will return him all possible thanks. Was moral Virtue fo lovely, and fo alluring, and heathen Men fo enamour'd of her, as to teach and fludy her with greatest neglect and contempt of worldly profit and advancement? And is Christian Piety so homely and so unpleasant, and Christian Men fo cloy'd with her, as that none will fludy and teach her, but for lucre and preferment! O stale-grown Piety! O Gospel raced as cheap as thy Mafter, at thirty pence, and not worth the study, unless thou canst buy those that will fill thee! O race of Capernaitans, fenfeless of divine doctrine, and capable only of loaves and belly-cheer! But they will grant, perhaps, piety may thrive, but learning will decay: I would fain afk these Men at whose hands they feek interior things, as wealth, honour, their dainty fare, their lofty houses? No doubt but they will foon answer, that all these things they feek at God's hands. Do they think then that all thefe meaner and fuperfluous things come from God, and the divine gift of Learning from the den of Plutus, or the cave of Mammon? Certainly never any clear spirit nurs'd up from brighter influences, with a foul enlarged to the dimensions of spacious art and high knowledge, ever enter'd there but with fcorn, and thought it ever foul difdain to make pelf or ambition the reward of his studies, it being the greatest honour, the greatest fruit and proficiency of learned studies to de-spise these things. Not liberal science, but illiberal must that needs be, that mounts in contemplation merely for Money. And what would it avail us to have a hireling Clergy, though never fo learned? For fuch can have neither true wisdom nor grace, and then in vain do Men trust in Learning, where these be wanting. If in less noble and almost mechanic Arts, according to the definitions of those Authors, he is not esteem'd to descrive the name of a compleat Architect, an excellent Painter, or the like, that bears not a gencrous mind above the peafantly regard of wages and hire; much more must we think him a most imperfect, and incompleat Divine, who is so far from being a contemner of filthy lucre, that his whole Divinity is moulded and bred up in the beggarly, and brutish hopes of a fat Prebendary, Deanery, or Bishopric; which poor and low-pitch'd defires, if they do but mix with those other heavenly intentions that draw a Man to this study, it is justly expected that they should bring forth a base-born issue of Divinity, like that of those imperfect, and putrid creatures that receive a crawling life from two most unlike procreants, the Sun and Mud. And in matters of Religion, there is not any thing more intolerable than a learned Fool, or a learned Hypocrite; the one is ever coopt up at his empty speculations, a fot, an ideot

Remonstrants Defence, &c.

for any use that Mankind can make of him, or else fowing the World with nice and idle questions, and with much toil and difficulty wading to his auditors up to the eye-brows in deep shallows that wet not the instep: a plain unlearned Man that lives well by that light which he has, is better and wifer, and edifies others more towards a godly and happy life than he. The other is still using his sophisticated arts, and bending all his studies how to make his infatiate avarice and ambition feem pious and orthodoxal, by painting his lewd and deceitful Principles with a fimooth and gloffy varnish in a doctrinal way, to bring about his wickedest purposes. Instead of the great harm therfore that these Men sear upon the dissolving of Prelates, what an ease, and happinefs will it be to us, when tempting rewards are taken away, that the cunningest and most dangerous mercenaries will cease of themselves to frequent the fold, whom otherwise scarce all the prayers of the faithful could have kept back from devouring the flock? But a true Pastor of Christ's sending hath this especial mark, that for greatest labours, and greatest merits in the Church, he requires either nothing, if he could so substitt, or a very common and reafonable supply of human necessaries: We cannot therfore do better than to leave this care of ours to God, he can eafily fend labourers into his Harvest, that shall not cry, Give, give, but be contented with a moderate and befeeming allowance; nor will he fuffer true learning to be wanting, where true grace and our obedience to him abounds: for if he give us to know him aright, and to practife this our knowledge in right establish'd discipline, how much more will he replenish us with all abilities in tongues and arts, that may conduce to his glory, and our good? He can stir up rich Fathers to bestow exquisite education upon their Children, and so dedicate them to the service of the Gospel; he can make the Sons of Nobles his Ministers, and Princes to be his Nazarites; for certainly there is no employment more honourable, more worthy to take up a great spirit, more requiring a generous and free nurture, than to be the Messenger and Herald of heavenly Truth from God to Man, and by the faithful work of holy doctrine, to procreate a number of faithful Men, making a kind of Creation like to God's, by infufing his Spirit and Likeness into them, to their salvation, as God did into him; arising to what climate soever he turn him, like that Sun of righteousness that sent him, with healing in his wings, and new light to break in upon the chill and gloomy hearts of his hearers, raifing out of darkfome barrenness a delicious and fragrant spring of saving knowledge, and good works. Can a Man thus employ'd, find himself discontented, or dishonoured for want of admittance to have a pragmatical voice at Seffions, and Jaildeliveries? or because he may not as a Judge sit out the wrangling noise of litigious Courts to shreeve the purses of unconfesting and unmortify'd finners, and not their fouls, or be discouraged though Men call him not Lord, whenas the due performance of his office would gain him even from Lords and Princes, the voluntary title of Father? Would he tug for a Barony to fit and vote in Parlament, knowing that no Man can take from him the gift of wisdom and sound doctrine, which leaves him free, though not to be a member, yet a teacher, and perfuader of the Parlament? And in all wife apprehensions the persuasive power in Man to win others to goodness by instruction is greater, and more divine, than the compulsive power to restrain Men from being evil by terror of the Law; and therfore Christ left Moses to be the Law-giver, but himself came down amongst us to be a teacher, with which office his heavenly Wifdom was fo well pleafed, as that he was angry with those that would have put a piece of temporal Judicature into his hands, disclaiming that he had any Commission from above for such

Such a high Calling therfore as this, fends not for those drossy spirits that need the lure and whistle of earthly preferment, like those animals that setch and carry for a morsel; no. She can find such as therfore study her precepts, because she teaches to despise preferment. And let not those wretched Fathers think they shall impoverish the Church of willing and able supply, though they keep back their fordid sperm begotten in the lustiness of their avarice, and turn them to their malting-kilns; rather let them take heed what lessons they instill into that lump of slesh which they are the cause Vol. I.

of, lest, thinking to offer him as a present to God, they dish him out for the Devil. Let the Novice learn first to renounce the world, and so give himself to God, and not therfore give himself to God that he may close the better with the World, like that salfe Shepherd Palinode in the Eclogue of May, under whom the Poet lively personates our Prelates, whose whole life is a recantation of their pastoral vow, and whose profession to forsake the World, as they use the matter, bogs them deeper into the World: Those our admired Spencer inveighs against, not without some presage of these reforming times.

The time was once, and may again return, (For oft may kappen that hath been beforn) When Shepherds had none inheritance, Ne of land, nor fee in sufferance, But what might arise of the bare sheep, (Were it more or lesse,) which they did keep. Well ywis was it with Shepherds, tho Nought having, nought feared they to forgo: For Pan himselfe was their inheritance, And little them ferv'd for their maintenance: The Shepherds God fo well them guided, That of naught they were unprovided. Butter enough, honey, milk, and whey, And their flock fleeces them to array. But trast of time, and long prosperity (That nurse of vice, this of infolency) Lull'd the Shepherds in fuch security, That not content with loyal obeyfance, Some gan to gape for greedy governance, And match themselves with mighty Potentates, Lovers of Lordships, and troublers of States. Tho gan Shepherds Swains to looke aloft, And leave to live hard, and learne to lig foft. Tho under colour of Shepherds some while There crept in Wolves full of fraud and guile, That often devoured their own Sheep, And often the Shepherd that did them keep. This was the first source of Shepherds forrow, That now nill be quit with bale, nor borrow.

By all this we may conjecture, how little we need fear that the ungilding of our Prelates, will prove the woodening of our Priefts. In the mean while, let no Man carry in his Head either fuch narrow, or fuch evil eyes, as not to look upon the Churches of Belgia and Helvetia, and that envied City Geneva: Where in the Christian World doth Learning more flourish than in these places? Not among your beloved Jesuits, nor their Favourers, though you take all the Prelates into the number, and instance in what kind of learning you please. And how in England all noble Sciences attending upon the train of Christian Doctrine may flourish more than ever; and how the able professors of every Art may with ample stipends be honestly provided; and finally, how there may be better care had that their hearers may benefit by them, and all this without the Prelates, the courses are so many and so easy, that I shall pass them over.

Sect. 14. Pag. 129.

Pag. 137.

Remonst. It is God that makes the Bishop, the King that gives the Bishopric; What can you say to this?

Answ. What you shall not long stay for: We say it is God that makes a Bishop, and the Devil that makes him take a prelatical Bishopric; as for the King's gift, regal bounty may be excusable in giving, where the Bishop's covetousness is damnable in taking.

Remonst. Many eminent Divines of the Churches abroad have earnestly wished themselves in our condition.

Anfw. I cannot blame them, they were not only eminent, but supereminent Divines,

Pag. 148.

Divines, and for fromach much like to Pompey the great, that could endure no

Remonst. The Babylonian note founds well in your Ears, down with it, down Page 139.

with it even to the ground.

Anfw. You mistake the matter, it was the Edomitish note, but change it, and if you be an Angel, cry with the Angel, It is fallen, it is fallen.

Remonst. But the God of Heaven will, we hope, vindicate his own Ordi-

nance fo long perpetuated to the Church.

Anfev. Go rather to your God of this World, and he if he can vindicate your Lordships, your temporal and spiritual Tyrannies, and all your pelf; for the God of Heaven is already come down to vindicate his Ordinance from your fo long perpetuated Usurpation.

Remenst. If yet you can blush.

Sed. 15. Answ. This is a more Edomitish conceit than the former, and must be si- Pag. 14:. lenced with a counter-quip of the fame Country. So often and fo unfavourily has it been repeated, that the Reader may well cry, Down with it, down with it for thame. A Man would think you had eaten over-liberally of Esau's red Porridge, and from thence dream continually of blufhing; or perhaps, to he ighten your fancy in writing, are wont to fit in your Doctor's fearlet, which through your eyes infecting your pregnant imaginative with a red Suffusion, begets a continual thought of blufhing: That you thus perfecute ingenuous Men over all your Book, with this one over-tired rubrical conceit still of blushing; but if you have no mercy upon them, yet spare yourself, lest you bejade the good Galloway, your own opiniafter Wit, and make the very Conceit itfelf blush with spur-galling.

Remonst. The scandals of our inferior Ministers I defined to have had less $s_{ex. 16}$.

Aufw. And what your fuperior Archbishop or Bishops? O forbid to have it told in Gath! fay you. O dauber! and therfore remove not Impicties from Ifrael. Constantine might have done more justly to have punish'd those Clergical faults which he could not conceal, than to leave them unpunished, that they might remain conceal'd: better had it been for him that the Heathen had heard the fame of his Justice, than of his wilful Connivance and Partiality; and fo the name of God and his Truth had been lefs blafpliem'd among his Enemies, and the Clergy amended, which daily, by this Impunity, grew worfe and worfe.. But, O to publish in the Streets of Afralon! Sure some Colony of Puritans have taken Ajcalon from the Turk lately, that the Remonstrant is fo afraid of Ascalon. The Papists we know condole you, and neither Constantinople nor your Neighbours of Morocco trouble you. What other Ascalon can

Remonst. What a death it is to think of the sport and advantage these watchful Enemies, these opposite Spectators will be sure to make of our sin and Remonstration full Enemies, these opposite Spectators will be sure to make of our sin and Remonstration full Enemies.

thame?

you allude to?

Anfw. This is but to fling and struggle under the inevitable net of God, that

now begins to inviron you round.

Remonst. No one Clergy in the whole Christian World yields so many eminent Scholars, learned Preachers, grave, holy and accomplish'd Divines, as pag. 38. this Church of England doth at this day.

Anfeo. Ha, ha, ha!

Remonst. And long, and ever may it thus flourish.

Answ. O pestilent imprecation! flourish as it does at this day in the Pre-

Remorst. But oh forbid to have it told in Gath!

Answ. Forbid him rather, sacred Parlament, to violate the sense of Scripture, and turn that which is spoken of the afflictions of the Church under her Pagan Enemies, to a pargetted concealment of those prelatical crying Sins: for from these is prophaneness gone forth into all the Land; they have hid their eyes from the Sabbaths of the Lord; they have fed themfelves, and not their Flocks; with force and cruelty have they ruled over God's People: They have fed his Sheep (contrary to that which Saint Peter writes) not of a ready mind, but for filthy lucre; not as examples to the Flock, but as being 1 Pet. v. Lords over God's heritage: and yet this Dauber would daub still with his

 O_{-2} VOL. I.

Animadversions upon the

100

untempered Mortar. But hearken what God fays by the Prophet Ezekiel, Say Ezck. xiii. unto them that daub this Wall with untemper'd Mortar, that it shall fall; there shall be an overflowing shower, and ye O great hailstones shall fall, and a flormy wind shall rend it, and I will say unto you, the Wall is no more, neither they that daub'd it.

Remoisft. Whether of us shall give a better account of our Charity to the God

of Peace, I appeal.

Answ. Your Charity is much to your fellow offenders, but nothing to the numberless Souls that have been lost by their false seeding: use not therefore so fillily the name of Charity, as most commonly you do, and the peaceful attribute of God to a prepostorous end.

Remonst. In the next Section, like ill-bred Sons, you spit in the face of your

Mother the Church of England.

Anfw. What should we do or say to this Remonstrant? that by his idle and shallow reasonings, feems to have been conversant in no Divinity, but that which is colourable to uphold Bishopricks. We acknowledge, and believe the Catholic reformed Church; and if any Man be disposed to use a trope or figure, as Saint Paul once did in calling her the common Mother of us all, let him do as his own Rhetoric shall perfuade him. If therfore we must needs have a Mother, and if the Catholic Church only be, and must be she, let all Genealogy tell us, if it can, what we must call the Church of England, unless we shall make every English Protestant a kind of poetical Bacchus, to have two Mothers: but mark, Readers, the crafty scope of these Prelates, they endeavour to impress deeply into weak and superstitious Fancies, the awful notion of a Mother, that herby they might cheat them into a blind and implicite Obedience to whatfoever they shall decree, or think fit. And if we come to ask a reafon of aught from our dear Mother, fhe's invifible, under the lockand key of the Prelates her fpiritual adulterers; they only are the internuncio's, or the gobetweens, of this trim devis'd mummery: whatfoever they fay, she fays must be a deadly fin of disobedience not to believe. So that we, who by God's special Grace have shaken off the servitude of a great male Tyrant, our pretended Father the Pope, should now, if we be not betimes aware of these wily Teachers, fink under the flavery of a female notion, the cloudy conception of a demy-Island Mother; and while we think to be obedient Sons, should make ourlelves rather the Baftards, or the Centaurs of their spiritual Fornications.

Remonst. Take heed of the Ravens of the Valley.

Answer. The Ravens we are to take heed on are yourselves, that would peck out the Eyes of all knowing Christians.

Remonst. Sit you merry, Brethren.

Anfw. So we shall when the Furies of Prelatical Consciences will not give them leave to do fo.

Queries. Whether they would not jeopard their Ears rather, &c.

Anfiv. A punishment that awaits the merits of your bold accomplices, for the lopping, and fligmatizing of formany free-born Christians.

Remoust. Whether the professed slovenlines in God's fervice, C_{ℓ} .

Anfro. We have heard of Aaron and his linen Amice, but those days are past; and for your Priest under the Gospel, that thinks himself the purer, or the cleanlier in his Office for his new-wash'd Surplice, we esteem him for Sanctity little better than Apollonius Thyaneus in his white Frock, or the Priest of Is in his lawn Sleeves, and they may all for Holiness lie together in the Suds.

Remonst. Whether it were not most lawful and just to punish your presump-

tion and disobedience.

Answ. The punishing of that which you call our prefumption and disobedience, lies not now within the execution of your fangs; the merciful God above, and our just Parlament will deliver us from your Ephofian Beafts, your cruel Nimreds, with whom we shall be ever fearless to encounter.

Remonst. God give you wisdom to see the Truth, and Grace to sollow it.

Answ. I wish the like to all those that resist not the Holy Ghost; for of such God commands Jeremy, faying, Pray not thou for them, neither lift up cry or prayer for them, neither make intercession to me, for I will not hear thee; and of fuch St. John faith, He that bids them God speed, is partaker of their evil Deeds.

St. 17.

Pag. 149.

Seg. 18.

Pag. 160.

Pag, 161.

To the Postscript.

Remonst. Agoolly Pasquin borrow'd for a great part out of Sion's Plea, or the

Breviate confifting of a Rhapfody of Histories.

Answ. How wittily you tell us what your wonted course is upon the like occafion: the Collection was taken, be it known to you, from as authentic Authors in this kind, as any in a Bishop's Library; and the Collector of it says morcover, that if the like occasion come again, he shall less need the help of Breviates, or historical Rhapsodies, than your Reverence to eke out your fermonings shall need repair to Polis, or Polianthea's.

Remonst. They were Bishops, you say, true, but they were Popish Bishops. Poz. 164.

Answ. Since you would bind us to your Jurisdiction by their Canon-law, since you would inforce upon us the old riff-rass of Sarum, and other monastical reliques; fince you live upon their unjust purchases, alledge their authorities, boast of their succession, walk in their steps, their pride, their titles, their covetousness, their perfecuting of God's people; since you disclaim their actions, and build their fepulchres, it is most just, that all their faults should be imputed to you, and their iniquities vifited upon you.

Remonst. Could you see no Colleges, no Hospitals built?

Pag. 166.

Answ. At that primero of Piety, the Pope and Cardinals are the better Gamesters, and will cog a Die into Heaven before you.

Remonst. No Churches re-edity'd? Answ. Yes, more Churches than Souls. Remonst. No learned Volumes writ?

Answ. So did the miscreant Bishop of Spalatto write learned Volumes against the Pope, and run to Rome when he had done; ye write them in your Closets, and unwrite them in your Courts; hot Volumists and cold Bishops; a swashbuckler against the Pope, and a dormouse against the Devil, while the whole Diocese be sown with tares, and none to resist the enemy, but such as let him in at the Postern; a rare superintendent at Rome, and a cypher at home. Hypocrites, the Gospel faithfully preach'd to the poor, the desolate Parishes vifited and duly fed; Loiterers thrown out, Wolves driven from the fold, had been a better confutation of the Pope and Mass, than whole Hecatontomes of Controversies; and all this careering with Spear in rest, and thundring upon the steel Cap of Baronius or Bellarmine.

Remonst. No feduced Persons reclaim'd?

Answ. More reclaim'd Persons seduc'd.

Remonst. No Hospitality kept?

Answ. Bacchanalia's good store in every Bishop's Family, and good gleeking. Remonst. No great offenders punish'd?

Answ. The trophies of your High Commission are renown'd.

Remonst. No good Offices done for the Public?

Anfw. Yes, the good Office of reducing Monarchy to Tyranny, of breaking pacifications, and calumniating the People to the King.

Remonst. No care of the Peace of the Church?

Anfw. No, nor of the Land; witness the two Armies in the North, that now lies plunder'd, and over-run by a Liturgy.

Remonft. No diligence in preaching?

Answ. Scarce any preaching at all.

Remonst. No holiness in living?

Anfw. No.

Remonst. Truly, Brethren, I can say no more, but that the fault is in your

Answ. If you can say no more than this, you were a proper Remonstrant to stand up for the whole Tribe.

Remonst. Wipe them, and look better.

Answ. Wipe your fat Corpulencies out of our light.

Remonst. Yea, I befeech God to open them rather that they may see good. Answ. If you mean good Prelates, let be your prayer, ask not Impossibilities. Remonit. As for that Proverb, the Bishop's foot hath been in it, it were more fit for a Scurra in Trivio, or fome Ribald upon an Ale-bench.

Anho.

Anfw. The fitter for them then of whom it was meant.

Pag. 167.

Pag. 168.

Remorft. I doubt not but they will fay, the Bishop's foot hath been in your Book, for I am fure it is quite spoil'd by this just consutation; for your Proverb, Sepit Ollam.

Anfav. Spoil'd, quoth ye? indeed it is fo spoil'd, as a good Song is spoil'd by a lewd Singer, or as the faying is, God fends meat, but the Cooks work their wills: in that fense we grant your Bishop's foot may have spoil'dit, and made it Safere ollam, if not Sapere aulam; which is the fame in old Latin, and perhaps in plain English. For certain your confutation hath atchieved nothing against it, and left nothing upon it, but a foul taste of your skillet foot, and a more perfect and distinguishable odour of your Socks, than of your Night-cap. And how the Bishop should confute a Book with his Foot, unless his Brains were dropt into his great Toe, I cannot meet with any Man that can refolve me, only they tell me that certainly such a Confutation must needs be gouty. So much for the Bishop's foot.

Remonst. You tell us of Bonner's Broth; it is the fashion in some Countries to fend in their Keal in the last Service, and this it feems is the manner amongst

our Sme Evmnuans.

Answ. Your latter Service at the high Altar you mean; but fost Sir, the Feaft was but begun, the Broth was your own, you have been inviting the Land to it this four score years; and so long we have been your slaves to serve it up for you, much against our wills: we know you have the Beef to it, ready in your Kitchens, we are fure it was almost fod before this Parlament begun; what direction you have given fince to your Cooks to fet it by in the Pantry till some fitter time, we know not, and therfore your dear Jest is lost; this Broth was but your first Service: Alas, Sir, why do you delude your Guests? Why do not those goodly Flanks and Briskets march up in your stately Chargers? Doubtless, if need be, the Pope that owes you for mollifying the matter to well with him, and making him a true Church, will furnish you with all the fat Oxen of Italy.

Remonst. Learned and worthy Doctor Moulin shall tell them.

Answ. Moulin says in his Book of the calling of Pastors, that because Bishops were the Reformers of the English Church, therfore they were left remaining: This Argument is but of small force to keep you in your Cathedrals. For first it may be deny'd that Bishops were our first Reformers, for Wickliffe was before them, and his egregious Labours are not to be neglected; besides, our Bishops were in this work but the Disciples of Priests, and began the Reformation before they were Bishops. But what though *Luther* and other Monks were the Reformers of other places? does it follow therfore that Monks ought to continue? No, though Luther had taught fo. And laftly, Moulin's Argument directly makes against you; for if there be nothing in it but this, Bishops were left remaining because they were the Reformers of the Church, by as good a Confequence therfore they are now to be remov'd, because they have been the most certain deformers and ruiners of the Church. fee how little it avails you to take Sanctuary among those Churches which in the general fcope of your actions formerly you have difregarded, and defpited; however, your fair words would now fmooth it over otherwise.

Remonst. Our Bishops, some wherof being crown'd with Martyrdom, sub-

fcrib'd the Gospel with their Blood.

Answ. You boast much of Martyrs to uphold your Episcopacy; but if you would call to mind what Eufebius in his 5th Book recites from Apollinarius of Hierapolis, you should then hear it esteemed no other than an old heretical Argument, to prove a Position true, because some that held it were Martyrs: This was that which gave boldness to the Marcionists and Cataphryges to avouch their impious Herefies for pious Doctrine, because they could reckon many Martyrs of their Sect; and when they were confuted in other Points, this was ever their last and stoutest Plea.

Remonst. In the mean time I befeech the God of Heaven to humble you.

Anfev. We shall befeech the same God to give you a more profitable and pertinent Humiliation than yet you know, and a less mistaken charitableness, with that peace which you have hitherto fo perverfely mifaffected.

 ΛN

APOLOGY

FOR

SMECTYMNUUS.

1F, Readers, to that fame great difficulty of well-doing what we certainly know, were not added in most Men as great a carelessness of knowing what they and others ought to do, we had bin long ere this, no doubt but all of us, much farther on our way to some degree of Peace and Happiness in this Kingdom. But fince our finful neglect of practifing that which we know to be undoubtedly true and good, hath brought forth among us, through God's just Anger, so great a difficulty now to know that which otherwise might be soon learnt, and hath divided us by a Controversy of great importance indeed, but of no hard folution, which is the more our Punishment; I refolv'd (of what small moment soever I might be thought) to stand on that side where I faw both the plain Authority of Scripture leading, and the Reason of Justice and Equity persuading; with this Opinion, which esteems it more unlike a Christian to be a cold neuter in the cause of the Church, than the Law of Solon made it punishable after a Sedition in the State. And because I observe that Fear and dull Disposition, Lukewarmness and Sloth, are not feldomer wont to cloak themfelves under the affected name of Moderation, than true and lively Zeal is customably disparaged with the term of Indiscretion, Bitternels, and Choler, I could not to my thinking honour a good Caufe more from the heart, than by defending it earnestly, as oft as I could judge it to behoove me, notwithstanding any false name that could be invented to wrong or undervalue an honest meaning. Wherin although I have not doubted to fingle forth more than once fuch of them as were thought the chief and most nominated Oppofers on the other fide, whom no Man else undertook; if I have done well cither to be confident of the Truth, whose force is best seen against the ablest Resistance, or to be jealous and tender of the hurt that might be done among the weaker by the intrapping Authority of great Names titled to false Opinions; or that it be lawful to attribute somewhat to Gifts of God's imparting, which I boaft not, but thankfully acknowledge, and fear also left at my certain account they be reckon'd to me many rather than few; or if laftly it be but Justice not to defraud of due esteem the wearisome labours and studious watchings, wherin I have spent and tir'd out almost a whole Youth, I shall not distrust to be acquitted of presumption: knowing, that if heretofore all Ages have receiv'd with favour and good acceptance the earlieft industry of him that hath bin hopeful, it were but hard measure now, if the freedom of any timely Spirit should be oppress'd merely by the big and blunted fame of his elder adverfary; and that his fufficiency must be now fentenced, not by pondering the reason he shews, but by calculating the years he brings. However, as my purpole is not, nor hath been formerly, to look on my Adverfary abroad, through the deceiving glass of other Men's great opinion of him, but at home, where I may find him in the proper light of his own worth; fo now against the rancour of an evil tongue, from which I never thought so absurdly, as that I of all Men should be exempt, I must be forc'd to proceed from the unfeigned and diligent inquiry of mine own Conscience at home (for better way I know not, Readers) to give a more true account of myself abroad than this modest Consuter, as he calls himself, hath given of me. Albeit, that in doing this I shall be sensible of two things which to me will be nothing pleafant; the one is, that not unlikely I shall be thought too much a Party in mine own Caufe, and therin to fee leaft: the other, that I shall be put unwillingly to molest the public view with the vindication of a private name; as if it were worth the while that the People should care whether fuch a one were thus, or thus. Yet those I intreat who have found

the leifure to read that Name, however of small repute, unworthily defam'd, would be fo good and fo patient as to hear the same Person not unneedfully defended. I will not deny but that the best Apology against false Accusers is filence and fufferance, and honest deeds set against dishonest words. And that I could at this time most easily and securely, with the least loss of Reputation, use no other defence, I need not despair to win belief; whether I consider both the foolish contriving and ridiculous aiming of these his slanderous bolts, shot so wide of any suspicion to be fasten'd on me, that I have oft with inward contentment perceiv'd my friends congratulating themselves in my innocence, and my Enemies asham'd of their partners folly: Or whether I look at these present times wherin most Men, now scarce permitted the liberty to think over their own concernments, have remov'd the feat of their thoughts more outward to the expectation of public events. Or whether the examples of Men, either noble or religious, who have fat down lately with a meek filence and fufferance under many libellous Endorsements, may be a rule to others, I might well appeare myfelf to put up any reproaches in such an honourable Society of fellow-sufferers, using no other Defence. And were it that Slander would be content to make an end where it first fixes, and not feek to cast out the like infamy upon each thing that hath but any relation to the Perfon traduc'd, I should have pleaded against this Confuter by no other Advocates than those which I first commended, Silence and Sufferance, and speaking deeds against faltering words. But when I discern'd his intent was not so much to fmite at me, as through me to render odious the Truth which I had written, and to stain with ignominy that Evangelic Doctrine which opposes the tradition of Prelaty; I conceiv'd myself to be now not as mine own Person, but as a Member incorporate into that Truth wherof I was perfuaded, and wherof I had declar'd openly to be a partaker. Wherupon I thought it my duty, if not to myfelf, yet to the religious Cause I had in hand, not to leave on my garment the least spot or blemish in good name so long as God should give me to fay that which might wipe it off. Left those disgraces which I ought to fuffer, if it so befull me, for my Religion, through my default Religion be made liable to suffer for me. And, whether it might not fomething reflect upon those reverent Men whose Friend I may be thought in writing the Animadversions, was not my last care to consider; if I should rest under these reproaches, having the same common Adversary with them, it might be counted small credit for their cause to have found such an affistant as this babbler hath devis'd me. What other thing in his Book there is of difpute or question, in answering therto I doubt not to be justify'd; except there be who will condemn me to have wasted time in throwing down that which could not keep itself up. As for others, who notwithstanding what I can alledge have yet decreed to mif-interpret the intents of my Reply, I suppose they would have found as many causes to have mis-conceiv'd the reasons of my filence.

Do begin therfore an Apology for those Animadversions which I writ against the Remonstrant in defence of Smothern which was purpofely fet before them, is not thought apologetical enough, it will be best to acquaint ye, Readers, before other things, what the meaning was to write them in that manner which I did. For I do not look to be ask'd wherfore I writ the Book, it being no difficulty to answer that I did it to those ends which the best Men propose to themselves when they write: But wherfore in that manner neglecting the main bulk of all that specious Antiquity, which might stun Children, but not Men, I chose rather to observe some kind of military advantages to await him at his forragings, at his waterings, and whenever he felt himself secure, to solace his vein in derision of his more ferious opponents. And here let me have pardon, Readers, if the Remembrance of that which he hath licenced himself to utter contemptuously of those reverend Men provoke me to do that over again which fome expect I should excuse as too freely done; fince I have two provocations, his latest infulting in his short answer, and their final patience. I had no fear but that the Authors of Smellymnuus, to all the shew of folidity which the Remonstrant could bring, were prepared both with skill and purpose to return a sufficing answer, and were able enough to lay the dust and pudder in antiquity, which he and

115,

his, out of stratagem, are wont to raile; but when I saw his weak Arguments headed with sharp taunts, and that his defign was, if he could not refute them; yet at least with quips and fnapping Adagies to vapour them out, which they bent only upon the bufiness were minded to let pass, by how much I saw them taking little thought for their own Injuries, I must contess I took it as my part the lefs to endure that my respected Friends, through their own unnecessary patience, should thus lie at the mercy of a coy flurting stile; to be girded with frumps and curtall gibes, by one who makes fentences by the Statute, as if all above three inches long were confifcate. To me it feem'd an indignity, that whom his whole wisdom could not move from their place, them his impetuous Folly should presume to ride over. And if I were more warm than was meet in any passage of that Book, which yet I do not yield, I might use therin the patronage of no worse an Author than Gregory Nyssen, who mentioning his sharpness against Eunomius in the defence of his Brother Bafil, holds himself irreprovable in that it was not for himself, but in the cause of his Brother; and in such cases, saith he, perhaps it is worthier pardon to be angry than to be cooler. And wheras this Confuter taxes the whole Discourse of Levity, I shall shew ye, Readers, whersoever it shall be objected in particular, that I have answer'd with as little lightness as the Remonstrant hath given example. I have not been so light as the palm of a Bishop, which is the lightest thing in the world when he brings out his Book of Ordination: For then, contrary to that which is wont in releafing out of prison, any one that will pay his fees is laid hands on. Another reason, it would not be amifs though the Remonstrant were told, wherfore he was in that unufual manner beleaguer'd; and this was it, to pluck out of the heads of his Admirers the conceit that all who are not Prelatical, are groß-headed, thickwitted, illiterate, shallow. Can nothing then but Episcopacy teach Men to speak good English, to pick and order a set of words judiciously? Must we learn from Canons and quaint Sermonings, interlin'd with barbarous Latin, to illumine a period, to wreath an Enthymema with mafterous dexterity? Irather incline, as I have heard it observ'd, that a Jesuit's Italian when he writes, is ever naught, though he be born and bred a Florentine; so to think that from like causes we may go near to observe the same in the stile of a Prelate. For doubtless that indeed according to Art is most eloquent, which returns and approaches nearest to Nature from whence it came; and they express Nature best, who in their lives least wander from her safe leading, which may be call'd regenerate Reafon. So that how he should be truly eloquent who is not withal a good Man, I see not. Nevertheless, as oft as is to be dealt with Men who pride themselves in their supposed Art, to leave them unexcusable wherin they will not be bettered; there be of those that esteem Prelaty a figment, who yet can pipe if they can dance, nor will be unfurnish'd to shew that what the Prelatesadmire and have not, others have and admire not. The knowledge wherof, and not of that only, but of what the Scripture teacheth us how we ought to withstand the perverters of the Gospel, were those other motives which gave the Animadversions no leave to remit a continual vehemence throughout the Book. For as in teaching doubtless the fpirit of meekness is most powerful, so are the meek only fit persons to be taught: as for the proud, the obstinate, and salse Doctors of Men's devices, be taught they will not, but discovered and laid open they must be. For how can they admit of teaching, who have the Condemnation of God already upon them for refusing divine Instruction? That is, to be fill'd with their own devices, as in the Proverbs we may read: therfore we may fafely imitate the method that God uses; with the froward to be froward, and to throw feorn upon the seorner, whom, if any thing, nothing else will heal. And if the righteous shall laugh at the destruction of the ungoally, they may also laugh at their pertinacious and incurable obstinacy, and at the same time be mov'd with detestation of their seducing malice, who employ all their wits to defend a Prelaty usurp'd, and to deprave that just Government which Pride and Ambition, partly by fine fetches and pretences, partly by force, hath shouldered out of the Church. against such kind of deceivers openly and earnestly to protest, lest any one should be inquisitive wherfore this or that Man is forwarder than others, let him know that this Office goes not by Age or Youth, but to whomfoever God shall give apparently the Will, the Spirit, and the Utterance. Ye have heard Vol. I.

the reasons for which I thought not myself exempted from affociating with good Men in their labours toward the Church's welfare: to which, if any one brought opposition, I brought my best resistance. If in requital of this, and for that I have not been negligent toward the reputation of my friends, I have gain'd a name bestuck, or as I may say, bedeck'd with the reproaches and reviles of this modest Consuter, it shall be to me neither strange nor unwelcome, as that which could not come in a better time.

Having render'd an account what induc'd me to write those Animadversions in that manner as I writ them, I come now to fee what the Confutation hath to fay against them; but so as the Confuter shall hear first what I have to fay against his Consutation. And because he pretends to be a great Conjector at other Men by their Writings, I will not fail to give ye, Readers, a prefent tafte of him from his title, hung out like a toling fign-post to call Passengers, not simply a Confutation, but a modest Confutation, with a Laudatory of itself obtruded in the very first word. Wheras a modest title should only inform the buyer what the Book contains without further infinuation; this officious Epithet fo hastily assuming the modesty which others are to judge of by reading, not the Author to anticipate to himself by forestalling, is a strong presumption that his modesty set there to sale in the frontispiece, is not much addicted to blush. A surer sign of his lost shame he could not have given, than feeking thus unfeafonably to prepoffefs Men of his modefty. And feeing he hath neither kept his word in the fequel, nor omitted any kind of boldness in flandering, 'tis manifest his purpose was only to rub the forehead of his title with this word modest, that he might not want colour to be the more impudent throughout his whole Confutation. Next, what can equally favour of Injustice and plain Arrogance, as to prejudice and forecon emn his Adversary in the title for flanderous and fcurrilous, and as the Remonstrants fashion is, for frivolous, tedious, and false, not staying till the Reader can hear him prov'd so in the following Discourse; which is one cause of a suspicion that in fetting forth this Pamphlet the Remonstrant was not unconfulted with: thus his first address was an humble Remonstrance by a dutifut Son of the Church, almost as if he had said her white-boy. His next was a Defence (a wonder how it escap'd some praising adjunct) against the frivolous and false Exceptions of Smellymnuus, fitting in the chair of his Title-page upon his poor cast Adverfaries both as a Judge and Party, and that before the Jury of Readers can be impannell'd. His last was a short Answer to a tedious Vindication; so little can he fuffer a Man to measure either with his eye or judgment, what is fhort or what is tedious, without his preoccupying direction: and from hence is begotten this modest Confutation against a slanderous and scurrilous Libel. I conceive, Readers, much may be guess'd at the Man and his Book, what depth there is, by the framing of his Title; which being in this Remonstrant so rash and unadvifed as ye fee, I conceit him to be near a-kin to him who fet forth a Passion Sermon with a formal Dedicatory in great Letters to our Saviour. Although I know that all we do ought to begin and end to his Praise and Glory, yet to inscribe him in a void place with flourishes, as a Man in compliment uses to trick up the name of some Esquire, Gentleman, or Lord Paramont at Common Law, to be his Book-Patron, with the appendant form of a ceremonious presentment, will ever appear among the judicious to be but an infult and frigid affectation. As no lefs was that before his Book against the Brownists, to write a Letter to a Prosopopæia, a certain rhetoriz'd Woman whom he calls Mother, and complains of fome that laid Whoredom to her charge; and certainly had he folded his Epiftle with a Superscription to be deliver'd to that female figure by any Post or Carrier who were not a Ubiquitary, it had been a most miraculous greeting. We find the Primitive Doctors as oft as they writ to Churches, speaking to them as to a number of faithful Brethren and Sons, and not to make a cloudy Transmigration of Sexes in such a familiar way of writing as an Epistle ought to be, leaving the track of commonaddress, to run up, and tread the Air in metaphorical Compellations, and many fond utterances better let alone. But I step again to this Emblazoner of his Title-page, (whether it be the fame Man or no, I leave it in the midft) and here I find him pronouncing, without reprieve, those Animadversions to be a flanderous and scurrilous Libel. To which I, Readers, that they are neither Landerous, nor scurrilous, will answer in what place of his Book he shall be

found with reason, and not ink only in his mouth. Nor can it be a Libel more than his own, which is both nameless and full of slanders; and if in this that it freely fpeaks of things amifs in Religion, but establish'd by Act of State, I fee not how Wickleffe and Luther, with all the first Martyrs and Reformers, could avoid the imputation of libelling. I never thought the human frailty of erring in cases of Religion, Infamy to a State, no more than to a Council: it had therfore been neither civil nor christianly, to derogate the Honour of the State for that cause, especially when I saw the Parlament itself piously and magnanimously bent to supply and reform the defects and oversights of their Fore-fathers, which to the godly and repentant ages of the Jews were often matter of humble confessing and bewailing, not of confident afferting and maintaining. Of the State therfore I found good reason to speak all honourable things, and to join in petition with good Men that petition'd: but against the Prelates who were the only seducers and mis-leaders of the State to constitute the Government of the Church not rightly, methought I had not vehemence enough. And thus, Readers, by the example which he hath set me, I have given ye two or three notes of him out of his Title-page; by which his firstlings sear not to guess boldly at his whole lump, for that guess will not fail ye; and although I tell him keen truth, yet he may bear with me, fince I am like to chase him into some good knowledge, and others, I truft, shall not mis-spend their leisure. For this my aim is, if I am forc'd to be unpleafing to him whose fault it is, I shall not forget at the same time to

be useful in some thing to the stander-by.

As therfore he began in the Title, so in the next leaf he makes it his first bufiness to tamper with his Reader by sycophanting and mis-naming the work of his advertary. He calls it a Mime thrust forth upon the stage to make up the breaches of those folemn Scenes between the Prelates and the Smellymnuans. Wherin while he is fo over-greedy to fix a name of ill found upon another, note how flupid he is to expose himself or his own friends to the same ignominy; likening those grave Controversies to a piece of Stagery, or Scene-work, where his own Remonstrant, whether in Buskin or Sock, must of all right be counted the chief Player, be it boasting Thraso, or Davus that troubles all things, or one who can shift into any shape, I meddle not; let him explicate who hath refembled the whole Argument to a Comedy, for Tragical, he fays, were too ominous. Nor yet doth he tell us what a Mime is, wheref we have no pattern from ancient writers, except fome fragments, which contain many acute and wife fentences. And this we know in Lacritus, that the Mimes of Sophron were of fuch reckoning with Plato, as to take them nightly to read on, and after make them his pillow. Scaliger describes a Mime to be a Poem imitating any action to ftir up laughter. But this being neither Poem, nor yet ridiculous, how is it but abusively tax'd to be a Mime? For if every Book which may by chance excite to laugh here and there, must be term'd thus, then may the Dialogues of *Plato*, who for those his writings hath obtain'd the furname of Divine, be esteemed as they are by that Detractor in Atheneus, no better than Mimes. Because there is scarce one of them, especially wherin fome notable Sophister lies sweating and turmoiling under the inevitable and merciles Dilemma's of Socrates, but that he who reads, were it Saturn himself, would be often robb'd of more than a smile. And wheras he tells us that scurrilous Mime was a personated grim lowering Fool, his soolish language unwittingly writes Fool upon his own friend, for he who was there perfonated, was only the Remonstrant; the Author is ever distinguish'd from the person he introduces. But in an ill hour hath his unfortunate rashness stumbled upon the mention of miming, that he might at length ceafe, which he hath not yet fince he stept in, to gall and hurt him whom he would aid. Could he not beware, could he not bethink him, was he fo uncircumfpect, as not to foresee, that no sooner would that word Mime be set eye on in the Paper, but it would bring to mind that wretched Pilgrimage over Minshew's Dictionary call'd Mundus alter & idem, the idlest and the paltriest Mime that ever mounted upon bank? Let him ask the Author of those toothless Satyrs who was the maker, or rather the anticreator of that universal soolery, who he was, who like that other principle of the Manichees the Arch evil-one, when he had look'd upon all that he had made and mapt out, could fay no other but contrary to the Divine Mouth, that it was all very foolish. That grave and Vol. I.

noble invention which the greatest and sublimest Wits in sundry ages, Plato in Critias, and our two famous Countrymen, the one in his Utopia, the other in his new Atlantis chose, I may not say as a Field, but as a mighty Continent, wherin to display the largeness of their Spirits, by teaching this our World better and exacter things than were yet known or us'd: this petty Prevaricator of America, the Zany of Columbus (for fo he must be till his world's end) having rambled over the huge topography of his own vain thoughts, no marvel if he brought us home nothing but a mere tankard drollery, a venereous parjetory for a stews. Certainly, he that could endure with a sober Pen to sit and devife Laws for Drunkards to caroufe by, I doubt me whether the very foberness of such a one, like an unlicour'd Silenus, were not stark drunk. Let him go now and brand another Man injuriously with the name of Mime, being himself the loosest and most extravagant Mime that hath bin heard of, whom no lefs than almost half the world could serve for stage-room to play the Mime And let him advise again with Sir Francis Bacon, whom he cites to confute others, what it is to turn the fins of Christendom into a mimical mockery, to rip up the faddest vices with a laughing countenance, especially where neither reproof nor better teaching is adjoin'd. Nor is my meaning, Readers, to shift off a blame from myself, by charging the like upon my accuser, but shall only defire that Sentence may be refpited, till I can come to some instance wherto

I may give answer.

Thus having spent his first Onset, not in consuting, but in a reasonless defaming of the Book, the method of his Malice hurries him to attempt the like against the Author; not by Proofs and Testimonies, but baving no certain notice of me, as he professes, further than what he gathers from the Animadverfions, blunders at me for the rest, and slings out stray Crimes at a venture, which he could never, though he be a Serpent, fuck from any thing that I have written, but from his own stuffed magazine, and hoard of slanderous Inventions, over and above that which he converted to venom in the drawing. To me, Readers, it happens as a fingular contentment; and let it be to good Men no flight fatisfaction, that the Slanderer here confesses, he has no further notice of me than his own conjecture. Although it had been honest to have inquir'd, before he uttered fuch infamous words, and I am credibly inform'd he did inquire; but finding finall comfort from the intelligence which he receiv'd, wheron to ground the Falfities which he had provided, thought it his likeliest course under a pretended ignorance to let drive at random, lest he should lose his odd Ends, which from some penurious Book of Characters he had been culling out and would fain apply. Not caring to burden me with those Vices, wherof, among whom my Conversation hath been, I have been ever least suspected; perhaps not without some suttlety to cast me into envy, by bringing on me a necessity to enter into mine own praises. In which Argument I know every wife Man is more unwillingly drawn to speak, than the most repining ear can be averse to hear. Nevertheless, since I dare not wish to pass this Life unpersecuted of slanderous tongues, for God hath told us that to be generally prais'd is woful, I shall rely on his Promise to free the innocent from causeless Aspersions: wherof nothing sooner can affure me, than if I shall feel him now affisting me in the just vindication of myself, which yet I could defer, it being more meet that to those other matters of public debatement in this Book, I should give attendance first, but that I fear it would but harm the Truth for me to reason in her behalf, so long as I should fuffer my honest estimation to lie unpurg'd from these insolent suspicions. And if I shall be large, or unwonted in justifying myself to those who know me not, for else it would be needless, let them consider that a fhort Slander will oft-times reach further than a long Apology; and that he who will do justly to all Men, must begin from knowing how, if it so happen, to be not unjust to himself. I must be thought, if this Libeller (for now he shews himself to be so) can find belief, after an inordinate and riotous Youth spent at the University, to have bin at length vomited out thence. For which commodious Lye, that he may be encourag'd in the trade another time, I thank him, for it hath given me an apt occasion to acknowledge publiely with all grateful mind, that more than ordinary favour and respect which I found above any of my Equals at the hands of those courteous and learned Men, the Fellows of that College wherin I spent some Years: who

at my parting, after I had taken two Degrees, as the manner is, fignify'd many ways, how much better it would content them that I would flay; as by many. Letters full of kindness and loving respect, both before that time, and long aiter, I was affur'd of their fingular good affection towards me. Which being likewise propense to all such as as were for their studious and civil Life worthy of esteem, I could not wrong their Judgments, and upright Intentions, so much as to think I had that regard from them for other cause than that I might be still encouraged to proceed in the honest and laudable courses, of which they apprehended I had given good proof. And to those ingenuous and friendly Men, who were ever the countenancers of virtuous and hopeful Wits, I wish the best and happiest things that Friends in absence wish one to another. As for the common approbation or diflike of that place, as now it is, that I should esteem or disesteem myself, or any other the more for that; too simple and too credulous is the Confuter, if he think to obtain with me, or any right Difcerner. Of fmall practice were that Phyfician, who could not judge by what both the or her Sifter hath of long time vomited, that the worfer stuff the strongly keeps in her stomach, but the better the is ever kecking at, and is queafy. She vomits now out of fickness; but ere it be well with her, the must vomit by strong Physic. In the mean while that Suburb fink, as this rude Scavenger calls it, and more than feurrilously taunts it with the plague, having a worse plague in his middle Entrail, that Suburb wherin I dwell, fhall be in my account a more honourable placethan his University. Which as in the time of her better health, and mine own younger judgment, I never greatly admired, so now much lefs. But he follows me to the City, still usurping and forging beyond his Book notice, which only he affirms to have had; and where my morning baunts are, be wifes not. 'Tis wonder, that being fo rare an Alchymist of slander, he could not extract that, as well as the Univerfity vomit, and the Suburb fink which his Art could diftill so cunningly; but because his Limbec fails him, to give him and envy the more vexation, I'll tell him. Those morning haunts are where they should be at home, not sleeping, or concoccing the furfeits of an irregular Feaft, but up and stirring, in Winter often ere the found of any Bell awake Men to labour, or to devotion; in Summer as oft with the Bird that first rouses, or not much tardier, to read good Authors, or cause them to be read, till the Attention be weary, or Memory have its full fraught: Then with useful and generous labours preferving the Body's health and nar. dinefs; to render lightfome, clear, and not lumpish obedience to the mind, to the cause of Religion, and our Country's liberty, when it shall require firm hearts in found Bodies to stand and cover their stations, rather than to fee the ruin of our Protestation, and the inforcement of a flavish Life. These are the morning Practices, proceed now to the afternoon; in Playhouses, he says, and the Bordelloes. Your intelligence, unfaithful Spy of Canaan: he gives in his evidence, that there be bath trac'd me. Take him at his word, Readers, but let him bring good Sureties ere ye difinifs him, that while he pretended to dog others, he did not turn in for his own pleasure: for fo much in effect he concludes against himself, not contented to be caught in every other. Gin, but he must be such a novice, as to be still hampered in his own Hemp. In the Animadversions, faith he, I find the mention of old Cloaks, false Beards, Nightwalkers, and falt Lotion; therfore the Animadverter haunts Playhouses and Bordelloes; for if he did not, how could he speak of such Gear? Now that he may know what it is to be a Child, and yet to meddle with edg'd tools, I turn his Antistrepton upon his own head; the Confuter knows that these things are the furniture of Playhouses and Bordelloes, therfore by the same reason the Confuter himself bath been trac'd in those places. Was it such a dissolute Speech, telling of some Politicians who were wont to eavefdrop in difguises, to say they were often liable to a night-walking Cudgeller, or the emptying of a Urinal? What if I had writ as your Friend the Author of the aforefaid Mime, Mundus alter & idem, to have been ravish'd like some young Cephalus or Hvlas, by a troop of camping Housewives in Viraginea, and that he was there forced to fwear himself an uxorious Varlet; then after a long servitude to have come into Aphrodifia that pleafant Country, that gave fuch a fweet finell to his Nostrils among the shameless Courtezans of Degvergonia? Surely he would have then concluded me as constant at the Bordello, as the Galley-slave at

But fince there is such necessity to the hear-say of a Tire, a Periwig, or a Vizard, that Plays must have bin feen, what difficulty was there in that? when in the Colleges fo many of the young Divines, and those in next aptitude to Divinity, have bin feen so often upon the Stage, writhing and unboning their Clergy-limbs to all the antic and dishonest gestures or Trinculo's, Buffoons, and Bawds; prostituting the shame of that Ministry, which either they had, or were nigh having, to the eyes of Courtiers and Court-Ladies, with their Grooms and Madamoisellaes. There while they acted, and over-acted, among other young Scholars, I was a Spectator; they thought themselves gallant Men, and I thought them fools; they made sport, and I laugh'd; they mif-pronounc'd, and I mislik'd; and to make up the Atticism, they were out, and I hist. Judge now whether so many good Text-Men were not sufficient to instruct me of salse beards and vizards, without more Expositors: and how can this Consuter take the sace to object to me the feeing of that which his reverend Prelates allow, and incite their young Difciples to act? For if it be unlawful to fit and behold a mercenary Comedian personating that which is least unseemly for a hireling to do, how much more blameful is it to endure the fight of as vile things acted by Persons either enter'd, or prefently to enter into the Ministry; and how much more foul and

ignominious for them to be the Actors?

But because as well by this upbraiding to me the Bordello's, as by other fuspicious glancings in his Book, he would feem privily to point me out to his Readers, as one whose custom of Life were not honest, but licentious; I shall intreat to be born with, though I digress; and in a way not often trod. acquaint ye with the fum of my thoughts in this matter, through the course of my Years and Studies. Although I am not ignorant how hazardous it will be to do this under the nose of the Envious, as it were in skirmish to change the compact Order, and instead of outward Actions, to bring inmost thoughts into front. And I must tell ye, Readers, that by this fort of Men I have bin already bitten at; yet shall they not for me know how flightly they are efteemed, unless they have so much learning as to read what in Greek Απειροχαλία is, which together with envy, is the common difease of those who censure Books that are not for their reading. With me it fares now, as with him whose outward garment hath bin injur'd and ill-bedighted; for having no other shift, what help but to turn the inside outwards, especially if the lining be of the same, or, as it is sometimes, much better? So if my name and outward demeanor be not evident enough to defend me, I must make trial, if the discovery of my inmost thoughts can: Wherin of two purposes both honest, and both sincere, the one perhaps I shall not miss; although I fail to gain belief with others, of being such as my perpetual thoughts shall here disclose me, I may yet not fail of success in persuading fome to be fuch really themselves, as they cannot believe me to be more than what I fain. I had my time, Readers, as others have, who have good learning bestow'd upon them, to be sent to those Places, where the opinion was, it might be foonest attain'd; and as the manner is, was not unstudied in those Authors which are most commended; wheros some were grave Orators and Historians, whose matter methought I lov'd indeed, but as my Age then was, fo I understood them; others were the smooth Elegiac Poets, wherof the Schools are not scarce, whom both for the pleasing found of their numerous Writing, which in imitation I found most easy, and most agreeable to nature's part in me, and for their matter, which what it is, there be few who know not, I was fo allur'd to read, that no recreation came to me better welcome: For that it was then those Years with me which are excus'd, though they be least severe, I may be sav'd the labour to remember ye. Whence having observ'd them to account it the chief glory of their wit, in that they were ablest to judge, to praise, and by that could effect themfelves worthiest to love those high perfections, which under one or other name they took to celebrate; I thought with myfelf by every inflinct and prefage of Nature, which is not wont to be falle, that what imboldned them to this task, might with such diligence as they us'd imbolden me; and that what Judgment, Wit, or Elegance was my share, would herin best appear, and best value itself, by how much more wisely, and with more love of Vertue

I should chuse (let rude ears be absent) the object of not unlike praises: For albeit these thoughts to some will seem virtuous and commendable, to others only pardonable, to a third fort perhaps idle; yet the mentioning of them now will end in ferious. Nor blame it, Readers, in those Years to propose to themselves such a reward, as the noblest Dispositions above other things in this Life have sometimes preferr'd: where not to be sensible, when good and fair in one Person meet, argues both a gross and shallow Judgement, and withal an ungentle, and swainish Breast. For by the firm settling of these persuasions, I became, to my best memory, so much a proficient, that is I found these. Authors any where speaking appropriate things and these and these sets are the sets of the s that if I found those Authors any where speaking unworthy things of themselves, or unchaste of those Names which before they had extolled; this effect it wrought with me, from that time forward their Art I still applau led, but the Men I deplor'd; and above them all, preferr'd the two famous renowners of Beatrice and Laura, who never write but honour of them to whom they devote their Verse, displaying sublime and pure thoughts, without transgression. And long it was not after, when I was confirmed in this opinion, that he who would not be frustrate of his hope to write well hereafter in laudable things, ought himself to be a true Poem; that is, a composition and pattern of the best and honourablest things; not presuming to sing high praises of heroic Men, or famous Cities, unless he have in him elf the experience and the practice of all that which is praise-worthy. These reasonings, together with a certain niceness of Nature, an honest haughtiness, and self-esteem either of what I was, or what I might be, (which let envy call pride) and lattly that Modesty, wherof though not in the Titlepage, yet here I may be excus'd to make some beseeming protession; all these uniting the supply of their natural aid together, kept me still above those low descents of Mind, beneath which he must deject and plunge himself, that can agree to faleable and unlawful profitutions. Next, (for hear me out now Readers) that I may tell ye whither my younger feet wander'd; I betook me among those losty Fables and Romances, which recount in solemn Canto's, the deeds of Knighthood founded by our victorious Kings, and from hence had in renown over all Christendom: There I read it in the Oath of every Knight, that he should defend to the expence of his best Blood, or of his Life, if it to befel him, the honour and chaftity of Virgin or Matron: From whence even then I learnt what a noble virtue Chastity fure must be, to the defence of which fo many Worthies by fuch a dear adventure of themselves had sworn; and if I found in the story asterward, any of them by word or deed, breaking that Oath, I judg'd it the fame fault of the Poet, as that which is attributed to Homer, to have written undecent things of the Gods: Only this my mind gave me, that every free and gentle spirit, without that Oath, ought to be born a Knight, nor needed to expect the gilt Spur, or the laying of a Sword upon his Shoulder to stir him up both by his counsel and his arm, to secure and protect the weakness of any attempted Chastity. So that even those Books, which to many others have been the fuel of wantonness and loose living, I cannot think how, unless by divine indulgence, prov'd to me so many incitements, as you have heard, to the love and itedfast observation of that Virtue which abhors the society of Bordello's. Thus from the Laureat fraternity of Poets, riper years, and the ceaselets round of study and reading, led me to the shady spaces of Philosophy; but chiefly to the divine Volumes of *Plato*, and his equal *Xenophon*: where if I should tell ye what I learnt of Chastity and Love, I mean that which is truly fo, whose charming cup is only Virtue, which she bears in her hand to those who are worthy; the rest are cheated with a thick intoxicating potion, which a certain Sorcerefs, the abuser of Love's name carries about, and how the first and chiefest office of Love begins and ends in the Soul, producing those happy twins of her divine generation, Knowledge and Virtue; with fuch abstracted sublimities as these, it might be worth your listning, Readers, as I may one day hope to have ye in a still time, when there shall be no chiding; not in these noises, the Adversary, as ye know, barking at the door, or fearching for me at the Bordello's, where it may be he has lost himself, and raps up without pity the sage and rheumatic old *Prelates*, with all her young *Corinthian Laity*, to inquire for such a one. Last of all,

not in time, but as perfection is last, that care was ever had of me, with my earliest capacity, not to be negligently train'd in the precepts of Christian Religion: This that I have hitherto related, hath bin to shew, that though Christianity had bin but slightly taught me, yet a certain reservedness of natural disposition, and moral discipline, learnt out of the noblest Philosophy, was enough to keep me in difdain of far less incontinences than this of the Bordello. But having had the doctrine of Holy Scripture, unfolding those chaste and high Mysteries, with timeliest care infus'd, that the body is for the Lord, and the Lord for the body; thus also I argu'd to myself, that if unchastity in a Woman, whom Saint Paul terms the glory of Man, be such a scandal and dishonour, then certainly in a Man, who is both the image and glory of God, it must, though commonly not to thought, be much more deflouring and dishonourable; in that he fins both against his own body, which is the perfecter Sex, and his own glory which is in the Woman; and that which is worft, against the image and glory of God which is in himself. Nor did I slumber over that place, expressing such high rewards of ever accompanying the Lamb, with those celestial Songs to others inapprehensible, but not to those who were not defiled with Women, which doubtless means Fornication: For Marriage must not be call'd a defilement. Thus large I have purposely bin, that if I have been justly tax'd with this Crime, it may come upon me after all this my confession, with a ten-fold shame: But if I have hitherto deserv'd no fuch opprobrious word, or fuspicion, I may hereby engage myself now openly to the faithful observation of what I have profest. I go on to shew you the unbridled impudence of this loose railer, who having once begun his race, regards not how far he flies out beyond all truth and shame; who from the fingle notice of the Animadverfions, as he protests, will undertake to tell ye the very cloaths I wear, though he be much mistaken in my Ward-robe: And like a fon of Belial, without the hire of Jesabel, charges me of blaspheming God and the King, as ordinarily as he imagines me to drink Sack and fwear, merely because this was a thred in his Common-place Book, and feem'd to come off roundly, as if he were some Empiric of salse Accusations to try his poisons upon me, whether they would work or no. Whom what should I endeavour to refute more, whenas that Book which is his only Testimony returns the lye upon him; not giving him the least hint of the Author to be either a Swearer, or a Sack-drinker. And for the Readers, if they can believe me, principally for those reasons which I have alledg'd, to be of Life and Purpose neither dishonest, nor unchaste, they will be easily induc'd to think me sober both of wine, and of word; but if I have bin already fucceffless in perfuading them, all that I can further say, will be but vain; and it will be better thrift to fave two tedious labours, mine of excusing, and theirs of needless hearing.

Proceeding further, I am met with a whole ging of words and phrases not mine, for he hath maim'd them, and like a fly depraver mangled them in this his wicked Limbo, worse than the ghost of Deiphobus appear'd to his friend Æneas. Here I scarce know them, and he that would, let him repair to the place in that Book where I fet them: For certainly this tormenter of Semicolons is as good at difmembring and flitting Sentences, as his grave Fathers the Prelates have bin at stigmatizing and slitting Noses. By fuch handy-craft as this what might he not traduce? Only that odour which being his own must needs offend his sense of smelling, since he will needs beftow his foot among us, and not allow us to think he wears a Sock, I shall endeavour it may be offenceless to other Men's ears. The Remonstrant having to do with grave and reverend Men his adversaries, thought it became him to tell them in scorn, that the Bishop's foot had been in their Book and confuted it; which when I faw him arrogate, to have done that with his heels that furpast the best consideration of his head, to spurn a consutation among respected Men, I questioned not the lawfulness of moving his jollity to bethink him, what odour a Sock would have in fuch painful business. And this may have chanc'd to touch him more nearly than I was aware; for indeed a Bishop's foot that hath all his toes mauger the Gout, and a linen Sock over it, is the aptest emblem of the Prelate himself; who being a Pluralist, may under one Surplice, which is also linen, hide four Benefices, besides the metropolitan

litan toe, and fends a fouler stench to Heaven, than that which this young queafiness retches at. And this is the immediate reason here why our enraged Confuter, that he may be as perfect an hypocrite as Caiphas, ere he be a High Priest, cries out, Horrid blasphemy! and like a recreant Jew, calls for Stones. I befeech ye, friends, ere the brick-bats fly, refolve me and yourselves, is it biasphemy, or any whit disagreeing from Christian meekness, whenas Christ himself speaking of unsavory traditions, scruples not to name the Dunghill and the Jakes, for me to answer a flovenly wincer of a consutation, that, ihe would needs put his foot to fuch a fweaty fervice, the odour of his Sock was like to be neither Musk, nor Benjamin? Thus did that foolish Monk in a barbarous Declamation accuse Petrarch of blasphemy for dispraising the French Wines. But this which follows is plain Bedlam stuff, this is the Demoniac Legion indeed, which the Remonstrant fear'd had been against him, and now he may fee is for him: You that love Christ, faith he, and know this miscreant wretch, stone bim to death, lest you smart for his impunity. What thinks the Remonstrant? does he like that fuch words as these should come out of his shop, out of his Trojan horse? to give the watch-word like a Guisian of Paris to a mutiny or massacre; to proclaim a Crusada against his Fellow-Christian now in this troublous and divided time of the Kingdom? If he do, I shall say that to be the Remonstrant, is no better than to be a Jesuit; and that if he and his accomplices could do as the Rebels have done in Ireland to the Protestants, they would do in England the fame to them that would no Prelates. For a more feditious and butcherly Speech no Cell of Loyola could have belch'd against one who in all his writing spake not, that any Man's skin should be rais'd. And yet this curfing Shimei, a hurler of stones, as well as a railer, wants not the face instantly to make as though he despair'd of victory unless a modest desence would get it him. Did I err at all, Readers, to foretel ye, when first I met with his title, that the epithet of modest there, was a certain red portending fign, that he meant ere long to be most tempestuously bold, and shameless? Nevertheless he dares not say but there may be hid in his nature as much venomous Atheism and Prophanation, as he thinks bath broke out at his adversary's lips; but he hath not the fore running upon him, as he would intimate I have. Now trust me not, Readers, if I be not already weary of pluming and sooting this Sea-gull, so open he lies to strokes, and never offers at another, but brings home the dorre upon himself. For if the fore be running upon me, in all judgment I have scap'd the disease; but he who hath as much infection hid in him, as he hath voluntarily confest, and cannot expel it, because he is dull, for venomous Atheism were no treasure to be kept within him else, let him take the part he hath chosen, which must needs follow, to fivell and burst with his own inward venom.

SECTION I.

But mark, Readers, there is a kind of justice observ'd among them that do evil, but this Man loves injustice in the very order of his malice. For having all this while abus'd the good name of his adversary with all manner of his cence in revenge of his Remonstrant, if they be not both one person, or as I am told, Father and Son, yet after all this he calls for Satisfaction, when as he himself hath already taken the utmost farthing. Violence bath been done, fays he, to the person of a holy and religious Prelate. To which, something in effect to what St. Paul answer'd of Ananias, I answer, I wish not brethren that he was a holy and religious Prelate; for evil is written of those who would be Prelates. And finding him thus in difguife without his fuperscription or Phylatlery either of holy or Prelate, it were no fin to serve him as Longchamp Bishop of Ely was ferv'd in his difguife at Dover: he hath begun the meafure namelefs, and when he pleafes we may all appear as we are. And let him be then whathe will, he shall be to me so as I find him principled. For neither must Prelate or Arch-Prelate hope to exempt himself from being reckon'd as one of the vulgar, which is for him only to hope whom true wifdom and the contempt of vulgar opinions exempts, it being taught us in the Pfalms, that he who is in honour and understandeth not, is as the beasts that perish. And now first the manner of bandling that Cause which I undertook, he thinks is suspicious, as if the wifest, and the best words were not ever to some or other suspicious. But where is the offence, the difagreement from Christian meekness, or the Vol. I. precept

precept of Solomon in answering folly? When the Remonstrant talks of froth and scum, I tell him there is none, and bid him spare bis ladle: when he brings in the mess with Keal, Beef, and Brewess, what stomach in England could forbear to call for flanks and briskets? Capon and white Broth having bin likely fometimes in the fame room with Christ and his Apostles, why does it trouble him that it should be now in the same leaf, especially, where the discourse is not continued, but interrupt? And let him tell me, is he wont to fay grace, doth he not then name holiest names over the steam of costliest Superfluities? Does he judge it foolish or dishonest to write that among religious things, which when he talks of religious things, he can devoutly chew? Is heafraid to name Christ where those things are written in the same leaf, whom he fears not to name while the fame things are in his mouth? Doth not Christ himself teach the highest things by the similitude of old Bottles and patched Cloaths? Doth he not illustrate best things by things most evil? his own coming to be as a thief in the night, and the righteous Man's wisdom to that of an unjust Steward? He might therfore have done better to have kept in bis canting Beggars, and heathen Altar, to facrifice his thread-bare criticism of Bomolochus to an unseasonable Goddess fit for him call'd Importunity, and have referved his Greek derivation till he lecture to his fresh Men, for here his itch-

ing pedantry is but flouted.

But to the end that nothing may be omitted which may further fatisfy any conscionable Man, who notwithstanding what I could explain before the Animadversions, remains yet unsatisfy'd concerning that way of writing which I there defended, but this confuter whom it pinches, utterly disapproves; I shall affay once again, and perhaps with more success. If therfore the question were in oratory, whether a vehement vein throwing out indignation or scorn upon an object that merits it, were among the aptest *Ideas* of speech to be allow'd, it were my work, and that an easy one, to make it clear both by the rules of best Rhetoricians, and the famousest examples of the Greek and Roman Orations. But fince the Religion of it is disputed, and not the Art, I shall make use only of such reasons and authorities, as Religion cannot except against. It will be harder to gainfay, than for me to evince that in the teaching of Men diversly-temper'd different ways are to be try'd. tist, we know, was a strict Man, remarkable for austerity and set order of life. Our Saviour who had all gifts in him, was Lord to express his indoctrinating power in what fort him best seem'd; sometimes by a mild and familiar converse, sometimes with plain and impartial home-speaking, regardless of those whom the auditors might think he should have had in more respect; otherwhiles with bitter and ireful rebukes, if not teaching, yet leaving excuse-less those his wilful Impugners. What was all in him, was divided among many others the teachers of his Church; fome to be severe and ever of a sad gravity, that they may win fuch, and check fometimes those who be of nature over-confident and jocond; others were fent more chearful, free, and fill as it were at large, in the midst of an untrespassing honesty; that they who are so tempered, may have by whom they might be drawn to falvation, and they who are too scrupulous, and dejected of spirit, might be often strengthen'd with wife confolations and revivings: no Man being forc'd wholly to diffolve that ground-work of nature which God created in him, the fanguine to empty out all his fociable liveliness, the choleric to expel quite the unfinning predominance of his anger; but that each radical humour and paffion wrought upon and corrected as it ought, might be made the proper mould and foundation of every Man's peculiar gifts and virtues. Some also were indued with a staid moderation, and soundness of argument, to teach and convince the rational and fober-minded; yet not therfore that to be thought the only expedient course of teaching, for in times of opposition, when either against new herefies arising, or old corruptions to be reform'd, this cool unpaffionate mildness of positive wisdom is not enough to damp and astonish the proud refistance of carnal and false Doctors, then (that I may have leave to foar a-while as the Poets use) then Zeal, whose substance is ethereal, arming in compleat diamond, ascends his siery Chariot drawn with two blazing Meteors figur'd like beafts, but of a higher breed than any the Zodiac yields, refembling two of those four which Ezekiel and St. John saw, the one visaged like

like a Lion to express Power, high Authority, and Indignation; the other of countenance like a Man to cast derision and scorn upon perverse and fraudule t feducers: with these the invincible warrior Zeal shaking loosly the flack reins drives over the heads of fcarlet Prelates, and fuch as are infolent to maintain traditions, bruifing their stiff necks under his slaming wheels. Thus did the true Prophets of old combat with the sale; thus Christ himself the fountain of meekness found acrimony enough to be still galling and vexing the Prelatical Pharifees. But ye will fay these had immediate warrant from God to be thus bitter; and I fay, fo much the plainlier is it prov'd, that there may be a fanctify'd bitterness against the enemies of truth. Yet that ye may not think Inspiration only the warrant therof, but that it is as any other vertue, of moral and general observation, the example of Luther may stand for all, whom God made choice of before others to be of highest eminence and power in reforming the Church; who, not of revelation, but of judgment writ fo vehemently against the chief defenders of old untruths in the Romib Church, that his own friends and favourers were many times offended with the fierceness of his spirit; yet he being cited before Charles the Fifth to answer for his Books, and having divided them into three forts, wheref one was of those which he had sharply written, refus'd, though upon deliberation given him, to retract or unfay any word therin, as we may read in Sleidan. Yea, he defends his eagerness, as being of an ardent spirit, and one who could not write a dull stile: and affirmed, he thought it God's will to have the inventions of Men thus laid open, secing that matters quietly handled were quickly forgot. And herewithal how useful and available God had made this tart Rhetoric in the Church's cause, he often found by his own experience. For when he betook himself to lenity and moderation, as they call it, he reap'd nothing but contempt both from Cajetan and Erasmus, from Cocleus, from Ecchius, and others; infomuch that blaming his friends who had fo counfell'd him, he refolv'd never to run into the like error: if at other times he feem to excuse his vehemence, as more than what was meet, I have not examined through his works, to know how far he gave way to his own fervent mind; it shall suffice me to look to mine own. And this I shall easily aver, though it may seem a hard faying, that the spirit of God, who is purity itself, when he would reprove any fault severely, or but relate things done or faid with indignation by others, abstains not from some words not civil at other times to be spoken. Omitting that place in Numbers at the killing of Zimri and Coshi, done by Phineas in the height of zeal, related, as the Kabbins expound, not without an obscene word, we may find in Deuteronomy and three of the Prophets, where God denouncing bitterly the punishments of Idolaters, tells them in a term immodest to be uttered in cool blood, that their Wives shall be defil'd openly. But these, they will say, were honest words in that age when they were spoken. Which is more than any Rabbin can prove; and certainly had God been so minded, he could have pick'd fuch words as fhould never have come into What will they fay to this? David going against Nabal, in the very fame breath when he had but just before nam'd the name of God, he vows not to leave any alive of Nabal's house that pisseth against the Well. But this was unadvifedly spoke, you will answer, and set down to aggravate his infirmity. Turn then to the first of Kings, where God himself uses the phrase, I will cut off from Jeroboam him that piffeth against the Wall. Which had it been an unfeemly speech in the heat of an earnest expression, then we must conclude that Jonathan or Onkelos the Targumists were of cleaner language than he that made the tongue; for they render it as briefly, I will cut of all who are at years of diferetion, that is to fay, fo much differetion as to hide nakedness. Wheras God, who is the Author both of purity and eloquence, chose this phrase as fittest in that vehement character wherin he spake. Otherwise that plain word might have eafily bin forborn: which the Majoreths and Rabbinical Scholiests not well attending, have often us'd to blur the margent with Keri instead of Ketiv, and gave us this infulfe rule out of their Talmud, That all words which in the Law are writ obscenely, must be chang'd to more civil words: Fools who would teach Men to read more decently than God thought good to write. And thus I take it to be manifest, that indignation against Men and their actions notoriously bad, hath leave and authority oft-times to utter such words and Vol. I. Q_2 phrafes

phrases as in common talk were not so mannerly to use. That ye may know, not only as the Historian speaks, that all those things for which Men plough, build, or sail, obey vertue, but that all words, and whatsoever may be spoken,

fliall at some time in an unwonted manner wait upon her purposes.

Now that the Confutant may also know as he desires, what force of teaching there is fometimes in laughter; I shall return him in short, that Laughter being one way of answering a Fool according to his folly, teaches two forts of Persons, first, the Fool himself not to be wife in his own conceit, as Solomon affirms; which is certainly a great document, to make an unwife Man know himself. Next, it teacheth the Hearers, in as much as scorn is one of those · Punishments which belong to Men carnally wife, which is oft in Scripture dechar'd; for when fuch are punish'd, the simple are therby made wife, if Solo-And I would ask, to what end Eliah mock'd the false Pro*mon*'s rule be true. phets? was it to flew his wit, or to fulfil his humour? doubtlefs we cannot imagine that great fervant of God had any other end in all which he there did, but to teach and instruct the poor missed People. And we may frequently read, that many of the Martyrs in the midst of their troubles, were not sparing to deride and scoff their superstitious persecutors. Now may the Confutant advise again with Sir Francis Bacon, whether Eliab and the Martyrs did well to turn Religion into a Comedy or Satyr; to rip up the wounds of Idolatry and Superstition with a laughing Countenance: So that for pious gravity his Author here is match'd and over-match'd, and for wit and morality in one that follows.

—laughing to teach the truth
What binders? as some teachers give to Boys
Junkets and knacks, that they may learn apace.
Thus Flaccus in his first Satyr, and his tenth:
—Jesting decides great things
Stronglier, and better oft than earnest can.

I could urge the fame out of Cicero and Seneca, but he may content him with this. And henceforward, if he can learn, may know as well what are the bounds, and objects of Laughter and vehement Reproof, as he hath known hitherto how to deserve them both. But lest some may haply think, or thus expostulate with me after all this debatement, who made you the bufy Almoner to deal about this dole of laughter and reprehension, which no Man thanks your bounty for? To the urbanity of that Man, I should answer much after this fort: That I, friend Objecter, having read of Heathen Philosophers, some to have taught, that whosoever would but use his ear to listen, might hear the voice of his guiding Genius ever before him, calling, and as it were pointing to that way which is his part to follow; others, as the Stoics, to account reason, which they call the Hegemonicon, to be the common Mercury conducting without error those that give themselves obediently to be led accordingly: having read this, I could not efteem fo poorly of the Faith which I profess, that God had left nothing to those who had forsaken all other doctrines for his, to be an inward witness and warrant of what they have to do, as that they should need to measure themselves by other Men's measures, how to give scope or limit to their proper actions; for that were to make us the most at a stand, the most uncertain and accidental wanderers in our doings, of all Religions in the World. So that the question ere while mov'd, who he is that fpends thus the benevolence of laughter and reproof fo liberally upon fuch Men asthe Prelates, may return with a more just demand, who he is not of place and knowledge never so mean, under whose contempt and jirk these Men are not deservedly sallen? Neither can Religion receive any wound by difgrace thrown upon the Prelates, fince Religion and they furely were never in such amity. They rather are the Men who have wounded Religion, and their stripes must heal her. I might also tell them, what Elestra in Sophocles, a wife Virgin answered her wicked Mother, who thought herself too violently reprov'd by her the Daughter.

If therfore the Remonstrant complain of Libels, it is because he feels them to be right aim'd. For I afk again, as before in the Animadversions, how long is it fince he hath diffelith'd Libels? We never heard the least mutter of his voice against them while they flew abroad with controll or check, defaming the Scots and Puritans. And yet he can remember of none but Lysimachus Nicanor, and that he misliked and censur'd. No more but of one can the Remonftrant remember? What if I put him in mind of one more? What if of one more wherof the Remonstrant in many likelihoods may be thought the Author? Did he never fee a Pamphlet intitled after his own fashion, A Survey of that foolish, seditious, scandalous, prophane Libel, the Protestation protested? The Child doth not more expresly refigure the vitage of his Father, than that Book resembles the stile of the Remonstrant, in those idioms of speech, wherin he feems most to delight: and in the seventeenth Page three lines together taken out of the Remonstrance word for word, not as a Citation, but as an Author borrows from himself. Whoever it be, he may as justly be said to have libell'd, as he against whom he writes: there ye shall find another Man than here is made shew of, there he bites as fast as this whines. Finegar in the Ink is there the antidote of Vipers. Laughing in a religious Controveriy is there a thrifty Physic to expel his Melancholy. In the mean time the Testimony of Sir Francis Bacon was not mifalledged, comp'aining that Libels on the Bishops part were uttered openly; and if he hoped the Prelates had no intelligence with the Libellers, he delivers it but as his favourable opinion. But had he contradicted himself, how could I assoil him here, more than a little before, where I know not how, by entangling himfelf, he leaves an afperfion upon Job, which by any else I never heard laid to his charge? For having affirmed that there is no greater confusion than the confounding of jest and carnest, presently he brings the example of Job glancing at conceits of mirth, when he set among the people with the gravity of a Judge upon him. If Jest and Earnest be such a confusion, then were the people much wifer than Job, for he fmil'd, and they believed him To defend Libels, which is that wherof I am next accus'd, was far from my purpofe. I had not fo little fhare in good name, as to give another that advantage against myself. The sum of what I said was, that a more free permission of writing at some times might be profitable, in such a question especially wherin the Magistrates are not fully resolved; and both sides have equal liberty to write, as now they have. Not as when the Prelates bore fway, in whose time the Books of some Men were confuted, when they who should have answer'd were in close prison, deny'd theuse of pen or paper. And the divine Right of Episcopacy was then valiantly afferted, when he who would have bin respondent must have bethought himself withal how he could resute the Clink or the Gatehoufe. If now therfore they be purfu'd with bad words, who persecuted others with bad deeds, it is a way to lessen turnult rather than to encrease it; whenas anger thus freely vented, spends itself ere it break out into action, though Machiavel, whom he cites, or any Machiavilian Priest think the contrary.

Now, Readers, I bring ye to his third Section, wherin very cautiously and no more than needs, left I should take him for some Chaplain at hand, fome Squire of the body to his Prelate, one that ferves not at the Altar only, but at the Court Cup-board, he will bestow on us a pretty model of him. felf; and fobs me out half a dozen ptizical Motto's wherever he had them, hopping short in the measure of Convulsion-sits; in which labour the agony of his Wit having scap'd narrowly, instead of well-fiz'd periods, he greets us with a quantity of thumb-ring posies. He has a fortune therfore good, because be is content with it. This is a piece of sapience not worth the brain of a fruittrencher; as if Content were the measure of what is good or bad in the gift of Fortune. For by this rule a bad Man may have a good fortune, because he may be oft-times content with it for many reasons which have no affinity with Virtue, as love of ease, want of spirit to use more, and the like. And therfore content, he says, because it neither goes before, nor comes behind his merit. Belike then if his fortune should go before his merit, he would not be content, but refign, if we believe him, which I do the lefs, because he implies, that if it came behind his merit, he would be content as little. Wheras if a wife Man's

Man's content should depend upon such a Therfore, because his fortune came not behind his merit, how many wife Men could have content in this world? In his next pithy fymbol I dare not board him, for he passes all the seven wife Masters of Greece, attributing to himself that which on my life Salomon durst not; to have affections so equally temper'd, that they neither too hastily adhere to the truth before it be fully examin'd, nor too lazily afterward. Which unless he only were exempted out of the corrupt mass of Adam, born without Sin original, and living without actual, is impossible. Had Salomon (for it behoves me to instance in the wifest, dealing with such a transcendent Sage as this) had Salomon affections so equally temper'd, as not adhering too lazily to the truth, when God warn'd him of his halting in Idolatry? do we read that he repented haftily? did not his affections lead him hastily from an examin'd truth, how much more would they lead him slowly to it? Yet this Man beyond a Stoic Apathy, fees truth as in a rapture, and cleaves to it; not as through the dim glass of his affections, which in this frail manfion of fl-sh, are ever unequally temper'd, pushing forward to error, and keeping back from truth oft-times the best of Men. But how far this boafter is from knowing himself, let his Preface speak. Something I thought it was that made him so quick-sighted to gather fuch strange things out of the Animadversions, wherof the least conception could not be drawn from thence, of Suburb-finks, sometimes out of wit and cloaths, sometimes in new Serge, drinking Sack, and swearing; now I know it was this equal temper of his affections that gave him to fee clearer than any fennel-rub'd Serpent. Lastly, he has resolv'd that neither person nor cause shall improper bim. I may mistake his meaning, for the word ye hear is improper. But whether if not a Person, yet a good Parsonage or Impropriation bought out for him would not improper him, because there may be a quirk in the word, I leave it for a Canonist to resolve.

SECT. 4.

And thus ends this Section, or rather diffection of himself, short ye will fay both in breath and extent, as in our own praises it ought to be, unless wherin a good name hath bin wrongfully attainted. Right, but if ye look at what he ascribes to himself, that temper of his affections which cannot any where be but in Paradife, all the judicious Panegyries in any language extant are not half so prolix. And that well appears in his next removal. For what with putting his fancy to the tiptor in this description of himself, and what with adventuring prefently to stand upon his own legs without the crutches of his margent, which is the fluce most commonly that feeds the drowth of his Text, he comes so lazily on in a Simily, with his arm full of weeds, and demeans himself in the dull expression to like a dough-kneaded thing, that he has not spirit enough left him so far to look to his Syntaxis, as to avoid nonfense. For it must be understood there that the Stranger, and not he who brings the bundle, would be deceiv'd in censuring the field, which this hipshot Grammarian cannot set into right frame of construction, neither here in the Similitude, nor in the following Reddition therof; which being to this purpose, that the faults of the best pickt out, and presented in gross, seem monstrous, this, faith he, you have done, in pinning on his sleeve the faults of others; as if to pick out his own faults, and to pin the faults of others upon him, were to do To answer therfore how I have cull'd out the evil actions of the fame thing. the Remonstrant from his Vertues, I am acquitted by the dexterity and conveyance of his nonfense, losing that for which he brought his parable. what of other Men's faults I have pinn'd upon his fleeve, let him fhew. For whether he were the Man who term'd the Martyrs Foxian Confessors, it matters not; he that shall step up before others to defend a Church-Government, which wants almost no circumstance, but only a name to be a plain Popedom, a Government which changes the fatherly and ever-teaching Difcipline of Christ into that lordly and uninstructing Jurisdiction which properly makes the Pope Antichrift, makes himself an accessory to all the evil committed by those, who are arm'd to do mischief by that undue Government; which they by their wicked deeds, do with a kind of passive and unwitting Obedience to God destroy. But he by plausible words and traditions against the Scripture obstinately feeks to maintain. They by their own wickedness ruining their own unjust authority, make room for good to succeed. But he by a shew

of good upholding the evil which in them undoes itself, hinders the good which they by accident let in. Their manifest crimes serve to bring forth an enfuing good, and haften a remedy against themselves; and his seeming good tends to reinforce their felf-punishing crimes and his own, by doing his best to delay all redress. Shall not all the mischief which other Men do be laid to his charge, if they do it by that unchurch-like power which he defends? Christ faith, be that is not with me, is against me, and he that gathers not with me, scatters. In what degree of enmity to Christ shall we place that Man then, who so is with him, as that it makes more against him, and fo gathers with him, that it scatters more from him? Shall it avail that Man to say he honours the Martyrs memory, and treads in their steps? No; the Pharifees confess'd as much of the holy Prophets. Let him, and fuch as he, when they are in their best actions, even at their prayers, look to hear that which the Pharifees heard from John the Baptist, when they least expected, when they rather look'd for praise from him; Generation of Vipers, who bath warned ye to flee from the wrath to come? Now that ye have started back from the purity of Scripture, which is the only rule of Reformation, to the old vomit of your traditions; now that ye have either troubled or leven'd the people of God, and the Doctrine of the Gospel with scandalous Ceremonies and Mass-borrow'd Liturgies, do ye turn the use of that truth which ye profess, to countenance that fallhood which ye gain by? We also reverence the Martyrs, but rely only upon the Scriptures. And why we ought not to rely upon the Martyrs, I shall be content with such reasons as my Consuter himself affords me; who is, I must needs fay for him, in that point as officious an Adversary as I would wish to any Man. For, first, faith he, there may be a Martyr in a wrong Cause, and as couragious in suffering as the best; sometimes in a good Cause with a sorward ambition displeasing to God. Other whiles they that story of them out of blind zeal or malice, may write many things of them untruly. If this be so, as ye hear his own confession, with what fafety can the Remonstrant rely upon the Martyrs as Patrons of bis Cause, whenas any of those who are alledg'd for the approvers of our Liturgy or Prelaty, might have bin, though not in a wrong Cause, Martyrs? yet whether not vainly ambitious of that honour; or whether not mifreported or mifunderstood in those their opinions, God only knows. The Testimony of what we believe in Religion must be such as the Conscience may rest on to be infallible and incorruptible, which is only the Word of God.

Sест. 5.

His fifth Section finds itself aggrieved that the Remonstrant should be tax'd with the illegal proceeding of the High Commission, and Oath ex officio: And first, whether they were illegal or no, 'tis more than he knows. See this malevolent Fox; that Tyranny which the whole Kingdom cry'd out against as stung with Adders and Scorpions, that Tyranny which the Parlament in compassion of the Church and Commonwealth hath dissolv'd and fetch'd up by the roots, for which it hath receiv'd the public Thanks and Bleffings of thousands; this obscure thorn-eater of Malice and Detraction, as well as of Quodlibets and Sophisms, knows not whether it were illegal or not. Evil, evil, would be your reward, ye Worthies of the Parlament, if this Sophister and his Accomplices had the censuring or the sounding forth of your labours. And that the Remonstrant cannot wash his hands of all the cruelties exercis'd by the Prelates, is past doubting. They scourged the Confesiors of the Gospel, and he held the Scourgers garments. They executed their rage; and he, if he did nothing elfe, defended the Government with the Oath that did it, and the Ceremonies which were the cause of it: does he think to be counted guiltless?

Sест. 6.

In the following Section I must foretel ye, Readers, the doings will be rough and dangerous, the baiting of a Satyr. And if the work feem more trivial or boisterous than for this Discourse, let the Remonstrant thank the folly of this Consuter, who could not let a private word pass, but he must make all this blaze of it. I had faid, that because the Remonstrant was so much offended with those who were tart against the Prelates, sure he lov'd toothless Satyrs, which I took were as improper as a toothed Sleekstone. This Champion from behind the Arras cries out, that those toothless Satyrs were of the Remonstrant's making; and arms himself here tooth and nail, and horn to boot, to fupply

fupply the want of teeth, or rather of gums in the Satyrs. And for an onfet tells me, that the fimily of a Sleekstone shews I can be as bold with a Prelate as familiar with a Laundress. But does it not arguerather the lascivious promptness of his own fancy, who from the harmless mention of a Sleekstone could neigh out the remembrance of his old conversation among the Viraginian trollops? For me, if he move me, I shall claim his own Oath, the Oath cx officio against any Priest or Prelate in the Kingdom, to have ever as much hated such pranks as the best and chastest of them all. That exception which I made against toothless Satyrs, the Consuter hopes I had from the Satyrist, but is far deceiv'd: neither had I ever read the hobbling Diffich which he means. this good hap I had from a careful education, to be inur'd and feafon'd betimes with the best and elegantest Authors of the learned Tongues, and therto brought an ear that could measure a just cadence, and scan without articulating; rather nice and humorous in what was tolerable, than patient to read every drawling Verfifier. Whence lighting upon this title of toothless Satyrs, I will not conceal ye what I thought, Readers, that fure this must be some fucking Satyr, who might have done better to have us'd his coral, and made an end of breeding, ere he took upon him to wield a Satyr's whip. But when I heard him talk of feowering the rufty swords of elvish Knights, do not blame me, if I chang'd my thought, and concluded him some desperate Cutler. But why his scornful muse could never abide with tragic shoes her ancles for to hide, the pace of the verse told me that her maukin knuckles were never shapen to that royal buskin. And turning by chance to the fixth Satyr of his second Book, I was confirm'd; where having begun loftily in Heaven's univerfal Alphabet, he falls down to that wretched poornels and frigidity, as to talk of Bridge-street in Heaven, and the Oftler of Heaven; and there wanting other matter to catch him a heat, (for certain he was in the frozen Zone miserably benumb'd) with thoughts lower than any Beadle betakes him to whip the fign-posts of Cambridge Alehouses, the ordinary subject of freshmens tales, and in a strain as pitiful. Which for him who would be counted the first English Satyr, to abase himself to, who might have learnt better among the Latin and Italian Satyrists, and in our own tongue from the Vision and Creed of Pierce Plowman, besides others before him, manisested a presumptuous undertaking with weak and unexamin'd shoulders. For a Satyr at it was born out of a Tragedy, so ought to refemble his parentage, to strike high, and adventure dangerously at the most eminent vices among the greatest persons, and not to creep into every blind Taphouse that sears a Constable more than a Satyr. But that such a Poem should be toothless, I still affirm it to be a bull, taking away the estence of that which it calls itself. For if it bite neither the persons nor the vices, how is it a Satyr? and if it bite either, how is it toothless? so that toothless Satyrs are as much as if he had faid toothless teeth. What we should do therfore with this learned Comment upon Teeth and Horns, which hath brought this Confutant into his pedantic Kingdom of Cornucopia, to reward him for glossing upon Horns even to the Hebrew root, I know not unless we should commend him to be Lecturer in East-cheap upon St. Luke's day, when they fend their Tribute to that famous Haven by Deptford. But we are not like to 'scape him so. For now the worm of Criticism works in him, he will tell us the derivation of German Rutters, of Meat, and of Ink, which doubtless, rightly apply'd with some gall in it, may prove good to heal this tetter of Pedagoguism that beforeads him, with such a Tenasmus of originating, that if he be an Arminian, and deny original Sin, all the Etymologies of his Book shall witness that his brain is not meanly tainted with that infection.

SECT. 7.

His feventh Section labours to cavil out the flaws which were found in the Remonstrant's Logic; who having laid down for a general proposition, that civil Polity is variable and arbitrary, from whence was inferr'd logically upon him that he had concluded the Polity of England to be arbitrary, for general includes particular; here his Defendant is not ashamed to confess that the Remonstrant's proposition was sophistical by a Fallacy call'd, ad plures interrogationes: which sounds to me somewhat strange that a Remonstrant of that pretended sincerity should bring deceitful and double-dealing Propositions to the Parlament. The truth is, he had let slip a shrewd passage ere he was aware,

not thinking the conclusion would turn upon him with such a terrible edge, and not knowing how to wind out of the briars, he or his fubflitute feems more willing to lay the integrity of his Logic to pawn, and grant a fallacy in his own *Major* where none is, than to be forc'd to uphold the Inference. For that distinction of possible and lawful is ridiculous to be fought for in that proposition. tion; no Man doubting that it is possible to change the form of civil Polity; and that it is held lawful by that Mojor, the word arbitrary implies. Nor will this help him, to deny that it is arbitrary at any time, or by any undertak rs, (which are two limitations invented by him fince) for when it stands as he will have it now by his fecond Edition, civil Polity is variable, but not at any time, or by any undertakers, it will refult upon him, belike then at some time, and by some undertakers it may. And so he goes on mincing the matter, till he meets with something in Sir Francis Bacon, then he takes heart again, and holds his Major at large But by and by, as foon as the shadow of Sir Francis hath left him, he falls off again warping and warping, till he come to contradict himself in diameter; and denies flatly that it is either variable or arbitrary, being once settled. Which third shift is no less a piece of laughter: For before the Polity was fettled, how could it be variable, whenas it was no Polity at all, but either an Anarchy or a Tyranny? That limitation therfore, of after fettling, is a mere Tautology. So that in fine his former affection is now recanted, and civil Polity is neither variable nor arbitrary.

Зест. 8.

Whatever elfe may perfuade me that this Confutation was not made without some affishance or advice of the Remonstrant, yet in this eighth Section that his hand was not greatly intermix'd, I can eafily believe. For it begins with this furmife, that not baving to accuse the Remondrant to the King, I do it to the Parlament; which conceit of the Man cleanly shoves the King out of the Parlament, and makes two bodies of one. Wheras the Remonstrant in the Epistle to his last short answer, gives his supposal that they cannot be sever'd in the Rights of their several Concernments. Mark, Readers, if they cannot be sever'd in what is several (which casts a Bull's eye to go yoke with the toothless Satyrs) how should they be sever'd in their common concernments, the welfare of the Land, by due accufation of fuch as are the common grievances, among which I took the Remonstrant to be one? And therfore if I accus'd him to the Parlament, it was the same as to accuse him to the King. Next he casts it into the dish of I know not whom, that they flatter some of the House, and libel others whose Consciences made them vote contrary to some proceedings. Those some proceedings can be understood of nothing else but the Deputy's execution. And can this private Concocter of Male-content, at the very inflant when he pretends to extol the Parlament, afford thus to blur over, rather than to mention that public triumph of their justice and constancy so high, fo glorious, fo reviving to the fainted Commonwealth, with fuch a fufpicious and murmuring expression as to call it *some proceedings?* and yet immediately he salls to glozing, as if he were the only Man that rejoic'd at these times. But I shall discover to ye, Readers, that this his praising of them is as full of nonfense and scholastic soppery, as his meaning he himself discovers to be full of close malignity. His first Encomium is, that the Sun looks not upon a braver, nobler Convocation than is that of King, Peers, and Commons. One thing I beg of ye Readers, as ye bear any zeal to Learning, to Elegance, and that which is call'd *Decorum* in the writing of Praife, especially on such a noble Argument, ye would not be offended, though I rate this cloifter'd Lubber according to his deferts. Where didft thou learn to be fo aguish, so pusillanimous, thou lozel Batchelor of Art, as against all Custom and use of Speech to term the high and fovereign Court of Parlament, a Convocation? Was this the flower of all thy Synonyma's and voluminous Papers, whose best Folio's are predestin'd to no better end than to make winding Sheets in Lent for Pilchers? Could'It thou prefume thus with one word's speaking to clap as it were under hatches the King with all his Peers and Gentry into Iquare Caps, and Monkith Hoods? how well dost thou now appear to be a chip of the old block, that could find Bridge-street and Alebouses in Heaven? why didst thou not, to be his perfect imitator, liken the King to the Vice-Chancellor, and the Lords to the Doctors? Neither is this an indignity only but a reproach, to call that inviolable Refi

dence of Justice and Liberty, by such an odious name as now a Convocation is become, which would be nothing injur'd, though it were ftir'd the house of bondage, wherout fo many cruel talks, fo many unjust burthens have been laden upon the bruifed consciences of so many Christians throughout the land. But which of those worthy deeds, wherof we and our posterity must confess this Parlament to have done fo many and fo noble, which of those memorable acts comes first into his praises? none of all, not one. What will he then praise them for? not for any thing doing, but for deferring to do, for deferring to chastise his lewd and insolent Compriests: Not that they have deferr'd all, but that he hopes they will remit what is yet behind. For the rest of his Oratory that follows, so just is it in the language of stall-epistle nonfense, that if he who made it can understand it, I deny not but that he may deferve for his pains a cast Doublet. When a Man would look he should vent something of his own, as ever in a set speech the manner is with him that knows any thing, he, left we should not take notice enough of his barren stupidity, declares it by Alphabet, and refers us to odd remnants in his Topics. Nor yet content with the wonted room of his margent, but he must cut out large flocks and creeks into his text to unlade the soolish frigate of his unleafonable Authorities, not therwith to praise the Parlament, but to tell them what he would have them do. What else there is, he jumbles together in fuch a lost construction, as no Man either letter'd or unletter'd, will be able to piece up. I shall spare to transcribe him, but if I do him

wrong, let me be fo dealt with.

Now although it be a digression from the ensuing matter, yet because it shall not be faid I am apter to blame others than to make trial myfelf, and that I may after this harsh discord touch upon a smoother string a-while to entertain myself and him that lift, with some more pleasing sit, and not the least to testify the gratitude which I owe to those public Benefactors of their Country, for the share I enjoy in the common peace and good by their incessant labours; I shall be so troublesome to this Declaimer for once, as to shew him what he might have better faid in their praise: Wherin I must mention only fome few things of many, for more than that to a digression may not be granted. Although certainly their actions are worthy not thus to be spoken of by the way, yet if herafter it befall me to attempt fomething more answerable to their great Merits, I perceive how hopeless it will be to reach the height of their praises at the accomplishment of that expectation that waits upon their noble Deeds, the unfinishing wherof already furpasses what others before them have left enacted with their utmost performance through many ages. And to the end we may be confident that what they do, proceeds neither from uncertain opinion, nor fudden counfels, but from mature wifdom, deliberate vertue, and dear affection to the public good, I shall begin at that which made them likeliest in the eyes of good. Men to effect those things for the recovery of decay'd Religion and the Commonwealth, which they who were best minded had long wish'd for, but sew, as the times then were desperate, had the courage to hope for. First, therfore, the most of them being either of ancient and high Nobility, or at least of known and well reputed Ancestry, which is a great advantage towards Vertue one way, but in respect of wealth, eafe and flattery, which accompanies a nice and tender education, is as much a hindrance another way; the good which lay before them they took, in imitating the worthiest of their Progenitors; and the evil which affaulted their younger years by the temptation of riches, high birth, and that usual bringing up, perhaps too favourable and too remiss, through the strength of an inbred goodness, and with the help of divine Grace, that had mark'd them out for no mean purposes, they nobly overcame. Yet had they a greater danger to cope with; for being train'd up in the knowledge of learning, and fent to those places which were intended to be the feed-plots of Piety and the Liberal Arts, but were become the nurferies of Superflition and empty Speculation, as they were prosperous against those vices which grow upon youth out of idleness and superfluity, so were they happy in working off the harms of their abused studies and labours, correcting by the clearness of their own judgment the errors of their mis-instruction, and were as David was, wifer than their teachers. And although their lot fell into

fuch times, and to be bred in fuch places, where if they chanc'd to be taught any thing good, or of their own accord had learnt it, they might fee that presently untaught them by the custom and ill example of their Elders; so far in all probability was their youth from being mifled by the fingle power of Example, as their riper years were known to be unmov'd with the baits of preferment, and undaunted for any difcouragement and terror which appear'd often to those that lov'd Religion and their native Liberty: which two things God hath inseparably knit together, and hath disclos'd to us, that they who feek to corrupt our Religion, are the fame that would enthrall our civil Liber. ty. Thus in the milft of all disadvantages and disrespects (some also at last not without imprisonment and open difgraces in the eause of their Country) having given proof of themselves to be better made and fram'd by nature to the love and practice of Vertue, than others under the holiest precepts and best examples have been headstrong and prone to vice; and having in all the trials of a firm ingrasted honesty not oftner buckled in the conslict than given every opposition the foil, this moreover was added by favour from Heaven, as an ornament and happiness to their Vertue, that it should be neither obscure in the opinion of Men, nor eclipsed for want of matter equal to illustrate infelf; God and Man confenting in joint approbation to chuse them out as worthieft above others to be both the great reformers of the Church, and the restorers of the Commonwealth. Nor did they deceive that expectation which with the eyes and defires of their Country was fixt upon them; for no fooner did the force of fo much united Excellence meet in one globe of brightness and efficacy, but encountering the dazled resistance of Tyranny, they gave not over, though their enemies were ftrong and futtle, till they had laid her groveling upon the fatal block; with one stroke winning again our lost Liberties and Charters, which our Forefathers after so many battles could scarce maintain. And meeting next, as I may fo resemble, with the second Life of Tyranny (for the was grown an ambiguous monfter, and to be flain in two fhapes) guarded with Superstition which hath no finall power to captivate the minds of Men otherwise most wise, they neither were taken with her miter'd hypocrify, nor terrify'd with the push of her bestial horns, but breaking them immediately forc'd her to unbend the pontifical brow, and recoil: Which repulse only given to the Prelates (that we may imagine how happy their removal would be) was the producement of fuch glorious effects and confequences in the Church, that if I should compare them with those exploits of highest fame in Poems and Panegyrics of old, I am certain it would but diminish and impair their worth, who are now my Argument: For those ancient Worthies delivered Men from fuch Tyrants as were content to inforce only an outward obedience, letting the Mind be as free as it could; but these have freed us from a doctrine of Tyranny that offered violence and corruption even to the inward perfuasion. They fet at liberty Nations and Cities of Men good and bad mix'd together; but thefe opening the prisons and dungeons, call'd out of darkness and bonds the elect Martyrs and Witnesses of their Redeemer. They restor'd the Body to ease and wealth; but these the oppress'd Conscience to that freedom which is the chief prerogative of the Gospel, taking off those cruel burthens impos'd not by necessity, as other Tyrants are wont for the fafe-guard of their lives, but laid upon our necks by the strange wilfulness and wantonness of a needless and jolly persecutor call'd Indifference. Laftly, some of those ancient Deliverers have had immortal praises for preferving their Citizens from a famine of corn. But these by this only repulse of an unholy Hierarchy, almost in a moment replenish'd with faving knowledge their Country nigh famish'd for want of that which should feed their souls. All this being done while two Armies in the field flood gazing on, the one in reverence of fuch Nobleness quietly gave back and dislodg'd; the other, spight of the unruliness, and doubted fidelity in some Regiments, was either perfuaded or compell'd to difbind and retire home. With fuch a Majesty had their Wisdom begint itself, that wheras others had levied war to subdue a Nation that fought for peace, they fitting here in peace, could fo many miles extend the force of their fingle words as to overawe the diffolute stoutness of an armed Power fecretly ftirr'd up and almost hir'd against them. And having by a folemn protestation vow'd themselves and the Kingdom anew to God and VOL. I. R_2

his service, and by a prudent foresight above what their Fathers thought on, prevented the diffolution and frustrating of their designs by an untimely breaking up, notwithstanding all the treasonous Plots against them, all the rumours either of Rebellion or Invafion, they have not bin yet brought to change their constant resolution, ever to think fearlesty of their own safeties, and hopefully of the Commonwealth; which hath gain'd them fuch an admiration from all good Men, that now they hear it as their ordinary furname, to be faluted the Fathers of their Country, and fit as Gods among daily Petitions and public Thanks flowing in upon them. Which doth fo little yet exalt them in their own thoughts, that with all gentle affability, and courteous acceptance they both receive and return that tribute of thanks which is render'd them; testifying their zeal and defire to spend themselves as it were piecemeal upon the grievances and wrongs of their diffressed Nation: infomuch that the meanest Artizans and Labourers, at other times also Women, and often the younger fort of Servants affembling with their complaints, and that sometimes in a less humble guise than for Petitioners, have gone with confidence, that neither their meanne's would be rejected, nor their simplicity contemn'd; nor yet their urgency distasted either by the dignity, wisdom, or moderation of that supreme Senate; nor did they depart unsatisfy'd. And indeed, if we confider the general concourse of Suppliants, the free and ready admittance, the willing and speedy redress in what is possible, it will not seem much otherwise, than as if some divine Commission from Heaven were defeended to take into hearing and commiseration the long remediless afflictions of this Kingdom; were it not that none more than themselves labour to remove and divert such thoughts, lest Men should place too much confidence in their Persons, still referring us and our Prayers to him that can grant all, and appointing the monthly return of public Fasts and Supplications. Therfore the more they seek to humble themselves, the more does God by manifest Signs and Testimonies, visibly honour their proceedings; and sets them as the Mediators of this his Covenant, which he offers us to renew. Wicked Men daily conspire their hurt, and it comes to nothing; Rebellion rages in our Irish Province, but with miraculous and lossless victories of few against many, is daily discomfitted and broken; if we neglect not this early pledge of God's inclining towards us, by the sluckness of our need-And wheras at other times we count it ample honour when God vouchfases to make Man the instrument and subordinate worker of his gracious Will, fuch acceptation have their Prayers found with him, that to them he hath bin pleas'd to make himself the Agent, and immediate Performer of their defires; diffolving their difficulties when they are thought inexplicable, cutting out ways for them where no passage could be seen; as who is there so regardless of Divine Providence, that from late occurrences will not confess? If therfore it be so high a grace when Men are preferr'd to be but the inferior Officers of good things from God, what is it when God himfelf condefcends, and works with his own hands to fulfil the requests of Men? Which I leave with them as the greatest praise that can belong to human Nature: Not that we should think they are at the end of their glorious Progress, but that they will go on to follow his Almighty leading, who seems to have thus covenanted with them; that if the Willand the Endeavour shall be theirs, the performance and the perfecting shall be his. Whence only it is that I have not fear'd, though many wife Men have miscarried in praising great designs before the utmost event, because I see who is their assistant, who is their confederate, who hath engag'd his omnipotent Arm to support and crown with fuccess their Faith, their Fortitude, their just and magnanimous Actions, till he hath brought to pass all that expected good which his Servants truft is in his thoughts to bring upon this Land in the full and perfect Reformation of his Church.

Thus far I have digrefs'd, Readers, from my former Subject; but into fuch a Path, as I doubt not ye will agree with me, to be much fairer, and more delightful than the road-way I was in. And how to break off fuddenly into those jarring notes which this Consuter hath set me, I must be wary, unless I can provide against offending the Ear, as some Musicians are wont skilfully to fall out of one key into another, without breach of Harmony. By

good

good luck therfore his ninth Section is spent in mournful Elegy, certain passionate Soliloquies; and two whole pages of interrogatories that praise the Remonstrant even to the sonneting of his fresh Cheeks, quick Eyes, round Tongue, agil Hand, and nimble Invention.

In his tenth Section he will needs erect Figures, and tell Fortunes; I am no Bishop, he says, I was never born to it: Let me tell therfore this Wizard, since he calculates fo right, that he may know there be in the World, and I among those, who nothing admire his Idol a Bishopric, and hold that it wants so much to be a Bleffing, as that I rather deem it the mereft, the falfest, the most unfortunate gift of Fortune. And were the punishment and misery of being a Prelate Bishop, terminated only in the Person, and did not extend to the affliction of the whole Diocese, if I would wish any thing in the bitterness of Soul to mine enemy, I would wish him the biggest and fattest Bishopric. But he proceeds; and the Familiar belike informs him, that a rich Widow, or a Letture, or both, would content me: wherby I perceive him to be more ignorant in his art of divining than any Gipfy. For this I cannot omit without ingratitude to that Providence above, who hath ever bred me up in plenty, although my Life hath not bin unexpensive in Learning, and voyaging about; fo long as it shall pleafe him to lend me what he hath hitherto thought good, which is enough to ferve. me in all honest and liberal occasions, and something over befides, I were unthankful to that highest Bounty, if I should make my felf fo poor, as to folicit needily any fuch kind of rich hopes as this Fortuneteller dreams of. And that he may further learn how his Aftrology is wide all the houses of Heaven in spelling Marriages, I care not if I tell him thus much profestly, though it be to the losing of my rich bopes, as he calls them, that I think with them who both in prudence and elegance of Spirit, would chuse a Virgin of mean fortunes honestly bred, before the wealthiest Widow. Fiend therfore that told our Chaldean the contrary, was a lying Fiend. His next venom he utters against a Prayer which he found in the Animadversions, angry it feems to find any prayers but in the Service-book; he dislikes it, and I therfore like it the better. It was theatrical, he fays; and yet it confifted most of Scripture language; it had no Rubric to be sung in an antic Cope upon the Stage of a High Altar. It was big-mouth'd, he stays; no marvel, if it were fram'd as the Voice of three Kingdoms : neither was it a Prayer fo much as a Hymn in profe, frequent both in the Prophets, and in human Authors; therfore the file was greater than for an ordinary Prayer. It was an aftonishing Prayer. I thank him for that confession, so it was intended to aftound and to aftonish the guilty Prelates; and this Consuter confesses that with him it wrought that effect. But in that which follows, he does not play the Soothfayer, but the diabolic flanderer of Prayers. It was made, he fays, not so much to please God, or to benefit the Weal public (how dares the Viper judge that?) but to intimate, faith he, your good abilities to her that is your rich hopes, your Maronilla. How hard is it when a Man meets with a Fool to keep his Tongue from folly? That were miserable indeed to be a Courtier of Maronilla, and withal of fuch a hapless invention, as that no way should be left me to prefent my meaning, but to make myself a canting Probationer of orifons. The Remonstrant, when he was as young as I, could

Toothless Teach each hollow Grove to sound his love, Satyrs, Wearying coho with one changeless word.

And fo he well might, and all his Auditory befides with his teach each.

Toothless Whether so me list my lovely Thoughts to sing, Satyrs, Come dance ye nimble Dryads by my side, Whiles I report my Fortunes or my Loves.

Delicious! he had that whole Bevie at command whether in Morrice or at May-pole; whilft I by this figure-cafter must be imagin'd in such distress as to sue to Maronilla, and yet left so impoverish'd of what to say, as to turn my Liturgy into my Lady's Pfalter. Believe it Graduate, I am not altogether so rustic, and nothing so irreligious, but as far distant sion a Lecturer, as

the merest Laic, for any confectating hand of a Prelate that shall ever touch Yet I shall not decline the more for that, to speak my opinion in the Controverly next mov'd, Whether the People may be allowed for competent Judges of a Minister's ability. For how else can be fulfill'd that which God hath promis'd, to pour out fuch abundance of knowledge upon all forts of Men in the times of the Gospel? how should the People examine the Doctrine which is taught them, as Christ and his Apostles continually bid them do? how should they differn and beware of small Prophets, and try every Spirit, if they must be thought unfit to judge of the Minister's abilities? The Apostles ever labour'd to perfuade the Christian flock that they were call'd in Christ to all perfectness of spiritual knowledge, and full assurance of understanding in the mystery of God. But the non-resident and plurality-gaping Prelates, the gulphs and whirlpools of Benefices, but the dry pits of all found Doctrine, that they may the better preach what they lift to their sheep, are still possessing them that they are sheep indeed, without judgment, without understanding, the very Beasts of Mount Sinai, as this Confuter calls them; which words of theirs may ferve to condemn them out of their own mouths, and to shew the gross contrarieties that are in their opinions: For while none think the People fo void of knowledge as the Prelates think them, none are so backward and malignant as they to bestow knowledge upon them; both by suppressing the frequency of Sermons, and the printed explanations of the English Bible. No marvel if the people turn beafts, when their Teachers themselves, as Isaiab calls them, are dumb and greedy dogs, that can never have enough, ignorant, blind, and cannot understand; who while they all look their own way, every one for his gain from his quarter, how many parts of the Land are fed with windy Ceremonies inftead of fincere Milk; and while one Prelate enjoys the nourishment and right of twenty Ministers, how many waste places are left as dark as Galilee of the Gentiles, fitting in the region and shadow of death, without preaching Minister, without light. So little care they of Beafts to make them Men, that by their forcerous doctrine of Formalities, they take the way to transform them out of Christian Men into Judaizing Beasts. Had they but taught the Land, or fuffered it to be taught, as Christ would it should have bin, in all plenteous dispensation of the Word, then the poor Mechanic might have so accustom'd his ear to good teaching, as to have difcern'd between faithful teachers and false. But now with a most inhuman cruelty they who have put out the peoples eyes, reproach them of their blindness; just as the *Pharisees* their true Fathers were wont, who could not indure that the People should be thought competent judges of Christ's doctrine, although we know they judg'd far better than those great Rabbies: yet this People, said they, that knows not the law is accurft. We need not the authority of Pliny brought to tell us, the People cannot judge of a Minister: yet that hurts not. For as none can judge of a Painter, or Statuary, but he who is an Artist, that is, either in the Practic or Theory, which is often separated from the Practic, and judges learnedly without it; so none can judge of a Christian Teacher, but he who hath either the practice, or the knowledge of Christian Religion, though not fo artfully digested in him. And who almost of the meanest Christians hath not heard the Scriptures often read from his Childhood, besides so many Sermons and Lectures more in number than any Student hath heard in Philosophy, whereby he may eafily attain to know when he is wifely taught, and when weakly? Wherof three ways I remember are fet down in Scripture: The one is to read often that best of Books written to this purpose, that not the wife only, but the fimple and ignorant may learn by them; the other way to know of a Minister, is by the life he leads, wherof the meanest understanding may be apprehensive. The last way to judge aright in this point, is, when he who judges, lives a Christian Life himself. Which of these three will the Confuter affirm to exceed the capacity of a plain Artizan? And what reason then is there left wherfore he should be deny'd his voice in the election of his Minifter, as not thought a competent difference? It is but arrogance therfore, and the pride of a metaphylical fume, to think that the mutinous rabble (for fo he calls the Christian Congregation) would be so mistaken in a Clerk of the University that were to be their Minister. I doubt me those Clerks that think so, are more mistaken in themselves; and what with truanting and debauchery,

what with false grounds and the weakness of natural faculties in many of them (it being a Maxim in some Men to send the simplest of their Sons thither) perhaps there would be found among them as many unfolid and corrupted judgments both in doctrine and life, as in any other two Corporations of like This is undoubted, that if any Carpenter, Smith, or Weaver, were fuch a bungler in his Trade, as the greater number of them are in their Profession, he would starve for any Curtom. And should he exercise his Manufacture as little as they do their Talents, he would forget his Art: and should he mistake his Tools as they do theirs, he would marr all the work he took in hand. How few among them that know to write, or speak in a pure slile, much less to distinguish the ideas, and various kinds of stile; in Latin barbarous, and oft not without folcoisms, declaiming in rugged and miscellaneous gear blown together by the four winds, and in their choice preferring the gay rankness of Atulcius, Arnobius, or any modern Fustianist, before the native Latinisms of Cicero. In the Greek tongue most of them unletter'd, or un-enter'd to any sound proficiency in those Attic Masters of moral Wisdom and Eloquence. In the Hebrew Text, which is so necessary to be understood, except it be some sew of them, their lips are utterly uncircumcis'd. No less are they out of the way in Philosophy, pettering their heads with the sapless dotages of old Paris and Salamanca. And that which is the main point, in their Sermons affecting the Comments and Postils of Friars and Jesuits, but scorning and flighting the reformed Writers: Infomuch that the better fort among them will confess it a rare matter to hear a true edifying Sermon in either of their great Churches; and that fuch as are most humm'd and applauded there, would fcarce be fuffered the fecond hearing in a grave Congregation of pious Christians. Is there cause why these Men should overwean, and be so queafy of the rude Multitude, left their deep worth should be undervalu'd for want of fit Umpires? No, my matriculated Confutant, there will not want in any Congregation of this Island, that hath not been altogether famish'd, or wholly perverted with Prelatish leaven; there will not want divers plain and folid Men, that have learnt by the experience of a good Confcience, what it is to be well taught, who will foon look through and through both the lofty nakedness of your latinizing Barbarian, and the finical goofery of your neat Sermon-actor. And so I leave you and your fellow Stars, as you term them, ef either Horizon, meaning I suppose either Hemisphere, unless you will be ri-diculous in your Astronomy: For the rational Horizon in Heaven is but one, and the fenfible Horizons in Earth are innumerable; so that your Allusion was as erroneous as your Stars. But that you did well to prognosticate them all at lowest in the Horizon; that is, either feeming bigger than they are through the mist and vapour which they rife, or else finking, and wasted to the snuff in their Western Socket.

SECT. 11.

His eleventh Section intends I know not what, unlefs to clog us with the refidue of his phlegmatic floth, discussing with a heavy pulse the expedience ef fet forms: which no question but to some, and for some time may be permitted, and perhaps there may be usefully set forth by the Church a common Directory of public Prayer, especially in the administration of the Sacraments. But that it should therfore be inforc'd where both Minister and People profels to have no need, but to be fcandaliz'd by it, that, I hope, every fenfible Christian will deny: And the reasons of such denial the Consuter himself, as his bounty still is to his Adversary, will give us out of his affirmation. First, faith he, God in his Providence hath chosen some to teach others, and pray for others, as Ministers and Pastors. Whence I gather, that however the faculty of others may be, yet that they whom God hath set apart to his Ministry, are by him endu'd with an ability of Prayer; because their Office is to pray for others, and not to be the lip-working Deacons of other Men's appointed words. Nor is it easily credible, that he who can preach well, should be unable to pray well; when as it is indeed the fame ability to speak affirmatively, or doctrinally, and only by changing the mood, to speak prayingly. In vain therfore do they pretend to want utterance in prayer, who can find utterance to preach. And if prayer be the gift of the spirit, why do they admit those to the Ministry, who want a main gift of their Function, and prescribe gifted

Men to use that which is the remedy of another Man's want; setting them their task to read, whom the Spirit of God stands ready to assist in his Ordinance with the gift of free conceptions? What if it be granted to the infirmity of some Ministers (though such feem rather to be half Ministers) to help themselves with a set form, shall it therfore be urg'd upon the plenteous graces of others? And let it be granted to some people while they are Babes, in Christian Gifts, were it not better to take it away soon after, as we do loitering Books, and interlineary Translations from Children; to stir up and exercise that portion of the Spirit which is in them, and not impose it upon Congregations who not only deny to need it, but as a thing troublesome and offensive, refuse it? Another reason which he brings for Liturgy, is the preferving of Order, Unity, and Piety; and the fame shall be my reason against Li-For I, Readers, shall always be of this opinion, that obedience to the Spirit of God, rather than to the fair feeming pretences of Men, is the best and most dutiful Order that a Christian can observe. If the Spirit of God manifest the Gift of Prayer in his Minister, what more seemly order in the Congregation, than to go along with that Man in our devoutest affections? For him to abridge himself by reading, and to forestal himself in those petitions, which he must either omit, or vainly repeat, when he comes into the Pulpit under a shew of order, is the greatest disorder. Nor is Unity less broken, especially by our Liturgy, though this Author would almost bring the Communion of Saints to a Communion of Liturgical words. For what other reformed Church holds Communion with us by our Liturgy, and does not rather diflike it? and among ourselves, who knows it not to have bin a perpetual cause of disunion?

Lastly, it hinders Piety rather than sets it forward, being more apt to weaken the spiritual faculties, if the people be not wean'd from it in due time; as the daily pouring in of hot waters quenches the natural heat. For not only the body and the mind, but also the improvement of God's Spirit is quickned by ufing. Wheras they who will ever adhere to Liturgy, bring themselves in the end to such a pass by over-much leaning, as to lose even the legs of their devotion. These inconveniences and dangers follow the compelling of fet Forms: but that the toleration of the English Liturgy now in use, is more dangerous than the compelling of any other which the reformed Churches use, these reasons following may evince. To contend that it is fantaftical, if not fenfelefs in fome places, were a copious Argument, especially in the Responsories. For such Alternations as are there us'd, must be by several persons; but the Minister and the People cannot so sever their interests, as to fustain feveral persons; he being the only mouth of the whole body which he prefents. And if the People pray, he being filent, or they ask one thing, and he another, it either changes the property, making the Priest the People, and the People the Priest by turns, or else makes two Persons and two Bodies Representative where there should be but one. Which if it be nought elfe, must needs be a strange quaintness in ordinary prayer. The like, or worse, may be faid of the Litany, wherin neither Priest nor People speak any entire fense of themselves throughout the whole, I know not what to name it; only by the timely contribution of their parted flakes, clofing up as it were the Schism of a flic'd Prayer, they pray not in vain, for by this means they keep Life between them in a piece of gasping sense, and keep down the sauciness of a continual rebounding nonfense. And hence it is, that as it hath bin far from the imitation of any warranted Prayer, fo we all know it hath bin obvious to be the pattern of many a Jig. And he who hath but read in good Books of Devotion and no more, cannot be so either of ear or judgment unpractis'd to diffinguish what is grave, pathetical, devout, and what not, but will prefently perceive this Liturgy all over in conception lean and dry, of affections empty and unmoving, of passion, or any height wherto the Soul might foar upon the wings of zeal, destitute and barren; besides Errors, Tautologies, Impertinences, as those thanks in the Woman's Churching for her delivery from Sun-burning and Moon-blafting, as if the had bin travailing not in her bed, but in the deferts of Arabia. So that while some Men cease not to admire the incomparable frame of our Liturgy, I cannot but admire as fast what they think is become of judgment and taste in other. Men, that they

can hope to be heard without laughter. And if this were all, perhaps it were a compliable matter. But when we remember this our Liturgy where we found it, whence we had it, and yet where we left it, still ferving to all the abominations of the antichriftian Temple, it may be wonder'd how we can demur whether it should be done away or no, and not rather fear we have highly offended in using it so long. It hath indeed been pretended to be more ancient than the Mass, but so little provid, that wheras other corrupt Litar. gies have had withal fuch a feeming Antiquity, as that their publishers have ventur'd to afcribe them with their worst corruptions either to St. Peter, St. James, St. Mark, or at least to Chrysoftome or Basil, ours hath been never able to find either Age or Author allowable, on whom to father those things therin which are least offensive, except the two Creeds, for Te Deum has a finatein in it of Limbus Patrum: As if Christ had not open'd the Kingdom of Heaven before he had overcome the sharpness of Deeth. So that having receiv'd it from the Papal Church as an original Creature, for aught can be shewn to the contrary, form'd and fashion'd by work-masters ill to be trusted, we may be assur'd that if God loath the best of an Idolater's prayer, much more the conceited fangle of his prayer. This Confuter himfelf confesses that a Community of the fame let form in prayers, is that which makes Church oud Church truly one; we then using a Liturgy far more like to the Mass-book than to any Protestant set Form, by his own words must have more Communion with the Romish Church, than with any of the Reformed. How can we then partake with them the curse and vengeance of their superstition, to whom we come io near in the fame fet form and drefs of our devotion? Do we think to fift the matter finer than we are fure God in his jealoufy will, who detefted both the Gold and the Spoil of idolatrous Cities, and forbid the eating of things offer'd to Idols? Are we stronger than he, to brook that which his heart cannot brook? It is not furely because we think that prayers are no where to be had but at Rome; that were a foul form and indignity cast upon all the reformed Churches, and our own: If we imagine that all the godly Ministers of England are not able to new-mould a better and more pious. Liturgy than this which was conceiv'd and infanted by an idolatrous Mother, how bafely were that to efteem of God's Spirit, and all the holy bleffings and privileges of a true Church above a false? Heark ye Prelates, is this your glorious Mother of Eng. land, who whenas Christ hath taught her to pray, thinks it not enough unless fhe add therto the teaching of Antichrift? How can we believe ye would refuse to take the stipend of Rome, when ye shame not to live upon the almsbasket of her prayers? Will ye perswade us that ye can curse Rome from your hearts, when none but Rome must teach ye to pray? Abraham disdain'd to take to much as a thread or a fhoe-latchet from the King of Sodom, though no foe of his, but a wicked King; and shall we receive our prayers at the bounty of our more wicked Enemies, whose gifts are no gifts, but the instruments of our bane? Alas, that the Spirit of God should blow as an uncertain wind, should so mistake his inspiring, so misbestow his gifts promis'd only to the elect, that the idolatrous should find words acceptable to present God with, and abound to their neighbours, while the true professors of the Gospel can find nothing of their own worth the constituting, wherwith to worship God in public. Confider if this be to magnify the Church of Englan!, and not rather to display her nakedness to all the world. Like therfore as the retaining of this Romish Liturgy is a provocation to God, and a dishonour to our Church, fo is it by those ceremonies, those purifyings and offerings at the Altar, a pollution and difturbance to the Gospel it self; and a kind of driving us with the foolish Galatians to another Gospel. For that which the Apostles taught hath freed us in Religion from the Ordinances of Men, and commands that burdens be not laid upon the Redeemed of Christ; though the Formalist will fay, what no decency in God's worship? Certainly Readers, the worship of God fingly in it felf, the very act of prayer and thankfgiving, with those free and unimpos'd expressions which from a fincere heart unbidden come into the outward gellure, is the greatest decency that can be imagin'd. Which to drefs up and garnish with a devis'd bravery abolish'd in the Law, and disclaim'd by the Gospel, adds nothing but a deformed ugliness; and hath ever afforded a colourable pretence to bring in all those traditions and carnalities that are VOL. I,

to killing to the power and virtue of the Gospel. What was that which made the Jews, figur'd under the names of Abolah and Abolibah, go a whoring after a'l the Heathens inventions, but that they saw a Religion gorgeously attir'd and definable to the eye? What was all that the false Doctors of the primitive Church, and ever fince have done, but to make a fair shew in the flesh, as St. Pan.'s words are? If we have indeed given a bill of Divorce to Popery and Superstition, why do we not fay as to a divorc'd wife; Those things which are yours take them all with you, and they shall sweep after you? Why were not we thus wife at our parting from Rome? Ah! like a crafty Adulteress she forgot not all her smooth looks and inticing words at her parting; yet keep these letters, these tokens, and these sew ornaments; I am not all so greedy of what is mine, let them preferve with you the memory, of what I am? No, but of what I was, once fair and lovely in your eyes. Thus did those tenderhearted Reformers dotingly fuffer themselves to be overcome with Harlots language. And the like a Witch, but with a contrary policy, did not take fomething of theirs, that she might still have power to bewitch them, but for the fame intent left fomething of her own behind her. And that her whorish cunning flould prevail to work upon us her deceitful ends, though it be fad to speak, yet such is our blindness, that we deserve. For we are deep in dotage. We cry out Sacrilege and Misservotion against those who in zeal have demolished the dens and cages of her unclean wallowings. We stand for a Popish Liturgy as for the Ark of our Covenant. And fo little does it appear our Prayers are from the heart, that multitudes of us declare, they know not how to pray but by rote. Yet they can learnedly invent a prayer of their own to the Parlament, that they may still ignorantly read the prayers of other men to God. They object, that if we must forsake all that is Rome's, we must bid adieu to our Creed; and I had thought our Creed had been of the Apostles, for so it bears title. But if it be hers, let her take it. We can want no Creed, fo long as we want not the Scriptures. We magnify those who in reforming our Church have inconfiderately and blamefully permitted the old leven to remain and four our whole lump. But they were Martyrs; true, and he that looks well into the book of God's providence, if he read there that God for this their negligence and halting, brought all that following perfecution upon this Church, and on themselves, perhaps will be found at the last day not to have read amiss.

SECT. 12.

But now, Readers, we have the Port within fight; his last Section, which is no deep one, remains only to be forded, and then the wish'd shore. And here first it pleases him much, that he had descry'd me, as he conceives, to be unread in the Councils. Concerning which matter it will not be unnecessary to thape him this answer; That some years I had spent in the stories of those Greek and Roman Exploits, wherin I found many things both nobly done, and worthily spoken: when coming in the method of time to that age wherin the Church had obtain'd a Christian Emperor, I so prepar'd my self, as being now to read examples of wifdom and goodness among those who were foremost in the Church, not elsewhere to be parallell'd: but to the amazement of what I expected, Readers, I found it all quite contrary; excepting in fome very few, nothing but Ambition, Corruption, Contention, Combustion: informucli that I could not but love the Historian Socrates, who in the proem to his fifth Book professes, He was fain to intermix affairs of State, for that it would be else an extream annoyance to hear in a continu'd Discourse the endless brabbles and counterplottings of the Bishops. Finding therfore the most of their actions in fingle to be weak, and yet turbulent; full of strife, and yet flat of spirit; and the sum of their best Councils there collected, to be most commonly in queftions either trivial and vain, or elfe of short and easy decision; without that great bustle which they made; I concluded that if their fingle ambition and ignorance was fuch, then certainly united in a Council it would be much more; and if the compendious recital of what they there did was fo tedious and unprofitable, then furely to fit out the whole extent of their tattle in a dozen volumes, would be a loss of time irrecoverable. Besides that which I had read of St. Martin, who for his last fixteen years could never be perswaded to be at any Council of the Bishops. And Gregory Nazianzen be-

took him to the fame resolution, affirming to Procopius, that of any Council or Meeting of Bishops he never saw good end; nor any remedy therby of evil in the Church, but rather an Increase. For, faith he, their Contentions and defire of Lording no Tongue is able to express. I have not therfore, I confess, read more of the Councils fave here and there; I should be forry to have been such a prodigal of my time: but that which is better, I can affure this Confuter, I have read into them all. And if I want any thing yet, I shall reply fomething toward that which in the defence of Murana was answer'd by Cicero to Sulpitius the Lawyer. If ye provoke me (for at no hand elfe will I undertake fuch a frivolous labour) I will in three months be an expert Councilist. For be not deceiv'd, Readers, by men that would overawe your ears with big Names and huge Tomes that contradict and repeal one another, because they can cram a margent with Citations. Do but winnow their chaff from their wheat, ye shall see their great heap shrink and wax thin past belief. From hence he passes to enquire wherfore I should blame the vices of the Prelates only, seeing the inferior Clergy is known to be as faulty. To which let him hear in brief; that those Priests whose vices have been notorious, are all Prelatical, which argues both the impiety of that opinion, and the wicked remiffness of that government. We hear not of any which are call'd *Nonconformifts*, that have been accus'd for fcandalous living; but are known to be pious, or at least fober men. Which is a great good argument that they are in the truth, and Prelates in the error. He would be refolv'd next, What the Corruptions of the Universities concern the Prelates? and to that let him take this, That the Remonstrant having spoken as if Learning would decay with the removal of Prelates, I shew'd him that while Books were extant and in print, Learning could not readily be at a worse pass in the Universities than it was now under their government. Then he feeks to justify the pernicious Sermons of the Clergy, as if they upheld Sovereignty, whenas all Christian Sovereignty is by law, and to no other end but to the maintenance of the common good. But their Doctrine was plainly the diffolution of Law, which only fets up Sovereignty, and the erecting of an arbitrary fway according to private will, to which they would enjoin a flavish obedience without Law; which is the known definition of a Tyrant, and a tyranniz'd people. A little beneath he denies that great riches in the Church are the baits of pride and ambition: of which error to undeceive him, I shall allege a reputed divine Authority, as ancient as Constantine, which his love to Antiquity must not except against; and to add the more weight, he shall learn it rather in the words of our old Poet Gower than in mine, that he may fee it is no new opinion, but a truth deliver'd of old by a voice from Heaven, and ratify'd by long experience.

> This Constantine which heal hath found, Within Rome anon let found Two Churches which he did make For Peter and for Pauls lake: De whom he had a villon, And vale therto possession Of Loydhip and of worlds good; But how to that his will was good Coward the Pope and his Franchile. Vet hath it proved otherwise To see the working of the deed: For in Cronick thus I read. Anon as he hath made the yeft, A voice was heard on high the left, Of which all Rome was adrad, And faid. This day benim is thad In holy Church, of temporall That inedicth with the spirituall;

And how it flant in that degree, yet may a man the footh fee. Sod amend it whan he will, gran thereto none other skill.

But there were beafts of prey, faith he, before wealth was beftow'd on the Church. What though? because the Vultures had then but small pickings, shall we therfore go and fling them a full gorge? if they for lucre use to creep into the Church undiferrably, the more wisdom will it be so to provide that no revenue there may exceed the golden mean: For so, good Pastors will be content, as having need of no more, and knowing withat the precept and example of Chrift and his Apostles, and also will be less tempted to ambition. The bad will have but small matter wheron to set their mischies awork: And the worst and suttl'st heads will not come at all, when they shall fee the crop nothing answerable to their capacious greediness: For small temptations allure but dribling offenders; but a great purchase will call such as both are most able of themselves, and will be most enabled hereby to compass dangerous projects. But faith he, A widow's house will tempt as well as a Bishop's Palace. Acutely spoken! Because neither we nor the Prelates can abolish widows houses, which are but an occasion taken of evil without the Church, therfore we shall fet up within the Church a Lottery of such prizes as are the direct inviting causes of avarice and ambition, both unnecessary and harmful to be propos'd, and most easy, most convenient and needful to be remov'd. Yea but they are in a wife Dispenser's hand: Let them be in whose hand they will, they are most apt to blind, to puss up and pervert the most feeming good. And how they have been kept from Vultures, whatever the dispenser's care hath been, we have learn'd by our miseries. But this which comes next in view, I know not what good vein or humour took him when he let drop into his paper: I that was ere while the ignorant, the loyterer, on the fudden by his permission am now granted to know something. And that such a volley of expressions he hath met withal, as he would never desire to have them better cloth'd. For me, Readers, although I cannot fay that I am utterly untrain'd in those rules which best Rhetoricians have given, or unacquainted with those examples which the prime authors of eloquence have written in any learned tongue; yet true eloquence I find to be none, but the ferious and hearty love of truth: And that whose mind soever is fully possess with a fervent defire to know good things, and with the dearest charity to infuse the knowledge of them into others, when fuch a man would speak, his words (by what I can express) like so many nimble and airy servitors trip about him at command, and in well-order'd files, as he would wish, fall aptly into their own places. But now to the remainder of our discourse. Christ refus'd great riches, and large honours at the Devil's hand. But why, faith he, as they were tender'd by him from whom it was a fin to receive them. Timely remember'd: why is it not therfore as much a fin to receive a Liturgy of the maffes' giving, were it for nothing else but the giver? But he could make no use of such a high estate, quoth the Consuter; opportunely. For why then should the servant take upon him to use those things which his mafter had unfitted himfelf to use, that he might teach his ministers to follow his steps in the same ministry? But they were offer'd him to a bad end: So they prove to the Prelates, who after their preferment most usually change the teaching labour of the Word, into the unteaching ease of Lordship over confciences and purfes. But he proceeds, God entied the Israelites with the promife of Canaan. Did not the Prelates bring as flavish minds with them, as the Jews brought out of Egypt? they had left out that instance. Besides that it was then the time, whenas the best of them, as Saint Paul saith, was shut up unto the faith under the Law their School-master, who was forc'd to intice them as children with childish enticements. But the Gospel is our manhood, and the Ministry should be the manhood of the Gospel, not to look after, much less so basely to plead for earthly Rewards. But God incited the wifest man Solomon with these means. Ah Consuter of thy self, this example hath undone thee; Solomon ask'd an understanding heart, which the Prelates have little

care to ask. He ask'd no riches, which is their chief care; therfore was the prayer of Solomon pleasing to God; he gave him wisdom at his request, and riches without asking, as now he gives the Prelates riches at their seeking, and no wisdom because of their perverse asking. But he gives not over yet, Moses had an eye to the Reward. To what Reward, thou man that look'st with Balaam's eyes? to what Reward had the faith of Moses an eye? He that had forsaken all the greatness of Egypt, and chose a troublesome journey in his old age through the Wilderness, and yet arriv'd not at his journey's end: His faithful eyes were fix'd upon that incorruptible Reward, promis'd to Abraham and his feed in the Messiab; he fought a heavenly Reward which could make him happy, and never hurt him, and to fuch a Reward every good man may have a respect: But the Prelates are eager of fuch Rewards as cannot make them happy, but can only make them worse. Jacob, a Prince born, vow'd, that if God would but give him bread to eat, and raiment to put on, then the Lord should be his God. But the Prelates of mean birth, and oft-times of lowest, making shew as if they were call'd to the spiritual and humble ministry of the Gospel, yet murmur, and think it a hard fervice, unlefs, contrary to the tenour of their Profession, they may eat the bread and wear the honours of Princes: So much more covetous and base they are than Simon Magus, for he proffer'd a Reward to be admitted to that work, which they will not be meanly hir'd to. But faith he, Are not the Clergy members of Christ, why should not each member thrive alike? Carnal Textman! As if worldly thriving were one of the privileges we have by being in Christ, and were not a providence oft-times extended more liberally to the Infidel than the Christian. Therfore must the Ministers of Christ not be over-rich or great in the World, because their Calling is spiritual, not secular; because they have a special Warrare, which is not to be entangled with many impediments; because their Master Christ gave them this Precept, and fet them this Example, told them this was the mystery of his coming, by mean things and persons to subdue mighty ones: and lastly, because a middle estate is most proper to the office of teaching, wheras higher dignity teaches far less, and blinds the Teacher. Nay, saith the Confuter, fetching his last endeavour, The Prelates will be very loth to let go their Baronies, and Votes in Parliament, and calls it God's Cause, with an unfufferable impudence. Not that they love the Honours and the Means; good men and generous, lut that they would not have their Country made guilty of fuch a facrilege and injustice. A worthy Patriot for his own corrupt ends! That which he imputes a facrilege to his Country, is the only way left them to purge that abominable facrilege out of the Land, which none but the Prelates are guilty of: Who for the discharge of one single duty receive and keep that which might be enough to fatisfy the labours of many painful Ministers better deferving than themselves: Who possess huge Benefices for lazy Performances, great Promotions only for the execution of a cruel difgospelling Jurisdiction: Who ingross many pluralities under a non-resident and slubbring dispatch of Souls: Who let hundreds of Parishes samish in one Diocess, while they the Prelates are mute, and yet enjoy that wealth that would farnish all those dark places with able supply; and yet they eat, and yet they live at the rate of Earls, and yet hoard up: They who chase away all the saithful Shepherds of the flock, and bring in a dearth of spiritual food, robbing therby the Church of her dearest treasure, and sending herds of souls starveling to Hell, while they feaft and riot upon the labours of hireling Curates, confuming and purloining even that which by their foundation is allow'd, and left to the poor, and to reparations of the Church. These are they who have bound the Land with the fin of Sacrilege, from which mortal engagement we shall never be free, till we have totally remov'd with one labour, as one individual thing, Prelaty and Sacrilege. And herein will the King be a true Defender of the Faith, not by paring or leffening, but by distributing in due proportion the maintenance of the Church, that all parts of the Land may equally partake the plentiful and diligent preaching of the Faith, the scandal of Ceremonies thrown out that delude and circumvent the Faith; and the usurpation of Prelates laid level, who are in words the Fathers, but in their deeds the oppugners of the Faith. This is that which will best confirm him in that glorious title. Thus ye have heard, Readers, how many shifts and

wiles the Prelates have invented to fave their ill-got booty. And if it be true, as in Scripture it is foretold, that pride and covetousness are the sure marks of those false Prophets which are to come, then boldly conclude these to be as great seducers as any of the latter times. For between this and the Judgment-day do not look for any arch Deceivers, who in spite of Resormation will use more crast, or less shame to defend their love of the world and their ambition than these Prelates have done. And if ye think that soundness of reason, or what force of Argument soever will bring them to an ingenuous silence, ye think that which will never be. But if ye take that course which Erasmus was wont to say Luther took against the Pope and Monks, if ye denounce war against their Miters and their Bellies, ye shall soon discern that Turbant of pride which they wear upon their heads, to be no Helmet of Salvation, but the meer mettle and horn-work of papal Jurisdiction; and that they have also this gift, like a certain kind of some that are possest, to have their voice in their Bellies, which being well drain'd and taken down, their great Oracle, which is only there, will soon be dumb, and the Divine Right of Episcopacy forthwith expiring, will put us no more to trouble with tedious antiquities and disputes.

EDUCATION.

To Master Samuel Hartlib.

Master HARTLIB,

Am long fince perfwaded, that to fay or do aught worth memory and imitation, no purpose or respect should sooner move us than simply the love of God, and of mankind. Nevertheless to write now the reforming of Education, though it be one of the greatest and noblest designs that can be thought on, and for the want wherof this Nation perishes, I had not yet at this time been induc'd, but by your earnest entreaties, and serious conjurements; as having my mind for the prefent half diverted in the pursuance of fome other affertions, the knowledge and the use of which cannot but be a great furtherance both to the enlargement of truth, and honest living with much more peace. Nor should the laws of any private friendship have prevail'd with me to divide thus, or transpose my former thoughts, but that I see those aims, those actions which have won you with me the efteem of a person fent hither by some good providence from a far Country to be the occasion and the incitement of great good to this Island. And, as I hear, you have obtain'd the fame repute with men of most approved wisdom, and some of the highest authority among us; not to mention the learned correspondence which you hold in foreign parts, and the extraordinary pains and diligence which you have us'd in this matter both here and beyond the Seas; either by the definite will of God fo ruling, or the peculiar fway of nature, which also is God's working. Neither can I think that to reputed, and so valu'd as you are, you would to the forfeit of your own difcerning ability, impose upon me an unfit and over-ponderous argument; but that the fatisfaction which you profess to have receiv'd from those incidental Discourses which we have wander'd into, hath prest and almost constrain'd you into a perswasion, that what you require from me in this point, I neither ought, nor can in conscience defer beyond this time both of so much need at once, and so much opportunity to try what God hath determined. I will not refift therfore whatever it is, either of divine or human obligement, that you lay upon me; but will forthwith fet down in writing, as you request me, that voluntary Idea, which hath long in filence prefented it felf to me, of a better Education, in extent and comprehension far more large, and yet of time far shorter, and of attainment far more certain, than hath been yet in practice. Brief I shall endeavour to be; for that which I have to fay, affuredly this Nation hath extream need should be done sooner than spoken. To tell you therfore what I have benefited herin among old renowned Authors, I fhall fpare; and to fearch what many modern Janua's and DidaEics more than ever I shall read, have projected, my inclination leads me not. But if you can accept of these sew Observations which have flowr'd off, and are as it were the burnishing of many studious and contemplative years altogether spent in the fearch of religious and civil knowledge, and such as pleas'd you so well in the relating, I here give you them to dispose of.

The end then of Learning is to repair the ruins of our first Parents by regaining to know God aright, and out of that knowledge to love him, to imitate him, to be like him, as we may the nearest by possessing our souls of true vertue, which being united to the heavenly Grace of Faith, makes up the highest perfection. But because our understanding cannot in this body sound it self but on sensible things, nor arrive so clearly to the knowledge of God and things invisible, as by orderly conning over the visible and inferior creature, the same method is necessarily to be follow'd in all discreet teaching. And seeing every Nation affords not experience and tradition enough for all kind of Learning, therfore we are chiefly

taught the Languages of those people who have at any time been most industrious after wisdom; so that Language is but the Instrument conveying to us things uteful to be known. And though a Linguist should pride himself to have all the Tongues that Babel cleft the world into, yet if he have not studied the solid things in them as well as the Words and Lexicons, he were nothing to much to be esteem'd a learned man, as any Yeoman or Tradesman competently wife in his Mother-Dialect only. Hence appear the many miftakes which have made Learning generally to unpleasing and to unfuccessful; first we do amiss to spend seven or eight years meerly in scraping together so much miserable Latin and Greek, as might be learn'd otherwise easily and delightfully in one year. And that which casts our proficiency therin so much behind, is our time lost partly in too oft idle vacancies given both to Schools and Universities, partly in a prepofterous exaction, forcing the empty wits of Children to compose Themes, Verses and Orations, which are the acts of ripest judgment, and the final work of a head fill'd by long reading and observing, with elegant maxims, and copious invention. These are not matters to be wrung from poor thriplings, like blood out of the nofe, or the plucking of untimely fruit: besides the ill habit which they get of wretched barbarizing against the Latin and Greek Idiom, with their untutor'd Anglicifms, odious to be read, yet not to be avoided without a well-continued and judicious conversing among pure Authors digested, which they scarce taste; wheras, if after some preparatory grounds of speech by their certain forms got into memory, they were led to the praxis therof in some chosen short book lesson'd thoroughly to them, they might then forthwith proceed to learn the fubiliance of good things, and Arts in due order, which would bring the whole language quickly into their power. This I take to be the most rational and most prositable way of learning Languages, and wherby we may best hope to give account to God of our youth spent herin. And for the usual method of teaching Arts, I deem it to be an old error of Universities, not yet well recover'd from the Scholastic großness of barbarous ages, that instead of beginning with Arts most easy, and those be such as are most obvious to the fenie, they prefent their young unmatriculated Novices at first coming with the most intellective abstractions of Logic and Metaphysics: so that they having but newly left those Grammatic Flats and Shallows where they stuck unreasonably to learn a few words with lamentable construction, and now on the fudden transported under another climate to be toss'd and turmoil'd with their unballasted wits in fathomless and unquiet deeps of Controversy, do for the most part grow into hatred and contempt of Learning, mock'd and deluded all this while with ragged Notions and Babblements, while they expected worthy and delightful knowledge; till poverty or youthful years call them importunately their feveral ways, and haften them with the fway of friends either to an ambitious and mercenary, or ignorantly zealous Divinity; fome allur'd to the trade of Law, grounding their purposes not on the prudent and heavenly Contemplation of Justice and Equity which was never taught them, but on the promifing and pleafing thoughts of litigious terms, fat contentions, and flowing fees; others-betake them to State-affairs, with fouls fo unprincipl'd in virtue, and true generous breeding, that Flattery and Court-shifts and tyrannous Aphorisms appear to them the highest points of wisdom; instilling their barren Hearts with a confcientious flavery, if, as I rather think, it be not feign'd. Others laftly of a more delicious and airy fpirit, retire themfelves, knowing no better, to the enjoyments of ease and luxury, living out their days in feast and jollity; which indeed is the wifest and the fafest course of all these, unless they were with more integrity undertaken. And these are the fruits of mispending our prime youth at the Schools and Universities as we do, either in learning meer words, or fuch things chiefly as were better unlearnt.

I shall detain you no longer in the demonstration of what we should not do, but strait conduct you to a hill-side, where I will point you out the right path of a virtuous and noble Education; laborious indeed at the first ascent, but else so smooth, so green, so full of goodly prospect, and melodious sounds on every side, that the harp of Orpheus was not more charming. I doubt not but ye shall have more ado to drive our dullest and laziest youth, our stocks and stubs, from the infinite define of such a happy nurture, than we have now to hale and drag our choicest and hopefullest wits to that assining feast of sowthistles and bram-

brambles which is commonly fet before them, as all the food and entertainment of their tenderest and most docible age. I call therfore a compleat and generous Education, that which fits a man to perform justly, skilfully and magnanimously all the offices both private and public of Peace and War. And how all this may be done between twelve, and one and twenty, less time than is now bestow'd in pure trisling at Grammar and Sophistry, is to be thus order'd.

First to find out a spacious house and ground about it sit for an Academy, and big enough to lodge a hundred and fifty persons, whereof twenty or therabout may be attendants, all under the government of one, who shall be thought of desert sufficient, and ability either to do all, or wisely to direct and oversee it done. This place should be at once both School and University, not needing a remove to any other house of Scholarship, except it be some peculiar College of Law, or Physic, where they mean to be Practitioners; but as for those general studies which take up all our time from Lilly to the commencing, as they term it, Master of Art, it should be absolute. After this pattern, as many Edifices may be converted to this use as shall be needful in every City throughout this Land, which would tend much to the encrease of Learning and Civility every where. This number, less or more thus collected, to the convenience of a foot Company, or interchangeably two Troops of Cavalry, should divide their day's work into three parts as it lies orderly: Their Studies, their

Exercise, and their Diet.

For their Studies. First they should begin with the chief and necessary rules of fome good Grammar, either that now us'd, or any better: and while this is doing, their Speech is to be fashion'd to a distinct and clear pronunciation, as near as may be to the Italian, especially in the Vowels. For we Englishmen being far Northerly, do not open our mouths in the cold air, wide enough to grace a Southern Tongue; but are observed by all other Nations to speak exceeding close and inward: fo that to fmatter Latin with an English mouth, is as ill a hearing as Law-French. Next, to make them expert in the usefullest points of Grammar, and withal to feafon them and win them early to the love of Virtue and true Labour, ere any flattering feducement, or vain principle feize them wandering, some easy and delightful Book of Education would be read to them; wherof the Greeks have store, as Cebes, Plutarch, and other Socratic Discourses. But in Latin we have none of classic authority extant, except the two or three first Books of Quintilian, and some select pieces elsewhere. But here the main skill and ground-work will be, to temper them fuch Lectures and Explanations upon every opportunity, as may lead and draw them in willing obedience, enflam'd with the fludy of Learning, and the admiration of Virtue; stirr'd up with high hopes of living to be brave Men, and worthy Patriots, dear to God, and famous to all Ages. That they may despite and scorn all their childish and ill-taught qualities, to delight in manly and liberal Exercises: which he who hath the Art and proper Eloquence to catch them with, what with mild and effectual perswasions, and what with the intimation of some sear, if need be, but chiefly by his own example, might in afhort space gain them to an incredible diligence and courage: infusing into their young breasts such an ingenious and noble ardour, as would not fail to make many of them renowned and matchless men. At the fame time, some other hour of the day, might be taught them the rules of Arithmetic, and foon after the Elements of Geometry, even playing, as the old manner was. After evening repast, till bed-time, their thoughts would be bett raken up in the eafy grounds of Religion, and the ftory of Scripture. next stept would be to the Authors of Agriculture, Cato, Varro, and Columella, for the matter is most easy; and if the language be dissicult, so much the better it is not a difficulty above their years. And here will be an occasion of inciting and inabling them hereafter to improve the tillage of their Country, to recever the bad Soil, and to remedy the waste that is made of good; for this was one of Hercules's praifes. Ere half these Authors be read (which will soon be with plying hard and daily) they cannot chuse but be masters of any ordinary prose. So that it will be then feafonable for them to learn in any modern Author the use of the Globes, and all the Maps; first with the old names, and then with the new, or they might be then capable to read any compendious method of natural philosophy. And at the fame time might be entring into the Greek tongue, after the fame manner as was before prefcrib'd in the *Latin*; wherby the difficulties of Grammar being foon overcome, all the Hiftorical Physiology of Ari-Vol. I. T Stotle

flotle and theophrastus are open before them, and as I may say, under contribu-The like access will be to Vitruvius, to Seneca's natural questions, to Mela, Celfus, Pliny, or Solimus. And having thus past the principles of Arithmetic, Geometry, Astronomy, and Geography with a general compact of Physics, they may descend in Mathematics to the instrumental Science of Trigonometry, and from thence to Fortification, Architecture, Enginry, or Navigation. And in natural Philosophy they may proceed leisurely from the History of Meteors, Minerals, Plants and living Creatures as far as Anatomy. Then also in course might be read to them out of some not tedious Writer the Institution of Phytic; that they may know the tempers, the humours, the fcafons, and how to manage a Crudity: which he who can wifely and timely do, is not only a great Physician to himself and to his friends, but also may at sometime or other, fave an Army by this frugal and expensions means only; and not let the healthy and flout bodies of young men rot away under him for want of this Difcipline; which is a great pity, and no lefs a fhame to the Commander. To fet forward all these proceedings in Nature and Mathematics, what hinders but that they may procure, as oft as shall be needful, the helpful experiences of Hunters, Fowlers, Fishermen, Shepherds, Gardeners, Apothecaries; and in the other Sciences, Architects, Engineers, Mariners, Anatomists; who doubtlefs would be ready, some for reward, and some to favour such a hopeful Seminary. And this will give them fuch a real tincture of natural knowledge, as they shall never forget, but daily augment with delight. Then also those Poets which are now counted most hard, will be both facil and pleasant, Orpheus, Hefiod, Theocritus, Aratus, Nicander, Oppian, Dionyfius, and in

Latin Lucretius, Manilius, and the rural part of Virgil.

By this time, years and good general precepts will have furnish'd them more diffinctly with that act of reason which in Eshies is call'd Proairesis: that they may with fome judgment contemplate upon moral good and evil. Then will be requir'd a special reinforcement of constant and found endoctrinating to set them right and firm, instructing them more amply in the knowledge of Virtue and the hatred of Vice: while their young and pliant affections are led through all the moral works of Plato, Xenophon, Cicero, Plutarch, Laertius, and those Locrien remnants; but still to be reduc'd in their nightward studies wherwith they close the day's work, under the determinate sentence of David or Salomon, or the Evangels and Apostolic Scriptures. Being perfect in the knowledge of perfonal duty, they may then begin the study of Oeconomics. And either now or before this they may have eafily learn'd at any odd hour the Ita-Han Tongue. And foon after, but with warinefs and good antidote, it would be wholefome enough to let them tafte fome choice Comedies, Greek, Latin, or Italian: Those Tragedies also that treat of Houshold matters, as Trackinia, Alceftis, and the like. The next remove must be to the study of Politics; to know the beginning, end, and reasons of Political Societies; that they may not in a dangerous fit of the Commonwealth be fuch poor, shaken, uncertain Reeds of fuch a tottering Confcience, as many of our great Counfellors have lately shewn themselves, but stedfast Pillars of the State. After this they are to dive into the grounds of Law, and legal Justice; deliver'd first and with best warrant by Moses; and as far as human prudence can be trufted, in those extoll'd remains of Grecian Law-givers, Lyeurgus, Solon, Zaleucus, Charondas, and thence to all the Roman Edicts and Tables with their Justinian; and so down to the Saxon and common Laws of England, and the Statutes. Sundays also and every evening may be now understandingly spent in the highest matters of Theology. and Church-History antient and modern: andere this time the Hebrew Tongue at a fet hour might have been gain'd, that the Scriptures may be now read in their own original; wherto it would be no impossibility to add the Chaldee, and the Svrian Dialect. When all thefe employments are well conquer'd, then will the choice Histories, Heroic Paems, and Attic Tragedies of stateliest and most regal Argument, with all the famous Political Orations, offer themselves; which if they were not only read, but fome of them got by memory, and folemnly pronounc'd with right accent and grace, as might be taught, would endue them even with the fpirit and vigour of Demosthenes or Cicero, Euripides, or Sophocles. And now laftly will be the time to read with them those organic Arts which inable men to discourse and write perspicuously, elegantly, and according to the sitted stile ftile of lofty, mean, or lowly. Logic therfore, fo much as is useful, is to be referr'd to this due place with all her well-coucht Heads and Topics, until it be time to open her contracted palm into a graceful and ornate Rhetoric taught out of the rule of *Plato*, *Aristotle*, *Phalereus*, *Cicero*, *Hermogenes*, *Longinus*. To which Poetry would be made subsequent, or indeed rather precedent, as being less suttle and fine, but more simple, sensuous, and paffionate. I mean not here the profody of a verse, which they could not but have hit on before among the rudiments of Grammar; but that fublime Art which in Aristotle's Poetics, in Horace, and the Italian Commentaries of Castlevetro, Tasso, Mazzoni, and others, teaches what the Laws are of a true Epic Poem, what of a Dramatic, what of a Lyric, what Decorum is, which is the grand master-piece to observe. This would make them soon perceive what despicable Creatures our common Rimers and Play-writers be, and shew them what religious, what glorious and magnificent use might be made of Poetry both in divine and human things. From hence, and not till now, will be the right feafon of forming them to be able Writers and Compoters in every excellent matter, when they shall be thus fraught with an universal insight into things. Or whether they be to fpeak in Parlament or Council, honour and attention would be waiting on their lips. There would then also appear in Pulpits other visiges, other gestures, and stuff otherwise wrought than what we now sit under, oftimes to asgreat a trial of our patience as any other that they preach to us. These are the Studies wherin our noble and our gentle Youth ought to bestow their time in a disciplinary way from twelve to one and twenty; unless they rely more upon their ancestors dead, than upon themselves living. In which methodical course it is so supposed they must proceed by the steddy pace of Learning onward, as at convenient times for memory's fake to retire back into the middle ward, and fometimes into the rear of what they have been taught, until they have confirm'd and folidly united the whole body of their perfected knowledge, like the last embattelling of a Roman Legion. Now will be worth the seeing, what Exercifes and Recreations may best agree, and become these Studies.

Their Exercise.

The course of Study hitherto briefly describ'd, is what I can guess by reading likest to those antient and samous Schools of Pythagoras, Plato, Isocrates, Aristate and fuch others, out of which were bred such a number of renowned Philosophers, Orators, Historians, Poets and Princes all over Greece, Italy and Afia, besides the flourishing Studies of Cyrene and Alexandria. But herein it shall exceed them, and supply a defect as great as that which Plato noted in the Commonwealth of Sparta; wheras that City train'd up their Youth most for War, and these in their Academies and Lycaum, all for the Gown, this institution of breeding which I here delineate shall be equally good both for Peace and War. Therfore about an hour and a half ere they eat at Noon should be allow'd them for exercise, and due rest afterwards; but the time for this may be enlarg'd at pleafure, according as their rifing in the morning shall be early. The Exercise which I commend first, is the exact use of their Weapon, to guard, and to strike safely with edge or point; this will keep them healthy, nimble, strong, and well in breath, is also the likeliest means to make them grow large and tall, and to inspire them with a gallant and fearlefs Courage, which being temper'd with feafonable Lectures and Precepts to them of true Fortitude and Patience, will turn into a native and heroic Valour, and make them hate the cowardife of doing wrong. They must be also practis'd in all the Locks and Gripes of Wrestling, wherin Englishmen were wont to excel, as need may often be in fight to tug or grapple, and to close. And this perhaps will be enough, wherin to prove and heat their fingle strength. The interim of unfweating themselves regularly, and convenient rest before meat, may both with profit and delight be taken up in recreating and composing their travail'd spirits with the solemn and divine harmonies of Music heard or learn'd; either while the skilful Organist plies his grave and fancied descant in lofty Fugues, or the whole Symphony with artful and unimaginable touches adorn and grace the well-studied chords of some choice Composer; sometimes the Lute, or fost Organ stop waiting on elegant Voices either to religious, martial or civil Ditties, which, if wife Men and Prophets be not extreamly out, have a great power over Difpositions and Manners to smooth and make them Vol. 1. gentle T 2

gende from ruftic harfliness and diftemper'd passions. The like also would not be unexpedient after Meat to affift and cherish Nature in her first concoction, and fend their minds back to fludy in good tune and fatisfaction. Where having follow'd it close under vigilant eyes, till about two hours before supper, they are by a fudden alarm or watch-word to be call'd out to their military motions, under sky or covert, according to the feafon, as was the Roman wont, first on foot, then as their age permits, on horse-back, to all the Art of Cavalry; that having in fport, but with much exactness and daily muster, ferv'd out the rudiments of their Soldiership in all the skill of embattelling, marching, encamping, fortifying, befieging, and battering, with all the helps of antient and modern Stratagems, Taltics, and warlike Maxims, they may as it were out of a long War come forth renowned and perfect Commanders in the fervice of their Country. They would not then, if they were trusted with fair and hopeful Armies, fuffer them for want of just and wife discipline to fhed away from about them like fick Feathers, though they be never fo oft fupply'd: they would not fuffer their empty and unrecruitable Colonels of twenty men in a Company to quaff out, or convey into fecret hoards, the wages of a delufive lift, and a miferable remnant; yet in the mean while to be overmafter'd with a fcore or two of drunkards, the only foldiery left about them, or elfe to comply with all rapines and vio ences. No certainly, if they knew aught of that knowledge that belongs to good men or good Governours, they would not fuffer these things. But to return to our own Institute, besides these constant exercises at home, there is another opportunity of gaining experience to be won from pleasure itself abroad; in those vernal seasons of the year, when the air is calm and pleafant, it were an injury and fullenness against nature not to go out and see her riches, and partake in her rejoicing with Heaven and Earth. I should not therfore be a perswader to them of studying much then, after two or three years that they have well laid their grounds, but to ride out in companies with prudent and staid Guides to all the quarters of the Land; learning and observing all places of strength, all commodities of building and of foil, for Towns and Tillage, Harbours and Ports for Trade. Sometimes taking Sea as far as to our Navy, to learn there also what they can in the practical knowledge of Sailing and of Sea-fight. These ways would try all their peculiar gifts of Nature, and if there were any fecret excellence among them would fetch it out, and give it fair opportunities to advance itself by, which could not but mightily redound to the good of this Nation, and bring into fashion again those old admir'd Virtues and Excellencies with far more advantage now in this purity of Christian Knowledge. Nor shall we then need the Monsieurs of Paris to take our hopeful Youth into their flight and prodigal custodies, and fend them over back again transform'd into Mimics, Apes, and Kecshofe. But if they defire to see other Countries at three or four and twenty years of age, not to learn Principles, but to enlarge Experience, and make wife observation, they will by that time be such as shall deferve the regard and honour of all men where they pass, and the fociety and friendship of those in all places who are best and most eminent. And perhaps then other Nations will be glad to vifit us for their breeding, or elfe to imitate us in their own Country.

Now lastly for their Diet, there cannot be much to say, save only that it would be best in the same House; for much time else would be lost abroad, and many ill habits got: and that it should be plain, healthful, and moderate, I suppose is out of controversy. Thus, Mr. Hartlib, you have a general view in writing, as your desire was, of that which at several times I had discours'd with you concerning the best and noblest way of Education; not beginning, as some have done, from the Cradle, which yet might be worth many considerations, if brevity had not been my scope: many other circumstances also I could have mention'd, but this to such as have the worth in them to make trial, for light and direction may be enough. Only I believe that this is not a Bow for every man to shoot in, that counts himself a Teacher; but will require sinews almost equal to those which Homer gave Ulysses: yet I am withal perswaded that it may prove much more easy in the assay than it now seems at distance, and much more illustrious; howbeit, not more difficult than I imagine, and that imagination presents me with nothing but very happy, and very possible according to best wishes, if God have so decreed, and this

Age have fpirit and capacity enough to apprehend.

Arco-

Areopagitica:

A SPEECH for the Liberty of Unlicens'd PRINTING,

To the Parlament of $ENGLAN\mathcal{D}$.

Τελέυθερου δ' έκεῖνο, ει τις θέλει πόλει Χρης-όν τι βάλευμ' είς μέσον Φέρειν, έχων. Καὶ ταῦθ' ὁ χρήζων, λαμωρὸς ἔσθ', ὁ μη θέλων, Σιγα, τί τέτων ές τιν Ισαίτερου πόλει; Euripid. Hicetid.

This is true Liberty, when free-born Men, Having to advise the public, may speak free, Which he who can, and will, deserves high praise; Who neither can nor will, may hold his peace; What can be juster in a State than this? Euripid. Hicetid.

 $lack{\mathsf{HEY}}, ext{ who to States and Governours of the Commonwealth direct their}$ Speech, High Court of Parlament, or wanting fuch access in a private condition, write that which they foresee may advance the public good; I suppose them as at the beginning of no mean endeavour, not a little alter'd and mov'd inwardly in their minds: Some with doubt of what will be the fuccefs, others with fear of what will be the censure; some with hope, others with confidence of what they have to speak. And me perhaps each of these dispofitions, as the subject was wheron I enter'd, may have at other times variously affected; and likely might in these foremost expressions, now also disclose which of them fway'd most, but that the very attempt of this address thus made, and the thought of whom it had recourse to, hath got the power within me to a passfion, far more welcome than incidental to a Preface. Which though I stay not to confess ere any ask, I shall be blameless, if it be no other, than the joy and grutulation which it brings to all who wish and promote their Country's Liberty; wherof this whole Discourse propos'd will be a certain Testimony, if not a Trophy. For this is not the Liberty which we can hope, that no grievance ever thould arife in the Commonwealth, that let no man in this World expect; but when complaints are freely heard, deeply confider'd, and fpeedily reform'd, then is the utmost bound of civil Liberty attain'd, that wise men look for. which if I now manifest, by the very found of this which I shall utter, that we are already in good part arriv'd, and yet from fuch a fleep difadvantage of tyranny and superstition grounded into our principles, as was beyond the manhood of a Roman recovery, it will be attributed first, as is most due, to the strong assistance of God, our Deliverer; next, to your faithful guidance and undaunted Wisdom, Lords and Commons of *England*. Neither is it in God's esteem, the diminution of his glory, when honourable things are spoken of good men, and worthy Magistrates; which if I now first should begin to do, after so fair a progress of your audable deeds, and fuch a long obligement upon the whole Realm to your indefatigable virtues, I might be justly reckon'd among the tardiest, and the unwillingest of them that praife ye. Nevertheless there being three principal things, without which all praifing is but courtship and flattery, First, when that only is prais'd which is solidly worth praise; next, when greatest likelihoods are brought, that such things are truly and really in those persons, to whom they are ascrib'd; the other, when he who praifes, by shewing that such his actual perswasion is of whom he writes, can demonstrate that he flatters not: the former two of these I have heretofore endeavour'd, refcuing the employment from him who went about to impair your merits, with a trivial and malignant *Encomium*; the latter as belonging chiefly to mine own acquittal, that whom I fo extoll'd f did not flatter, hath been referv'd opportunely to this occasion. For he who freely magnifies what hath been nobly done, and fears not to declare as freely what might be done better, gives ye the best covenant of his fidelity; and that his loyalest affection and his hope waits on your proceedings. His highest praising is not flattery, and his plainest advice is a kind of praifing; for though I should affirm and hold by argument, that it would fare better with Truth, with Learning, and the Commonwealth, if one of your publish'd Orders which I should name, were call'd in, yet at the same time it could not but much redound to the luftre of your mild and equal Government, whenas private persons are hereby animated to think ye better pleas'd with public advice, than other Statists have been delighted heretofore with public flattery. And men will then fee what difference there is between the magnanimity of a triennial Parlament, and that jealous haughtiness of Prelates and cabin Counsellors that uturp'd of late, whenas they shall observe ye in the midst of your Victories and Successes more gently brooking written exceptions against a voted Order, than other Courts, which had produc'd nothing worth memory but the weak oftentation of wealth, would have endur'd the least fignify'd dislike at any sudden Proclamation. It s should thus far presume upon the meek demeanour of your civil and gentle greatness, Lords and Commons, as what your publish'd Order hath directly said, that to gainfay, I might defend myfelf with eafe, if any should accuse me of being new or infolent, did they but know how much better I find ye effect it to imitate the old and elegant humanity of Greece, than the barbaric pride of a Hunnish and Norwegian stateliness. And out of those ages, to whose polite wisdom and letters we owe that we are not yet Goths and Jutlanders, I could name him who from his private house wrote that discourse to the Parlament of Athens, that perswades them to change the form of Democraty which was then establish'd. Such honour was done in those days to men who profest the study of Wisdom and Eloquence, not only in their own Country, but in other Lands, that Cities and Signiories heard them gladly, and with great respect, if they had aught in public to admonish the State. Thus did Dion Pruseus, a Stranger, and a private Orator, counfel the Rhodiens against a former Edict: and I abound with other like examples, which to fet here would be supersluous. But if from the industry of a life wholly dedicated to studious labours, and those natural endowments haply not the worst for two and fifty degrees of northern latitude, fo much must be derogated, as to count me not equal to any of those who had this privilege, I would obtain to be thought not fo inferior, as yourselves are superior to the most of them who receiv'd their counfel: and how far you excel them, be affur'd, Lords and Commons, there can no greater testimony appear, than when your prudent spirit acknowledges and obeys the voice of reason, from what quarter soever it be heard speaking; and renders ye as willing to repeal any Act of your own fetting forth, as any let forth by your Predecessors.

If ye be thus refolv'd, as it were injury to think ye were not, I know not what fhould withhold me from prefenting ye with a fit instance wherin to shew both that love of truth which ye eminently profess, and that uprightness of your judgment which is not wont to be partial to yourfelves; by judging over again that Order which ye have ordain'd to regulate Printing: That no Book, Pamphlet, or Paper shall be henceforth printed, unless the same be first approved and licensed by such, or at least one of fuch, as shall be therto appointed. For that part which preserves justly every man's Copy to himfelf, or provides for the poor, I touch not; only wish they be not made pretences to abuse and persecute honest and painful Men, who offend not in either of these particulars. But that other clause of Licensing Books, which we thought had died with his brother quadragesimal and matrimonial when the Prelates expir'd, I shall now attend with such a Homily, as shall lay before ye, first the Inventors of it to be those whom ye will be loth to own; next, what is to be thought in general of reading, whatever fort the Books be; and that this Order avails nothing to the suppressing of scandalous, seditious, and libellous Books, which were mainly intended to be supprest. Last, that it will be primely to the difcouragement of all Learning, and the stop of Truth, not only by difexercifing and blunting our abilities, in what we know already, but by hindring and cropping the difcovery that might be yet further made, both in religious and civil

Wisdom.

I deny not, but that it is of greatest concernment in the Church and Commonwealth, to have a vigilant eye how Books demean themselves as well as Men; and therafter to confine, imprison, and do sharpest justice on them as malefactors: For Books are not absolutely dead things, but do contain a potency of life in them so be as active as that soul was whose progeny they are; nay, they do preserve as in a vial

the purest efficacy and extraction of that living intellect that bred them. I know they are as lively, and as vigoroufly productive, as those sabulous Dragons teeth; and being fown up and down, may chance to fpring up armed Men. And yet on the other hand, unless wariness be us'd, as good almost kill a Man as kill a good Book: who kills a Man kills a reafonable Creature, God's Image; but he who destroys a good Book, kills Reason itself, kills the Image of God, as it were in the eye. Many a Man lives a burden to the Earth; but a good Book is the precious life-blood of a master-spirit, imbalm'd and treasur'd up on purpose to a life beyond life. It is true, no age can restore a life, wherof perhaps there is no great lofs; and revolutions of ages do not oft recover the lofs of a rejected Truth, for the want of which whole Nations fare the worfe. We should be wary therfore what Persecution we raise against the living Labours of public Men, how we spill that season'd life of Man, preserv'd and stor'd up in Books; since we see a kind of Homicide may be thus committed, fometimes a Martyrdom; and it extend to the whole impression, a kind of massacre, wherof the execution ends not in the flaying of an elemental life, but strikes at that ethereal and lifth effeace, the breath of Reafon itself, slays an immortality rather than a life. But lest I should be condemn'd of introducing Licence, while I oppose Licensing, I refuse not the pains to be so much historical, as will serve to shew what hath been done by ancient and famous Commonwealths, against this disorder, till the very time that this project of Licenfing crept out of the Inquifition, was catche up by our

Prelates, and hath caught fome of our Prefbyters. In Alberts where Books and Wits were ever bufier than in any other part of Greece, I find but only two forts of Writings which the Magistrate car'd to take notice of; those either Blasphemous and Atheistical, or Libellous. Thus the Books of Protagoras were by the Judges of Areopagus, commanded to be burnt, and himfelf banish'd the Territory for a discourse, begun with his confessing not to know, substiter there were Gods, or whether not. And against Defaming, it was decreed that none should be traduc'd by name, as was the manner of Vetus Comedia, wherby we may guess how they centur'd Libelling: And this course was quick enough, as Ciccro writes, to quell both the desperate Wits of other Athelits, and the open way of Defaming, as the event flew'd. Of other Sects and Opinions, though tending to Voluptuousness, and the denying of divine Providence, they took no heed. Therfore we do not read that either *Epicurus*, or that Libertine School of *Cyrene*, or what the *Cynic* impudence utter'd, was ever question'd by the Laws. Neither is it recorded, that the Writings of those old Comedians were supprest, though the asting of them were forbid; and that Plato commended the reading of Aristophanes, the loofest of them all, to his Royal Scholar Dionysius, is commonly known, and may be excus'd, if holy Chrysoftom, as is reported, nightly studied so much the same Author, and had the Art to cleanse a scurrilous Vehemence, into the stile of a rousing Sermon. That other leading Cityof Greece, Lecedamen, confidering that Lycurgus their Law-giver was fo addicted to elegant Learning, as to have been the first that brought out of *Ionia* the featter'd Works of Homer, and fent the Poet Thales from Crote to prepare and moltify the Spartan furliness with his smooth Songs and Odes, the better to plant among them Law and Civility, it is to be wonder'd how mufeless and unbookish they were, minding nought but the feats of War. There needed no Licenfing of Books among them, for they diflik'd all but their own Laconic Apothegms, and took a flight occasion to chase Archilocus out of their City, perhaps for composing in a higher strain than their own foldierly Ballads and Roundels could reach to: Or if it were for his broad Verfes, they were not therin to cautious, but they were as diffolute in their promifeuous converfing; whence Euripides affirms in Andromache, that their Women were all unchafte. Thus much may give us light after what fort of Books were prohibited among the Greeks. The Romans also for many Ages train'd up only to a military roughness, resembling most the Lacedamonian guise, knew of Learning little but what their twelve Tables, and the Pontific College with their Augurs and Flamins taught them in Religion and Law, fo unacquainted with other Learning, that when Carneades and Critolaus, with the Stoic Diogenes coming Embafadors to Rome, took therby occasion to give the City a taste of their Philosophy, they were suspected for Seducers by no less a man than Cato the Cenfor, who mov'd it in the Senate to difmifs them speedily, and to banish all fuch Attic Bablers out of Italy. But Scipio and others of the nobleft Senators withstood him and his old Sabin austerity; honour'd and admir'd the Men; and the

Cenfor himfelfat last in his old age fell to the study of that wherof before he was so ferupulous. And yet at the fame time, Navius and Plautus, the first Latin Comedians, had fill'd the City with all the borrow'd Scenes of Menander and Philemon. Then began to be confider'd there also what was to be done to libellous Books and Authors; for Navius was quickly cast into Prison for his unbridled Pen, and releas'd by the Trilunes upon his Recantation: We read also that Libels were burnt, and the makers punished by Augustus. The like severity, no doubt, was us'd, if aught were impioufly written against their esteem'd Gods. Except in those two points, how the World went in Books, the Magistrate kept no reck'ning. And therfore Lucretius, without impeachment, verifies his Epicurifin to Memmius, and had the honour to be fet forth the fecond time by Cicero, fo great a Father of the Commonwealth; although himfelf disputes against that Opinion in his own Writings. Nor was the Satirical sharpness, or naked plainness of Luculius, or Catullus, or Flaceus, by any Order prohibited. And for matters of State, the story of Titus Livius, though it extoled that part which Pompey held, was not therfore supprest by Octavius Casar, of the other Faction. But that Naso was by him banish'd in his Old Age, for the wanton Poems of his Youth, was but a meer covert of State over some secret Cause: and besides, the Books were neither banish'd nor call'd in. From hence we shall meet with little else but Tyranny in the Roman Empire, that we may not marvel, if not fo often bad, as good Books were filenc'd. I shall therfore deem to have been large enough, in producing what among the Ancients was punishable to write, fave only which, all other Arguments were free to treat on.

By this time the Emperors were become Christians, whose discipline in this point I do not find to have been more severe than what was formerly in practice. The Books of those whom they took to be grand Heretics were examin'd, resuted, and condemn'd in the general Councils; and not till then were prohibited, or burnt by Authority of the Emperor. As for the Writings of Heathen Authors, unless they were plain invectives against Christianity, as those of Porphyrius and Proclus, they met with no interdict that can be cited, till about the Year 400, in a Carthaginian Council, wherin Bifhops themselves were forbid to read the Books of Gentiles, but Herefies they might read: while others long before them on the contrary scrupled more the Books of Heretics, than of Gentiles. And that the primitive Councils and Bishops were wont only to declare what Books were not commendable, paffing no further, but leaving it to each one's confcience to read or to lay by, till after the Year Soo, is observ'd already by Padre Paolo the great unmasker of the Trentine Council. After which time the Popes of Rome engrossing what they pleas'd of political rule into their own hands, extended their dominion over men's eyes, as they had before over their judgments, burning and prohibiting to be read what they fancied not; yet sparing in their censures, and the Books not many which they fo dealt with: till Martin the 5th, by his Bull not only prohibited, but was the first that excommunicated the reading of hererical Books; for about that time Wicklef and Husse growing terrible, were they who first drove the Papal Court to a stricter policy of prohibiting. Which course Leo the 10th, and his Succeffors follow'd, until the Council of Trent, and the Spanish Inquisition engendring together, brought forth, or perfected those Catalogues, and expurging Indexes that rake through the entrails of many an old good Author, with a violation worse than any could be offer'd to his Tomb. Nor did they flay in matters Heretical, but any subject that was not to their palate, they either condemn'd in a Prohibition, or had it ftrait into the new Purgatory of an Index. To fill up the measure of encroachment, their last invention was to ordain that no Book, Pamphlet or Paper, should be printed (as if St. Peter had bequeath'd them the Keys of the Press also, as well as of Paradise) unless it were approv'd and licens'd under the Hands of two or three gluttonous Friers. For example:

Let the Chancellor *Cini* be pleas'd to fee if in this prefent Work be contain'd aught that may withftand the Printing;

Vincent Rabbata, Vicar of Florence.

I have feen this prefent Work, and find nothing athwart the Catholic Faith and Good Manners: In witness wherof I have given, &c.

Nicolo Çini, Chancellor of Florence.

Attending the precedent Relation, it is allowed that this precede Work of Dawanzati may be Printed,

Vincent Rabatta, &c.

It may be Printed, July 15.

Friar Simon Mompei & Amelia Chancellor of the holy Office in Florence.

Sure they have a conceit, if he of the bottomless pit had not long since broke prison, that this quadruple Exorcism would bar him down. I fear their next design will be to get into their custody, the Licensing of that which they say *Quoveni** Claudius intended, but went not through with. Vouchsase to see another of their am darct star forms the Roman stamp:

* Quo veniam darct flatum crepitumque ventris in convivio emittendi Suction, in Classific.

Imprimatur, If it feem good to the Reverend Master of the holy Palace,

Beliashro Vicegerent.

Imprimatur,

Friar Nicholo Rodolphi Mafter of the holy Palace.

Sometimes five Imprimaturs are feen together dialogue-wife in the Piatza of one Title-page, complementing and ducking each to other with their thaven Reverences, whether the Author, who stands by in perplexity at the foot of his Epistle, shall to the Press or to the Spunge. These are the pretty Responsories, these are the dear Antiphonies that so bewitch'd of late our Prelates, and their Chaplains with the goodly Echo they made; and beforted us to the gay imitation of a lordly *Imprimatur*, one from *Lambeth-house*, another from the West-end of *Paul's*; fo apithly Romanizing, that the word of Command still was fet down in Latin; as if the learned Grammatical Penthat wrote it; would cast no Ink without Latin: or perhaps, as they thought, because no vulgar tongue was worthy to express the pure conceit of an Imprimatur; but rather, as I hope, for that our English, the language of Men ever famous, and foremost in the atchievements of Liberty, will not easily find servile Letters enow to spell such a dictatory presumption English'd. And thus ye have the Inventors, and the Original of Book-licensing ript up, and drawn as lineally as any Pedigree. We have it not, that can be heard of, from any ancient State, or Polity, or Church, nor by any Statute left us by our Ancestors elder or later; nor from the modern Custom of any reform'd City or Church abroad; but from the most Antichristian Counfel, and the most tyrannous Inquisition that ever inquir'd. Till then Books were ever as freely admitted into the World as any other birth; the iffue of the Brain was no more stifled than the issue of the Womb: No envious Juno sate cross-legg'd over the nativity of any Man's intellectual offspring; but if it be prov'd a Monster, who denies, but that it was justly burnt, or funk into the Sea. But that a Book in worse condition than a peccant Soul, should be to stand before a Jury ere it be born to the World, and undergo yet in darkness the judgment of Radamanth and his Collegues, ere it can pass the Ferry backward into light, was never heard before, till that mysterious Iniquity, provok'd and troubled at the first entrance of Reformation, sought out new Limbo's and new Hells wherin they might include our Books also within the number of their damned. And this was the rare morfel to officiously fnatch'd up, and so ill-favour'dly imitated by our inquisiturient Bishops, and the attendant Minorities their Chaplains. That ye like not now these most certain Authors of this Licenfing Order, and that all finister intention was far distant from your thoughts, when ye were importun'd the passing it, all Men who know the integrity of your actions, and how ye honour Truth, will clear ye readily.

But fome will fay, What though the Inventors were bad, the thing for all that may be good? It may so; yet if that thing be no such deep invention, but obvious and easy for any Man to light on, and yet best and wisest Commonwealths through all ages and occasions have forborn touse it, and salfest Seducers and Oppressors of Men were the first who took it up, and to no other purpose but to obstruct and hinder the first approach of Reformation; I am of those who believe, it will be a harder Alchymy than Lullius ever knew, to sublimate any good use out of such an Invention. Yet this only is what I request to gain from this reason, that it may be held a dangerous and suspicious fruit, as certainly it deserves, for the tree that bore it, until I can dissect one by one the properties it has. But I have first to finish, as was propounded, what is to be thought in general of reading Books, whatever fort they be, and whether be more the benefit or the harm

that thence proceeds?

Not to infift upon the examples of Moles, Daniel, and Paul, who were skilful in all the Learning of the Egyptians, Chaldeans, and Greeks, which could not probably be without reading their Books of all forts, in Paul especially, who thought it no defilement to insert into holy Scripture the sentences of three Greek Poets, and one of them a Tragedian; the Question was notwithstanding, sometimes controverted among the Primitive Doctors, but with great odds on that fide which affirm'd it both lawful and profitable, as was then evidently perceiv'd, when Julian the Apostate, and suttlest enemy to our Faith, made a decree, forbidding Chriflians the fludy of heathen learning: for, faid he, they wound us with our own weapons, and with our own Arts and Sciences they overcome us. And indeed the Christians were put so to their shifts by this crafty means, and so much in danger to decline into all ignorance, that the two Apollinarii were fain, as a Man may fay, to coin all the feven liberal Sciences out of the Bible, reducing it into divers forms of Orations, Poems, Dialogues, even to the calculating of a new Christian Grammar. But, faith the Historian Socrates, the providence of God provided better than the industry of Apollinarius and his Son, by taking away that illiterate Law with the Life of him who devis'd it. So great an injury they then held it to be depriv'd of Hellenic learning; and thought it a perfecution more undermining, and fecretly decaying the Church, than the open cruelty of Decius or Dioclesian. And perhaps it was the same politic drift that the Devil whipt St. Jerome in a lenten dream, for reading Cicero; or else it was a phantasm, bred by the sever which had then feized him. For had an Angel bin his discipliner, unless it were for dwelling too much upon Ciceronianisms, and had chastiz'd the reading, not the vanity, it had bin plainly partial; first to correct him for grave Cicero, and not for scurril Plautus, whom he confesses to have bin reading not long before; next to correct him only, and let so many more ancient Fathers wax old in those pleasant and slorid studies without the lash of such a tutoring apparition; infomuch that Basil teaches how some good use may be made of Margites a sportful Poem, not now extant, writ by Homer; and why not then of Morgante an Italian Romance much to the same purpose? But if it be agreed, we shall be try'd by Visions, there is a Vision recorded by Eusebius, far ancienter than this Tale of Jerom, to the Nun Eustochium, and besides, has nothing of a sever in it. Dionysius Alexandrinus was, about the year 240, a person of great name in the Church, for Piety and Learning, who had wont to avail himself much against Heretics, by being conversant in their Books; until a certain Presbyter laid it scrupulously to his conscience, how he durst venture himself among those defiling volumes. The worthy Man, loth to give offence, sell into a new debate with himself, what was to be thought; when suddenly a Vision fent from God, it is his own Epistle that so avers it, confirm'd him in these words: Read any Books whatever come to thy hands, for thou art sufficient both to judge aright, and to examine each matter." To this Revelation he affented the fooner, as he confesses, because it was answerable to that of the Apostle to the Thessalonians, Prove all things, hold fast that which is good. And he might have added another remarkable faying of the fame Author; To the pure, all things are pure, not only meats and drinks, but all kind of knowledge, whether of good or evil; the Knowledge cannot defile, nor confequently the Books, if the Will and Conscience be not defil'd. For Books are as Meats and Viands are; some of good, fome of evil fubflance; and yet God in that unapocryphal Vision, faid without exception, Rise Peter, kill and eat; leaving the choice to each Man's discretion. Wholefome meats to a vitiated ftomach, differ little or nothing from unwholefome; and best Books to a naughty mind are not unappliable to occasions of evil. Bad Meats will scarce breed good nourishment in the healthiest concoction; but herin the difference is of bad Books, that they to a different and judicious Reader ferve in many respects to discover, to consute, to sorewarn, and to illustrate. what better witness can ye expect I should produce, than one of your own now fitting in Parlament, the chief of learned Men reputed in this Land, Mr. Selden, whose Volume of natural and national Laws proves, not only by great authorities brought together, but by exquisite reasons and theorems almost mathematically demonstrative, that all opinions, yea errors, known, read and collated, are of main fervice and affiftance toward the speedy attainment of what is truest. I conceive therfore, that when God did enlarge the universal diet of man's body, faving ever the rules of temperance, he then also, as before, left arbitrary the dieting and repasting of our minds; as wherin every mature Man might have to exercise his own leading capacity. How great a vertue is Temperance, how much of moment thro' the whole life of Man? yet God commits the managing so great a trust without parti-

lar Law or Prescription, wholly to the demeanor of every grown Man. And therfore when he himself tabled the Jews from Heaven, that Omer which was every Man's daily portion of Manna, is computed to have bin more than might have well fuffic'd the heartiest seeder thrice as many meals. For those actions which enter into a Man, rather than iffue out of him, and therfore defile not, God uses not to captivate under a perpetual childhood of prescription, but trusts him with the gift of Reason to be his own chuser; there were but little work left for Preaching, if Law and Compulsion should grow so fast upon those things which heretofore were govern'd only by exhortation. Salomon informs us, that much reading is a weariness to the flesh; but neither he, nor other inspir'd author tells us that such, or fuch reading is unlawful: yet certainly had God thought good to limit us herein, it had bin much more expedient to have told us what was unlawful, than what was wearisome. As for the burning of those Ephesian Books by St. Paul's Converts, 'tis reply'd, the Books were magic, the Swriac fo renders them. private act, a voluntary act, and leaves us to a voluntary imitation: the Men in remorfe burnt those Books which were their own; the Magistrate by this example is not appointed: these Men practis'd the Books, another might perhaps have read them in some fort usefully. Good and evil we know in the field of this World grow up together almost inseparably: and the knowledge of good is so involv'd and interwoven with the knowledge of evil, and in fo many cunning refemblances hardly to be difcern'd, that those confused seeds which were impos'd on Psyche as an incessant labour to cull out, and fort afunder, were not more intermix'd. It was from out the rind of one apple tafted, that the knowledge of good and evil, as two twins cleaving together, leap'd forth into the World. And perhaps this is that doom which Adam fell into of knowing good and evil, that is to fay, of knowing good by evil. As therfore the state of Man now is; what wisdom can there be to chuse, what continence to forbear without the knowledge of evil? He that can apprehend and confider vice with all her baits and feeming pleafures, and yet abstain, and yet distinguish, and yet prefer that which is truly better, he is the true way-faring Christian. I cannot praise a fugitive and cloister'd vertue, unexercis'd and unbreath'd, that never fallies out and fees her adverfary, but flinks out of the race, where that immortal Garland is to be run for, not without dust and heat. Affuredly we bring not innocence into the World, we bring impurity much rather: that which purifies us is trial, and trial is by what is contrary. That vertue therfore which is but a youngling in the contemplation of evil, and knows not the utmost that vice promises to her followers, and rejects it, is but a blank vertue, not a pure; her whiteness is but an excremental whiteness: which was the reason why our sage and serious Poet Spenser, whom I dare be known to think a better teacher than Scotus or Aquinas, describing true temperance under the person of Guion, brings him in with his palmer through the cave or Mammon, and the bower of earthly blifs, that he might fee and know, and yet abiliain. Since therfore the knowledge and furvey of Vice is in this World to necessary to the conflituting of human Vertue, and the scanning of error to the confirmation of truth, how can we more fafely, and with lefs danger fcout into the regions of fin and falsity, than by reading all manner of Tractates, and hearing all manner of reason? And this is the benefit which may be had of Books promifeuously read. But of the harm that may refult hence, three kinds are usually reckon'd. First, is seared the infection that may fpread; but then all human Learning and Controverfy in religious points, must remove out of the World, yea, the Bible tile f; for that ofttimes relates blasphemy not nicely, it describes the carnal sense of wicked Men not unelegantly, it brings in holiest Men passionately murmuring against Providence through all the arguments of Epicurus; in other great disputes it auswers dubiously and darkly to the common reader: And ask a Talmucuk what alls the modefty of his marginal Keri, that Moses and all the Prophets cannot perfunde him to pronounce the textual Chetiv. For these causes we all know, the Bible itself pur by the Papift into the first rank of prohibited Books. The ancientest Fathers must be next remov'd, as *Clement* of *Alexandria*, and that *Eufebian* Book of Evangelic Preparation, transmitting our ears through a hoard of heathenish Obscenitics to receive the Gospel. Who finds not that Irenens, Epiphanius, Jerome, and others discover more heresies than they well consute, and that oft for heresy which is the truer opinion? Nor boots it to say for these, and all the heathen Writers of greatest insection, if it must be thought so, with whom is bound up the life of human learning, that they writ in an unknown tongue so long as we are sure those languages are known or well to the known tongue, fo long as we are fure those languages are known as well to the worst of Men, who are both most able, and most diligent to instil the poison they U 2 Vol. I.

fuck, first into the Courts of Princes, acquainting them with the choicest delights, and criticisms of sin. As perhaps did that Petronius, whom Nero call'd his Arbiter, the Master of his Revels; and that notorious ribald of Arezzo, dreaded, and yet dear to the Italian Courtiers. I name not him for posterity's sake, whom Ilenry the 8th nam'd in merriment his Vicar of Hell. By which compendious way all the contagion that foreign Books can infuse, will find a passage to the People far easier and shorter than an *Indian* voyage, tho' it could be fail'd either by the North of Cataio Eastward, or of Canada Westward, while our Spanish licensing gags the English Press never so severely. But on the other side, that infection which is from Books of controverfy in Religion, is more doubtful and dangerous to the learned, than to the ignorant; and yet those Books must be permitted untouch'd by the Licenfer. It will be hard to instance where any ignorant Man hath bin ever seduc'd by any Papistical Book in English, unlessit were commended and expounded to him by some of that Clergy: and indeed all such tractates, whether false or true, are as the Prophecy of Isaiah was to the Eunuch, not to be understood without a guide. But of our Priests and Doctors, how many have bin corrupted by studying the comments of Jesuits and Sorbonists, and how fast they could transfuse that corruption into the People, our experience is both late and fad. It is not forgot, fince the acute and distinct Arminius was perverted merely by the perusing of a nameless discourse written at Delst, which at first he took in hand to consute. Seeing therfore that those Books, and those in great abundance which are likeliest to taint both life and doctrine, cannot be supprest without the fall of Learning, and of all ability in disputation, and that these Books of either fort are most and soonest catching to the learned, from whom to the common People whatever is heretical or diffolute, may quickly be convey'd, and that evil manners are as perfectly learnt without Books a thousand other ways which cannot be stopt, and evil doctrine not with Books can propagate, except a teacher guide, which he might also do without writing, and fo beyond prohibiting; I am not able to unfold, how this cautelous enterprife of Licenfing can be exempted from the number of vain and impossible attempts. And he who were pleafantly dispos'd, could not well avoid to liken it to the exploit of that gallant Man who thought to pound up the crows by shutting his Park-gate. Besides another inconvenience, if learned Men be the first receivers out of Books, and dispreaders both of vice and error, how shall the Licensers themfelves be confided in, unless we can confer upon them, or they assume to themselves above all others in the Land, the grace of infallibility, and uncorruptedness? And again, if it be true, that a wife Man, like a good refiner, can gather Gold out of the droffiest volume, and that a fool will be a fool with the best Book, yea, or without Book; there is no reason that we should deprive a wise Man of any advantage to his wisdom, while we seek to restrain from a fool, that which being reftrain'd will be no hindrance to his folly. For if there should be so much exactnefs always us'd to keep that from him which is unfit for his reading, we should in the judgment of Aristotle not only, but of Solomon, and of our Saviour, not vouchfafe him good precepts, and by confequence not willingly admit him to good Books; as being certain that a wife Man will make better use of an idle Pamphlet, than a Fool will do of facred Scripture.

'Tis next alledg'd, we must not expose ourselves to temptations without necessity, and next to that, not employ our time in vain things. To both these objections one answer will serve, out of the grounds already laid, that to all Men such Books are not temptations, nor vanities; but useful drugs and materials wher with to temper and compose effective and strong medicines, which Man's life cannot want. The rest, as Children and childish Men, who have not the art to qualify and prepare thefe working Minerals, well may be exhorted to forbear, but hinder'd forcibly they cannot be, by all the licenfing that Sainted Inquifition could ever yet contrive; which is what I promis'd to deliver next: That this Order of Licenfing conduces nothing to the end for which it was fram'd; and hath almost prevented me by being clear already while thus much hath bin explaining. See the ingenuity of Truth, who when she gets a free and willing hand, opens herself faster than the pace of method and discourse can overtake her. It was the task which I began with, to shew that no Nation, or well-instituted State, if they valued Books at all, did ever use this way of licensing; and it might be answered, that this is a piece of prudence lately discover'd. To which I return, that as it was a thing flight and obvious to think on, so if it had bin difficult to find out, there wanted not among them long fince, who fuggefted fuch a courfe; which they not following, leave us a pattern of their judgment, that it was not the not knowing, but the not approving, which was the cause of their not using it. Piato, a Man of high

autho

authority indeed, but least of all for his Commonwealth, in the Book of his Laws, which no City ever yet receiv'd, fed his fancy with making many Edicts to his airy Burgomasters, which they who otherwise admire him, wish had bin rather buried and excus'd in the genial cups of an Academic night-fitting. By which Laws he feems to tolerate no kind of Learning, but by unalterable Decree, confifting most of practical Traditions, to the attainment wherof a Library of finaller bulk than his own Dialogues would be abundant. And there also enacts, that no Poet fhould fo much as read to any private Man what he had written, until the Judges and Law-keepers had feen it, and allow'd it: But that Plato meant this Law peculiarly to that Commonwealth which he had imagin'd, and to no other, is evident. Why was he not else a Law-giver to himself, but a Transgressor, and to be expel'd by his own Magistrates, both for the wanton Epigrams and Dialogues which he made, and his perpetual reading of Sophron, Mimus, and Aristophanes, Books of groffest infamy, and also for commending the latter of them, though he were the malicious Libeller of his chief friends, to be read by the Tyrant Dionyfius, who had little need of fuch trash to spend his time on? But that he knew this licensing of Poems had reference and dependance to many other proviso's there fet down in his fancied Republic, which in this World could have no place: and so neither he himself, nor any Magistrate or City ever imitated that course, which taken apart from those other collateral Injunctions, must needs be vain and fruitless. For if they fell upon one kind of strictness unless their care were equal to regulate all other things of like aptness to corrupt the mind, that single endeavour they knew would be but a fond labour; to shut and fortify one Gate against Corruption, and be necessitated to leave others round about wide open. If we think to regulate Printing, therby to rectify Manners, we must regulate all Recreations and Patlimes, all that is delightful to Man. No Music must be heard, no Song be set or sung, but what is grave and Doric. There must be licensing Dancers, that no Gesture, Motion, or Deportment be taught our Youth, but what by their allowance shall be thought honest; for such Plato was provided of: It will ask more than the work of twenty Licenfers to examine all the Lutes, the Violins, and the Ghittars in every house; they must not be suffered to prattle as they do, but must be licens'd what they may fay. And who shall silence all the Airs and Madrigals that whisper softness in Chambers? The Windows also, and the Balconies must be thought on; there are shrewd Books, with dangerous Frontispieces, set to sale; who shall prohibit them, shall twenty Licenser? The Villages also must have their visitors to enquire what Lectures the Bagpipe, and the Rebbec reads, even to the Ballatry and the Gammuth of every municipal Fidler, for these are the Country tryman's Arcadia's, and his Monte Mayors. Next, what more National Corruption, for which England hears ill abroad, than houshold gluttony; who shall be the rectors of our daily rioting? and what shall be done to inhibit the multitudes that frequent those houses where drunkenness is fold and harbour'd? Our garments also should be referr'd to the licensing of some more sober work-masters, to see them cut into a lefs wanton garb. Who shall regulate all the mix'd conversation of our youth, male and female together, as is the fashion of this Country? Who shall still appoint what shall be discoursed, what presum'd, and no surther? Lastly, who shall forbid and separate all idle resort, all evil company? These things will be, and must be; but how they shall be least hurtful, how least enticing, herein confifts the grave and governing Wisdom of a State. To sequester out of the World into Atlantic and Eutopian Polities, which never can be drawn into use, will not mend our condition; but to ordain wifely as in this World of evil, in the midst wherof God hath plac'd us unavoidably. Nor is it Plate's licensing of Books will do this, which necessarily pulls along with it fo many other kinds of licensing, as will make us all both ridiculous and weary, and yet frustrate; but those unwritten, or at least unconstraining Laws of virtuous education, religious and civil nurture, which Plate there mentions, as the bonds and ligaments of the Commonwealth, the pillars and the fuftainers of every written Statute; these they be which will bear chief fway in such matters as these, when all Licensing will be easily eladed. Impunity and remissings for certain are the bane of a Commonwealth; but here the great art lies to difcern in what the Law is to bid reftraint and punishment, and in what things perfuafion only is to work. If every action which is good or evil in Man at ripe years, were to be under pittance, prescription, and compulsion, what were Vertue but a name, what praise could be then due to well-doing, what grammercy to be lober, just or continent? Many there be that complain or divine Providence for fuffering Adam to transgress. Foolish tongues! when God gave him reason,

he gave him freedom to chuse, for reason is but chusing; he had bin else a mere artificial Adam, such an Adam as he is in the motions. We ourselves esteem not of that obedience, or love, or gift, which is of force: God therfore left him free, fer before him a provoking object, ever almost in his eyes; herein consisted his merit, herein the right of his reward, the praise of his abstinence. Wherfore did he create passions within us, pleasures round about us, but that these rightly temper'd are the very ingredients of vertue? They are not skilful considerers of human things, who imagine to remove fin by removing the matter of fin; for, besides that it is a huge heap increasing under the very act of diminishing, though some part of it may for a time be withdrawn from some Persons, it cannot from all, in such a univerfal thing as Books are; and when this is done, yet the fin remains entire. Though ye take from a covetous Man all his treasure, he has yet one jewel left, ye cannot bereave him of his Covetousness. Banish all objects of lust, that up all youth into the feverest discipline that can be exercis'd in any hermitage, ye cannot make them chafte, that came not thither so: such great care and wisdom is required to the right managing of this point. Suppose we could expel fin by this means; look how much we thus expel of fin, so much we expel of vertue: for the matter of them both is the same; remove that, and ye remove them both alike. This justifies the high Providence of God, who though he commands us Temperance, Justice, Continence, yet pours out before us even to a profuseness all defirable things, and gives us minds that can wander beyond all limit and fatiety. Why should we then affect a rigor contrary to the manner of God and of Nature, by abridging or feanting those means, which Books, freely permitted, are, both to the trial of Vertue, and the exercise of Truth? It would be better done to learn that the Law must needs be frivolous which goes to restrain things, uncertainly and yet equally working to good, and to evil. And were I the chufer, a dram of well-doing should be prefer'd before many times as much the forcible hindrance of evil-doing. For God fure efteems the growth and compleating of one vertuous Person, more than the restraint of ten vitious. And albeir, whatever thing we hear or fee, fitting, walking, travelling, or converfing, may be fitly call'd our Book, and is of the same effect that Writings are; yet grant the thing to be prohibited, were only Books, it appears that this Order hitherto is far infufficient to the end which it intends. Do we not fee, not once or oftner, but weekly, that continued Court-libel against the Parlament and City, printed, as the wet sheets can witness, and difpers'd among us, for all that Licenfing can do? Yet this is the prime fervice a Man would think, wherin this Order should give proof of itself. If it were executed, you'll fay. But certain, if execution be remifs or blind-fold now, and in this particular, what will it be hereafter, and in other Books? If then the Order shall not be vain and frustrate, behold a new labour, Lords and Commons, ye must repeal and proferibe all feandalous and unlicens'd Books already printed and divulg'd; after ye have drawn them up into a Lift, that all may know which are condemn'd, and which not; and ordain that no foreign Books be deliver'd out of custody, till they have bin read over. This office will require the whole time of not a few Overfeers, and those no vulgar Men. There be also Beoks which are partly useful and excellent, partly culpable and pernicious; this work will ask as many more Officials, to make expurgations and expunctions, that the Commonwealth of Learning be not damnify'd. In fine, when the multitude of Books encrease upon their hands, ye must be fain to catalogue all those Printers who are found frequently offending, and forbid the Importation of their whole suspected Typography. In a word, that this your Order may be exact, and not deficient, ye must reform it perfectly according to the model of Trent and Secil, which I know Yet though ye should condescend to this, which God forbid, the ye abhor to do. Order still would be but fruitless and defective to that end wherto ye meant it. If to prevent Sects and Schisms, who is so unread or so uncatechis'd in story, that hath not heard of many Sects refuling Books as a hindrance, and preferring their doctrine unmix'd for many Ages, only by unwritten Traditions? The Christian Faith, for that was once a Schifm, is not unknown to have spread all over Afia, ere any Gospelor Epistle was seen in writing. If the amendment of manners be aim'd at, look into Italy and Spain, whether those places be one scruple the better, the honester, the wifer, the chaster, since all the inquisitional rigor that hath bin executed upon Books.

Another reason, wherby to make it plain that this order will miss the end it seeks, consider by the quality which ought to be in every Licenser. It cannot be deny'd but that he who is made judge to sit upon the birth, or death of Books, whether they may

be wafted into this world, or not, had need to be a Man above the common meafure, both studious, learned, and judicious; there may be else no mean mistakes in the censure of what is passable or not; which is also no mean injury. If he be of fuch worth as behoves him, there cannot be a more tedious and unpleasing Journey-work, a greater loss of time leviedupon his head, than to be made the perpetual reader of unchosen Books and Pamphlets, oftimes huge Volumes. There is no Book that is acceptable, unless at certain seasons; but to be enjoin'd the reading of that at all times, and in a hand scarce legible, wherof three pages would not down at any time in the fairest Print, is an imposition which I cannot believe how he that values time, and his own studies, or is but of a sensible nostril, should be able to endure. In this one thing I crave leave of the prefent Licenters to be pardon'd for fo thinking: who doubtless took this office up, looking on it thro' their obedience to the Parlament, whose command perhaps made all things seem eafy and unlaborious to them; but that this short trial hath wearied them out al ready, their own expressions and excuses to them who make so many journeys to folicit their licence, are testimony enough. Seeing therfore those who now possess the employment, by all evident figns wish themselves well rid of it, and that no Man of worth, none that is not a plain unthrift of his own hours, is ever likely to fucceed them, except he mean to put himself to the salary of a Press-Corrector, we may eafily foresee what kind of Licensers we are to expect hereafter, either ignorant, imperious, and remifs, or bafely pecuniary. This is what I had to fliew, wherin this order cannot conduce to that end, wherof it bears the intention.

I laftly proceed from the no good it can do, to the manifeft hurt it causes, in being first the greatest discouragement and affront that can be offer'd to Learning, and to learned Men. It was the complaint and lamentation of Prelates, upon every least breath of a motion to remove Pluralities, and distribute more equally Church-Revenues, that then all Learning would be for ever dash'd and discou. rag'd. But as for that opinion, I never found cause to think that the tenth part of learning stood or fell with the Clergy: nor could I ever but hold it for a fordid and unworthy speech of any Churchman, who had a competency left him. If therfore ye be loth to dishearten utterly and discontent, not the mercenary crew of false pretenders to learning, but the free and ingenious fort of such as evidently were born to study and love Learning for itself, not for lucre, or any other end, but the service of God and of Truth, and perhaps that lasting fame and perpetuity of praise which God and good Men have consented shall be the reward of those whose publish'd Labours advance the good of Mankind; then know, that so far to diffrust the judgment and the honesty of one who hath but a common repute in Learning, and never yet offended, as not to count him fit to print his mind without a Tutor and Examiner, left he should drop a schissin, or something of corruption, is the greatest displeasure and indignity to a free and knowing Spirit that can be put upon him. What advantage is it to be a Man, over it is to be a Boy at School, if we have only escap'd the Ferular, to come under the Fescue of an Imprimatur? If ferious and elaborate Writings, as if they were no more than the theme of a Grammar-lad under his Pedagogue, must not be utter'd without the cursory eyes of a temporizing and extemporizing Licenser? He who is not trusted with his own actions, his drift not being known to be evil, and standing to the hazard of Law and Penalty, has no great argument to think himfelf reputed in the Commonwealth wherin he was born, for other than a fool or a foreigner. When a Man writes to the world, he fummons up all his reason and deliberation to affist him; he fearches, meditates, is industrious, and likely consults and confers with his judicious friends; after all which done, he takes himfelf to be inform'd in what he writes, as well as any that writ before him; if in this the most consummate act of his fidelity and ripeness, no years, no industry, no former proof of his abilities can bring him to that state of maturity, as not to be still mistrusted and suspected, unless he carry all his considerate diligence, all his midnight watchings, and expence of Palladian oil, to the hasty view of an unleifur'd Licenser, perhaps much his younger, perhaps far his inferior in judgment, perhaps one who never knew the labour of Book-writing; and if he be not repuls'd, or flighted, must appear in print like a Puny with his Guardian, and his Cenfor's hand on the back of his title to be his bail and furety, that he is no Idiot, or Seducer; it cannot be but a dishonour and derogation to the Author, to the Book, to the privilege and dignity of Learning. And what if the Author shall be one so copious of fancy, as to have many things well worth the adding, come into his mind after licenfing, while the Book is yet under the Press, which not feldom happens to the best and diligentest writers; and that perhaps a dozen times in one Book: The Printer dares

not go beyond his licens'd copy; fo often then must the Author trudge to his leave giver, that those his new insertions may be view'd; and many a jaunt will be made, ere that Licenser, for it must be the same Man, can either be found, or found at leifure; mean while either the Preis must stand still, which is no small damage, or the author lose his accuratest thoughts, and send the Book forth worse than he had made it, which to a diligent writer is the greatest melancholy and vexation that can befal. And how can a Man teach with Authority, which is the life of teaching; how can he be a Doctor in his Book as he ought to be, or elfe had better be filent, whenas all he teaches, all he delivers, is but under the tuition, under the correction of his patriarchal Licenfer, to blot or alter what precifely accords not with the hide-bound humour which he calls his judgment? When every acute Reader upon the first fight of a pedantic Licence, will be ready with there like words to ding the Book a coit's diffance from him, I hate a pupil Teacher, I endure not an inftructor that comes to me under the wardship of an overfeeing fift. I knownothing of the Licenfer, but that I have his own hand here for his arrogance; who shall warrant me his judgment? The State, Sir, replies the Stationer; but has a quick return, the State shall be my Governors, but not my Critics; they may be mistaken in the choice of a Licenser, as easily as this Licenfer may be miftaken in an author. This is some common stuff; and he might add from Sir Francis Bacon, that fuch authoriz'd Books are but the language of the times. For though a Licenser should happen to be judicious more than ordinary, which will be a great jeopardy of the next fuccession, yet his very office, and his commission enjoins him to let pass nothing but what is vulgarly receiv'd already. Nay, which is more lamentable, if the work of any deceased author, though never fo famous in his life-time, and even to this day, comes to their hands for licence to be printed, or reprinted, if there be found in his Book, one sentence of a ventrous edge, uttered in the height of zeal, and who knows whether it might not be the dictate of a divine Spirit, yet not fuiting with every low decrepit humour of their own, though it were Know himfelf, the Reformer of a Kingdom that spake it, they will not pardon him their dash: the sense of that great Man shall to all posterity be lost, for the fearfulness, or the presumptuous rathness of a perfunctory Licenser. And to what an Author this violence hath bin lately done, and in what Book of greatest consequence to be faithfully publish'd, I could now instance, but shall forbear till a more convenient season. Yet if these things be not referted feriously and timely by them who have the remedy in their power, but that fuch iron-moulds as these shall have authority to gnaw out the choicest periods of exquisitest Books, and to commit such a treacherous fraud against the orphan remainders of worthiest Men after death, the more sorrow will belong to that hapless race of Men, whose misfortune it is to have understanding. Henceforth let no Man careto learn, or care to be more than worldly wife; for certainly in higher matters to be ignorant and flothful, to be a common stedfast dunce, will be the only pleafant life, and only in request.

And as it is a particular difefteem of every knowing person alive, and most injurious to the written labours and monuments of the dead, fo to me it feems an undervaluing and vilifying of the whole Nation. I cannot fet so light by all the invention, the art, the wit, the grave and folid judgment which is in England, as that it can be comprehended in any twenty capacities how good foever, much less that it should not pass except their Superintendence be over it, except it be sisted and Arain'd with their strainers, that it should be uncurrent without their manual stamp. Truth and Understanding are not such wares as to be monopoliz'd and traded in by tickets and flatutes, and flandards. We must not think to make a staple commodity of all the knowledge in the Land, to mark and license it like our Broad-cloth, and our Wool-packs. What is it but a fervitude like that impos'd by the Philistines, not to be allow'd the sharpening of our own taxes and conlters, but we must repair from all quarters to twenty licensing forges? Had any one written and divulged erroneous things and feandalous to honeft life, mifufing and for leiting the esteem had of his reason among Men, if after conviction this only cenfure were adjudg'd him, thathe should never henceforth write, but what were first examin'd by an appointed Officer, whose hand should be annex'd to pass his credit for him, that now he might be fafely read, it could not be apprehended lefs than a difgraceful punishment. Whence to include the whole Nation, and those that never yet thus offended, under fuch a diffident and fulpectful prohibition, may plainly be underflood what a difparagement it is, So much the more whenas Debtors and Delinquents may walk abroad without a Keeper, but unoffenfive Books must not stir forth without a visible Jay'or in their title. Nor is it to the common People less than a Reproach; for if we be so jealous over them, as that we dare not trust them with an English pamphlet, what do we but censure them for a giddy, vitious, and ungrounded people; in such a sick and weak estate of faith and discretion, as to be able to take nothing down but through the pipe of a Licenser? That this is care or love of them, we cannot pretend, whenas in those Popish places where the Luity are most hated and despis'd, the same strictness is over them. Wisdom we cannot call it, because it stops but one breach of licence, nor that neither: whenas those corruptions which it seeks to prevent, break in faster at other doors which cannot be shut.

And in conclusion it reflects to the diffrepute of our Ministers also, of whose labours we should hope better, and of the proficiency which their flock reaps by them, than that after all this light of the Gospel which is, and is to be, and all this continual Preaching, they should be still frequented with such an unprincipled, unedifyed, and laic rabble, as that the whist of every new pamphlet should stagger them out of their Catechism, and Christian walking. This may have much reason to discourage the Ministers, when such a low conceit is had of all their exhortations, and the benefiting of their hearers, as that they are not thought fit to be turn'd loose to three sheets of paper without a Licenser; that all the Sermons, all the Lectures preach'd, printed, vented in such numbers, and such volumes, as have now well-nigh made all other Books unsalable, should not be armour enough against one single Enchiridian, without the Castle St.

Angelo of an Imprimatur.

And left fome should perswade ye, Lords and Commons, that these arguments of learned men's discouragement at this your Order, are meer flourishes, and not real, I could recount what I have feen and heard in other Countries, where this kind of inquifition tyrannizes; when I have fat among their learned men, for that honour I had, and been counted happy to be born in fuch a place of philosophic freedom, as they suppos'd England was, while themselves did nothing but bemoan the fervil condition into which Learning amongst them was brought; that this was it which had dampt the glory of *Italian* wits; that nothing had been there written now these many years but flattery and fustian. There it was that I found, and visited the famous Galileo grown old, a prisoner to the Inquifition, for thinking in Aftronomy otherwife than the Franciscan and Dominican licenfers thought. And though I knew that England then was groaning loudest under the Prelatical yoak, nevertheless I took it as a pledge of future happiness, that other Nations were so perswaded of her liberty. Yet was it beyond my hope, that those Worthies were then breathing in her air, who should be her leaders to fuch a deliverance, as shall never be forgotten by any revolution of time that this world hath to finish. When that was once begun, it was as little in my fear, that what words of complaint I heard among learned men of other parts utter'd against the Inquisition, the same I should hear by as learned men at home utter'd in time of Parlament against an Order of Licensing; and that so generally, that when I had disclos'd my self a companion of their discontent, I might say, if without envy, that he whom an honest Questorship had indear'd to the Sicilians, was not more by them importun'd against Verres, than the savourable opinion which I had among many who honour ye, and are known and respected by ye, loaded me with entreaties and perswassons, that I would not despair to lay together that which just reason should bring into my mind, toward the removal of an undeserved thraldom upon Learning. That this is not therfore the difburdening of a particular fancy, but the common grievance of all those who had prepar'd their minds and studies above the vulgar pitch to advance truth in others, and from others to entertain it, thus much may fatisfy. And in their name I shall for neither friend nor foe conceal what the general murmuris; that if it cometo inquisitioning again, and licenfing, and that we are fo timorous of our felves, and fulpicious of all men, as to fear each Book, and the shaking of every leaf, before we know what the contents are; if fome who but of late were little better than filene'd from preaching, shall come now to filence us from reading, except what they pleafe, it cannot be guest what is intended by fome but a fecond tyranny over Learning : and will foon put it out of controverfy that Bishops and Presbyters are the same to us both name and thing. That those evils of Prelaty which before from five or fix and twenty Sees were distributively charged upon the whole people, will now light wholly upon Learning, is not obscure to us: whenas now the Pastor of a small unlearned Parish, on the sudden shall be exalted Archbishop over a large diocess of Books, and yet not remove, but keep his other Cure too, a mystical Pluralist. He who but of late cry'd down the fole ordination of every novice Batchelor of Art, and deny'd fole jurifdiction over the simplest Parishioner, shall now at home in his private chair assume Vol. I.

both these over worthiest and excellentest Books, and ablest Authors that write them. This is not, ye Covenants and Protestations that we have made; this is not to put down Prelaty; this is but to chop an Episcopacy; this is but to translate the Palace Metropolitan from one kind of dominion into another; this is but an old canonical flight of commuting our penance. To flartle thus betimes at a meer unlicens'd Pamphlet, will, after a while, be afraid of every Conventicle, and a while after will make a Conventicle of every Christian meeting. But I am certain that a State govern'd by the rules of Justice and Fortitude, or a Church built and founded upon the Rock of Faith and true Knowledge, cannot be so pusillanimous. While things are yet not constituted in Religion, that freedom of Writing should be restrain'd by a discipline imitated from the Prelates, and learnt by them from the Inquifition to flut us up all again into the breast of a Licenser, must needs give cause of doubt and discouragement to all learned and religious Men. Who cannot but difcern the fineness of this politic drift, and who are the contrivers; that while Bishops were to be baited down, then all Presses might be open; it was the people's birth-right and privilege in time of Parlament, it was the breaking forth of light. But now the Bishops abrogated and voided out of the Church, as if our Reformation fought no more, but to make room for others into their Seats under another name; the Epifcopal Arts begin to bud again; the Cruise of Truth must run no more Oil; liberty of Printing must be enthrall'd again under a Prelatical Commission of twenty; the privilege of the People nullify'd; and which is worfe, the freedom of Learning must groun again, and to her old fetters: all this the Parlament yet fitting. Although their own late Arguments and Defences against the Prelates might remember them that this obstructing Violence meets for the molt part with an event utterly opposite to the end which it drives at: instead of suppressing Sects and Schisms, it raises them and invests them with a reputation: The punishing of Wits enhances their authority, faith the Viscount St. Albans; and a forbidden writing is thought to be a certain spark of truth that flies up in the faces of them who feek to tread it out. This Order therfore may prove a nurfing Mother to Sects, but I shall easily shew how it will be a step-dame to Truth: and first by difinabling us to the maintenance of what is known already.

Well knows he who uses to consider, that our Faith and Knowledge thrives by Exercise, as well as our Limbs and Complexion. Truth is compar'd in Scripture to a fireaming fountain; if her waters flow not in a perpetual progression, they ficken into a muddy pool of Conformity and Tradition. A man may be a Heretic in the Truth; and if he believe things only because his Pastor says so, or the Affembly fo determines, without knowing other reason, though his belief be true, yet the very truth he holds, becomes his herefy. There is not any burden that some would gladlier post off to another, than the charge and care of their Religion. There be, who knows not that there be of Protestants and Professors who live and die in as errant an implicite Faith, as any Lay-Papist of Loretto. A wealthy man, addicted to his pleasure and to his profits, finds Religion to be a traffic fo entangled, and of fo many piddling accounts, that of all mysteries he cannot skill to keep a stock going upon that trade. What should he do? fain he would have the name to be religious, fain he would bear up with his neighbours in that. What does he therfore, but refolves to give over toiling, and to find himself out some Factor, to whose care and credit he may commit the whole managing of his religious affairs; some Divine of note and estimation that must be. To him he adheres, resigns the whole Warehouse of his Religion, with all the Locks and Keys into his cuftody; and indeed makes the very Perfon of that Man his Religion; esteems his affociating with him a sufficient evidence and commendatory of his own Piety. So that a man may say his Religion is now no more within himfelf, but is become a dividual moveable, and goes and comes near him, according as that good man frequents the house. He entertains him, gives him gifts, feafts him, lodges him; his Religion comes home at night, prays, is liberally fupt, and fumptuously laid to sleep; rifes, is faluted, and after the malmfey, or fome well-fpic't bruage, and better breakfasted, than he whose morning appetite would have gladly sed on green figs between Bethany and Jerufalem; his Religion walks abroad at eight, and leaves his kind entertainer in the shop trading all day without his Religion.

Another fort there be, who when they hear that all things shall be order'd, all things regulated and settled; nothing written but what passes through the Custom-house of certain Publicans that have the tunnaging and poundaging of all free spoken Truth, will strait give themselves up into your hands, make 'em and cut 'em out what Religion ye please; there be delights, there be recreations

and jolly pastimes that will fetch the day about from Sun to Sun, and rock the tedious year as in a delightful dream. What need they torture their heads with that which others have taken fo firictly, and so unalterably into their own purveying? These are the fruits which a dull ease and cessation of our knowledge will bring forth among the people. How goodly, and how to be wisht were such an obedient unanimity as this? What a fine conformity would it starch us all into? doubtless a stanch and solid piece of frame-work, as any Jenuary could

freeze together.

Not much better will be the confequence even among the Clergy themselves; it is no new thing never heard of before, for a Parochial Minister, who has his reward, and is at his Hercules Pillars in a warm Benefice, to be eafily inclinable, if he having nothing else that may rouse up his studies, to finish his circuit in an English Concordance and a topic Folio, the gatherings and favings of a sober Graduateship, a Harmony and a Catena, treading the constant round of certain common doctrinal Heads, attended with their Ufes, Motives, Marks and Means; out of which, as out of an Alphabet or Sol fa, by forming and transforming, joining and dif-joining variously a little bookcraft, and two hours meditation, might furnish him unspeakably to the performance of more than a weekly charge of fermoning: not to reckon up the infinite helps of interlinearies, breviaries, synopses, and other loitering gear. But as for the multitude of Sermons ready printed and pil'd up, on every text that is not difficult, our London trading St. Thomas in his Vestry, and add to boot St. Martin and St. Hugh, have not within their hallow'd limits more vendible ware of all forts ready made: fo that penury he never need fear of Pulpit-provision, having where to plenteously to refresh his magazine. But if his rear and flanks be not impal'd, if his back-door be not fecur'd by the rigid Licenfer, but that a bold Book may now and then iffue forth, and give the affault to some of his old Collections in their Trenches, it will concern him then to keep waking, to fland in watch, to fet good guards and fentinels about his receiv'd Opinions, to walk the round and counter-round with his fellow-infpectors, fearing left any of his flock be feduc'd, who also then would be better instructed, better exercis'd and disciplin'd. And God send that the fear of this diligence which must then be us'd, do not make us affect the lazinefs of a licenfing Church.

For if we be fure we are in the right, and do not hold the truth guiltily, which becomes not, if we our felves condemn not our own weak and frivolous teaching, and the people for an untaught and irreligious gadding rout, what can be more fair, than when a man judicious, learned, and of a confcience, for aught we know, as good as theirs that taught us what we know, shall not privily from house to house, which is more dangerous, but openly by writing publish to the World what his Opinion is, what his Reasons, and wherfore that which is now thought cannot be found. Christ urg'd it as wherewith to justify himself, that he preacht in public; yet writing is more public than preaching; and more easy to resutation, if need be, there being so many whose business and profession meerly it is to be the champions of Truth; which if they neglect, what can be

imputed but their floth or unability?

Thus much we are hinder'd and dif-inur'd by this course of sicensing toward the true knowledge of what we seem to know. For how much it hurts and hinders the Licensers themselves in the calling of their Ministry, more than any sicular employment, if they will discharge that office as they ought, so that of necessity they must neglect either the one duty or the other; I insist not, because it is a particular, but leave it to their own conscience, how they will decide it there.

There is yet behind of what I purpos'd to lay open, the incredible loss and detriment that this plot of Licensing puts us to, more than if some enemy at Sea shouldstop up all our Havens, and Ports, and Creeks; it hinders and retards the Importation of our richest Merchandize, Truth: nay, it was first establisht and put in practice by Antichristian malice and mystery on set purpose to extinguish, if it were possible, the light of Reformation, and to settle salshood; little differing from that policy wherewith the Turk upholds his Alcoran, by the prohibiting of Printing. 'Tis not deny'd, but gladly confest, we are to send our Thanks and Vows to Heaven, louder than most of Nations, for that great measure of Truth which we enjoy, especially in those main Points between us and the Pope, with his appertinences the Prelates: but he who thinks we are to pitch our Tent here, and have attain'd the utmost prospect of Reformation, that the mortal glass wherin we contemplate can shew us, till we come to beatisse Vision, that man by this very Opinion declares, that he is yet far short of the Truth.

Truth indeed came once into the world with her divine Master, and was a perfect fhape most glorious to look on: but when he ascended, and his Apostles after him were laid afleep, then ferait arose a wicked race of deceivers, who as that ftory goes of the Epyptian Typhon with his confpirators, how they dealt with the good Ofiris, took the virgin Truth, hew'd her lovely form into a thousand pieces, and featter'd them to the four winds. From that time ever fince, the fad friends of Truth, fuch as durit appear, imitating the careful fearch that Isis made for the mangled body of Ofiris, went up and down gathering up limb by limb still as they could find them. We have not yet found them all, Lords and Commons, nor ever shall do, till her Master's second coming; he shall bring together every joint and member, and shall mould them into an immortal feature of loveliness and perfection. Suffer not these licensing prohibitions to stand at every place of opportunity forbidding and diffurbing them that continue feeking, that continue to do our obsequies to the torn body of our martyr'd Suint. We boult our light; but if we look not wifely on the Sun it felf, it finites us into darknefs. Who can difcern those planets that are oft combust, and those stars of brightest magnitude that rise and set with the . Sun, until the opposite motion of their orbs bring them to such a place in the firmament, where they may be feen evening or morning? The light which we have gain'd, vas given us, not to ever staring on, but by it to discover onward things more remote from our knowledge. It is not the unfrocking of a Priest, the unmitring of a Bishop, and the removing him from off the Presbyterian shoulders, that will make us a happy Nation; no, if other things as great in the church, and in the rule of life both economical and political be not lookt into and reform'd, we have lookt so long upon the blaze that Zuinglius and Calvin have beacon'd up to us, that we are stark blind. There be who perpetually complain of schifms and fects, and make it fuch a calamity, that any man diffents from their maxims. Tis their own pride and ignorance which causes the diffurbing, who neither will hear with meeknefs, nor can convince, yet all must be supprest which is not found in their Syntagma. They are the troublers, they are the dividers of unity, who neglect and permit not others to unite those differer'd pieces which are yet wanting to the body of Truth. To be still fearching what we know not, by what we know, still closing up truth to truth as we find it, (for all her body is homogeneal, and proportional) this is the golden rule in Theology as well as in Arithmetic, and makes up the best harmony in a Church; not the forc'd and outward union of cold, and neutral, and inwardly divided minds.

Lords and Commons of England, confider what Nation it is wherof ye are, and wherof ye are the Governours: a Nation not flow and dull, but of a quick, ingenious, and piercing spirit, acute to invent, suttle and snewy to discourse, not beneath the reach of any point the highest that human capacity can foar to. Therefore the studies of Learning in her deepest Sciences have been to ancient, and so eminent among us, that Writers of good antiquity, and able judgment, have been perfunded that even the school of Pythagoras, and the Persian wisdom, took beginning from the old philosophy of this Island. And that wife and civil Roman, Julius Agricola, who govern'd once here for Caefar, preferr'd the natural Wits of Britain, before the labour'd studies of the French. Nor is it for nothing that the grave and frugal Transilvanian fends out yearly from as sar as the mountainous borders of Ruffic, and beyond the Hercynian wilderness, not their youth, but their stay'd men, to learn our language, and our Theologic arts. Yet that which is above all this, the favour and the love of Heaven, we have great argument to think in a peculiar manner propitious and propending towards us. Why elfe was this Nation chosen before any other, that out of her as out of Sion should be proclaim'd and founded forth the first tidings and trumpet of Reformation to all Europe? And had it not been the obstinate perverteness of our Prelates against the divine and admirable spirit of Wickles, to suppress him as a schissmatic and innovator, perhaps neither the Bohemian Husse and Jerom, no nor the name of Luther, or of Calvin, had been ever known: the glory of a reforming all our neighbours had been compleatly ours. But now, as our obdurate Clergy have with violence demean'd the matter, we are become hitherto the lateft and the backwardest Scholars, of whom God offer'd to have made us the Teachers. Now once again by all concurrence of figns, and by the general inftinct of holy and devout men, as they daily and folemnly express their thoughts, God is decreeing to begin fome new and great period in his Church, even to the reforming of Reformation it felf; what does he then but reveal Himfelf to his fervants, and as his manner is, first to his English-men? I say as his manner is, first to us, though we mark not the method of his counfels, and are unworthy. Behold now this vaft

City; a City of refuge, the manfion-house of liberty, encompast and surrounded with his protection; the shop of War hath not there more anvils and hammers waking, to fashion out the plates and instruments of armed Justice in defence of beleaguer'd Truth, than there be pens and heads there, fitting by their studious lamps, musing, searching, revolving new notions and idea's wherewith to present as with their homage and their fealty the approaching Reformation: others as fast reading, trying all things, affenting to the force of reason and convincement. What could a man require more from a Nation fo pliant and so prone to feek after knowledge? What wants there to fuch a towardly and pregnant foil, but wife and faithful Labourers, to make a knowing People, a Nation of Prophets, of Sages, and of Worthies? We reckon more than five months yet to harvest; there need not be five weeks, had we but eyes to lift up, the fields are white already. Where there is much defire to learn, there of necessity will be much arguing, much writing, many opinions; for opinion in good men is but knowledge in the making. Under these fantastic terrours of sect and schism, we wrong the earnest and zealous thirst after knowledge and understanding which God hath stirr'd up in this What fome lament of, we rather should rejoice at, should rather praise this pious forwardness among men, to reassume the ill deputed care of their Religion into their own hands again. A little generous prudence, a little forbearance of one another, and some grain of charity might win all these diligencies to join, and unite into one general and brotherly fearch after Truth; could we but forgo this Prelatical tradition of crouding free Consciences and Christian Liberties into canons and precepts of men. I doubt not, if some great and worthy stranger should come among us, wife to discern the mould and temper of a people, and how to govern it, observing the high hopes and aims, the diligent alacrity of our extended thoughts and reasonings in the pursuance of truth and freedom, but that he would cry out as Pyrrhus did, admiring the Roman docility and courage; If fuch were my Epirots, I would not despair the greatest design that could be attempted to make a Church or Kingdom happy. Yet thefe are the men cry'd out against for schismatics and sectaries, as if, while the Temple of the Lord was building, fome cutting, fome fquaring the Marble, others hewing the Cedars, there should be a fort of irrational men who could not consider there must be many fchisms and many diffections made in the quarry and in the timber, ere the House of God can be built. And when every stone is laid artfully together, it cannot be united into a continuity, it can but be contiguous in this world: neither can every piece of the Building be of one form; nay rather the perfection confifts in this, that out of many moderate varieties and brotherly diffimilitudes that are not vastly disproportional, arises the goodly and the graceful symmetry that commends the whole pile and structure. Let us therfore be more considerate Builders, more wife in spiritual Architecture, when great Reformation is expected. For now the time feems come, wherin Moses the great Prophet may fit in Heaven rejoicing to fee that memorable and glorious wish of his fulfill'd, when not only our feventy Elders, but all the Lord's people are become Prophets. No marvel then though fome men, and fome good men too perhaps, but young in goodness, as Joshua then was, envy them. They fret, and out of their own weakness are in agony, lest these divisions and sub-divisions will undo us. The adverfary again applauds, and waits the hour, when they have brancht themselves out, faith he, fmall enough into parties and partitions, then will be our time. Fool! he fees not the firm root, out of which we all grow, though into branches; nor will beware until he fee our finall divided maniples cutting through at every angle of his ill-united and unwieldy brigade. And that we are to hope better of all these supposed sects and schisins, and that we shall not need that solicitude, honest perhaps, though over-timorous, of them that vex in this behalf, but thall laugh in the end at those malicious applauders of our differences, I have these reasons to perswade me.

First, when a City shall be as it were besieg'd and blockt about, her navigable river infested, inrodes and incursions round, defiance and battel oft rumour'd to be marching up even to her walls and suburb trenches, that then the people, or the greater part, more than at other times, wholly taken up with the study of highest and most important matters to be reform'd, should be disputing, reasoning, reading, inventing, discoursing, even to a rarity, and admiration, things not before discourst or written of, argues first a singular good will, contentedness and considence in your prudent foresight, and safe government, Lords and Commons; and from thence derives it fels to a gallant bravery and well grounded contempt of their enemies, as if there were no small number of as great spirits

among

among us, as his was, who when Rome was nigh befieg'd by Hanibal, being in the City, bought that piece of ground at no cheap rate, whereon Hanibal himfelf encampt his own regiment. Next, it is a lively and cheerful prefage of our happy fuccess and victory. For as in a body, when the blood is fresh, the spirits pure and vigorous, not only to vital, but to rational faculties, and those in the acutest, and the pertest operations of wit and suttlety, it argues in what good plight and constitution the body is; so when the cheerfulness of the people is so iprightly up, as that it has not only wherewith to guard well its own freedom and fafety, but to spare, and to bestow upon the solidest and sublimest points of controverfy, and new invention, it betokens us not degenerated, nor drooping to a fatal decay, but casting off the old and wrinkl'd skin of corruption to outlive these pangs, and wax young again, entring the glorious ways of Truth and prosperous Vertue, destin'd to become great and honourable in these latter ages. Methinks I fee in my mind a noble and puissant Nation rousing her felf like a ftrong man after fleep, and flaking her invincible locks: Methinks I fee her as an Eagle muing her mighty youth, and kindling her undazl'd eyes at the full mid-day beam; purging and unfealing her long-abused sight at the fountain is felf of heavenly radiance; while the whole noise of timorous and flocking birds with those also that love the twilight, flutter about, amaz'd at what she means, and in their envious gabble would prognosticate a year of Sects and Schiffns.

What should ye do then, should ye suppress all this slowry crop of knowledge and new light forung up and yet foringing daily in this City? should ye let an Oligarchy of twenty ingroffers over it, to bring a famine upon our minds again, when we shall know nothing but what is measur'd to us by their bushel? Believe it, Lords and Commons, they who counfel ye to fuch a fuppreffing, do as good as bid ye suppress your selves; and I will soon shew how. If it be desir'd to know the immediate cause of all this free writing and free speaking, there cannot be asfign'd a truer than your own mild, and free, and humane government; it is the liberty, Lords and Commons, which your own valorous and happy Counfels have purchas'd us, Liberty which is the nurse of all great Wits: this is that which hath rarify'd and enlighten'd our spirits like the influence of Heaven; this is that which hath enfranchis'd, enlarg'd and lifted up our apprehenfions degrees above themselves. Ye cannot make us now less capable, less knowing, less eagerly pursuing of the truth, unless ye first make your selves, that made us so, less the lovers, lefs the founders of our true liberty. We can grow ignorant again, brutish, formal, and slavish, as ye found us; but you then must first become that which ye cannot be, oppressive, arbitrary, and tyrannous, as they were from whom ye have freed us. That our hearts are now more capacious, our thoughts more erected to the fearch and expectation of greatest and exactest things, is the issue of your own virtue propagated in us; ye cannot suppress that, unless ve reinforce an abrogated and mercilefs Law, that Fathers may dispatch at will their own Children. And who shall then stick closest to ye, and excite others? not he who takes up arms for Cote and Conduct, and his four nobles of Danegelt. Although I dispraise not the defence of just immunities, yet love my peace better, if that were all. Give me the liberty to know, to utter, and to argue freely according to conscience, above all liberties.

What would be best advis'd then, if it be found so hurtful and so unequal to suppress Opinions for the newness, or the unsuitableness to a customary acceptance, will not be my task to say; I only shall repeat what I have learnt from one of your own honourable number, a right noble and pious Lord, who had he not facrific'd his life and fortunes to the Church and Commonwealth, we had not now mift and bewail'd a worthy and undoubted Patron of this argument. Ye know him, I am fure; yet I for honour's fake, and may it be eternal to him, shall name him, the Lord *Brook*. He writing of Episcopacy, and by the way treating of Sects and Schifms, left ye his Vote, or rather now the last Words of his dying Charge, which I know will ever be of dear and honour'd regard with Ye, fo full of Meekness and breathing Charity, that next to his last Testament, who bequeath'd Love and Peace to his Disciples, I cannot call to mind where I have read or heard words more mild and peaceful. He there exhorts us to hear with patience and humility those, however they be miscall'd, that defire to live purely, in such a use of God's Ordinances, as the best guidance of their conscience gives them, and to tolerate them, though in some disconformity to our selves. The Book it self will tell us more at large, being publisht to the World, and dedicated to the Parlament by him who both for his life and for his death

deferves, that what advice he left, be not laid by without perufal,

And now the time in special is, by privilege to write and speak what may help to the further discussing of matters in agitation. The Temple of Janus, with his two controversal faces, might now not unfignificantly be set open. And though all the winds of doctrine were let loose to play upon the earth, so Truth be in the field, we do injuriously by licensing and prohibiting to misdoubt her strength. Let her and Falshood grapple; who ever knew Truth put to the worse, in a free and open encounter? Her confuting is the best and surest suppressing. He who hears what praying there is for light and clear knowledge to be fent down among us, would think of other matters to be conflituted beyond the discipline of Geneva, fram'd and fabric'd already to our hands. Yet when the new light which we beg for, shines in upon us, there be who envy and oppose, if it come not first in at their casements. What a collusion is this, whenas we are exhorted by the wife man to use diligence, to seek for wisdom as for hidden treasures ear. ly and late, that another Order shall enjoin us, to know nothing but by statute? When a man bath been labouring the hardest labour in the deep mines of Knowledge, hath furnisht out his findings in all their equipage, drawn forth his reasons as it were a battel rang'd, scatter'd and defeated all objections in his way, calls out his adverfary into the plain, offers him the advantage of wind and fun, if he pleafe, only that he may try the matter by dint of argument; for his opponents then to fculk, to lay ambushments, to keep a narrow bridge of licensing where the challenger should pats, though it be valour enough in foldiership, is but weakness and cowardice in the wars of Truth. For who knows not that Truth is strong, next to the Almighty; she needs no policies, nor stratagems, nor licenfings to make her victorious, those are the shifts and the defences that error ules against her power: give her but room, and do not bind her when she sleeps, for then the speaks not true, as the old Proteus did, who spake Oracles only when he was caught and bound, but then rather she turns her felf into all shapes, except her own, and perhaps tunes her voice according to the time, as Micaiah did before Abab, until she be adjur'd into her own likeness. Yet is it not impossible that the may have more thapes than one? What elfe is all that rank of things indifferent, wherin Truth may be on this fide, or on the other, without being unlike her felt? What but a vain shadow else is the abolition of those Ordinances, that Hand-writing nail'd to the cross? what great purchase is this Christian Liberty which Paul so often boasts of? His doctrine is, that he who eats or eats not, regar is a day or regards it not, may do either to the Lord. How many other things might be tolerated in peace, and left to conscience, had we but charity, and were it not the chief strong hold of our hypocrify to be ever judging one another? I fear yet this iron yoke of outward conformity hath left a flavish print upon our necks; the ghost of a linen decency yet haunts us. We stumble and are impatient at the least dividing of one visible Congregation from another, though it be not in fundamentals; and through our forwardness to suppress, and our backwardness to recover any enthral'd piece of truth out of the gripe of custom, we care not to keep truth separated from truth, which is the siercest rent and difunion of all. We do not fee that while we still affect by all means a rigid external formality, we may as foon fall again into a gross conforming slupicity, a flark and dead congealment of wood and hay and flubble forced and frozen together, which is more to the fudden degenerating of a Church than many fubdichotomies of petty schisms. Not that I can think well of every light separation; or that all in a Church is to be expected gold and filver and precious stones: it is not possible for man to sever the wheat from the tares, the good fish from the other fry; that must be the Angels ministry at the end of mortal things. Yet if all cannot be of one mind, as who looks they should be? this doubtless is more wholesome, more prudent, and more christian, that many be tolerated, rather than all compel'd. I mean not tolerated Popery, and open Superstition, which as it extirpates all Religions and civil Supremacies, fo it felf should be extirpate, provided first that all charitable and compassionate means be us'd to win and regain the weak and the missed: that also which is impious or evil absolutely either against Faith or Manners, no Law can possibly permit, that intends not to unlaw it felf: but those neighbouring differences, or rather indifferences, are what I speak of, whether in some point of doctrine or of discipline, which though they may be many, yet need not interrupt the unity of Spirit, if we could but find among us the bond of peace. In the mean while, if any one would write, and bring his helpful hand to the flow-moving Reformation which we labour under, if Truth have fpoken to him before others, or but feem'd at least to speak, who hath fo bejefuited us that we should trouble that man with asking licence to do so worthy a deed; and not confider this, that if it come to prohibiting, there

is not ought more likely to be prohibited than Truth it self: whose first appearance to our eyes, blear'd and dimm'd with prejudice and custom, is more unlightly and unplausible than many errors, even as the person is of many a great man slight and contemptible to fee to. And what do they tell us vainly of new opinions, when this very opinion of theirs, that none must be heard but whom they like, is the worst and newest opinion of all others; and is the chief cause why seeds and schisms do fo much abound, and true knowledge is kept at diffance from us; besides yet a greater danger which is in it? For when God shakes a Kingdom, with strong and healthful Commotions, to a general reforming, 'tis not untrue that many Sectaries and falle Teachers are then bufieft in feducing? But yet more true it is, that God then raises to his own work men of rare abilities, and more than common industry, not only to look back and revise what hath been taught heretofore, but to gain further and go on, some new enlighten'd steps in the discovery of Truth. For fuch is the order of God's enlightening his Church, to difpenfe and deal out by degrees his beam, so as our earthly eyes may best sustainit. Neither is God appointed and confin'd, where and out of what place these his Chosen shall be first heard to speak; for he sees not as man sees, chooses not as man chooses, left we should devote our felves again to set places, and affemblies, and outward callings of men; planting our faith one while in the old Convocation-house, and another while in the Chapel at Westminster; when all the faith and religion that shall be there canoniz'd, is not sufficient without plain convincement, and the charity of patient instruction, to supple the least bruise of conscience, to edify the meanest Christian, who defires to walk in the Spirit, and not in the letter of human trust, for all the number of voices that can be there made; no, though Harry the 7th himfelf there, with all his liege tombs about him, should lend them voices from the dead, to swell their number. And if the men be erroneous who appear to be the leading Schifmatics, what withholds us but our floth, our felf-will, and distrust in the right cause, that we do not give them gentle meetings and gentle difmissions, that we debate not and examine the matter throughly with liberal and frequent audience; if not for their fakes, yet for our own? feeing no man who hath tafted Learning, but will confefs the many ways of profiting by those who, not contented with stale receipts, are able to manage and fet forth new positions to the world. And were they but as the dust and cinders of our feet, fo long as in that notion they may yet ferve to polish and brighten the armory of Truth, even for that respect they were not utterly to be cast away. But if they be of those whom God hath fitted for the special use of these times with eminent and ample gifts, and those perhaps neither among the Priests, nor among the Pharisees, and we in the hafte of a precipitant zeal shall make no distinction, but resolve to thop their mouths, because we fear they come with new and dangerous opinions, as we commonly fore-judge them ere we understand them; no less than woe to us, while thinking thus to defend the Gospel, we are found the persecutors. There have been not a few since the beginning of this Parlament, both of the Pres-

There have been not a few fince the beginning of this Parlament, both of the Prefbytery and others, who by their unlicens'd Books to the contempt of an Imprimatur first broke that triple ice clung about our hearts, and taught the people to see day: I hope that none of those were the persuaders to renew upon us this bondage which they themselves have wrought so much good by contemning. But is neither the check that Moses gave to young Johna, nor the countermand which our Saviour gave to young John, who was so ready to prohibit those whom he thought unlicens'd, be not enough to admonish our Elders how unacceptable to God their testly mood of prohibiting is; is in either their own remembrance what evil hath abounded in the Church by this lett of licensing, and what good they themselves have begun by transgressing it, be not enough, but that they will perswade, and execute the most Dominican part of the Inquisition over us, and are already with one soot in the stirrup so active at suppressing, it would be no unequal distribution in the first place to suppress the suppressions themselves; whom the change of their condition hath pust up, more than their late experience of harder times hath made wise.

And as for regulating the Press, let no man think to have the honour of advising ye better than your selves have done in that Order publish'd next before this, That no Book be printed, unless the Printer's and the Author's name, or at least the Printer's be register'd. Those which otherwise come forth, if they be so not mischievous and libellous, the fire and the executioner will be the timeliest and the most effectual remedy that man's prevention can use. For this authentic Spanish policy of licensing Books, if I have said aught, will prove the most unlicens'd Book it felf within a thort while; and was the immediate image of a Star-chamber Decree to that purpose made

in those very times when that Court did the rest of those her pious works, for which the is now fallen from the Stars with Lucifer. Wherby we may gu fi what kind of State-prudence, what love of the Feople, what care of Religion, or Good-manners, there was at the contriving, although with fingular hypocrity it pretended to bind Books to their good B haviour. And how it got the upper hand of your precedent Order to well constituted before, if we may believe those men whose profession gives them cause to enquire most, it may be doubted there was in it the fraud of some old *Patentres* and *Monopolizers* in the Trade of Book-felling; who under pretence of the Poor in their Company not to be defrauded, and the just retaining of each man his several copy, which God forbid should be gainfaid, brought divers glossing colours to the House, which were indeed but colours, and ferving to no end except it be to exercise a superiority over their neighbours: Men who do not therfore labour in an honest profession, to which Learning is indebted, that they should be made other men's vassa's. Another end is thought was aim'd at by some of them in procuring by petition this Order, that having power in their hands, malignant Books might the ea-fier fcape abroad, as the event flews. But of these Sophijms and Elenchs of merchandize I skill not: This I know, that errors in a good Government and in a bad are equally almost incident; for what Magistrate may not be mis-inform'd, and much the fooner, if liberty of Printing be reduc'd into the power of a few? But to redrefs willingly and speedily what hath been erred, and in highest Authority to esteem a plain Advertisement more than others have done a sumptuous Bride, is a Virtue (honour'd Lords and Commons) answerable to your highest Actions, and wherof none can participate, but greatest and wifest men.

THE

Doctrine and Discipline of Divorce;

Restored to the good of both Sexes, from the Bondage of Canon Law, and other Mistakes, to the true Meaning of Scripture in the Law and Gospel compar'd.

Wherein also are set down the bad Consequences of abolishing or condemning of Sin, that which the Law of God allows, and Christ abolish'd not.

Now the second time Revis'd, and much Augmented, in two Books: To the Parlament of *England*, with the Assembly.

Matth. 13. 52. Every Scribe instructed in the Kingdom of Heaven, is like the Master of a House which bringeth out of his Treasury things new and old.

Prov. 18. 13. He that answereth a matter before he heareth it, it is folly and shame unto him.

To the Parlament of England, with the Affembly.

F it were feriously ask'd, and it would be no untimely Question, Renowned Parlament, Select Assembly, who of all Teachers and Masters that have ever taught, hath drawn the most Disciples after him, both in Religion and in Manners? it might be not untruly answer'd, Custom. Though Virtue be commended for the most perswasive in her Theory, and Conscience in the plain demonstration of the Spirit finds most evincing; yet whether it be the secret of Divine Will, or the original Blindness we are born in, so it happens for the most part, that Custom still is filently receiv'd for the best instructor. Except it be, because the method is fo glib and eafy, in some manner like to that Vision of Ezekiel, rowlingup her fudden book of implicite Knowledge, for him that will, to take and fwallow down at pleasure; which proving but of bad nourishment in the concoction, as it was heedless in the devouring, puffs up unhealthily a certain big face of pretended learning, mistaken among credulous men for the wholesome habit of soundness and good constitution, but is indeed no other than that swoln visage of counterfeit knowledge and literature, which not only in private mars our Education, but also in public is the common Climber into every chair, where either Religion is preach'd, or Law reported, filling each estate of Life and Profession with abject and fervile principles, depressing the high and heaven-born spirit of man, far beneath the condition wherin either God created him, or Sin hath funk him. To pursue the Allegory, Custom being but a meer face, as Echo is a meer voice, rests not in her unaccomplishment, until by secret inclination she accorporate her felf with Error, who being a blind and ferpentine body without a head, willingly accepts what he wants, and fupplies what her Incompleatness went seeking. Hence it is, that Error supports Custom, Custom countenances Error: and these two between them would persecute and chase away all truth and solid wisdom out of human Life, were it not that God, rather than Man, once in many Ages, calls together the prudent and religious Counfels of men, deputed to repress the incroachments, and to work off the inveterate blots and obscurities wrought upon our minds by the subtle infinuating of Error and Custom; who with the numerous and vulgar train of their Followers, make it their chief defign to envy and cry down the industry of free reasoning, under the terms of humour and innovation; as if the Womb of teeming Truth were to

be clos'd up, if she presume to bring forth aught that forts not with their unchew'd notions and suppositions. Against which notorious injury, and abuse of man's free foul, to testify and oppose the utmost that study and true labour can attain, heretofore the incitement of men reputed grave, hath led me among others; and now the duty and the right of an instructed Christian calls me thro the chance of good or evil report, to be the fole Advocate of a difcountenanc'd truth; a high enterprise, Lords and Commons, a high enterprise and a hard, and fuch as every 7th Son of a 7th Son does not venture on. Nor have I amidst the clamour of fo much envy and impertinence, whither to appeal, but to the concourse of so much Piety and Wisdom here assembled. Bringing in my hands an ancient and most necessary, most charitable, and yet most injur'd Statute of Mo- $\int e^{2s}$; not repeared ever by him who only had the Authority, but thrown aside with much inconfiderate Neglect, under the Rubbish of Canonical Ignorance, as once the whole Law was by fome fuch like conveyance in Josiah's time. And he who shall endeavour the amendment of any old neglected Grievance in Church or State, or in the daily course of Life, if he be gifted with abilities of mind that may raife him to so high an undertaking, I grant he hath already much wherof not to repent him; yet let me arreed him, not to be the foreman of any mifjudg'd Opinion, unless his Resolutions be firmly feated in a square and constant mind, not conscious to it self of any deserved blame, and regardless of ungrounded fuspicions. For this let him be fure he shall be boarded presently by the ruder fort, but not by difereet and well-nurtur'd men, with a thousand idle Defeants and Surmifes. Who when they cannot confute the least joint or finew of any paffage in the Book; yet God forbid that truth should be truth, because they have a boifterous conceit of some pretences in the Writer. But were they not more bufy and inquititive than the Apostle commends, they would hear him at least, rejoicing so the truth be preach'd, whether of envy or other pretence whatforver: for Truth is as impossible to be foil'd by any outward touch, as the Sunbeam; though this ill hap wait on her Nativity, that she never comes into the World, but like a Bastard, to the ignominy of him that brought her forth; till Time, the Midwife rather than the Mother of Truth, have washt and salted the Infant, declar'd her legitimate, and church'd the Father of his young Minerva, from the needless causes of his Purgation. Your selves can best witness this, worthy Patriots, and better will, no doubt, hereafter: for who among ye of the foremost that have travail'd in her behalf to the good of Church or State, hath not been often traduc'd to be the Agent of his own by-ends, under pretext of Reformation? So much the more I shall not be unjust to hope, that however Infamy or Envy may work in other men to do her fretful Will against this Discourse, yet that the experience of your own uprightness mis-interpreted, will put ye in mind to give it free Audience and generous Construction. What though the blood of Belial, the draffe of men, to whom no Liberty is pleafing, but unbridled and vagabond Luft without pale or partition, will laugh broad perhaps, to fee so great a strength of Scripture mustering up in favour, as they suppose, of their Debaucheries; they will know better when they shall hence learn, that honest Liberty is the greatest foe to dishonest Licence. And what though others, out of a waterish and queasy Conscience, because ever crazy and never yet found, will rail and fancy to themselves, that Injury and Licence is the best of this Book? Did not the Diftemper of their own Stomachs affect them with a dizzy Megrim, they would foon tie up their Tongues, and differn themselves, like that Affyrian Blasphemer, all this while reproaching not Man, but the Almighty, the Holy-One of Ifrael, whom they do not deny to have belawgiv'n his own facred People with this very allowance, which they now call Injury and Licence, and dare cry fhame on, and will do yet a while, till they get a little cordial Sobriety to fettle their qualming Zeal. But this Question concerns not usperhaps: indeed man's difposition, though prone to search after vain Curiosities, yet when points of difficulty are to be discust, appertaining to the removal of unreasonable wrong and burden from the perplext life of our Brother, it is incredible how cold, how dull, and far from all fellow-feeling we are, without the fpur of felfconcernment. Yet if the Wisdom, the Justice, the Purity of God be to be clear'd from foulest Imputations, which are not yet avoided; it Charity be not to be degraded and trodden down under a civil Ordinance; if Matrimony be not to be advanc'd like that exalted Perdition written of to the Thessalonians, above all that is called God, or Goodness, nay against them both; then I dare affirm there will be VOL. I. Y_2 found

found in the Contents of this Book, that which may concern us all. You it concerns chiefly, Worthies in Parlament, on whom, as on our Deliverers, all our Grievances and Cares, by the merit of your eminence and fortitude, are devolv'd. Me it concerns next, having with much labour and faithful diligence first found out, or at least with a fearless and communicative candor first publish'd to the manifest good of Christendom, that which calling to witness every thing mortal and immortal, I believe unfeignedly to betrue. Let not other men think their Confcience bound to fearch continually after truth, to pray for enlight'ning from above, to publish what they think they have so obtain'd, and debar me from conceiving my felf ty'd by the same duties. Ye have now, doubtless, by the savour and appointment of God, ye have now in your hands a great and populous Nation to reform; from what corruption, what blindness in Religion, ye know well; in what a degenerate and fallen Spirit from the apprehension of native Liberty, and true Manliness, Iam sure ye find; with what unbounded licence rushing to Whoredoms and Adulteries, needs not long enquiry: infomuch that the Fears which men have of too strict a Discipline, perhaps exceed the Hopes that can be in others, of ever introducing it with any great fuccess. What if I should tell ye now of Dispensations and Indulgences, to give a little the reins, to let them play and nibble with the bait a while; a People as hard of heart as that Egyptian Colony that went to Canaan. This is the common Doctrine that adulterous and injurious Divorces were not conniv'd only, but with eye open allow'd of old for hardness of heart. But that Opinion, I trust, by then this following Argument hath been well read, will be left for one of the Mysteries of an indulgent Antichrist, to farm out Incest by, and those his other tributary Pollutions. What middle way can be taken then, may fome interrupt, if we must neither turn to the right, nor to the left, and that the People hate to be reform'd? Mark then, Judges and Law-givers, and ye whose Office it is to be our Teachers, for I will utter now a Doctrine, if ever any other, though neglected or not understood, yet of great and powerful importance to the governing of Mankind. He who wifely would restrain the reasonable Soul of Man within due bounds, must first himself know perfectly, how far the Territory and Dominion extends of just and honest Liberty. As little must be offer to bind that which God hath loofen'd, as to loofen that which he hath bound. The ignorance and mistake of this high point, hath heapt up one huge half of all the misery that hath been fince Adam. In the Gospel we shall read a supercilious crew of Masters, whose Holiness, or rather whose evil eye, grieving that God should be so facil to Man, was to fet straiter limits to Obedience than God had fet, to enflave the dignity of Man, to put a garifon upon his neck of empty and over-dignify'd Precepts: And we shall read our Saviour never more griev'd and troubl'd, than to meet with such a peevish Madness among men against their own freedom. How can we expect him to be less offended with us, when much of the same folly shall be found yet remaining where it least ought, to the perishing of thousands? The greatest burden in the world is Superstition, not only of Ceremonies in the Church, but of imaginary and scarecrow Sins at home. What greater weakening, what more subtle stratagem against our Christian Warsare, when besides the gross body of real Transgreffions to incounter, we shall be terrify'd by a vain and shadowy menacing of faults that are not: When things indifferent shall be set to over-front us under the Banners of Sin, what wonder if we be routed, and by this art of our Adversary, fall into the subjection of worst and deadliest Offences? The Superstition of the Papist is, touch not, taste not, when God bids both; and ours is, part not, separate not, when God and Charity both permits and commands. Let all your things be done with charity, faith St. Paul; and his Master saith, She is the fulfilling of the Law. Yet now a civil, an indifferent, a fometime diffwaded Law of Marriage, must be forc'd upon us to fulfil, not only without Charity, but against her. No place in Heaven or Earth, except Hell, where Charity may not enter: yet Marriage, the Ordinance of our Solace and Contentment, the Remedy of our Loneli. ness, will not admit now either of Charity or Mercy, to come in and mediate, or pacify the fierceness of this gentle Ordinance, the unremedied Loneliness of this Remedy. Advise ye well, supreme Senate, if Charity be thus excluded and expulft, how ye will defend the untainted Honour of your own Actions and Proceedings. He who marries, intends as little to conspire his own ruin, as he that fwears Allegiance: and as a whole People is in proportion to an ill Government, fo is one Man to an ill Marriage. If they,against any Authority,Covenant,or Statute, may by the fovereign Edict of Charity, fave not only their Lives, but honest Liberties from unworthy Bondage, as well may he against any private Covenant, which

which he never enter'd to his mifchief, redeem himfelf from unsupportable Difturbances to honest Peace, and just Contentment: And much the rather, for that to refift the highest Magistrate though tyrannizing, God never gave us express allowance, only he gave us Reason, Charity, Nature, and good Example to bear us out; but in this Oeconomical misfortune thus to demean our felves, besides the Warrant of those four great Directors, which doth as justly belong hither, we have an express Law of God, and such a Law, as whereif our Saviour with a folemn Threat forbid the abrogating. For no effect of Tyranny can fit more heavy on the Common-wealth, than this houshold unhappiness on the Family. And farewel all hope of true Reformation in the State, while fuch an evil as this lies undifcern'd or unregarded in the house. On the redress wherof depends not only the spiritful and orderly life of our grown men, but the willing and careful education of our Children. Let this therfore be new examin'd, this tenure and freehold of mankind, this native and domestic Charter given us by a greater Lord than that Saxon King the Confessor. Let the Statutes of God be turn'd over, be scann'd anew, and confidered not altogether by the narrow intellectuals of Quotationifts and common Places, but (as was the ancient right of Councils) by men of what liberal profession soever, of eminent spirit and breeding, join'd with a disfuse and various knowledge of divine and human things; able to ballance and define good and evil, right and wrong, throughout every state of life; able to shew us the ways of the Lord strait and faithful as they are, not full of cranks and contradictions, and pit-falling difpenses, but with divine infight and benignity measured out to the proportion of each mind and spirit, each temper and disposition created so different each from other, and yet by the skill of wife conducting, all to become uniform in virtue. To expedite these knots, were worthy a learned and memorable Synod; while our enemies expect to fee the expectation of the Church tir'd out with dependencies and independencies how they will compound, and in what Calends. Doubt not, worthy Senators, to vindicate the facred Honour and Judgment of Moses your predecessor, from the shallow commenting of Scholastics and Canonists. Doubt not after him to reach out your steady hands to the mifinform'd and wearied life of man; to restore this his lost heritage, into the houshold state; wherwith be fure that peace and love; the best subsistance of a Christian family, will return home from whence they are now banisht; places of prostitution will be less haunted, the neighbour's bed less attempted, the yoke of prudent and manly discipline will be generally submitted to; sober and well-order'd living will foon fpring up in the Commonwealth. Ye havean Author great beyond exception, Moses; and one yet greater, he who hedg'd in from abolishing every smallest jot and tittle of precious equity contain'd in that Law, with a more accurate and lafting Majoreth, than either the Synagogue of Ezra or the Galilean School at Tiberias hath left us. Whatever else ye can enact, will scarce concern a third part of the British name: but the benefit and good of this your magnanimous example, will easily spread far beyond the banks of Tweed and the Norman Isles. It would not be the first, or second time, fince our ancient Druids, by whom the Island was the Cathedral of philosophy to France, left off their Pagan Rites, that England hath had this honour vouchfaft from Heaven, to give out Reformation to the world. Who was it but our English Constantine that baptized the Roman Empire? Who but the Northumbrian Willibrode, and Winifride of Devon, with their followers, were the first Apostles of Germany? Who but Alcuin and Wicklef our Countrymen open'd the eyes of *Europe*, the one in Arts, the other in Religion? Let not England forget her precedence of teaching Nations how to live.

Know Worthies, know and exercife the privilege of your honour'd Country. A greater title I here bring ye, than is either in the power or in the policy of Rome to give her Monarchs; this glorious Act will file ye the defenders of Charity. Nor is this yet the highest inscription that will adorn so religious and so holy a defence as this, behold here the pure and facred Law of God, and his yet purer and more facred Name offering themselves to you sirst, of all Christian Reformers, to be acquitted from the long-suffer'd ungodly attribute of patronizing Adultery. Defer not to wipe off instantly these imputative blurrs and stains cast by rude fancies upon the throne and beauty it self of inviolable Holines; lest some other people more devout and wise than we beceave us this offer'd immortal glory, our wonted prerogative, of being the first affertors in every great vindication. For me, as far as my part leads me, I have already my greatest gain, assurance, and inward satisfaction to have done in this nothing unworthy of an honest life, and studies well employ'd. With what event among the

wife and right understanding handful of men, I am secure. But how among the drove of Custom and Prejudice this will be relisht by such whose capacity since their youth run ahead into the easy creek of a System or a Medulla, fails there at will under the blown Physiognomy of their unlabour'd rudiments; for them. what their tafte will be, I have also surety sufficient, from the entire league that hath been ever between formal ignorance and grave obstinacy. Yet when I remember the little that our Saviour could prevail about this doctrine of Charity against the crabbed Textuitts of his time, I make no wonder, but rest confident that wholo prefers either Matrimony or other Ordinance before the good of man and the plain exigence of Charity, let him profess Papist or Protestant or what he will, he is no better than a Pharifee, and understands not the Gospel: whom as a mifinterpreter of Christ I openly protest against; and provoke him to the trial of this tru h before all the world: and let him bethink him withal how he will foder up the shifting slaws of his ungirt permissions, his venial and unvenial difpenses, wherewith the Law of God pardoning and unpardoning hath been shamefully branded for want of heed in gloffing, to have eluded and baffled out all Faith and Chaftity from the Marriage-bed of that holy Seed, with politic and judicial Adulteries. I feek not to feduce the simple and illiterate; my errand is to find out the choicest and the learnedest, who have this high gift of wisdom to anfwer folidly, or to be convinc'd. I crave it from the piety, the learning, and the prudence which is hous'd in this place. It might perhaps more fitly have been written in another tongue: and I had done fo, but that the effect I have of my Country's judgment, and the love I bear to my native language to serve it first with what I endeavour, made me speak it thus, ere I affay the verdict of outlandish Readers. And perhaps also here I might have ended nameless, but that the addrefs of thefe lines chiefly to the Parlament of England might have feem'd ingrateful not to acknowledge by whole religious Care, unwearied Watchfulness, couragious and heroic Refolutions, I enjoy the peace and studious leifure to remain,

The Honourer and Attendant of their Noble Worth and Virtues.

The Doctrine and Discipline of DIVORCE; restor'd to the good of both Sexes.

BOOK I.

The PREFACE.

That Man is the occasion of his own Miseries, in most of those Evils which he imputes to Goa's instituting. The absurdity of our Canonists in their Decrees about Divorce. The Christian Imperial Laws framed with more Equity. The Opinion of Hugo Grotius and Paulus Fagius: And the Purpose in general of this Discourse.

ANY men, whether it be their fate, or fond opinion, easily persuade themselves, if God would but be pleas'd a while to withdraw his just punishments from us, and to restrain what power either the Devil or any earthly enemy hath to work us woe, that then man's Nature would find immediate rest and releasement from all Evils. But verily they who think so, if they be such as have a mind large enough to take into their thoughts a general survey of human things, would soon prove themselves in that Opinion far deceiv'd. For though it were granted us by divine Indulgence to be exempt from all that can be harmful to us from without, yet the perverseness of our Folly is so bent, that we should never lin hammering out of our own hearts, as it were out of a slint, the feeds and sparkles of new Milery to our selves, till all were in a blaze again. And no marvel if out of our own hearts, for they are evil; but even out of those things which God meant us, either for a principal Good, or a pure Contentment, we are still hatching and con-

:5

triving upon our felves matter of continued forrow and perplexity. What greater good to man than that revealed Rule, wherby God vouchfafes to shew us how he would be worshipt? And yet that not rightly understood, became the cause that once a famous man in Ifrael could not but oblige his Conscience to be the Sacrificer; or if not, the Jaylor of his innocent and only Daughter: And was the cause of times that Armies of valiant men have given up their Throats to a heathenish enemy on the Sabbath-day; fondly thinking their defensive resistance to be as then a work unlawful. What thing more instituted to the solace and delight of man than Marriage? and yet the misinterpreting of some Scripture directed mainly against the abusers of the Law for Divorce given by Moses, hath chang'd the bleffing of Matrimony not feldom into a familiar and co-inhabiting mischief; at least into a drooping and disconsolate houshold Captivity, without refuge or redemption. So ungovern'd and to wild a race doth Superstition run us, from one extreme of abused Liberty into the other of unmerciful Restraint. For although God in the first ordaining of Marriage, taught us to what end he did it, in words expresly implying the apt and chearful Conversation of Man with Woman, to comfort and refresh him against the evil of solitary life, not mentioning the purpose of Generation till afterwards, as being but a secondary end in dignity, tho' not in necessity; yet now, if any two be but once handed in the Church, and have tasted in any fort the nuptial Bed, let them find themselves never so mistaken in their dispositions through any Error, Concealment, or Misadventure, that through their different Tempers, Thoughts, and Constitutions, they can neither be to one another a remedy against Loneliness, nor live in any Union or Contentment all their days, yet they shall, so they be but found fuitably weapon'd to the least possibility of sensual Enjoyment, be made, spight of Antipathy, to fadge together, and combine as they may to their unspeakable wearisomeness, and despair of all sociable delight in the Ordinance which God establish'd to that very end. What a calamity is this, and as the Wise-man, if he were alive, would figh out in his own Phrase, what a fore evil is this under the Sun! All which we can refer justly to no other Author than the Canon Law and her Adherents, not consulting with Charity, the Interpreter and Guide of our Faith, but resting in the meer element of the Text; doubtless by the policy of the Devil to make that gracious Ordinance become unsupportable, that what with men not daring to venture upon Wedloc, and what with men wearied out of it, all inordinate Licence might abound. It was for many Ages that Marriage lay in diffgrace with most of the ancient Doctors, as a work of the slesh, almost a defilement, wholly deny'd to Priests, and the second time dissipated to all, as he that reads Tertullian or Jerom may fee at large. Afterwards it was thought fo Sacramental, that no Adultery or Defertion could dissolve it; and this is the fense of our Canon Courts in England to this day, but in no other reformed Church else: yet there remains in them also a burden on it as heavy as the other two were difgraceful or superstitious, and of as much iniquity, croffing a Law not only written by Moses, but character'd in us by nature, of more antiquity and deeper ground than Marriage it felf; which Law is to force nothing against the faultless proprieties of Nature: yet that this may be colourably done, our Saviour's Words touching Divorce, are as it were congeal'd into a stony rigor, inconsistent both with his Doctrine and his Office; and that which he preach'd only to the Confcience, is by Canonical Tyranny fnatch'd into the compulsive Censure of a Judicial Court, where Laws are imposed even against the venerable and fecret power of Nature's impression, to love, whatever cause be found to loath. Which is a heinous barbarism both against the honour of Marriage, the dignity of Man and his Soul, the goodness of Christianity, and all the human respects of civility. Notwithstanding that some the wisest and gravest among the Christian Emperors, who had about them, to consult with, those of the Fathers then living; who for their Learning and Holiness of Life, are still with us in great renown, have made their statutes and edicts concerning this Debate far more early and relenting in many necessary cases, wherin the Canon is inflexible. And Hugo Grotius, a man of these times, one of the best learned, feems not obscurely to adhere in his perswasion to the equity of those Imperial Decrees, in his notes upon the Evangelists; much allaying the outward roughness of the Text, which hath for the most part bin too immoderately expounded; and excites the diligence of others to inquire further into this queftion, as concerning many points that have not yet been explain'd. Which ever likely to remain intricate and hopeless upon the suppositions commonly stuck to, the authority of Paulus Fagius, one so learned and so eminent in England once, if it might

might perivate, would ftrait acquaint us with a folution of these differences, no less prudent than compendious. He in his Comment on the Pentatench, doubted not to maintain that Divorces might be as lawfully permitted by the Magistrate to Christians, as they were to the Jews. But because he is but brief, and these things of great confequence not to be kept obfeure, I shall conceive it nothing above my duty, either for the difficulty or the centure that may pass theron, to communicate fuch thoughts as I also have had, and do offer them now in this general labour of Reformation to the candid view both of Church and Magistrate, especially because I see it the hope of good men, that those irregular and unspiritual Courts have spun their utmost date in this Land, and some better course must now be constituted. This therfore shall be the task and period of this difcourse to prove, first, that other reasons of Divorce, besides Adultery, were by the Law of Alofes, and are yet to be allow'd by the Christian Magistrate as a piece of Justice, and that the words of Christ are not hereby contraried. Next, that to prohibit absolutely any Divorce whatsoever, except those which Moses excepted, is against the reason of Law, as in due place I shall shew out of Fagius with many additions. He therfore who by adventuring, shall be so happy as with fuecess to light the way of such an expedient Liberty and Truth as this, shall restore the much-wrong'd and over-sorrow'd state of Matrimony, not only to those merciful and life-giving remedies of Nieses, but as much as may be, to that ferene and blitsful condition it was in at the beginning, and shall deferve of all apprehensive men, (confidering the troubles and diffempers which for want of this infight have been fo oft in Kingdoms, in States and Families) shall deferve to be reckon'd among the public Benefactors of civil and human life, above the Inventors of Wine and Oil; for this is a far dearer, far nobler, and more defirable cherifhing to man's life, unworthily exposed to Sadnefs and Mittake, which he shall vindicate. Not that licence, and levity, and unconfented breach of Faith should herein be countenanc'd, but that some conscionable and tender pity might be had of those who have unwarily, in a thing they never practis'd before, made themselves the Bondmen of a luckless and helpless Matrimony. In which Argument, he whose courage can serve him to give the first onset, must look for two several oppositions; the one from those who having fworn themselves to long Cuttom, and the letter of the Text, will not out of the road: the other from those whose gross and vulgar Apprehensions conceit but low of matrimonial purposes, and in the work of Male and Female think they have all. Nevertheless, it shall be here sought by due ways to be made appear, that those Words of God in the Institution, promising a meet help against Loneliness, and those Words of Christ, That his yoke is easy, and his burden light, were not spoken in vain; for if the knot of Marriage may in no case be diffolv'd but for Adultery, all the burdeds and fervices of the Law are not fo intolerable. This only is defir'd of them who are minded to judge hardly of thes maintaining, that they would be ftill, and hear all out, nor think it equal to answer deliberate reason with sudden heat and noise; remembring this, that many Truths now of reverend efteem and credit, had their birth and beginning once from fingular and private thoughts, while the most of men were otherwise possest, and had the fate at first to be generally exploded and exclaim'd on by many violent oppofers: yet I may err perhaps in foothing my felf, that this present truth reviv'd, will deserve on all hands to be not finisterly receiv'd, in that it undertakes the cure of an inveterate difease crept into the best part of human Society; and to do this with no imarting corrofive, but with a imooth and pleafing leffon, which receiv'd, hath the virtue to foften and difpel rooted and knotty forrows, and without inchantment, if that be fear'd, or fpell us'd, hath regard at once both to ferious pity and upright honesty; that tends to the redeeming and reftoring of none but fuch as are the object of compafiion, having in an ill hour hamper'd themselves, to the utter dispatch of all their most beloved Comforts and Report for this life's term. But if we shall obstinately dislike this new overture of unexpected Eafe and Recovery, what remains but to deplore the frowardness of our hopeless condition, which neither can indure the estate we are in, nor admit of remedy either sharp or sweet. Sharp we our selves distaste; and fweet, under whose hands we are, is scrup? I and suspected as too luscious. In fuch a pollure Christ found the Jews, who were neither won with the Austerity of John the Baptist, and thought it too much licence to follow freely the charining pipe of him who founded and proclaim'd Liberty and Relief to all Diftrefles: yet Truth in some Age or other will find her witness, and shall be justify'd CHAP. at last by her own children.

CHAP. I.

The Position prov'd by the Law of Moses. That Law expounded and afferted to a moral and charitable use, sirst by Paulus Fagius, next with other Additions.

Oremove therfore, if it be possible; this great and fad Oppression which thro' the strictness of a literal interpreting had invaded and disturb'd the dearest and most peaceable estate of houshold Society, to the over-burthening, if not the over-whelming of many Christians better worth than to be so deserted of the Church's considerate care, this Position shall be laid down, first proving, then answering what may be objected either from Scripture or Light of Reason.

That indisposition, unsituess, or contrariety of mind, arising from a cause in nature unchangeable, bindering, and ever likely to binder the main benefits of conjugal Society, which are Solace and Peace, is a greater reason of Diverce than natural Fri-

gidity, especially if there be no Children, and that there be mutual consent.

This I gather from the Law in Deut. 24. 1. When a man hath taken a wife and merried her, and it come to pass that she find no favour in his eyes, because he hath sound some uncleanness in her, let him write her a bill of Divorcement, and give it in her hand, and send her out of his house, &c. This Law, if the Words of Christ may be admitted into our belief, shall never while the World stands, for him be abrogated. First therfore I here set down what learned Fagius hath observed on this Law; The Law of God, said he, permitted Divorce for the help of human weakness. For every one that of necessity separates, cannot live single. That Christ deny'd Divorce to his own, hinders not; for what is that to the unregenerate, who hath not attain'd such Persestion? Let not the remedy be despis'd which was given to weakness. And when Christ saith, who marries the Divorc'd commits adultery, it is to be understood if he had any plot in the Divorce. The rest I reserve until it be disputed, how the Magistrate is to do herein. From hence we may may plainly discern a two-sold Consideration of this Law, first the End of the Law-giver, and the proper Act of the Law, to command or to allow something just and honest, or indifferent. Secondly, his sufferance from some accidental result of evil by this allowance, which the Law cannot remedy. For if this Law have no other End or Act but only the allowance of Sin, though never to so good Intention, that Law is no Law, but Sin mussilid in the robe of Law, or Law disguis'd in the loose garment of Sin. Both which are two foul Hypotheses, to save the Phenemenon of our Saviour's answer to the Pharisees about this matter. And I trust anon by the help of an infallible guide to persect such Prutenic Tables as shall mend the Astronomy of our wide Expositors.

The cause of Divorce mention'd in the Law, is translated some uncleanness, but in the Hebrew it founds nakednefs of ought, or any real nakednefs: which by all the learned Interpreters is referr'd to the Mind as well as the Body. And what greater nakedness or unfitness of mind than that which hinders ever the solace and peaceful fociety of the married couple; and what hinders that more than the unfitnefs and defectiveness of an unconjugal Mind? The cause therfore of Divorce exprest in the Position cannot but agree with that describ'd in the best and equaliest sense of Messes's Law. Which being a matter of pure Charity, is plainly moral, and more now in force than ever, therfore furely lawful. For if under the Law fuch was God's gracious Indulgence, as not to fuffer the Ordinance of his goodness and favour through any error to be sear'd and stigmatiz'd upon his Servants to their mifery and thraldom; much lefs will he fuffer it now under the Covenant of Grace, by abrogating his former grant of remedy and relief. But the first institution will be objected to have ordain'd Marriage unseparable. To that a little patience until this first part have amply discours'd the grave and pious Reasons of this divorcive Law; and then I doubt not but with one genile stroaking to wipe away ten thousand Tears out of the life of Man. Yet thus much I shall now insist on, that whatever the Institution were, it could not be so enormous, nor so rebellious against both Nature and Reason, as to exalt

ittelf above the End and Person for whom it was instituted.

CHAP. II.

The first Reason of this Law grounded on the prime Reason of Matrimony. That no Covenant whatsoever obliges against the main End both of it self, and of the Parties covenanting.

NOR all Senfe and Equity reclaims that any Law of Covenant, how foleman or first foever, either between God and Man, or Man and Man, though of God's joining, should bind against a prime and principal scope of its own in-stitution, and of both or either Party covenanting: neither can it be of force to ingage a blameless Creature to his own perpetual Sorrow, mistaken for his expected folace, without fuffering Charity to step in and do a confest good work of parting those, whom nothing holds together but this of God's joining, falsiy suppos'd against the express end of his own Ordinance. And what this chief end was of creating Women to be join'd with Man, his own inftituting words declare, and are infallible to inform us what is Marriage, and what is no Marriage; unless we can think them set there to no purpose: It is not good, saith he, that man should be alone, I will make him a kelf-most for him. From which words so plain, less cannot be concluded, nor is by any learned Interpreter, than that in God's intention a meet and happy Conversation is the chiefest and the noblest end of Marriage: for we find here no Expression so necessarily implying carnal Knowledge, as this prevention of Loneliness to the mind and spirit of Man. To this, Fagius, Calvin, Pareus, Rivetus, as willingly and largely affent as can be wisht. And indeed it is a greater bleffing from God, more worthy fo excellent a Creature as Man is, and a higher end to honour and functify the league of Marriage, whenas the folace and fatisfaction of the Mind is regarded and provided for before the fenfitive pleafing of the Body. with all generous persons married thus it is, that where the Mind and Person pleafes aptly, there fome unaccomplishment of the Body's delight may be better born with, than when the Mind hangs off in an unclefing disproportion, though the Body be as it ought; for there all corporal delight will foon become unfavoury and contemptible. And the folitariness of Man, which God had namely and principally order'd to prevent by Marriage, hath no remedy, but lies under a worfe condition than the loneliest single life; for in single life the absence and remoteness of a Helper might inure him to expect his own comforts out of himfelf, or to feek with hope: but here the continual fight of his deluded thoughts without cure, must needs be to him, if especially his complexion incline him to Melancholy, a daily trouble and pain of loss, in some degree like that which Reprobates feel. Left therefore fo noble a creature as Man should be shut up incurably under a worse evil by an easy mistake in that Ordinance which God gave him to remedy a lefsevil, reaping to himfelf Sorrow while he went to rid away Solitariness, it cannot avoid to be concluded, that if the Woman be naturally fo of disposition, as will not help to remove, but help to increase that same God-forbidden loneliness, which will in time draw on with it a general difcomfort and dejection of mind, not befeeming either Christian profession, or Moral conversation, unprofitable and dangerous to the Commonwealth, when the houshold estate, out of which must flourish forth the vigor and spirit of all public enterprizes, is so ill contented and procur'd at home, and cannot be supported: such a Marriage can be no Marriage, whereto the most honest End is wanting; and the aggrieved person shall do more manly, to be extraordinary and fingular in claiming the due right whereof he is frustrated, than to piece up his loft contentment by vifiting the Stews, or ft pping to his neighbour's Bed; which is the common shift in this misfortune: or else by suffering his ufeful life to wafte away, and be loft under a fecret Affliction of an unconfeionable fize to human strength. Against all which Evils, the Mercy of this Mofaic Law was graciously exhibited.

CHAP. III.

The Ignorance and Iniquity of Canon Law, providing for the right of the Body in Marriage, but nothing for the wrongs and grievances of the Mind. An Objection, That the Mind should be better lookt to before Contract, answered.

OW vain therfore is it, and how preposterous in the Canon Law, to have made such careful provision against the impediment of carnal performance, and to have had no care about the unconversing inability of Mind, so defective to the purest and most facred end of Matrimony; and that the Vessel of voluptuous enjoyment must be made good to him that has taken it upon trust, without any caution; whenas the Mind, from whence must flow the acts of Peace and Love, a far more precious mixture than the quinteffence of an excrement, though it be found never to deficient and unable to perform the best duty of Marriage in a chearful and agreeable Converfacion, shall be thought good enough, however flat and melancholious it be, and must serve, though to the eternal disturbance and languishing of him that complains? Yet Wisdom and Charity weighing God's own Institution, would think that the pining of a sad Spirit wedded to Loneliness, should deserve to be freed, as well as the Impatience of a sensual Defire so providently reliev'd. 'Tis read to us in the Liturgy, that we must not marry to satisfy the stiffely appetite, like brute beasts, that have no understanding: but the Canon fo runs, as if it dreamt of no other matter than fuch an appetite to be fatisfy'd; for if it happen that Nature hath stopt or extinguisht the veins of Sensuality, that Marriage is annull'd. But though all the Faculties of the understanding and converfing part after trial appear to be foill and fo averfely met through Nature's unalterable working, as that neither Peace, nor any fociable Contentment can follow, 'tis as nothing, the Contract shall stand as firm as ever, betide what will. What is this but fecretly to instruct us, that however many grave Reasons are pretended to the married life, yet that nothing indeed is thought worth regard therin, but the prescrib'd satisfaction of an irrational Heat? Which cannot be but ignominious to the state of Marriage, dishonourable to the undervalu'd Soul of Man, and even to Christian Doctrine it self: While it seems more mov'd at the difappointing of an impetuous Nerve, than at the ingenuous grievance of a Mind unreasonably yoakt; and to place more of Marriage in the Channel of Concupifcence, than in the pure influence of Peace and Love, wherof the Soul's lawful Contentment is the one only fountain.

But some are ready to object, That the Disposition ought seriously to be confidered before. But let them know again, that for all the wariness can be us'd, it may yet befal a difereet man to be mistaken in his Choice, and we have plenty of Examples. The soberest and best-govern'd men are least practis'd in these Affairs; and who knows not that the bashful muteness of a Virgin may oft-times hide all the unliveliness and natural floth which is really unfit for Conversation; nor is there that freedom of accels granted or prefum'd, as may fuffice to a perfect differning till too late: and where any Indisposition is suspected, what more usual than the perswasion of Friends, that Acquaintance as it increases, will amend all? And laftly, it is not strange though many who have spent their Youth chaftely, are in some things not so quick-fighted, while they halte so eagerly to light the nuptial Torch; nor is it therfore that for a modest Error a man should forteit so great a happiness, and no charitable means to release him: Since they, who have liv'd most loosely by reason of their bold accustoming, prove most successful in their Matches, because their wild Affections unsettling at will, have been as fo many Divorces to teach them experience. Whenas the fober Man honouring the appearance of Modesty, and hoping well of every social virtue under that vail, may easily chance to meet, if not with a Body impenetrable, yet often with a Mind to all other due Conversation inaccessible, and to all the more estimable and superiour purposes of Matrimony useless and almost liveless: and what a folace, what a fit help fuch a Confort would be through the whole life of a Man, is less pain to conjecture than to have experience.

Vol. I. Z 2 CHAP.

CHAP. IV.

The second Reason of this Law, because without it, Marriage as it happens oft is not a remedy of that which it promises, as any rational creature would expect. That Marriage, if we pattern from the beginning, as our Saviour bids, was not properly the remedy of Lust, but the fulfilling of conjugal Love and Helpfulness.

A ND that we may further fee what a violent cruel thing it is to force the continuing of those together, whom God and Nature in the gentlest end of Marriage never join'd, divers evils and extremities that follow upon such a compulsion, shall here be set in view. Of evils, the first and greatest is, that hereby a most absurd and rash imputation is fixt upon God and his holy Laws, of conniving and difpenfing with open and common Adultery among his chofen people; a thing which the rankest politician would think it shame and disworship that his Laws should countenance: how and in what manner that comes to pass, I shall referve till the course of method brings on the unrolding of many Scriptures. Next, the Law and Gofpel are hereby made liable to more than one contradiction, which I refer also thither. Lastly, the supreme dictate of Charity is hereby many ways neglected and violated; which I shall forthwith address to prove. First, we know St. Paul faith, It is better to marry than to burn. Marriage therfore was given as a remedy of that trouble; but what might this burning mean? Certainly not the meer motion of carnal luft, not the meer goad of a fenfitive defire, God does not principally take care for fuch Cattle. What is it then but that defire which God put into Adam in Paradife before he knew the fin of Incontinence; that defire which God faw it was not good that Man should be left alone to burn in, the defire and longing to put off an unkindly folitariness by uniting another body, but not without a fit foul, to his in the chearful fociety of Wedloc? Which if it were so needful before the fall, when Man was much more perfect in himself, how much more is it needful now against all the forrows and casualties of this life, to have an intimate and speaking help, a ready and reviving affociate in marriage? wherof who miffes, by chancing on a mute and spiritless mate, remains more alone than before, and in a burning less to be contain'd than that which is fleshly; and more to be confider'd, as being more deeply rooted even in the faultless innocence of nature. As for that other burning, which is but as it were the venom of a lufty and over-abounding concoction, strict life and labour, with the abatement of a full diet, may keep that low and obedient enough: but this pure and more inbred defire of joining to it felf in conjugal fellowship a fit conversing soul (which defire is properly called love) is ftronger than death, as the spouse of Christ thought; many waters cannot quench it, neither can the floods arown it. This is that rational burning that Marriage is to remedy, not to be allay'd with fasting, nor with any penance to be subdu'd; which how can he asswage who by mishap hath met the most unmeet and unsuitable mind? Who hath the power to struggle with an intelligible flame, not in Paradile to be refisted, become now more ardent by being fail'd of what in reason it lookt for; and even then most unquenciat, when the importunity of a provender-burning is well enough at peas'd; and yet the foul hath obtained nothing of what it justly defires. Certainly fuch a one forbidden to divorce, is in effect forbidden to marry, and compell'd to greater difficulties than in a fingle life : for if there be not a more humane burning which Marriage must satisfy, or else may be dissolved, than that of copulation, Marriage cannot be honourable for the meet reducing and terminating lust between two: seeing many beasts in voluntary and chosen couples, live together as unadulteroufly, and are astruly married in that respect. But all ingenuous Men will fee that the dignity and bleffing of Marriage is plac'd rather in the mutual enjoyment of that which the wanting foul needfully feeks, than of that which the plenteous body would joyfully give away. Hence it is that Plato in his Festival discourse brings in Socrates relating what he seign'd to have learnt from the Prophetess Dotima, how Love was the son of Penury, begot of Plenty in the Garden of Justicer. Which divinely forts with that which

Discipline of DIVORCE.

in effect Alges tells us, that Love was the fon of Loncliness, begot in Paradise by that fociable and helpful aptitude which God implanted between Man and Woman toward each other. The same also is that burning mentioned by St. Paul, wherof Marriage ought to be the remedy; the Flesh hath other mutual and easy curbs which are in the power of any temperate Man. When therfore this original and finless Penury or Loneliness of the foul cannot lay it felf down by the fide of fuch a meet and acceptable union as God ordain'd in Marriage, at least in fome proportion, it cannot conceive and bring forth Love, but remains utterly unmarried under a formal Wedloc, and ftill burns in the proper meaning of St. Paul. Then enters Hate, not that Hate that fins, but that which only is natural diffatisfaction, and the turning afide from a miftaken object: if that miftake have done injury, it fails not to difinifs with recompence; for to retain still, and not be able to love, is to heap up more injury. Thence this wife and pious Law of Dismission now defended took beginning: He therfore who lacking of his due in the most native and humane end of Marriage, thinks it better to part than to live fadly and injuriofly to that cheerful Covenant (for not to be belov'd, and yet retain'd, is the greatest injury to a gentle spirit) he I say, who therfore seeks to part, is one who highly honours the married life, and would not ftain it: and the teafons which now move him to divorce, are equal to the best of those that could first warrant him to marry; for, as was plainly shewn, both the hate which now diverts him, and the loneliness which leads him still powerfully to feek a fit help, hath not the least grain of a fin in it, if he be worthy to understand himself.

CHAP. V.

The third Reason of this Law, because without it, he who has happen'd where he finds nothing but remediless Offences and Discontents, is in more and greater Temptations than ever before.

Hirdly, Yet it is next to be fear'd, if he must be still bound without rea-fon by a deaf rigor, that when he perceives the inclusion. fon by a deaf rigor, that when he perceives the just expectance of his mind defeated, he will begin even against Law to cast about where he may find his satisfaction more compleat, unless he be a thing heroically virtuous, and that are not the common lump of Men, for whom chiefly the Laws ought to be made; though not to their fins, yet to their unfinning weaknesses, it being above their strength to endure the lonely estate, which while they shunn'd, they are fallen into. And yet there follows upon this a worse temptation; for if he be such as hath spent his youth unblameably, and laid up his chiefest earthly comforts in the enjoyments of a contented Marriage, nor did neglect that furtherance which was to be obtain'd therein by constant prayers, when he shall find himself bound fast to an uncomplying discord of nature, or, as it oft happens, to an Image of Earth and Fleam, with whom he lookt to be the Copartner of a sweet and gladfome fociety, and fees withal that his bondage is now inevitable; though he be almost the strongest Christian, he will be ready to despair in virtue, and mutiny against Divine Providence; and this doubtless is the reason of those lapses and that melancholly despair which we see in many wedded persons, tho' they understand it not, or pretend other causes, because they know no remedy, and is of extreme danger: therfore when human frailty furcharg'd, is at fuch a lois, charity ought to venture much, and use bold Physick, left an over-toft faith indanger to shipwrack.

CHAP. VI.

The fourth Reason of this Law, that God regards Love and Peace in the Family, more than a compulsive performance of Marriage, which is more broke by a grievous Continuance, than by a needful Divorce.

Ourthly, Marriage is a Covenant, the very being wherof confifts not in a forc'd cohabitation, and counterfeit performance of decidents. forc'd cohabitation, and counterfeit performance of duties, but in unfeigned love and peace: And of Matrimonial love, no doubt but that was chiefly meant, which by the ancient Sages was thus parabled; That Love, if he be not twinborn, yet hath a brother wondrous like him, call'd Anteros; whom while he feeks all about, his chance is to meet with many false and seigning desires that wander fingly up and down in his likenefs: By them in their borrow'd garb, Love though not wholly blind, as Poets wrong him, yet having but one eye, as being born an Archer aiming, and that eye not the quickest in this dark Region here below, which is not Love's proper Sphere, partly out of the simplicity and credulity which is native to him, often deceived, imbraces and conforts him with these obvious and suborned Striplings, as if they were his Mother's own Sons; for so he thinks them, while they subtilly keep themselves most on his blind fide. But after a while, as his manner is, when foaring up into the high Tower of his Apogaum, above the shadow of the Earth, he darts out the direct rays of his then most piercing eye-fight upon the impostures, and trim difguizes that were us'd with him, and differns that this is not his genuine brother, as he imagin'd. He has no longer the power to hold fellowship with such a personated Mate; for ftrait his arrows lofe their golden heads, and shed their purple feathers, his filken Braids untwine, and flip their knots, and that original and flery virtue given him by Fate all on a fudden goes out, and leaves him undeified and despoil'd of all his force, till finding Anteros at last, he kindles and repairs the almost faded ammunition of his Deity by the reslection of a coequal and homogeneal fire. Thus mine Author fung it to me; and by the leave of those who would be counted the only grave ones, this is no meer amatorious novel (though to be wife and skilful in these matters, Men heretofore of greatest name in virtue, have. efteemed it one of the highest Arcs that human Contemplation circling upwards, can make from the globy Sea wheron the stands:) but this is a deep and serious verity, shewing us that Love in Marriage cannot live nor sublist unless it be mutual; and where Love cannot be, there can be left of Wedloc nothing but the empty husk of an outside Matrimony, as undelightful and unpleasing to God, as any other kind of hypocrify. So far is his Command from tying Men to the ob-Tervance of Duties which there is no help for, but they must be diffembled. If Solomon's advice be not over-frolic, Live joyfully, faith he, with the Wife whom iboulovest, all thy days, for that is thy portion. How then, where we find it impossible to rejoice or to love, can we obey this Precept? How miserably do we defraud our felves of that comfortable portion which God gives us, by striving vainly to glue an error together, which God and Nature will not join, adding but more vexation and violence to that blissful fociety by our importunate superstition, that will not hearken to St. Paul, 1 Cer. 7. who speaking of Marriage and Divorce, determines plain enough in general, that God therin hath call'd us to peace, and not to bondage. Yea, God himfelf commands in his Law more than once, and by his Prophet Malachy, as Calvin and the best Translations read, that he who hates, let him divorce, that is, he who cannot love. Hence is it that the Rabbins, and Maimonides famous among the rest in a Book of his set forth by Buntorsius, tells us, that Divorce was permitted by Moses to preserve peace in Marriage, and quiet in the Family. Surely the Jews had their faving Peace about them as well as we, yet care was taken that this wholefome provifion for houfhold Peace fhould alfo be allow'd them; and must this be deny'd to Christians? O perverseness! that the Law should be made more provident of peace-making than the Gospel! that the Gospel should be put to beg a most necessary help of Mercy from the Law, but must not have it; and that to grind in the Mill of an undelighted and fervile copulation, mult be the only forc'd work of a Christian Marriage oft-times with fuch a yoke-fellow, from whom both Love and Peace, both Nature and Religion mourns to be separated. I cannot therfore be so diffident, as not secarely to conclude, that he who can receive nothing of the most important helps in Marriage, being thereby difinabled to return that duty which is his, with a clear and hearty countenance; and thus continues to grieve whom he would not, and is no less gricv'd, that Man ought even for Love's sake and Peace to move Divorce upon good and liberal conditions to the divorc'd. And it is a less breach of Wedl e to part with wise and quiet confent betimes, than still to foil and prophane that mystery of joy and union with a polluting sadness and perpetual distemper; for it is not the outward continuing of Marriage that keeps whole that Covenant, but whatfoever does most according to Peace and Love, whether in Murriage or in Divorce, he it is that breaks Marriage least; it being so often written, that Love only is the sulfilling of every Commandment.

CHAP. VII.

The fifth Reason, that nothing more hinders and disturbs the whole Life of a Christian, than a Matrimony found to be uncurably unfit, and doth the same in effect that an Idolatrous Match.

If they could not rightly execute their function; to every true Christian in a tugher order of Prietthood is a person dedicate to joy and peace, offering himself a lively factifice of praise and thankfgiving, and there is no Christian duty that is not to be feafon'd and let off with chearishness; which in a thousand outward and intermitting croffes may yet be done well, as in this vale of tears: but in fach a bosom-affliction as this, crashing the very foundation of his inmost nature, when he shall be forc'd to love against a possibility, and to use a dissimu-Lation against his foul in the perpetual and ceaseless duties of a Husband, doubt-I. F. Lis whole duty of ferving God must needs he blurr'd and tainted with a sad unprepiredness and dejection of spirit, wherein God has no delight. Who sees not therfore how much more Christianity it would be to break by divorce that a high is more broken by undue and forcible keeping, rather than to cover the Alter of the Lord with continual tears; fo that he regardeth not the offering any more; rather than that the whole Worthip of a Christian man's life should languish and sade away beneath the weight of an immeasurable grief and discouragement? And because some think the Children of a second Matrimony succeeding a Diverce, would not be a holy Seed, it hinder'd not the Jews from being so; and why should we not think them more holy than the off-spring of a former ill-twifted Wedloc, begotten only out of a bestial necessity, without any true love or contentment, or joy to their Parents? So that in some sense we may call them the Children of wrath and anguish, which will as little conduce to their functifying, as if they had been Battards: for nothing more than difturbance of mind sufpends us from approaching to God, such a disturbance especially, as both affaults our faith and trust in God's providence, and ends, if there be not a miracle of virtue on either fide, not only in bitterness and wrath, the Canker of Devotion, but in a desperate and vicious carelessiness, when he sees himself withour fault of hir, train'd by a deceitful bait into a fnare of mifery, betray'd by an alluring Ordinance, and then made the thrall of heaviness and discomfort by an undivorcing Law of Gol, as he erroneously thinks, but of Man's iniquity, as the truth is: for that God prefers the free and chearful Worship of a Christian, before the grievous and exacted observance of an unhappy Marriage, befides that the general maxims of Religion affure us, will be more manifest by drawing a parallel Argument from the ground of divorcing an Idolatrefs, which was, left he should alienate his heart from the tree worship of God: and what difference is there whether the pervert him to superstition by her inticing Sorcary, or diffinable him in the whole fervice of God through the diffurbance of her unhelpful and unfit fociety, and fo drive him at laft, through murmuring and defpair, to thoughts of Atheilin? Neither doth it leffen the cause of separating, in that the one willingly allures him from the Faith, the other perhaps unwillingly drives him; for in the account of God it comes all to one, that the Wife lootes him a fervant ; and th refore by all the united force of the $\it Deca$ ugue the oright to be ditbinded, unlets we must set. Marriage, above God and Charity, which is the Doftrine of Devils, no lefs than forbidding to marry. СНÁР.

CHAP. VIII.

That an Idolatrous Heretic ought to be divored after a convenient space given to hope of Conversion. That place of Cor. 7. restor'd from a two-folderroneous Exposition; and that the common Expositors slatly contradict the Moral Law.

ND here by the way, to illustrate the whole question of Divorce, ere this Treatife end, I shall not be loth to spend a few lines in hope to give a sull refolve of that which is yet fo much controverted, whether an Idolatrous Heretic ought be divorc'd. To the refolving wherof we must first know, that the Jews were commanded to divorce an unbelieving Gentile for two causes: First, because all other Nations, especially the Canaanites, wereto them unclean. Secondly, to avoid feducement. That other Nations were to the fews impure, even to the feparating of Marriage, will appear out of Evod. 34. 16. Deut. 7. 3, 6. compar'd with Ezra 9. 2. also Chap. 10. 10, 11. Nehem. 13. 30. This was the ground of that doubt rais'd among the Corinthians by fome of the Circumcifion; Whether an Unbeliever were not still to be counted an unclean thing, fo as that they ought to divorce from fuch a person. This doubt of theirs S. Paul removes by an Evangelical reason, having respect to that Vision of S. Peter, wherin the diffinction of clean and unclean being abolisht, all living Creatures were fanctified to a pure and Christian use, and mankind especially, now invited by a general call to the Covenant of Grace. Therfore faith S. Paul, The unbelieving Wife is functified by the Husband; that is, made pure and lawful to his use, so that he need not put her away for fear left her unbelief should defile him; but that if he found her love still towards him, he might rather hope to win her. The fecond reason of that Divorce was to avoid seducement, as is proved by comparing those two places of the Law, to that which Ezra and Nebemiah did by Divine Warrant in compelling the Jews to forgo their Wives. And this reason is moral and perpetual in the rule of Christian Faith without evasion; therfore thith the Apost le, 2 Cor. 6. Missocke not together with Insidels, which is interpreted of Marriage in the first place. And although the former legal pollution be now done off, yet there is a spiritual contagion in Idolatry as much to be fhun'd; and though feducement were not to be fear'd, yet where there is no hope of converting, there always ought to be a certain religious aversation and abhorring, which can no way fort with Marriage: Therfore faith S. Paul, What fellowship hath righteousness with unrighteousness? what communion hath light with darkness? what concord hath Christ with Belial? what part hath he that, believeth with an Instale! And the next verse but one he moralizes, and makes us liable to that command of Isaiah; Wherfore come out from among them, and be ye separate, saith the Lord; touch not the unclean thing, and I will receive ve. And this Command thus gospellized to us, hath the same sorce with that wheron Ezra grounded the pious necessity of divorcing. Neither had he other commission for what he did, than fuch a general command in Deut, as this, may not fo direel; for he is bid there not to marry, but not bid to divorce, and yet we fee with whata zeal and confidence he was the Author of a general Divorce between the faithful and the unfaithful feed. The Gospel is more plainly on his side, according to three of the Evangelists, than the words of the Law; for where the case of Divorce is handled with fuch a feverity, as was fittest to aggravate the fault of unbounded licence, yet still in the same Chapter, when it comes into question asterwards, whether any civil respect, or natural relation which is dearest, may be our pleato divide, or hinder or but delay our duty to Religion, we hear it determin'd, that Father, and Mother, and Wife also, is not only to be hated, but forfaken, if we mean to inherit the great Reward there promifed. Nor will it fuffice to be put off by faying we must fortake them only by not confenting or not complying with them, for that were to be done, and roundly too, though being of the same faith, they should but feek out of a fleshly tendernessto weaken our Christian fortitude with worldly perswasions, or butto unsettle our constancy with timorous and fostning suggestions; as we may read with what a vehemence fob, the patientest of Men, rejected the desperate counsels of his Wise; and

Moles, the meckett, being throughly offended with the prophane speeches of Zinpera, fent her back to her father, But if they shall perpetually at our elbow seduce us from the true Worthip of God, or defile and daily feandalize our Confeience by their hopeless continuance in misbelief, then even in the due progress of Reason, and that ever-equal proportion which Justice proceeds by, it cannot be imagin'd that this cited place commands lefs than a total and final separation from such an Adherent, at least that no force should be used to keep them together; while we remember that God commanded Abraham to fend away his irreligious Wife and her Sonfor the offences which they gave in a pious family. And it may be guest that David for the like cause disposed of Michal in such a fort, as little differed from a difmission. Therfore against reiterated scandals and seducements, which never ccase, much more can no other remedy or retirement be found but absolute departure. For what kind of matrimony can that remain to be, what one duty between fuch can be perform'd as it should be from the heart, when their thoughts and spirits fly asunder as far as Heaven from Hell, especially if the time that hope should send forth her expected blossoms be past in vain? It will easily be true, that a Father or a Brother may be hated zealoufly, and lov'd civilly or naturally; for those duties may be performed at distance, and do admit of any long absence: but how the peace and perpetual cohabitation of Marriage can be kept, how that benevolentand intimate communion of Body can be held with one that must be hated with a most operative hatred, must be for saken and yet continually dwelt with and accompanied, he who can diffinguish, haththe gift of an affection very eddly divided and contrived; while others both just and wife, and Solomon among therest, if they may not hate and for lake as Moses enjoins, and the Gospel imports, will find it impossible not to love otherwise than will fort with the love of God, whose jealoufy brooks no corrival. And whether is more likely, that Christ bidding to fortakeWifefor Religion, meant it by divorce as Moses meant it, whose Law grounded on moral Reason, was both his office and his effence to maintain; or that he should bring a new Morality into Religion, not only new, but contrary to an unchangeable Command, and dangeroufly derogating from our love and worship of God? As if when Moses had bid Divorce absolutely, and Christ had faid, hate and forfake, and his Apostle had faid, no communication with Christ and Belial; yet that Christ after all this could be understood to say, Divorce not, no not for Religion, feduce, or feduce not. What mighty and invifible Remoralis this in Matrimony able to demur, and to contemn all the divorcive engines in Heaven or Earth! Both which may now pass away, if this be true, for more than many jots or tittles, a whole moral Law is abolisht. But if we dare believe it is not, then in the method of Religion, and to fave the honour and dignity of our Faith, we are to retreat and gather up our felves from the observance of an inferior and civil Ordinance, to the strict maintaining of a general and religious Command, which is written, Thou shalt make no Covenant with them, Deut. 7. 2, 3. and that Covenant which cannot be lawfully made, we have directions and examples lawfully to diffolve. Also 2 Chron. 19.2. Shouldest thou love them that bate the Lord? No doubtless: for there is a certain scale of Duties, there is a certain Hierarchy of upper and lower commands, which for want of studying in right order, all the world is in confusion.

Upon these principles I answer, that a right believer ought to divorce an idolatrous Heretic, unless upon better hopes: however, that it is in the Believer's choice to divorce or not.

The former part will be manifest thus; first, that an apostate Idolater, whether Husband or Wife seducing, was to die by the decree of God, *Deut*. 13. 6, 9. that Marriage therfore God himself disjoins: for others born Idolaters, the moral reason of their dangerous keeping, and the incommunicable antagony that is between Christ and *Belial*, will be sufficient to enforce the Commandment of those two inspir'd Resonners Ezra and *Nehemiah*, to put an Idolater away as well under the Gospel.

The latter part, that altho' there be no feducement fear'd, yet if there be no hope given, the Divorce is lawful, will appear by this, that idolatrous Marriage is still hateful to God, therfore still it may be divorc'd by the pattern of that Warrant that Ezra had, and by the same everlasting Reason: Neither can any man give an account wherfore, if those whom God joins no man can separate, it should not follow, that whom he joins not, but hates to join, those men ought to separate. But faith the Lawyer, That which ought not to have been done, once done, avails. I answer, this is but a Crotchet of the Law, but that brought against it is plain Scripture. As for Vol. I.

what Christ spake concerning divorce, 'tis confest by all knowing men, he meant only between them of the fame faith. But what shall we say then to S. Paul, who feems to bid us not divorce an Infidel willing to feay? We may fafely fay thus, that wrong Collections have been hitherto made out of those words by modern Divines. Hisdrift, as washeard before, is plain; not to command our ftay in marriage with an Infidel, that had been a flat renouncing of the religious and moral law; butto inform the Corinthians that the Body of an unbeliever was not defiling, if his defire to live in Christian Wedloc shew'd any likelihood that his heart was opening to the faith; and therfore advises to forbear departure fo long till nothing have been neglected to fet forward a conversion: this I say he advises, and that with certain cautions not commands, if we can take up to much credit for him, as to get him believ'd upon his own word: for what is this elfe but his counsel in a thing indifferent, to the rest speak I, not the Lord? for tho? it be true that the Lord never spake it, yet from S. Paul's mouth we should have took it as a command, had not himself forewarn'd us, and disclaim'd, which notwithstanding if we shall still avouch to be a command, he palpably denying it, this is not to expound S. Paul, but to outface him. Neither doth it follow, that the Apostle may interpose his judgment in a case of Christian liberty, without the guilt of adding to God's word. How do we know Marriage or fingleLife to be of choice, but by fuch like words as these, I speak this by permitfion, not of commandment; I have no command of the Lord, yet I give my judgment? Why shall not the like words have leave to fignify a freedom in this our present question, though Beze deny? Neither is the Scripture hereby less inspired, because S. Paul confesses to have written therin what he had not of command; for we grant that the Spirit of God led him thus to express himself to Chriflian prudence, in a matter which God thought best to leave uncommanded. Beza therfore must be warily read, when he taxes S. Austin of Blasphemy, for holding that S. Paul spake here as of a thing indifferent. But if it must be a command, I shall yet the more evince it to be a command that we should herein be left free, and that out of the Greek word used in the 12. v. which instructs us plainly, there must be a joint affent and good liking on both sides ; he that will not deprave the Text must thus render it; If a brother have an unbelieving Wife, and five join in confent to dwell with him (which cannot utter lefs to us than a mutual agreement) let him not put her away for the meer furmize of Judaical uncleannefs: and the reason sollows, for the body of an Insidel is not polluted, neither to benevolence, nor to procreation. Moreover, this note of mutual complacency forbids all offer of feducement, which to a Person of zeal cannot be attempted without great offence: if therfore feducement be fear'd, this place hinders not Divorce. Another caution was put in this supposed command, of not bringing the believer into bondage hereby, which doubtless might prove extreme, if Christian liberty and conscience were left to the humor of a Pagan staying at pleasure to play with, and to vex and wound with a thousand scandals and burdens, above strength to bear: If therfore the conceived hope of gaining a foul come to nothing, then Charity commands that the believer be not wearied out with endless waiting under many grievances fore to his spirit, but that respect be had rather to the present suffering of a true Christian, than the uncertain winning of an obdur'd Heretic. The council we have from S. Paul to hope, cannot countermand the moral and evangelic charge we have from God to fear feducement, to separate from the misbeliever, the unclean, the obdurate. The Apostle wisheth us to hope, but does not fend us a wool-gathering after vain hope; he faith, How knowest thou, O Man, whether thou shalt fave thy Wife? that is, till he try all due means, and fet some reasonable time to himself, after which he may give over washing an Ethiope, if he will hear the advice of the Gofpel: Cast not Pearls before Swine, faith Christ himself. Let him be to thee as a Heathen. Shake the dust off thy feet. If this be not enough, hate and for sake, what relation focuer. And this also that follows must appertain to the Precept, Let every man wherein he is called, therein abide with God, v. 24. that is, fo walking in his inferior calling Marriage, as by fome dangerous subjection to that Ordinance. to hinder and diffurb the higher calling of his Chriftianity. Last, and never too oir remembred, whether this be a Command, or an Advice, we must look that it be fo underflood as not to contradict the least point of moral Religion that Godhath formerly commanded, otherwife what do we but fet the moral Law and the Gofpel at civil War together? and who then fhall be able to ferve thefe two **M**afters? CHAP.

CHAP. IX.

That Adultery is not the greatest breach of Matrimony; that there may be other Violations as great.

TOW whether Idolatry or Adultery be the greatest violation of Marriage, if any demand, let him thus confider, that among Christian Writers touching Matrimony, there be three chief ends therof agreed on; godly fociety, next civil, and thirdly, that of the marriage-bed. Of these the first in name to be the highest and most excellent, no baptized Man can deny, nor that Idolatry smites directly against this prime End; nor that such as the violated End is, fuch is the Violation: but he who affirms Adultery to be the highest breach, affirms the Bed to be the highest of Marriage, which is in truth a gross and boorish Opinion, how common soever; as far from the countenance of Scripture, as from the light of all clean Philosophy, or civil Nature. And out of queftion the chearful help that may be in marriage toward functity of life, is the pureft, and so the noblest end of that contract: but if the particular of each perfon be confider'd, then of those three ends which God appointed, that to him is greatest which is most necessary; and Marriage is then most broken to him, when he utterly wants the fruition of that which he most sought therin, whether it were religious, civil, or corporal fociety. Of which wants to do him right by Divorce only for the last and meanest, is a perverse injury, and the pretended reason of it as frigid as Frigidity itself, which the *Code* and Canon are only sensible of. Thus much of this controversy. I now return to the former argument. And having shewn that disproportion, contrariety or numbress of mind may justly be divorc'd, by proving already the prohibition therof oppofes the express end of God's institution, suffers not Marriage to satisfy that intellectual and innocent defire which God himfelf kindled in Man to be the bond of Wedloc, but only to remedy a fublunary and bestial burning, which srugal Diet, without Marriage, would easily chasten. Next, that it drives many to transgress the Conjugal Bed, while the foul wanders after that fatisfaction which it had hope to find at home, but hath mist; or else it fits repining, even to Atheism, finding itfelf hardly dealt with, but misdeeming the cause to be in God's Law, which is in man's unrighteous ignorance. I have shewn also how it unties the inward knot of Marriage, which is Peace and Love (if that can be unty'd which was never knit) while it aims to keep fast the outward formality; how it lets perish the Christian Man, to compel impossibly the married Man,

CHAP. X.

The fixth Reason of this Law; that to prohibit Divorce sought for natural cases, is against Nature.

HE fixth place declares this prohibition to be as respectless of human Nature, as it is of Religion, and therfore is not of God. He teaches, that an unlawful Marriage may be lawfully divore'd: And that those who having throughly discern'd each other's disposition, which oft-times cannot be till after Matrimony; shall then find a powerful reluctance and recoil of nature on either fide, blasting all the content of their mutual society, that such Persons are not lawfully married, (to use the Apostle's Words) Say I these things as a Man, or saith not the Law also the same? for it is written, Deut. 22. Thou shalt not sow thy Vineyard with different seeds, lest thou desile both. Thou shalt not plow with an Ox and an Ass together; and the like. I follow the pattern of S. Paul's reasoning; Doth God care for Asses and Oxen, how ill they yoke together, or is it not said altogether for our sakes? for our sakes no doubt this is written. Yea the Apostle himself, in the forecited 2 Cor. 6. 14. alludes from that place of Deut. to forbid misyoking Marriage, as by the Greek word is evident; though he instance but Vol. I.

in one Example of mifmatching with an Infidel, yet next to that, what can be a fouler incongruity, a greater violence to the reverend fecret of Nature, than to force a mixture of Minds that cannot unite, and to fow the forrow of Man's Nativity with feed of two incoherent and incombining difpositions: which act being kindly and voluntary, as it ought, the Apostle in the Language he wrote called Eunoia, and the Latins, Benevolence, intimating the original therof to be in the understanding, and the will; if not, surely there is nothing which might more properly be called a malevolence rather, and is the most injurious and unnatural Tribute that can be extorted from a Person endu'd with reason, to be made pay out the best substance of his body, and of his soul too, as some think, when either for just and powerful causes he cannot like, or from unequal causes finds not recompence. And that there is a hidden efficacy of love and hatred in Man as well as in other kinds, not moral, but natural, which though not always in the choice, yet in the fuccessof Marriage will ever be most predominant, besides daily experience, the Author of Ecclefiosticus, whose wisdom hath set him next the Bible, acknowledges, 13. 16. A Man, faith he, will cleave to bis like. But what might be the cause, whether each one's allotted Genius or proper Star, or whether the supernal influence of Schemes and angular Aspects, or this elemental Gresis here below, whether all these jointly or fingly meeting friendly, or unfriendly in either party, I dare not, with the men I am like to clash, appear so much a Philosopher as to conjecture. The antient Proverb in Homer less abstruse entitles this work of leading each like person to his like, peculiarly to God himself: which is plain enough also by his naming of a meet or like help in the first Espousal inflituted; and that every Woman is meet for every Man, none so absurd as to affirm. Seeing then there is a two-fold Seminary, or Stock in nature, from whence are deriv'd the iffues of love and hatred, distinctly flowing through the whole massor created things, and that God's doing ever is to bring the due likenesses and harmonies of his works together, except when out of two contraries met to their own destruction, he moulds a third existence; and that it is error, or some evil Angel which either blindly or maliciously hath drawn together, in two persons ill imbarkt in Wedloc the sleeping discords and enmittees of Nature lull'd on purpose with some false bait, that they may wake to agony and strife, later than prevention could have wisht, if from the bent of just and honest intentions beginning what was begun and so continuing, all that is equal, all that is fair and possible hath been try'd, and no accommodation likely to succeed; what folly is it still to stand combating and battering against invincible causes and effects, with evil upon evil, till either the best of our days be lingered out, or ended with some speeding forrow. The wife Ecclefiasticus advises rather, 37.27. My son prove thy soul in thy life, see what is evil for it, and give not that unto it. Reason he had to say so; for if the noisomness or disfigurement of body can foon destroy the sympathy of Mind to Wedloc duties, much more will the annoyance and trouble of mind infuse itself into all the faculties and acts of the body, to render them invalid, unkindly, and even unholy against the fundamental Law-book of Nature, which Mofes never thwarts, but reverences: therfore he commands us to force nothing against fympathy or natural order, no not upon the most abject Creatures; to shew that such an indignity cannot be offered to Man without any impious Crime. And certainly those divine meditating words of finding out a meet and like help to Man, have in them a confideration of more than the indefinite likeness of Womanhood; nor are they to be made wastepaper on, for the dulness of Canon-Divinity, no, nor those other Allegoric Precepts of Beneficence fetcht out of the Closet of Nature, to teach us goodness and compassion in not compelling together unmatchable Societies; or if they meet through mischance, by all consequence to disjoin them, as God and Nature fignifies, and lectures to us not only by those recited Decrees, but even by the first and last of all his visible works; when by his divorcing Command the World first rose out of Chaos, nor can be renewed again out of confusion, but by the feparating of unmeet Conforts.

CHAP. XI.

The seventh Reason, That sometimes continuance in Marriage may be evidently the shortning or endangering of Life to either party; both Law and Divinity concluding, that Life is to be preferr'd before Marriage, the intended solace of Life.

Eventhly, The Canon-Law and Divines confent, that if either party be found contriving against another's life, they may be sever'd by Divorce: for a fin gainst the life of Marriage, is greater than a fin against the Bed; the one destroys, the other but desiles. The same may be said touching those persons who being of a penfive nature and course of life, have sum'd up all their solace in that free and lightfome converfation which God and Man intends in Marriage; wherof when they fee themselves depriv'd by meeting an unfociable confort, they ofttimes refent one another's mistake so deeply, that long it is not ere grief end one of them. When therfore this danger is foreseen, that the Life is in peril by living together, what matter is it whether helpless grief or wilful practice be the cause? This is certain, that the preservation of life is more worth than the compulfory keeping of Marriage; and it is no lefs than cruelty to force a Man to remain in that state at the solace of his life, which he and his friends know will be either the undoing or the disheartning of his life. And what is life withour the vigour and spiritual exercise of life? how can it be useful either to private or public imployment? Shall it therfore be quite dejected, tho' never so valuble, and left to moulder away in heaviness, for the superstitious and impossible performance of an ill-driven bargain? Nothing more inviolable than vows made to God; yet we read in Numbers, that if a Wifehad made such a vow, the meer will and authority of her Hufband might break it: how much more then may he break the error of his own bonds with an unfit and mistaken f Wife, to the faving of his welfare, his life, yea his faith and virtue, from the hazard of over-strong temptations? For if man be Lord of the Sabbath, to the curing of a Fever, can be be less than Lord of Marriage in such important causes as these?

CHAP. XII.

The eighth Reason, It is probable or rather certain, that every one who happens to marry, hath not the calling; and therfore upon unfitness found and consider'd, force ought not to be us'd.

Ighthly, It is most fure that some even of those who are not plainly desective in body, yet are destitute of all other marriageable gifts, and consequently have not the calling to marry, unless nothing be requisite therto but a meer instrumental body; which to affirm, is to that unanimous Covenant a reproach: yet it is as sure that many such, not of their own desire, but by the perswasion of triends, or not knowing themselves, do often enter into Wedloc; where finding the difference at length between the duties of a married life, and the gifts of a single life, what unsitness of mind, what wearisonness, what scruples and doubts to an incredible offence, and displeasure are like to follow between, may be soon imagin'd; whom thus to shut up, and immure, and shut up together, the one with a mischosen Mate, the other in a mistaken Calling, is not a course that Christian wisdom and tenderness ought to use. As for the custom that some Parents and Guardians have of forcing Marriages, it will be better to say nothing of such a savage inhumanity, but only thus, that the Law which gives not all freedom of Divorce to any creature endued with reason, so assays and safassinated, is next in cruelty.

CHAP. XIII.

The ninth Reason; Because Marriage is not a meer carnal Coition, but a human Society: where that cannot reasonably be had, there can be no true Matrimony. Marriage compar'd with all other Covenants and Vows warrantably broken for the good of Man. Marriage the Papists Sacrament, and unfit Marriage the Protestants Idol.

Inthly, I suppose it will be allow'd us that Marriage is a human Society, and that all human society must proceed from the mind rather than the body, essentially would be but a kind of animal or beastish meeting; if the mind therfore carnot have that duecompany by marriage that it may reasonably and humanly desire, that Marriage can be no human society, but a certain formality; or gilding over of little better than a brutish congress, and so in very wisdom and pureness to be dissolved.

But Marriage is more than human, the Covenant of God, Prov. 2. 17. therfore Man cannot dissolve it. I answer, if it be more than human, so much the more it argues the chief fociety therof to be in the foul rather than in the body, and the greatest breach therof to be unfitness of mind rather than desect of body: for the body can have least affinity in a Covenant more than human, fo that the reason of dissolving holds good the rather. Again, I answer, that the Sabbath is a higher Institution, a Command of the first Table, for the breach wherof God hath far more and oftener testify'd his anger, than for Divorces, which from Moses to Malachy he never took displeasure at, nor then neither if we mark the Text; and yet as oft as the good of Man is concern'd, he not only permits, but commands to break the Sabbath. What Covenant more contracted with God, and lefs in man's power, than the Vow which hath once past his lips? yet if it be found rash, if offensive, if unfruitful either to God's glory or the good of Man, our Doctrine forces not error and unwillingness irksomly to keep it, but counsels Wisdom and better Thoughts boldly to break it; therfore to enjoin the indiffoluble keeping of a Marriage found unfit against the good of Man both foul and body, "ashath been evidenc'd, is to make an Idol of Marriage, to advance itabove the Worship of God and the good of Man, to make it a transcendent Command, above both the fecond and first Table, which is a most prodigious Doctrine.

Next, whereas they cite out of the Proverbs, that it is the Covenant of God, and therfore more than human, that Consequence is manifestly false: for so the Covenant which Zedekiah made with the Infidel King of Babel, is call'd the Covenant of God, Ezek. 17. 19. which would be strange to hear counted more than a human Covenant. So every Covenant between Man and Man, bound by Oath, may be call'd the Covenant of God, because God therin is attested. So of Marriage he is the author and the witness; yethence will not follow any divine affriction more than what is subordinate to the glory of God, and the main good of either party: for as the glory of God and their esteemed fitness one for the other, was the motive which led them both at first to think without other revelation that God had join'd them together; so when it shall be found by their apparent unfitness, that their continuing to be Man and Wife is against the glory of God and their mutual happiness, it may affure them that God never join'd them, who hath reveal'd his gracious Will not to fet the Ordinance above the Man for whom it was ordain'd; not to canonize Marriage either as a Tyranness or a Goddess over the enfranchis'd life and foul of Man: For wherin can God delight, wherin be worthip'd, wherin be glorified by the forcible continuing of an improper and ill-yoking couple? He that loved notto fee the disparity of several cattle at the Plow, cannot be pleafed withvalt unmeetnefs in Marriage. Where can be the peace and fove which must invite God to such a house? May it not be sear'd that the not divorcing of fuch a helpless disagreement, will be the divorcing of God finally from fuch a place? But it is a trial of our patience, they fay: I grant it; but which of Job's afflictions were fent him with that Law, that he might not use means to remove any of them if he could? And what if it subvert our patience and our t ith too? Who shall answer for the perishing of all those souls, perishing by stubborn expositions of particular and interior precepts against the general and

fupreme rule of Charity? They dare not affirm that Marriage is either a Sacrament or a Mystery, though all those facred things give place to Man; and yet they invest it with such an awful fanctity, and give such adamantine chains to bind with, as if it were to be worship'd like some Indian Deity, when it can confer no blessing upon us, but works more and more to our initery. To such teachers the saying of S. Peter at the Council of ferusalem will do well to be applied: It by tempt we God to put a yoke upon the necks of Christian men, which neither the fewer, God's antient people, nor we are able to bear; and nothing but unwary expounding hath brought upon us?

CHAP. XIV.

Considerations concerning Familism, Antinomianism; and why it may be thought that such Opinions may proceed from the undue restraint of some just liberty, than which no greater cause to contemn Discipline.

O these Considerations this also may be added as no improbable conjecture, seeing that fort of men who follow discharge the conjecture. tinomianism, and other fanatic dreams (if we understand them not amiss) be fuch most commonly as are by nature addicted to Religion, of Life also not debaucht, and that their Opinions having full fwinge, do end in fatisfaction of the flesh, it may be come with reason into the thoughts of a wise man, whether all this proceed not partly, if not chiefly, from the reftraint of fome lawful liberty which ought to be given Men, and is deny'd them. As by physic we learn in menstruous bodies, where Nature's current hath been stopt, that the suffocation and upward forcing of some lower part, affects the head and inward sense with dotage and idle fancies. And on the other hand, whether the rest of vulgar men not so religiously professing, do not give themselves much the more to Whoredom and Adulteries, loving the corrupt and venial Discipline of Clergy-Courts, but hating to hear of perfect Reformation; whenas they forefee that then Fornication shall be austerely censur'd, Adultery punish'd, and Marriage the appointed refuge of nature, tho' it hap to be never fo incongruous and displeasing, must yet of sorce be worn out, when it can be to no other purpose but of strife and hatred, a thing odious to God. This may be worth the study of skilful Men in Theology, and the reason of things. And lastly, to examine whether some undue and ill-grounded strictness upon the blameless Nature of Man, be not the cause in those places where already Reformation is, that the Discipline of the Church, so often, and so unavoidably broken, is brought into contempt and derifion. And if it be thus, let those who are still bent to hold this obstinate *Literality*, so prepare themselves, as to share in the account for all these transgressions, when it shall be demanded at the last day, by one who will fean and fhift things with more than a literal wifdom of equity: for if these reasons be duly ponder'd, and that the Gospel is more jealous of laying on excessive burdens than ever the Law was, lest the foul of a Christian, which is inestimable, should be over-tempted and cast away; considering also that many properties of Nature, which the power of Regeneration itself never alters, may cause dislike of conversing, even between the most functified; which continually grating in harsh tune together, may breed some jar and discord, and that end in rancor and strife, a thing so opposite both to Marriage and to Christianity, it would perhaps be lefs foundal to divorce a natural differity, than to link violently together an unchristian dissension, committing two inshared Souls inevitably to kindle one another, not with the fire of love, but with a hatred irreconcilable; who, were they differer'd, would be straight friends in any other relation. But if an alphabetical fervility must be still urged, it may so fall out, that the true Church may unwittingly use as much cruelty in forbidding to divorce, as the Church of Antichrift doth wilfully in forbidding to marry.

воок и.

CHAP. I.

The Ordinance of Sabbath and Marriage compared. Hyperbole no unfrequent figure in the Gospel. Excess cur'd by contrary-excess. Christ neither did nor could abrogate the Law of Divorce, but only reprieve the abuse therof.

Itherto the Position undertaken hath been declar'd, and prov'd by a Law of God, that Law proved to be moral, and unabolishable, for many I reasons equal, honest, charitable, just, annext thereto. It follows now, * that those places of Scripture which have a seeming to revoke the prudence of Moses, or rather that merciful Decree of God, be forthwith explain'd and reconcil'd. For what are all these reasonings worth, will some reply, whenas the words of Christ are plainly against all Divorce, except in case of Fornication? To whom he whose mind were to answer no more but this, except also in case of Charity, might fafely appeal to the more plain words of Christ in defence of so excepting. Thou shalt do no manner of Work, saith the Commandment of the Sabbath. Yes, saith Christ, Works of Charity. And shall we be more severe in paraphrasing the considerate and tender Gospel, than he was inexpounding the rigid and peremptory Law? What was ever in all appearance less made for Man, and more for God alone, than the Sabbath? yet when the good of man comes into the Scales, we hear that voice of infinite goodness and benignity, that Sabbath was made for Man, not Man for Sabbath. What thing ever was more made for Man alone and less for God than Marriage? And shall we load it with a cruel and fenfelessbondage utterly against both the good of Man, and the glory of God? Let whoso will now listen, I want neither Pall nor Mitre, I stay neither for Ordination nor Induction; but in the firm faith of a knowing Christian, which is the best and truest endowment of the Keys, I pronounce, the Man who shall bind so cruelly a good and gracious Ordinance of God, hath not in that the spirit of Christ. Yet that every text of Scripture seeming opposite may be attended with a due exposition, this other part ensues, and makes account to find no slender arguments for this affertion, out of those very Scriptures, which are commonly urged against it.

First therfore let us remember, as a thing not to be deny'd, that all places of Scripture wherin just reason of doubtarises from the latter, are to be expounded by confidering upon what occasion every thing is set down, and by comparing other Texts. The occasion which induc'd our Saviour to speak of Divorce, was either to convince the extravagance of the Pharifees in that point, or to give a sharp and vehement answer to a tempting question. And in such cases that we are not to repose all upon the literal terms of so many words, many instances will teach us: Wherin we may plainly discover how Christ meant not to be taken word for word, but like a wife physician, administring one excess against another, to reduce us to a permiss; where they were too remiss, he saw it needful to seem most severe: in one place he censures an unchaste look to be adultery already committed; another time he passes over actual adultery with less reproof than for an unchaste look; not so heavily condemning secret weakness, as open malice: So here he may be justly thought to have given this rigid fentence against Divorce, not to cut off all remedy from a good man who finds himself consuming away in a disconsolate and uninjoin'd Matrimony, but to lay a bridle upon the bold abuses of those over-weening Rabbies; which he could not more effectually do, than by a counterfway of restraint curbing their wild exorbitance almost into the other extreme; as when we bow things the contrary way, to make them come to their natural straitness. And that this was the only Intention of Christ is most evident, if we attend but to his ownwords and protestation made in the same Sermon, not many verses before he treats of Divorcing, that he came not to abrogate from the Law one jot or tittle, and de-

nounce against them that shall so teach.

But

But S. Luke the verfeimmediately before-going that of Divorce, inferts the fame caveat, as if the latter could not be understood without the former; and as a witness to produce against this our wilful mistake of abrogating, which must needs confirm us that whatever elfe in the political Law of more special relation to the Jews might cease to us; yet that of those Precepts concerning Divorce, not one of them was repeal'd by the Doctrine of Christ, unless we have vow'd not to believe his own cautious and immediate profession: for if these our Saviour's words inveigh against all Divorce, and condemn it as Adultery, except it be for Adultery, and be not rather understood against the abuse of those Divorces permitted in the Law, then is that Law of Moses, Deut. 24. 1. not only repeal'd and wholly annul'd against the promife of Christ, and his known prosession not to meddle, in matters judicial; but that which is more strange, the very substance and purpose of that Law is contradicted and convinc'd both of injustice and impurity, as having authoriz'd and maintain'd legal Adultery by statute. Moses also cannot scape to be guilty of unequal and unwife decrees, punishing one act of secret Adultery by death, and permitting a whole Life of open Adultery by Law. And albeit Lawyers write that some political Edicts, tho' not approv'd, are yet allow'd to the foum of the people, and the necessity of the times; these excuses have but a weak pulse: For first, we read, not that the scoundred People, but the choicest, the wifest, the holiest of that Nation have frequently us'd these Laws, or such as these, in the best and holiest times. Secondly, be it yielded, that in matters not very bad or impure, a human Lawgiver may flacken fomething of that which is exactly good, to the disposition of the people and the times: but if the perfect, the pure, the righteous Law of God, for so are all his statutes and his judgments, be found to have allow'd fmoothly, without any certain reprehension, that which Christ afterward declares to be Adultery, how can we free this Law from the horrible inditement of being both impure, unjust, and fallacious?

CHAP. II.

How Divorce was permitted for hardness of heart, cannot be understood by the common Exposition. That the Law cannot permit, much less enact a permission of sin.

Either will it ferve to fay this was permitted for the hardness of their hearts, in that sense as it is usually explain'd; for the Law were then but a corrupt and erroneous School-master, teaching us to dash against a vital Maxim of Reli-

gion, by doing foul evil in hope of fome certain good.

This only Text is not to be matcht again throughout the whole Scripture, wherby God in his perfect Law should seem to have granted to the hard hearts of his holy People under his own hand, a civil immunity and free charter to live and die in a long fuccessive Adultery, under a covenant of works, till the Messiah, and then that indulgent permission to be strictly deny'd by a covenant of grace; besides the incoherence of such a doctrine, cannot, must not be thus interpreted, to the raifing of a Paradox never known till then, only hanging by the twin'd thread of one doubtful Scripture, against so many other rules and leading principles of religion, of justice, and purity of life. For what could be granted more either to the fear, or to the lust of any Tyrant or Politician, than this authority of Moses thus expounded; which opens him a way at will to damm up justice, and not only to admit of any Romish or Austrian dispenses, but to enact a statute of that which he dares not feem to approve, even to legitimate vice, to make fin it felf, the ever alien and vaffal fin, a free Citizen of the Commonwealth, pretending only these, or these plausible reasons? And well he might, all the while that Moses shall be alledged to have done as much without shewing any reason at all. Yet this could not enter into the heart of David, Pfal. 94. 20. how any fuch authority as endeavours to fashion wickedness by a Law, should derive itself from God. And Isaiab lays were upon them that decree unrighteous decrees, chap. 10. 1. Now which of these two is the better Law-giver, and which deserves most a woe, he that gives -out an edict fingly unjust, or he that confirms to generations a fixt and unmolefted impunity of that which is not only held to be unjust, but also unclean, and both in a high degree, not only as they themselves affirm, an injurious expulsion Vol. I.

of one Wife, but also an unclean freedom by more than a patent to wed another adulterously? How can we therfore with safety thus dangerously confine the free simplicity of our Saviour's meaning to that which merely amounts from so many Letters, whenas it can confist neither with his former and cautionary Words, nor with other more pure and holy Principles, nor finally with the scope of Charity, commanding by his express commission in a higher strain. But all rather of necessity must be understood as only against the abute of that wise and ingenuous liberty which Moses gave, and to terrify a roving Conscience from sinning under that pretext.

CHAP. III.

That to allow Sin by Law, is against the nature of Law, the end of the Law-giver, and the good of the People. Impossible therfore in the Law of God. That it makes God the Author of Sin more than any thing objected by the Jesuits or Arminians against Predestination.

BUT letusyet further examine upon what Confideration a Law of Licence could be thus given to a holy People for the hardness of Heart. I suppose all will answer, that for some good end or other. But here the contrary shall be proved. First, that many ill effects, but no good end of such a sufferance can be shewn; next, that a thing unlawful can for no good end whatever be either done or allow'd by a positive Law. If there were any good end aim'd at, that end was then good either as to the Law or to the Lawgiver licencing; or as to the person licenc'd. That it could not be the end of the Law, whether Moral or Judicial, to license a Sin, I prove easily out of Rom. 5. 20. The Law enter'd, that the offence might abound, that is, that Sin might be made abundantly manifest to be heinous and displeasing to God, that so his offer'd Grace might be the more esteem'd. Now if the Law, instead of aggravating and terrifying Sin, shall give out Licence, it foils it self, and turns recreant from its own end: it forestalls the pure Grace of Christ, which is through Righteousness, with impure indulgences, which are through Sin. And instead of discovering Sin, for by the Law is the knowledge therof, saith S. Paul, and that by certain and true light for Men to walk in fafety, it holds out false and dazling fires to stumble Men; or like those miserable flies to run into with delight and be burnt: for how many Souls might easily think that to be lawful which the Law and Magistrate allow'd them? Again, we read I Tim. 1. 5. The end of the Commandment is charity out of a pure heart, and of a good Conscience, and of Faith unfeigned. But never could that be Charity to allow a People what they could not use with a pure Heart, but with Conscience and Faith both deceiv'd, or else despis'd. The more particular end of the Judicial Law is set forth to us clearly Rom. 13. That God hath given to that Law a Sword not in vain, but to be a terrer to evil works, a revenge to execute wrath upon him that doth evil. If this terrible Commission should but forbear to punish wickedness, were it other to be accounted than partial and unjust? but if it begin to write indulgence to vulgar uncleanness, can it do more to corrupt and shame the end of its own being? Lastly, if the Law allow Sin, it enters into a kind of Covenant with Sin; and if it do, there is not a greater Sinner in the World than the Law itself. The Law, to use an Allegory something different from that in *Philo Judaus* concerning *Amalek*, though haply more significant, the Law is the *Israelite*, and hath this absolute charge given it, Deut. 25. To blot out the memory of Sin, the Amalekite, from under beaven, not to forget it. Again, the Law is the Israelite, and both this express repeated command to such as Coursett with Sin. and hath this express repeated command to make no Covenant with Sin, the Camaanite, but to expel him, left he prove a finare. And to fay truth, it were too rigid and reasonless to proclaim such an enmity between Man and Man, were it not the type of a greater enmity between Law and Sin. I speak even now, as if Sin were condemn'd in a perpetual villenage never to be free by Law, never to be manumitted: but fure Sin can have no tenure by Law at all, but is rather an eternal Outlaw, and in hostility with Law past all atonement: both diagonial Contraries, as much allowing one another, as Day and Night together in one Hemisphere. Or if it be possible, that Sin with his darkness may come to composition,

it cannot be without a foul eclipfe and twilight to the Law, whose brightness ought to surpass the Noon. Thus we see how this unclean permittance deseats the facred and glorious end both of the Moral and Judicial Law.

As little good can the Lawgiver propofe to equity by fuch a lavifh remiffnefs as this; if to remedy hardness of heart Paraus and other Divines confess, it more increafes by this Liberty, than is leffen'd: and how is it probable that their hearts were more hard in this, that it should be yielded to, than in any other Crime? Their hearts were fet upon Ufury, and are to this day, no Nation more; yet that which was the endamaging only of their Estates was narrowly forbid; this which is thought the extreme injury and dishonour of their Wives and Daughters, with Their hearts were as the defilement also of themselves, is bounteously allow'd. hard under their best Kings to offer in high places, tho' to the true God; yet that but a small thing, is strictly forewarn'd; this accounted a high offence against one of the greatest moral Duties, is calmly permitted and established. How can it be evaded but that the heavy censure of Christ should fall worse upon this Lawgiver of theirs, than upon all the Scribes and Pharifees? For they did but omit Judgment and Mercy to trifle in Mint and Cummin, yet all according Law; but this their Law-giver, altogether as punctual in fuch niceties, goes marching on to Adulteries, through the violence of Divorce by Law against Law. If it were such a cursed act of Pilate a subordinate Judge to Cafar, over-sway'd by those hard hearts with much ado to fuffer one transgression of Law but once, what is it then with less ado to publish a Law by transgression for many Ages? Did God for this come down and cover the Mount of Sinai with his Glory, uttering in Thunder those his sacred Ordinances out of the bottomless Treasures of his Wisdom and infinite Purcness, to patch up an ulcerous and rotten Commonwealth with strict and stern Injunctions, to wash the skin and garments for every unclean touch, and such easy permission given to pollute the foul with Adulteries by public authority, without difgrace or question? No, it had been better that man had never known Law or Matrimony, than that tuch foul iniquity should be fusten'd upon the Holy-one of Ijrael, the Judge of all the Earth, and fuch a piece of folly as Belzebub would not commit, to divide against himself, and prevent his own ends; or if he to compass more mischief, might yield perhaps to feign fome good deed, yet that God should enact a Licence of certain evil for uncertain good against his own glory and purenels, is abominable to conceive. And as it is destructive to the end of Law, and blasphetters to be homeoned the Law given light for the interest of the law given light for the law and blasphetters. mous to the honour of the Law-giver licenfing, fo it is as pernicious to the perfor licenced. If a private friend admonish not, the Scripture faith, be bates his Brother, and lets bim perish; but if he footh him and allow him in his faults, the Proverbs teach us he spreads a net for his Neighbour's feet, and worketh ruin. If the Magiftrate or Prince forget to administer due Justice, and restrain not Sin; Eli himfelf could fay, it made the Lord's People to transgress. But if he countenance them against Law by his own example, what havoc it makes both in religion and Virtue among the People, may be guest by the anger it brought upon Hophni and Phineas not to be appeas'd with facrifice nor offering for ever. If the Law be filent to declare Sin, the People must needs generally go astray, for the Apostle himself faith, be bad not known lust but by the Law: and surely such a Nation seems not to be under the illuminating guidance of God's Law, but under the horrible doom rather of such as despise the Gospel; he that is filthy, let him be filthy still. But where the Law itself gives a warrant for Sin, I know not what condition of mifery to imagine miferable enough for fuch a People, unless that portion of the wicked, or rather of the damned, on whom God threatens in 1 1 Pfalm, to rain fuares: but that questionless cannot be by any Law, which the Apostle faith is a ministry ordain'd of God for our good, and not fo many ways and in fo high a degree to our destruction, as we have now been graduating. And this is all the good can come to the Person licenced in his hardness of heart.

I am next to mention that, which because it is a ground in Divinity; Rom. 3. will save the labour of demonstrating, unless her given Axioms be more doubted than in other Arts (altho' it be no less firm in the precepts of Philosophy) that a thingun-lawful can for no good whatsoever be done, much less allow'd by a positive Law. And this is the matter why Interpreters upon that passage in Hosea will not consent it to be a true story, that the Prophet took a Harlot to wise, because God being a pure Spirit, could not command a thing repugnant to his own nature, no not for sogood an end as to exhibit more to the life a wholesome and perhaps a converting parable to many an Israelite. Yet that he commanded the allowance of adulterous and injurious Divorces for hardness of heart, a reason obscure and in a wrong sense, they can very savourily per-

Vol. I, Bb 2 fuade

fuade themselves; so tenacious is the leven of an old conceit. But they shift it, he permitted only. Yet silence in the Law is consent, and consent is accessory; why then is not the Law being silent, or not active against a crime, accessory to its own conviction, it self judging? For the we should grant, that it approves not, yet it wills; and the Lawyers Maxim is, that the will compelled is yet the will. And the Aristotle in his Ethics call this a mixt Astion, yet he concludes it to be voluntary and inexcusable, if it be evil. How justly then might human Law and Philosophy rise up against the righteousness of Moses, if this be true which our vulgar Divinity sathers upon him, yea upon God himself, not silently and only negatively to permit, but in his Law to divulge a written and general privilege to commit and persist in unlawful Divorces with a high hand, with security and no ill same: for this is more than permitting and contriving, this is maintaining: this is warranting, this is protecting, yeathis is doing evil, and such an evil as that reprobate Law-giver did, whose lasting infamy is ingraven upon him like a surname, he who made I frael to fin. This is the lowest pitch contrary to God that public fraud and injustice can descend.

If it be a firm'd, that God, as being Lord, may do what he will; yet we must know that God hath not two Wills, but one Will, much less two contrary. If he once will'd Adultery should be finful, and to be punisht with Death, all his Omnipotence will not allow him to will the allowance that his holiest People might as it were by his own Antinomie, or counter-statute, live unreprov'd in the same sact as he himself esteem'd it, according to our common explainers. The hidden ways of his Providence we adore and fearch not, but the Law is his revealed Will, his compleat, his evident and certain Will; herein he appears to us as it were in human shape, enters into Covenant with us, swears to keep it, binds himself like a just Law-giver to his own Prescriptions, gives himself to be understood by Men, judges and is judg'd, measures and is commensurate to right reason; cannot require less of us in one cantle of his Law than in another, his legal Justice cannot be so fickle and so variable, sometimes like a devouring fire, and by and by connivent in the Embers, or, if I may to fay, ofcitant and fupine. The vigor of his Law could no more remit, than the hallowed fire on his Altar could be let go out. The Lamps that burnt before him might need fnuffing, but the Light of his Law never. Of this also more beneath, in discussing a Solution of Rivetus.

The Jesuits, and that Sect among us which is nam'd of Arminius, are wont to charge us of making God the Author of Sin, in two degrees especially, not to speak of his permissions: 1. Because we hold that he hath decreed some to Damnation, and confequently to Sin, fay they; next, because those means which are of saving knowledge to others, he makes to them an occasion of greater Sin. Yet considering the perfection wherin Man was created, and might have flood, no Decree necessitating his Free-will, but subsequent, tho' not in time, yet in order to Causes, which were in his own power, they might methinks be perfuaded to absolve both God and us. Whenas the doctrine of *Plato* and *Chrysippus*, with their Followers, the *Academics* and the Stoics, who knew not what a confirmmate and most adorned Pandora was bestow'dupon Adam to be the Nurse and Guide of his arbitrary happiness and perseverance, I mean his native innocence and perfection, which might have kepthim from being our true Epimetheus; and though they taught of Virtue and Vice to be both the gift of divine Destiny, they could yet give reasons not invalid, to justify the Councils of God and Fate from the infulfity of mortal tongues: That Man's own free-will felf-corrupted, is the adequate and fufficient cause of his Disobedience lesides Fate; as Homer also wanted not to express, both in his Iliad and Odyssee. And Manilius the Poet, although in his fourth Book he tells of some created both to Sin and Punishment; yet without murmuring, and with an industroius chearfulness he acquits the Deity. They were not ignorant in their Heathen Lore, that it is most God-like to punish those who of his Creatures became his enemies with the greatest punishment; and they could attain also to think that the greatest, when Godhimselfthrows a man farthest from him; which then they held he did, when he blinded, hardned, and stirr'd up his Offenders, to finish and pile up their desperate work since they had undertaken it. To banish for ever into a local Hell, whether in the Air or in the Center, or in that uttermost and bottomless gulph of Chaos, deeper from holy Bliss than the World's Diameter multiply'd; they thought not a punishing so proper and proportionate for God to inflict, as to punish Sin with Sin. Thus were the common fort of Gentiles wont to think, without any wry thoughts cast upon divne Governance. And therfore Cicero, not in his Tusculan or Campanian retirements among

the learned Wits of that Age, but even in the Senate to a mixt Auditory (though he were sparing otherwise to broach his Philosophy among Statists and Lawyers) yet as to this point both in his Oration against *Piso*, and in that which is about the Answers of the Sooth-sayers against *Clodius*, he declares it publicly as no paradox to common Ears, That God cannot punish Man more, nor makehim more miserable, than still by making him more finful. Thus we see how in this Controversy the Justice of God stood upright even among Heathen Disputers. But if any one be truly, and not pretendedly zealous for God's honour, here I call him forth before Men and Angels, to use his best and most advised skill, lest God more unavoidably than ever yet, and in the guiltiest manner, be made the Author of Sin: if he shall not only deliver over and incite his enemies by rebuke to Sin as a punishment, but shall by patent under his own broad-feal allow his friends whom he would fanctify and fave, whom he would unite to himfelf, and not disjoin, whom he would correct by wholesome chastening, and not punish as he doth the damned by lewd sinning, if he shall allow these in his Law the perfect rule of his own purest Will, and our most edify'd Conscience, the perpetrating of an odious and manifold Sin without the least contesting. 'Tis wonder'd how there can be in God a secret and reveal'd Will; and yet what wonder, if there be in Man two answerable Causes. But here there must be two revealed Wills grappling in a fraternal war with one another without any reasonable cause apprehended. This cannot be less than to ingraft Sin into the fubstance of the Law, which Law is to provoke Sin by croffing and forbidding, not by complying with it. Nay this is, which I tremble in uttering, to incarnate Sin into the unpunishing and well-pleas'd Will of God. avoid these dreadful consequences that tread upon the heels of those allowances to fin, will be a task of far more difficulty than to appease those minds which perhaps out of a vigilant and wary Conscience except against Predestination. finally we may conclude, that a Law wholly giving licence cannot upon any good confideration be given to a holy People, for hardness of heart in the vulgar sense.

CHAP. IV.

That if Divorce be no Command, no more is Marriage. That Divorce could be no Dispensation if it were sinful. The Solution of Rivetus, That God dispensed by some unknown way, ought not to satisfy a Christian Mind.

Thers think to evade the matter by not granting any Law of Divorce, but only a Dispensation, which is contrary to the words of Christ, who himfelf calls it a Law, Mark 10. 5. or if we speak of a command in the strictest Definition, then Marriage itself is no more a Command than Divorce, but only a free Permission to him who cannot contain. But as to dispensation I affirm, the same as before of the Law, that it can never be given to the allowance of Sin: God cannot give it neither in respect of himself, nor in respect of man; not in respect of himfelf, being a most pure Essence, the just avenger of Sin; neither can he make that cease to be a Sin, which is in itself unjust and impure, as all Divorces they say were, which were not for Adultery. Not in respect of Man, for then it must be either to his good or to his evil. Not to his good; for how can that be imagined any good to a Sinner, whom nothing but rebukeand due correction can fave, to hear the determinate Oracle of Divine Law louder than any reproofdispensing and providing for the impunity, and convenience of Sin; to make that doubtful, or rather lawful, which the end of the Law was to make most evidently hateful? Nor to the evil of man can a Difpense be given; for if the Law were ordain'd unto life, Rom. 7. 10. how can the fame God publish Dispenses against that Law, which must needs be unto death? Absurd and monstrous would that Dispense be, if any Judge or Law should give it a man to cut his own throat, or to damn himself. Dispense therfore presupposes full Pardon, or else it is not a Dispense, but a most baneful and bloody snare. And why should God enter Covenant with a People to be holy, as the Command is boly, and just, and good, Rom. 7. 12. and yet suffer an impure and treacherous Dispense to mislead and betray them under the vizard of Law to a legitimate Practice of uncleanness? God is no Covenant-Rivetus, breaker; he cannot do this.

Rivetus, a diligent and learned Writer, having well weighed what hath been written by those Founders of Dispense, and finding the small Agreement among them, would fain work himfelfaloof thefe Rocks and Quick-funds, and thinks it bett to conclude that God certainly did difpense, but by some way to us unknown, and fo to leave it. But to this I oppose, that a Christian by no means ought rest himfelf in fuch an ignorance; wherby fo may Abfurdities will strait reflect both against the Purity, Justice, and wisdom of God, the end also both of Law and Gospel, and the comparison of them both together. God indeed in some ways of his Providence is high and fecret, past finding out: but in the delivery and execution of his Law, especially in the managing of a duty so daily and so familiar as this is wherof we reafon, hath plain enough revealed himself, and requires the observance therof not otherwise, than to the Law of nature and equity imprinted in us feems correspondent. And he hath taught us to love and to extol his Laws, not only as they are his, but as they are just and good to every wife and sober un-Therfore Abraham, even to the face of God himfelf, feem'd to doubt of divine Justice, if it should swerve from the irradiation wherwith it had enlightned the mind of man, and bound it felf to observe its own rule; Wilt thou destroy the righteous with the wicked? that be far from thee; shall not the Judge of the earth do right? Therby declaring, that God hath created righteousness in right it felf, against which he cannot do. So David, Pfalm. 119. The testimonies which thou hast commanded are righteous and very faithful; thy word is very pure, therfore thy fervant loveth it. Not only then for the Author's fake, but for its own purity. He is faithful, faith S. Paul, he cannot deny bimself; that is, cannot deny his own Promifes, cannot but be true to his own Rules. He often pleads with men the uprightness of his ways by their own Principles. How should we imitate him else, to be perfett as he is perfett? If at pleasure he can dispense with golden Poetic Ages of fuch pleafing licence, as in the fabled Reign of old Saturn, and this perhaps before the Law might have some covert, but under such an undispensing Covenant as Mofes made with them, and not to tell us why and wherfore, indulgence cannot give quiet to the breast of an intelligent man? We must be resolved how the Law can be pure and perspicuous, and yet throw a polluted skirt over these Elevfinian Mysteries, that no man can utter what they mean: worse in this than the worst Obscenities of Heathen Superstition; for their silthiness was hid, but the mystic reason therof, known to their Sages. But this Jewish imputed filthiness was daily and open, but the reason of it is not known to our Divines. of no defign the Gospel can have to impose new righteousness upon works, but to remit the old by faith without works, if we mean justifying works: We know no mystery our Saviour could have to lay new Bonds upon Marriage in the Covenant of Grace which himself had loosen'd to the severity of Law. So that Rivetus may pardon us, if we cannot be contented with his non-folution, to remain in fuch a peck of uncertainties and doubts, fo dangerous and ghaftly to the fundamentals of our faith.

CHAP. V. What a Dispensation is.

Herfore to get some better satisfaction, we must proceed to inquire as diligently as we can what a Dispensation is, which I find to be either properly so called, or improperly. Improperly so called, is rather a particular and exceptive Law, absolving and disobliging from a more general command for some just and reasonable cause. As Numb. 9, they who were unclean, or in a Journey, had leave to keep the Passover in the second Month, but otherwise ever in the first. As for that in Leviticus of marrying the brother's wise, it was a penal statute rather than a dispense; and commands nothing injurious or in it self unclean, only prefers a special reason of charity before an institutive Decency, and perhaps is meant for life-time only, as is express beneath in the prohibition of taking two sisters. What other Edict of Moses, carrying but the semblance of a Law in any other kind, may bear the name of a Dispense, I have not readily to instance. But a Dispensation most properly is some particular accident rarely happening, and therfore not specified in the Law, but left to the decision of Charity, even under the bondage of Jewish Rites, much more under the liberty of the Gospel.

Thus did David enter into the house of God, and did eat the Shewbread, he and his followers, which was ceremonially unlawful. Of fuch differences as these it was that Verdune the French Divine fo gravely disputed in the Council of Trent against Friar Adrian, who held that the Pope might difpense with any thing. It is a fond persuasion, faith Verdune, that dispensing is a favour; nay, it is as good distributive justice as what is most, and the Priest sins if he gives it not, for it is nothing else but a right interpretation of Law. Thus far that I can learn touching this matter wholefomly decreed. But that God, who is the giver of every good and perfect gift, Jam. 1. should give out a rule and directory to fin by, should enact a Dispensation as long-liv'd as a Law, wherby to live in privileg'd Adultery for hardness of heart; and yet this obdurate diseafe cannot be conceived how it was the more amended by this unclean remedy, is the most deadly and Scorpion-like gift that the enemy of mankind could have given to any miserable sinner, and is rather such a Dispense as that was which the Serpent gave to our first parents. God gave Quails in his wrath, and Kings in his wrath, yet neither of these things evil in themselves; but that he whose eyes cannot be hold impurity, should in the book of his holy Covenant, his most unpassionate Law, give licence and statute for uncontrolled Adultery, altho' it go for the receiv'd Opinion, I shall ever dissuade my foul from such a Creed, such an indulgence as the shop of Antichrist never forg'd a baser.

CHAP. VI.

That the Jew had no more right to this supposed Dispense than the Christian hath, and rather not so much.

BUT if we must needs dispense, let us for a while so far dispense with Truth, as to grant that sin may be dispensed. Wet there will be accessed. to grant that fin may be dispens'd; yet there will be copious reason found to prove that the Jew had no more right to such a suppos'd indulgence than the Christian, whether we look at the clear knowledge wherin he liv'd, or the strict performance of works wherto he was bound. Befides Vifions and Prophecies, they had the Law of God, which in the *Pfalms* and *Proverbs* is chiefly prais'd for fureness and certainty, both easy and perfect to the enlightning of the simple. How could it be so obscure then, or they so sottishly blind in this plain, moral, and houshold duty? They had the same precepts about Marriage; Christ added nothing to their clearness, for that had argued them imperfect; he opens not the Law, but removes the Pharifaic mifts rais'd between the Law and the Peoples Eyes: the only fentence which he adds, What God hath join'd let no man put asunder, is as obfeure as any claufe fetch'd out of Genesis, and hath increased a yet undecided Controversy of clandestine Marriages. If we examine over all his Sayings, we shall find him not so much interpreting the Law with his words, as referring his own words to be interpreted by the Law, and oftener obscures his mind in short, and vehement, and compact fentences, to blind and puzzle them the more who would not understand the Law. The Jews therfore were as little to be dispens'd with for lack of moral knowledge as we.

Next, none I think will deny, but that they were as much bound to perform the Law as any Christian. That severe and rigorous knife not sparing the tender foreskin of any male infant, to carve upon his flesh the mark of that strict and pure Covenant wherinto he entered, might give us to understand enough against the fancy of difpenfing. S. Paul testifies, that every eireumcis'd Man is a debtor to the whole Law, Gal. 5. or else circumcission is in vain, Rom. 2. 25. How vain then, and how preposterous must it needs be to exact a circumcision of the sless from an infant unto an outward fign of purity, and to dispense an uncircumcision in the Soul of a grown man to an inward and real impurity? How vain again was that Law to impose tedious expiations for every flight fin of ignorance and error, and to privilege without penance or disturbance an odious crime whether of ignorance or obstinacy? How unjust also inflicting death and extirpation for the mark of circumstantial pureness omitted, and proclaiming all honest and liberal indemnity to the act of a substantial impureness committed, making void the Covenant that was made against it? Thus if we confider the tenor of the Law, to be circumcis' dand to performall, not pardoning so much us the fcapes of error and ignorance, and compare this with the condition of the Gof-

pel,

pel, Believe and be baptized, I suppose it cannot be long ere we grant that the Jew was bound as strictly to the performance of every duty, as was possible, and therfore could not be dispens'd with more than the Christian, perhaps not so much.

CHAP. VII.

That the Gospel is apter to dispense than the Law. Paræus answered,

IF then the Law will afford no reason why the Jew should be more gently dealt with than the Christian, then surely the Gospel can afford as littlewhy the Christian. Hian should be less gently dealt with than the Jew. The Gospel indeed exhorts to highest perfection, but bears with weakest infirmity more than the Law. those indulgences, All cannot receive this faying, Every man hath his proper gift, with express charges not to lay on yokes which our fathers could not bear. The nature of man still is as weak, and yet as hard; and that weakness and hardness as unfit and as unteachable to be harshly used as ever. Ay but, faith Parceus, there is a greater portion of spirit poured upon the Gospel, which requires from us perfecter obedience. I answer, this does not prove that the Law therfore might give allowance to fin more than the Gospel; and if it were no fin, we know it the work of the Spirit to mortify our corrupt defires and evil concupifcence; but not to root up our natural affections and disaffections, moving to and fro even in wifest Men upon just and necessary reasons, which were the true ground of that Mofaic Dispense, and is the utmost extent of our pleading. What is more or less perfect we dispute not, but what is sin or no sin. And in that I still affirm the Law required as perfect obedience as the Gospel; besides, that the prime end of the Gospel is not so much to exact our obedience, as to reveal Grace, and the satisffaction of our disobedience. What is now exacted from us, it is the accusing Law that does it, even yet under the Gospel; but cannot be more extreme to us now than to the Jews of old; for the Law ever was of Works, and the Gospel ever was of Grace.

Either then the Law by harmless and needful Dispenses, which the Gospel is now made to deny, must have anticipated and exceeded the Grace of the Gospel, or else must be found to have given politic and superficial Graces without real pardon, taying in general, Do this and live, and yet deceiving and damning under-hand with unfound and hollow permiffions, which is utterly abhorring from the end of all Law, as hath been shewed. But if those indulgences were safe and sinless, out of tenderness and compassion, as indeed they were, and yet shall be abrogated by the Gospel, then the Law, whose end is by rigor to magnify Grace, shall itself give Grace, and pluck a fair plume from the Gospel, instead of hastening us thither, alluring us from it. And wheras the tenor of the Law was a fervant to amplify and illustrate the mildness of Grace; now the unmildness of Evangelic Grace shall turn fervant, to declare the Grace and Mildness of the rigorous Law. The Law was harsh to extol the Grace of the Gofpel, and now the Gofpel by a new affected ftrictness of her own shall extenuate the Grace which herself offers. For by exacting a duty which the Law difpens'd, if we perform it, then is Grace diminish'd, by how much performanceadvances, unless the Apostle argue wrong: if we perform it not, and perish for not performing, then are the conditions of Grace harder than those of Rigor. If through Faith and Repentance we perish not, yet Grace still remains the less, by requiring that which Rigor did not require, or at least not so Thus much therfore to Paraus, that if the Gospel require perfecter O. bedience than the Law as a Duty, it exalts the Law, and debases itself, which is dishonourable to the work of our redemption. Seeing therfore that all the causes of any allowance that the Jews might have, remain as well to the Christians; this is a certain rule, that fo long as the causes remain, the allowance ought. And having thus at length inquired the truth concerning Law and Difpenie, their ends, their uses, their limits, and in what manner both Jew and Christian stand liable to the one or capable of the other, we may safely conclude, that to affirm the giving of any Law or lawlike Dispense to fin for hardness of heart, is a doctrine of that extravagance from the fage principles of Piety, that who o confiders throughly, cannot but admire how this hath been digested all this while.

CHAP.

CHAP. VIII.

The true sense how Moses suffered Divorce for hardness of Heart.

HAT may we do then to falve this feeming inconfiftence? I must not diffemble that I am confident it can be done no other way than this: Moses, Deut. 24. 1. establish'd a grave and prudent Law, sull of moral equity, fullof due confideration towards Nature, that cannot be refifted, a Law confenting with the Laws of wifest Men and civilest Nations; that when a man hath married a Wife, if it come to pass that he cannot love her by reason of some displeasing natural quality or unfitness in her, let him write her a Bill of Divorce. The intent of which Law undoubtedly was this, that if any good and peaceable Man should discover some helpless disagreement or dislike either of mind or body, wherby he could not chearfully perform the duty of a Hufband without the perpetual difsembling of offence and disturbance to his spirit; rather than to live uncomfortably and unhappily both to himfelf and to his Wife, rather than to continue undertaking a duty which he could not possibly discharge, he might dismiss her whom he could not tolerably and so not conscionably retain. And this Law the Spirit of God by the Mouth of Solomon, Prov. 30. 21, 23. testifies to be a good and a necessary Law, by granting it that a hated Woman (for so the Hebrew word fignifies, rather than odious, though it come all to one) that a hated Woman, when she is married, is a thing that the earth cannot bear. What follows then but that the charitable Law must remedy what Nature cannot undergo? Now that many licentious and hard-hearted Men took hold of this Law to cloke their bad purposes, is nothing strange to believe. And these were they, not for whom Mofes made the Law, God forbid, but whose hardness of heart taking ill advantage by this Law, he held it better to fuffer as by accident, where it could not be detected, rather than good Men should lose their just and lawful privilege of remedy: Christ therfore having to answer these tempting Pharisees, according as his custom was, not meaning to inform their proud ignorance what Moses did in the true intent of the Law, which they had ill cited, suppressing the true cause for which Moses gave it, and extending it to every slight matter, tells them their own, what Moses was forc'd to suffer by their abuse of his Law. Which is yet more plain if we mark that our Saviour in Mat. 5. cites not the Law of Moses, but the pharisaical tradition falfly grounded upon that Law. And in those other places, chap. 19. and Mark 10. the Pharisees cite the Law, but conceal the wise and human reason there exprest; which our Saviour corrects not in them, whose pride deserv'd not his instruction, only returns them what is proper to them; Moses for the hardness of your beart suffered you, that is such as you, to put away your wives; and to you be wrote this precept for that cause, which (to you) must be read with an impression, and understood limitedly of such as cover'd ill purposes under that Law: for it was teafonable that they should hear their own unbounded licence rebuk'd, but not teasonable for them to hear a good Man's requisite liberty explain'd. But us he hath taught better, if we have ears to hear. He himself acknowledg'd it to be a Law, Mark 10. and being a Law of God, it must have an undoubted end of charity, which may be us'd with a pure Heart, a good Conscience, and Faith unseigned, as was heard: it cannot allow fin, but is purposely to refift fin, as by the same chapter to Timothy appears. There we learn also, that the Law is good, if a man use it lawfully. Out of doubt then there must be a certain good in this Law, which Moses willingly allow'd, and there might be an unlawful use made therof by hypocrites; and that was it which was unwillingly fuffer'd, forefeeing it in general, but not able to discern it in particulars. Christ therfore mentions not here what Moses and the Law intended, for good Men might know that by many other rules: and the scornful Pharisees were not fit to be told, until they could imploy that knowledge they had less abusively. Only he acquaints them with what Moses by them was put to fuffer.

CHAP. IX.

The words of the Institution how to be understood; and of our Saviour's Answer to his Disciples.

ND to entertain a little their overweening arrogance as best besitted, and to amaze them ver further because them they are a large to the second of the seco amaze them yet further, because they thought it no hard matter to fulfil the Law, he draws them up to that unseparable institution which God ordain'd in the beginning before the fall, when Man and Woman were both perfect, and could have no cause to separate: just as in the same Chapter he stands not to contend with the arrogant young Man, who boasted his observance of the whole Law, whether he had indeed kept it or not, but skrews him up higher to a task of that perfection, which no man is bound to imitate. And in like manner that pattern of the first inftitution he fet before the opinionative Pharifees, to dazle them, and not to bind us. For this is a folid rule, that every command given with reason, binds our obedience no otherwife than that reason holds. Of this fort was that command in Eden; Therfore shall a Man cleave to his Wife, and they shall be one flesh; which we see is no abfolute command, but with an inference, Therfore: the reason then must be first confider'd, that our obedience be not misobedience. The first is, for it is not single, because the Wife is to the Husband flesh of his flesh, as in the verse going before. But this reason cannot be sufficient of itself: for why then should he for his Wife leave his Father and Mother, with whom he is far more flesh of flesh, and bone of bone, as being made of their fubstance? And besides, it can be but a forry, and ignoble society of life, whose inseparable injunction depends meerly upon flesh and bones. Therfore we must look higher, fince Christ himself recals us to the beginning, and we shall find that the primitive reason of never divorcing, was that facred and not vain promife of God to remedy man's Loneliness by making him a meet belp for him, the' not now in perfection, as at first; yet still in proportion as things now are. And this is repeated verte 20, when all other creatures were fitly affociated and brought to Adam, as if the divine power had been in fome care and deep thought, because there was not yet found an help-meet for Man. And can we so slightly depress the all-wise purpose of a deliberating God, as if his consultation had produced no other good for Man but to join him with an accidental companion of propagation, which his fudden word had already made for every beaft? nay a far less good to Man it will be found, if she must at all adventures be fastned upon him individually. And therfore even plain fense and equity, and, which is above them both, the all-interpreting voice of Charity herfelf cries loud that this primitive reason, this consulted promise of God to make a meet help, is the only cause that gives authority to this command of not divorcing, to be a command. And it might be further added, that if the true definition of a Wife were ask'd in good earnest, this clause of being a meet help would shew itself so necessary, and so essential in that demonstrative argument, that it might be logically concluded: therfore fhe who naturally and perpetually is no meet help, can be no Wife; which clearly takes away the difficulty of difmiffing of fuch a one. If this be not thought enough, I answer yet further, that Marriage, unless it mean a fit and tolerable Marriage, is not inseparable neither by nature nor institution. Not by nature, for then those Mosaic Divorces had been against nature, if separable and inseparable be contraries, as who doubts they be? and what is against nature is against Law, if foundest Philosophy abuse us not: by this reckoning Moses should be most unmosaic, that is most illegal, not to say most unnatural. Nor is it inseparable by the first institution: for then no second institution of the same Law for so many causes could diffolve it; it being most unworthy a human, (as Plato's judgment is in the fourth book of his Laws) much more a divine Lawgiver to write two Teveral Decrees upon the fame thing. But what could Plate have deemed if one of these were good, and the other evil to be done? Lastly, suppose it be inseparable by institution, yet in competition with higher things, as Religion and Charity in mainest matters, and when the chief end is frustrate for which it was ordained, as hath been shewn, if still it must remain inseparable, it holds a strange and lawless propriety from all other works of God under Heaven. From these anany confiderations, we may fafely gather, that so much of the first institution as our Saviour mentions, for he mentions not all, was but to quell and put to nonplus

the tempting Pharifees, and to lay open their ignorance and shallow understanding For, faith he, Have ve not read that he which made them at the of the Scriptures. leginning, mode them male and female, and faid, for this cause shall a man cleave to bis wife? which these blind usurpers of Moses' Chair could not gainfay: as if this fingle respect of male and semale were sufficient against a thousand inconveniences and mischiefs, to clog a rational creature to his endless forrow unrelinquishably, under the guileful superscription of his intended solace and comfort. What if they had thus answer'd? Master, if thou mean to make Wedloc as inseparable as from the beginning, let it be made a fit fociety, as God meant it, which we shall foon understand it ought to be, if thou recite the whole reason of the Law. Doubtlefs our Saviour had applauded their just answer. For then they had expounded his command of Paradife, even as Moses himself expounds it by his Laws of Divorce, that is, with due and wife regard to the Premises and Reasons of the first command; according to which, without unclean and temporizing Permiffions, he in-Atructs us in this imperfect state what we may lawfully do about Divorce.

But if it be thought that the Difciples, offended at the rigor of Christ's answer, could yet obtain no mitigation of the former sentence pronounc'd to the Pharisees, it may be fully answer'd, that our Saviour continues the same reply to his Disciples, as men leavened with the same customary licence which the Pharisees maintain'd, and difpleafed at the removing of a traditional abuse, wherto they had so long not unwillingly been used: it was no time then to contend with their flow and prejudicial belief, in a thing wherein an ordinary measure of light in Scripture, with some attention, might afterwards inform them well enough. And yet ere Christ had finished this argument, they might have pick'd out of his own concluding words an answer more to their minds, and in effect the same with that which hath been all this while intreating audience: All men, faith he, cannot receive this faying, fave they to whom it is given; he that is able to receive it, let him receive it. What faying is this which is left to a man's choice to receive, or not receive? What but the married life? Was our Saviour fo mild and fo favourable to the weakness of a fingle Man, and is he turn'd on the fudden fo rigorous and inexorable, to the distresses and extremities of an ill-wedded Man? Did he so graciously give leave to change the better fingle life for the worse married life? Did he open so to us this hazardous and accidental door of marriage, to shut upon us like the gate of death, without retracting or returning, without permitting to change the worst, most insupportable, most unchristian mischance of Marriage for all the mischiefs and forrows that can enfue, being an Ordinance which was especially given as a Cordial and exhilarating Cup of folace, the better to bear our other croffes and afflictions? Questionless this was a hard-heartedness of divorcing, worse than that in the Jews, which they fay extorted the allowance from Moses, and is utterly disfon all the Doctrine of our Saviour. After these considerations therfore, to take a Law out of Paradife given in time of original perfection, and to take it barely without those just and equal inferences and reasons which mainly establish it, nor fo much as admitting those needful and safe allowances wherewith Moses himself interprets it to the fallen condition of Man, argues nothing in us but rashness and contempt of those means that God left us in his pure and chaste Law, without which it will not be possible for us to perform the strict imposition of this command: or if we strive beyond our strength, we shall strive to obey it otherwise than God commands it. And lamented Experience daily teaches the bitter and vain fruits of this our prefumption, forcing Men in a thing wherin we are not able to judge either of their strength or of their sufferance. Whom neither one vice nor other by natural addiction, but only Marriage ruins, which doubtless is not the fault of that Ordinance, for God gave it as a bleffing, nor always of man's mischusing, it being an error above wisdom to prevent, as examples of wisest Men fo mistaken manifest: It is the fault therfore of a perverse Opinion that will have it continued in defpite of Nature and Reason, when indeed it was never truly join'd. All those Expositors upon the first of Matthew confess the Law of Moses to be the Law of the Lord, wherin no addition or diminution hath place; yet coming to the point of Divorce, as if they fear'd not to be call'd least in the Kingdom of Heaven, any flight evafion will content them, to reconcile those contradictions which they make between Christ and Moses, between Christ and Christ.

CHAP. X.

The vain Shift of those who make the Law of Divorce to be only the Premises of a succeeding Law.

OME will have it no Law, but the granted Premises of another Law following, contrary to the words of Christ, Mark 10.5. and all other Translations of gravest Authority, who render it in form of a Law, agreeable to Mel. 2. 16. as it is most anciently and modernly expounded. Besides, the Bill of Divorce, and the particular occasion therin mention'd, declares it to be orderly and legal. And what avails this to make the matter more righteous, if fuch an adulterous condition shall be mention'd to build a Law upon without either punishment or so much as forbidding? They pretend it is implicitly reproved in these words, Deut. 24. 4. after she is defiled; but who sees not that this defilement is not only in respect of returning to her former Husband after an intermixt Marriage? else why was not the defiling condition first forbidden, which would have faved the labour of this After-Law? Nor is it feemly or piously attributed to the Justice of God and his known hatred of Sin, that such a heinous fault as this through all the Law should be only whip'd with an implicit and oblique touch, (which yet is falfly fuppos'd) and that his peculiar People should be let wallow in adulterous Marriages almost two thousand years, for want of a direct Law to prohibit them: 'tis rather to be confidently affum'd that this was granted to apparent necessities, as being of unquestionable right and reason in the Law of nature, in that it still passes without inhibition, even when the greatest cause is given to us to expect it should be directly sorbidden.

CHAP. XI.

The other Shift of saying Divorce was permitted by Law, but not approv'd. More of the Institution.

UT it was not approv'd. So much the worse that it was allow'd; as if Sin I had overmaster d the Word of God, to conform her steddy and strait rule to Sin's crookedness, which is impossible. Besides, what needed a positive grant of that which was not approv'd? It restrain'd no liberty to him that could but use a little fraud, it had been better filenced unless it were approved in some case or other. But still it was not approv'd. Miserable Excusers! He who doth evil that good may come therby, approves not what he doth; and yet the grand Rule forbids him, and counts bis damnation just if he do it. The Sorceress Medea did not approve her own evil doings, yet look'd not to be excused for that: and it is the constant Opinion of Plato in Protagoras, and other of his Dialogues agreeing with that Proverbial Sentence among the Greeks, that No man is wicked willingly. Which also the Peripateties do rather distinguish than deny. What great thank then if any man, reputed wise and constant, will neither do, nor permit others under his charge to do that which he approves not, especially in matter of Sin? But for a Judge, but for a Magistrate, the Shepherd of his People, to surrender up his approbation against Law and his own Judgment, to the obstinacy of his herd, what more Un-judge-like, more Un-magistrate-like, and in War more Un-commanderlike? Twice in a short time it was the undoing of the Roman State, first when Poinpey, next when Marcus Brutus, had not magnanimity enough but to make so poor a refignation of what they approv'd, to what the boifterous Tribunes and Soldiers bawl'd for. Twice it was the faving of two the greatest Commonwealths in the World, of Athens by Themistocles at the Sea fight of Salamis; of Rome by Fabius Maximus in the Punic War, for that these two matchless Generals had the fortitude at home against the rashness and the clamours of their own Captains and Consederates, to withstand the doing or permitting of what they could not approve in their duty of their great command. Thus far of civil Prudence. But when we speak of Sin, let us look again upon the old reverend Eli; who in his heavy Punishment found no difference between the doing and permitting of what he did not approve.

approve. If hardness of heart in the people may be an excuse, why then is Pilate branded through all memory? He approv'd not what he did, he openly protested, he wash'd his hands, and labour'd not a little ere he would yield to the hard hearts of a whole People, both Princes and Plebeans, importuning and tumulting even to the fear of a revolt. Yet is there any will undertake his cause? If therfore Pilate for fuffering but one act of cruelty against Law, though with much unwillingness testify'd, at the violent demand of a whole Nation, shall stand fo black upon record to all posterity; alas for Moses! what shall we say for him, while we are taught to believe he fuffer'd not one act only both of cruelty and uncleanness in one Divorce, but made it a plain and lasting Law against Law, wherby tenthousand acts accounted both cruel and unclean, might be daily committed, and this without the least suit or petition of the People that we can read of.

And can we conceive without vile thoughts, that the Majesty and Holiness of God could endure fo many Ages to gratify a stubborn people in the practice of a foul polluting Sin? and could he expect they should abstain, he not signifying his mind in a plain command, at such time especially when he was framing their Laws and them to all possible perfection? But they were to look back to the first institution; nay rather why was not that individual inflitution brought out of Paradife, as was that of the Sabbath, and repeated in the body of the Law, that men might have understood it to be a command? for that any sentence that bears the resemblance of a precept, set there so out of place in another World, at such a distance from the whole Law, and not once mentioned there, should be an obliging command to us, is very disputable, and perhaps it might be deny'd to be a command without further difpute: however, it commands not abfolutely, as hath been clear'd, but only with reference to that precedent promise of God, which is the very ground of his inflitution; if that appear not in some tolerable fort, how can we affirm fuch a matrimony to be the fame which God instituted? In fuch an accident it will best behoove our soberness to follow rather what moral Sinai prescribes equal to our strength, than fondly to think within our strength of all that lost Paradise relates.

CHAP. XII.

The third Shift of them who esteem it a meer Judicial Law.

Prov'd again to be a Law of moral Equity.

Nother while it shall suffice them, that it was not a moral but a judicial Law, A Nother while it shall suffice them, that it was not a moral out a judicial Law, and so was abrogated: nay rather abrogated because judicial; which Law the Ministry of Christ came not to deal with. And who put it in Man's power to exempt, where Christ speaks in general of not abrogating the least jet or tittle, and in special not that of Divorce, because it follows among those Laws which he promis'd expressy not to abrogate, but to vindicate from abusive Traditions? which is most evidently to be seen in the 16th of Luke, where this caution of not abrogating is inferted immediately, and not otherwife than purpofely, when no other point of the Law is touch'd but that of Divorce. And if we mark the 31st verse of Mat. 5. he there cites not the Law of Moses, but the licentious Gloss which traduc'd the Law; that therfore which he cited, that he abrogated, and not only abrogated, but difallow'd and flatly condemned, which could not be the Law of Moses, for that had been foully to the rebuke of his great Servant. gate a Law made with God's allowance, had been to tell us only that fuch a Law was now to cease: but to refute it with an ignominious note of civilizing Adultery, casts the reproof which was meant only to the Pharisees, even upon him who made the Law. But yet if that be judicial which belongs to a Civil Court, this Law is less judicial than nine of the ten Commandments: for Antiquaries affirm, that Divorces proceeded among the Jews without knowledge of the Magiftrate, only with Hands and Seals under the testimony of some Rabbi's to be then present. Perkins, in a Treatise of Conscience, grants, that what in the judicial Law is of common equity, binds also the Christian: and how to judge of this, pref ribes two ways; If wife Nations have enacted the same Decree: Or if it mainthe good of Family, Church, or Commonwealth. This therfore is a pure we conomical Law, too hastily imputed of tolerating Sin; being rather so

Ġ

clear in nature and reason, that it was left to a man's own arbitrement ro be determined between God and his own conscience; not only among the Jews, but in every wise Nation; the restraint wherof, who is not too thick-fighted, may see how hurtful and distractive it is to the House, the Church, and Commonwealth. And that power which Christ never took from the Master of a Family, but rectified only to a right and wary use at home; that power the undiscerning Canonist hath improperly usurpt in his Court-leet, and bescribbled with a thousand trisling impertinencies, which yet have fill'd the life of man with serious trouble and calamity. Yet grant it were of old a judicial Law, it need not be the less moral for that, being conversant as it is about Virtue or Vice. And our Saviour disputes not here the Judicature, for that was not his Office, but the morality of Divorce, whether it be Adultery or no; if therfore he touch the Law of Moses at all, he touches the moral part therof, which is absurd to imagine, that the Covenant of Grace should reform the exact and perfect Law of Works, eternal and immutable; or if he touch not the Law at all, then is not the Allowance therof disallow'd to us.

CHAP. XIII.

The ridiculous Opinion that Divorce was permitted from the Custom in Ægypt. That Moses gave not this Law unwillingly. Perkins confesses this Law was not abrogated.

There are fo ridiculous as to alledge that this Licence of divorcing was given them because they were forward the state of them because they were so accustom'd in Ægypt. As if an i'l Custom were to be kept to all posterity; for the Dispensation is both universal and of time unlimited, and so indeed no Dispensation at all: for the over-dated Dispensation of a thing unlawful, ferves for nothing but to increase hardness of heart, and makes men but wax more incorrigible, which were a great reproach to be faid of any Law or Allowance that God should give us. In these Opinions it would be more Religion to advise well, lest we make our selves juster than God, by censuring rashly that for Sin which his unspotted Law without rebuke allows, and his People without being conscious of displeasing him have used, and if we can think so of Mofes, as that the Jewish obstinacy could compel him to write such impure permissions against the Word of God and his own Judgment, doubtless it was his part to have protested publicly what straits he was driven to, and to have declar'd his Conscience, when he gave any Law against his mind: for the Law is the Touchstone of Sin and of Conscience, and must not be intermix'd with corrupt Indulgences; for then it loses the greatest praise it has of being certain, and infallible, not leading into error as all the Jews were led by this Connivance of Moses, if it were a Connivance. But still they fly back to the primitive Institution, and would have us re-enter Paradife against the Sword that guards it. Whom I again thus reply to, that the place in Genefis contains the description of a fit and perfect Marriage, with an interdict of ever divorcing fuch a Union; but where nature is difcover'd to have never joined indeed, but vehemently feeks to part, it cannot be there conceived that God forbids it, nay, he commands it both in the Law and in the Prophet Malachy, which is to be our rule. And Perkins upon this Chapter of Matthew deals plainly, that our Saviour here confutes not Mojes' Law, but the false Glosses that depray'd the Law; which being true, Perkins must needs grant, that fomething then is left to that Law which Christ found no fault with; and what can that be but the confcionable use of such liberty, as the plain words import? So that by his own Inference, Christ did not absolutely intend to restrain all Divorces to the only cause of Adultery. This therfore is the true scope of our Saviour's will, that he who looks upon the Law concerning Divorce, should also look back upon the Institution, that he may endeavour what is perfectest: and he that looks upon the Institution shall not resuse as sinful and unlawful those allowances which God affords him in his following Law, left he make himself purer than his Maker, and prefuming above strength, slip into temptations irrecoverably. For this is wonderful, that in all those Decrees concerning Marriage, God should never once mention the prime Institution to disfluade them from divorcing, and that he should forbid smaller Sins as opposite to the hardness of their hearts, and let this adulterous matter of Divorce passever unreproved.

This

This is also to be marvelled, that seeing Christ didnot condemn whatever it was that Asofes suffered, and that therupon the Christian Magistrate permits Usury and open Stews, and here with us Adultery to be so slightly purished, which was punished by death to these hard-hearted Jews, why we should strain thus at the matter of Divorce, which may stand somuch with Charity to permit, and make no scrupte to allow Usury esteemed to be somuch against Charity. But this it is to embroil our selves against the righteous and all-wise Judgments and Statutes of God; which are not variable and contrarious, as we would make them, one while permitting, and another while forbidding, but are most constant and most harmonious each to other. For how can the uncorrupt and majestic Law of God, bearing in her hand the wages of life and death, harbour such a repugnance within her felf, as to require an unexempted and impartial Obedience to all her Decrees, either from us or from our Mediator, and yet debase her self to faulter so many Ages with circumcis'd Adulteries by unclean and subbering Permissions?

CHAP. XIV.

That Beza's Opinion of regulating Sin by Apostolic Law cannot be found.

TET Beza's Opinion is, that a politic Law, (but what politic Law, I know not, unless one of Machiagra's) may record to Six not, unless one of *Machiaver's*) may regulate Sin; may bear indeed, I grant, with imperfection for a time, as those Canons of the Apostles did in Ceremonial things: but as for Sin, the essence of it cannot consist with rule; and if the Law fail to regulate Sin, and not to take it utterly away, it necessarily confirms and oftablishes Sin. To make a regularity of Sin by Law, either the Law must streighten Sin into no Sin, or Sin must crook the Law into no Law. The Judicial Law can ferve to no other end than to be the Protector and Champion of Religion and honest Civility, as is fet down plainly Rom. 13 and is but the arm of Moral Law, which can no more be separate from Justice, than Justice from Virtue. Their office also, in a different manner, steers the same course; the one teaches what is good by precept, the other unteaches what is bad by punishment. But if we give way to public Dispensations of lewd Uncleanness, the first good consequence of fuch a relax will be the justifying of Papal Stews, join'd with a toleration of epidemic Whoredom. Justice must revolt from the end of her Authority, and become the Patron of that wherof she was created the Punisher. The example of Utury, which is commonly alledged, makes against the Allegation which it brings, as I touched before. Befides that Ufury, fo much as is permitted by the Magistrate, and demanded by common equity, is neither against the word of God, nor the rule of Charity, as hath been often discussed by men of eminent Learning and Judgment. There must be therfore some other example sound out to shew us wherein civil Policy may with warrant from God fettle Wickednels by Law, and make that lawful which is lawlefs. Although I doubt not but upon deeper confideration, that which is true in Physic will be found as true in Policy, that as of bad Pulses those that beat most in order, are much worse than those that keep the most inordinate circuit; so of popular Vices those that may be committed legally, will be more pernicious than those that are left to their own course at peril, not under a stinted privilege to fin orderly and regularly, which is an implicite contradiction, but under due and fearlefs execution of punishment.

The political Law, fince it cannot regulate Vice, is to referain it by using all means to root it out. But if it suffer the weed to grow up to any pleasurable or contented height upon what pretext soever, it fastens the root, it prunes and dresses Vice, as if it were a good Plant. Let no man doubt therfore to affirm, that it is not so hurtful or dishonourable to a Commonwealth, nor so much to the hardening of hearts, when those worse faults pretended to be feared are committed, by whose dares under strict and executed Penalty, as when those less faults tolerated for sear of greater harden their faces, not their hearts only, under the protection of public Authority. For what less indignity were this, than as if Justice herself, the Queen of Virtues (descending from her scepter'd Royalty) instead of conquering should compound and treat with Sin, her eternal Adversary and Rebel, upon ignoble terms? or as if the

Judicial

Judicial Law were like that untrusty Steward in the Gospel, and instead of calling in the debts of his moral Master, should give out subtile and sly Acquittances to keep himself from begging? Or let us person him like some wretched Itinerary Judge, who to gratify his Delinquets before him, would let them basely break his head, left they should pull him from the Bench, and throw him over the Bar. Unlefs we had rather think both Moral and Judicial, full of malice and deadly purpose, conspir'd to let the Debtor Israelite, the Seed of Abraham, run on upon a bankrout fcore, flatter'd with infufficient and enfnaring Difcharges, that to he might be haled to a more cruel forfeit for all the indulgent arrears which those Judicial Acquitments had engaged him in. No no, this cannot be, that the Law, whose integrity and faithfulness is next to God, should be either the shameless broker of our impunities, or the intended instrument of our destruction. The method of holy correction, fuch as became the Commonwealth of Ifrael, is not to bribe fin with fin, to capitulate and hire out one crime with another; but with more noble and graceful feverity than Popilius the Roman Legate used with Antiochus, to limit and level out the direct way from vice to virtue, with ftraightest and exacteft lines on either fide, not winding or indenting fo much as to the right hand of fair pretences. Violence indeed and Infurrection may force the Law to fuffer what it cannot mend; but to write a Decree in allowance of fin, as foon can the hand of Justice rot off. Let this be ever concluded as a truth that will outlive the faith of those that feek to bear it down.

CHAP. XV.

That Divorce was not given for Wives only, as Beza and Paræus write. More of the Institution.

Aftly, If Divorce were granted, as *Beza* and others fay, not for men, but to release afflicted Wives; certainly it is not only a Different men, but to merciful Law; and why it should not yet be in force, being wholly as needful, I know not what can be in cause but senseless cruelty. But yet to say, Divorce was granted for relief of Wives rather than of Husbands, is but weakly conjectured, and is manifestly the extreme shift of a huddled exposition. Whenas it could not be found how hardness of heart should be lessen'd by liberty of Divorce, a fancy was devis'd to hide the flaw, by commenting that Divorce was permitted only for the help of Wives. Palpably uxorious! who can be ignorant that Woman was created for Man, and not Man for Woman, and that a Hufband may be injur'd as infufferably in Marriage as a Wife? What an injury is it after Wedloc not to be belov'd? what to be flighted? what to be contended with in point of house-rule who shall be the head; not for any parity of wisdom, for that were fomething reasonable, but out of a semale pride? I suffer not, saith S. Paul, the Woman to usurp authority over the Man. If the Apostle could not suffer it, into what mould is he mortified that can? Solomon faith, That a bad Wife is to ber Hi-fband as rottennefs to his bones, a continual dropping. $\,\,\,\,\,$ Better dwell in the corner $\,\,$ of a house-top, or in the wilderness, than with such an one. Whoso hideth her, hideth the wind, and one of the four mischiefs which the earth cannot bear. If the Spirit of God wrote such Aggravations as these, and (as may be guest by these similitudes) counfels the Man rather to divorce than to live with fuch a collegue; and yet on the other fide expresses nothing of the Wife's suffering with a bad Husband: Is it not most likely that God in his Law had more pity towards Man thus wedlock'd, than towards the Woman that was created for another? The fame Spirit relates to us the course which the Medes and Perstans took by occasion of Vashti, whose meer denial to come at her Husband's sending, lost her the being Queen any longer, and fet up a wholesome Law, that every man should bear rule in his own house. And the Divine Relater shews us not the least sign of disliking what was done; how should he, if Moses long before was nothing less mindful of the honour and pre-eminence due to Man? So that to say Divorce was granted for Woman rather than Man, was but fondly invented. Esteeming therfore to have afferted thus an injur'd Law of Moses, from the unwarranted and guilty name of a Dispensation, to be again a most equal and requisite Law, we have the Word of Christ himself, that he came not to alter the least tittle of it; and signi-

Discipline of DIVORCE.

fies no small displeasure against him that shall teach to do so. On which relying, I shall not much waver to affirm, that those words which are made to intimate as if they forbad all Divorce, but for Adultery, (tho' Moses have constituted otherwise) those words taken circumferiptly, without regard to any precedent Law of Moses, or attestation of Christ himself, or without care to preserve those his fundamental and superiour Laws of Nature and Charity, to which all other Ordinances give up their Seals, are as much against plain Equity and the Mercy of Religion, as those words of Take, eat, this is my Body, elementally understood, are against Nature and Sense.

And furely the restoring of this degraded Law hath well recompene'd the diligence was us'd by enlightning us further to find out wherfore Christ took off the Pharifees from alledging the Law, and referr'd them to the first institution; not condemning, altering, or abolishing this precept of Divorce, which is plainly moral, for that were against his Truth, his Promise, and his prophetic Office; but knowing how fallaciously they had cited and conceal'd the particular and natural reason of the Law that they might justify any froward reason of their own, he lets go that Sophistry unconvine'd, for that had been to teach them else, which his purpose was And fince they had taken a liberty which the Law gave not, he amuses and repels their tempting pride with a perfection of Paradife, which the Law required not; not therby to oblige our performance to that wherto the Law never enjoin'd the fallen estate of Man: for if the first institution must make Wedloc, whatever happen, infeparable to us, it must make it also as perfect as meetly helpful, and as comfortable as God promis'd it should be, at least in some degree; otherwise it is not equal or proportionable to the strength of Man, that he should be reduced into fuch indiffoluble bonds to his affured mifery, if all the other conditions of that covenant be manifestly alter'd.

C H A P. XVI.

How to be understood that they must be one slesh; and how that those whom God hath join'd, Man should not sunder.

EXT he faith, they must be one flesh; which, when all conjecturing is done, will be found to import no more but to make legislimate. act, which elfe might feem to have fomething of pollution in it; and infers thus much over, that the fit union of their Souls be fuch as may even incorporate them to love and amity: but that can never be where no correspondence is of the mind; nay instead of being one flesh, they will be rather two carcases chain'd unnaturally together; or, as it may happen, a living foul bound to a dead corpfe, a punishment too like that inflicted by the Tyrant Mezentius, so little worthy to be received as that remedy of loneliness which God meant us. Since we know it is not the joining of another body will remove loneliness, but the uniting of another compliable mind; and that it is no bleffing but a torment, nay a base and brutish condition to be one flesh, unless where nature can in some measure six a unity of disposition. The meaning therfore of these words, For this cause shall a Man leave his Father and his Mother, and shall cleave to his Wife, was first to shew us the dear affection which naturally grows in every not unnatural Marriage, even to the leaving of Parents, or other familiarity whatfoever. Next, it justifies a man in so doing, that nothing is done undutifully to Father or Mother. But he that should be here fternly commanded to cleave to his error, a difposition which to his he finds will never cement, a quotidian of forrow and discontent in his house; let us be excused to pause a little, and bethink us every way round ere we lay such a flat Solecism upon the gracious, and certainly not inexorable, not rushless and slinty Ordinance of Marriage. For if the meaning of these words must be thus block'd up within their own letters from all equity and fair deduction, they will ferve then well indeed their turn, who affirm Divorce to have been granted only for Wives; when as we fee no word of this Text binds Women, but Men only, what it binds. No marvel then if Salomith (Sifter to Herod) fent a Writ of Ease to Costobarus her Husband, which (as Josephus there attests) was lawful only to Men. No marvel tho' Placidia, the Sifter of *Honorius*, threatned the like to Earl *Constantius* for a trivial cause, as Photius relates from Olympiodorus. No marvel any thing, if Letters must be turn'd into Palifadoes, to stake out all requisite sense from entering to their due enlargement.

Vol. I. Dd Laftly,

Lastly, Christ himself tells who should not be put asunder, namely, those whom God hath join'd. A plain folution of this great controversy, if men would but use their eyes; for when is it that God may be said to join? when the parties and their friends confent? No furely, for that may concur to lewdeft ends. Or is it when Church-Rites are finish'd? Neither; for the efficacy of those depends upon the prefupposed fitness of either party. Perhaps after carnal knowledge: Least of all; for that may join persons whom neither Law nor Nature dares join. 'Tis left, that only then when the minds are fitly disposed and enabled to maintain a chearful conversation, to the solace and love of each other, according as God intended and promifed in the very first foundation of Matrimony, I will make him a help-meet for him; for furely what God intended and promised, that only can be thought to be his joining, and not the contrary. So likewise the Apostle witnesseth, 1 Cor. 7. 15. that in Marriage God bath called us to peace. And doubtless in what respect he hath called us to marriage, in that also he hath join'd us. The rest, whom either difproportion or deadness of spirit, or something distasteful and averse in the immutable bent of Nature renders conjugal, Error may have join'd, but God never join'd against the meaning of his own Ordinance. And if he join'd them not, then is there no power above their own confent to hinder them from unjoining, when they cannot reap the foberest ends of being together in any tolerable fort. Neither can it be said properly that such twain were ever divorc'd, but only parted from each other, as two persons unconjunctive are unmarriable together. But if, whom God hath made a fit help, frowardness or private injuries hath made unfit, that being the fecret of Marriage, God can better judge than Man, neither is Man indeed fit or able to decide this matter: however it be, undoubtedly a peaceful Divorce is a less evil, and less in scandal-than hateful, hard-hearted, and destructive continuance of Marriage in the judgment of Mojes and of Christ, that justifies him in chusing the less evil; which if it were an honest and civil prudence in the Law, what is there in the Gospel forbidding such a kind of legal wildom, though we should admit the common Expositors?

CHAP. XV//

The Sentence of Christ concerning Divorce how to be expounded. What Grotius hath observed. Other Additions.

Aving thus unfolded those ambiguous Reasons, wherwith Christ (as his wont was) gave to the Pharisees that came to sound him such an answer as they deferved, it will not be uneasy to explain the Sentence itself that now follows; Whofoever shall put away his Wife, except it be for fornication, and shall marry another, committeth adultery. First therfore I will set down what is observed by Grotius upon this point, a Man of general learning. Next, I produce what mine own thoughts gave me before I had seen his Annotations. Origen, faith he, notes that Christ named Adultery rather as one example of other like cases, than as one only exception, and that is frequent not only in human but in divine I are exception; and that is frequent not only in human but in divine Laws, to express one kind of fact, wherby other causes of like nature may have the like plea, as Exod. 21. 18, 19, 20, 26. Deut. 19. 5. And from the Maxims of Civil Law he shews, that even in sharpest penal Laws the same reason hath the same right; and in gentler Laws, that from like causes to like the Law interprets rightly. But it may be objected, faith he, that nothing destroys the end of Wedloc to much as Adultery. To which he answers, that Marriage was not ordain'd only for copulation, but for mutual help and comfort of life: and if we mark diligently the nature of our Saviour's commands, we shall find that both their beginning and their end confifts in charity; whose will is that we should so be good to others, as that we be not cruel to ourselves: and hence it appears why Mark and I uke, and S. Paul to the Corintbians, mentioning this precept of Christ, add no exception, because exceptions that arise from natural equity are included filently under general terms: it would be confidered therfore whether the fame equity may not have place in other cases less frequent. Thus far he. From hence is what I add: First, that this saying of Christ, as it is usually expounded, can be no Law at all, that a Man for no cause should separate but for Adultery, except it be a

203

Discipline of DIVORCE.

Supernatural Law, not binding us as we now are; had it been the Law of nature, either the Jews, or fome other wife and civil nation would have prefs'd it: or let it be fo, yet that Law, Deut. 24. 1. wherby a Man hath leave to part, whenas for just and natural cause discover'd he cannot love, is a Law ancienter and deeper engraven in blamelefs nature than the other: therfore the infpired Lawgiver \hat{Mofes} took care that this should be specify'd and allow'd; the other he let vanish in silence, not once repeated in the Volume of his Law, even as the reason of it vanish'd with Paradife. Secondly, this can be no new command, for the Gospel enjoins no new morality, fave only the infinite enlargement of Charity, which in this respect is called the New Commandment by S. John, as being the accomplishment of every command. Thirdly, it is no command of perfection further than it partakes of Charity, which is the bond of perfection. Those commands therfore which compel us to felf-cruelty above our strength, so hardly will help forward to perfection, that they hinder and fet backward in all the common rudiments of Christianity, as was prov'd. It being thus clear that the words of Christ can be no kind of command as they are vulgarly taken, we shall now see in what sense they may be a command, and that an excellent one, the fame with that of Moses, and no other. Moses had granted, that only for a natural annoyance, defect, or diflike, whether in body or mind, (for fo the Hebrew word plainly notes) which a man could not force himfe'f to live with, he might give a bill of Divorce, therby forbidding any oth a caute wherin amendment or reconciliation might have place. This Law the Pharifees depraving, extended to any flight contentious cause whatsoever. Christ therfore feeing where they halted, urges the negative part of the Law, which is necesfarily understood (for the determinate permission of Males binds them from further licence) and checking their funercilious drift, declares that no accidental, temporary, or reconcilable offence (except fornication) can justify a Divorce. He touches notherethole natural and perpetual hindrances of fociety, whether in body or mind, which are not to be removed; for fuch, as they are apteft to cause an unchangeable offence, so are they not capable of reconcilement, because not of amendment: they do not break indeed, but they annihilate the bands of Marriage more than Adultery. For that fault committed argues not always a hatred either natural or incidental against whom it is committed; neither does it infer a disability of all suture helpfulness, or loyalty, or loving agreement, being once past and pardon'd, where it can be pardon'd: but that which naturally diftastes, and finds no favour in the eyes of Matrimony, can never be conceal'd; never appeas'd, never interinited, but proves a perpatual nullity of love and contentment, a folitude and dead-vacation of all acceptable conversing. Moses therfore permits Divorce, but in cases only that have no hands to join, and more need separating than Adultery. Christ forbids it; but in matters only that may accord, and those less than Fornication. Thus is Moses Law here plainly confirm'd, and those causes which he permitted not a jot gainfaid. And that this is the true meaning of this place I prove by no less an Author that S. Paul himself, 1 Cor. 7. 10, 11. upon which Text Interpreters agree, that the Apostle only repeats the precept of Christ: where while he speaks of the Wife's reconcilement to ber Husband, he puts it out of controversy, that our Saviour meant chiefly matters of strile and reconcilement; of which fort he would not that any difference should be the occasion of Divorce, except Fornication. And that we may learn better how to value a grave and prudent Law of Meles, and how unadvifedly we finatter with our lips, when we talk of Christ's abolithing any Judicial Law of his great Father, except in some circumstances which are Judaical rather than Judicial, and need no abolishing, but cease of themselves; I say again, that this recited Law of Moses contains a cause of Divorce greater beyond compare than that for Adultery: and whose cannot seconceive it, errs and wrongs exceedingly a Law of deep wisdom forwant of well fathoming. For let him mark; no man urges the just divorcing of Adultery as it is a fin, but as it is an injury to Marriage; and tho' it be but once committed, and that without malice, whether through importunity or opportunity, the Gospel does not therfore diffuade him who would therfore divorce; but that natural hatred, whenever it arises, is a greater evil in Marriage than the accident of Adultery, a greater defrauding, a greater injustice, and yet not blameable, he who understands not after all this representing, I doubt his Will like a hard Spleen draws failer than his Understanding can fanguify. Nor did that man ever know or feel what it is to love truly, nor ever yet comprehended in his thoughts what the true intent of Marriage is. And this also will be somewhat above his reach, but yet no less a truth for lack of his perspective, that as no man apprehends what vice is so well as he who is truly virtuous, no man knows Hell like him DdzVol. I.

who converses most in Heaven; so there is none that can estimate the evil and the affliction of a natural hatred in Matrimony, unless he have a Soul gentle enough

and spacious enough to contemplate what is true love.

And the reason why men so disesteem this wise-judging Law of God, and count hate, or the not finding of favour, as it is there term'd, a humorous, a dishonest, and flight cause of Divorce, is because themselves apprehend so little of what true concord means: for if they did, they would be juster in their balancing between natural hatred and casual adultery; this being but a transient injury, and soon amended, I mean as to the party against whom the trespass is: but the other being an unspeakable and unremitting forrow and offence, wherof no amends can be made, no cure, no ceasing but by Divorce, which like a divine touch in one moment heals all, and (like the Word of God) in one instant hushes outrageous tempests into a fudden stillness and peaceful calm. Yet all this so great a good of God's own enlarging to us, is by the hard reins of them that fit us, wholly diverted and imbezell'd from us. Maligners of mankind! But who hath taught you to mangle thus, and make more gashes in the miseries of a blameless creature, with the leaden daggers of your literal Decrees, to whose ease you cannot add the tithe of one small atom, but by letting alone your unhelpful Surgery. As for fuch as think wandring concupifcence to be here newly and more precifely forbidden than it was before, if the Apostle can convince them, we know that we are to know lust by the Law, and not by any new discovery of the Gospel. The Law of Moses knew what it permitted, and the Gospel knew what it forbid; he that under a peevish conceit of debarring concupifcence, shall go about to make a Novice of Moses, (not to say a worse thing, for reverence sake) and such a one of God himself as is a horror to think, to bind our Saviour in the default of a downright promife-breaking, and to bind the difunions of complaining nature, in chains together, and curb them with a Canon Bit, 'tis he that commits all the whoredom and adultery which himfelf adjudges, befides the former guilt fo manifold that lies upon him. And if none of these considerations, with all their weight and gravity, can avail to the dispossessing him of his precious Literalism, let some one or other entreat him but to read on in the same 19th of Matth. till he come to that place that says, Some make themselves Eunuchs for the Kingdom of Heaven's sake. And if then he please to make use of Origen's Knife, he may do well to be his own Carver.

C H A P. XVIII.

Whether the Words of our Saviour be rightly expounded only of actual Fornication to be the cause of Divorce. The Opinion of Grotius, with other Reasons.

BUT because we know that Christ never gave a Judicial Law, and that the word Fornication is variously significant in Scripture, it will be much right done to our Saviour's words, to confider diligently whether it be meant here that nothing but actual fornication prov'd by witness can warrant a Divorce, for so our Canon Law judges. Nevertheless, as I find that Grotius on this place hath observ'd the Christian Emperors, Theodosius the second and Justinian, Men of high Wisdom and reputed Piety, decreed it to be a divorcive Fornication, if the Wife attempted either against the knowledge, or obstinately against the will of her Husband, such things as gave open suspicion of adulterizing, as the wilful haunting of Feasts, and Invitations with men not of her near Kindred, the lying forth of her House, without probable cause, the frequenting of Theatres against her Husband's mind, her endeavour to prevent or destroy Conception. Hence that of Jerom, where Fornication is suspected, the Wife may lawfully be divorced: not that every motion of a jealous mind should be regarded, but that it should not be exacted to prove all things by the visibility of Law witneffing, or else to hoodwink the Mind: for the Law is not able to judge of these things but by the rule of Equity, and by permitting a wife man to walk the middle way of prudent circumspection, neither wretchedly jealous, nor stupidly and tamely patient. To this purpose hath Grotius in his Notes. He shews also that Fornication is taken in Scripture for fuch a continual headstrong Behaviour, as tends to plain contempt of the Husband, and proves it out of Judges 19. 2. where the Levite's Wife is faid to have plaid the whore against him; which Josephus and the Septuagint, with the Chaldean, interpret only of Stubbornness and Rebellion against her Husband: and to this I add, that Kimchi, and the two other Rabbies who gloss the Text, are in the same Opinion. Ben Gerson reasons, that had it been Whoredom, a Jew and a Levite would have difdain'd to fetch her again. And this I shall contribute, that had it been Whoredom, she would have chosen any other place to run to than to her Father's house, it being so infamous for an Hebrew Woman to play the Harlot, and to opprobrious to the Parents. Fornication then in this place of the Judges is understood for stubborn Disobedience against the Husband, and not for Adultery. A Sin of that fudden activity, as to be already committed when no more is done, but only look'd unchaftly: which yet I should be loth to judge worthy a Divorce, though in our Saviour's Language it be called Adultery. Nevertheless when palpable and frequent signs are given, the Law of God, Num. 5. so far gave way to the Jealousy of a Man, as that the Woman, set before the Sanctuary with her head uncover'd, was adjur'd by the Priest to swear whether shewere false or no, and constrain'd to drink that bitter water with an undoubted curse of rottenness and tympany to follow, unless she were innocent. And the jealous man had not been guiltless before God, as seems by the last Verse, if having such a fuspicion in his head, he should neglect his trial; which if to this day it be not to be us'd, or be thought as uncertain of effect as our antiquated Law of Ordalium, yet all equity will judge that many adulterous demeanours, which are of lewd fufpicion and example, may be held fufficient to incur a Divorce, though the Act it And feeing the Generofity of our Nation is fo, as felf hath not been prov'd. to account no reproach more abominable than to be nick-nam'd the Husband of an Adulteress, that our Law should not be as ample as the Law of God, to vindicate a Man from that ignoble sufferance, is our barbarous unskilfulness, not confidering that the Law should be exasperated according to our estimation of the injury. And if it must be suffer'd till the act be visibly prov'd, Solomon himself, whose judgment will be granted to surpass the acuteness of any Canonist, confesses, Prov. 30. 19, 20. that for the act of Adultery it is as difficult to be found as the track of an eagle in the air, or the way of a ship in the sea; so that a Man may be put to unmanly indignities ere it be found out. This therfore may be enough to inform us, that divorcive Adultery is not limited by our Saviour to the utmost act, and that to be attested always by eye-witness, but may be extended also to divers obvious actions, which either plainly lead to Adultery, or give such presumption wherby fensible men may suspect the deed to be already done. And this the rather may be thought, in that our Saviour chose to use the word Fornication, which word is found to fignify other matrimonial Transgressions of main breach to that Covenant befides actual Adultery. For that Sin needed not the riddance of Divorce, but of Death by the Law, which was active even till then by the example of the Woman taken in Adultery; or if the Lawhad been dormant, our Saviour was more likely to have told them of their neglect, than to have let a capital crime filently scape into a Divorce; or if it be faid, his business was not to tell them what was criminal in the civil Courts, but what was finful at the Bar of Conscience, how dare they then, having no other ground than these our Saviour's words, draw that into the trial of Law, which both by Moses and our Saviour was left to the jurisdiction of Conscience? But we take from our Saviour, say they, only that it was Adultery, and our Law of itself applies the Punishment. But by their leave that to argue, the great Lawgiver of all the World, who knew best what was Adultery, both to the Jew and to the Gentile, appointed no fuch applying, and never likes when mortal men will be vainly prefuming to outfirip his Justice,

CHAP. XIX.

Christ's manner of teaching. S. Paul adds to this matter of Divorce without command, to shew the matter to be of equity, not of rigour. That the Bondage of a Christian may be as much, and his Peace as little, in some other Marriages besides Idolatrous. If those arguments therfore be good in that one case, why not in those other? Therfore the Apostle himself adds by tois toistois.

any one faying in the Gospel but must be read with limitations and distinctions to be rightly understood; for Christ gives no full Comments or continued Discourses, but (as Demetrius the Rhetorician phrases it) speaks oft in Monosyllables, like a Master scattering the heavenly grain of his Doctrine like Pearls here and there, which requires a skilful and laborious Gatherer, who must compare the words he finds with other precepts, with the end of every Ordinance, and with the general Analogy of Evangelic Doctrine: otherwise many particular Sayings would be but strange repugnant Riddles, and the Church would offend in granting Divorce for Frigidity, which is not here excepted with Adultery, but by them added. And this was it undoubtedly, which gave reason to S. Paul of his own Authority, as he professes, and without command from the Lord, to enlarge the seeming construction of those places in the Gospel, by adding a case wherin a person descreed, which is something less than divorc'd, may law fully marry again. And having declar'd his Opinion in one case, he leaves a further liberty for Christian prudence to determine in cases of like importance, words so plain as not to be shifted off, that a brother or a fifter is not under bondage in such cases; adding also, that a brother or a fifter is not under bondage in such cases; adding also,

that God bath called us to peace in Marriage.

Now if it be plain that a Christian may be brought into unworthy bondage, and his religious peace not only interrupted now and then, but perpetually and finally hindred in Wedloc, by mif-yoking with a diverfity of Nature as well as of Religion, the reasons of S. Paul cannot be made special to that one case of Infidelity, but are of equal moment to a Divorce, wherever Christian Liberty and Peace are without fault equally obstructed: That the Ordinance which God gave to our comfort may not be pinned upon us to our undeferved thraldom, to be coop'd up as it were in mockery of Wedloc, to a perpetual betroth'd Loneliness and Dif-content, if nothing worse ensue. There being nought else of Marriage lest between fuch, but a displeasing and forcid remedy against the sting of a brute desire: which fleshly accustoming without the Soul's union and commixture of intellectual delight, as it is rather a soiling than a sulfilling of Marriage-Rites, so it is enough to abase the mettle of a generous spirit, and sinks him to a low and vulgar pitch of endeavour in all his actions, or (which is worfe) leaves him in a defpairing plight of abject and hardned thoughts: which condition rather than a good man should fall into, a man useful in the service of God and Mankind, Christ himself hath taught us to difpense with the most facred Ordinance of his Worship, even for a bodily healing to difpense with that holy and speculative Rest of Sabbath, much more then with the erroneous observance of an ill-knotted Marriage, for the fuffaining of an overcharged faith and perfeverance.

C H A P. XX.

Themeaning of S. Paul, that Charity believeth all things. What is to be faid to the Licence which is vainly fear'd will grow hereby. What to those who never have done prescribing patience in this case. The Papist most severe against Divorce, yet most easy to all Licence. Of all the miseries in Marriage God is to be cleared, and the faults to be laid on Man's unjust Laws.

A ND tho' bad causes would take licence by this pretext, if that cannot be remedied, upon their Conscience be it who shall so do. This was that hardnels of heart, and abuse of a good Law, which Moses was content to suffer, rather than good men should not have it at all to use needfully. And he who to run after one lost sheep lest ninety nine of his own flock at random in the wilderness, would little perplex his thoughts for the obduring of nine hundred and ninety fuch as will daily take worse liberties, whether they have permission or not. To conclude, as without charity God hath given no commandment to men, fo without it neither can men rightly believe any commandment given. For every act of true Faith, as well that wherby we believe the Law, as that wherby we endeavour the Law, is wrought in us by Charity, according to that in the Divine Hymn of S. Paul, 1 Cor. 13. Charity believeth all things; not as if she were so credulous, which is the Exposition hithertocurrent, for that were a trivial Praise, but to teach us that Charity is the high Governess of our Belief, and that we cannot safely asfent to any precept written in the Bible, but as Charity commends it to us. Which agrees with that of the same Apostle to the *Epb.* 4. 14, 15. where he tells us, that the way to get a sure undoubted knowledge of things, is to hold that for Truth which accords most with Charity. Whose unerring guidance and conduct having follow'd as a Load-star, with all diligence and fidelity, in this question, I trust (through the help of that illuminating Spirit which hath favour'd me) to have done no every day's work, in afferting after many Ages the words of Christ, with other Scriptures of great concernment, from burdensome and remorfeless obscurity, tangled with manifold repugnancies, to their native luftre and confent between each other; hereby also dissolving tedious and Gordian dissiculties, which have hitherto molested the Church of God, and are now decided not with the Sword of Alexander, but with the immaculate hands of Charity, to the unipeakable good of Christendom. And let the extreme Literalist sit down now, and revolve whether this in all necessity be not the due refult of our Saviour's words, or if he perfift to be otherwise opinion'd, let him well advise, lest thinking to gripe fast the Gospel, he be found instead with the Canon Law in his fift: whose boisterous Edicts tyrannizing the bleffed Ordinance of Marriage into the quality of a most unnatural and unchristianly yoke, have given the fiesh this advantage to hate it, and turn afide, oftimes unwillingly, to all diffolute uncleanness, even till punishment itself is weary of and overcome by the incredible frequency of trading Lust and uncontroll'd Adulteries. Yet Men whose Creed is Custom, I doubt will be still endeavouring to hide the sloth of their own timorous Capacities with this pretext, that for all this its better to endure with patience and filence this Affliction which God hath fent. And I agree 'tis true, if this be exhorted and not enjoin'd; but withal it will be wifely done to be as fure as may be, that what man's iniquity hath laid on be not imputed to God's fending, lest under the colour of an affected patience we detain our felves at the gulph's mouth of many hideous Temptations, not to be withstood without proper gifts, which (as Perkins well notes) God gives not ordinarily, no not to most earnett Prayers. Therfore we pray, Lead us not into Temptation; a vain Prayer, if having led our felves thither, we love to stray in that perilous condition. God fends remedies as well as evils, under which he who lies and groans, that may lawfully acquit himfelf, is accessory to his own ruin; nor will it excuse him tho' he suffer thro' a fluggish fearfulness to search throughly what is lawful, for fear of disquicting the secure falsity of anold Opinion. Who doubts not but that it may be piously said, to him who would dismiss his frigidity, Bear your trial, take it as if God would have you live this life of continence? if he exhort this, I hear him as an Angel

Angel, tho' he spake without warrant; but if he would compel me, I know him for Satan. To him who divorces an Adulteress, Piety might say, Pardon her; you may fhew much mercy, you may win a Soul: yet the Law both of God and Man leaves it freely to him; for God loves not to plow upon the hearts of our endeavours with over-hard and fad tasks. God delights not to make a drudge of Virtue, whose Actions must be all elective and unconstrained. Forc'd Virtue is as a bolt overshot, it goes neither forward nor backward, and does no good as it stands. Seeing therfore that neither Scripture nor Reason hath laid this unjust austerity upon Divorce, we may refolve that nothing elfe hath wrought it but that letter-bound Servility of the Canon Doctors, supposing Marriage to be a Sacrament, and out of the art they have to lay unnecessary burdens upon all Men, to make a fair shew in the fleshly observance of Matrimony, though Peace and Love with all other conjugal respects fare never so ill. And indeed the Papists, who were the strictest forbidders of Divorce, are the easiest Libertines to admit of grosser uncleanness; as if they had a defign by making Wedloc a supportless yoke, to violate it most, under colour of preferving it most inviolable; and withal delighting (as their mystery is) to make men theday-labourers of their own afflictions, as if there were fuch a scarcity of miferies from abroad, that we should be made to melt our choicest home Blessings, and coin them into Crosses, for want wherby to hold commerce with patience. If any therfore who shall hap to read this Discourse, hath been through misadventure ill engaged in this contracted evil here complain'd of, and finds the fits and workings of a high impatience frequently upon him, of all those wild words which men in mifery think to eafe themselves by uttering, let him not open his lips against the Providence of Heaven, or tax the ways of God and his divine Truth; for they are equal, eafy, and not burdenfome; nor do they ever crofs the just and reasonable desires of men, nor involve this our portion of mortal life into a neceffity of fadness and malecontent, by Laws commanding over the unreducible Antipathies of Nature, fooner or later found, but allow us to remedy and shake off those evils into which human error hath led us through the midst of our best intentions, and to support our incident extremities by that authentic precept of Sovereign Charity, whose grand Commission is to do and to dispose over all the Ordinances of God to Man, that love and truth may advance each other to ever-While we, literally superstitious through customary faintness of heat, not venturing to pierce with our free thoughts into the full latitude of Nature and Religion, abandon ourfelves to ferve under the tyranny of usurp'd Opinions, suffering those Ordinances which were allotted to our folace and reviving, to trample over us, and hale us into a multitude of forrows, which God never meant us. And where he fets us in a fair allowance of way, with honest liberty and prudence to our guard, we never leave subtilizing and casuisting till we have straitned and pared that liberal path into a Razor's edge to walk on, between a precipice of unnecessary mischief on either side; and starting at every salse Alarm, we do not know which way to fet a foot forward with manly Confidence and Christian Resolution, thro the confused ringing in our ears of panic scruples and amazements.

C H A P. XXI.

That the Matter of Divorce is not to be try'd by Law, but by Conscience, as many other Sins are. The Magistrate can only see that the condition of Divorce be just and equal. The Opinion of Fagius, and the Reasons of this Assertion.

A Nother act of Papal Encroachment it was, to pluck the power and arbitrement of Divorce from the Master of the Family, into whose hands God and the Law of all Nations had put it, and Christ so left it, preaching only to the Conscience, and not authorizing a Judicial Court to toss about and divulge the uncaccountable and secret reason of disaffection between Man and Wise, as a thing most improperly answerable to any such kind of trial. But the Popes of Rome, perceiving the great Revenue and high Authority it would give themeven over Princes, to have the judging and deciding of such a main consequence in the life of man as was Divorce, wrought so upon

the

the Superfition of those Ages, as to divest them of that right which God from the beginning had entrusted to the Husband: by which means they subjected that ancient and naturally domestic Prerogative to an external and unbesitting Judicature. For although differences in Divorce about Dowries, Jointures, and the like, befides the punishing of Adultery, ought not to pass without referring, if need be, to the Magistrate; yet that the absolute and final hindring of Divorce cannot belong to any civil or earthly power, against the will and consent of both parties, or of the Husband alone, some reasons will be here urg'd as shall not need to decline the touch. But first I shall recite what hath been already yielded by others in favour of this Opinion. Grotius and many more agree, that not with standing what Christ spake therin to the Conscience, the Magistrate is not therby enjoin'd aught against the preservation of civil peace, of equity, and of convenience. Among these Fagius is most remarkable, and gives the same liberty of pronouncing Divorce to the Christian Magistrate as the Mesaic had. For subatever (faith he) Christ spake to the regenerate, the Judge bath to deal with the vulgar: if therfore any through hardness of heart will not be a tolerable Wise to her Hulband, it will be lawful as well now as of old to pass the bill of Divorce, not by private, but by public authority. Nor doth Man separate them then, but God by his Law of Divorce given by Moses. What can hinder the Magistrate from so doing, to whose government all outward things are fubject, to separate and remove from perpetual vexation, and no small danger, those bedies whose minds are already separate; it being his office to procure peaceable and convenient living in the Commonwealth; and being as certain also, that they so n ceffarily separated cannot all receive a single life? And this I observe, that our Divines do generally condemn separation of bed and board, without the liberty of fecond choice: if that therfore in fome cases be most purely necessary, as who fo blockish to deny; then is this also as needful. Thus far by others is already well stept, to inform us that Divorce is not a matter of Law, but of Charity: if there remain a furlong yet to end the question, these following reasons may serve to gain it with any apprehension not too unlearned or too wayward. First because oft-times the causes of seeking Divorce reside so deeply in the radical and innocent affections of Nature, as is not within the diocese of Law to tamper with. Other relations may aptly enough be held together by a civil and virtuous love: but the duties of Man and Wife are fuch as are chiefly convertant in that love, which is most ancient and meerly natural, whose two prime statutes are to join it self to that which is good, and acceptable, and friendly; and to turn afide and depart from what is difagreeable, difpleafing, and unlike: of the two this latrer is the strongest, and most equal to be regarded; for although a Man may often be unjust in seeking that which he loves, yet he can never be unjust or blameable in retiring from his endless trouble and distaste, whenas his tarrying can redound to no true content on either fide. Hate is of all things the mightiest divider, nay is division it felf. To couple hatred therfore, though wedloc try all her golden links, and borrow to her aid all the iron manacles and fetters of Law, it does but feel: to twift a rope of fand, which was a task they say that pos'd the Devil: and that fluggith fiend in hell, Ocnus, whom the Poems tell of, brought his idle cordage to as good effect, which never ferv'd to bind with, but to feed the Afs that flood at his elbow. And that the reftrictive Law against Divorce attains as little to bind any thing truly in a disjointed Marriage, or to keep it bound, but serves only to feed the ignorance and definitive impertinence of a doltish Canon, were no abfurd allusion. To hinder therfore those deep and serious regresses of Nature in a reasonable soul, parting from that mistaken help which he justly feeks in a person created for him, recollecting himself from an unmeet help which was never meant, and to detain him by compulfion in fuch an unpredeftin'd mifery as this, is in diameter against both Nature and Institution: but to interpose a Juritdictive Power over the inward and irremediable disposition of Man, to command love and sympathy, to forbid dislike against the guiltless instinct of Nature, is not within the Province of any Law to reach, and were indeed an uncommodious rudeness, not a just power: for that Law may bandy with Nature, and traverse her fage motions, was an error in Callicles the Rhetorician, whom Socrates from high principles confutes in Plato's Gorgias. If therfore Divorce may be fo natural, and that Law and Nature are not to go contrary; then to forbid Di verce compulfively, is not only against Nature, but against Law.

Next, it must be remembred that all Law is for some good that may be frequently attained without the admixture of a worse inconvenience; and therfore many gross saults, as ingratitude and the like, which are too far within the soul to be

Vol. I. Ec cur'

eur'd by constraint of Law, are left only to be wrought on by conscience and persuasion. Which made Aristotle, in the 10th of his Ethics to Nicomachus, aim at a kind of division of Law into private or perswasive, and public or compulsive. Hence it is that the Law forbidding Divorce, never attains to any good end of fuch Prohibition, but rather multiplies evil. For if Nature's refitlefs fway in love or hate be once compell'd, it grows careless of it self, vicious, useless to friends, unserviceable and spiritless to the Commonwealth. Which Moses rightly forefaw, and all wife Lawgivers that ever knew Man, what kind of creature he was. The Parlament also and Clergy of England were not ignorant of this, when they confented that Harry the 8th might put away his Queen Anne of Cleve, whom he could not like after he had been wedded half a year; unless it were that, contrary to the Proverb, they made a necessity of that which might have been a virtue in them to do: for even the freedom and eminence of Man's creation gives him to be a Law in this matter to himself, being the head of the other fex which was made for him; whom therfore though he ought not to injure, yet neither should he be forc'd to retain in fociety to his own overthrow, nor to hear any Judge therin above himself. It being also an unseemly affront to the sequestred and veiled modesty of that Sex, to have her unpleasingness and other concealments bandied up and down, and aggravated in open Court by those hir'd masters of Tongue-fence. Such uncomely exigencies it befel no lefs a Majesty than Henry the VIII. to be reduc'd to, who finding just reason in his conscience to sorgo his brother's Wife, after many indignities of being deluded, and made a boy of by those his two Cardinal Judges, was constrain'd at last, for want of other proof, that she had been carnally known by Prince Arthur, even to uncover the nakedness of that virtuous Lady, and to recite openly the obscene evidence of his Brother's Chamberlain. Yet it pleas'd God to make him fee all the Tyranny of Rome, by discovering this which they exercis'd over Divorce, and to make him the beginner of a Reformation to this whole Kingdom, by first afferting into his familiary Power the Right of just Divorce. 'Tis true, an Adulteress cannot be shamed enough by any public proceeding; but that Woman whose honour is not appeach'd, is less injur'd by a filent difmission, being otherwise not illiberally dealt with, than to endure a clamouring debate of utterless things, in a business of that civil secrecy and difficult differning, as not to be over-much question'd by nearest Friends. Which drew that answer from the greatest and worthiest Roman of his time, Paulus Emilius, being demanded why he would put away his Wife for no visible reason? This Shoe (said he, and held it out on his foot) is a neat shoe, a new shoe, and yet none of you know where it wrings me: much less by the unfami iar cognizance of a fee'd Gamester can fuch a private difference be examin'd, neither ought it.

Again, if Law aim at the firm establishment and preservation of matrimonial faith, we know that cannot thrive under violent means, but is the more violated. It is not when two unfortunately met are by the Canon fore'd to draw in that yoke an unmerciful day's work of forrow till death unharness 'em, that then the Law keeps Marriage most unviolated and unbroken; but when the Law takes order that Marriage be accountant and responsible to perform that society, whether it be religious, civil, or corporal, which may be confcionably requir'd and claim'd therin, or elfe to be diffolv'd if it cannot be undergone. This is to make Marriage most indissoluble, by making it a just and equal dealer, a performer of those due helps which instituted the Covenant, being otherwise a most unjust contract, and no more to be maintain'd under tuition of Law than the vileft fraud, or cheat, or theft that may be committed. But because this is such a secret kind of fraud or thest, as cannot be discern'd by Law, but only by the Plaintiss himself; therfore to divorce was never counted a political or civil offence neither to Tew nor Gentile, nor by any judicial intendment of Christ, further than could be differn'd to transgress the allowance of Moses, which was of necessity so large, that it doth all one as if it fent back the matter undeterminable at Law, and intractable by rough dealing, to have instructions and admonitions bestow'd about it by them whose spiritual office is to adjure and to denounce, and so left to the Conscience. The Law can only appoint the just and equal conditions of Divorce, and is to look how it is an injury to the divorc'd, which in truth it can be none, as a meer separation; for if she consent, wherin has the Law to right her? or consent not, then is it either just, and so deserved; or if unjust, such in all likelihood was the Divorcer: and to part from an unjust Man is a happiness, and no injury to be lamented. But suppose it be an injury, the Law is not able to amend it, unless the think it other than a miferable redrefs to return back from whence the was expell'd, or but intreated to be gone, or else to live apart still married without Marriage, a married Widow. Last, if it be to chasten the Divorcer, what Law punishes a deed which is not moral but natural, a deed which cannot certainly be found to be an injury? or how can it be punished by prohibiting the Divorce, but that the Innocent must equally partake both in the shame and in the smart? So that which way soever we look, the Law can to no rational purpose forbid Divorce, it can only take care that the conditions of Divorce be not injurious. Thus then we see the trial of Law how impertinent it is to this question of Divorce, how helpless next, and then how hurtful.

CHAP. XXII.

The last Reason why Divorce is not to be restrained by Law, it being against the Law of Nature and of Nations. The larger proof whereof reserved to Mr. Selden's Book De Jure Naturali & Gentium. An Objection of Paraus answered. How it ought to be ordered by the Church. That this will not breed any worse inconvenience, nor so bad as is now suffered.

Herfore the last Reason why it should not be, is the example we have, not only from the noblest and wifest Commonwealth. ly from the nobleft and wifeft Commonwealths, guided by the cleareft light of human knowledge, but also from the divine Testimonies of God himself, lawgiving in person to a sanctified people. That all this is true, whoso defires to know at large with least pains, and expects not here over-long rehearfals of that which is by others already fo judiciously gather'd, let him hasten to be acquainted with that noble Volume written by our Learned Selden, Of the Law of Nature and of Nations, a Work more useful and more worthy to be perus'd by whosoever studies to be a great Man in wisdom, equity, and justice, than all those Decretals and sumless Sums, which the Pontifical Clerks have doted on, ever fince that unfortunate Mother famoufly finn'd thrice, and died impenitent of her bringing into the World those two misbegotten Infants, and for ever Infants, Lombard and Gratian, him the Compiler of Canon iniquity, t'other the Tubalcain of Scholastic Sophistry, whose overspreading Barbarism hath not only infus'd their own bastardy upon the fruitfullest part of human Learning, not only distipated and dejected the clear light of Nature in us, and of Nutions, but hath tainted also the fountains of Divine Doctrine, and render'd the pure and folid Law of God unbeneficial to us by their calumnious Dunccries. Yet this Law which their unfkilfulnefs hath made liable to all ignominy, the purity and wildom of this Law shall be the buckles of our diffrute. Liberty of Divorce we claim not, we think not but from this Law; the dignity, the faith, the authority therof is now grown among Christians. O aftonishment! a labour of no mean difficulty and entry to defend. That it should not be counted a saltring dispense, a flattering perm should that we solicit is, that it may be suffered to stand in the place where God set it, amiest the Furnature of his half of the formation of the last of the formation of his half the historical half h ment of his holy Laws, to fhine, as it was wont, upon the weaknesses and errors of Men, perifling elfe in the fincerity of their honest purposes: for certain there is no memory of Whoredoms and Adulteries left among as now, when this warranted freedom of God's own giving is made dangerous and dife adolf for a firele of licence. It must be your suffrages and votes, O Englishmen, that this explosed Decree of God and Moses may scape and come off fair, without the centure of a fhameful abrogating: which, if yonder Sun ride fure, and means not to break word with us to-morrow, was never yet abrogated by our Saviour. Give fentence if you pleafe, that the frivolous Canon may reverfe the infellible judgment of Moses and his great Director. Or if it be the reformed Writers whose Doctrine perfwades this rather, their Reafons I dare affirm are all filenc'd, unlefs it be only this. *Paræus* on the *Corintbians* would prove that hardness of heart in Divorce is no more now to be permitted, but to be amere'd with Fine and 1mprifonment. I am not willing to difcover the forgettings of Reveren I Men, yet here I must: What article or clause of the whole new Coven the can Perseus bring to exasperate the Judicial Law, upon any infirmity under the Gaspel? (I say Ee2iniumity,

infirmity, for if it were the high hand of fin, the Law as little would have endur'd it as the Gofpel) it would not stretch to the dividing of an Inheritance; it refus'd to condemn Adultery, not that these things should not be done at Law, but to fhew that the Gospel hath not the least influence upon Judicial Courts, much less to make them sharper and more heavy, least of all to arraign before a temporal Judge that which the Law without Summons acquitted. But (faith he) the Law was the time of youth, under violent affections; the Gospel in us is mature age, and ought to fulldue affections. 'True, and fo ought the Law too, if they be found inordinate, and not meerly natural and blamelels. Next I distinguish, that the time of the Law is compar'd to Youth and Pupillage in respect of the Ceremonial part, which led the Jews as children through corporal and garifh rudiments, until the fulness of time should reveal to them the higher lessons of Faith and Redemption. This is not meant of the moral part, therin it soberly concern'd them not to be Babies, but to be Men in good earnest: the sad and awful Majelly of that Law was not to be jefted with: to bring a bearded Nonage with lafcivious Dispensations before that Throne, had been a lewel affront, as it is now a gross millake. But what Discipline is this, Parcus, to nourish violent assections in Youth, by cockering and wanton Indulgencies, and to chaftife them in mature age with a boyith rod of correction? How much more coherent is it to Scripture, that the Law as a strict Schoolmaster should have punish'd every trespass without indulgence to baneful to Youth, and that the Gospel should now correct that by admonition and reproof only, in free and mature Age, which was punish'd with stripes in the childhood and bondage of the Law? What theriore it allowed then to fairly, much lefs is to be whipp'd now, especially in Penal Courts: and if it ought now to trouble the Confeience, why did that angry accuser and condemner Law reprieve it? So then, neither from Mosts nor from Christ hath the Magistrateany authority to proceed against it. But what, shall then the disposal of that power return again to the Master of a Family? Wherfore not, since God there put it, and the prelumptuous Canon thence bereft it? This only must be provided, that the ancient mauner be observed in the presence of the Minister and other grave selected. Elders, who after they shall have admonished and press'd upon him the words of our Saviour, and he shall have protested in the Faith of the ctornal Gospel, and the hope he has of happy Refurrection, that otherwise than thus he cannot do, and thinks himfelf and this his cafe not contain'd in that Prohibition of Divorce which Chaift pronounc'd, the matter not being of malice, but of nature, and fo not capuble of reconciling; to constrain him further were to unchristen him, to unman him, to throw the Mountain of Sinai upon him, with the weight of the whole Law to boot, flat against the liberty and essence of the Gospel, and yet nothing available either to the fanctity of Marriage, the good of Hufband, Wife, or Children, nothing profitable either to Church or Commonwealth, but hurtful and pernicious in all these respects. But this will bring in consusion: yet these cautious miltrusters might confider, that what they thus object lights not upon this Book, but upon that which I engage against them, the Book of God and Mojes, with all the wildom and providence which had forecast the worst of confusion that could succeed, and yet thought sit of such a permission. But let there be of good cheer, it wrought so little disorder among the Jews, that from Moses till after the Captivity, not one of the Prophets thought it worth the rebuking; for that of Malachy well look'd into will appear to be not against divorcing, but rather against keeping strange Concubines, to the vexation of their Hebrew Wives. If therfore we Christians may be thought as good and tractable as the Jews were, and certainly the Prohibiters of Divorce prefume us to be better, then lefs contaken is to be fear'd for this among us than was among them. If we be worfe, or Lucas bad, which lamentable examples confirm we are, then have we more, or at half as much, need of this permitted Law, as they to whom God therfore gave it to they (ay) under a harfher Covenant. Let not therfore the frailty of man-go on thus inventing needless troubles to it felf, to grown under the falle imagir tion of a strictness never imposed from above; enjoining that for duty which is an impossible and vain supercrogating. Be not righteous overmuch, is the counfel of Endingies; why shoulds thou destroy the felf? It is not be thus over-curious to itrain at atoms, and yet to flop every vent and cranny of permiffive liberty, left Nature wanting those needful pores and breathing-places which God hath not debarr'd our weakness, either fuddenly break out into some wide rupture of open Vice and frantic Herefy, or elfe inwardly fefter with repining and blafphemous thoughts, under an unreafonable and fruitlefs rigor of unwarranted

Law. Against which evils nothing can more befreen the Religion of the Church, or the Wisdom of the State, than to consider timely and provide. And in so doing let them not doubt but they shall vindicate the misreputed Honour of God and his great Lawgiver, by fuffering him to give his own Laws according to the condition of man's nature best known to him, without the unsufferable imputation of difpenfing legally with many ages of ratified Adultery. They shall recover the misattended words of Christ to the sincerity of their true sense from manifold Contradictions, and shall open them with the key of Charity. Many helpless Christians they shall raise from the depth of sadness and distress, utterly unfitted as they are to serve God or Man: many they shall reclaim from obscure and giddy Sects, many regain from diffolute and brutish Licence, many from desperate hardness, if ever that were justly pleaded. They shall set free many Daughters of Ifrael, not wanting much of her fad plight whom Satan had bound eighteen years. Man they shall restore to his just Dignity and Prerogntive in Nature, preterring the Soul's free peace before the promifeuous draining of a carnal rage. Marriage from a perillous hazard and fnare, they shall reduce to be a more certain haven and retirement of happy Society; when they shall judge according to God and Moses, and how not then according to Christ? when they shall judge it more wisdom and goodness to break that Covenant seemingly, and keep it really, than by compulsion of Law to keep it feemingly, and by compulsion of blameless Nature to break it really, at least if it were ever truly join'd. The vigor of Discipline they may then turn with better fuccess upon the prostitute loofeness of the times, when men finding in themselves the infirmities of former Ages, shall not be constrain'd above the gift of God in them, to unprofitable and impossible Observances, never required from the civilest, the wifest, the holiest Nations, whose other Excellencies in moral virtue they never yet could equal. Last of all, to those whose mind is still to maintain textual restrictions, whereof the bare found cannot consult fometimes with Humanity, much less with Charity, I would ever answer by putting them in remembrance of a Command above all Commands, which they feem to have forgot, and who spake it; in comparison wheres, this which they fo exa't is but a petty and subordinate Precept. Let them go therfore with whom I am loth to couple them, yet they will needs run into the fame blindness with the Pharifees; let them go therfore and confider well what this lesson means, I will bave mercy and not facrifice; for on that faying all the Law and Prophets depends, much more the Golpel, whose end and excellence is mercy and peace. Or if they cannot learn that, how will they hear this? which yet I shall not doubt to leave with them as a Conclusion, That God the Son hath put all other things under his own feet, but his Commandments he hath left all under the feet of Charity,

Tetrachordon:

Tetrachordon: EXPOSITIONS

UPON

The four chief Places in Scripture which treat of Marriage, or Nullities in Marriage.

On GEN.I. 27, 28. compar'd and explain'd by Gen. ii. 18, 22, 24. DEUT. XXIV. 1, 2. MATTH. V. 31, 32. with Matth. xix. from ver. 3, to 11. 1 Cor. VII. from ver. 10, to 16.

Wherein the Doctrine and Discipline of Divorce, as was lately publish'd, is confirm'd by Explanation of Scripture, by Testimony of ancient Fathers, of civil Laws in the Primitive Church, of famousest reformed Divines; and lastly, by an intended Act of the Parlament and Church of England in the last year of Edward the Sixth.

- Σαχιοίσι καινὰ προσφέρων σοφὰ Δόζεις ἀχρεί۞, κ'ε σοφὸς πεφυκέναι Τῶν δ'αὖ δοκέντων εἰδέναι τι ποικίλου, Κρείσσων νομισθεὶς ἐν πόλει, λυπρὸς φανῆ. Euripid. Medea.

To the PARLAMENT.

HAT which I knew to be the part of a good Magistrate, aiming at true liberty through the right information of religious and civil life, and that which I faw, and was partaker of, your Vows and folema Covenants, Parlament of England, your actions also manifestly tending to exalt the Truth, and to depress the tyranny of Error, and ill Custom, with more constancy and prowess than ever yet any, since that Parlament which put the tirst Scepter of this Kingdom into his hand whom God and extraordinary Virtue made their Monarch, were the causes that mov'd me, one else not placing much in the eminence of a Dedication, to present your high notice with a Discourse, conficious to it felf of nothing more than of diligence, and firm affection to the public good. And that ye took it fo as wife and impartial men, obtaining fo great power and dignity, are wont to accept, in matters both doubtful and important, what they think offer'd them well meant, and from a rational ability, I had no less than to perswade me. And on that perswasion am returned, as to a samous and free port, my felf also bound by more than a maritime Law, to expose as freely what fraughtage I conceive to bring of no trifles. For although it be generally known, how and by whom ye have been infligated to a hard centure of that former book entitled, The Dollrine and Discipline of Divorce, an opinion held by fome of the best among reformed Writers without scandal or confutement, the now thought new and dangerous by some of our severe Gnostics, whose little reading, and less meditating holds ever with hardest obstituacy that which it took up with eafiest credulity; I do not find yet that aught, for the furious incitements which have been us'd, hath iffu'd by your appointment, that might give the

Expositions on four places of Scripture, &c.

least interruption or difrepute either to the Author, or to the Book. who will be better advis'd than to call your neglect, or connivance at a thing imagin'd fo perilous, can attribute it to nothing more justly, than to the deep and quiet stream of your direct and calm deliberations, that gave not way either to the fervent rafhness, or the immaterial gravity of those who ceas'd not to exasperate without cause. For which uprightness and incorrupt refusal of what ye were incens'd to, Lords and Commons, (though it were done to justice, not to me, and was a peculiar demonstration how far your ways are different from the rash vulgar) besides those allegiances of Oath and Duty, which are my public debt to your public Labours, I have yet a store of gratitude laid up, which cannot be exhausted; and fuch thanks perhaps they may live to be, as shall more than whisper to the next ages. Yet that the Author may be known to ground himfelf upon his own innocence, and the merit of his cause, not upon the favour of a diversion, or a delay to any just censure, but withes rather he might see those his detracters at any fair meeting, as learned debatements are privileg'd with a due freedom under equal Moderators, I shall here briefly single one of them (because he hath oblig'd me to it) who I perfwade me having scarce read the book, nor knowing him who writ it, or at least feigning the latter, hath not forborn to fcandalize him, unconferr'd with, unadmonish'd, undealt with by any pastorly or brotherly convincement, in the most open and invective manner, and at the most bitter opportunity that drift or fet defign could have invented. And this, whenas the Canon Law, though commonly most favouring the boldness of their Priests, punishes the naming or traducing of any person in the Pulpit, was by him made no scruple. If I shall therfore take licence by the right of nature, and that liberty wherin I was born, to defend my felf publicly against a printed Calumny, and do willingly appeal to those Judges to whom I am accus'd, it can be no immoderate, or unallowable course of seeking so just and needful reparations. Which I had done long fince, had not these employments, which are now visible, deferr'd me. preach'd before ye, Lords and Commons, in August last upon a special day of Humiliation, that there was a wicked Book abroad, and ye were taxt of fin that it was yet uncenfur'd, the Book deferving to be burnt; and Impudence also was charg'd upon the Author, who durst fet bis name to it, and dedicate it to your felves. First, Lords and Commons, I pray to that God, before whom ye then were proftrate, fo to forgive ye those omissions and trespasses, which ye defire most should find forgiveness, as I shall soon shew to the world how easily ye absolve your selves of that which this man calls your Sin, and is indeed your Wifdom, and your Nobleness, wherof to this day ye have done well not to repent. He terms it a wicked Book, and why but for allowing other Causes of Divorce, than Christ and his Apostles mention? and with the same censure condemns of wickedness not only Martin Bucer, that elect Instrument of Reformation, highly honour'd and had in reverence by Edward the fixth, and his whole Parlament, whom also I had published in English by a good providence, about a week before this calumnious digreffion was preach'd; fo that if he knew not Bucer then, as he ought to have known, he might at least have known him some months after, ere the Sermon came in print, wherin notwithstanding he perfists in his former sentence, and condemns again of wickedness, either ignorantly or wilfully, not only Martin Bucer, and all the choicest and holiest of our Reformers, but the whole Parlament and Church of England in those best and purest times of Edward the fixth. All which I shall prove with good evidence, at the end of those Explanations. And then let it be judg'd and feriously consider'd with what hope the affairs of our Religion are committed to one among others, who hath now only left him which of the twain he will choose, whether this shall be his palpable ignorance, or the same wickednefs of his own Book, which he fo lavishly imputes to the writings of other men: and whether this of his, that thus peremptorily defames and attaints of wickednefs unspotted Churches, unblemish'd Parlaments, and the most eminent Restorers of Christian Doctrine, deserve not to be burnt first. And if his heat had burst out only against the Opinion, his wonted passion had no doubt been silently borne with wonted patience. But fince, against the charity of that solemn place and meeting, it ferv'd him further to inveigh opprobriously against the person, branding him with no less than impudence, only for setting his name to what he had written; I must be excused not to be so wanting to the desence of an honest Name, or to the reputation of those good Men who afford me their society, but to be sensible of fuch a foul endeavour'd difgrace: not knowing aught either in mine own deferts, or the Laws of this Land, why I should be subject, in such a notorious and illegal manner, to the intemperances of this man's preaching choler. And indeed

216 Expositions on the four chief places in Scripture,

to be fo prompt and ready in the midst of his humbleness, to toss reproaches of this bulk and fize, argues as if they were the weapons of his exercise, I am sure not of his Ministry, or of that day's work. Certainly to subscribe my name at what I was to own, was what the State had order'd and requires. And he who lifts not to be malicious, would call it ingenuity, clear confcience, willingness to avouch what might be question'd, or to be better instructed. And if God were so displeas'd with those, Isa. 58. who on the folemn fast were wont to smite with the fist of wickedness, it could be no fign of his own humiliation accepted, which dispos'd him to smite so keenly with a reviling tongue. But if only to have writ my name must be counted impudence, how doth this but justify another, who might affirm with as good warrant, that the late Discourse of Scripture and Reason, which is certain to be chiefly his own draught, was publish'd without a name, out of base fear, and the fly avoidance of what might follow to his detriment, if the party at Court should hap to reach him? And I, to have set my name, where he accuses me to have set it, am so far from recanting, that I offer my hand also if need be, to make good the fame opinion which I there maintain, by inevitable confequences drawn parallel from his own principal arguments in that of Scripture and Reason: which I shall pardon him, if he can deny, without shaking his own composition to pieces. The impudence therfore, since he weigh'd so little what a gross revile that was to give his equal, I fend him back again for a phylastery to stitch upon his arrogance, that centures not only before conviction for bitterly without to much as one reason given, but censures the Congregation of his Governors to their faces, for not being fo hasty as himself to centure.

And wheras my other crime is, that I address dthe Dedication of what I had studied, to the Parlament, how could I better declare the loyalty which I owe to that supreme and majestic Tribunal, and the opinion which I have of the highentrufted judgment, and personal worth affembled in that place? With the same affections therfore, and the fame addicted fidelity, Parlament of England, I here again have brought to your perufal on the fame argument these following Expofitions of Scripture. The former Book, as pleas'd fome to think, who were thought judicious, had of reason in it to a sufficiency; what they requir'd, was that the Scriptures there alledg'd might be discuss'd more fully. To their desires, thus much further hath been labour'd in the Scriptures. Another fort also who wanted more authorities, and citations, have not been here unthought of. If all this attain not to fatisfy them, as I am confident that none of those our great controverfies at this day hath had a more demonstrative explaining, I must confess to admire what it is; for doubtless it is not reason now-a-days that satisfies, or suborns the common credence of men, to yield fo eafily, and grow fo vehement in matters much more disputable, and far less conducing to the daily good and peace of life. Some whose necessary shifts have long enur'd them to cloak the defects of their unftudied years, and hatred now to learn, under the appearance of a grave folidity, which estimation they have gain'd among weak perceivers, find the case of flighting what they cannot refute, and are determin'd, as I hear, to hold it not worth the answering. In which number I must be forc'd to reckon that Doctor, who in a late equivocating Treatife plaufibly fet affoat against the Dippers, diving the while himself with a more deep prelatical malignance against the prefent State and Church-government, mentions with ignominy the Tractate of D.vorce; yet answers nothing, but instead therof (for which I do not commend his marshalling) fets Moses also among the crew of his Anabaptists, as one who to a holy Nation, the Commonwealth of Ifrael, gave Laws breaking the bonds of These are no mean surges of blasphemy, not only Marriage to inordinate lust. dipping Moses the divine Lawgiver, but dashing with a high hand against the justice and purity of God himself; as these ensuing Scriptures plainly and freely handled shall verify to the launcing of that old apollemated error. Him therfore I leave now to his repentance.

Others, which is their courtefy, confefs that wit and parts may do much to make that feem true which is not (as was objected to *Socrates* by them who could not refift his efficacy, that he ever made the worst cause seem the better) and thus thinking themselves discharged of the difficulty, love not to wade surther into the sear of a convincement. These will be their excuses to decline the full examining of this serious point. So much the more I press it and repeat it, Lords and Commons, that ye beware while time is, ere this grand secret, and only art of ignorance assecting tyranny, grow powerful, and rule among us. For if sound argument and reason shall be thus put off, either by an undervaluing silence, or the masterly

mafterly cenfure of a railing word or two in the Pulpit, or by rejecting the force of truth, as the meer cunning of Eloquence and Sophistry, what can be the end of this, but that all good learning and knowledge will fuddenly decay? Ignorance, and illiterate prefumption, which is yet but our difease, will turn at length into our very constitution, and prove the bestic evil of this age: worse to be seared, if it get once to reign over us, than any fifth Monarchy. If this shall be the course, that what was wont to be a chief commendation, and the ground of other men's confidence in an Author, his diligence, his learning, his elocution whether by right, or by ill meaning granted him, shall be turn'd now to a disadvantage and fulpicion against him, that what he writes, though unconfuted, must therfore be mistrusted, therfore not received for the industry, the exactness, the labour in it, confess'd to be more than ordinary; as if wisdom had now forfaken the thirsty and laborious inquirer to dwell against her nature with the arrogant and shallow babler, to what purpose all those pains and that continual searching requir'd of us by Solomon to the attainment of understanding; why are men bred up with fuch care and expence to a life of perpetual fludies, why do your felves with fuch endeavour feek to wipe off the imputation of intending to discourage the progress and advance of learning? He therfore whose heart can bear him to the high pitch of your noble enterprizes, may eafily affure himfelf that the prudence and farjudging circumspectness of so grave a Magistracy sitting in Parlament, who have before them the prepar'd and purpos'd Act of their most religious predecessors to imitate in this question, cannot reject the clearness of these reasons, and these allegations both here and formerly offer'd them; nor can over-look the necessity of ordaining more wholesomly and more humanly in the casualties of Divorce, than our Laws have yet establish'd: if the most urgent and excessive grievances happening in domestic life, be worth the laying to heart, which, unless Charity be far from us, cannot be neglected. And that thefe things both in the right constitution, and in the right reformation of a Commonwealth call for specifiest redrefs, and ought to be the first consider'd, enough was urg'd in what was prefac'd to that monument of Bucer which I brought to your remembrance, and the other time before. Henceforth, except new cause be given, I shall say less and less. For if the Law make not timely provision, let the Law, as reason is, bear the censure of those consequences, which her own default now more evidently produces. And if men want manliness to expostulate the right of their due ranfom, and to fecond their own occasions, they may sit hereafter and bemoan themselves to have neglected through saintness the only remedy of their sufferings, which a feafonable and well-grounded speaking might have purchas'd them. And perhaps in time to come, others will know how to esteem what is not every day put into their hands, when they have mark'd events, and better weigh'd how hurtful and unwife it is, to hide a fecret and pernicious rupture under the ill counfel of a baffiful filence. But who would diffruft aught, or not be ample in his hopes of your wife and Christian determinations? who have the prudence to consider, and should have the goodness like Gods, as ye are call'd, to find out readily, and by just Law to administer those redresses which have of old, not without God ordaining, been granted to the adversities of mankind, ere they who needed, were put to ask. Certainly, if any other have enlarg'd his thoughts to expect from this Government so justly undertaken, and by frequent assistances from Heaven fo apparently upheld, glorious changes and renovations both in Church and State, he among the foremost might be nam'd, who prays that the fate of England may tarry for no other Deliverers.

Tetrachordon:

Expositions upon the four chief Places in Scripture which treat of Marriage, or Nullities in Marriage.

Gen. I. 27.

So God created Man in his own image, in the image of God created he him; male and female created he them.
28. And God blessed them, and God said unto them, Be fruitful, &c.

Gen. II. 18.

And the Lord God said, It is not good that Man should be alone, I will make him a help-meet for him.

23. And Adam said, This is now bone of my bones, and sless of my sless; she shall be called Woman, because she was taken out of Man.

24. Therfore shall a Man leave his Father and his Mother, and shall cleave unto his Wife, and they shall be one flesh.

Gen. I. 27.

O God created Man in his own image.] To be informed aright in the whole History of Marriage, that we may know for certain, not by a forc'd yoke, but by an impartial definition, what Marriage is, and what is not Marriage; it will undoubtedly be fafest, fairest, and most with our obedience, to enquire, as our Saviour's direction is, how it was in the beginning. And that we begin to high as Man created after God's own Image, there want not earnest causes. For nothing now-a-days is more degenerately forgotten, than the true dignity of Man, almost in every respect, but especially in this prime institution of Matrimony, wherin his native pre-eminence ought most to shine. Although if we confider that just and natural privileges men neither can rightly seek, nor dare fully claim, unless they be ally'd to inward goodness, and stedsast knowledge, and that the want of this quells them to a fervile fense of their own conscious unworthinefs, it may fave the wondring why in this age many are fo opposite both to human and to Christian liberty, either while they understand not, or envy others that do; contenting, or rather priding themselves in a specious humility and strictness bred out of low ignorance, that never yet conceiv'd the freedom of the Gospel; and is therfore by the Apostle to the Colospans rank'd with no better company, than Will-worship and the meer shew of wisdom. And how injurious herin they are, if not to themselves, yet to their neighbours, and not to them only, but to the all-wife and bounteous Grace offer'd us in our redemption, will orderly appear.

In the Image of God created be bim.] It is enough determin'd, that this Image of God wherin Man was created, is meant Wisdom, Purity, Justice, and Rule over all creatures. All which being lost in Adam, was recover'd with gain by the merits of Christ. For albeit our first parent had Lordship over Sea, and Land, and Air, yet there was a Law without him, as a guard set over him. But Christ having cancell'd the hand-writing of Ordinances which was against us, Coloss. 2. 14. and interpreted the suffilling of all through charity, hath in that respect set us over Law, in the free custody of his love, and left us victorious under the guidance of his living Spirit, not under the dead letter; to sollow that which most edisies, most aids and surthers a religious life, makes us holiest and likest to his immortal Image, not that which makes us most conformable and captive to civil and subordinate precepts; wherof the strictest observance may oft-times prove the destruction not only of many innocent persons and families, but of whole Nations. Although indeed no Ordinance human or from heaven can bind against the good of Man; so that to keep them

*i*trictly

strictly against that end, is all one with to break them. Men of most renowned virtue have fometimes by transgressing, most truly kept the Law; and wifest Magistrates have permitted and dispensed it; while they lookt not peevishly at the letter, but with a greater spirit at the good of mankind, if always not written in the characters of Law, yet engraven in the heart of Man by a divine impression. This Heathens could fee, as the well-read in ftory can recount of Solon and Epaminondas, whom Cicero in his first Book of Invention nobly defends. All law, faith he, we ought to refer to the common good, and interpret by that, not by the ferowl of letters. No man observes Law for Law's sake, but for the good of them for whom it was made. The rest might serve well to lecture these times, deluded through belly-doctrines into a devout flavery. The Scripture also affords us David in the shew-bread, Hezekiab in the passover, sound and safe transgressors of the literal command, which also dispens'd not seldom with it self; and taught us on what just occasions to do so: until our Saviour, for whom that great and God-like work was referv'd, redeem'd us to a state above prescriptions, by diffolving the whole Law into Charity. And have we not the foul to understand this, and must we against this glory of God's transcendent Love towards us be still the fervants of a literal indightment?

Created be him.] It might be doubted why he faith, In the Image of God created he him, not them, as well as male and female them; especially since that Image might be common to them both, but male and female could not, however the Jews fable, and pleafe themfelves with the accidental concurrence of *Plato's* wit, as if Man at first had been created Hermaphrodite: but then it must have been male and female created he him. So had the Image of God been equally common to them both, it had no doubt been faid, In the Image of God created he them. But St. Paul ends the controverfy, by explaining that the Woman is not primarily and immediately the Image of God, but in reference to the Man. The head of the Woman, faith he, I Cor. 11. is the Man: he the image and glory of God, she the glory of the Man; he not for her, but she for him. Therfore his precept is, Wives be subject to your Husbands as is fit in the Lord, Coloff. 3. 18. In every thing, Eph. 5. 24. Nevertheless man is not to hold her as a servant, but receives her into a part of that empire which God proclaims him to, though not equally, yet largely, as his own image and glory: for it is no fmall glory to him, that a creature so like him, should be made subject to him. Not but that particular exceptions may have place, if the exceed her Hufband in prudence and dexterity, and he contentedly yield; for then a superiour and more natural Law comes in, that the wifer should govern the lefs wife, whether male or female. But that which far more eafily and obediently follows from this verfe, is that, feeing Woman was purpofely made for Man, and he her head, it cannot fland before the breath of this divine utterance, that Man the portraiture of God, joining to himfelf for his intended good and folace an inferiour fex, should so become her thrall, whose wilfulness or inability to be a wife frustrates the occasional end of her creation, but that he may acquit himfelf to freedom by his natural birth-right, and that indelible character of priority which God crown'd him with. If it be urg'd that fin hath lost him this, the answer is not far to seek, that from her the sin first proceeded, which keeps her justly in the same proportion still beneath. She is not to gain by being first in the transgression, that Man should further lose to her, because already he hath lost by her means. Oft it happens that in this matter he is without fault; so that his punishment herein is causeless: and God hath the praise in our speeches of him, to fort his punishment in the same kind with the offence. Suppose he err'd; it is not the intent of God or Man, to hunt an error so to the death with a revenge beyond all measure and proportion. But if we argue thus, this affliction is befall him for his fin, therfore he must bear it, without seeking the only remedy; first it will be salse that all affliction comes for sin, as in the case of Jeb, and of the Man born blind, Job. 9. 3. was evident: next by that reason, all miteries coming for sin, we must let them all lie upon us like the vermin of an Indien Catharift, which his fond Religion forbids him to moleft. Were it a particular punishment inflicted through the anger of God upon a person, or upon a land, no Law hinders us in that regard, no Law but bids us remove it if we can; much more if it be a dangerous temptation withal; much more yet, if it be certainly a temptation, and not certainly a punishment, though a pain. As for what they fay we must bear with patience; to bear with patience, and to seek effectual remedies, implies no contradiction. It may no lefs be for our disobedience, our unfaithfulness, and other fins against God, that wives become adulterous to the bed; and questionless we ought to take the affliction as patiently as Christian Ff2 Vol. I,

prudence would wish; yet hereby is not lost the right of divorcing for adultery. No you say, because our Saviour excepted that only. But why, if he were so bent to punish our sins, and try our patience in binding on us a disastrous Marriage, why did he except Adultery? Certainly to have been bound from Divorce in that case also had been as plentiful a punishment to our Sins, and not too little work for the patientest. Nay, perhaps they will say it was too great a sufferance, and with as slight a reason, for no wise man but would sooner pardon the act of Adultery once and again committed by a person worth pity and forgiveness, than to lead a wearisome life of unloving and unquiet conversation with one who neither assection is affected, much less with one who exercises all bitterness, and would commit Adultery too, but for envy less the persecuted condition should therby get the benefit of his freedom. 'Tis plain therfore, that God enjoins not this supposed strictness of not divorcing either to punish us, or to try our patience.

Moreover, if Man be the image of God, which confifts in holinefs, and Woman ought in the fame respect to be the image and companion of Man, in such wise to be lov'd as the Church is belov'd of Christ; and it, as God is the head of Christ, and Christ the head of Man, so Man is the head of Woman; I cannot see by this golden dependance of headship and subjection, but that Piety and Religion is the main tie of Christian Matrimony: so as if there be found between the pair a notorious disparity either of wickedness or heresy, the Husband by all manner of right is disingag'd from a creature, not made and inslicted on him to the vexation of his righteoutness; the Wise also, as her subjection is terminated in the Lord, being her self the redeem'd of Christ, is not still bound to be the vassal of him, who is the bond-slave of Satan: she being now neither the image nor the glory of such a person, nor made for him, nor left in bondage to him; but hath recourse to the wing of Charity, and protection of the Church, unless there be a hope on either side; yet such a hope must be meant, as may be a rational hope, and not an endless servitude. Of which hereafter.

But usually it is objected, that if it be thus, then there can be no true Marriage between misbelievers and irreligious persons. I might answer, let them see to that who are such; the Church hath no commission to judge those without, i Cor. 5. But this they will say perhaps, is but penuriously to resolve a doubt. I answer therfore, that where they are both irreligious, the Marriage may be yet true enough to them in a civil relation. For there are left some remains of God's image in man, as he is merely man; which reason God gives against the shedding of man's blood, Gen. o. as being made in God's image, without expression whether he were a good man or a bad, to exempt the slayer from punishment. So that in those Marriages where the parties are alike void of Religion, the Wise owes a civil homage and subjection, the Husband owes a civil loyalty. But where the yoke is mis-yoke'd, heretic with faithful, godly with ungodly, to the grievance and manifest endangering of a brother or sister, reasons of a higher strain than matrimonial bear sway; unless the Gospel instead of freeing us, debase it self to

make us bondmen, and fuffer evil to controul good.

Male and female created he them.] This contains another end of matching Man and Woman, being the right and lawfulness of the Marriage-bed; though much inferior to the former end of her being his image and help in religious fociety. And who of weakest insight may not see that this creating of them Male and Female, cannot in any order of Reason, or Christianity, be of such moment against the better and higher purposes of their creation, as to enthral Husband or Wife to duties or to fufferings, unworthy and unbefeeming the image of God in thom? Now whenas not only men, but good men, do stand upon their right, their estimation, their dignity, in all other actions and deportments, with warrant enough and good Conscience, as liaving the image of God in them, it will not be difficult to determine what is unworthy and unfeemly for a man to do or fuffer in Wedloc; and the like proportionally may be found for woman, if we love not to stand disputing below the principles of humanity. He that said, Male and female created he them, immediately before that faid also in the same verse, In the image of God created he him, and redoubled it, that our thoughts might not be for full of dregs as to urge this poor confideration of mele and female, without remembring the nobleness of that former Repetition; lest when God sends a wife eye to examine our trivial gloffes, they be found extremely to creep upon the ground: especially since they confess that what here concerns Marriage is but a brief touch, only preparative to the Institution which follows more expressy in the next Chapter; and that Christ so took it, as defiring to be briefest with them who came to tempt him, account shall be given in due place.

Ver. 28.

Ver. 28. And God bleffed them, and God faid unto them, Be fruitful and multiply, and replenish the earth, &c.

This declares another end of Matrimony, the propagation of Mankind; and is again repeated to Noah and his fons. Many things might be noted on this place not ordinary, nor unworth the noting; but I undertook not a general Comment. Hence therfore we see the defire of children is honest and pious; if we be not less zealous in our Christianity, than Plato was in his heathenism; who in the fixth of his Laws, counts off-spring therfore definable, that we may leave in our stead tons of our fons, continual fervants of God: a religious and prudent defire, if people knew as well what were requir'd to breeding as to begetting; which defire perhaps was a cause why the Jews hardly could endure a barren wedloc: and Philo in his book of special Laws, esteems him only worth pardon that sends not barrenness away. Carvilius, the first recorded in Rome to have sought Divorce, had it granted him for the barrenness of his Wife, upon his oath that he married to the end he might have Children; as Dionysius and Gellius are authors. But to dismits a wife only for barrenness, is hard: and yet in some the desire of children is to great, and to just, yea fometime to necessary, that to condemn such a one to a childless age, the fault apparently not being in him, might feem perhaps more strict than needed. Sometimes inheritances, crowns, and dignities are so interested and annext in their common peace and good to fuch or fuch lineal descent, that it may prove of great moment both in the affairs of Men and of Religion, to confider throughly what might be done herein, notwithstanding the waywardness of our School Doctors.

Gen. II. 18.

And the Lord said, It is not good that man should be alone; I will make him a help-meet for him.

Ver. 23. And Adam said, &c. Ver. 24. Therfore shall a man leave, &c.

His 2d Chapter is granted to be a Commentary on the 1st, and these verses granted to be an exposition of that former verse, Male and semale created he them: and yet when this male and semale is by the explicite words of God himself here declar'd to be not meant other than a fit help, and meet society, some who would ingross to themselves the whole trade of interpreting, will not suffer

the clear text of God to do the office of explaining it felf.

And the Lord God faid, It is not good.] A man would think that the confideration of who spake, should raise up the intention of our minds to enquire better, and obey the purpose of so great a Speaker: for as we order the business of Marriage, that which he here speaks is all made vain; and in the decision of matrimony, or not matrimony, nothing at all regarded. Our presumption hath utterly chang'd the state and condition of this ordinance: God ordain'd it in love and helpsulness to be indissoluble, and we in outward act and formality to be a forc'd bondage; so that being subject to a thousand errors in the best men, if it prove a blessing to any, it is of meer accident, as man's Law hath handled it, and not of institution.

It is not good for man to be alone.] Hitherto all things that have been nam'd, were approv'd of God to be very good: loneliness is the first thing which God's eye nam'd not good: whether it be a thing, or the want of something, I labour not; let it be their tendance, who have the art to be industriously idle. And here alone is meant alone without woman; otherwise Adam had the company of God himself, and Angels to converse with; all creatures to delight him seriously, or to make him sport. God could have created him out of the same mould a thousand friends and brother Adams to have been his consorts; yet for all this till Eve was given

him, God reckon'd him to be alone.

It is not good.] God here prefents himself like to a man deliberating; both to shew us that the matter is of high consequence, and that he intended to found it according to natural reason, not impulsive command; but that the duty should arise from the reason of it, not the reason be swallow'd up in a reasonless duty. Not good, was as much to Adam before his fall, as not pleasing, not expedient; but since the coming of Sin into the world, to him who hath not receiv'd the continence, it is not only not expedient to be alone, but plainly sinful. And therfore he who wilfully abstains from Marriage, not being supernaturally gisted, and he who by making the yoke of Marriage unjust and intolerable, causes men to abhor it, are both in a diabolical

fin, equal to that of Antichrift who forbids to marry. For what difference at all whether he abstain men from marrying, or restrain them from Marriage hapning totally discommodious, distasteful, dishonest and pernicious to him without the appearance of his fault? For God does not here precisely say, I make a semale to this male, as he did before; but expounding himself here on purpose, he saith, because it is not good for man to be alone, I make him therfore a meet help. God supplies the privation of not good, with the perfect gift of a real and positive good; it is man's perverse cooking who hath turn'd this bounty of God into a Scorpion, either by weak and shallow constructions, or by proud arrogance and cruelty to them who neither in their purposes nor in their actions have offended against the due honour of Wedloc.

Now wheras the Apostle's speaking in the Spirit, 1 Cor. 7. pronounces quite contrary to this word of God, It is good for a man not to touch a woman, and God cannot contradict himself; it instructs us that his commands and words, especially such as bear the manifest title of some good to man, are not to be so strictly wrung, as to command without regard to the most natural and miserable necessities of mankind. Therfore the Apostle adds a limitation in the 26 verse of that chapter for the present necessity it is good; which he gives us doubtless as a

pattern how to reconcile other places by the general rule of Charity.

For man to be alone.] Some would have the sense hereof to be in respect of procreation only: and Austin contests that manly friendship in all other regards had been a more becoming folace for Adam, than to spend so many secret years in an empty world with one woman. But our Writers deservedly reject this crabbed opinion; and defend that there is a peculiar comfort in the married state beside the genial bed, which no other fociety affords. No mortal nature can endure either in the actions of Religion, or study of Wisdom, without sometime slackening the cords of intense thought and labour: which lest we should think faulty, God himfelf conceals us not his own recreations before the World was built; I was, faith the eternal Wisdom, daily his delight, playing always before him. And to him indeed Wisdom is as a high tower of pleasure, but to us a steep hill, and we toiling ever about the bottom: he executes with ease the exploits of his Omnipotence, as eafy as with us it is to will: but no worthy enterprise can be done by us without continual plodding and wearisomeness to our faint and sensitive abilities. We cannot therfore always be contemplative, or pragmatical abroad, but have need of some delightful intermissions, wherin the enlarg'd soul may leave off a while her fevere schooling; and like a glad youth in wandring vacancy, may keep her holidays to joy and harmless pastime: which as she cannot well do without company, fo in no company fo well as where the different fex in most resembling unlikeness, and most unlike resemblance, cannot but please best, and be pleas'd in the aptitude of that variety. Wherof left we should be too timorous, in the awe that our flat Sages would form us and drefs us, wifeft Solomon among his gravest Proverbs countenances a kind of ravishment and erring fondness in the entertainment of wedded leifures; and in the Song of Songs, which is generally believ'd, even in the jolliest expressions, to figure the Spousals of the Church with Chrift, fings of a thousand raptures between those two lovely ones far on the hither fide of carnal enjoyment. By these instances, and more which might be brought, we may imagine how indulgently God provided against man's Loneliness; that he approv'd it not, as by himself declar'd not good; that he approv'd the remedy therof, as of his own ordaining, confequently good: and as he ordain'd it, so doubtless proportionably to our fallen estate he gives it; else were his ordinance at least in vain, and we for all his gifts still empty handed. fuch an unbounteous giver we should make him, as in the Fables Jupiter was to Ixion, giving him a cloud instead of Juno, giving him a monstrous issue by her, the breed of Centaurs, a neglected and unlov'd race, the fruits of a delufive Marriage; and lastly, giving him her with a damnation to that wheel in Hell, from a life thrown into the midst of temptations and disorders. But God is no deceitful giver, to bestow that on us for a remedy of Loneliness, which if it bring not a fociable mind as well as a conjunctive body, leaves us no less alone than before; and if it bring a mind perpetually averse and disagreeable, betrays us to a worse condition than the most deserted Loneliness. God cannot in the justice of his own promise and institution so unexpectedly mock us, by forcing that upon us as the remedy of Solitude, which wraps us in a misery worse than any Wilderness, as the Spirit of God himself judges, Prov. 19. especially knowing that the best and wisest men amidst the fincere and most cordial designs of their heart, do daily err in choosing.

which treat of Nullities in MARRIAGE.

We may conclude therfore, feeing orthodoxal Expositors confess to our hands, that by Loneliness is not only meant the want of Copulation, and that Man is not less alone by turning in a body to him, unless there be within it a mind answerable, that it is a work more worthy the care and consultation of God to provide for the worthiest part of man which is his Mind, and not unnaturally to set it beneath the formalities and respects of the body, to make it a servant of its own vassal; I say, we may conclude that such a Marriage, wherin the mind is so disgrac'd and vilify'd below the body's interest, and can have no just or tolerable contentment, is not of God's institution, and therfore no Marriage. Nay, in concluding this, I say we conclude no more than what the common Expositors themselves give us, both in that which I have recited, and much more hereafter. But the truth is, they give us, in such a manner, as they who leave their own mature positions like the eggs of an Ostrich in the dust; I do but lay them in the sun; their own pregnancies hatch the truth; and I am taxt of novelties and strange producements, while they, like that inconsiderate bird, know not that these are their own natural breed.

I will make him a help-meet for him.] Here the heavenly Institutor, as if he labour'd not to be mistaken by the supercilious hypocrify of those that love to mafter their brethren, and to make us fure that he gave us not now a fervile yoke, but an amiable knot, contents not himfelf to fay, I will make him a wife; but refolving to give us first the meaning before the name of a wife, faith graciously, I will make him a help-meet for him. And here again, as before, I do not require more full and fair deductions than the whole content of our Divines usually raise from this text, that in Matrimony there must be first a mutual help to Piety, next to civil fellowship of Love and Amity, then to Generation, so to houshold Affairs, laftly the remedy of Incontinence. And commonly they reckon them in fuch order, as leaves generation and incontinence to be last considered. This I amaze me at, that though all the superior and nobler ends both of Marriage and of the married persons be absolutely frustrate, the matrimony stirs not, loses no hold, remains as rooted as the center: but if the body bring but in a complaint of frigidity, by that cold application only, this adamantine Alp of Wedloc has leave to diffolve; which else all the machinations of religious or civil Reason at the suit of a diffressed mind, either for divine worship or human conversation violated, cannot unfasten. What courts of concupiscence are these, wherin sleshly appetite is heard before right reason, lust before love or devotion? They may be pious Christians together, they may be loving and friendly, they may be helpful to each other in the family, but they cannot couple, that shall divorce them, tho' either party would not. They can neither ferve God together, nor one be at peace with the other, nor be good in the Family one to other, but live as they were dead, or live as they were deadly enemies in a cage together; 'tis all one, they can couple, they shall not divorce till death, no though this sentence be their death. What is this, besides tyranny, but to turn nature upside down, to make both religion, and the mind of man wait upon the flavish errands of the body, and not the body to follow either the functity, or the fovereignty of the mind, unspeakably wrong'd, and with all equity complaining? What is this but to abuse the facred and mytherious bed of Marriage to be the compultive stye of an ingrateful and malignant lust, stirr'd up only from a carnal acrimony, without either love or peace, or regard to any other thing holy or human. This I admire how possibly it should inhabit thus long in the sense of so many disputing Theologians, unless it be the lowest less of a canonical infection liver-grown to their sides; which perhaps will never uncling, without the strong abstersive of some heroic Magiftrate, whose Mind, equal to his high Office, dures lead him both to know and do without their frivolous cafe-putting. For certain he shall have God and this Institution plainly on his side. And if it be true both in Divinity and Law, that confent alone, though copulation never follow, makes a Marriage, how can they diffolve it for the want of that which made it not, and not diffolve it for that not continuing which made it, and should preserve it in love and reason, and disference it from a brute conjugality?

Meet for bim.] The original here is more expressive than other languages word for word can render it; but all agree effectual conformity of disposition and affection to be hereby signify'd; which God as it were, not satisfy'd with the naming of a help, goes on describing another self, a second self, a very self it self. Yet now there is nothing in the life of man, through our misconstruction, made more uncertain, more hazardous and full of chance than this divine blessing with such savourable significance here conferr'd upon us; which if we do but err in our choice, the most unblameable error that

can be, err but one minute, one moment after those mighty Syllables pronounc'd, which take upon them to join Heaven and Hell together unpardonably till Death pardon: this divine Bleffing that look'd but now with fuch a humane smile upon us, and spoke such gentle reason, strait vanishes like a fair Sky, and brings on such a fcene of Cloud and Tempest, as turns all to shipwrack without haven or shore, but to a ranfomless Captivity. And then they tell us it is our fin: but let them be told again, that fin through the mercy of God hath not made fuch waste upon us, as to make utterly void to our use any temporal benefit, much less any so much availing to a peaceful and fanctify'd life, meerly for a most incident error which no wearinefs can certainly shun. And wherfore serves our happy redemption, and the liberty we have in Christ, but to deliver us from calamitous yokes, not to be liv'd under without the endangerment of our fouls, and to restore us in some competent measure to a right in every good thing both of this life, and the other? Thus we see how treatably and distinctly God hath here taught us what the prime ends of Marriage are, mutual solace and help. That we are now, upon the most irreprehensible mistake in chusing, defeated and defrauded of all this original benignity, was begun first through the snare of Antichristian Canonslong since obtruded upon the Church of Rome, and not yet scoured off by Reformation, out of a lingring vain-glory that abides among us to make fair shews in formal Ordinances, and to enjoin Continence and bearing of Croffes in fuch a garb as no Scripture binds us, under the thickest Arrows of temptation, where we need not stand. Now we shall see with what acknowledgment and affent Adam receiv'd this new affociate which God brought him.

Ver. 23. And Adam faid, This is now bone of my bones, and flesh of my flesh; she shall be called Woman, because she was taken out of Man.

That there was a nearer Alliance between Adam and Eve, than could be ever after between Man and Wife, is visible to any. For no other Woman was ever moulded out of her Husband's Rib, but of meer Strangers for the most part they come to have that confanguinity which they have by Wedloc. And if we look nearly upon the matter, though Marriage be most agreeable to holiness, to purity and justice, yet is it not a natural, but a civil and ordain'd relation. For if it were in nature, no law or crime could difannul it, to make a Wife, or Husband, otherwife than still a Wife or Husband, but only Death; as nothing but that can make a Father no Father, or a Son no Son. But Divorce for Adultery or Defertion, as all our Churches agree but England, not only separates, but nullifies, and extinguishes the relation it felf of Matrimony, so that they are no more Man and Wife; otherwise the innocent party could not marry elsewhere, without the guilt of Adultery. Next, were it merely natural, why was it here ordain'd more than the rest of moral Law to Man in his original rectitude, in whose breast all that was natural or moral was engraven without external Constitutions and Edicts? Adam therfore in these words does notestablish an indissoluble bond of Marriage in the carnal ligaments of flesh and bones; for if he did, it would belong only to himfelf in the literal fense, every one of us being nearer in slesh of slesh, and bone of bones to our Parents than to a Wife; they therfore were not to be left for her in that respect. But Adam, who had the wisdom given him to know all creatures, and to name them according to their properties, no doubt but had the gift to discern perfectly that which concern'd him much more; and to apprehend at first fight the true fitness of that Consort which God provided him. And therfore spake in reference to those words which God pronounc'd before; as if he had said, This is she by whose meet help and society I shall no more be alone; this is she who was made my image, even as I the Image of God; not so much in body, as in unity of mind and heart. And he might as eafily know what were the words of God, as he knew fo readily what had been done with his Rib, while he flept fo foundly. He might well know, if God took a Rib out of his infide, to form of it a double good to him, he would far fooner disjoin it from his outfide, to prevent a treble mischief to him; and far fooner cut it quite off from all relation for his undoubted eafe, than nail it into his body again, to flick for ever there a thorn in his heart. Whenas Nature teaches us to divide any limb from the body to the faving of its fellows, though it be the maining and deformity of the whole; how much more is it her doctrine to fever by incifion, not a true limb fo much, though that be lawful, but an adherent, a fore, the gangrene of a limb, to the recovery of a whole Man? But if in these words we shall make Adam to erect a new establishment of Marriage in the meer

flesh.

"The which God fo lately had inftituted, and founded in the fweet and mild famind of love and folace, and mutual fitness; what do we but use the mouth of
mind of God's wifer Ordinance? These words therefore cannot import any thing new
Marriage, but either that which belongs to Adam only, or to us in reference
or to the instituting words of God, which made a meet help against loneliness.

Alam spake like Adam the words of flesh and bones, the shell and rind of Matrimosy; but God spake like God, of love and solace and meet help, the soul both
of Adam's words and of Matrimony.

V. 24. Therfore shall a man leave his father and his mother, and shall cleave unto his wife; and they shall be one flesh.

This verfe, as our common herd expounds it, is the great knot-tier, which hath undone by tying, and by tangling, millions of guiltless consciences: this is that grifly Porter, who having drawn men and wifest men by futtle allurement within the train of an unhappy matrimony, claps the dungeon-gate upon them, as irrecoverable as the grave. But if we view him well, and hear him with not too hafty and prejudicant ears, we shall find no such terror in him. For first, it is not here said absolutely without all reason he shall cleave to his wife, be it to his weal or to his destruction as it happens, but he shall do this upon the premifes and confiderations of that meet help and fociety before mention'd. Therfore he shall cleave to his wife, no otherwise a wife than a fit help. He is not bid to leave the dear cohabitation of his father, mother, brothers and fifters, to link himself inseparably with the mere carcass of a Marriage, perhaps an enemy. This joining particle Therfore is in all equity, nay in all necessity of construction to comprehend first and most principally what God spake concerning the inward effence of Marriage in his institution, that we may learn how far to attend what Adam spake of the outward materials therof in his approbation. For if we shall bind these words of Adam only to a corporal meaning, and that the force of this injunction upon all us his fons to live individually with any woman which hath befaln us in the most mistaken wedloc, shall consist not in those moral and relative causes of Eve's creation, but in the meer anatomy of a rib, and that Adam's infight concerning wedloc reach'd no further, we shall make him as very an idiot as the Socinians make him; which would not be reverently done of us. Let us be content to allow our great fore-father fo much wisdom, as to take the instituting words of God along with him into this fentence, which if they be well minded, will affure us that flesh and ribs are but of a weak and dead efficacy to keep Marriage united where there is no other fitness. The rib of Marriage, to all fince Adam, is a relation much rather than a bone; the nerves and finews therof are love and meet help, they knit not every couple that marries, and where they knit they foldom break; but where they break, which for the most part is where they never truly join'd, to fuch at the fame inflant both flesh and rib cease to be in common: fo that here they argue nothing to the continuance of a falfe or violated Marriage, but must be led back again to receive their meaning from those institutive words of God which give them all the life and vigour they have.

Therfore shall a man leave his father, &c.] What to a man's thinking more plain by this appointment, that the fatherly power should give place to conjugal prerogative? Yet it is generally held by reformed writers against the Papist, that though in persons at discretion the Marriage in it self be never so sit, though it be fully accomplisht with benediction, board and bed, yet the father not confenting, his main Will without dispute shall dissolve all. And this they affirm only from collective reason, not any direct law; for that in Exod. 22.17. which is most particular, speaks that a father may refuse to marry his daughter to one who hath deflour'd her, not that he may take her away from one who hath foberly married her. Yet because the general honour due to parents is great, they hold he may, and perhaps hold not amifs. But again, when the question is of harsh and rugged parents, who defer to bestow their children seasonably, they agree jointly that the Church or Magistrate may bestow them, though without the Father's confent : and for this they have no express authority in Scripture. So that they may see by their own handling of this very place, that it is not the stubborn letter must govern us, but the divine and foftning breath of charity which turns and winds the dictate of every positive command, and shapes it to the good of mankind. Shall the outward acceffory of a Father's will wanting, rend the fittest and most affectionate Marriage in twain, after all nuptial confummations; and shall not the want of Vol. I. G g

love and the privation of all civil and religious concord, which is the inward effence of Wedloc, do as much to part those who were never truly wedded? Shall a Father have this power to vindicate his own wilful honour and authority to the utter breach of a most dearly-united Marriage, and shall not a man in his own power have the permission to free his Soul, his Life, and all his comfort of life from the disaster of a no-marriage? Shall fatherhood, which is but man, for his own pleasure dissolve matrimony; and shall not matrimony, which is God's Ordinance, for its own honour and better conservation, dissolve it felf, when it is

wrong, and not fitted to any of the chief ends which it owes us? And they shall be one sless.] These words also infer that there ought to be an individualty in Marriage; but without all question presuppose the joining causes. Not a rule yet that we have met with, fo univerfal in this whole institution, but lath admitted limitations and conditions according to human necessity. foundation of Matrimony, though God laid it deliberately, that it is not good for anan to be alone, holds not always, if the Apostle can secure us. Soon after we are bid leave Father and Mother, and cleave to a Wife, but must understand the Father's confent withal, else not. Cleave to a Wife, but let her be a wife, let her be a meet help, a folace, not a nothing, not an adversary, not a defertrice; can any law or command be so unreasonable as to make men cleave to calamity, to ruin, to perdition? In like manner here, They shall be one flesh; but let the causes hold, and be made really good, which only have the possibility to make them one sless. We know that flesh can neither join, nor keep together two bodies of it felf; what is it then must make them one slesh, but likeness, but sitness of mind and disposition, which may breed the Spirit of concord, and union between them? If that be not in the nature of either, and that there has bin a remedilefs miftake, as vain we go about to compel them into one flesh, as if we undertook to weave a garment of dry fand. It were more easy to compel the vegetable and nutritive power of nature to affimilations and mixtures which are not alterable each by other; or force the concoctive fromach to turn that into flesh which is so totally unlike that substance, as not to be wrought on. For as the unity of mind is nearer and greater than the union of bodies, fo doubtlefs is the diffimilitude greater and more dividual, as that which makes between bodies all difference and distinction. Especially whenas besides the singular and substantial differences of every Soul, there is an intimate quality of good or evil, through the whole Progeny of Adam, which like a radical heat, or mortal chillness, joins them, or disjoins them irresistibly. In whom therfore either the will, or the faculty is found to have never join'd, or now not to continue fo, 'tis not to fay, they shall be one flesh, for they cannot be one flesh. God commands not impossibilities; and all the Ecclesiastical glue, that Liturgy or Laymen can compound, is not able to foder up two fuch incongruous Natures into the one flesh of a true befeening Marriage. Why did Moses then set down their uniting into one flesh? And I again ask, why the Gospel so oft repeats the eating of our Saviour's flesh, the drinking of his blood? That we are one body with him, the members of his body, flesh of his stish, and hone of his hone, Ephes. 5. Yet lest we should be Capernaitans, as we are told there, that the flesh profiteth nothing; so we are told here, if we be not as deaf as Adders, that this union of the flesh proceeds from the union of a fiz help and folace. We know that there was never a more spiritual mystery than this Gospel taught us under the terms of body and fiesh; yet nothing less intended than that we should stick there. What a stupidness then is it, that in Marriage, which is the nearest resemblance of our union with Christ, we should deject our felves to fuch a fluggish and underfoot Philosophy, as to effect the validity of Marriage meerly by the flesh, though never so broken and disjointed from love and peace, which only can give a human qualification to that act of the flesh, and diftinguish it from bestial. The Text therfore uses this phrase, that they shall be one fliph, to justify and make legitimate the rites of Marriage-bed; which was not unneedful, if for all this warrant they were suspected of pollution by some sects of Philosophy, and Religions of old, and latelier among the Papists, and other Hereties elder than they. Some think there is a high mystery in those words, from that which Paul faith of them, Ephel. 5. This is a great mystery, but I speak of Christ and the Church: and thence they would conclude Marriage to be inseparable. For me I dispute not now whether Matrimony be a mystery or no; if it be of Christ and his Church, certainly it is not meant of every ungodly and mifwedded Marriage, but then only mysterious, when it is a holy, happy, and peaceful match. But when a Saint is join'd with a Reprobate, or both alike wicked with wicked, fool with fool, a he-drunkard with a she; when the bed hath bin nothing else for twenty years or more, but an old haunt of lust and malice mixt together, no love, no goodness, no loyalty, but counterplotting, and secret wishing one another's dissolution; this is to me the greatest mystery in the world, if such a Marriage as this can be the mystery of aught, unless it be the mystery of iniquity: According to that which Pareus cites out of Chrysostom, that a bad Wife is a help for the Devil, and the like may be said of a bad Husband. Since therfore none but a fit and pious Matrimony can signify the union of Christ and his Church, there cannot hence be any hindrance of divorce to that Wedloc wherin there can be no good mystery. Rather it might to a Christian Conscience be matter of finding it self so much less satisfy'd than before, in the continuance of an unhappy yoke, wherin there can be no representation either of Christ, or of his Church.

Thus having enquir'd the Institution how it was in the beginning, both from the 1 Chap, of Gen. where it was only mention'd in part, and from the second, where it was plainly and evidently instituted; and having attended each clause and word necessary with a diligence not drously, we shall now fix with some advantage, and by a short view backward gather up the ground we have gone, and sum up the strength we have, into one argumentative Head, with that organic force that Logic proffers us. All Arts acknowledge that then only we know certainly, when we can define; for Desinition is that which refines the pure effence of things from the circumstance. If therfore we can attain in this our Controversy to define exactly what Marriage is,

we shall soon learn when there is a nullity therof, and when a divorce.

The part therfore of this Chapter which hath bin here treated, doth orderly and readily refolve it felf into a definition of Marriage, and a confectary from thence. To the definition these words chiefly contribute; $\overline{I}t$ is not good, &c. \overline{I} will make, &c. Where the confectary begins this connexion, Therfore informs us, Therfore shall a Man, &c. Definition is decreed by Logicians to confift only of causes constituting the effence of a thing. What is not therfore among the causes constituting Marriage, must not stay in the definition. Those causes are concluded to be Matter, and, as the Artift calls it, Form. But inafmuch as the famething may be a cause more ways than one, and that in relations and inflitutions which have no corporal fubfiftence, but only a respective being, the Form by which the thing is what it is, is oft so slender and undiffinguishable, that it would soon confuse, were it not sustain'd by the efficient and final causes, which concur to make up the form invalid otherwise of it felf, it will be needful to take in all the four Causes into the definition. First therfore the material cause of Matrimony is Man and Woman; the Author and Efficient, God and their confent; the internal Form and Soul of this relation, is conjugal love arising from a mutual fitness to the final causes of Wedloc, help and society in religious, civil and domestic conversation, which includes as an inferior end the fulfilling of natural desire, and specifical increase; these are the final causes both moving the efficient, and perfecting the form. And although copulation be consider'd among the ends of Marriage, yet the act therof in a right esteem can no longer be matrimonial, than it is an effect of conjugal love. When love finds it felf utterly unmatcht, and justly vanishes, nay rather cannot but vanish, the sleshly act indeed may continue, but not holy, not pure, not befeeming the facred bond of Marriage; being at best but an animal excretion, but more truly worse and more ignoble than that mute kindliness among the herds and flocks: in that proceeding as it ought from intellective principles, it participates of nothing rational, but that which the field and the fold equals. For in human actions the foul is the agent, the body in a manner paffive. If then the body do out of fenfitive force, what the foul complies not with, how can Man, and not rather fomething beneath Man, be thought the doer?

But to proceed in the pursuit of an accurate definition, it will avail us something, and whet our thoughts, to examine what fabric hereof others have already rear'd. Pareus on Gen. defines Marriage to be an indissoluble conjunction of one Man and one Woman to an individual and intimate conversation, and mutual benevolence, &c. Wherin is to be markt his placing of intimate conversation before bodily benevolence; for bodily is meant, though indeed benevolence rather sounds will than body. Why then shall divorce be granted for want of bodily performance, and not for want of fitness to intimate conversation, when as corporal benevolence cannot in any human sashion be without this? Thus his definition places the ends of Marriage in one order, and esteems them in another. His Tautology also of indissoluble and individual is not to be imitated; especially fince neither indissoluble nor individual hath aught to do

Gg2

Vol. I.

in the exact definiton, being but a confectory flowing from thence, as appears by plain Scripture, Therfore shall a Man leave, &c. For Marriage is not true Marriage by being individual, but therfore individual, if it be true Marriage. No argument but causes enter the definition; a Consectary is but the effect of those causes. Befides, that Marriage is indiffoluble, is not Catholicly true; we know it diffoluble for Adultery, and for Defertion by the verdict of all Reformed Churches. Dr. Ames defines it an individual conjunction of one man and one woman, to communion of body and mutual fociety of life: But this perverts the Order of God, who in the institution places meet help and fociety of life before communion of body. And vulgar eftimation undervalues beyond comparison all society of life and communion of mind beneath the communion of body; granting no divorce, but to the want, or miscommunicating of that. Heningius, an approved Author, Melanchion's Scholar, and who, next to Bucer and Erasmus, writes of Divorce most like a Divine, thus comprifes, Marriage is a conjunction of one man and one woman lawfully confenting, into one flesh, for mutual belp's fake, ordain'd of God. And in his explanation stands punctually upon the conditions of confent, that it be not in any main matter deluded, as being the life of Wedloc, and no true Marriage without a true confent. Into one flesh he expounds into one mind, as well as one body, and makes it the formal cause: Herein only missing, while he puts the effect into his definition instead of the cause which the Text affords him. For one flesh is not the formal effence of Wedloc, but one end, or one effect of a meet help: The end oft-times being the effect and fruit of the form, as Logic teaches: Else many aged and holy Matrimonies, and more eminently that of Joseph and Mary, would be no true Marriage. And that maxim generally received, would be false, that confent alone, tho copulation never follow, makes the Marriage. Therfore to confeut lawfully into one flesh, is not the formal cause of Matrimony, but only one of the effects. The Civil Lawyers, and first Justinian or Tribonian defines Matrimony a conjunction of man and woman containing individual accustom of life. Wherin first, individual is not so bad as indiffoluble put in by others: And altho' much cavil might be made in the diftinguishing between indivisible and individual, yet the one taken for possible, the other for actual, neither the one nor the other can belong to the effence of Marriage; especially when a Civilian defines, by which Law Marriage is actually divorc'd for many causes, and with good leave, by mutual consent. Therfore where conjunction is faid, they who comment the Institutes, agree that conjunction of mind is by the Law meant, not necessarily conjunction of body. That Law then had good reason attending to its own definition, that divorce should be granted for the breaking of that conjunction which it holds necessary, sooner than for the want of that conjunction which it holds not necessary. And wheras Tuningus a famous Lawyer excuses individual as the purpose of Marriage, not always the success, it suffices not. Purpose is not able to constitute the essence of a thing. Nature her felf, the universal Mother, intends nothing but her own perfection and preservation; yet is not the more indiffoluble for that. The Pandests out of Modestinus, tho' not define, yet well describe Marriage, the conjunttion of male and semale, the society of all life, the communion of divine and human right: which Bucer also imitates on the fifth to the Ephefians. But it feems rather to comprehend the feveral ends of Marriage than to contain the more constituting cause that makes it what it is.

That I therfore among others (for who fings not Hylas) may give as well as take matter to be judg'd on, it will be look'd I should produce another definition than these which have not stood the trial. Thus then I suppose that Marriage by the natural and plain order of God's institution in the Text may be more demonstratively and effentially defin'd. Marriage is a divine institution, joining man and woman in a love fitly disposed to the helps and comforts of domestic life. A divine institution. This contains the prime efficient cause of Marriage: as for consent of Parents and Guardians, if feems rather a concurrence than a cause; for as many that marry are in their own power as not; and where they are not their own, yet are they not subjected beyond reason. Now tho' efficient causes are not requisite in a definition, yet divine institution hath such insluence upon the Form, and is so a conferving cause of it, that without it the Form is not sufficient to distinguish matrimony from other conjunctions of male and female, which are not to be counted Marriage. Joining man and woman in a love, &c. This brings in the parties confent; until which be, the Marriage hath no true being. When I fay consent, I mean not error, for error is not properly confent: And why should not confent be here understood with equity and good to either part, as in all other friendly

Coverants, and not be ftrain'd and cruelly urg'd to the milchief and deftruction of both? Neither do I mean that fingular act of confent which made the contract, for that may remain, and yet the Marriage not true nor lawful; and that may ccase, and yet the Marriage both true and lawful, to their fin that break it. that either as no efficient at all, or but a transitory, it comes not into the definition. That confent I mean which is a love fitly dispos'd to mutual help and comfort of life: this is that happy Form of Marriage naturally arifing from the very heart of divine inflitution in the Text, in all the former definitions either obscurely, and under mistaken terms exprest, or not at all. This gives Marriage all her due, all her benefits, all her being, all her distinct and proper being. This makes a Marriage not a bondage, a bleffing not a curfe, a gift of God not a fnare. Unless there be a love, and that love born of fitness, how can it last? unless it last, how can the best and sweetest purposes of Marriage be attain'd, and they not attain'd, which are the chief ends, and with a lawful love conflitute the formal cause it self of Marriage? How can the essence therof subfist? How can it be inaced what it goes for? Conclude therfore by all the power of Reason, that where this effence of Marriage is not, there can be no true Marriage; and the Parties, either one of them or both, are free, and without fault, rather by a Nullity than by a Divorce, may betake them to a fecond choice, if their prefent condition be not tolerable to them. If any shall ask, why domestic in the definition? I answer, that because both in the Scriptures, and in the gravest Poets and Philosophers, I find the properties and excellencies of a wife fet out only from domestic vertues; if they extend further, it diffuses them into the notion of some more common duty than matrimonial.

Thus far of the definition; the Confestary which flows from thence, and altogether depends theron, is manifestly brought in by this connexive particle Therfore; and branches it felf into a double confequence; First individual Society, therfore shall a man leave father and mother: Secondly, conjugal benevolence, and they shall be one flesh. Which, as was shewn, is not without cause here mention'd, to prevent and to abolish the suspect of pollution in that natural and undefiled act. These consequences therfore cannot either in Religion, Law, or Reason be bound, and posted upon Mankind to his forrow and misery, but receive what force they have from the meetness of help and solace, which is the formal cause and end of that definition that fustains them. And altho' it be not for the Majesty of Scripture to humble her felf in artificial Theorems, and Definitions, and Corollaries, like a professor in the Schools, but looks to be analys'd, and interpreted by the logical industry of her Disciples and Followers, and to be reduc'd by them as oft as need is, into those Sciential rules, which are the implements of instruction; yet Moses, as if forefeeing the miferable work that man's ignorance and pufillanimity would make in this matrimonious business, and endeavouring his utmost to prevent it, condefeends in this place to fuch a methodical and school-like way of defining, and

Thus we have feen, and if we be not contentious, may know what was Marriage in the beginning, to which in the Gospel we are referr'd; and what from hence to judge of Nullity, or Divorce. Here I esteem the work done; in this field the controversy decided; but because other places of Scripture seem to look aversly upon this our decision, altho' indeed they keep all harmony with it, and because it is a better work to reconcile the seeming diversities of Scripture, than the real disfensions of nearest friends, I shall assay in three following Discourses to perform that Offic e.

confequencing, as in no place of the whole Law more.

Deut. XXIV. 1, 2.

When a man hath taken a Wife, and married her, and it come to pass that she find no favour in his eyes, because he hath sound some uncleanness in her, then let him write her a bill of divorcement, and give it in her hand, and send her out of his house.
 And when she is departed out of his house, she may go and he another man's wife.

HAT which is the only discommodity of speaking in a clear matter, the abundance of argument that presses to be utter'd, and the suspense of judgment what to choose, and how in the multitude of reason to be not tedious, is the greatest dissiculty which I expect here to meet with. Yet much hath bin said formerly concerning this Law in the Dostrine of Divorce. Wherof I shall repeat no more than what is necessary. Two things are here doubted: First, and that but of late, whether this be a Law or no; next, what this reason of uncleanness might mean, for which

which the Law is granted. That it is a plain Law no man ever question'd, till Vatablus within there hundred years profess'd Hebrew at Paris, a man of no Religion, as Beza decyphers him. Yet some there be who follow him, not only against the current of all Antiquity both Jewish and Christian, but the evidence of Scripture also, Malach. 2. 16. Let him who hateth put away, faith the Lord God of Israel. Altho' this place also hath bin tamper'd with, as if it were to be thus render'd, The Lord God faith, that he hateth putting away. But this new interpretation rests only in the Authority of Junius; for neither Calvin, nor Vatablus himself, nor any other known Divine so interpreted before. And they of best note who have translated the Scripture fince, and Diodati for one, follow not his reading. And perhaps they might reject it, if for nothing else, for these two Reasons: First, it introduces in a new manner the person of God speaking less Majestic than he is ever wont: When God speaks by his Prophet, he ever speaks in the first person, therby fignifying his Majesty and Omnipresence. He would have said, I hate putting away, faith the Lord; and not fent word by Malachi in a sudden fal'n stile, The Lord God faith that he hateth putting away: that were a phrase to shrink the glorious Omnipresence of God speaking, into a kind of circumscriptive absence. And were as if a Herald in the Atchievement of a King, should commit the indecorum to fet his helmet fideways and close, not full-fac'd and open in the posture of direction We cannot think therfore that this last Prophet would thus in a and command. new fashion absent the person of God from his own words, as if he came not along with them. For it would also be wide from the proper scope of his place: he that reads attentively will foon perceive, that God blames not here the Jews for putting away their wives, but for keeping strange Concubines, to the profaning of Juda's holiness, and the vexation of their Hebrew wives, v. 11, and 14. Judah kath married the daughter of a strange God: And exhorts them rather to put their wives away whom they hate, as the Law permitted, than to keep them under fuch affronts. And it is received that this Prophet lived in those times of Ezra and Nehemiah (nay by some is thought to be Ezra himself) when the People were forc'd by these two Worthies to put their strange wives away. So that what the story of those times, and the plain context of the 11 verse, from whence this rebuke begins, can give us to conjecture of the obscure and curt Ebraisms that follow, this Prophet does not forbid putting away, but forbids keeping, and commands putting away according to God's Law, which is the plainest Interpreter both of what God will, and what he can best suffer. Thus much evinces that God there commanded Divorce by Malachi, and this confirms that he commands it also here by Moses.

 ${f I}$ may the lefs doubt to mention by the way an Author, tho' counted Apocryphal, yet of no small account for Piety and Wisdom, the Author of Ecclesiasticus. Which Book, begun by the Grand-father of that Jefus who is called the Son of Sirach, might have bin written in part, not much after the time when Malachi liv'd; if we compute by the Reign of Ptolemaus Euergetes. It professes to explain the Law and the Prophets; and yet exhorts us to Divorce for incurable causes, and to cut off from the flesh those whom it there describes, Ecclesiastic. 25. 26. Which doubtless that wife and ancient Writer would never have advis'd, had either Malachi fo lately forbidden it, or the Law by a full precept not left it lawful. But I urge not this for want of better proof; our Saviour himselfallows Divorce to be a command, Mark 10. 3, 5. Neither do they weaken this affertion, who fay it was only a fufferance, as shall be prov'd at large in that place of Mark. But suppose it were not a written Law, they never can deny it was a custom, and so effect nothing. For the same reasons that induce them why it should not be a Law, will straiten them as hard why it should be allow'd a custom. All custom is either evil or not evil; if it be evil, this is the very end of Lawgiving, to abolish evil customs by wholesom Laws; unless we imagine Moses weaker than every negligent and startling Politician. If it be, as they make this of Divorce to be, a custom against nature, against justice, against charity, how, upon this most impure custom tolerated, could the God of pureness erect a nice and precise Law, that the Wife married after Divorce could not return to her former Husband, as being defiled? What was all this following niceness worth, built upon the lewd foundation of a wicked thing allow'd? In few words then, this custom of Divorce either was allowable or not allowable; if not allowable, how could it be allow'd? if it were allowable, all who understand Law will confent, that a tolerated custom hath the force of a Law, and is indeed no other but an unwritten Law, as Justinian calls it, and is as prevalent as any written statute. So that their shift of turning this Law into a custom wheels about, and

gives

Nov

gives the onfet upon their own flanks; not disproving, but concluding it to be the more firm Law, because it was without controversy a granted custom; as clear in the reason of common life, as those given rules wheron Excludes builds his propositions.

Thus being every way a Law of God, who can without blaiphemy doubt it to be a just and pure Law? Aleses continually disavows the giving them any flatute, or judgment, but what he learnt of God; of whom also in his Sone he faith, Deut. 32. He is the rock, his work is perfect, all his ways are judgment, a God of truth and with out iniquity, just and right is he. And David testifies, the judgments of the Lord are true and righteous eltegether. Not partly right and partly wrong, much less wrong altogether, as Divines of now-a-days dare centure them. Moses again, of that people to whom he give this Law, faith, Deut. 14. To are the children of the Lord your God, the Lord hath chosen thee to be a peculiar people to himself above all the nations upon the earth, that thou shouldest keep all his Commandments, and he high in praise, in name, and in konour, boly to the Lord, Chap. 26. And in the fourth, Deheld There taught you flatutes and judgments, even as the Lord my God commanded me, keep therfore and do them. For this is your wifilom and your understanding in the fight of Nations that shall bear all these Statutes, and say, furely this great Nation is a wife and understanding people. For what Nation is above so great, who hath God so right to them? and what Nation that hath Statutes on i Judgments so right cours as all this Leave which I to before you this day? Thus whether we look at the purity and justice of God himself, and well the first statutes are all this Leave which is I are not to the purity and justice of God himself, and well the first statutes and more than National the hallowing and more law statutes. the judoufy of his honour among other Nations, the holineis and moral perilection which he intended by his Law to teach this people, we cannot possibly think how he could indure to let them flug and grow inveterately wicked, under bale allowances, and whole adulterous lives by difpensation. They might not eat, they might not touch an unclean thing; to what hypocrify then were the strain'd up, if by prefeription of the fame Law, they might be unjust, they might be adulterous for term of life? forbid to foil their garments with a coy imaginary pollution, but not forbid, but countenanced and animated by Law to foil their Souls with deepest defilements. What more unlike to God, what more like that God should have, than that his Law fnould be so curious to wash vessels, and vestures, and so careless to leave unwash'd, unregarded, to foul a teab of Egypt in their Souls? what would we more? the Statutes of the Lord are all pure and just: and if all, then this of Divorce.

Beearch be hath found fome uncleanness in her.] That we may not esteem this Law to be a meer authorizing of licence, as the Pharifees took it, Moles adds the reafon, for some uncleanness found. Some hertosore have bin so ignorant, as to have thought, that this uncleanness means Adultery. But Erasmus, who for having writ an excellent Treatife of Divorce, was wrote against by some burly standard Divine perhaps of Cullen, or of Lovain, who calls himfelf Phimoftomus, shews learnedly out of the Fathers, with other Testimonies and Reasons, that uncleanness is not here fo understood; desends his former work, though new to that age, and perhaps counted licentious, and sears not to ingage all his same on the Argument. Afterward, when Expositors began to understand the Hobrew Text, which they had not done of many ages before, they translated word for word not uncleanues, but the nakedness of any thing; and considering that nakedness is usually referred in Scripture to the mind as well as to the body, they conflantly expound it any defect, annoyance, or ill quality in nature, which to be join'd with, makes life tedious, and fuch company worse than solitude. So that here will be no cause to vary from the general confent of expolition, which gives us freely that God permitted divorce, for whatever was unalterably diftaftful, whether in body or mind. But with this admonifhment, that if the Roman Law, especially in contracts and dowries, left many things to equity with these cautions, in file load, good agains melius crit, ut inter bonos bene acitar, we will not grudge to think that God intended not licence here to every humour, but to tuch remediless grievances as might move a good and honest and faithful man then to divorce, when it can no more be peace or comfort to either of them continuing thus join'd. And although it could not be avoided, but that Men of hard hearts would abuse this liberty, yet doubtless it was intended, as all other privileges in Law are, to good men principally, to bad only by accident. So that the Sin was not in the permission, nor simply in the action of Divorce (for then the permitting also had bin fin) but only in the abuse. But that this Law fnould, as it were, be wrung from God and Mejes, only to ferve the hardheartedness, and the lust of injurious men, how remote it is from all fenfe, and law, and honeity, and therfore furely from the meaning of Christ, shall abundantly be manifest in due order.

Now although Moses needed not to add other reason of this Law than that one there exprest, yet to these ages wherin Canons, and Scotisms, and Lumbard Laws, have dull'd, and almost obliterated the lively Sculpture of ancient reason, and humanity, it will be requisit to heap reason upon reason, and all little enough to vindicate the whiteness and the innocence of this divine Law, from the calumny it finds at this day, of being a door to licence and confusion. Whenas indeed there is not a judicial joint in all Moses, consisting of more true equity, high wisdom, and god-like pity than this Law; not derogating, but preserving the honour and peace of Marriage, and exactly agreeing with the sense and mind of that institution in Genesis.

For first, if Marriage be but an ordain'd relation, as it feems not more, it cannot take place above the prime dictates of nature; and if it be of natural right, yet it must yield to that which is more natural, and before it by eldership and precedence in nature. Now it is not natural that High marries Beatrice, or Thomas Rebecca, being only a civil contract, and full of many chances; but that thefe men feek them meet helps, that only is natural, and that they espouse them such, that only is Marriage. But if they find them neither fit helps nor tolerable fociety, what thing more natural, more original and first in nature than to depart from that which is irkfom, grievous, actively hateful, and injurious even to hostility, especially in a conjugal respect, wherin antipathies are invincible, and where the forc'd abiding of the one can be no true good, no real comfort to the other? For if he find no contentment from the other, how can he return it from himfelf? or no acceptance, how can he mutually accept? What more equal, more pious than to until a civil knot for a natural enmity held by violence from parting, to diftolve an accidental conjunction of this or that Man and Woman, for the most natural and most necessary disagreement of meet from unmeet, guilty from guiltless, contrary from contrary? It being certain that the mystical and blessed unity of Marriage can be no way more unhallow'd and profan'd, than by the forcible uniting of fuch difunions and feparations. Which if we fee oftimes they cannot join or piece up to a common friendship, or to a willing conversation in the same house, how should they possibly agree to the most familiar and united amity of Wedloc? Abraham and Lot, though dear friends and brethren in a strange Country, chose rather to part asunder, than to insect their friendship with the strife of their fervants: Paul and Barnabas, join'd together by the Holy Ghost to a spiritual work, thought it better to separate when once they grew at variance. If these great Saints, join'd by Nature, Friendship, Religion, high Providence, and Revelation, could not fo govern a casual difference, a sudden passion, but must in wisdom divide from the outward duties of a Friendship, or a Collegueship in the same samily, or in the fame journey, left it should grow to a worse division; can any thing be more absurd and barbarous, than that they whom only Error, Cafualty, Art, or Plot, hath joined, should be compell'd, not against a sudden passion, but against the permanent and radical discords of Nature, to the most intimate and incorporating duties of Love and Imbracement, therin only rational and human, as they are free and voluntary; being else an abject and servile yoke, scarce not brutish? And that there is in man fuch a peculiar fway of liking or difliking in the affairs of Matrimony, is evidently seen before Marriage among those who can be friendly, can respect each other, yet to marry each other would not for any perswassion. If then this unfitness and disparity be not till after Marriage difcover'd, through many Caures, and Colours, and Conce alments, that may overshadow; undoubtedly it will produce the same effects, and perhaps with more vehemence, that fuch a mistaken pair would give the world to be unmarried again. And their condition Solomon to the plain justification of Divorce expresses, Prov. 30. 21, 23. where he tells us of his own accord, that a hated, or a hateful Woman, when she is married, is a thing for which the earth is disquieted, and cannot bear it: thus giving divine testimony to this divine Law, which bids us nothing more than is the first and most innocent lesson of Nature, to turn away peaceably from what afflicts, and hazards our destruction; especially when our ftaying can do no good, and is exposed to all evil.

Secondly, It is unjust that any Ordinance, ordain'd to the good and comfort of Man, where that end is missing, without his fault, should be forc'd upon him to an unsufficiable misery and discomfort, if not commonly ruin. All Ordinances are establisht in their end; the end of Law is the vertue, is the righteourness of Law; and therfore him we count an ill Expounder who urges Law against the intention therof. The general end of every Ordinance, of every severest, every divinest, even of Sabbath, is the good of Man; yea his temporal good not excluded. But Marriage

is one of the benignest ordinances of God to man, wherof both the general and particular end is the peace and contentment of man's mind, as the inflitution declares. Contentment of body they grant, which if it be defrauded, the plea of frigidity shall divorce: Buthere lies the fathomless absurdity, that granting this for bodily detect, they will not grant it for any defect of the mind, any violation of religious or civil fociety. Whenas, if the argument of Christ be firm against the ruler of the Synagogue, Luke 13. Thou hypocrite, doth not each of you on the Sabbath-day loofen his Ox or his Ass from the stall, and lead him to watering, and should not I unbind a daughter of Abraham from this bond of Satan? It stands as good here; ye have regard in Marriage to the grievance of body, should you not regard more the grievances of the mind, feeing the Soul as much excels the body, as the outward man excels the Afs, and more? for that animal is yet a living creature, perfect in itself; but the body without the Soul is a meer fenfeless trunk. No ordinance therfore given particularly to the good both spiritual and temporal of man, can be urged upon him to his mifchief: and if they yield this to the unworthier part, the body, whereabout are they in their principles, that they yield it not to the more worthy, the mind of a good man?

Thirdly, As no Ordinance, fo no Covenant, no not between God and Man, much lefs between Man and Man, being, as all are, intended to the good of both Parties, can hold to the deluding or making miferable of them both. For Equity is understood in every Covenant, even between enemies, tho' the terms be not exprest. If Equity therfore made it, Extremity may diffolve it. But Marriage, they use to fay, is the Covenant of God. Undoubted: and fo is any Covenant frequently called in Scripture, wherin God is call'd to witness: The Covenant of Friendship between David and Jonathan, is call'd the Covenant of the Lord, I Sam. 20. The Covenant of Zedekiah with the King of Babel, a Covenant to be doubted whether lawful or no, yet in respect of God invok'd therto is call'd the Oath, and the Covenant of God, Ezek. 17. Marriage also is call'd the Covenant of God, Prov. 2. 17. Why, but as before, because God is the witness therof, Mal. 2.14. So that this denomination adds nothing to the Covenant of Marriage, above any other civil and folemn contract: nor is it more indisfoluble for this reason than any other against the end of its own Ordination; nor is any Vow or Oath to God exacted with fuch a rigour, where superstition reigns not. For look how much divine the Covenant is, fo much the more equal, fo much the more to be expected that every Article therof should be fairly made good; no false dealing, or unperforming should be thrust upon men without redrefs, if the covenant be fo divine. But Faith, they fay, must be kept in Covenant, tho' to our damage. I answer, that only holds true, where the other fide performs; which failing, he is no longer bound. Again, this is true, when the keeping of Faith can be of any use or benefit to the other. But in Marriage, a league of Love and Willingness, if Faith be not willingly kept, it scarce is worth the keeping; nor can be any delight to a generous mind, with whom it is forcibly kept: and the question still supposes the one brought to an impossibility of keeping it as he ought, by the other's default; and to keepit formally, not only with a thousand shifts and dissimulations, but with open anguish, perpetual sadness and diffurbance, no willingness, no cheerfulness, no contentment, cannot be any good to a mind not basely poor and shallow, with whom the contract of Love is so kept. A Covenant therfore brought to that pass, is on the unfaulty fide without injury diffolv'd.

Fourthly, The Law is not to neglect men under greatest sufferances, but to see Covenants of greatest moment faithfullest perform'd. And what injury comparable to that suffain'd in a frustrate and false-dealing Marriage, to lose, for another's fault against him, the best portion of his temporal comforts, and of his spiritual too, as it may fall out? It was the Law, that for man's good and quiet, reduc'd things to propriety, which were at first in common; how much more Law-like were it to affish Nature in disappropriating that evil which by continuing proper becomes destructive? But he might have bewar'd. So he might in any other Covenant, wherin the Law does not constrain Error to so dear a forfeit. And yet in these matters wherin the wisest are apt to err, all the wariness that can be, ofttimes nothing avails. But the Law can compel the offending party to be more duteous. Yes, if all these kind of offences were fit in public to be complain'd on, or being compell'd were any satisfaction to a mate not sottish, or malicious. And these injuries work so vehemently, that if the Law remedy them not, by separating the cause when no way else will pacify, the person not reliev'd betakes him either to such disorderly courses, or to such a dull dejection as renders him either infamous, or uscless to the service of God and

Vol. I. Hh

his Country. Which the Law ought to prevent as a thing pernicious to the Com-

monwealth; and what better prevention than this which Moses us'd?

Fifthly, The Law is to tender the liberty and the human dignity of them that live under the Law, whether it be the man's right above the woman, or the woman's just appeal against wrong and servitude. But the duties of Marriage contain in them aduty of Benevolence, which to do by compulsion against the Soul, where there can be neither peace, nor joy, nor love, but an enthralment to one who either cannot, or will not be mutual in the godliest and the civilest ends of that society, is the ignobleft, and the lowest flavery that a human shape can be put to. This Law therfore justly and piously provides against such an unmanly task of bondage as this. The Civil Law, tho' it favour'd the fetting free of a flave, yet if he prov'd ungrateful to his Patron, reduc'd him to a fervile condition. If that Law did well to reduce from liberty to bondage for an ingratitude not the greatest, much more became it the Law of God to enact the restorement of a free-born man from an unpurpos'd, and unworthy bondage, to a rightful liberty, for the most unnatural fraud and ingratitude that can be committed against him. And if that Civilian Emperor inhistitle of Donations, permit the giver to recall his gift from him who proves unthankful towards him; yea, tho' he had fubscrib'd and fign'd in the deed of his gift, not to recall it, though for this very cause of ingratitude; with much more equity doth Moses permit here the giver to recall no petty gift, but the gift of himfelf from one who most injuriously and deceitfully uses him against the main ends and conditions of his giving himfelf, exprest in God's institution.

Sixthly, Altho' there be nothing in the plain words of this Law, that feems to regard the afflictions of a Wife, how great foever; yet Expositors determine, and doubtless determine rightly, that God was not uncompassionate of them also in the framing of this Law. For should the rescript of Antoninus in the Civil Law give release to fervants slying for resuge to the Emperor's statue, by giving leave to change their cruel Masters; and should God, who in his Law also is good to injur'd servants, by granting them their freedom in divers cases, not consider the wrongs and miseries of a wife, which is no servant? Tho' herin the counter-sense of our Divines, to me, I must consess seems admirable; who teach that God gave this as a merciful Law, not for Man whom he here names, and to whom by name he gives this power; but for the Wise, whom he names not, and to whom by name he gives no power at all. For certainly if Man be liable to injuries in Marriage, as well as Woman, and Man be the worthier Person, it were a preposterous Law to respect only the less worthy; her whom God made for Marriage, and not him

at all for whom Marriage was made.

Seventhly, The Law of Marriage gives place to the power of parents: for we hold, that confent of Parents not had, may break the Wedloc, tho'elfe accomplisht. gives place to masterly Power, for the Master might take away from an Hebrew fervant the wife which he gave him, Exod. 21. If it be anfwer'd, that the Marriage of Servants is no Matrimony: 'tis reply'd, That this in the ancient Roman Law is true, not in the Mofaic. If it be added, she was a Stranger, not an Hebrew, therfore eafily divorc'd; it will be answer'd, That Strangers not being Cancanites, and they also being Converts, might be lawfully married, as Rahab was. And her conversion is here supposed; for an Hebrew master could not lawfully give an Heathen wife to an Hebrew servant. However, the divorcing of an Israelitish woman was as eafy by the Law, as the divorcing of a Stranger, and almost in the same words permitted, *Deut*.24. and *Deut*.21. Lastly, it gives place to the right of War, for a captive Woman lawfully marry'd, and afterwards not belov'd, might be difmifs'd, only without ranfom, Deut. 21. If Marriage be diffolv'd by fo many exterior powers, not superior, as we think, why may not the power of Marriage is felf, for its own peace and honour, diffolve it felf, where the perfons wedded be free perfons? Why may not a greater and more natural power complaining diffolve Marriage? For the ends why Matrimony was ordain'd, are certainly and by all Logic above all the Ordinance it felf; why may not that diffolve Marriage, without which that inflitution hath no force at all? For the prime ends of Marriage, are the whole strength and validity therof, without which Matrimony is like an Idol, nothing in the world. But those former allowances were all for hardness of heart. Be that granted, until we come where to underfland it better: if the Law fuffer thus far the obstinacy of a bad man, is it not more righteous here, to do willingly what is but equal, to remove in feafon the extremities of a good man?

Eighthly,

Fighthly, If a man had deflowr'daVirgin, or brought an ill name on his Wife that the came not a Virgin to him, he was amere'd in certain thekels of Silver, and bound never to divorce her all his days, *Deut*, 22, which thews that the Law gave no liberty to divorce, where the injury was pafpable; and that the abfolute forbidding to divorce, was in part the punishment of a deflowerer, and a defamer. Yet not to but that the wife queffionless might depart when the pleased. Otherwise this course had not to much righted her, as delivered her up to more spight and cruel usage, This Law therfore doth justly distinguish the privilege of an honest and blameless man in the matter of divorce from the punishment of a notorious offender.

Ninthly, Suppose it should be imputed to a manthat he was too rash in his choice, and why he took not better heed, let him now fruart, and bear his folly as he may; altho' the Law of God, that terrible Law, do not thus upbraid the infirmities and unwilling mittakes of man in his integrity: But suppose these and the like proud aggravations of fome flern hypocrite, more merciles in his mercies, than any literal Law in the vigour of feverity, must be patiently heard; yet all Law, and God's Law especially grants every-where to error easy remitments, even where the utmost penalty exacted were no undoing. With great reason therfore and mercy doth it here not torment an error, if it befo, with the indurance of a whole life loft to all houshold comfort and fociety, a punishment of too vast and huge dimension for an error, and the more unreasonable for that the like objection may be oppos'd against the plea of divorcing for Adultery; he might have lookt better before to her breeding under religious parents: why did he not more difigently inquire into her manners, into what company she kept? every glance of her eye, every step of her gait would have prophefy'd adultery, if the quick scent of these differentiable divination to have foretold you all this, as they have now the divinity to punish an error inhumanly. As good reason to be content, and forc'd to be content with your Adulterefs, if thefe objecters might be the judges of human frailty. But God, more mild and good to man, than man to his brother, in all this liberty given to divorcement, mentions not a word of our past errors and mistakes, if any were, which these men objecting from their own inventions, profecute with all violence and iniquity. For if the one be to look fo narrowly what he takes, at the peril of ever keeping, why fhould not the other be made as wary what is promis'd, by the peril of lofing? for without those promifes the treaty of Marriage had not proceeded. Why should his own error bind him, rather than the other's fraud acquit him? Let the buyer beware, faith the old Law-beaten termer. Belike then there is no more honefty, nor ingenuity in the bargain of a Wedloc, than in the buying of a Colt: We must it seems drive it on as craftily with those whose affinity we seek, as if they were a pack of sale-men and complotters. But the deceiver deceives himfelf in the unprosperous Marriage, and therin is fufficiently punisht. I answer, that the most of those who deceive, are fuch as either understand not, or value not the true purposes of Marriage; they have the prey they feek, not the punishment: yet fay it prove to them fome crofs, it is not equal that error and fraud should be linkt in the same degree of forfeiture, but rather that error should be acquitted, and fraud bereav'd his morfel, if the miflake were not on both fides; for then on both fides the acquitment will be reafonable, if the bondage be intolerable; which this Law graciously determines, not unmindful of the wife, as was granted willingly to the common Expolitors, the beyond the letter of this Law, yet not beyond the spirit of charity.

Tenthly, Marriage is a folemn thing, fome fay a holy, the refemblance of Chrift and his Church? and fo indeed it is where the persons are truly religious; and we know all facred things not personn'd fincerely as they ought, are no way acceptable to God in their outward formality. And that wherin it disters from personal duties, if they be not truly done, the fault is in our selves; but Marriage to be a true and pious Marriage is not in the single power of any person; the essence wherof, as of all other Covenants, is in relation to another, the making and maintaining causes therof are all mutual, and must be a communion of spiritual and temporal comforts. If then either of them cannot, or obstinately will not be answerable in these duties, so as that the other can have no peaceful living, or endure the want of what he justly seeks, and sees no hope, then strait from that dwelling love, which is the foul of Wedloc, takes his slight, leaving only some cold performances of civil and common respects; but the true bond of Marriage, if there were ever anythere, is already burst like a rotten thread. Then follows dissimulation, suspection, false colours, false pretences,

Vol. I, II h 2 and

and worse than these, disturbance, annoyance, vexation, forrow, temptation even in the faultless person, weary of himself, and of all actions public or domestic; then comes disorder, neglect, hatred, and perpetual strise, all these the enemies of Holiness and Christianity, and every one persisted in, a remediless violation of Matrimony. Therfore God who hates all seigning and formality, where there should be all faith and sincereness, and abhors the inevitable discord, where there should be greater concord, when thro' another's default, faith and concord cannot be, counts it neither just to punish the innocent with the Transgressor, nor holy, nor honourable for the sanctity of Marriage, that should be the union of peace and love to be made the commitment, and close sight of enmity and hate. And therfore doth in this Law, what best agrees with his goodness, loosning a facred thing to peace and charity, rather than binding it to hatred and contention; loosning only the outward and formal tie of that which is already inwardly and really broken, or else was really never join'd.

Eleventhly, One of the chief matrimonial ends is faid to feek a holy feed; but where an unfit Marriage administers continual cause of hatred and distemper, there, as was heard before, cannot choose but much unholiness abide. Nothing more unhallows a man, more unprepares him to the service of God in any duty, than a habit of wrath and perturbation, arising from the importunity of troublous causes never absent. And where the houshold stands in this plight, what love can there be to the unfortunate issue, what care of their breeding, which is of main conducement to their being holy? God thersore knowing how happy it would be for children to be born in such a family, gives this Law either as a prevention, that being an unhappy pair, they should not add to be unhappy parents, or else as a remedy that if there be children, while they are sewest, they may follow either parent, as shall be agreed, or judg'd, from the house of hatred and discord to place of more holy

and peaceable education.

Twelfthly, All Law is available to some good end, but the final prohibition of Divorce avails to no good end, caufing only the endless aggravation of evil, and therfore this permission of divorce was given to the Jews by the wisdom and fatherly providence of God; who knew that Law cannot command love, without which Matrimony hath no true being, no good, no folace, nothing of God's instituting, nothing but so fordid and so low, as to be disdain'd of any generous perfon. Law cannot inable natural inability either of body, or mind, which gives the grievance; it cannot make equal those inequalities, it cannot make fit those unfitnesses; and where there is malice more than defect of nature, it cannot hinder ten thousand injuries, and bitter actions of despight, too suttle and too unapparent for Law to deal with. And while it feeks to remedy more outward wrongs; it exposes the injur'd person to other more inward and more cutting. All these evils unavoidably will redound upon the children, if any be, and upon the whole family. It degenerates and diforders the best spirits, leaves them to unsettled imaginations, and degraded hopes, careless of themselves, their housholds and their friends, unactive to all public fervice, dead to the Commonwealth; wherin they are by one mishap, and no willing trespass of theirs, outlaw'd from all the benefits and comforts of married life and posterity. It confers as little to the honour and inviolable keeping of Matrimony, but fooner stirs up temptations and occasions to secret adulteries and unchaste roving. But it maintains public honesty. Public folly rather; who shall judge of public honesty? The Law of God and of ancientest Chriftians, and all Civil Nations, or the illegitimate Law of Monks and Canonifts, the most malevolent, most unexperienc'd, most incompetent Judges of Matrimony?

These reasons, and many more that might be alleg'd, afford us plainly to perceive, both what good cause this Law had to do for good men in mischances, and what necessity it had to suffer accidentally the hard-heartedness of bad men, which could not certainly discover, or discovering, could not subdue, no nor endeavour to restrain without multiplying forrow to them, for whom all was indeavour'd. The guiltless therfore were not depriv'd their needful redresses, and the hard hearts of others unchastisable in those judicial Courts, were so remitted there, as bound over

to the higher Session of Conscience.

Notwithstanding all this, there is a loud exception against this Law of God, nor can the holy Author save his Law from this exception, that it opens a door to all licence and consustion. But this is the rudest, I was almost saying the most graceless objection, and with the least reverence to God and Moses, that could be devis'd: This is to cite God before man's Tribunal, to arrogate a wisdom and holiness above him.

Did

Did not God then foresee what event of licence or confusion could follow? Did not he know how to ponder these abuses with more prevailing respects, in the most even ballance of his justice and pureness, till these correctors came up to shew him better? The Law is, if it stir up fin any way, to stir it up by forbidding, as one contrary excites another, Rom. 7. but if it once come to provoke fin, by granting licence to fin, according to Laws that have no other honest end, but only to permit the fulfilling of obstinate lust, how is God not made the contradicter of himself? No man denies that best things may be abus'd: but it is a Rule resulting from many pregnant experiences, that what doth most harm in the abusing, us'd rightly doth most good. And such a good to take away from honest men, for being abus'd by fuch as abuse all things, is the greatest abuse of all. That the whole Law is no further useful, than as a man uses it lawfully, S. Paul teaches 1 Tim. 1. And that Christian liberty may be us'd for an occasion to the slesh, the same Apostle contetles, Gal. 5. yet thinks not of removing it for that, but bids us rather stand fast in the liberty wherwith Christ hath freed us, and not be held again in the yoke of bondage. The very permission which Christ gave to Divorce for Adultery, may be foully abus'd, by any whose hardness of heart can either feign Adultery, or dares commit, that he may divorce. And for this cause the Pope, and hitherto the Church of England, forbidall divorce from the bond of Marriage, tho' for openest Adultery. If then it be righteous to hinder for the fear of abuse, that which God's Law, notwithstanding that caution, hath warranted to be done, doth not our righteousness come fhort of Antichrift? or do we not rather herein conform our felves to his unrighteousness in this undue and unwise fear? For God regards more to relieve by this Law the just complaints of good men, than to curb the licence of wicked men, to the crushing withal, and the overwhelming of his afflicted servants. He loves more that his Law should look with pity upon the difficulties of his own, than with rigor upon the boundless riots of them who serve another Master, and hinder'd here by the strictness, will break another way to worse enormities. If this Law therfore have many good reasons for which God gave it, and no intention of giving scope to lewdness, but as abuse by accident comes in with every good Law, and every good thing, it cannot be wisdom in us, while we can content us with God's wisdom, nor can be purity, if his purity will fuffice us, to except against this Law, as if it foster'd licence. But if they affirm this Law had no other end, but to permit obdurat lust, because it would be obdurat, making the Law of God intentionally to proclaim and enact Sin lawful, as if the will of God were become finful, or Sin stronger than his direct and law-giving will, the men would be admonish'd to look well to it, that while they are so eager to shut the door against licence, they do open a worse door to blasphemy. And yet they shall be here surther shewn their iniquity; what more foul common fin among us than drunkenness? And who can be ignorant, that if the importation of Wine, and the use of all strong drink, were forbid, it would both clean rid the possibility of committing that odious vice, and men might afterwards live happily and healthfully without the use of those intoxicating liquors. Yet who is there the severest of them all, that ever propounded to lose his Sack, his Ale, toward the certain abolishing of sogreat a Sin? Who is there of them, the holiest, that less loves his rich canary at meals, tho it be fetcht from places that hazard the Religion of them who fetch it, and tho' it make his Neighbour drunk out of the fame Tun? While they forbid not therfore the use of that liquid Merchandize, which forbidden would utterly remove a most loathfome fin, and not impair either the health or the refreshment of mankind, fupply'd many other ways; why do they forbid a Law of God, the forbidding wherof brings into excellive bondage oftimes the best of men, and betters not the worse? He to remove a national vice, will not pardon his cups, nor think it concerns him to forbear the quaffing of that outlandish Grape, in his unnecessary fulness, tho' other men abuse itnever so much; nor is he so abstemious as to intercede with the Magistrate that all matter of drunkenness be banish'd the Commonwealth; and yet for the fear of a less inconvenience unpardonably requires of his brethren, in their extreme necessity, to debar themselves the use of God's permissive Law, tho' it might be their faving, and no man's indangering the more. Thus this peremptory strictness we may discern of what fort it is, low unequal and how unjust.

But it will breed confusion. What confusion it would breed, God himself took the care to prevent in the fourth verse of this Chapter, that the divorc'd being married to another, might not return to her former husband. And Justinian's Law coun-

fels the same in his Title of Nurtials. And what confusion else can there be in separation, to separate upon extreme urgency, the religious from the irreligious, the fit from the unfit, the willing from the wilful, the abus'd from the abuser? Such a separation is quite contrary to confusion. But to bind and mix together holy with atheist, heavenly with hellish, fitness with unfitness, light with darkness, antipathy with antipathy, the injur'd with the injurer, and force them into the most inward nearnels of a detefted union, this doubtlets is the most horrid, the most unnatural mixture, the greatest confusion that can be confus'd.

Thus by this plain and Christian Talmud, vindicating the Law of God from irreverent and unwary expositions, I trust, where it shall meet with intelligible perusers, some stay at least of men's thoughts will be obtain'd, to consider these many prudent and righteous ends of this divorcing permission: That it may have, for the great Author's fake, hereafter some competent allowance to be counted a little purer than the prerogative of a legal and public ribaldry, granted to that holy feed. So that from hence, we shall hope to find the way still more open to the reconciling of those places which treat this matter in the Gospel. And thither now without interruption the course of method brings us.

Tetrachordon.

MATTH. V. 31, 32.

31. It hath been faid, whosever shall put away his Wise, let him give her a writing of Divorcement.

32. But I say unto you, that whosoever shall put away his Wife, &c.

MATTH. XIX. 3, 4, &c. 3. And the Pharifees also came unto him, tempting him, &c.

Thath been said. What hitherto hath been spoke upon the Law of God touch? ing Matrimony or Divorce, he who will deny to have been agru'd according to reason and all equity of Scripture, I cannot edify how, or by what rule of proportion that man's virtue calculates, what his elements are, nor what his analytics. Confidently to those who have read good books, and to those whose reason is not an illiterate book to themselves, I appeal, whether they would not confess all this to be the commentary of truth and justice, were it not for these recited words of our Saviour. And if they take not back that which they thus grant, nothing fooner might perfuade them that Christ here teaches no new precept, and nothing fooner might direct them to find his meaning, than to compare and meafure it by the rules of nature and eternal righteoufness, which no written Law extinguishes, and the Gospel least of all. For what can be more opposite and disparaging to the covenant of love, of freedom, and of our manhood in grace, than to be made the yoking pedagogue of new feverities, the scribe of fyllables and rigid letters, not only grievous to the best of men, but different and strange from the light of reason in them, save only as they are sain to stretch and distort their apprehenfions, for fear of displeasing the verbal straitness of a text, which our own fervile fear gives us not the leifure to understand aright? If the Law of Christ shall be written in our hearts, as was promis'd to the Gospel, Fer. 31. how can this in the vulgar and superficial sense be a Law of Christ, so far from being written in our hearts, that it injures and difallows not only the free dictates of Nature and moral Law, but of Charity also and Religion in our heart? Our Saviour's doctrine is, that the end, and the fulfilling of every command is charity; no faith without it, no truth without it, no worship, no works pleasing to God but as they partake of charity. He himself sets us an example, breaking the solemnest and strictest ordinance of religious rest, and justify'd the breaking, not to cure a dying man, but such whose cure might without danger have been deferr'd. And wherfore needs must the fick man's bed be carried home on that day by his appointment? And why were the Disciples, who

who cou'd not forbear on that day to pluck the corn, so industriously defended, but to shew us that if he preferr'd the slightest occasions of Man's good before the observing of highest and severest ordinances, he gave us much more easy leave to break the into erable yoke of a never well-join'd Wedloc fortheremoving of our heaviest affictions? Therfore it is that the most evangelic precepts are given us in proverbial forms, to drive us from the letter, tho' we love ever to be flicking there. For no other cause did Christ assure us that whatsoever things we bind, or slacken on earth, are so in heaven, but to fignify that the christian arbitrement of charity is supreme decider of all controversy, and supreme resolver of all Scripture; not as the Pope determines for his own tyranny, but as the Church ought to determine for its own true liberty. Hence Eufelius, not far from the beginning of his Hiftory, compares the state of Christians to that of Noah and the Patriarchs before the Law. And this indeed was the reason why Apostolic tradition in the ancient Church was counted nigh equal to the written word, tho' it carried them at length awry, for wart of confidering that tradition was not left to be impos'd as Law, but to be a pattern of that Christian prudence, and liberty which holy men by right assum'd of old; which truth was to evident, that it found entrance even into the Council of Trent, when the point of Tradition came to be discust. And Marinaro, a learned Carmelite, for approaching too near the true caufe that gave effeem to Tradition, that istofay, the difference between the Old and New Testament, the one punctually preferibing written Law, the other guiding by the inward Spirit, was reprehended by Cardinal Pool as one that had spoken more worthy a German Colloquy, than a General Council. I omit many instances, many proofs and arguments of this kind, which alone would compile a just volume, and shall content me here to have shewn briefly that the great and almost only commandment of the Gospel, is to command nothing against the good of man, and much more no civil command against his civil good. If we understand not this, we are but crackt cimbals, we do but tinkle, we know nothing, we do nothing, all the fweat of our toilfomeft obedience will but mock us. And what we fuffer fuperstitiously, returns us no thanks. Thus med'cining our eyes, we need not doubt to see more into the meaning of thefe our Saviour's words, than many who have gone before us.

It hath been faid, whosever shall put away his wife.] Our Saviour was by the Doctors of his time suspected of intending to dissolve the Law. In this Chapter he wipes off this aspersion upon his Accusers, and shews, how they were the Lawbreakers. In every Commonwealth, when it decays, Corruption makes two main steps; first, when men cease to do according to the inward and uncompell'd actions of Virtue, caring only to live by the outward constraint of Law, and turn the simplicity of real good into the craft of seeming so by Law. To this hypocritical honesty was Rome declin'd in that Age wherin Horace liv'd, and discover'd it to Quintius.

When do we count a good man, whom but he Who keeps the laws and statutes of the Senate? Who judges in great suits and controversies, Whose witness and opinion wins the cause? But his own house, and the whole neighbourhood Sees his soul inside through his whited skin.

The next declining is, when Law becomes now too ftrait for the fecular Manners, and those too loose for the cincture of Law. This brings in faile and crooked interpretations to eke out Law, and invents the futtle encroachment of obfcure Traditions hard to be difprov'd. To both these descents the Pharisees themfelves were fallen. Our Saviour therfore shews them both where they broke the Law, in not marking the divine Intent therof, but only the Letter; and where they deprayed the Letter also with sophistical Expositions. This Law of Divorce they had depray'd both ways: first, by teaching that to give a Bill of Divorce was all the duty which that Law requir'd, whatever the cause were; next by running to Divorce for any trivial, accidental cause; whenas the Law evidently stays in the grave causes of natural and immutable dislike. It bath been said, faith he. Christ doth not put any contempt or disesteem upon the Law of Mofes, by citing it to briefly; for in the fame manner God himfelf cites a Law of greatest caution, Jer. 3. They say if a man put away his Wife, shall he return to her again? &c. Nor doth he more abolish it than the Law of swearing, cited next with the fame brevity, and more appearance of contradicting: for Divorce hath an exception left it; but we are charg'd there, as abfolutely as words can charge us, not

to swear at all: yet who denies the lawfulness of an Oath, the here it be in no case permitted? And what shall become of his solemn Protestation not to abolish one Law, or one tittle of any Law, especially of those which he mentions in this Chapter? And that he meant more particularly the not abolishing of Mosaic Divorce, is beyond all cavil manifest in Luke 16. 17, 18. where this Clause against abrogating is inferted immediately before the fentence against Divorce, as if it were call'A thither on purpose to defend the equity of this particular Law against the foreseen rashness of common Textuaries, who aboush Laws, as the Rabble demolish Images, in the zeal of their hammers oft violating the Sepulchers of good men; like Pentheus in the Tragedies, they fee that for Thebes which is not, and take that for Superstition, as these men in the heat of their annulling perceive not how they abolish Right, and Equal, and Justice, under the appearance of judicial. And vet are confessing all the while, that these sayings of Christ stand not in contradiction to the Law of Moses, but to the false Doctrine of the Pharilees rais'd from thence; that the Law of God is perfect, not liable to additions or diminutions: and Paraus accuses the Jesuit Maldonatus of greatest falsity for limiting the perfection of that Law only to the rudeness of the Jews. He adds, That the Law promiseth life to the performers theref, therfore needs not perfetter precepts than such as bring to life; that if the corrections of Christ stand opposite, not to the corruptious of the Pharisees, but to the Law it felf of God, the herefy of Manes would follow, one God of the Old Testament, and another of the New. That Christ saith not here, Except your righteousness exceed the righteounsels of Moses Law, but of the Scribes and Pharisees. this may be true: whether is common sense flown asquint, if we can maintain that Christ forbid the Mosaic Divorce utterly, and yet abolish'd not the Law that permits it? For if the Conscience only were checkt, and the Law not repeal'd, what means the Fanatic boldness of this Age, that dares tutor Christ to be more strict than he thought fit? Ye shall have the evasion, it was a judicial Law. What could infancy and flumber have invented more childish? Judicial or not judicial, it was one of those Laws expresly which he forewarn'd us with protestation, that his mind was, not to abrogate: and if we mark the steerage of his words, what course they hold, we may perceive that what he protested not to dissolve (that he might faithfully and not deceitfully remove a fuspicion from himself) was principally concerning the judicial Law; for of that fort are all these here which he vindicates, except the last. Of the Ceremonial Law he told them true, that nothing of it should pass until all Of the Moral Law he knew the Pharifees did not fufpect he meant to nullify that: for fo doing would foon have undone his authority, and advanced theirs. Of the judicial Law therfore chiefly this Apology was meant: For how is that fulfill'd longer than the common equity therof remains in force? And how is this our Saviour's defence of himfelf not made fallacious, if the Pharifees chief fear be left he should abolish the judicial Law, and he to satisfy them, protests his good intention to the Moral Law? It is the general grant of Divines that what in the Judicial Law is not meerly judicial, but reaches to human equity in common, was never in the thought of being abrogated. If our Saviour took away aught of the Law, it was the burthenfome of it, not the ease of burden; it was the bondage, not the liberty of any divine Law, that he remov'd: this he often profest to be the end of his coming. But what if the Law of Divorce be a Moral Law, as most certainly it is fundamentally, and hath been fo prov'd in the reasons therof? For tho' the giving of a Bill may be judicial, yet the act of Divorce is altogether converfant in good and evil, and so absolutely moral. So far as it is good, it never can be abolish, being moral; and so far as it is simply evil, it never could be judicial, as hath been shewn at large in the Dostrine of Divorce, and will be reassum'd anon. Whence one of the fetwo necessities follow, that either it was never establisht, or never Thus much may be enough to have faid on this place. The following abolisht. Verse will be better unfolded in the 19th Chapter, where it meets us again, after a large debatement on the Question between our Saviour and his Adversaries.

Mat. XIX. 3, 4, &c.

V. 3. And the Pharisees came unto him, tempting him, and saying unto him.

Tempting him.] The manner of these men coming to our Saviour, not to learn, but to tempt him, may give us to expect that their Answer will be such as is sitted for them; not so much a teaching, as an intangling. No man, though never so willing or so well enabled to instruct, but if he discern his willingness and candor made

made use of to intrap him, will suddenly draw in himself, and laying aside the facil vein of perspicuity, will know his time to utter Clouds and Riddles; if he be not lefs wife than that noted Fish, whenas he should be not unwifer than the Serpent. Our Saviourat no time exprest any great defire, to teach the obstinate and unteachable Pharifees; but when they came to tempt him, then least of all. As now about the liberty of Divorce, to another time about the punishment of Adultery, they came to found him; and what fatisfaction got they from his answer, either to themselves or to us, that might direct a Law under the Gospel new from that of Moses, unless we draw his absolution of Adultery into an Edict? So about the Tribute, who is there can pick out a full Solution, what and when we must give to Cosar, by the answer which he gave the Pharifees? If we must give to C efar, that which is C efar's, and all be C efar's which hath his Image, we must either new stamp our Coin, or we may go new stamp our foreheads with the superscription of Slaves instead of Freemen. Besides, it is a general Precept not only of Christ, but of all other Sages, not to instruct the unworthy and the conceited, who love Tradition more than Truth, but to perplex and stumble them purposely with contrived obscurities. No wonder then if they who would determine of divorce by this place, have ever found it difficult, and unfatisfying through all the Ages of the Church, as Autin himself and other great Writers confess. Lastly, it is manifest to be the principal scope of our Saviour, both here, and in the 5th of Matthew, to convince the Pharifees of what they being evil did licentiously, not to explain what others being good and blamcless men might be permitted to do in case of extremity. Neither was it reasonable to talk of honest and conscientious liberty among them, who had abused legal and civil liberty to uncivil licence. We do not fay to a Servant what we fay to a Son; nor was it expedient to preach Freedom to those who had transgressed in Wantonness. When we rebuke a Prodigal, we admonish him of Thrist, not of Magnificence, or Bounty. And to school a proud man we labour to make him humble, not magnanimous. So Christ to retort these arrogant Inquisitors their own, took the course to lay their Haughtiness under a severity which they deserved; not to acquaint them, or to make them Judges either of the just man's Right and Privilege, or of the afflicted man's Necessity. And if we may have leave to conjecture, there is a likelihood offer'd us by Tertullian in his 4th against Marcion, wherby it may feem very probable that the Pharifees had a private drift of Malice against our Saviour's life in proposing this Question; and our Saviour had a peculiar aim in the rigor of his answer, both to let them know the freedom of his spirit, and the sharpness of his discerning. This I must now shew, saith Tertullian, whence our Lord deduced this sentence, and which way he directed it, whereby it will more fully appear that he intended not to diffolve Moses. And thereupon tells us, that the vehemence of this our Saviour's speech was chiefly darted against Herod and Herodias. The Story is out of Josephus; Herod had been a long time married to the Daughter of Arctas King of Petra, till happening on his journey towards Rome to be entertain'd at his brother Philip's house, he cast his eye unlawfully and unguestlike upon Herodias there, the wife of Philip, but Daughter to Aristobulus their common Brother, and durst make words of marrying her his Neice from his Brother's bed. She affented, upon agreement he should expel his former Wife. All was accomplished, and by the Baptist rebuk'd with the loss of his head. Though doubtless that stav'd not the various difcourfes of men upon the fact, which while the *Herodian* flatterers, and not a few perhaps among the Pharifees, endeavour'd to defend by wresting the Law, it might be a means to bring the Question of Divorce into a hot agitation among the People, how far Moses gave allowance. The Pharitees therfore knowing our Saviour to be a friend of John the Baptist, and no doubt but having heard much of his Sermon in the mount, wherin he spake rigidly against the licence of Divorce, they put him this Question, both in hope to find him a Contradicter of Moses, and a Condemner of Herod; so to infnare him within compass of the same accusation which had ended his friend; and our Saviour to orders his Answer, as that they might perceive Herod and his Adulteress, only not nam'd: so lively it concern'd them both what he spake. No wonder then if the sentence of our Saviour sounded stricter than his custom was; which his conscious attempters doubtless apprehended fooner than his other Auditors. Thus much we gain from hence to inform us, that what Christ intends to speak here of Divorce, will be rather the forbidding of what we may not do herein paffionately and abufively, as Herod and Herodias did, than the difcuffing of what herein we may do reasonably and necessarily.

Is it lawful for a man to put away bis Wife?] It might be rendered more exactly from the Greek, to loofen or to fet free; which tho' it feem to have a milder fignification than the two Hebrew words commonly us'd for divorce, yet interpreters have noted, that the Greekalfo is read in the Septuagint, for an act which is not without constraint. As when Achish drove from his presence David, counterfeiting madness. Plal. 34. the Greek word is the same with this here, to put away. And Erasmus quotes Hilary rendering it by an expression not so soft. Whence may be doubted, whether the Pharifees did not state this question in the strict right of the man, not tarrying for the wife's confent. And if our Saviour answer directly according to what was askt in the term of putting away, it will be questionable, whether the rigor of his fentence did not forbid only fuch putting away as is without mutual consent, in a violent and harsh manner, or without any reason but will, as the Which might be the cause that those christian Emperors sear'd Tetrarch did. not in their constitutions to dissolve Marriage by mutual consent; in that our Saviour feems here, as the case is most likely, not to condemn all divorce, but all injury and violence in divorce. But no injury can be done to them, who feek it, as the Ethics of Aristotle sufficiently prove. True it is, that an unjust thing may be done to one tho' willing, and fo may justly be forbidden: But divorce being in itself no unjust or evil thing, but only as it is join'd with injury, or lust; injury it cannot be at law, if confent be, and Aristotle err not. And lust it may as frequently not be, while charity hath the judging of fo many private grievances in a misfortun'd Wedloc, which may pardonably feek a redemption. But whether it be or not, the Law cannot difcern, or examine luft, so long as it walks from one lawful term to another, from Divorce to Marriage, both in themselves indifferent. For if the Law cannot take hold to punish many actions apparently covetous, ambitious, ingrateful, proud, how can it forbid and punish that for luft, which is but only furmis'd fo, and can no more be certainly prov'd in the divorcing now, than before in the marrying? Whence if Divorce be no unjust thing, but through lust, a cause not discernable by Law, as Law is wont to discern in other cases, and can be no injury, where confent is; there can be nothing in the equity of Law, why Divorce by confent may not be lawful: leaving secrecies to conscience, the thing which our Saviour here aims to rectify, not to revoke the statutes of Moses. In the mean while the word to put away, being in the Greek to loosen or dissolve, utterly takes away that vain papiftical diffinction of divorce from bed, and divorce from bond, evincing plainly, that Christ and the Pharisees mean here that divorce which finally diffolves the bond, and frees both parties to a fecond Marriage.

For every cause.] This the Pharisees held, that for every cause they might divorce, for every accidental cause, and quarrel of difference that might happen. So both Josephus and Philo, men who liv'd in the same age, explain; and the Syriac translator, whose antiquity is thought parallel to the Evangelists themselves, reads it conformably upon any occasion or pretence. Divines also generally agree that thus the Pharifees meant. Cameron a late Writer, much applauded commenting this place not undiligently, affirms that the Greek preposition nall translated unusually (for) hath a force in it implying the fuddenness of those Pharifaic divorces; and that their quefton was to this effect, whether for any cause whateverit chanced to be, straight as it rose, the divorce might be lawful. This he freely gives, whatever mov'd him, and I as freely take, nor can deny his observation to be acute and learn'd. If therfore we infift upon the word of putting away, that it imports a constraint without consent, as might be infifted, and may enjoy what Cameron bestows on us, that for every cause is to be understood, according as any cause may happen, with a relation to the speediness of those divorces, and that Herodian act especially, as is already brought us, the fentence of our Saviour will appear nothing to strict a prohibition as hath been long conceiv'd, forbidding only to divorce for cafual and temporary causes, that may be foon ended, or foon remedied; and likewife forbidding to divorce rafhly, and on the fudden heat, except it be for adultery. If these qualifications may be admitted, as partly we offer them, partly are offered them by some of their own opinion, and that where nothing is repugnant, why they should not be admitted, nothing can wrest them from us, the severe sentence of our Saviour will straight unbend the feeming frown into that gentlenefs and compassion which was so abundant in all his actions, his office and his doctrine, from all which otherwife it stands

off at no mean distance.

Ver. 4. And he answered and said unto them, have ye not read that he which made them at the beginning, made them Male and Female?

Ver. 5. And said, for this cause shall a man leave Father and Mother, and shall

cleave to his Wife, and they twain shall be one flesh.

Ver. 6. Wherefore they are no more twain, but one flesh: What therfore God hath joined together, let no man put asunder.

4, and 5. Made them male and female; And faid, for this cause, &c.] We see it here undeniably, that the Law which our Saviour cites to prove that divorce was forbidden, is not an absolute and tyrannical command without reason, as now-a-days we make it little better, but is grounded upon some rational cause not difficult to be apprehended, being in a matter which equally concerns the meanest and the plainest fort of persons in a houshold life. Our next way then will be to enquire if there be not more reasons than one; and if there be, whether this be the best and chiefest. That we shall find by turning to the first institution, to which Christ refers our own reading: He himfelf having to deal with treacherous affailants, ufeth brevity, and lighting on the first place in Genesis that mentions any thing tending to Marriage in the first chapter, joins it immediately to the 24th verse of the 2d chapter, omitting all the prime words between, which create the institution, and contain the nobleft and purest ends of Matrimony; without which attain'd, that conjunction hath nothing in it above what is common to us with beafts. So likewise beneath in this very chapter, to the young man who came not tempting him, but to learn of him, asking him which commandments he should keep; he neither repeats the first Table, nor all the fecond, nor that in order which he repeats. If here then being tempted, he defire to be the shorter, and the darker in his Conference, and omit to cite that from the fecond of Genefis, which all Divines confess is a Commentary to what he cites out of the first, the making them Male and Female: what are we to do, but to fearch the institution our selves? And we shall find there his own authority, giving other manner of reasons why such firm union is to be in Matrimony; without which reasons, their being male and female can be no cause of joining them unseparably: for if it be, then no Adultery can sever. Therfore the prohibition of Divorce depends not upon this reason here exprest to the Pharisees, but upon the plainer and more eminent causes omitted here, and referr'd to the institution; which causes not being found in a particular and casual Matrimony, this sensitive and materious cause alone can no more hinder a divorce against those higher and more human reasons urging it, than it can alone without them to warrant a copulation, but leaves arbitrary to those who in their chance of Marriage find not why Divorce is forbid them, but why it is permitted them; and find both here and in Genefis, that the forbidding is not absolute, but according to the reasons there taught us, not here. And that our Saviour taught them no better, but uses the most vulgar, most animal and corporal argument to convince them, is first to shew us, that as thro' their licentious Divorces they made no more of Marriage than, as if to marry were no more than to be male and female, fo he goes no higher in his confutation, deeming them unworthy to be talk'd with in a higher strain, but to be ty'd in Marriage by the meer material cause therof, since their own licence testify'd that nothing matrimonial was in their thought, but to be male and female. Next, it might be done to discover the brute ignorance of these carnal doctors, who taking on them to dispute of Marriage and Divorce, were put to filence with fuch a flender opposition as this, and outed from their hold with scarce one quarter of an argument. That we may believe this, his entertainment of the young man foon after may perfuade us. Whom, tho' he came to preach eternal life by faith only, he difmiffes with a falvation taught him by his works only. On which place Paraus notes, That this man was tobe convine'd by a false persuasion; and that Christ is wont otherwise to answer hypocrites, otherwise those that are docible. Much rather then may we think that in handling these tempters he forgot not fo to frame his prudent ambiguities and concealments, as was to the troubling of those peremptory disputants most wholesome. When therfore we would know what right there may be, in ill accidents, to divorce, we must repair thither where God professes to teach his Servants by the prime institution, and not where we fee him intending to dazle Sophisters: we must not read, be made them Male and Female, and not understand he made them more intendedly a meet kelp to remove the evil of being alone. We must take both these together, and then we may inter compleatly, as from the whole cause, why a man shall cleave to his wife, and thev Vol. I.

they twain shall be one flesh: but if the full and chief cause why we may not divorce be wanting here, this place may skirmish with the Rabbies while it will, but to the true Christian it prohibits nothing beyond the full reason of its own prohi-

biting, which is best known by the institution.

Ver. 6. Wherfore they are no more twain, but one flesh.] This is true in the general right of Marriage, but not in the chance-medley of every particular match. For if they who were once undoubtedly one flesh, yet become twain by adultery, then fure they who were never one flesh rightly, never helps meet for each other according to the plain prescript of God, may with less ado than a volume be concluded still twain. And so long as we account a Magistrate no Magistrate, if there be but a flaw in his election, why should we not much rather count a Matrimony no Matrimony, if it cannot be in any reasonable manner according to the words of God's institution?

What therfore God bath joined, let no man put afunder.] But here the Christian prudence lies to consider what God hath join'd; shall we say that God hath join'd error, fraud, unfitness, wrath, contention, perpetual loneliness, perpetual discord; whatever lust, or wine, or witchery, threat, or inticement, avarice, or ambition hath joined together, faithful with unfaithful, Christian with Antichristian, hate

with hate, or hate with love, shall we say this is God's joining?

Let not man put afunder.] That is to fay, what God hath join'd; for if it be, as how oft we fee it may be, not of God's joining, and his Law tells us he joins not unmatchable things, but hates to join them, as an abominable confusion, then the divine law of Moses puts them as afunder, his own divine will in the institution puts them as an abominable confusion, then the divine law of Moses puts them as an abominable confusion, then the divine law of Moses puts them as afunder, his own divine will in the institution puts them as an abominable confusion, then the divine will in the institution puts them as an abominable confusion, his violence, his injury makes the breach: not extant, for which only God ordain'd their joining. Man only puts as afunder when his inordinate defires, his passion, his violence, his injury makes the breach: not when the utter want of that which lawfully was the end of his joining, when wrongs and extremities and unsupportable grievances compel him to disjoin: when such as Herod and the Pharisees divorce beside law, or against law, then only man separates, and to such only this prohibition belongs. In a word, if it be unlawful for man to put as a funder that which God hath join'd, letman take heed it be not detestable to join that by compulsion which God hath put as a funder.

Ver. 7. They say unto him, Why did Moses then command to give a writing of di-

vorcement, and to put her away?

Ver. 8. He faith unto them, Moses because of the hardness of your hearts suffer'd you to put away your wives; but from the beginning it was not so.

Moses because of the hardness of your hearts suffer'd you.] Hence the Divinity now current argues that this judicial Moses is abolish'd. But suppose it were so, tho' it hath been prov'd otherwise, the firmness of such right to divorce as here pleads is fetch'd from the prime institution, does not stand or fall with the judicial Jew, but is as moral as what is moralest. Yet as I have shewn positively that this law cannot be abrogated, both by the words of our Saviour pronouncing the contrary, and by that unabolishable equity which it conveys tous; fo I shall now bring to view those appearances of strength which are levied from this text to maintain the most gross and massy paradox that ever did violence to reason and religion, bred only under the shadow of these words, to all other Piety or Philosophy strange and insolent, that God by act of law drew out a line of Adultery almost two thousand years long: altho' to detect the prodigy of this furmife, the former book fet forth on this argument hath already been copious. I shall not repeat much, tho' I might borrow of mine own; but shall endeavour to add fomethingeither yet untouch'd, or not largely enough explain'd. First, it shall be manifest that the common exposition cannot possibly consist with chriflian doctrine: next, a truer meaning of this our Saviour's reply shall be left in the room. The receiv'd exposition is, that God, tho' not approving, did enactalaw to permit adultery by divorcement fimply unlawful. And this conceit they feed with fond Supposals that have not the least sooting in Scripture: As that the Jews learnt this custom of divorce in Egypt, and therfore God would not unteach it them till Christ came, but let it flick as a notorious botch of deformity in the midft of his most perfect and severe law. And yet he faith, Levit. the 18th, After the doings of Egypt ye shall not do. Another while they invent a flander (as what thing more bold than teaching Ignorance when he shifts to hide his nakedness?) that the Jews were naturally to their

wives the cruellest men in the world; would poison, brain, and do I know not what if they might not divorce. Certain, if it were a fault heavily punish'd, to bring an evil report upon the land which God gave, what is it to raife a ground efs calumny against the people which God made choice of? But that this bold interpretament, how commonly foever fided with, cannot fland a minute with any competent reverence to God or his Law, or his People, nor with any other maxim of religion, or good manners, might be prov'd thro' all the heads and the Topics of argumentation; but I shall willingly be as concise as possible. First the Law, not only the moral, but the judicial, given by Moses, is just and pure; for such is God who gave it. Hearken O Ifrael, faith Moses, Deut. 4. unto the statutes and the judgments which I teach you, to do them, that ye may live, &c. It shall not add unto the word which I command you, neither shall ye diminish aught from it, that ye may keep the commandments of the Lord your God which I command you. And onward in the chapter, Behold, I have taught you statutes and judgments, even as the Lord my God commanded me. Keep therfore and do them, for this is your wisdom and your understanding. For what nation bath God so nigh unto them, and what nation bath statutes and judgments so righteous as all this law which I fet before ye this day? Is it imaginable there should be among these a law which God allow'd not, a law giving permissions laxative to unmarry a wife and marry a luft, alaw to fuffer a kind of tribunal adultery? Many other Scriptures might be brought to affert the purity of this judicial Law, and many I have alledg'd before; this law therfore is pure and just. But if it permit, if it teach, if it defend that which is both unjust and impure, as by the common doctrine it doth, what think we? The three general doctrines of Justinian's Law, are To live in bonesty, To burt no man, To give every one his due. Shall the Roman Civil law observe these threethings, as the only end of law, and shall a statute be found in the civil law of God, enacted fumply and totally against all these three precepts of nature and morality?

Secondly, The gifts of God are all perfect, and certainly the Law is of all his other gifts one of the perfecteft. But if it give that outwardly which it takes away really, and give that feemingly, which, if a man take it, wraps him into fin and damns him; what gift of an enemy can be more dangerous and destroying than this?

Thirdly, Moses every-where commends his Laws, prefers them before all of other Nations, and warrants them to be the way of Life and Safety to all that walk therin, Levit. 18. But if they contain Statutes which God approves not, and train men unweeting to commit injuffice and adultery under the shelter of Law; if those things be fin, and death sin's wages, what is this Law but the snare of death?

Fourthly, The Statutes and Judgments of the Lord, which, without exception, are often told us to be fuch, as doing we may live by them, are doubtless to be counted the rule of knowledge and of confcience. For I had not known lust, faith the Apostle, but by the law. But if the Law come down from the state of her incorruptible Majesty to grant lust his boon, palpably it darkens and confounds both knowledge and confcience; it goes against the common office of all goodness and friendliness, which is at least to counsel and admonish; it subverts the rules of all sober education, and is itself a most negligent and debauching Tutor.

Fifthly, If the Law permits a thing unlawful, it permits that which else-where it hath forbid; so that hereby it contradicts it self, and transgresses it self. But if the Law become a transgressor, it stands guilty to itself, and how then shall it save another? It makes a confederacy with sin, how then can it justly condemn a sinner? And thus reducing itself to the state of neither saving nor condemning, it will not

Sixthly, The Prophets in Scripture declare feverely against the decreeing of that which is unjust, Pfal. 94. 20. Ifaiah the 10th. But it was done, they say, for hardness of heart: To which objection the Apostle's rule, not to do evil that good may cometherby, gives an invincible repulse; and here especially, where it cannot be shewn how any good came by doing this evil, how rather more evil did not hereon abound; for the giving way to hardness of heart hardens the more, and adds more to the number. God to an evil and adulterous generation would not grant a sign; much less would he for their hardness of heart pollute his Law with adulterous permission. Yea, but to permit evil, is not to do evil. Yes, it is in a most eminent manner to do evil: where else are all our grave and faithful sayings, that he whose office is to forbidand forbids not, bids, exhorts, encourages? Why hath God denounced his anger against Parents, Masters, Friends, Magistrates neglectful of forbidding what they ought, if Law, the common Father, Master, Friend, and perpetual Magistrates shall not

fail to expire folemnly ridiculous.

only not forbid, but enact, exhibit, and uphold with countenance and protection, a deed every way dishonest, whatever the pretence be. If it were of those inward vices, which the Law cannot by outward constraint remedy, but leaves to conscience and persuasion, it had been guiltless in being silent: but to write a Decree of that which can be no way lawful, and might with ease be hinder'd, makes Law by the doom of Law it self accessory in the highest degree.

Seventhly, It makes God the direct Author of Sin: For altho'he be not made the Author of what he filently permits in his Providence, yet in his Law, the image of his Will, when in plain expression he constitutes and ordains a fact utterly unlawful; what wants he to authorize it, and what wants that to be the author?

Eighthly, To establish by Law a thing wholly unlawful and dishonest, is an affirmation was never heard of before in any Law, Reafon, Philosophy, or Religion, till it was rais'd by inconfiderate Gloffists from the mistake of this Text. And tho' the Civilians have been contented to chew this opinion, after the Canon had fubdu'd them, yet they never could bring example or authority either from divine Writ, or human Learning, or human Practice in any Nation, or well-form'd Republic, but only from the customary abuse of this text. Usually they allege the Epistle of Cicero to Atticus; wherin Cato is blam'd for giving sentence to the scum of Romulus, as if he were in Plato's Commonwealth. Cato would have call'd fome great one into judgment for Bribery; Cicero, as the time stood, advis'd against it, Cato, not to endamage the public Treafury, would not grant to the Roman Knights, that the Afian Taxes might be farm'd them at a less rate. Cicero wish'd it grant-Nothing in all this will be like the establishing of a Law to sin: Here are no Laws made, here only the execution of Law is crav'd might be suspended: between which and our question is a broad difference. And what if human Lawgivers have confest they could not frame their Laws to that Perfection which they defir'd? We hear of no fuch confession from Moses concerning the Laws of God, but rather all praise and high testimony of perfection given them. And altho' man's nature cannot bear exactest Laws, yet still within the confines of good it may and must, to long as less good is far enough from altogether evil. As for what they instance of Usury, let them first prove Usury to be wholly unlawful, as the Law allows it; which learned Men as numerous on the other fide will deny them. Or if it be altogether unlawful, why is it tolerated more than Divorce? He who faid, Divorce not, faid also, Lend, hoping for nothing again, Luk. 6. 35. But then they put in, that Trade could not stand, and so to serve the commodity of infatiable trading, Usury shall be permitted; but Divorce, the only means of times to right the innocent and outragiously wrong'd, shall be utterly forbid. This is egregious doctrine, and for which one day Charity will much thank them. Beza not finding how to falve this perplexity, and Cameron fince him, would fecure us; although the latter confesses, that to permit a wicked thing by law, is a wickedness which God abhors; yet to limit sin, and prescribe it a certain measure, is good. First, this evasion will not help here; for this Law bounded no man; he might put away whatever found not favour in his eyes. And how could it forbid to divorce, whom it could not forbid to dislike, or command to love? If these be the limits of Lawto restrain sin, who to lame a finner but may hop over them more eafily than over those Romulean circumfcriptions, not as *Remus* did with hard fuccefs, but with all indemnity? Such a limiting as this were not worth the mischief that accompanies it. This Law therfore not bounding the supposed sin, by permitting enlarges it, gives it enfranchisement. And never greater confusion, than when Law and Sin move their Landmarks, mix their Territories, and correspond, have intercourse and traffic together. When Law contracts a kindred and hospitality with Transgression, becomes the Godfather of Sin, and names it lawful; when fin revels, and goffips within the Arsenal of Law, plays and dandles the Artillery of Justice that should be bent against her, this is a fair limitation indeed. Befides, it is an abfurdity to fay that Law can measure sin, or moderate sin; sin is not in a predicament, to be measur'd and modify'd, but is always an excess. The least finthat is, exceeds the measure of the largest Law that can be good; and is as boundless as that vacuity beyond the world. If once it square to the measure of Law, it ceases to be an excess, and consequently ceases to be a sin; or else Law conforming itself to the obliquity of sin, betrays itself to be not streight, but crooked, and so immediately no Law. And the improper conceit of moderating sin by Law, will appear, if we can imagine any Law-giver so fensless as to decree that so far a man may steal, and thus far be drunk, that moderately he may couzen, and moderately commit adultery. To the fame extent it would

be as pithily abfurd to pubifh that a man may moderately divorce, if to do that be intirely naught. But to end this moot, the Law of Moses is manifest to fix no limit therin at all, or fuch at least as impeaches the fraudulent abuser no more than if it were not fet; only requires the difmissive writing without other caution, leaves that to the inner man, and the bar of Conscience. But it stopt other sins. This is as vain as the rest, and dangerously uncertain: the contrary to be fear'd rather, that one fin admitted courteoufly by Law, open'd the gate to another. However, evil must not be done for good. And it were a fall to be lamented, and indignity unfpeakable, if Law should become tributary to sin her slave, and forc'd to yield up into his hands her awful Minister, Punishment, should buy out her peace with fin for fin, paying as it were her fo many Philistian foreskins to the proud demand of Transgression. But suppose it any way possible to limit Sin, to put a girdle about that Chaos, suppose it also good; yet if to permit fin by Law be an abomination in the eyes of God, as Cameron acknowledges, the evil of permitting will eat out the good of limiting. For though fin be not limited, there can but evil come out of evil; but if it be permitted and decreed lawfully by divine Law, of force then fin must proceed from the infinite Good, which is a dreadful thought. But if the restraining of fin by this permission being good, as this author testifies, be more good than the permission of more sin by the restraint of Divorce, and that God weighing both these like two ingots, in the perfect scales of his Justice and Providence, found them fo, and others coming without authority from God, shall change this counterpoife, and judge it better to let fin multiply by fetting a judicial reftraint upon divorce, which Christ never set; then to limit sin by this permission, as God himself thought best to permit it, it will behove them to consult betimes whether these their ballances be not false and abominable; and this their limiting that which God loofen'd, and their loofening the fins that he limited, which they confefs was good to do: and were it possible to do by Law, doubtless it would be most morally good; and they fo believing, as we hear they do, and yet abolishing a Law fo good and moral, the limiter of fin, what are they elfe but contrary to themfelves? For they can never bring us to that time wherin it will not be good to limit fin, and they can never limit it better than fo as God prescribed in his Law.

Others conceive it a more defencible retirement to fay this permission to divorce finfully for hardness of heart was a dispensation. But furely they either know not or attend not to what a dispensation means. A dispensation is for no long time, is particular to some persons, rather than general to whole people; always hath Charity the end, is granted to necessities and infirmities, not to obstinate lust. This permission is another creature, hath all those evils and abfurdities following the name of a dispension fation, as when it was nam'd a Law; and is the very antarctic pole against Charity, nothing more adverse, enfuaring and ruining those that trust in it, or use it; so leud and criminous as never durst enter into the head of any Politician, Jew, or Proselyte, till they became the apt Scholars of this Canonistic Exposition. Aught in it, that can allude in the least manner to Charity, or Goodness, belongs with more full right to the Christian under Grace and Liberty, than to the Jew under Law and Bondage. To Jewish ignorance it could not be differented, without a horrid imputation laid upon the Law, to dispense foully, instead of teaching fairly; like that dispensation that first polluted Christendom with Idolatry, permitting to laymen Images instead of Books and Preaching. Sloth or malice in the Law would they have this call'd? But what ignorance can be pretended of the Jews, who had all the same Precepts about Marriage, that we now? for Christ refers all to the institution. It was as reasonable for them to know then as for us now, and concern'd them alike: for wherin hath the Gospel alter'd the nature of Matrimony? All these considerations, or many of them, have been further amplify'd in the Doctrine of Divorce. And what Rivetus and Paræus have objected, or given over as past cure, hath been there dif-Wherby it may be plain enough to men of eyes, that the vulgar excufs'd. position of a permittance by Law to an entire sin, whatever the colour may be, is an opinion both ungodly, unpolitic, unvirtuous, and void of all honesty and civil sense. It appertains therfore to every zealous Christian both for the honour of God's Law, and the vindication of our Saviour's Words, that fuch an irreligious depravement no longer may be footh'd and flatter'd through custom, but with all diligence and speed solidly refuted, and in the room a better explanation given; which is now our next endeavour.

Moses suffered you to put away, &c.] Not commanded you, fays the common obferver, and therfore car'd not how foon it were abolish'd, being but suffer'd; herein declaring his annotation to be flight, and nothing law-prudent. For in this place commanded and suffer'd are interchangeably us'd in the same sense both by our Saviour and the Pharifees. Our Saviour, who here faith, Moses suffer'd you, in the 10th of Mark faith, Moses wrote you this Command. And the Pharisees who here say, Moses commanded, and would mainly have it a command, in that place of Mark fay Moses suffered, which had made against them in their own mouths, if the word of suffering had weaken'd the command. So that suffer'd and commanded is here taken for the same thing on both sides of the controversy: as Cameron also and others on this place acknowledge. And Lawyers know that all the precepts of Law are divided into obligatory and permissive, containing either what we must do, or what we may do; and of this latter fort are as many precepts as of the former, and all as lawful. Tutelage, an ordainment than which nothing more just, being for the defence of Orphans, the Institutes of Justinian say is given and permitted by the Civil Law: and to Parents it is permitted to choose and appoint by will the Guardians of their Children. What more equal, and yet the Civil Law calls this permission. So likewife to manumise, to adopt, to make a Will, and to be made an Heir, is called permission by Law. Marriage itself, and this which is already granted, to divorce for Adultery, obliges no man, is but a permission by Law, is but suffer'd. By this we may fee how weakly it hath been thought that all Divorce is utterly unlawful, because the Law is said to suffer it: whenas to suffer is but the legal phrase

denoting what by Law a Man may do or not do.

4

Because of the bardness of your bearts.] Hence they argue that therfore he allow'd it not; and therfore it must be abolisht. But the contrary to this will sooner follow, that because he suffer'd it for a cause, therfore in relation to that cause he allow'd it. Next, if he in his wisdom, and in the midst of his severity allow'd it for hardness of heart, it can be nothing better than arrogance and prefumption to take stricter courses against hardness of heart, than God ever set an example; and that under the Gospel, which warrants them to no judicial act of compulsion in this matter, much less to be more fevereagainst hardness of extremity, than God thought good to be against hardness of heart. He suffer'd it, rather than worse inconveniences; these men wiser, as they make themselves, will suffer the worst and heinousest inconveniences to follow, rather than they will fuffer what God fuffer'd. Altho' they can know when they pleafe, that Christ spake only to the Conscience, did not judge on the civil bench, but always disavow'd it. What can be more contrary to the ways of God than these their doings? If they be fuch enemies to hardness of heart, altho' this groundless rigor proclaims it to be in themselves, they may yet learn, or consider that hardness of heart hath a twofold acceptation in the Gospel. One, when it is in a good man taken for infirmity, and imperfection, which was in all the Apostles, whose weakness only, not utter want of belief, is call'd hardness of heart, Mark 16. Partly for this hardness of heart, the imperfection and decay of man from original righteousness, it was that God fuffer'd not Divorce only, but all that which by Civilians is term'd the fecondary Law of Nature and of Nations. He fuffer'd his own People to wafte and fpoil and flay by War, to lead captives, to be fome mafters, fome fervants, some to be Princes, others to be Subjects; he fuffered propriety to divideall thingsby feveral possession, trade and commerce, not without usury; in his commonwealth some to be undefervedly rich, others to be undefervedly poor. All which till hardness of heart came in, was most unjust; whenas prime Nature made us all equal, made us equal coheirs by common right and dominion over all creatures. In the fame manner, and for the same cause he suffer'd Divorce as well as Marriage, our impersect and degenerate condition of necessity requiring this Lawamong the rest, as a remedy against intolerable wrong and fervitude above the patience of man to bear. Nor was it given only because our infirmity, or if it must be so call'd, hardness of heart could not endure all things; but because the hardness of another's heart might not inflict all things upon an innocent person, whom far other ends brought into a league of love, and not of bondage and indignity. If therfore we abolish Divorce as only suffer'd for hardness of heart, we may as well abolish the whole Law of Nations, as only suffer'd for the fame cause, it being shewn us by S. Paul, I Cor. 6. that the very seeking of a man's right by Law, and at the hands of a worldly Magistrate, is not without the hardness of our hearts. For why do ye not rather take wrong, faith he, why fuffer ye not rather your felves to be defrauded? If nothing now must be suffer'd for hardness of heart, I say the very profecution of our right by way of civil Justice can no more be fuffer'd among

Chri.

Christians, for the hardness of heart wherwith most men pursue it. And that would next remove all our judicial Laws, and this restraint of Divorce also in the number; which would more than half end the controversy. But if it be plain that the whole juridical Law and Civil Power is only suffer'd under the Gospel, for the hardness of our hearts, then wherfore should not that which Moses suffer'd, be suffer'd still by the same reason?

In a second fignification hardness of heart is taken for a stubborn resolution to do evil. And that God ever makes any Law purposely to such, I deny; for he youch safes not to enter Covenant with them, but as they fortune to be mixt with good men, and pass undiscover'd; much less that he should decree an unlawful thing only to ferve their licentiousness. But that God suffers this reprodute hardness of heart I affirm, not only in this law of Divorce, but throughout all his best and purest Commandments. He commands all to worship in singleness of heart according to all his Ordinances; and yet fuffers the wicked man to perform all the rites of Religion hypocritally, and in the hardness of his heart. He gives us general statutes and privileges in all civil matters, just and good of themselves, yet suffers unworthieft men to use them, and by them to profecute their own right, or any colour of right, tho' for the most part maliciously, covetously, rigorously, revengefully. He allow'd by law the different Father and Husband to forbid, if he thought ht, the religious vows of his wife or daughter, Numb. 30. and in the same law suffer'd the hard-heartedness of impious and covetous fathers or husbands abusing this law to forbid their wives or daughters in their offerings and devotions of greateft zeal. If then God fuffer hardness of heart equally in the best Laws, as in this of Divorce, there can be no reason that for this cause this Law should be abolished. But other Laws, they object, may be well us'd, this never. How often shall I answer both from the institution of Marriage, and from other general rules in Scripture, that this Law of Divorce hath many wife and charitable ends besides the being suffer'd for hardness of heart; which is indeed no end, but an accident hapning through the whole Law; which gives to good men right, and to bad men, who abuse right under false pretences, gives only sufferance. Now although Christ express no other reasons here, but only what was suffer'd, it nothing follows that this Law had no other reason to be permitted but for hardness of heart. The Scripture seldomor never in one place fets down all the reafons of what it grants or commands, especially when it talks to enemies and tempters. St. Paul permitting Marriage, 1 Cor. 7. feems to permit even that also for hardness of heart only, lest we should run into fornication; yet no intelligent man thence concludes Marriage allow'd in the Gospel only to avoid an evil, because no other end is there exprest. Thus *Moses* of necessity suffer'd many to put away their wives for hardness of heart; but enacted the Law of Divorce doubtless for other good causes, not for this only sufferance. He permitted not Divorce by law as an evil, for that was impossible to divine Law, but permitted by accident the evil of them who divore'd against the Law's intention undiscoverably. This also may be thought not improbable, that Christ, stirr'd up in his spirit against these tempting Pharifees, answer'd them in a certain form of indignation usual among good authors; wherby the question, or the truth is not directly answer'd, but fomething which is fitter for them, who ask, to hear. So in the Ecclesiastical ftories, one demanding how God imploy'd himfelf before the world was made? had anfwer, that he was making hell for curious questioners. Another (and Libanius the Sophift, as I remember) asking in derision some Christian, What the Carpenter, meaning our Saviour, was doing, now that Julian to prevail'd? had it return'd him, that the Carpenter was making a coffin for the Apollate. So Christ being demanded muliciously why Moses made the Law of Divorce, answers them in a vehement scheme, not telling them the cause why he made it, but what was fittest to be told them, that for the hardness of their hearts he suffer'd them to abuse it. And albeit Mark fay not he fuffer'd you, but to you he wrote this precept; Mark may be warrantably expounded by Matthew the larger. And whether he fuffer'd, or gave precept, being all one as was heard, it changes not the trope of indignation, fittest account for such askers. Next, for the hardness of your hearts, to you he wrote this precept, infers not therfore for this cause only he wrote it, as was parallell'd by other Scriptures. Lastly, It may be worth the observing, that Christ fpeaking to the Pharifees, does not fay in general that for hardness of heart he gave this precept, but you be fuffered, and to you be gave this precept for your hardnefs of heart. It cannot be eafily thought that Christ here included all the children of Ifrael under the person of these tempting Pharisees, but that he conceals; wherfore he gave the better fort of them this Law, and expresses by saying em-K kVol. I. phatically

phatically To you how he gave it to the worfer, fuch as the Pharifees best represented, that is to fay, for the hardness of your hearts: as indeed to wicked men and hardned hearts he gives the whole Law and the Gospel also, to harden them the more. Thus many ways it may orthodoxally be understood how God or Moses fuffer'd fuch as the demanders were, to divorce for hardness of heart. Wheras the vulgar Expositor, beset with contradictions and absurdities round, and resolving at any peril to make an expolition of it, as there is nothing more violent and boifterous than a reverend ignorance in fear to be convicted, rushes brutely and impetuously against all the principles both of Nature, Piety, and moral Good-

ness; and in the fury of his literal expounding overturns them all.

But from the beginning it was not so.] Not how from the beginning? Do they suppose that men might not divorce at all, not necessarily, not deliberately, except for Adultery, but that fome law, like canon law, presently attacht them both before and after the flood, till stricter Moses came, and with law brought licence into the world? that were a fancy indeed to fmile at. Undoubtedly as to point of judicial Law, Divorce was more permissive from the beginning before Moses than under Moses. But from the beginning, that is to say, by the institution in Paradise, it was not intended that Matrimony should dissolve for every trivial eause, as you Pharifees accustom. But that it was not thus fuffer'd from the beginning ever since the race of men corrupted, and Laws were made, he who will affirm, mutt have found out other antiquities than are yet known. Befides, we must consider now, what can be so as from the beginning, not only what should be so. In the beginning, had men continu'd perfect, it had been just that all things should have remain'd, as they began to Adam and Eve. But after that the Sons of Men grew violent and injurious, it alter'd the lore of justice, and put the government of things into a new frame. While man and woman were both perfect each to other, there needed no Divorce; but when they both degenerated to imperfection, and oft-times grew to be an intolerable evil each to other, then Law more justly did permit the alienating of that evil which mistake made proper, than it did the appropriating of that good which Nature at first made common. For if the absence of outward good be not fo bad as the presence of a close evil, and that propriety, whether by covenant or possession, be but the attainment of some outward good, it is more natural and righteous that the Law should sever us from an intimate evil, than appropriate any outward good to us from the Community of nature. The Gofpel indeed tending ever to that which is perfecteft, aim'd at the restorement of all things as they were in the beginning, and therfore all things were in common to those primitive Christians in the Acts, which Ananias and Sapphira dearly felt. That custom also continu'd more or less till the time of Justin Martyr, as may be read in his fecond Apology, which might be writ after that act of communion perhaps fome forty years above a hundred. But who will be the man that shall introduce this kind of Commonwealth, as Christianity now goes? If then Marriage must be as in the beginning, the persons that marry must be such as then were; the inflitution must make good, in some tolerable fort, what it promises to either party. If not, it is but madness to drag this one Ordinance back to the beginning, and draw down all other to the prefent necessity and condition, far from the beginning, even to the tolerating of extortions and oppressions. Christ only told us that from the beginning it was not fo; that is to fay, not fo as the Pharifees manur'd the bufiness; did not command us that it should be forcibly so again in all points, as at the beginning; or fo at least in our intentions and defires, but so in execution, as reason and present nature can bear. Although we are not to feek, that the institution it self from the first beginning was never but conditional, as all Covenants are: because thus and thus, therfore so and so; if not thus, then not fo. Then moreover was perfecteft to fulfil each Law in it felf; now is perfecteft in this estate of things, to ask of charity how much law may be fulfill'd: else the fulfilling oft-times is the greatest breaking. If any therfore demand, which is now most perfection, to ease an extremity by Divorce, or to enrage and fester it by the grievous observance of a miserable Wedloc, I am not destitute to fay which is most perfection, (although some who believe they think favourably of Divorce, effeem it only venial to infirmity. Him I hold more in the way to perfection who forgoes an unfit, ungodly, and diffeordant Wedloc, to live according to peace and love, and God's inftitution in a fitter choice, than he who debars himself the happy experience of all godly, which is peaceful convertation in his family, to live a contentious, and unchristian life not to be avoided, in temptations not to be liv'd in, only for the false keeping of amost unreal nullity, a Marriage that hath no affinity affinity with God's intention, a daring phantasin, a meer toy of terror awing weak senses, to the lamentable superstition of ruining themselves; the remedy wherof God in his Law vouchsases us. Which not to dare use, he warranting, is not our perfection, is our infirmity, our little saith, our timorous and low conceit of Charity: and in them who force us, it is their masking pride and vanity, to seem holier and more circumspect than God. So far is it that we need impute to him infirmity, who thus divorces: since the rule of perfection is not so much that which was done in the beginning, as that which now is nearest to the rule of charity. This is the greatest, the perfectest, the highest commandment.

Ver. 9. And I say unto you, Whoso shall put away his wife, except it he for Fornication, and shall marry another, committeth adultery; and whoso marrieth her which is put away, doth commit adultery.

And I say unto you.] That this restrictive denouncement of Christ contradicts and refutes that permissive precept of Moses, common Expositors themselves disclaim: and that it does not traverse from the Closet of Conscience to the Courts of Civil or Canon Law, with any Christian rightly commenc'd, requires not long evincing. If Christ then did not here check permissive Moses, nor did reduce Matrimony to the beginning more than all other things, as the reason of man's condition could bear, we would know precifely what it was which he did, and what the end was of his declaring thus aufterely against Divorce. For this is a confest Oracle in Law, that he who looks not at the intention of a Precept, the more fuperstitious he is of the letter, the more he misinterprets. Was it to shame Mofes? that had been monstrous: or all those purest Ages of Ifrael, to whom the Permission was granted? that were as incredible. Or was it that he who came to abrogate the burden of Law, not the equity, should put this yoke upon a blameless person, to league himself in chains with a begirting mischief, not to separate till death? He who raught us that no man puts a piece of new cloth upon an old garment, nor new wine into old bottles, that he should sew this patch of strictness upon the old apparel of our frailty, to make a rent more incurable, whenas in all other amendments his doctrine still charges, that regard be had to the garment, and to the veffel, what it can endure; this were an irregular and fingle piece of rigour, not only founding disproportion to the whole Gospel, but outstretching the most rigorous nerves of Law and Rigour it self. No other end therfore can be left imaginable of this excessive restraint, but to bridle those erroneous and licentious postillers the Pharisees; not by telling them what may be done in necessiaty, but what censure they deserve who divorce abusively, which their Tetrarch had done. And as the offence was in one extreme, fo the rebuke, to bring more efficaciously to a rectitude and mediocrity, stands not in the middle way of duty, but in the other extreme. Which art of powerful reclaiming, wifeft men have also taught in their ethical Precepts and Gnomologies, refembling it, as when we bend a crooked wand the contrary way; not that it should stand so bent, but that the overbending might reduce it to a ftraitness by its own reluctance. And as the Physician cures him who hath taken down poison, not by the middling temper of nourithment, but by the other extreme of Antidote, fo Christ administers here a fharp and corrofive fentence against a foul and putrid licence; not to eat into the flesh, but into the fore. And knowing that our Divines through all their Comments make no scruple, where they please, to soften the high and vehement speeches of our Saviour, which they call Hyperboles; why in this one Text should they be such crabbed Masorites of the letter, as not to mollify a transcendance of literal rigidity, which they confefs to find often elfewhere in his manner of delivery, but must make their exposition here such an obdurate Cyclops, to have but one eye for this Text, and that only open to cruelty and enthralment, fuch as no divine or human Law before ever heard of? No, let the foppish Canonist, with his fardel of matrimonial cases, go and be vendible where men be so unhappy as to cheapen him: the words of Christ shall be afferted from such elemental Notaries, and refolv'd by the now-only lawgiving mouth of charity; which may be done undoubtedly by understanding them as follows.

Whosever shall put away his wife.] That is to fay, shall so put away as the Propounders of this question, the Pharisees, were wont to do, and covertly defended slerod for so doing; whom to rebuke, our Saviour here mainly intends, and not to determine all the cases of Divorce, as appears by St. Paul. Whosoever shall put away, either violently without mutual consent for urgent reasons, or conspiringly by plot of lust, or cunning malice, shall put away for any sudden mood, or contingency of disagreement, which is Vol. I.

not daily practice, but may blow foon over, and be reconcil'd, except it be Fornication; whoseever shall put away rashly, as his choler prompts him, without due time of deliberating, and think his Conscience discharged only by the bill of Divorce given, and the outward Law fatisfy'd; whofoever, lastly, shall put away his Wife, that is a Wife indeed, and not in name only, such a one who both can and is willing to be a meet help toward the chief ends of Marriage both civil and fanctify'd, except Fornication be the cause, that Man, or that Pair, commit Adultery. Not he who puts away by mutual confent, with all the confiderations and respects of humanity and gentleness, without malicious or lustful drift. Not he who after fober and cool experience, and long debate within himfelf, puts away, whom though he cannot love or fuffer as a Wife, with that fincere affection that Marriage requires, yet loves at least with that civility and goodness, as not to keep her under a neglected and unwelcome refidence, where nothing can be hearty, and not being, it must needs be both unjoyous, and injurious to any perceiving person so detain'd, and more injurious than to be freely, and upon good terms difmift. Nor doth he put away adulterously who complains of causes rooted in immutable nature, utter unfitness, utter disconformity, not conciliable, becaute not to be amended without a miracle. Nor he who puts away an unquenchable vexation from his bofom, and flies an evil, than which a greater cannot befall human fociety. Nor he who puts away with the full fuffrage and applause of his conscience, not relying on the written bill of Law, but claiming by faith and fulnets of perswasion the rights and promises of God's institution, of which he finds himfelf in a mistaken wedloc defrauded. Doubtless this man hath bail enough to be no

Adulterer, giving Divorce for these causes.

His Wise.] This word is not to be idle here, a meer word without a sense, much less a fallacious word signifying contrary to what it pretends; but faithfully signifies a Wise, that is, a comfortable help and society, as God instituted; does not signify deceitfully under this name, an intolerable adversary, not a helpless, unaffectionate and sullen mass, whose very company represents the visible and exactest sigure of loneliness it self. Such an affociate he who puts away, divorces not a wise, but disjoins a nullity which God never join'd, if she be neither willing, nor to her proper and requisite duties sufficient, as the words of God insti-

tute her. And this also is Bucer's explication of this place.

Except it be for fornication, or saving for the cause of fornication, as Matt. 5.] This declares what kind of causes our Saviour meant; fornication being no natural and perpetual cause, but only accidental and temporary; therfore shews that head of causes from whence it is excepted, to be meant of the same fort. For exceptions are not logically deduc'd from a divers kind, as to say whoso puts away for any natural cause except Fornication, the exception would want salt. And it they understand it, whoso for any cause whatever, they cast themselves; granting Divorce for frigidity a natural cause of their own allowing, though not here express, and for desertion without insidelity, whenas he who marries, as they allow him for desertion, deserts as well as is deserted, and finally puts away for another cause besides Adultery. It will with all due reason therfore be thus better understood, whoso puts away for any accidental and temporary causes, except one of them, which is fornication. Thus this exception finds out the causes from whence it is excepted, to be of the same kind, that is casual, not continual.

Saving for the cause of fornication.] The New Testament, though it be said originally writ in Greek, yet hath nothing near fo many Atticifins as Hebraifins, and Syriacisms, which was the Majesty of God, not sitting the tongue of Scripture to a Gentilish Idiom, but in a princely manner offering to them as to Gentiles and Foreigners grace and mercy, though not in foreign words, yet in a foreign stile that might induce them to the fountains; and though their calling were high and happy, yet still to acknowledge God's ancient people their betters, and that language the Metropolitan language. He therfore who thinks to Scholiaze upon the Gospel, though Greek, according to his Greek Analogies, and hath not been Auditor to the Oriental dialects, shall want in the heat of his Analysis no accommodation to stumble. In this place, as the 5th of Matth. reads it, Saving for the cause of fornication, the Greek, fuch as it is, founds it, except for the word, report, speech, or proportion of fornication. In which regard, with other inducements, many ancient and learned Writers have understood this exception, as comprehending any fault equivalent and proportional to fornication. But truth is, the Evangelift here Hebraizes, taking word or speech for cause or metter in the common Restern phrase. meaning perhaps no more than if he had faid for fornication, as in this 19th chapter. And yet the word is found in the 5th of Exodus also signifying Proportion;

where

where the Israelites are commanded to do their tasks, the matter of each day in his day. A task we know is a proportion of work not doing the same thing absolutely every day, but so much. Wherby it may be doubtful yet, whether here be not excepted not only fornication it felf, but other causes equipollent, and proportional to fornication. Which very word also to understand rightly, we must of necessity have recourte again to the Hebrew. For in the Greek and Latin fense by fornication is meant the common proftitution of body for fale. So that they who are fo exact for the letter, shall be dealt with by the Lexicon, and the Etymologican too if they please, and must be bound to forbid Divorce for adultery also, until it come to open whoredom and trade, like that for which Claudius divorc'd Messalina. Since therfore they take not here the word fornication in the common fignificance, for an open exercise in the stews, but grant Divorce for one single act of privatest Adultery, notwithstanding that the word speaks a public and notorious frequency of fact, not without price; we may reason with as good leave, and as little straining to the text, that our Saviour on fet purpose chose this word Fornication, improperly apply'd to the lapse of Adultery, that we might not think our felves bound from all Divorce, except when that fault hath been actually committed. For the language of Scripture lignifies by fornication (and others besides St. Austin to expounded it) not only the trespass of Boly, nor perhaps that between married persons, unless in a degree or quality as shameless as the Bordello; but signifies also any notable disobedience, or intractable carriage of the Wife to the Hufband, as Judg. 19. 2. wherof at large in the Dottrine of Divorce, 1. 2. c. 18. Secondly, fignifies the apparent alienation of mind not to Idolatry, (which may feem to anfwer the act of Adultery) but far on this fide, to any point of will-worship, though to the true God; fometimes it notes the love of earthly things, or worldly pleafures, though in a right Believer, sometimes the least suspicion of unwitting Idolatry. As Numb. 15. 39. wilful difobedience to any the leaft of God's Commandment is call'd fornication, Pfal. 73. 26, 27. A distrust only in God, and withdrawing from that nearness of zeal and confidence which ought to be, is call'd fornication. We may be fure it could not import thus much less than Idolatry in the borrow'd me aphor between God and Man, unless it fignify'd as much less than Adultery in the ordinary acceptation between Man and Wife. Add also, that there was no need our Saviour should grant divorce for Adultery, it being death by Law, and Law then in force. Which was the cause why Joseph sought to put away his betrothed Wife privately, left he should make her an example of capital punishment, as learnedest Expounders affirm, Herod being a great zealot of the Mosaic Law, and the Pharifees great mafters of the Text, as the woman taken in Adultery doubtlets had cause to sear. Or if they can prove it was neglected, which they cannot do, why did our Saviour shape his Answer to the corruption of that age, and not rather tell them of their neglect? If they fay he came not to meddle with their Judicatures, much lefs then was it in his thought to make them new ones, or that Divorce should be judicially restrain'd in a stricter manner by these his words, more than Adultery judicially acquitted by those his words to the Adultress. His sentence doth no more by Law forbid Divorce here, than by Law it doth absolve Adultery there. To them therfore who have drawn this yoke upon Christians from his words thus wrested, nothing remains but the guilt of a presumption and perverseness, which will be hard for them to answer. Thus much that the word Fornication is to be understood as the Language of Christ understands it, for a constant alienation and disaffection of mind, or for the continual practice of disobedience and croffness from the duties of love and peace; that is in fum, when to be a tolerable Wife is either naturally not in their power, or obtlinately not in their will: and this Opinion also is St. Auflin's, lest it should hap to be fulpected of novelty. Yet grant the thing here meant were only Adultery, the reason of things will afford more to our affertion, than did the reason of words. For why is Divorce unlawful but only for Adultery? because, say they, that crime only breaks the Matrimony. But this, I reply, the Institution it self gainsays: for that which is most contrary to the words and meaning of the Institution, that most breaks the Matrimony; but a perpetual unmeetness and unwillingness to all the duties of Help, of Love, and Tranquillity, is most contrary to the words and meaning of the Inflitution; that therfore much more breaks Matrimony than the act of Adultery, though repeated. For this, as it is not felt, nor troubles him who perceives it not, so being perceiv'd, may be soon repented, foon amended, foon, if it can be pardon'd, may be redeem'd with the more ardent love and duty in her who hath the pardon. But this natural unmeetnefs both cannot be unknown long, and ever after cannot be amended, if it be natural, and will

not, if it be far gone obstinate. So that wanting aught in the instant to be as great a breach as adultery, it gains it in the perpetuity to be greater. Next, Adultery does not exclude her other fitnefs, her other pleafingnefs; she may be otherwise both loving and prevalent, as many Adulteresses be; but in this general unsitness or alienation she can be nothing to him that can please. In Adultery nothing is given from the Husband, which he misses, or enjoys the lefs, as it may be suttly given: but this unfitness defrauds him of the whole contentment which is fought in Wedloc. And what benefit to him, though nothing be given by the stealth of Adultery to another, if that which there is to give, whether it be folace, or fociety, be not such as may justly content him? and so not only deprives him of what it should give him, but gives him forrow and affliction, which it did not owe him. Besides, is Adultery the greatest breach of Matrimony in respect of the offence to God, or of the injury to Man? If in the former, then other fins may offend God more, and fooner cause him to distunite his servant from being one slesh with such an offender. If in respect of the latter, other injuries are demonstrated therin more heavy to man's nature than the iterated act of Adultery. God therfore, in his wifdom, would not fo difpole his remedies, as to provide them for the lefs injuries, and not allow them for the greater. Thus is won both from the word Fornication, and the reason of Adultery, that the exception of Divorce is not limited

to that act, but enlarg'd to the causes above specify'd.

And whose marrieth her which is put away, doth commit adultery.] By this Clause alone, if by nothing elfe, we may affure us, that Christ intended not to deliver here the whole doctrine of Divorce, but only to condemn abuses. Otherwise to marry after Defertion, which the Apostle, and the reformed Churches at this day permit, is here forbid, as Adultery. Be she never so wrongfully deferted, or put away, as the Law then fuffer'd, if thus for faken and expulft, the accept the refuge and protection of any honester man who would love her better, and give her self in Marriage to him, by what the letter guides us, it shall be present Adultery to them both. This is either harsh and cruel, or all the Churches teaching as they do the contrary, are loofe and remiss; besides that the Apostle himself stands deeply fin'd in a contradiction against our Saviour. What shall we make of this? what rather the common interpreter can make of it, for they be his own markets, let him now try; let him try which way he can wind in his Vertumnian distinctions and evafions, if his canonical Gabardine of text and letter do not now fit too close about him, and pinch his activity; which if I err not, hath here hamper'd it felf in a fpring fit for those who put their confidence in Alphabets. Spanheim a writer of Evangelic Doubts, comes now and confesses that our Saviour's words are to be limited beyond the limitation there exprest, and excepted beyond their own exception, as not speaking of what happen'd rarely, but what most commonly. Is it so rare, Spanbeim, to be deferted? or was it then so rare to put away injuriously, that a perfon fo hatefully expell'd, should to the heaping of more injury be turn'd like an infectious thing out of all Marriage-fruition upon pain of Adultery, as not confiderable to the brevity of this half fentence? Of what then speaks our Saviour? of that collusion, faith he, which was then most frequent among the Jews of changing wives and busbands, through inconstancy and unchaste desires. Colluders your selves, as violent to this Law of God by your unmerciful binding, as the Pharifees by their unbounded loofening! Have thousands of Christian souls perish'd as to this life, and God knows what hath betided their Consciences, for want of this healing explanation; and is it now at last obscurely drawn forth, only to cure a scratch, and leave the main wound spouting? Whosever putteth away his wife, except for fornication, committeth adultery. That shall be spoke of all ages, and all men, though never fo justly otherwise mov'd to Divorce: In the very next breath, And whoso marrieth her which is put away, committeth adultery: the men are new and miraculous, they tell you now you are to limit it to that age, when it was in fashion to chop matrimonies; and must be meant of him who puts away with his wife's consent through the lightness and leudness of them both. By what rule of Logic, or indeed of Reason, is our commission to understand the Antecedent one way and the Confequent another? for in that habitude this whole verse may be considered: or at least to take the parts of a copulate axiom, both absolutely affirmative, and to say, the first is absolutely true, the other not, but must be limited to a certain time and custom; which is no lefs than to fay they are both false? For in this compound axiom, be the parts never fo many, if one of them do but falter, and be not equally absolute and general, the rest are all falle. If therfore that he who marries her which is put away commits adultery, be not generally true, neither is it generally true that he commits adultery who puts away for other cause than for nication. And if the marrying

her

her which is put away, must be understood limited, which they cannot but yield it must, with the same limitation must be understood the putting away. Thus doth the common exposition consound it felf, and justify this which is here brought; that our Saviour as well in the first part of this sentence as in the second, prohibited only such Divorces as the Jews then made through malice or through plotted licence, not those which are for necessary and just causes; where charity and wisdom disjoins, that which not God, but Error and Disaster join'd.

And there is yet to this our exposition, a stronger siding triend, than any can be an advertary, unless St. Paul be doubted, who repeating a command concerning Divorce, i Cor. 7. which is agreed by Writers to be the same with this of our Saviour, and appointing that the wife remain unmarried, or be reconciled to her bufband, leaves it infallible that our Saviour spake chiefly against putting away for cafual and choleric difagreements, or any other cause which may with human patience and wifdom be reconciled; not hereby meaning to hale and dash together the irreconcileable averlations of nature, nor to tie up a faultless person like a Parricide, as it were into one fack with an enemy, to be his causless tormenter and executioner the length of a long life. Lastly, let this sentence of Christ be understood how it will, yet that it was never intended for a judicial Law, to be enforc'd by the Magistrate, befides that the office of our Saviour had no fuch purpose in the Gospel, this latter part of the sentence may assure us, And whose marrieth ber who is put away, commits adultery. Shall the exception for Adultery belong to this clause or not? If not, it would be strange, that he who marries a Woman really divorc'd for Adultery, as Christ permitted, should become an Adulterer by marrying one who is now no other man's Wife, himfelf being also free, who might by this means reclaim her from common Whoredom. And if the exception must belong hither, then it follows that he who marries an Adultress divorc'd commits no Adultery; which would foon different to us what an abfurd and fenflefs piece of injustice this would be to make a civil Statute of in penal Courts: wherby the Adultress put away may marry another safely, and without a crime to him that marries her; but the innocent and wrongfully divorc'd fhall not marry again without the guilt of Adultery both to her felf and to her fecond hufband. This faying of Christ therfore cannot be made a temporal Law, were it but for this reason. Nor is it easy to say what coherence there is at all in it from the letter, to any perfect fenfe not obnoxious to fome abfurdity, and feems much lefs agreeable to whatever else of the Gospel is left us written; doubtless by our Saviour spoken in that fierceness and abstruse intricacy, first to amuse his tempters, and admonish in general the abusers of that Mosaic Law; next, to let Herod know a second knower of his unlawful act, though the Baptist were beheaded; lait, that his Disciples and all good men might learn to expound him in this place, as in all other his precepts, not by the written letter, but by that unerring paraphrase of Christian Love and Charity, which is the sum of all commands, and the perfection.

Ver. 10. His Disciples say unto him, If the case of the man be so with his Wife, it is not good to marry.

This verse I add, to leave no objection behind unanswer'd: for some may think, if this our Saviour's fentence be fo fair, as not commanding aught that patience or nature cannot brook, why then did the disciples murmur and fay, it is not good to marry? I answer, that the Disciples had been longer bred up under the Pharifæan Doctrine, than under that of Christ, and so no marvel though they yet retain'd the infection of loving old licentious customs; no marvel though they thought it hard they might not for any offence that throughly anger'd them, divorce a Wife, as well as put away a Servant, fince it was but giving her a Bill, as they were taught. Secondly, it was no unwonted thing with them not to understand our Saviour in matters far easier. So that be it granted their conceit of this text was the fame which is now commonly conceiv'd, according to the usual rate of their capacity then, it will not hurt a better interpretation. But why did not Christ, seeing their error, inform them? for good cause; it was his profest method not to teach them all things at all times, but each thing in due place and feafon. Christ faid, Luke 22. that he who had no sword should sell his garment and buy one: the Disciples took it in a manifest wrong sense, yet our Saviour did not there inform them better. He told them it was easier for a Camel to go through a needle's eye, than a rich man in at heaven-gate. They were amaz'd exceedingly: he explain'd himself to mean of those who trust in riches, Mark 10. They were ama-

256 Expositions on the four chief places in Scripture,

zed than out of measure, for fo Mark relates it; as if his explaining had increas'd their amazement in fuch a plain case, and which concern'd so nearly their calling to be inform'd in. Good reason therfore, if Christ at that time did not stand amplifying, to the thick prejudice and tradition wherin they were, this question of more difficulty, and less concernment to any perhaps of them in particular. Yet did he not omit to fow within them the feeds of a sufficient determining, against the time that his promis'd Spirit should bring all things to their memory. He had declar'd in their hearing not long before, how distant he was from abolishing the Law it felf of Divorce; he had referr'd them to the inftitution; and after all this, gives them a fet answer, from which they might collect what was clear enough, that all men cannot receive all fayings, ver. 11. If fuch regard be had to each man's receiving of Marriage or fingle life, what can arife that the same christian regard should not be had in most necessary Divorce? All which instructed both them and us, that it beseem'd his Disciples to learn the deciding of this question, which hath nothing new in it, first by the institution, then by the general grounds of Religion, not by a particular faying here or there, temper'd and levell'd only to an incident occasion, the riddance of a tempting assault. For what can this be but weak and shallow apprehension, to forfake the standard principles of institution, faith, and charity; then to be blank and various at every occurrence in Scripture, and in a cold Spasm of scruple, to rear peculiar doctrines upon the place, that shall bid the gray authority of most unchangeable and sovereign Rules to stand by and be contradicted? Thus to this Evangelic precept of famous difficulty, which for these many ages weakly understood, and violently put in practice, hath made a shambles rather than an ordinance of Matrimony, I am firm a truer exposition cannot be given. If this or that argument here us'd, please not every one, there is no scarcity of arguments, any half of them will suffice. Or should they all fail, as Truth it self can fail as foon, I should content me with the institution alone to wage this controverfy, and not distrust to evince. If any need it not, the happier; yet Christians ought to fludy earnestly what may be another's need. But if, as mortal mischances are, fome hap to need it, let them be fure they abuse not, and give God his thanks, who hath reviv'd this remedy, not too late for them, and scower'd off an inveterate misexposition from the Gospel: a work not to perish by the vain breath or doom of this age. Our next industry shall be, under the same guidance, to try with what fidelity that remaining passage in the Epistles touching this matter, hath been commented.

1 Cor. VII. 10, &c.

- 10. And unto the married I command, &c.
- 11. And let not the husband put away his wife.

as the cause can have to do with reconcilement, and is not under the dominion of blameless nature; which may have reason to depart, though seldomest and last from charitable love, yet fometimes from friendly, and familiar, and fomething oftner from conjugal love, which requires not only moral, but natural causes to the making and maintaining; and may be warrantably excus'd to retire from the deception of what it justly seeks, and the ill requitals which unjustly it finds. For Nature hath her Zodiac also, keeps her great annual circuit over human things, as truly as the Sun and Planets in the firmament; hath her anomalies, hath her obliquities in afcentions and declinations, accesses and recesses, as blamelesty as they in Heaven. And fitting in her planetary Orb with two reins in each hand, one strait, the other loofe, tempers the course of minds as well as bodies to several conjunctions and oppositions, friendly or unfriendly aspects, consenting oftest with reason, but never contrary. This in the effect no man of meanest reach but daily fees; and though to every one it appear not in the cause, yet to a clear capacity, well nurtur'd with good reading and observation, it cannot but be plain and visible. Other exposition therfore then hath been given to former places that give light to these two summary verses, will not be needful: save only that these precepts are meant to those married who differ not in Religion.

But to the rest speak I, not the Lord; if any brother bath a wife that believeth

not, and she be pleased to dwell with him, let him not put her away.

Now follows what is to be done, if the persons wedded be of a different faith. The common belief is, that a Christian is here commanded not to divorce, if the Infidel please

please to stay, though it be but to vex, or to deride, or to seduce the Christian. This Doctrine will be the easy work of a resultation. The other opinion is, that a Christian is here conditionally permitted to hold Wedloc with a misbeliever only, upon hopes limited by Christian prudence, which without much difficulty shall be defended. That this here spoken by Paul, not by the Lord, cannot be a Command, these reasons avouch. First, the Law of Moses, Exod. 34.16. Deut. 7. 3, 6. interpreted by Ezra and Nebemiah, two infallible authors, commands to divorce an Infidel not for the fear only of a ceremonious defilement, but of an irreligious feducement, fear'd both in respect of the Believer himself, and of his Children in danger to be perverted by the misbelieving parent, Nehem. 13. 24, 26. And Peter Martyr thought this a convincing reason. If therfore the legal pollution vanishing, have abrogated the ceremony of this Law, so that a Christian may be permitted to retain an Infidel without uncleanness, yet the moral reason of divorcing stands to eternity, which neither Apostle nor Angel from heaven can countermand. All that they reply to this, is their human warrant, that God will preferve us in our obedience to this command against the danger of seducement. And fo undoudtedly he will, if we understand his commands aright; if we turn not this evangelic permission into a legal, and yet illegal command; if we turn not hope into bondage, the charitable and free hope of gaining another, into the forc'd and fervile temptation of losing our selves: but more of this beneath. Thus these words of Paul by common doctrine made a command, are made a contradiction to the moral Law.

Secondly, Not the Law only, but the Gospel from the Law, and from it self, requires even in the same chapter, where Divorce between them of one Religion is so narrowly forbid, rather than our Christian love should come into danger of backsliding, to forsake all relations how near soever, and the Wise expressly, with promise of a high reward, Mat. 19. And he who hates not Father or Mother, Wise or Children, hindering his Christian course, much more if they despise or affault it, cannot be a Disciple, Luke 14. How can the Apostle then command us to love and continue in that matrimony, which our Saviour bids us hate, and forsake? They can as soon teach our faculty of respiration to contract and to dilate it self at once, to breathe and to setch breath in the same instant, as teach our minds how to do such contrary acts as these towards the same object, and as they must be done in the same moment. For either the hatred of her Religion, and her hatred to our Religion will work powerfully against the love of her society, or the love of that will by degrees statter out all our zealous hatred and forsaking, and soon ensure us to unchristianly compliances.

Thirdly, In Marriage there ought not only to be a civil love, but fuch a love as Christ loves his Church; but where the Religion is contrary without hope of conversion, there can be no love, no faith, no peaceful society, (they of the other opinion consess it) nay there ought not to be, further than in expectation of gaining a foul; when that ceases, we know God hath put an enmity between the seed of the Woman, and the feed of the Serpent. Neither should we love them that bate the Lord, as the Prophet told Jehosaphat, 2 Chron. 19. And this Apostle himself in another place warns us that we be not unequally yoke'd with Infilels, 2 Cor. 6. for that there can be no fellowship, no communion, no concord between fuch. Outward commerce and civil intercourfe cannot perhaps be avoided; but true friendship and familiarity there can be none. How vainly therfore, not to fay how impioufly would the most inward and dear alliance of Marriage or continuance in Marriage be commanded, where true friendship is confett impossible? For fay they, we are forbid here to marry with an Infidel, not bid to divorce. But to rob the words thus of their full fense, will not be allow'd them: it is not faid, enter not into yoke, but be not unequally yoke'd; which plainly forbids the thing in prefent act, as well as in purpose: and his manifest conclusion is, not only that we should not touch, but that having touch'd, we should come out from among them, and be feparate; with the promife of a bleffing therupon, that God will receive us, will be our father, and we his fons and daughters, ver. 17, 18. Why we should stay with an Infidel after the expence of all our hopes, can be but for a civil relation; but why we should depart from a seducer, setting aside the misconstruction of this place, is from a religious necessity of departing. The worse cause therfore of staying (if it be any cause at all, for civil Government forces it not) must not overtop the religious cause of separating, executed with such an urgent zeal, and fuch a proftrate humiliation by Ezra and Nehemiah. What God hates to join, certainly he cannot love should continue join'd: it being all one in matter of ill confequence, to marry, or to continue married with an Infidel, fave Vol. I.

only fo long as we wait willingly, and with a fafe hope. St. Paul therfore citing here a command of the Lord Almighty, for fo he terms it, that we fhould feparate, cannot have bound us with that which he calls his own, whether command or coun-

fel, that we fhould not feparate.

Which is the fourth Reafon, for he himself takes care left we should mistake him, [But to the rest speak I, not the Lord.] If the Lord spake not, then Man spake it, and Man hath no Lordship to command the conscience: yer modern Interpreters will have it a command, maugre St. Paul himself, they will make him him a Prophet like Caiaphas, to speak the word of the Lord, not thinking, nay denying to think; though he difavow to have receiv'd it from the Lord, his word shall not be taken; though an Apostle, he shall be borne down in his own Epittle, by a race of Expositors who presume to know from whom he spake, better than he himself. Paul deposes that the Lord speaks not this; they, that the Lord speaks it: Can this be less than to brave him with a full-fac'd contradiction? Certainly to fuch a violence as this, for I cannot call it an expounding, what a man should answer I know not, unless that if it be their pleasure next to put a gag into the Apostle's mouth, they are already surnish'd with a commodious audacity toward the attempt. Beza would feem to shun the contradictory, by telling us that the Lord spake it not in person, as he did the sormer precept. But how many other Doctrines doth St. Paul deliver, which the Lord spake not in perfon, and yet never uses this preamble but in things indifferent? So long as we receive him for a messenger of God, for him to stand sorting Sentences what the Lord fpake in person, and what he, not the Lord in person, would be but a chill trifling, and his Readers might catch an Ague the while. But if we shall supply the Grammatical Ellipsis regularly, and as we must in the same tense, all will be then clear, for we cannot supply it thus, to the rest I speak; the Lord spake not, but I speak, the Lord speaks not. If then the Lord neither spake in person, nor speaks it now, the Apostle testifying both, it follows duly, that this can be no command. Forfooth the fear is, left this not being a command, would prove an evangelic counsel, and so make way for supererogations. As if the Apostle could not speak his mind in things indifferent, as he doth in four or five feveral places of this chapter with the like preface of not commanding, but that the doubted inconvenience of supererogating must needs rush in. And how adds it to the Word of the Lord, (for this also they object) whenas the Apostle by his christian prudence guides us in the liberty which God hath left us to, without command? Could not the Spirit of God instruct us by him what was free, as well as what was not? But what need I more, when Cameron an ingenuous writer, and in high efteem, folidly confutes the furmife of a command here, and among other words hath these; That when Paul speaks as an Apostle, he uses this form, The Lord saith, not I, ver. 10. but as a private man be faith, I speak, not the Lord. And thus alfo all the prime fathers, Austin, Jerom, and the rest understood this place. Fifthly, The very stating of the Question declares this to be no Command;

If any Brother hath an unbelieving Wife, and she be pleased to dwell with him, let bim not put her away. For the Greek word overdones does not imply only her being pleas'd to stay, but his being pleas'd to let her stay; it must be a consent of them both. Nor can the force of this word be render'd lefs, without either much negligence or iniquity of him that otherwife translates it. And thus the Greek Church also and their Synods understood it, who best knew what their own language meant, as appears by Mattheus Monachus, an Author set forth by Leunelevius, and of antiquity perhaps not inferior to Balfamon, who writes upon the Canons of the Apostles: this Author in his chap. That Marriage is not to be made with Heretics, thus recites the fecond Canon of the 6th Synod: As to the Corinthians, Paul determines; If the believing Wife chuse to live with the unbelieving Husband, or the believing Husband with the unbelieving Wife. Mark, faith he, how the Aposile here condescends, if the Believer please to dwell with the Unbeliever; so that if he please not, out of doubt the Marriage is dissolved. And I am perswaded it was so in the beginning, and thus preach'd. And therupon gives an example of one, who though not deferted, yet by the Decree of Theodotus the Patriarch divorc'd an unbelieving Wife. What therfore depends in the plain state of this question on the confent and well liking of them both, must not be a command. Lay next the latter end of the 11th verse to the 12th (for wherfore else is Logic taught us) in a discreet exiom, as it can be no other by the phrase; The Lord saith, Let not the Husband put away bis Wife: but I say, Let bim not put away a misbelieving Wife. This founds as if by the judgment of Paul, a man might put away any Wife but the mifbelieving; or else the parts are not discreet, or dissentany, for both conclude not

putting

putting away, and confequently in fuch a form the proposition is ridiculous. Of necessity therfore the former part of this sentence must be conceived, as understood, and filently granted, that although the Lord command to divorce an infidel, vet I, not the Lord command you? No, but give my judgment, that for fome evangelic reasons a Christian may be permitted not to divorce her. Thus while we reduce the brevity of St. Paul to a plainer fense, by the needful supply of that which was granted between him and the Corintbians, the very logic of his speech extracts him confessing that the Lord's command lay in a feeming contrariety to this his counsel: and that he meant not to thrust out a command of the Lord by a new one of his own, as one nail drives another, but to release us from the rigour of it, by the right of the Gospel, so far forth as a charitable cause leads us on in the hope of winning another foul without the peril of lofing our own. For this is the glory of the Gospel, to teach us that the end of the commandment is charity, 1 Tim. 1. not the drudging out a poor and worthless duty forc'd from us by the tax and tale of fo many letters. This doctrine therfore can be no command, but it must contradict the moral Law, the Gospel, and the Apostle himself, both elsewhere and here alfo even in the act of speaking.

If then it be no command, it must remain to be a permission, and that not absolute, for so it would be still contrary to the law, but with such a caution as breaks not the Law, but as the manner of the Gospel is, sulfils it through Charity. The Law had two reasons, the one was ceremonial, the pollution that all Genies were to the Jews; this the vision of Peter had abolish'd, Asts 10. and cleans'd all creatures to the use of a Christian. The Corinthians understood not this, but fear'd lest dwelling in matrimony with an unbeliever, they were defil'd. The Apostle discusses that scruple with an Evangelic reason, shewing them that although God heretofore under the Law, not intending the conversion of the Gentiles, except some special ones, held them as polluted things to the Jew, yet now purposing to call them in, he hath purify'd them from that legal uncleanness wherin they

flood, to use and to be us'd in a pure manner.

For faith he, The unbelieving bushand is fantlify'd by the wife, and the unbelieving wife is sanctify'd by the husband, else were your children unclean; but now they are boly. That is, they are fanctify'd to you, from that legal impurity which you fo fear; and are brought into a near capacity to be holy, if they believe, and to have free access to holy things. In the mean time, as being God's creatures, a Christian hath power to use them according to their proper use; in as much as now, all things to the pure are become pure. In this legal respect therfore ye need not doubt to continue in Marriage with an unbeliever. Thus others also expound this place, and Cameron especially. This reason warrants us only what we may do without scar of pollution, does not bind us that we must. But the other reason of the Law to divorce an infidel was moral, the avoiding of enticement from the true Faith. This cannot shrink; but remains in as full force as ever, to fave the actual Christian from the fnare of a misbeliever. Yet if a Christian full of grace and spiritual gifts, finding the mifbeliever not frowardly affected, fears not a feducing, but hopes rather a gaining, who fees not this moral Reason is not violated by not divorcing, which the Law commanded to do, but better fulfill'd by the excellence of the Gospel working through Charity? For neither the faithful is seduc'd, and the unfaithful is either fav'd, or with all discharge of love, and evangelic duty sought to be fav'd. But contrary-wife if the infirm Christian shall be consmanded here against his mind, against his hope, and against his strength, to dwell with all the frandals, the houfhold perfecutions, or alluring temptations of an Infidel, how is not the Gospel by this made harsher than the Law, and more yoking? Therfore the Apostle ere he delivers this other reason why we need not in all haste put away an Infidel, his mind mifgiving him, left he should seem to be the imposer of a new command, flays not for method, but with an abrapt speed inferts the declaration of their liberty in this matter.

But if the unbelieving depart, let him depart, a brother or a fifter is not under

bondage in such cases: but God bath called us to peace.

But if the unbelieving depart.] This cannot be rettrained to local departure only; for who knows not that an offensive society is worse than a forfaking. If his purpose of cohabitation be to endanger the life, or the conscience, Bezahimfelf is half persuaded, that this may purchase to the faithful person the same freedom that a desertion may; and so Gerard and others whom he cites. If therfore he depart in affection; if he depart from giving hope of his conversion; if he disturb, or scoff at Religion, seduce, or tempt; if he rage, doubtless not the weak only, but the strong may leave him; if not for fear, yet Vol. I.

for the dignity's fake of Religion, which cannot be liable to all base affronts, meerly for the worshipping of a civil Marriage. I take therfore departing to be as large as the negative of being well pleas'd: that is, if he be not pleas'd for the present to live lovingly, quietly, inosfensively, so as may give good hope; which

appears well by that which follows.

A brother or a sister is not under bondage in such cases.] If St. Paul provide seriously against the bondage of a Christian, it is not the only bondage to live unmarried for a deferting Infidel, but to endure his prefence intolerably, to bear Indignities against his Religion in words or deeds, to be wearied with seducements, to have idolatries and superstitions ever before his eyes, to be tormented with impure and prophane conversation; this must needs be bondage to a Christian: is this left all unprovided for, without remedy, or freedom granted? Undoubtedly no; for the Apostle leaves it further to be consider'd with prudence, what bondage a brother or lifter is not under, not only in this case, but as he speaks himfelf plurally, in such cases.

But God hath called us to peace.] To peace, not to bondage, not to brabbles and contentions with him who is not pleas'd to live peaceably, as Marriage and Chriflianity require. And where strife arises from a cause hopeless to be allay'd, what better way to peace than by separating that which is ill join'd? It is not Divorce that first breaks the peace of a family, as some fondly comment on this place, but it is peace already broken, which, when other cures fail, can only be reftor'd to the faultless perion by a necessary Divorce. And St. Paul here warrants us to feek peace, rather than to remain in bondage. If God hath call'd us to peace, why should we not follow him? why should we miserably stay in perpetual discord

under a fervitude not requir'd?

For what knowest thou, O Wife, whether thou shalt save thy Husband, &c.] St. Paul having thus clear'd himfelf, not to go about the mining of our Christian liberty, not to cast a snare upon us, which to do he so much hated, returns now to the fecond reason of that Law, to put away an Infidel for fear of seducement, which he does not here contradict with a Command now to venture that; but if neither the infirmity of the Christian, nor the strength of the Unbeliever be fear'd, but hopes appearing that he may be won, he judges it no breaking of that Law, though the Believer be permitted to forbear Divorce, and can abide, without the peril of feducement, to offer the charity of a falvation to Wife or Hufband, which is the fulfilling, not the transgressing of that Law; and well worth the undertaking with much hazard and patience. For what knowest thou whether thou shalt fave thy Wife, that is, till all means convenient and possible with discretion and probability, as human things are, have been us'd. For Christ himself sends not our hope on pilgrimage to the World's end; but fets it bounds, beyond which we need not wait on a Brother, much less on an Infidel. If after such a time we may count a professing Christian no better than a Heathen, after less time perhaps we may cease to hope of a Heathen, that he will turn Christian. Otherwise, to bind us harder than the Law, and tell us we are not under Bondage, is meer mockery. If till the unbeliever please to part, we may not stir from the house of our bondage, then certain this our liberty is not grounded in the purchase of Christ, but in the pleasure of a Miscreant. What knows the loyal Husband, whether he may not fave the Adultress? he is not therfore bound to receive her. What knows the Wife, but the may reclaim her Hufband who hath deferted her? Yet the reformed Churches do not enjoin her to wait longer than after the contempt of an Ecclefiaftical Summons. Beza himfelf here befriends us with a remarkable Speech, What could be firmly constituted in human matters, if under pretence of expetting grace from above, it should be never lawful for us to feek our right? And yet in other cases not less reasonable to obtain a most just and needful remedy by Divorce, he turns the innocent party to a task of prayers beyond the multitude of Beads and Rosaries, to beg the gift of Chastity in recompence of an injurious Marriage. But the Apostle is evident enough, we are not under bondage, trusting that he writes to those who are not ignorant what Bondage is, to let supercilious determiners cheat them of their freedom. God hath call'd us to peace, and fo doubtlefs hath left in our hands how to obtain it feafonably; if it be not our own choice to fit ever like novices wretchedly fervile.

Thus much the Apostle in this question between Christian and Pagan, to us now of little use; yet supposing it written for our instruction, as it may be rightly apply'd, I doubt not but that the difference between a true believer and a heretic, or any one truly religious either deferted or feeking Divorce from any one grofly erroneous or prophane, may be referr'd hither. For St. Paul leaves us here the fo-

lution

lution not of this case only, which little concerns us, but of fuch like cases, which may occur to us. For where the reasons directly square, who can sorbid why the verdict should not be the same? But this the common Writers allow us not. And yet from this Text, which in plain words gives liberty to none, unlefs deferted by an Infidel, they collect the fame freedom, though the defertion be not for Religion, which, as I conceive, they need not do; but may, without straining, reduce it to the cause of Fornication. For first, they confess that desertion is seldom without a just suspicion of Adultery: next, it is a breach of Marriage in the same kind, and in some sort worse: for Adultery, though it give to another, yet it bereaves not all; but the deferter wholly deniesall right, and makes one flesh twain, which is counted the absolutest breach of Matrimony, and causes the other, as much as in him lies, to commit fin, by being so left. Neverthelefs, those reasons which they bring of establishing by this place the like liberty from any defertion, are fair and folid: and if the thing be lawful, and can be prov'd fo, more ways than one, fo much the fafer. Their arguments I shall here recite, and that they may not come idle, shall use them to make good the like freedom to Divorce for other causes; and that we are no more under Bondage to any heinous default against the main ends of Matrimony, than to a Defertion: First they alledge that to 1 Tim. 5. 8. If any provide not for those of his own house, he hath deny'd the faith, and is worse than an Infidel. But a deferter, fay they, can have no care of them who are most his own; therfore the deferted party is not less to be righted against such a one, than against an Infield. With the same evidence I argue, that Man or Wise who hates in Wedloc, is perpetually unfociable, unpeaceful, or unduteous, either not being able, or not willing to perform what the main ends of Marriage demand in help and folace, cannot be faid to care for who should be dearest in the house; therfore is worte than an Infidel in both regards, either in undertaking a duty which he cannot perform, to the undeferved and unfpeakable injury of the other party fo defrauded and betray'd, or not performing what he hath undertaken, whenas he may or might have, to the perjury of himfelf, more irreligious than beathenism. The blamelets person therfore hath as good a plea to sue out his delivery from this bondage, as from the defertion of an Infidel. Since most Writers cannot but grant that defertion is not only a local absence, but an intolerable society; or if they grant it not, the reasons of St. Paul grant it, with as much leave as they grant to enlarge a particular freedom from paganifm, into a general freedom from any defertion. Secondly, they reason from the likeness of either fact, the same loss redounds to the deferted by a Christian, as by an Infidel, the same peril of temptation. And I in like manner affirm, that if honest and free persons may be allow'd to know what is most to their own loss, the same loss and discontent, but worse disquiet, with continual misery and temptation, resides in the company, or better call'd the perfecution of an unfit, or an unpeaceable Consort, than by his desertion. For then the deferted may enjoy himself at least. And he who deserts is more favourable to the party whom his prefence afflicts, than that importunate thing which is and will be over converfant before the eyes, a loyal and individual vexation. As for those who still rudely urge it no loss to Marriage, no Desertion, so long as the Flesh is prefent, and offers a Benevolence that hates, or is just'y hated; I am not of that vulgar and low perfuation, to think fuch forc'd embracements as thefe worth the honour, or the humanity of Marriage, but far beneath the foul of a rational and free-born Man. Thirdly, they fay, It is not the Infidelity of the deferter, but the defertion of the Infidel, from which the Apollle gives this freedom; and I join, that the Apoille could as little require our subjection to an unfit and injurious Bondage prefent, as to an Infidel absent. To free us from that which is an evil by being diffant, and not from that which is an inmate, and in the bosom evil, argues an improvident and carelefs Deliverer. And thus all occasions, which way foever they turn, are not unofficious to administer something which may conduce to explain, or to defend the affertion of this book touching Divorce. I complain of nothing, but that it is indeed too copious to be the matter of a dispute, or a defence, rather to be yielded, as in the best Ages, a thing of common Reason, not of Controversy. What have I left to say? I fear to be more elaborate in such a perspiculty as this; lest I should seem not to teach, but to upbraid the dulness of an Age; not to commune with reason in men, but to deplore the loss of reason from among men: this only, and not the want of more to fay, is the limit of my discourse.

Who among the Fathers have interpreted the words of Christ concerning Divorce, as is here interpreted; and what the Civil Law of Christian Emperors in the primitive Church determined.

Although

Expositions on the four chief places in Scripture, 262

Although testimony be in Logic an argument rightly call'd inartificial, and doth not folidly fetch the truth by multiplicity of Authors, nor argue a thing falfe by the few that hold fo; yet feeing most men from their youth so accustom, as not to fcan reason, nor clearly to apprehend it, but to trust for that the names and numbers of fuch, as have got, and many times undefervedly, the reputation among them to know much; and because there is a vulgar also of teachers, who are as blindly by whom they fancy led, as they lead the people, it will not be amifs for them who had rather lift themselves under this weaker fort, and follow authorities, to take notice that this opinion which I bring, hath been favour'd, and by fome of those affirm'd, who in their time were able to carry what they taught, had they urg'd it, through all Christendom; or to have left it such a credit with all good men, as they who could not boldly use the opinion, would have fear'd to censure it. But fince by his appointment on whom the times and seasons wait, every point of doctrine is not fatal to be throughly fifted out in every age, it will be enough for me to find, that the thoughts of wifest heads heretofore, and hearts no less reverenc'd for devotion have tended this way, and contributed their lot in fome good measure towards this which hath been here attain'd. Others of them, and modern especially, have been as full in the affertion, though not so full in the reason; so that either in this regard, or in the former, I shall be manifeit in a middle fortune to meet the praise or dispraise of being something first.

But I defer not what I undertook to shew, that in the Church both primitive and reformed, the words of Christ have been understood to grant Divorce for other causes than Adultery; and that the word fernication in Marriage hath a larg r

fense than that commonly suppos'd.

Justin Martyr in his first Apology, written within 50 years after St. John died, relates a flory which Eusebius transcribes, that a certain Matron of Rome, the Wire of a vicious Husband, her felf also formerly vicious, but converted to the Faith, and perfuading the same to her Husband, at least the amendment of his wicked life, upon his not yie'ding to her daily entreaties and perfuations in this behalf, procur'd by Law to be divorc'd from him. This was neither for Adultery, nor Desertion, but as the relation tays, esteeming it an ungodly thing to be the confort of bed with bim, who against the Law of Nature and of Right sought out a cluptuous ways. Suppose he endeavour'd some unnatural abuse, as the Greek admits that meaning, it cannot yet be call'd Adultery; it therfore could be thought worthy of Divorce no otherwise than as equivalent, or worse; and other vices will appear in other respects as much divorcive. Next, 'tis faid her friends advis'd her to Itay a while; and what reason gave they? not because they held unlawful what she purpos'd, but because they thought she might longer yet hope his repentance. She obey'd, till the man going to Alexandria, and from thence reported to grow still more impenitent, not for any Adultery or Defertion, wherof neither can be gather'd, but faith the Martyr, and speaks it like one approving, left she sheet i be partaker of bis unrighteous and ungodly deeds, remaining in Wedloc, the communion of bed and board with such a person, she lest him by a lawful Divorce. This cannot but give us the judgment of the Church in those pure and next to Apoltolic times. For how else could the Woman have been permitted, or here not reprehended? and if a Wife might then do this without reproof, a Hufband certainly might no less, if not more.

Tertullian in the same Age, writing his 4th Book against Marcion, witnesses that Christ by his answer to the Pharifees, protested the constitution of Moles as his own, and directed the institution of the Creator, for I alter not his Carthaginian phrase; he excused rather than destroyed the conditution of Moses; I say, he forbid conditionally, if any one therfore put away, that he may marry enother: jo that if he probibited conditionally, then not wholly; and what he forbad not wholly, he permitted otherwife, where the cause ceases for which he prohibited: that is, when a man makes it not the cause of his putting away, meerly that he may marry again. Christ teaches not contrary to Moses, the justice of Divorce hath Christ the afferter: he would not have Marriage separate, nor kept with ignominy, permitting then a Divorce; and gueffes that this vehemence of our Saviour's fentence was chiefly bent against Herod, as was cited before. Which leaves it evident how Tertullian interpreted this prohibition of our Saviour: for wheras the Text is, Whosover putteth away, and marrieth another; wherfore should Tertullian explain it, Whosoever putteth away that he may marry another, but to fignify his opinion, that our Saviour did not forbid Divorce from an unworthy Yoke, but forbid the Malice or the Lust of a

ncedless Change, and chiefly those plotted Divorces than in use?

Origen in the next century testifies to have known certain who had the government of Churches in his time, who permitted fome to marry, while yet their former husbands liv'd, and excuses the deed, as done not without cause, though without Scripture, which confirms that cause not to be Adultery; for how then was it against Scripture that they married again? And a little beneath, for I cite his 7th homily on Matthew, faith he, To endure faults worse than adultery and fornication, feems a thing unreasonable; and disputes therfore that Christ did not speak by way of precept, but as it were expounding. By which, and the like speeches, Origen declares his mind, far from thinking that our Saviour confin'd all the causes of Divorce to actual adultery.

Lactantius of the age that succeeded, speaking of this matter in the 6th of his Institutions, hath these words: But lest any think he may circumscribe divine precepts, let this be added, that all misinterpreting, and occasion of fraud or death may be remov'd, he commits adultery who marries the divorc'd wife; and, besides the crime of adultery, divorces a wife that he may marry another. To divorce and marry another, and to divorce that he may marry another, are two different things; and imply that Lactantius thought not this place the forbidding of all necessary Divorce, but fuch only as proceeded from the wanton defire of a future choice, not from the

burden of a prefent affliction.

About this time the Council of Eliberis in Spain decreed the hufband excommunicate, if he kept his wife being an adultress; but if he left her, he might after ten years be received into communion, if he retained her any while in his house after the adultery known. The Council of Neocafarea in the year 314, decreed, That if the wife of any Laic were convicted of adultery, that man could not be admitted into the Ministry: if after ordination it were committed, he was to divorce her; if not, he could not hold his Ministry. The Council of Nantes condemn'd in feven years penance the hufband that would reconcile with an adultreis. But how proves this that other causes may divorce? It proves thus: There can be but two causes why these Councils enjoin'd so strictly the divorcing of an adultress, either as an offender against God, or against the husband; in the latter respect they could not impose on him to divorce; for every man is the master of his own forgiveness; who shall hinder him to pardon the injuries done against himself? It follows therfore, that the divorce of an adultrefs was commanded by thefe three Councils, as it was a fin against God; and by all consequence they could not but believe that other fins as heinous might with equal justice be the ground of a divorce.

Bafil in his 73d Rule, as Chamier numbers it, thus determines; That divorce ought not to be, unless for adultery, or the hindrance to a godly life. What doth this but proclaim aloud more causes of divorce than adultery, if by other fins befides this, in wife or hufband, the godliness of the better person may be cer-

tainly hinder'd and endanger'd?

Epiphanius no lefs ancient, writing against Heretics, and therfore should himfelf be orthodoxal above others, acquaints us in his fecond book, Tom. 1. not that his private persuasion was, but that the whole Church in his time generally thought other causes of divorce lawful besides adultery, as comprehended under that name: If, faith he, a divorce happen for any caufe, either fornication, or adultery, or any beinous fault, the word of God blames not either the man or wife marrying again, nor cuts them off from the congregation, or from life, but bears with the infirmity; not that he may keep both wives, but that leaving the former he may be lawfully join'd to the latter: the boly Word, and the boly Church of God commiserates this man, especially if he be otherwise of good conversation, and live according to God's Law. This

place is clearer than exposition, and needs no comment. Ambrose on the 16th of Luke, teaches that all wedloc is not God's joining: and

to the 19th of Prov. That a wife is prepared of the Lord, as the old Latin translates it, he answers, that the Septuagint renders it, a wife is fitted by the Lord, and temper'd to a kind of barmony; and where that barmony is, there God joins; where it is not, there diffension reigns, which is not from God, for God is Love. This he brings to prove the marrying of Christian with Gentile to be no marriage, and confequently divorc'd without fin: but he who fees not this Argument how plainly it serves to divorce any untunable, or unatonable matrimony, sees little. On the 1st to the Cor. 7. he grants a woman may leave her husband not for only Fornication, but for Apostacy, and inverting nature, though not marry again; but the man may: here are causes of divorce assign'd other than adultery. And going on, he affirms, that the coufe of God is greater than the cause of matrimony; that the reverence of wedloc is not due to him

264 Expositions on the four chief places in Scripture,

who hates the author therof; that no matrimony is firm without devotion to God; that dishonour done to God acquits the other heing deserted from the bond of matrimony; that the faith of marriage is not to be kept with such. If these contorted sentences be aught worth, it is not the desertion that breaks what is broken, but the impiety; and who then may not for that cause better divorce, than tarry to be deserted? or these grave sayings of St. Ambrose are but knacks.

Jerom on the 19th of Matthew explains, that for the cause of fornication, or the suspicion therof, a man may freely divorce. What can breed that suspicion, but sundry saults leading that way? by Jerom's consent therfore Divorce is free not only for actual adultery, but for any cause that may incline a wise man to the

just suspicion therof.

Austin also must be remember'd among those who hold that this instance of fornication gives equal inference to other faults equally hateful, for which to divorce: and therfore in his Books to Pollentius he disputes that Infidelity, as being a greater sin than Adultery, ought so much the rather cause a divorce. And on the Sermon in the Mount, under the name of fornication will have idolatry, or any barmful superstition contain'd, which are not thought to disturb Matrimony so directly as some other obstinacies and disaffections, more against the daily duties of that covenant, and in the Eastern tongues not unfrequently call'd fornication, as hath been shewn. Hence is understood, saith he, that not only for bodily fornication, but for that which draws the mind from Goa's law, and foully corrupts it, a man may without fault put away his wife, and a wife her husband, because the Lord excepts the cause of fornication, which fornication we are constrain'd to interpret in a general sense. And in the first book of his Retrastations, chap. 16. he retracts not this his opinion, but commends it to ferious confideration; and explains that he counted not there all fin to be fornication, but the more detestable fort of fins. The cause of Fornication therfore is not in this discourse newly interpreted to fignify other faults infringing the duties of Wedloc, besides Adultery.

Lastly, the Council of Agatha in the year 506, Can. 25. decreed, that if Laymen who divore'd without some great fault, or giving no probable cause, therfore divore'd, that they might marry some unlawful person, or some other man's, if before the provincial Bishops were made acquainted, or judgment past, they presum'd this, Excommunication was the penalty. Whence it follows, that if the cause of Divorce were some great offence, or that they gave probable causes for what they did, and did not therfore divorce that they might presume with some unlawful person, or what was another man's, the censure of Church in those days did not touch them.

Thus having alledg'd enough to fhew, after what manner the primitive Church for above 500 years understood our Saviour's words touching Divorce, I shall now, with a labour less disperst, and sooner dispatch'd, bring under view what the civil Law of those times constituted about this matter: I say the civil Law, which is the honour of every true Civilian to stand for, rather than to count that for Law, which the *Pontificial* Canon had enthrall'd them to, and instead of interpreting a generous and elegant Law, made them the drudges of a blockish *Rubric*.

Theodosius and Valentinian, pious Emperors both, ordain'd that as by consent lawful Marriages were made, so by consent, but not without the bill of Divorce, they might be dissolved; and to dissolve was the more difficult, only in favour of the children. We see the Wisdom and Piety of that age, one of the purest and learnedest fince Christ, conceiv'd no hindrance in the words of our Saviour, but that a Divorce mutually confented, might be fuffer'd by the Law, especially if there were no children, or if there were, careful provision was made. And further faith that Law (supposing there wanted the confent of either,) We design the causes of Divorce by this most wholesome Law; for as we forbid the dissolving of Marriage without just cause, so we desire that a husband or a wife distrest by some adverse necessity, should be freed, though by an unhappy, yet a necessary relief. What dram of Wisdom or Religion (for Charity is truest Religion) could there be in that knowing age, which is not virtually fum'd up in this most just Law? As for those other Christian Emperors, from Constantine the first of them, finding the Roman Law in this point so answerable to the Mosaic, it might be the likeliest cause why they alter'd nothing to restraint; but if aught, rather to liberty, for the help and confideration of the weaker fex, according as the Gospel seems to make the wife more equal to her husband in these conjugal respects than the law of Moses doth. Therfore if a man were absent from his wife four years, and in that space not heard of, though gone to war in the service of

the

the Empire, the might divorce, and marry another by the edict of Constantine to Dalmatius, Co. l. 5. tit. 17. And this was an age of the Church, both ancient and cry'd up still for the most flourishing in knowledge and pious government since the Apostles. But to return to this Law of Theodosius, with this observation by the way, that still as the Church corrupted, as the Clergy grew more ignorant, and yet more usurping on the Magistrate, who also now declin'd, so still Divorce grew more restrain'd; though certainly if better times permitted the thing that work times restrained, it would not weakly argue that the permission was better, and the restraint worse. This law therfore of Theodesius, wifer in this than the most of his fuccessors, though not wifer than God and Mojes, reduc'd the causes of Diverce to a certain number, which by the judicial law of God, and all recorded humanity, were left before to the breaft of each hufband, provided that the difmifs was not without reasonable conditions to the Wi'c. But this was a restraint not yet come to extremes. For befides Adultery, and that not only actual, but suspected by many figns there fet down, any fault equally punishable with Adultery, or cqually infamous, might be the cause of a Divorce. Which informs us how the wifest of those ages understood that place in the Gospel, wherby, not the pilsering of a Benevolence was confider'd as the main and only breach of wedloc, as is now thought, but the breach of love and peace, a more hely union than that of the flesh; and the dignity of an honest person was regarded, not to be held in bondage with one whose ignominy was infectious. To this purpose was constituted Cod. l. 5. tit. 17. and Authort. collet. 4. tit. 1. Novell. 22. where Justinian added three causes more. In the 117 Novell, most of the same causes are allow'd, but the liberty of divorcing by confent is repeal'd: but by whom? by Justinian, not a wifer, not a more religious Emperor than either of the former, but noted by judicious writers for his fickle head in making and unmaking Laws; and how Procopius, a good Historian, and a Counfellor of State then living, decyphers him in his other actions, I willingly omit. Nor was the Church then in better case, but had the corruption of a hundred declining years swept on it, when the statute of Confent was call'd in; which, as I faid, gives us every way more reason to suspect this restraint, more than that liberty: which therfore in the reign of Justin, the fucceeding Emperor, was recall'd, Novell. 140, and establish'd with a preface more wife and christianly than for those times, declaring the necessity to restore that Theodofian Law, if no other means of reconcilement could be found. And by whom this Law was abrogated, or how long after, I do not find; but that those other causes remain'd in force as long as the Greek Empire subfifted, and were affented to by that Church, is to be read in the Canons and Edicts compar'd by Photius the Patriarch, with the avertiments of Balfanien and Matthews Monachus theron.

But long before those Days, Leo, the Son of Bestlius Macedo, reigning about the year 886, and for his excellent wildom furnam'd the Philosopher, constituted, that in case of madness, the Hushand might divorce after three years, the Wise after sive. Constitut. Leon. 111, 112. This declares how he expounded our Saviour, and deriv'd his reasons from the Institution, which in his Preface with great eloquence are fet down; wherof a passage or two may give some proof, though better not divided from the rest. There is not, saith he, a thing more necessary to preserve Mankind, than the help given him from his own rib; both God and Nature fo teaching us: which being fo, it was requifite that the providence of Law, or if any other care be to the good of Man, should teach and ordain those things which are to the help and comfort of married persons, and confirm the end of Marriage purposed in the beginning, not these things which afflict and bring perpetual misery to them. Then answers the Objection, that they are some shesh; If Matrimony had held so as God ordain'd it, he were wicked that would dissolve it. But if we respect this in Matrimony, that it be contrasted to the good of both, how shall be, who for some great evil feared, persuades not to marry though contracted, nor perfuade to unmarry, if after Marriage a calamity befall? Should we bid beware left any fall into an evil, and leave him helpless who by human error is fallen therin? This were as if we should use remedies to prevent a disecse, but let the fick die without remedy. The rest will be worth reading in the Author.

And thus we have the judgment first of primitive fathers; next of the imperial Law not disallow'd by the universal Church in ages of her best authority; and lastly, of the whole Greek Church and civil State, incorporating their Canons and Edicts together, that Divorce was lawful for other causes equivalent to Adultery, contain'd under the word Fornication. So that the exposition of our Saviour's Sentence here alledg'd Vol. I. Mm

266 Expositions on the four chief places in Scripture,

hath all these ancient and great afferters, is therfore neither new nor licentious, as some would persuade the Commonalty; although it be nearer truth that nothing is more new than those teachers themselves, and nothing more licentious than some known to be, whose hypocrify yet shames not to take offence at this Doctrine for Licence; whenas indeed they sear it would remove Licence, and leave them but sew Companions.

That the Pope's Canon Law encroaching upon civil Magistracy, abolish'd all Divorce even for Adultery. What the reformed Divines have recover'd; and that the famousest of them have taught according to the assertion of this Book.

But in these Western parts of the Empire, it will appear almost unquestionable that the cited Law of Theodofius and Valentinian stood in force until the blindest and corruptest times of Popedom displac'd it. For, that the Volumes of Justinian never came into Italy, or beyond Illyricum, is the Opinion of good Antiquaries. And that only Manuscript therof found in Apulia, by Lotharius the Saxon, and given to the States of Pisa, for their aid at Sea against the Normans of Sicily, was receiv'd as a rarity not to be match'd. And altho' the Goths, and after them the Lombards and Franks, who over-run the most of Europe, except this Island, (unless we make our Saxons and Normans a limb of them) brought in their own customs, yet that they follow'd the Roman Laws in their Contracts and Marriages, Agathias the Historian is alledg'd. And other testimonies relate that Alaricus and Theodoric their Kings, writ their Statutes out of this Theodofian Code, which hath the recited Law of Divorce. Nevertheless, while the Monarchs of Christenclom were yet barbarous, and but half-christian, the Popes took this advantage of their weak Superstition, to raise a corpulent Law out of the Canons and Decretals of audacious Priests; and presum'd also to set this in the front; That the Constitutions of Princes are not above the Constitutions of Clergy, but beneath them. Using this very instance of Divorce as the first prop of their tyranny; by a salse consequence drawn from a passage of Ambrose upon Luke, where he faith, tho' Man's law grant it, yet God's law prohibits it : whence Gregory the Pope, writing to TheoEista, infers that Ecclefiastical Courts cannot be diffolv'd by the Magistrate. A fair conclusion from a double error. First, in saying that the Divine Law prohibited Divorce, for what will be make of Moses? Next, supposing that itdid, how will it sellow, that whatever Christ torbids in his Evangelic Precepts, should be hal'd in o a judicial conftraint against the pattern of a Divine Law? Certainly the Gospel came not to enact fuch compulfions. In the mean while we may note here, that the restraint of Divorce was one of the first fair feeming pleas which the Pope had, to step into fecular Authority, and with his Antichriftian rigour to abolith the permissive Law of Christian Princes conforming to a facred Lawgiver. Which if we consider, this papal and unjust restriction of Divorce need not be so dear tous, since the plausible restraining of that was in a manner the first loosening of Antichrist, and as it were, the fubstance of his eldest horn. Nor do we less remarkably owe the first means of his fall here in England, to the contemning of that reftraint by Henry the 8th, whose Divorce he opposed. Yet was not that rigour executed anciently in spiritual Courts, until Alexander the third, who trod upon the neck of Frederic Barbaroffa the Emperor, and fummon'd our Henry II. into Normandy, about the death of Becket. He it was, that the worthy Author may be known, who first actually repealedthe imperial Law of Divorce, and decreed this tyrannous Decree, that Matrimony for no cause should be dissolved, tho' for many causes it might separate; as may be feen Decret. Gregor. 1. 4. tit. 19. and in other places of the canonical Tomes. The main good of which invention, wherin it confifts, who can tell? but that it hath one virtue incomparable, to fill all Christendom with Whoredoms and Adulterics, beyond the art of Balaams, or of Devils. Yet neither can thefe, though fo perverse, but acknowledge that the words of Christ, under the name of Fornication, allow putting away for other causes than Adultery, both from Bed and Board, but not from the Bond; their only reason is, because Marriage they believe to be a Sacrament. But our Divines, who would feem long fince to have renounc'd that reason, have so forgot themselves, as yet to hold the absurdity, which but for that reafon, unless there be some mystery of Satan in it, perhaps the Papit would not hold. 'Tis true, we grant Divorce for actual and prov'd Adultery, and not for lefs than many tedious and unrepairable Years of Defertion, wherin a Man shall lose all his hope of posterity, which great and holy Men have bewail'd, ere he can be righted; and then perhaps on the confines of his oldage, when all is not worth the while. But grant this were feafonably done; what are thefe two cases to many other, which afflict the state of Marriage

Marriage as bad, and yet find no redress? What haththe foul of Man deserved, if it be in the way of Salvation, that it should be mortgaged thus, and may not redeem itself according to conscience, out of the hands of such ignorant and slothful teachers as these, who are neither able nor mindful to give due tendance to that precious cure which they rashly undertake; nor have in them the noble goodness to consider these distresses and accidents of Man's life, but are bent rather to fill their mouths with Tithe and Oblation? Yet if they can learn to follow, as well as they can seek to be follow'd, I shall direct them to a fair number of renowned Men, worthy to be their leaders, who will commend to them a doctrine in this point wifer than their own; and if they be not impatient, it will be the same doctrine which this Treatise hath desended.

Wicklef, that Englishman honour'd of God to be the first Preacher of a general Reformation to all Europe, was not in this thing better taught of God, than to teach among his chiefest recoveries of Truth, that Divorce is lawful to the Christian for many other causes equal to Adultery. This Book indeed, through the poverty of our Libraries, I am forc'd to cite from Arniseus of Halberstad on the Rite of Marriage, who cites it from Corasius of Tolouse, c. 4. Cent. Set. and he from Wicklef, l. 4. Dial. c. 21. So much the sorrier, for that I never look'd into an Author cited by his Adversary upon this occasion, but sound him more conducible

to the question than his quotation render'd him.

Next, Luther, how great a fervant of God, in his book of conjugal Life quoted by Gerard out of the Dutch, allows Divorce for the obstinate denial of conjugal duty; and that a Man may fend away a proud Vasthi, and marry an Esther in her flead. It feems, if this example shall not be impertinent, that Luther meant not only the resusal of benevolence, but a stubborn denial of any main conjugal duty; or if he did not, it will be evinc'd from what he allows. For out of question, with Men that are not barbarous, love and peace, and fitness, will be yielded as essential to marriage, as corporal benevolence. Though I give my Body to be burnt, saith St. Paul, and have not charity, it profits me nothing. So though the body prostitute itself to whom the mind affords no other love or peace, but constant malice and vexation, can this bodily benevolence deserve to be call'd a Marriage between Christians and rational Creatures?

Melancton, the third great luminary of Reformation, in his book concerning Marriage, grants Divorce for cruel Usage, and danger of life, urging the authority of that Theodosian Law, which he esteems written with the grave deliberation of godly Men; and that they who reject this law, and think it disagreeing from the Gospel, understand not the difference of Law and Gospel; that the Magistrate ought not only to defend life, but to succour the weak conscience; lest broke with grief and indignation, it relinquish Prayer, and turn to some unlawful thing. What if this heavy plight of despair arise from other discontents in Wedloc, which may go to the soul of a good Man more than the danger of his Life, or cruel using? which a Man cannot be liable to, suppose it be ingrateful usage, suppose it be perpetual spight, and disobedience, suppose a hatred; shall not the Magistrate free him from this disquiet which interrupts his prayers, and disturbs the course of his service to God and his Country all as much, and brings him such a misery, asthat he more desires to leave his life, than sears to lose it? Shall not this equally concern the office of civil protection, and much more the charity of a true Church to remedy?

Erasmus, who for Learning was the wonder of his Age, both in his Notes on Matthew, and on the first to the Corinthians, in a large and eloquent Discourse, and in his answer to Phinostomus, a Papist, maintains (and no Protestant then living contradicted him) that the words of Christ comprehend many other causes of

Divorce under the name of Fornication.

Bucer, (whom our famous Dr. Rainolds was wont to prefer before Calvin) in his Comment on Matthew, and in his fecond book of the Kingdom of Christ, treats of Divorce at large, to the same effect as is written in the Dostrine and Discipline of Divorce lately published, and the Translation is exant: whom, lest I should be thought to have wrested to mine own purpose, take something more out of his 49th Chapter, which I then for brevity omitted. It will be the duty of pious Princes, and all who govern Church or Commonwealth, if any, whether Husband or Wise, shall affirm their want of such who either will, or can tolerably perform the necessary duties of married life, to grant that they may seek them such, and marry them; if they make it appear that such they have not. This Book he wrote here in England, where he lived the greatest admired Man; and this he dedicated to Edward the sixth.

Vol. I. Mm 2 Fagius,

Fagius, rank'd among the famous Divines of Germany, whom Frederic, at that time the Palatine, fent for to be the Reformer of his Dominion, and whom afterwards England fought to, and obtain'd of him to come and teach her, differs not in this opinion from Bucer, as his Notes on the Chaldee Paraphrast well testify.

The whole Church of Strasburgh in her most flourishing time, when Zellius, Hedio, Capito, and other great Divines taught there, and those two renowned Magistrates, Farrerus and Sturmius govern'd that Commonwealth and Academy to the admiration of all Germany, hath thus in the 21st Article : We teach, that if according to the word of God, yea, or against it, Divorces happen, to do according to God's word, Deut. xxiv. 1. Mat. xix. 1 Cor. vii. and the observation of the primitive Church, and the Christian constitution of pious Casars.

Peter Martyr feems in word our eafy adversary, but is in deed for us: toward which, though it be fomething when he faith of this opinion, that it is not wicked. and can hardly be refuted, this which follows is much more; I speak not here, faith he, of natural Impediments, which may so happen, that the Matrimony can no longer hold: but adding, that he often wonder'd, how the ancient and most Christian Emperors establish'd those Laws of Divorce, and neither Ambrose, who had such influence upon the Laws of Theodosius, nor any of those holy Fathers found fault, nor any of the Churches, why the Magistrates of this day should be so loth to constitute the same. Perhaps they fear an inundation of Divorces, which is not likely; whenas we read not either among the Hebrews, Greeks, or Romans, that they were much frequent where they were most permitted. If they judge Christian Men, worse than Jews or Pagans, they both injure that name, and by this reason will be constrain'd to grant Divorces the rather; because it was permitted as a remedy of evil, for who would remove the medicine, while the difease is yet so rife? This being read both in his common places, and on the first to the Corinthians, with what we shall relate more of him yet ere the end, fets him absolutely on this side. Not to infift that in both these, and other places of his commentaries, he grants Divorce not only for Defertion, but for the feducement and fcandalous demeanor of a heretical Confort.

Musculus, a Divine of no obscure fame, distinguishes between the religious and the civil determination of Divorce; and leaving the civil wholly to the Lawyers, pronounces a confcionable Divorce for impotence not only natural, but accidental, if it be durable. His equity, it feems, can enlarge the words of Christ, to one Cause more than Adultery; why may not the reason of another Man as wise, enlargethem to another Cause?

Gualter of Zuric, a well-known judicious Commentator, in his Homilies on Matthew, allows Divorce for Leprofy, or any other cause which renders unfit for wedloc, and calls this rather a Nullity of Marriage than a Divorce. And who, that is not himself a mere body, can restrain all the unsitness of Marriage, only to a

corporeal defect?

Hemingius, an Author highly esteem'd, and his works printed at Geneva, writing of Divorce, confesses that learned Men vary in this Question, some granting three Causes therof, some five, others many more; he himself gives us fix, Adultery, Desertion, Inability, Error, Evil-usage, and Impiety, using argument that Christ under one special contains the whole kind, and under the name and example of Fornication, he includes other causes equipollent. This discourse he wrote at the request of many who had the judging of these causes in Denmark, and Norway, who by all likelihood follow'd his advice.

Hunnius, a Doctor of Wittenberg, well known both in Divinity and other Arts. on the 19th of Matth. affirms, That the exception of Fornication express'd by our Saviour, excludes not other causes equalling Adultery, or destructive to the substantials of Matrimony; but was oppos'd to the custom of the Jews, who made Divorce for every light cause.

Felix Bidenbachius, an eminent Divine in the Dutchy of Wirtemberg, affirms, That the obstinate refusal of conjugal due, is a lawful cause of Divorce; and gives an

instance, that the Consistory of that State so judg'd.

Gerard cites Harbardus, an Author not unknown, and Arnifaus cites Wigandus, both yielding Divorce in case of cruel usage; and another Author, who testifies to have seen, in a Dukedom of Germany, Marriages disjoined for some implacable enmities arising.

Beza, one of the strictest against Divorce, denies it not for danger of life from a Heretic, or importunate solicitation to do aught against Religion: and counts it

all one whether the Heretic defert, or would flay upon intolerable conditions. But this decision well examin'd, will be found of no folidity. For Beza would be ask'd why, if God so strictly exact our stay in any kind of Wedlock, we had not better flay and hazard a murdering for Religion at the hand of a Wife or Hufband, as he and others enjoin us to stay and venture it for all other causes but that? and why a Man's Life is not as well and warrantably fav'd by divorcing from an orthodox Murderer, as a heretical? Again, if defertion be confets'd by him to confift not only in the forfaking, but in the unfufferable conditions of ftaving, a Man may as well deduce the lawfulness of divorcing from any intolerable conditions (if his grant be good, that we may divorce thereupon from a Heretic) as he can deduce it lawful to divorce from any deferter, by finding it lawful to divorce from a deferting Infidel. For this is plain, if St. Paul's permiffion to divorce an Infidel deferter, infer it lawful for any malicious defertion, then doth Beza's definition of a deferter, transfer itself with like facility from the cause of Religion, to the cause of Malice, and proves it as good to divorce from him who intolerably stays, as from him who purposely departs; and leaves it as lawful to depart from him who urgently requires a wicked thing, though profeffing the fame Religion, as from him who urges a heathenish or superstitious compliance in a different faith. For if there be fuch necessity of our abiding, we ought rather to abide the utmost for Religion, than for any other cause; seeing both the cause of our stay is pretended our Religion to Marriage, and the cause of our suffering is supposed our constant Marriage to Religion. Beza thersore, by his own definition of a deferter, justifies a divorce from any wicked or intolerable conditions rather in the same Religion than in a different.

Aretius, a famous Divine of Bern, approves many causes of divorce in his Problems, and adds, that the laws and confistories of Switzerland approve them also. As first, Adultery, and that not actual only, but intentional; alledging Matthew 5. Whosoever looketh to lust, hath committed Adultery already in his heart. Wherby, faith he, our Saviour shews that the breach of Matrimony may be not only by outward act, but by the heart and defire; when that hath once posses'd, it renders the conversation intolerable, and commonly the fast follows. Other causes to the number of nine or ten, confenting in most with the imperial Laws, may be read in the Author himfelf, who avers them to be grave and weighty. All these are Men of name in Divinity; and to these, if need were, might be added more. Nor have the Civilians bin all so blinded by the Canon, as not to avouch the justice of those old permissions touching Divorce.

Alciat of Millan, a Man of extraordinary Wisdom and Learning, in the fixth Book of his Parerga, defends those imperial Laws, not repugnant to the Gospel, as the Church then interpreted. For, faith he, the ancients understood him separate by Man, whom passions and corrupt affections divored, not if the provincial Bishops first heard the matter, and judg'd, as the Council of Agatha declares: and on tome part of the Code he names Isidorus Hispalensis, the first computer of Canons, to be in the same mind. And in the former place gives his opinion that Divorce might be more lawfully permitted than Usury.

Corafius, recorded by Helvieus among the famous Lawyers, hath bin already

cited of the fame judgment.

Wesembechius, a much-nam'd Civilian, in his Comment on this Law defends it, and affirms, That our Saviour excludea not other faults equal to Adultery; and that the word Fornication signifies larger among the Hebrews than with us, comprehending every fault which alienates from him to whom obedience is due, and that the primitive

Church interpreted fo.

Grotius, yet living, and of prime note among learned Men, retires plainly from the Canon to the ancient Civility, yea, to the Mosaic Law, as being most just and undeceivable. On the 5th of Matth. he faith, That Christ made no civil Laws, but taught us how to use Law: That the Law sent not a husband to the Judge about this matter of Divorce, but left him to his own conscience; that Christ therfore cannot be thought tosend him; that Adultery may be judg'd by a vehement suspicion; that the exception of Adultery seems an example of other like offences; proves it from the manner of speech, the maxims of Law, thereason of Charity, and common Equity.

These Authorities, without long search, I had to produce, all exellent Men, fome of them fuch as many ages had brought forth none greater: almost the meanest of them might deserve to obtain credit in a singularity; what might

270 Expositions on four chief places in Scripture, &c.

not then all of them joined in an opinion fo confonant to reafon? For although some speak of this cause, others of that, why Divorce may be, yet all agreeing in the neceffary enlargement of that textual straitness, leave the matter to equity, not to literal bondage; and fo the Opinion closes. Nor could I have wanted more testimonies, had the cause needed a more folicitous enquiry. But herein the satisfaction of others hath bin studied, not the gaining of more affurance to mine own persuation: although authorities contributing reason withal, be a good confirmation and a welcome. But God, I folemnly attest him, with-held from my knowledge the confenting judgment of these Men so late, until they could not be my instructors, but only my unexpected witnesses to partial Men, that in this work I had not given the worst experiment of an industry join'd with integrity, and the free utterance, tho' of an unpopular truth. Which yet to the people of England may, if God fo please, prove a memorable informing; certainly a benefit which was intended them long fince by Men of highest repute for Wistlom and Piety, Bucer and Erasmus. Only this one authority more, whether in place or out of place, I am not to omit; which if any can think a small one, I must be patient, it is no smaller than the whole asfembled Authority of England both Church and State; and in those times which are on record for the purest and sincerest that ever shone yet on the reformation of this Island, the time of Edward the 6th. That worthy Prince having utterly abolish'd the Canon Law out of his Dominions, as his Father did before him, appointed by full vote of Parlament, a Committee of two and thirty chosen Men, Divines and Lawyers, of whom Cranmer the Archbishop, Peter Martyr, and Walter Haddon (not without the affiftance of Sir John Cheeke the King's Tutor, a Man at that time counted the learnedest of Englishmen, and for Piety not inferior) were the chief, to frame a-new some Ecclesiastical Laws that might be instead of what was abrogated. The work with great diligence was finish'd, and with as great approbation of that reforming age was receiv'd, and had bin doubtless, as the learned Preface therof testifies, establish'd by act of Parlament, had not the good King's death fo foon enfuing, arrested the further growth of Religion also, from that sea-Those Laws, thus founded on the memorable Wisdom and Piety of that religious Parlament and Synod, allow Divorce and fecond Marriage not only for Adultery or Desertion, but for any capital enmity or plot laid against the other's life, and likewise for evil and fierce usage: nay the 12th Chapter of that title by plain consequence declares, that leffer contentions, if they be perpetual, may obtain Divorce: which is all one really with the position by me held in the former Treatise published on this argument, herin only differing, that there the cause of perpetual strife was put for example in the unchangeable discord of some natures; but in these Laws intended us by the best of our ancestors, the effect of continual strife is determined no unjust plea of Divorce, whether the cause be natural or wilful. Wherby the wariness and deliberation from which that discourse proceeded, will appear, and that God hath aided us to make no bad conclusion of this point; seeing the Opinion which of late hath undergone ill censures among the vulgar, hath now prov'd to have done no violence to Scripture, unless all these famous Authors alledged have done the like; nor hath affirmed aught more than what indeed the most nominated Fathers of the Church, both ancient and modern, are unexpectedly affirming, the Laws of God's peculiar People, and of primitive Christendom found to have practis'd, reformed Churches and States to have imitated, and especially the most pious Church-times of this Kingdom to have fram'd and publish'd, and but for fad hindrances in the sudden change of Religion, had enacted by the Parlament. Henceforth let them who condemn the affertion of this book for new and licentious, be forry; left, while they think to be of the graver fort, and take on them to be teachers, they expose themselves rather to be pledg'd up and down by Men who intimately know them, to the discovery and contempt of their ignorance and prefumption.

THE

JUDGMENT

Martin Bucer,

CONCERNING

DIVORCE:

WRITTEN

To Edward the Sixth, in his Second Book of the Kingdom of Christ.

And now English'd.

Wherein a late Book, restoring the *Doctrine and Discipline of Divorce*, is here confirm'd and justify'd by the Authority of MARTIN BUCER.

To the Parlament of England.

JOHN III. 10.
Art thou a Teacher of Israel, and knowest not these Things?

Publish'd by Authority.

Testimonies of the high Approbation which Learned Men have given of MARTIN BUCER.

Simon Grineus, 1533.

Mong all the Germans, I give the Palm to Bucer for Excellence in the Scriptures. Melanchton in human Learning is wondrous fluent; but greater knowledge in the Scripture, I attribute to Bucer, and speak it unseignedly.

John Calvin 1539.

Martin Bucer, a most faithful Doctor of the Church of Christ, befides his rare Learning, and copious knowledge of many things, befides his clearness of Wit, much Reading, and other many and various Vertues, wherin he is almost by none now living excell'd, hath The Judgment of Martin Bucer,

272

few Equals, and excels most; hath this praise peculiar to himself, that none in this Age hath used exacter diligence in the Exposition of Scripture.

And a little beneath.

Bucer is more large than to be read by over-busied Men, and too high to be easily understood by unattentive Men, and of a low capacity.

Sir John Cheek, Tutor to K. Edward VI. 1551.

We have lost our Master, than whom the World scarce held a greater, whether we consider his knowledge of true Religion, or his integrity and innocence of Life, or his incessant study of holy things, or his matchless labour of promoting Piety, or his authority and amplitude of teaching, or whatever else was praise-worthy and glorious in him. Script. Anglican. pag. 864.

John Sturmius of Strasburgh.

No man can be ignorant what a great and constant opinion and estimation of Bucer there is in Italy, France and England. Whence the saying of Quintilian hath oft come to my mind, that he hath well profited in Eloquence whom Cicero pleases. The same say I of Bucer, that he hath made no small progress in Divinity, whom Bucer pleases; for in his Volumes, which he wrote very many, there is the plain impression to be discerned of many great Virtues, of Diligence, of Charity, of Truth, of Acuteness of Judgment, of Learning. Wherin he hath a certain proper kind of writing, wherby he doth not only teach the Reader, but affects him with the sweetness of his Sentences, and with the manner of his arguing, which is so teaching, and so logical, that it may be perceived how learnedly he separates probable Reasons from necessary, how forcibly he confirms what he has to prove, how sutt'ly he resutes, not with sharpness, but with truth.

Theodore Beza, on the Portraiture of M. Bucer.

This is that countenance of Bucer, the mirror of mildness temper'd with gravity; to whom the City of Strasburgh owes the Reformation of her Church. Whose singular Learning, and eminent Zeal, join'd with excellent Wisdom, both his learned Books, and public Disputations in the general Diets of the Empire, shall witness to all ages. Him the German Perfecution drove into England; where honourably entertain'd by Edward the 6th, he was for two years chief Professor of Divinity in Cambridge, with greatest frequency and applause of all learned and pious Men until his death, 1551. Beza Icones.

Mr. Fox's Book of Martyrs, Vol. 3. p. 763.

Bucer, what by writing, but chiefly by reading and preaching openly, wherin being painful in the Word of God, he never spar'd himself, nor regarded his Health, brought all Men into such an admiration of him, that neither his Friends could sufficiently praise him, nor his Enemies in any point find fault with his singular Life, and sincere Doctrine. A most certain token wherof may be his sumptuous burial at Cambridge, solemnized with so great an assistance of

al

all the University, that it was not possible to devise more to the setting out and amplifying of the same.

Dr. Pern, the Popish Vice-Chancellor of Cambridge, his Adversary.

Cardinal Pool, about the fourth year of Queen Mary, intending to reduce the University of Cambridge to Popery again, thought no way so effectual, as to cause the Bones of Martin Bucer and Paulus Fagius, which had been four years in the Grave, to be taken up and burnt openly with their Books, as knowing that those two worthy Men had bin of greatest moment to the Reformation of that place from Popery, and had left such powerful Seeds of their Doctrine behind them, as would never die, unless the Men themselves were digg'd up, and openly condemn'd for Heretics by the University itself. This was put in execution, and Doctor Pern, Vice-Chancellor, appointed to preach against Bucer: Who, among other things, laid to his charge the Opinions which he held of the Marriage of Priests, of Divorcement, and of Usury. But immediately after his Sermon, or somewhat before, as the Book of Martyrs for a truth relates, Vol. 3. p. 770. the faid Doctor Pern smiting himself on the Breaft, and in manner weeping, wish'd with all his heart, that God would grant his Soul might then presently depart, and remain with Bucer's; for he knew his Life was fuch, that if any Man's Soul were worthy of Heaven, he thought Bucer's in special to be most worthy. Histor. de Combust. Buceri & Fagii.

Acworth the University-Orator.

Soon after that Queen Elizabeth came to the Crown, this condemnation of Bucer and Fagius by the Cardinal and his Doctors, was folemnly repealed by the University; and the Memory of those two samous Men celebrated in an Oration by Acworth the University-Orator, which is yet extant in the Book of Martyrs, Vol. 3. p. 773. and in Latin, Scripta Anglic. p. 936.

Nicholas Carre, a learned Man; Walter Haddon, Master of the Requests to Queen Elizabeth; Matthew Parker, afterwards Primate of England, with other eminent Men, in their funeral Orations and Sermons, express abundantly how great a Man Martin Bucer was; what an incredible loss England sustained in his death; and that with him died the hope of a perfect Reformation for that Age. Ibid.

Jacobus Verheiden of Grave, in his Elogies of famous Divines.

Though the Name of Martin Bucer be famous, yet thou Martin Bucer, for Piety, Learning, Labour, Care, Vigilance, and Writing, art not to be held inferior to Luther. Bucer was a fingular inftrument of God, so was Luther. By the death of this most learned and most faithful Man, the Church of Christ sustained a heavy los, as Calvin witnesseth; and they who are studious of Calvin, are not ignorant how much he ascribes to Bucer; for thus he writes in a Letter to Viretus: What a manifold loss besel the Church of God in the Death of Bucer, as oft as I call to mind, I feel my heart almost rent asunder.

Vol. I. Nn Peter

Peter Martyr Epist. to Conradus Hubertus.

He is dead, who hath overcome in many Battles of the Lord. God sent us for a time this our Father, and our Teacher, never enough praisid. Death hath divided me from a most unanimous Friend, one truly according to mine own heart. My Mind is over-press'd with Grief, insomuch that I have not power to write more. I bid thee in Christ farewel, and wish thou mayit be able to bear the loss of Bucer, better than I can bear it.

Testimonies given by Learned Men to Paulus Fagius, who held the same Opinion with Martin Bucer, concerning Divorce.

Bezæ Icones.

Paulus Fagius, born in the Palatinate, became most skilful in the Hebrew Tongue. Being call'd to the Ministry at I/na, he publish'd many ancient and profitable Hebrew Books, being aided in the expences by a Senator of that City, as Origen sometime was by a certain rich Man call'd At length invited to Strafburgh, he there famously discharged the Office of a Teacher; until the same Persecution drove him and Bucer into England, where he was preferr'd to a Professor's place in Cambridge, and foon after died.

Melchior Adamus writes his Life among the famous German Divines. Sleidan and Thuanus mention him with honour in their History: And

Verheiden in his Elogies.

To the PARLAMENT.

HE Book which, among other great and high points of Reformation, contains as a principal part therof, this Treatife here prefented, Su-

preme Court of Parlament, was by the famous Author Martin Buccr, dedicated to Edward the fixth: whose incomparable Youth doubtless had brought forth to the Church of England, fuch a glorious Manhood, had his Life reach'd it, as would have left in the affairs of Religion, nothing without an excellent pattern for us now to follow. But fince the fecret purpose of divine Appointment hath referved no lefs perhaps than the just half of fuch a facred Work to be accomplish'd in this Age, and principally, as we trust, by your successful Wildom and Authority, religious Lords and Commons, what wonder if I feek no other, to whose exactest judgment and review I may commend these last and worthiest Labours of this renow ned Teacher? whom living, all the pious Nobility of those reforming Times, your truest and best-imitated Ancestors, reverenc'd and admir'd. Nor was he wanting to a recompence as great as was himfelf; when both at many times before, and especially among his last Sighs and Prayers, testifying his dear and fatherly affection to the Church and Realm of England, he fincerely wish'd in the hearing of many devout Men, That what he had in this Nicol de obit bis last Book written to King Edward concerning Discipline, might have place in this Kingdom. His hope was then, that no calamity, no confusion, or deformity would happen to the Commonwealth; but otherwise he feared, lest in the midst of all this ardency to know God, yet by the neglect of Discipline, our good Endeavours would not fucceed. These remarkable words of so godly and so eminent a Man at his death, as they are related by a fufficient and well-known witness, who heard them, and inserted by Thuanus into his grave and serious History; so ought they to be chiefly confidered by that Nation for whose sake they were uttered, and more especially by that general Council which represents the Body of that Nation. If therfore the Book, or this part therof, for necessary causes, be now reviv'd and recommended to the use of this undisciplin'd Age; it

Baceri.

hence appears, that these Reasons have not err'd in the choice of a fit Patronage for a discourse of such importance. But why the whole Tractate is not here brought entire, but this matter of Divorcement felected in particular, to prevent the full fpeed of fome mif-interpreter, I haften to difclofe. First, it will be soon manifest to them who know what wife Men should know, that the constitution and reformation of a Commonwealth, if Ezra and Nehemiah did not mif-reform, is, like a building, to begin orderly from the foundation therof, which is Marriage and the Family, to fet right first whatever is amiss therin. How can there else grow up a race of warrantable Men, while the house and home that breeds them, is troubled and disquieted under a bondage not of God's constraining with a natureless constraint (if his most righteous judgments may be our rule) but laid upon us imperiously in the worst and weakest Ages of Knowledge, by a canonical tyranny of flupid and malicious Monks: who having rashly vow'd themselves to a single Life, which they could not undergo, invented new Fetters to throw on Matrimony, that the World therby waxing more diffolute, they also in a general loofeness might fin with more favour. Next, there being yet among many, fuch a strange iniquity and perverseness against all necessary Divorce, while they will needs expound the Words of our Saviour, not duly by comparing other places, as they must do in the resolving of a hundred other Scriptures, but by persisting chiefly in the abrupt and papiffical way of a literal apprehension against the direct Analogy of Sense, Reason, Law, and Gospel; it therfore may well seem more than time to apply the found and holy Perfuasions of this Apostolic Man, to that part in us, which is not yet fully dispossest of an error as absurd, as most that we deplore in our blindest Adversaries; and to let his Authority and unanswerable Reafons be vulgarly known, that either his Name, or the force of his Doctrine may work a wholefome effect. Lattly, I find it clear to be the Author's intention, that this point of Divorcement should be held and receiv'd as a most necessary and prime part of discipline in every Christian Government. And therfore having reduc'd his model of Reformation to fourteen heads, he bestows almost as much time about this one point of Divorce, as about all the rest; which also was the judgment of his Heirs and learned Friends in Germany, best acquainted with his meaning; who first publishing this his Book by Operinus at Basil, (a City for Learning and Constancy in the true Faith, honourable among the first) added a special note in the title, that there the Reader should find the Dostrine of Divorce handled so solidly, and so fully, as scarce the like in a Writer of that Age: and with this particular commendation they doubted not to dedicate the Book, as a most profitable and exquisite Discourse, to Christian the 3d, a worthy and pious King of Denmark, as the Author himself had done before to our Edward the fixth. Yet did not Bucer in that Volume only declare what his conftant opinion was herin, but also in his Comment upon Matthew, written at Strasburgh divers years before, he treats distinctly and copiously the same Argument in three several places; touches it also upon the 7th to the Romans, and promifes the same Solution more largely upon the 1st to the Corinthians, omitting no occasion to weed out this last and deepest mischief of the Canon-Law, fown into the opinions of modern Men, against the Laws and Practice both of God's chosen People, and the best primitive Times. faithfulness and powerful evidence prevail'd so far with all the Church of Strasburgh, that they publish'd this doctrine of Divorce, as an Article of their Confesfion, after they had taught so eight and twenty years, through all those times, when that City flourish'd, and excell'd most, both in Religion, Learning, and Government, under those first restorers of the Gospel there, Zelius, Hedio, Capito, Fagius, and those who incomparably then govern'd the Commonwealth, Farrerus and Sturmius. If therfore God in the former Age found out a Servant, and by whom he had converted and reformed many a City, by him thought good to restore the most needful Doctrine of Divorce from rigorous and harmful miftakes on the right hand, it can be no strange thing, if in this age he stir up by whatsoever means whom it pleafes him, to take in hand and maintain the same affertion. Certainly if it be in man's differning to fever Providence from Chance, I could alledge many inflances, wherin there would appear cause to esteem of me no other than a passive instrument under some power and counsel higher and better than can be human, working to a general good in the whole course of this matter. For that I owe no light, or leading receiv'd from any Man in the discovery of this Truth, what time I first undertook it in the Dostrine and Discipline of Divorce, and had only the infallible grounds of Scripture to be my guide; he who trics the inmost heart, and saw with what severe industry and examination of myself, I set down every period, will be my witness. When I had almost finish'd the first Edi-Vol. I. Nn 2

tion, I chanc'd to read in the Notes of Hugo Grotius upon the 5th of Matth. whom I strait understood inclining to reasonable terms in this Controversy: and something he whisper'd rather than disputed about the Law of Charity, and the true end of Wedloc. Gladtherfore of fuch an able affiftant, however at much diftance, I refolved at length to put off into this wild and calumnious World. For God, it feems, intended to prove me, whether I durst alone take up a rightful Cause against a World of difesteem, and found I durst. My Name I did not publish, as not willing it should sway the Reader either for me or against me. But when I was told, that the stile, which what it ails to be so soon distinguishable, I cannot tell, was known by most Men, and that some of the Clergy began to inveigh and exclaim on what I was credibly inform'd they had not read; I took it then for my proper feafon, both to shew them a Name that could easily contemn such an indiscreet kind of Cenfure, and to reinforce the question with a more accurate diligence: that if any of them would be so good as to leave railing, and to let us hear so much of his Learning and Christian Wisdom, as will be strictly demanded of him in his answering to this Problem, care was had he should not spend his Preparations against a nameless Pamphlet. By this time I had learnt that Paulus Fagius, one of the chief Divines in Germany, fent for by Frederic the Palatine, to reform his Dominion, and after that invited hither in King Edward's days, to be a Professor of Divinity in Cambridge, was of the same Opinion touching Divorce, which these Men so lavishly traduc'd in me. What I found, I inserted where fittest place was, thinking fure they would respect so grave an Author, at least to the moderating of their odious Inferences. And having now perfected a fecond Edition, I referr'd the judging therof to your high and impartial Sentence, honour'd Lords and Commons. For I was confident, if any thing generous, anything noble, and above the Multitude, were left yet in the Spirit of England; it could be no where fooner found, and no where fooner understood, than in that House of Justice and true Liberty where ye fit in Council. Nor doth the Event hitherto, for fome reasons which I shall not here deliver, fail me of what I conceiv'd so highly. Nevertheless, being far otherwise dealt with by some, of whose Profesfion and supposed Knowledge I had better hope, and esteem'd the deviser of a new and pernicious Paradox, I felt no difference within me from that peace and firmness of Mind, which is of nearest kin to Patience and Contentment: both for that I knew I had divulg'd a truth link'd infeparably with the most fundamental rules of Christianity, to stand or fall together, and was not un-inform'd that divers learned and judicious Men teftify'd their daily Approbation of the Book. Yet at length it hath pleafed God, who had already given me fatisfaction in myfelf, to afford me now a means wherby I may be fully justify'd also in the eyes of Men. When the Book had bin now the fecond time fet forth well-nigh three Months, as I best remember, I then first came to hear that Martin Bucer had written much concerning Divorce: whom earnestly turning over, I soon perceiv'd, but not without amazement, in the same Opinion, confirm'd with the same Reasons which in that publish'd Book, without the help or imitation of any precedent Writer, I had labour'd out, and laid together. Not but that there is some difference in the handling, in the order, and the number of Arguments, but still agreeing in the same Conclusion. Soas I may justly gratulate mine own mind with due acknowledgment of affistance from above, which led me, not as a learner, but as a collateral Teacher, to a sympathy of judgment with no lessa Man than Martin Bucer. And he, if our things here below arrive him where he is, does not repent him to fee that point of Knowledge which he first, and with an uncheck'd freedom preach'd to those more knowing times of England, now found fo necessary, though what he admonish'd were lost out of our memory; yet that God doth now again create the same doctrine in another unwritten Table, and raifesit up immediately out of his pure Oracle to the convincement of a perverse Age, cager in the reformation of Names and Ceremonies, but in Realities as traditional and as ignorant as their Forefathers. I would ask now the foremost of my profound Accusers, Whether they dare asfirm that to be licentious, new, and dangerous, which Martin Bucer fo often, and fo urgently avouch'd to be most lawful, most necessary, and most Christian, without the least blemish to his good Name, among all the worthy Men of that Age, and since, who testify so highly of him? If they dare, they must then set up an Arrogance of their own against all those Churches and Saints who honoured him without this exception: If they dare not, how can they now make that licentious Doctrine in another, which was never blam'd or confuted in Bucer, or in Fagius? The truth is, there will be due to them for this their unadvifed rafhnefs, the best Donative that can be given them, I mean a round Reproof;

concerning DIVORCE.

Reproof, not that where they thought to be most magisterial, they have display'd their own want, both of reading, and of judgment. First, to be so unacquainted in the Writings of Bucer, which are so obvious and so useful in their own faculty; next, to be so caught in a prejudicating weakness, as to condemn that for lewd, which (whether they knew or not) these elect Servants of Christ commended for lawful; and for new, that which was taught by these almost the first and greatest Authors of Reformation, who were never tax'd for fo teaching; and dedicated without scruple to a royal Pair of the first reforming Kings in Christendom, and confest in the public Confession of a most Orthodoxal Church and State in Germany, This is also another fault which I must tell them; that they have stood now almost this whole year clamouring afar off, while the Book hath bin twice printed, twice bought up, and never once vouchfafed a friendly Conference with the Author, who would be glad and thankful to be shewn an Error, either by private dispute, or public Answer, and could retract, as well as wife Men before him; might also be worth the gaining, as one who heretofore hath done good service to the Church by their own confession. Or if he be obstinate, their Consutation would have render'd him without excuse, and reclaim'd others of no mean parts, who incline to his Opinion. But now their work is more than doubl'd; and how they will hold up their heads against the sudden aspect of these two great and reverend Saints whom they have defam'd, how they will make good the cenfuring of that, for a novelty of licence, which Bucer conftantly taught to be a pure and holy Law of Christ's Kingdom, let them advise. For against these my Adversaries, who before the examining of a propounded truth in a fit time of Reformation, have had the conscience to oppose naught else but their blind reproaches and furmifes, that a fingle innocence might not be oppress'd and overborn by a crew of mouths, for the restoring of a Law and Doctrine falsly and unlearnedly reputed new and feandalous, God, that I may ever magnify and record this his Goodnefs, hath unexpectedly rais'd up as it were from the dead, more than one famous Light of the first Reformation to bear witness with me, and to do me honour in that very thing, wherin these Men thought to have blotted me: And hath given them the proof of a capacity which they despised, running equal, and authentic with some of their chiefest Masters unthought of, and in a point of sigest moment. However, if we know at all when to ascribe the Occurrences of this Life to the work of a special Providence, as nothing is more usual in the talk of good Men, what can be more like to a special Providence of God, than in the first Reformation of England, that this question of Divorce, as a main thing to be reftor'd to just freedom, was written, and feriously commended to Edward the fixth, by a Man call'd from another Country to be the instructor of our Nation; and now in this prefent renewing of the Church and Commonwealth, which we pray may be more lafting, that the fame Question should be again treated and presented to this Parlament, by one enabled to use the same reasons without the least sight or knowledge of what was done before. It were no trespass, Lords and Commons, though fomething of less note were attributed to the ordering of a heavenly Power; this question therfore of such prime concernment both to Christian and Civil Welfare, in fuch an extraordinary manner, not recover'd, but plainly twice born to these latter Ages, as from a divine hand I tender to your Acceptance, and most considerate Thoughts. Think not that God rais'd up in vain a Man of greatest Authority in the Church, to tell a trivial and licentious Tale in the ears of that good Prince, and to bequeath it as his last Will and Testament, nay rather as the Testament and Royal Law of Christ to this Nation; or that it should of itself after so many years, as it were in a new Field where it was never sown, grow up again as a vicious plant in the mind of another, who had spoke honestest things to the Nation; though he knew not that what his Youth then reasoned without a pattern, had bin heard already, and well allow'd from the Gravity and Worth of Martin Bucer: till meeting with the envy of Men ignorant in their own undertaken Calling, God directed him to the forgotten Writings of this faithful Evangelist, to be hisdefence and warrant against the gross imputation of broaching Licence. Ye are now in the glorious way to high Virtue, and matchlefs Deeds, trusted with a most inestimable Trust, the afferting of our just Liberties. Ye have a Nation that expects now, and from mighty sufferings aspires to be the example of all Christendom to a perfectest reforming. Dare to be as great, as ample, and as eminent in the fair progrefs of your noble defigns, as the ful! and goodly stature of Truth and Excellence itself; as unlimited by petty Precedents and Copies, as your unquestionable Calling from Heaven gives ye power to be. What are all our public Immunities and Privileges worth? and how shall it be judg'd that we fight for them with Minds worthy to enjoy them,

if we fuffer ourselves in the mean while notto understand the most important freedom that God and Nature hath given us in the family; which no wife Nation ever wanted, till the Popery and Superstition of some former Ages attempted to remove and alter divine and most prudent Laws for human and most imprudent Canons: wherby good men in the best portion of their lives, and in that Ordimance of God, which entitles them from the beginning to most just and requisite contentments, are compelled to civil Indignities, which by the Law of Moses bad Men were not compell'd to? Be not bound about, and straiten'd in the spacious Wisdom of your free Spirits, by the scanty and unadequate and inconsistent Principles of fuch as condemn others for adhering to Traditions, and are themselves the proftrate Worshippers of Custom; and of such a tradition as they can deduce from no antiquity, but from the rudest, and thickest Barbarism of Antichristian times. But why do I anticipate the more acceptable, and prevailing voice of learned Bucer himself, the Pastor of Nations? And O that I could set him living before ye in that Doctoral Chair, where once the learnedest of England, thought it no disparagement to sit at his feet! He would be such a Pilot, and such a Father to ye, as ye would foon find the difference of his hand and skill upon the helm of Reformation. Nor do I forget that faithful Affociate of his Labours, Paulus Fagius; for these their great Names and Merits, how precious soever, God hath now join'd with me necessarily, in the good or evil report of this doctrine which I leave with you. It was written to a religious King of this Land; written earnestly, as a main matter wherin this Kingdom needed a reform, if it purpos'd to be the Kingdom of Christ: Written by him, who if any, since the Days of Luther, merits to be counted the Apostle of our Church: whose unwearied pains and watching for our fakes, as they spent him quickly here among us, so did they, during the shortness of his Life, incredibly promote the Gospel throughout this Realm. The Authority, the Learning, the Godliness of this Man consulted with, is able to out-ballance all that the lightness of a vulgar opposition can bring to counterpoise. I leave him also as my compleat Surety and Testimonial, if Truth be not the best witness to itself, that what I formerly presented to your reading on this Subject, was good, and just, and honest, not licentious. Not that I have now more confidence by the addition of these great Authors to my party; for what I wrote was not my Opinion, but my Knowledge; even then when I could trace no footstep in the way I went: nor that I think to win upon your apprehenflons with Numbers and with Names, rather than with Reasons; yet certainly the worst of my detractors will not except against so good a bail of my integrity and judgment, as now appears for me. They must else put in the Fame of Bucer and of Fagius, as my Accomplices and Confederates, into the same Indictment; they must dig up the good Name of these prime Worthies (if their Names could be ever buried) they must dig them up and brand them as the Papists did their Bodies; and those their pure unblamable Spirits, which live not only in Heaven, but in their Writings, they must attaint with new Attaintures, which no Protestant ever before aspers'd them with. Or if perhaps we may obtain to get our Appeachment new drawn, a Writ of Error, not of Libertism, that those two principal Leaders of Reformation may not now come to be fued in a Bill of Licence, to the scandal of our Church; the brief result will be, that for the Error, if their own Works be not thought sufficient to defend them, there lives yet, who will be ready, in a fair and christianly discussive way, to debate and fift this matter to the utmost ounce of Learning and Religion, in him that shall lay it as an Error, either upon Martin Bucer, or any other of his Opinion. If this be not enough to qualify my Traducers, and that they think it more for the Wildom of their Virulence, not to recant the Injuries they have bespoke me, I shall not for much more disturbance than they can bring me, intermit the prosecution of those Thoughts which may render me best serviceable, either to this Age, or if it so happen, to Posterity; following the fair path which your illustrious Exploits, honour'd Lords and Commons, against the breast of Tyranny have open'd; and depending so on your happy successes in the hopes that I have conceiv'd either of myself, or of the Nation, as must needs conclude me, who most affectionately wishes and awaits the prosperous issue of your noble and valorous Counsels.

THE

JUDGMENT of MARTIN BUCER,

TOUCHING

DIVORCE.

Taken out of the Second Book entitled, Of the Kingdom of Christ; written by MARTIN BUCER to EDWARD the Sixth, King of England.

CHAP. XV

The 7th Law of the sanctifying and ordering of Marriage.

Esides these things, Christ our King, and his Churches require from That the oryour Sacred Majesty, that you would take upon you the just care of Marriage behaviorages. For it is unspeakable how many good Consciences are herelongs to the by entangled, afflicted, and in danger, because there are no just Laws, Civil Power, no speedy way constituted according to God's Word, touching this holy Society and Fountain of Mankind. For seeing Matrimony is a civil thing, Men, that they may rightly contract, inviolably keep, and not without extreme necessity dissolve Marriage, are not only to be taught by the Doctrine and Discipline of the Church, but also are to be acquitted, aided, and compell'd by Laws and Judicature of the Commonwealth. Which thing pious Emperors acknowledging, and therin framing themselves to the Law of Nations, gave Laws both of contracting and preserving, and also where an unhappy need requir'd, of divorcing Marriages. As may be seen in the Code of Justinian, the 5th Book, from the beginning through twenty-four titles. And in the Authentic of Justinian the 22d, and some others.

But the Antichrists of Rome, to get the Imperial Power into their own hands, The Popes afterwards by force, draw, to themselves the whole base invaded

first by fraudulent persuasion, afterwards by force drew to themselves the whole have invaded authority of determining and judging as well in matrimonial causes, as in most o-by fraud and ther matters. Therfore it hath bin long believ'd, that the care and government dering of therof doth not belong to the Civil Magistrate. Yet where the Gospel of Christ Marriage. is receiv'd, the Laws of Antichrift should be rejected. If therfore Kings and Governors take not this care, by the power of Law and Justice to provide that Marriages be pioufly contracted, religiously kept, and lawfully dissolv'd, if need require, who fees not what confusion and trouble is brought upon this holy Society; and what a rack is prepar'd, even for many of the best Consciences, while they have no certain Laws to follow, no Justice to implore, if any intolerable thing happen. And how much it concerns the honour and fafety of the Commonwealth, that Marriages, according to the Will of Christ, be made, maintained, and not without just cause dissolv'd, who understands not? For unless that first and holiest Society of Man and Woman be purely constituted, that houshold Discipline may beupheld by them according to God's Law, how can we expect a race of good Men? Let your Majesty therfore know that this is your duty, and in the first place, to reassume to yourfelf the just ordering of Matrimony, and by firm Laws to establish and defend the Religion of this sirst and divine Society among Men, as all wife Law-givers of old, and Christian Emperors have carefully done.

The two next Chapters, because they chiefly treat about the Degrees of Consanguinity and Affinity, I omit; only setting down a pessage or two concerning the Judicial Laws of Moses, how set they be for Christians to imitate rather than any other.

CHAP. XVII. toward the end.

Confess that we being free in Christ, are not bound to the Civil Laws of Mofess in every circumstance; yet seeing no Laws can be more honest, just, and
wholesome, than those which God himself gave, who is eternal Wisdom and Goodness, I see not why Christians, in things which no less appertain to them, ought
not to follow the Laws of God, rather than of any Men. We are not to use Circumcision, Sacrifice, and those bodily Washings prescribed to the Jews; yet by
these things we may rightly learn, with what purity and devotion both Baptism
and the Lord's Supper should be administered and received. How much more is
it our duty to observe diligently what the Lord hath commanded, and taught by
the Examples of his People concerning Marriage, where we have the use no less
than they?

And because this same worthy Author bath another passage to this purpose, in his

Comment upon Matthew, Chap. 5.19. I here insert it from p. 46.

Since we have need of Civil Laws, and the power of punishing, it will be wisest not to contemn those given by Moses; but seriously rather to consider what the meaning of God was in them, what he chiefly required, and how much it might be to the good of every Nation, if they would borrow thence their manner of governing the Commonwealth; yet freely all things and with the Spirit of Christ. For what Solon, or Plato, or Aristotle, what Lawyers or Casais could make better Laws than God? And it is no light argument, that many Magistrates at this day, do not enough acknowledge the Kingdom of Christ, though they would seem most Christian, in that they govern their States by Laws so diverse from those of Moses.

The 18th Chapter I only mention as determining a thing not here in question, that Marriage without consent of Parents ought not to be held good; yet with this qualifi-

ection fit to be known.

That if Parents admit not the honest desires of their Children, but shall persist to abuse the power they have over them; they are to be mollify'd by Admonitions, Entreaties, and Persuasions, first of their Friends and Kindred, next of the Church-Elders. Whom if still the hard Parents resuse to hear, then ought the Magistrate to interpose his Power: lest any by the evil mind of their Parents be detain'd from Marriage longer than is meet, or forc'd to an unworthy match: in which case the Roman Laws also provided. C. de nupt. 1. 11, 13, 26.

C H A P. XIX.

Whether it may be permitted to revoke the Promise of Marriage.

TERE ariseth another Question concerning Contracts, when they ought to be unchangeable? for religious Emperors decreed that the Contract was not indisfoluble, until the Spouse were brought home, and the Solemnities perform'd. They thought it a thing unworthy of divine and human Equity, and the due confideration of Man's infirmity in deliberating and determining, when space is given to renounce other Contracts of much less moment, which are not yet confirm'd before the Magistrate, to deny that to the most weighty Contract of Marriage, which requires the greatest care and consultation. Yet lest such a Covenant should be broken for no just cause, and to the injury of that person to whom Marriage was promifed, they decreed a Fine, that he who deny'd Marriage to whom he had promis'd, and for some cause not approv'd by the Judges, should pay the double of that pledge which was given at making fure, or as much as the Judge should pronounce might satisfy the damage, or the hindrance of either party. It being most certain, that oft-times after contract, just and honest causes of departing from promise, come to be known and found out, it cannot be other than the duty of pious Princes to give Men the same liberty of unpromising in these cases, as pious Emperors granted: especially where there is only a promise, and not carnal knowledge. And as there is no true Marriage between them, who agree not in true consent of Mind; so it will be the part of godly Magistrates to procure that no Matrimony be among their Subjects, but what is knit with love and consent. And the your Majesty be not bound to the Imperial Laws, yet it is the duty of a Christian King to embrace and follow whatever he knows to be any where pioufly and justly constituted, and to be honest, just, and well-pleasing to his People.

But

concerning Divorce.

But why in God's Law and the Examples of his Saints, nothing herof is read; no marvel, feeing his ancient People had power, yea a precept, that who fo could not bend his mind to the true love of his Wife, should give her a Bill of Divorce, and fend her from him, though after carnal knowledge and long dwelling together. This is enough to authorize a godly Prince in that indulgence which he gives to the changing of a Contract; both because it is certainly the invention of Antichrist, that the promise of Marriage de presenti, as they call it, should be indissoluble, and because it should be a Prince's care that Matrimony be so join'd, as God ordain'd; which is, that every one should love his Wise with such a love as Adam express'd to Eve: So as we may hope that they who marry may become one sless, and one also in the Lord.

CHAP. XX.

Concerns only the Celebration of Marriage.

C H A P. XXI.

The Means of preserving Marriage holy and pure.

OW fince there ought not to be less care that Marriage be religiously kept; than that it be pioufly and deliberately contracted, it will be meet that to every Church be ordained certain grave and godly Men, who may have this care upon them, to observe whether the Husband bear himself wisely toward the Wife, loving, and inciting her to all Piety, and the other duties of this life; and whether the Wise be subject to her Husband, and study to be truly a meet help to him, as first to all Godliness, so to every other use of life. And if they shall find each to other failing of their duty, or the one long absent from the other without just and urgent cause, or giving suspicion of irreligious and impure life, or of living in manifest Wickedness, let it be admonish'd them in time. And if their Authority be contemn'd, let the names of fuch contemners be brought to the Magistrate, who may use punishment to compel such Violators of Marriage to their duty, that they may abstain from all probable suspicion of transgressing; and if they admit of sufpected company, the Magistrate is to forbid them; whom they not therin obeying, are to be punish'd as Adulterers, according to the Law of Justinian, Authent. 117. For if holy Wedloc, the fountain and feminary of good Subjects, be not vigilantly preferved from all blots and difturbances, what can be hop'd, as I faid betore, of the springing up of good Men, and a right Reformation of the Commonwealth? We know it is not enough for Christians to abstain from foul deeds, but from the appearance and fuspicion therof.

CHAP. XXII.

Of lawful Divorce, what the ancient Churches have thought.

OW we shall speak about that dissolving of Matrimony which may be approved in the fight of God, if any grievous necessity require. In which thing the Roman Antichrists have knit many a pernicious entanglement to distressed Confciences: for that they might here also exalt themselves above God, as if they would be wifer and chaster than God himself, is, for no cause, honest or necessary, will they permit a final Divorce; in the mean while, Whoredoms and Adulteries, and worse things than these, not only tolerating in themselves and others, but cherishing and throwing Men headlong into these evils. For although they also disjoin married persons from Board and Bed, that is, from all conjugal Society and Communion, and this not only for Adultery, but for ill Usage, and matrimonial Duties deny'd; yet they forbid those thus parted, to join in Wedloc with others, but, as I hid before, any dishonest affociating they permit. And they pronounce the Bond of Marriage to remain between those whom they have thus separated. As if the Bond of Marriage, God so teaching and pronouncing, were not such a league as binds the married couple to all society of life, and communion in divine and human things; and so associated keeps them. Something indeed out of the later Fathers they may pretend for this their Tyranny, especially out of Austin and some others, who were much taken with a preposterous admiration of fingle life; yet though these Fathers, from the words of Vol. I.

The Judgment of Martin Bucer,

Christ not rightly understood, taught that it was unlawful to marry again, while the former Wife liv'd, whatever cause there had bin either of Desertion or Divorce; yet if we mark the custom of the Church, and the common judgment which both in this time and afterward prevail'd, we shall perceive that neither these Fathers did ever cast out of the Church any one for marrying after a Divorce, ap-

prov'd by the Imperial Laws.

Nor only the first Christian Emperors, but the latter also, even to Justinian, and after him, did grant for certain causes approv'd by Judges, to make a true Divorce; which made and confirm'd by Law, it might be lawful to marry again: which if it could not have bin done without displeasing Christ and his Church, furely it would not have bin granted by Christian Emperors, nor had the Fathers then wink'd atthose doings in the Emperors. Hence ye may see that Jerom also, though zealous of fingle life more than enough, and fuch a condemner of fecond Marriage, though after the death of either party, yet forc'd by plain equity, defended Fabiola, a noble Matron of Rome, who having refus'd her Hufband for just Causes, was married to another. For that the sending of a Divorce to her Husband was not blame-worthy, he affirms, because the Man was heinously vitious: and that if an adulterous Wife may be discarded, an adulterous Husband is not to be kept. But that she married again, while yet her Husband was alive; he defends in that the Apostle hath said, It is better to marry than to burn; and that young Widows should marry, for such was Fabiola, and could not remain in Widow-hood.

But some one will object that Jerome there adds, Neither did she know the vigour of the Gospel, wherin all cause of marrying is debarr'd from Women, while their Husbands live; and again, while she avoided many wounds of Satan, she receiv'd one ere she was aware. But let the equal Reader mind also what went before; Because, faith he, foon after the beginning, there is a rock and storm of slanderers opposed against her, I will not praise her converted, unless I first absolve her guilty. For why does he call them flanderers who accus'd Fabiola of marrying again, if he did not judge it a matter of Christian Equity and Charity, to pass by and pardon that fact, though in his own opinion he held it a fault? And what can this mean? I will not praise ber, unless I first absolve ber. For how could be absolve her, but by proving that Fabiola, neither in rejecting her vitious Husband, nor in marrying another, had committed such a sin, as could be justly condemned? Nay, he proves both by

evident reason, and clear testimonies of Scripture, that she avoided Sin.

This also is hence understood, that Jerome by the vigour of the Gospel, meant that height and perfection of our Saviour's precept, which might be remitted to those that burn; for he adds, But if she be accused in that she remained not unmarried, Ishall confess the fault, so I may relate the necessity. If then he acknowledg'd a neceffity, as he did, because she was young, and could not live in Widowhood, certainly he could not impute her fecond Marriage to her much blame : but when he excuses her out of the Word of God, does he not openly declare his thoughts, that the fecond Marriage of Fabiola was permitted her by the Holy Ghost himself, for the necessity which he suffer'd, and to shun the danger of Fornication, though she went somewhat aside from the vigour of the Gospel? But if any urge that Fabiola did public penance for her fecond Marriage, which was not imposed but for great faults; 'tis answer'd, she was not enjoin'd to this penance, but did it of her own accord, and not till after her second Husband's death. As in the time of Cyprian, we read that many were wont to do voluntary penance for small faults, which were not liable to excommunication.

CHAP. XXIII.

That Marriage was granted by the ancient Fathers, even after the Vow of fingle Life.

Iomit his Testimonies out of Cyprian, Gelasius, Epiphanius, contented only to relate what he thence collects to the present purpose.

Ome will fay perhaps, Wherfore all this concerning Marriage after vow of fingle life, whenas the question was of Marriage after Divorce? For this reason, that they whom it so much moves, because some of the Fathers thought Marriage after any kind of Divorce, to be condemned of our Saviour, may fee that this conclusion follows not. The Fathers thought all Marriage after Divorce to be forbidden of our Saviour.

concerning DIVORCE.

Saviour, therfore they thought such Marriage was not to be tolerated in a Christian. For the same Fathers judg'd it forbidden to marry after vow; yet such Marriages they neither dissolved nor excommunicated: For these words of our Saviour, and of the Holy Ghost, stood in their way; All cannot receive this saying, but they to whom it is given. Every one hath his proper gift from God, one after this manner, another after that. It is better to marry than to burn. I will that younger Widows marry; and the like.

So there are many Canons and Laws extant, wherby Priests, if they married, were remov'd from their office, yet is it not read that their Marriage was dissolv'd, as the Papists now-a-days do, or that they were excommunicated, nay expresly they might communicate as Laymen. If the consideration of human infirmity, and those testimonies of divine Scripture which grant Marriage to every one that wants it, persuaded those Fathers to bear themselves so humanely toward them who had married with breach of vow to God, as they believed, and with Divorce of that Marriage wherin they were in a manner join'd to God; who doubts but that the same Fathers held the like humanity was to be afforded to those who after Divorce and Faith broken with Men, as they thought, entered into a second Marriage? For among such are also found no less weak, and no less burning.

CHAP. XXIV.

Who of the ancient Fathers have granted Marriage after Divorce.

HIS is clear both by what hath bin faid, and by that which Origen relates of certain Bishops in his time, Homil. 7. in Matth. I know some, faith he, which are over Churches, who without Scripture have permitted the Wise to marry while her former Husband liv'd. And did this against Scripture, which saith, The Wise is bound to her Husband so long as he lives; and she shall be call'd an Adultress, if, her Husband living, she take another Man; yet did they not permit this without cause, perhaps for the infirmity of such as had not continence, they permitted evil to avoid worse. Ye see Origen and the Doctors of his Age, not without all cause, permitted Women after Divorce to marry, though their former Husbands were living; yet writes that they permitted against Scripture. But what cause could they have to do so, unless they thought our Saviour in his precepts of Divorce had so forbidden, as willing to remit such persection to his weaker ones, cast into danger of worse faults?

The fame thought Leo, Bishop of Rome, Ep. 85. to the African Bishops of Mauritania Casariensis, wherin complaining of a certain Priest, who divorcing his Wise, or being divorced by her, as other copies have it, had married another, neither dissolves the Matrimony; nor excommunicates him, only unpriests him. The Fatherstherfore, as we see, did not simply and wholly condemn Marriage after Divorce.

But as for me, this remitting of our Saviour's precepts, which these Ancients allow to the infirm in marrying after Vow and Divorce, I can in no ways admit; for whatsoever plainly consents not with the Commandment, cannot, I am certain, be permitted, or suffered in any Christian: for heaven and earth shall pass away, but not a tittle from the Commands of God among them who expect life eternal. Let us therfore consider, and weight the words of our Lord concerning Marriage and Divorce, which he pronounced both by himself, and by his Apostle, and let us compare them with other Oracles of God; for whatsoever is contrary to these, I shall not persuade the least tolerating therof. But if it can be taught to agree with the Word of God, yea to be commanded that most Men may have permission given them to divorce and marry again, I must prefer the Authority of God's Word before the Opinion of Fathers and Doctors, as they themselves teach.

Vol. I. Oo 2 CHAP.

n a.

CHAP. XXV.

The words of our Lord, and of the Holy Gloft, by the Apostle Paul concerning Divorce, are explain'd.

BUT the words of our Lord, and of the Holy Ghoft, out of which Austin and fome others of the Fathers think it concluded that our Saviour forbids Marriage after any Divorce, are these; Mat. v. 31, 32. It hath bin said, &c. And Mat. xix. 7. They say unto bim, why did Moses then command? &c. And Mark x, and Luke xvi. Rom. vii. 1, 2, 3. 1 Cor. vii, 10, 11. Hence therfore they conclude that all Marriage after Divorce is call'd Adultery; which to commit, being no ways to be tolerated in any Christian, they think it follows that second Marriage is in no cafe to be permitted either to the Divorce, or to the Divorced.

But that it may be more fully and plainly perceiv'd what force is in this kind of reasoning, it will be the best course to lay down certain grounds wherof no Chri-Christ could flian can doubt the truth. First, it is a wickedness to suspect that our Saviour brandof Adultery, ed that: for Adultery, which himself, in his own Law which he came to sulfil, and that which not to dissolve, did not only permit, but also command; for by him the only he once com- Mediator, was the whole Law of God given. But that by this Law of God, Marriage was permitted after any Divorce, is certain by Deut. xxiv. 1.

The 1. axioni that manded.

CHAP. XXVI.

That God in his Law did not only grant, but also command Divorce to certain Men.

Eut. xxiv. 1. When a Man hath taken a Wife, &c. But in Mal. ii. 15, 16. is read the Lord's command to put her away whom a Man hates, in these words: Take beed to your Spirit, and let none deal injuriously against the wife of his youth. If he hate, let him put away, saith the Lord God of Israel. And he shall hide thy violence with his garment, that marries her divorced by thee, saith the Lord of hosts; but take heed to your Spirit; and do no injury. By these Testimonies of the divine Law, we fee that the Lord did not only permit, but also expresly and earneftly commanded his people, by whom he would that all holiness and faith of Marriage-covenant should be observed, that he who could not induce his mind to love his Wife with a true conjugal love, might difmifs her that fhe might marry to another.

CHAP. XXVII.

That what the Lord permitted and commanded to his antient people concerning Divorce belongs also to Christians.

OW what the Lord permitted to his first-born people, that certainly he could not forbid to his own among the Gentiles, whom he made coheirs, and into one body with his people; nor could he ever permit, much less command aught that was not good for them, at least so us'd as he commanded. For being God, he is not chang'd as Man. Which thing who feriously considers, how can he imagine that God would make that wicked to them that believe, and ferve him under Grace, which he granted and commanded to them that serv'd him under the Law? Whenas the fame causes require the same permission. And who that knows but human matters, and loves the truth, will deny that many Marriages hang as ill together now, as ever they did among the Jews? So that such Marriages are liker to Torments than true Marriages. As therfore the Lord doth always fuccour and help the oppressed, so he would ever have it provided for injur'd Husbands and Wives, that under pretence of the marriage-bond, they be not fold to perpetual vexations, instead of the loving and comfortable marriage-duties. And lastly, as God doth always detest hypocrify and fraud, so neither doth he approve that among his people, that should be counted Marriage, wherin none of those duties remain, wherby the league of wedloc is chiefly preserved. What inconsiderate neglect then of God's Law is this, that I may not call

call it worse, to hold that Christ our Lord would not grant the same remedies both of Divorce and second Marriage to the weak, or to the evil, if they will needs have it so, but especially to the innocent and wrong'd; whenas the same urgent causes remain as before, when the discipline of the Church and Magistrate hath try'd what may be try'd?

CHAP. XXVIII.

That our Lord Christ intended not to make new Laws of Marriage and Divorce, or of any civil matters.

T is agreed by all who determine of the Kingdom and Offices of Christ by the Axiom 2. holy Scriptures, as all godly Men ought to do, that our Saviour upon Earth took not on him either to give new Laws in civil affairs, or to change the old. But it is certain that Matrimony and Divorce are civil things. Which the Christian Emperors knowing, gave conjugal Laws, and reserved the administration of them

to their own Courts; which no true ancient Bishop ever condemn'd.

Our Saviour came to preach Repentance and Remission: seeing therfore those who put away their Wives without any just cause, were not touch'd with conscience of the sin, through misunderstanding of the Law, he recall'd them to a right interpretation, and taught that the Woman in the beginning was so join'd to the Man, that there should be a perpetual union both in body and spirit: where this is not, the Matrimony is already broke, before there be yet any divorce made, or second Marriage.

CHAP. XXIX.

That it is wicked to strain the words of Christ beyond their purpose.

This is his third Axiom, whereof there needs no explication here.

CHAP. XXX.

That all places of Scripture about the same thing are to be joined, and Axiom 4. compared, to avoid Contradictions.

This he domonstrates at large out of sundry places in the Gospel, and principally by that precept against swearing, which compar'd with many places of the Law and Prophets, is a flat contradiction of them all, if we follow superstitiously the letter. Then having repeated briefly his four Axioms, he thus proceeds.

These things thus pre-admonish'd, let us enquire what the undoubted meaning is of our Saviour's words, and enquire according to the rule which is observ'd by all learned and good men in their expositions; that praying first to God, who is the only opener of our hearts, we may first with fear and reverence consider well the words of our Saviour touching this question. Next, that we may compare them with all other places of Scripture treating of this matter, to see how they consent with our Saviour's words, and those of his Apostle.

C H A P. XXXI.

This Chapter disputes against Austin and the Papists, who deny second Marriage even to them who divorce in case of Adultery; which because it is not controverted among true Protestants, but that the innocent person is easily allowed to marry, I spare the translating.

CHAP. XXXII.

That a manifest Adultress ought to be divorc'd, and cannot lawfully be retained in Marriage by any true Christian.

This though he prove sufficiently, yet I let pass, because this question was not bandled in the Dostrine and Discipline of Divorce; to which book I bring so much of this Treatist as runs parallel.

CHAP. XXXIII.

That Adultery is to be punished by Death.

This Chapter also I omit for the reason last alledged.

CHAP. XXXIV.

That it is lawful for a Wife to leave an Adulterer, and to marry another Husband.

This is generally granted, and therfore excuses me the writing out.

CHAP. XXXV.

Places in the Writings of the Apostle Paul, touching Divorce explain'd.

ET us consider the answer of the Lord given by the Apostle severally. Concerning the first, which is Rom vii. 1. Know ye not, brethren, for I speak to them that know the law, &c. Ver. 2. The woman is bound by the law to her Husband so long as he liveth. Here it is certain that the Holy Ghost had no purpose to determine aught of Marriage, or Divorce, but only to bring an example from the common and ordinary law of Wedloc, to shew that as no covenant holds either party being dead, so now that we are not bound to the law, but to Christ our Lord, seeing that through him we are dead to sin, and to the law; and so joined to Christ that we may bring forth fruit in him from a willing godlines, and not by the compulsion of law, whereby our fins are more excited, and become more violent. What therfore the holy Spirit here speaks of Matrimony, cannot be extended beyond the general rule.

Besides it is manifest, that the Apostle did alledge the law of Wedloc, as it was deliver'd to the Jews; for, saith he, I speak to them that know the law. They knew no law of God but that of Moses, which plainly grants divorce for several reasons. It cannot therfore be said that the Apostle cited this general example out of the law, to abolish the several exceptions of that law, which God him-

self granted by giving authority to divorce.

Next, when the Apostle brings an example out of God's law concerning Man and Wise, it must be necessary that we understand such for Man and Wise, as are so indeed according to the same law of God; that is, who are so disposed as that they are both willing and able to perform the necessary duties of marriage; not those who under a false title of marriage, keep themselves mutually bound to injuries and disgraces; for such twain are nothing less than lawful Man and Wise.

The like answer is to be given to all the other places both of the Gospel and the Apostle, that whatever exception may be prov'd out of God's law, be not excluded from those places. For the Spirit of God doth not condemn things formerly granted and allowed, where there is like cause and reason. Hence Ambrose, upon that place, i Cor. vii. 15. A brother or a sister is not under bondage in such cases, thus expounds; The reverence of marriage is not due to him who abbors the author of Marriage; nor is that Marriage ratify'd which is without devotion to God: he sins not therfore who is put away for God's cause, though he join himself to another. For the dishonour of the Creator dissolves the right of Matrimony to him who is deserted, that he be not accus'd, though marrying to another. The saith of wedloc is not to be kept with him who departs, that he might not bear the God of Christians to be the author of wedloc. For if Ezra caused the mishelieving Wives and Husbands to be divore'd, that God might be appeared, and not of maked, though they took others of their own faith, how much

more shall it be free, if the misbeliever depart, to marry one of our own Religion. For

this is not to be counted Matrimony, which is against the law of God.

Two things are here to be observed toward the following Discourse, which truth itself, and the force of God's word hath drawn from this holy Man. For those words are very large, Matrimony is not ratify'd, without devotion to God. And the dishonour of the Creator dissolves the right of Matrimony. For devotion is far off, and dishonour is done to God by all who persist in any wickedness and heinous crime.

CHAP. XXXVI.

That although it seem in the Gospel, as if our Saviour granted Divorce only for Adultery, yet in very deed be granted it for other causes also.

OW is to be dealt with this question, Whether it be lawful to divorce and marry again for other causes besides Adultery, since our Saviour expressed that only? To this question, if we retain our principles already laid, and much acknowledge it to be a cursed blasphemy, if we say that the words of God do contradict one another, of necessity we must consess that our Lord did grant Divorce, and Marriage after that, for other causes besides Adultery, notwithstanding what he said in Matthew. For first, they who consider but only that place, I Cor. vii. which treats of believers and misbelievers match'd together, must of sorce consess, That our Lord granted just Divorce, and second Marriage in the cause of Desertion, which is other than the cause of Fornication. And if there be one other cause found lawful, then is it most true, that Divorce was granted not only for Fornication.

Next, it cannot be doubted, as I shew'd before, by them to whom it is given to know God and his Judgments out of his own word, but that, what means of peace and safety God ever granted and ordain'd to his elected people, the same he grants and ordains to Men of all ages who have equally need of the same remedies. And who, that is but a knowing Man, dares say there be not Husbands and Wives now to be found in such a hardness of heart, that they will not perform either conjugal affection, or any requisite duty therof, though it be most deserved at their hands?

Neither can any one defer to confess, but that God whose property it is to judge the cause of them that suffer injury, hath provided for innocent and honest persons wedded, how they might free themselves by lawful means of Divorce, from the bondage and iniquity of those who are falsly term'd their Husbands or their Wives. This is clear out of Deut. xxiv. 1. Malach. ii. Matth. xix. 1 Cor. vii. and out of those principles which the Scripture every where teaches, That God changes not his mind, dissents not from himself, is no accepter of persons; but allows the same remedies to all Men oppress'd with the same necessities and infirmities; year, requires that we should use them. This he will easily perceive, who considers these things in the Spirit of the Lord.

Lastly, it is most certain, that the Lord hath commanded us to obey the civil Laws every one of his own Commonwealth, if they be not against the Laws of

God.

C H A P. XXXVII.

For what causes Divorce is permitted by the civil Law ex 1. Consensus Codic. de Repudiis.

IT is also manifest that the Law of Theodosius and Valentinian, which begins Confensiu, &c. touching Divorce, and many other Decrees of pious Emperors agreeing herewith, are not contrary to the word of God; and therfore may be recalled into use by any Christian Prince or Commonwealth; nay, ought to be with due respect had to every nation. For whatsoever is equal and just, that in every thing is to be sought and used by Christians. Hence it is plain that Divorce is granted by divine approbation, both to Husbands and to Wives, if either party can convict the other of these following offences before the Magistrate.

If the Husband can prove the Wise to be an Adultress, a Witch, a Murdress, to have bought or fold to slavery any one free-born, to have violated Sepulchres, committed Sacrilege, favour drhieves and robbers, desirous of seafting with strangers, the husband not knowing, or not willing, if she lodge forth without a just and probable cause, or

fre

frequent theatres and fights, he forbidding; if she be privy with those that plot against the State, or if she deal falsly, or offer blows. And if the wise can prove her Husband guilty of any those forenamed crimes, and frequent the company of lewd women in her sight; or if he beat her, she had the like liberty to quit herfelf; with this difference, that the Man after Divorce might forthwith marry again; the Woman not till a year after, left she might chance to have conceiv'd.

CHAP. XXXVIII.

An Exposition of those places wherin God declares the nature of hely Wedloc.

OW to the end it may feem that this agrees with the divine law, the first infilitution of Marriage is to be considered, and those texts in which God established the joining of male and female, and described the duties of them both. When God had determined to make Woman, and give her as a Wise to Man, he spake thus, Gen. ii. 18. It is not good for Man to be alone, I will make him a help-meet for him. And Adam said, but in the spirit of God, v. 23, 24. This is now hone of my bone, and sless of my flesh; Therfore shall a Man leave his Father and Mo-

ther, and shall cleave to bis Wife, and they shall be one flesh.

To this first institution did Christ recall his own; when answering the Pharisees, he condemn'd the licence of unlawful Divorce. He taught therfore by his example, that we, according to this first institution, and what God hath spoken theros, ought to determine what kind of Covenant Marriage is, how to be kept, and how far; and lastly, for what causes to be dissolved. To which Decrees of God these also are to be join'd, which the Holy Ghost hath taught by his Apostle, that neither the Husband nor the Wise hath power of their own body, but mutually each of either's. That the Husband shall love the Wise as his own body, yea as Christ loves his Church; and that the Wise ought to be subject to her Husband, as the Church is to Christ.

By these things the nature of holy Wedloc is certainly known; wherof is only one be wanting in both or either party, and that either by obstinate malevolence, or too deep inbred weakness of mind, or lastly, through incurable impotence of Body, it cannot then be faid that the covenant of Matrimony holds good between such ; if we mean that covenant which God instituted and call'd Marriage, and that wherof only it must be understood that our Saviour said, Those whom God hath

join'd, let no Man separate.

And hence is concluded, that Matrimony requires continual cohabitation and living together, unless the calling of God be otherwise evident; which union if the parties themselves disjoin either by mutual consent, or one against the other's will depart, the Marriage is then broken. Wherin the Papists, as in other things, oppose themselves against God; while they separate for many causes from bed and board, and yet will have the bond of Matrimony remain, as if this covenant could be other than the conjunction and communion not only of bed and board, but of all other loving and helpful duties. This we may fee in these words; I will make him a help-meet for him; bone of his bone, and flesh of his flesh: for this cause shall be leave Father and Mother, and cleave to his Wife, and they twain shall be one flesh. By which words who difcerns not, that God requires of them both fo to live together, and to be united not only in body but in mind also, with such an affection as none may be dearer and more ardent among all the relations of Mankind, nor of more efficacy to the mutual offices of love and loyalty. They must communicate and confent in all things both divine and human, which have any moment to well and happy living. The Wife muft honour and obey her Hufband, as the Church honours and obeys Christ her head. The Husband must love and cherish his Wife, as Christ his Church. Thus they must be to each other, if they will be true Man and Wife in the fight of God, whom certainly the Churches ought to follow in their judgment. Now the proper and ultimate end of Marriage is not copulation, or children, for then there was not true Matrimony between Joseph and Mary the Mother of Christ, nor between many holy persons more; but the full and proper and main end of Marriage, is the communicating of all duties, both divine and human, each to other with utmost benevolence and affection.

concerning DIVORCE.

CHAP. XXXIX.

The Proterties of a True and Christian Marriage more distinctly repeated.

Y which definition we may know that God esteems and reckons upon these four necessary properties to be in every true Marries. four necessary properties to be in every true Marriage. 1. That they should live together, unless the calling of God require otherwise for a time. 2. That they fhould love one another to the height of dearness, and that in the Lord, and in the communion of true Religion. 3. That the Husband bear himself as the head and preferver of his Wife, instructing her to all godliness and integrity of Life; that the Wife also be to her Husband a help, according to her place, especially furthering him in the true worship of God, and next in all the occasions of civil life. And 4. That they defraud not each other of conjugal benevolence, as the Apostle commands, 1 Cor. vii. Hence it follows, according to the fentence of God, which all Christians ought to be rul'd by, that between those who either through obstinacy, or helples inability, cannot or will not perform these repeated duties, between those there can be no true Matrimony, nor ought they to be counted Man and Wife.

CHAP. XL.

Whether these Crimes recited Chap. xxxvii. out of the Civil Law, dissolve Matrimony in God's account.

O W if a Husband or Wife be found guilty of any of those crimes, which by the Law consensu are made causes of Divorce, 'tis manifest that such a Man cannot be the head and preferver of his Wife, nor such a Woman be a meet help to her Hufband, as the divine Law in true Wedloc requires; for these faults are punish'd either by death, or deportation, or extreme infamy, which are directly opposite to the covenant of Marriage. If they deferve death, as Adultery and the like, doubtless God would not that any should live in Wedloc with them whom he would not have to live at all. Or if it be not death, but the incurring of notorious infamy, certain it is neither just, nor expedient, nor meet that an honest Man should be coupled with an infamous Woman, nor an honest Matron with an infamous Man. The wife Roman Princes had so great regard to the equal honour of either wedded person, that they counted those Marriages of no sorce which were made between the one of good repute, and the other of evil note. How much more will all honest regard of Christian expedience and comeliness beseem and concern those who are fet free and dignified in Christ, than it could the Roman Senate, or their Sons, for whom that Law was provided?

And this all godly Men will foon apprehend, that he who ought to be the head and preferver not only of his Wife, but also of his Children and Family, as Christ is of his Church, had need be one of honest name: so likewise the Wise, which is to be the meet help of an honest and good Man, the Mother of an honest Offspring and Family. The Glory of the Man, even as the Man is the Glory of Christ, should not be tainted with ignominy; as neither of them can avoid to be, having bin justly appeach'd of those forenamed crimes; and therfore cannot be worthy to hold their place in a Christian Family: yea, they themselves turn out themselves and dissolve that holy covenant. And they who are true Brethren and Sifters in the Lord, are no more in bondage to fuch violaters of Marriage.

But here the patrons of wickedness and dissolvers of Christian discipline will object, that it is the part of Man and Wife to bear one another's cross, whether in calamity or infamy, that they might gain each other, if not to a good name, yet to repentance and amendment. But they who thus object, feek the impunity of wickedness, and the favour of wicked Men, not the duties of true charity; which prefers public honesty before private interest, and had rather the remedies of wholesome punishment appointed by God should be in use, than that by remissiness, the licence of evil doing should encrease. For if they who, by committing such offences, have made void the holy knot of Marriage, be capable of repentance, they will be sooner mov'd when due punishment is executed on them, than when it is remitted.

We must ever beware, lest in contriving what will be best for the soul's health of Delinquents, we make ourselves wifer and discreeter than God. He that religiously Vol I. weighs

weighs his Oracles concerning Marriage, cannot doubt that they who have committed the forefuld transgressions, have lost the right of Matrimony, and are un-

worthy to hold their dignity in an honest and christian Family.

But if any Husband or Wife see such signs of repentance in their transgressor, as that they doubt not to regain them by continuing with them, and partaking of their miferies and attaintures, they may be left to their own hopes, and their own mind, faving ever the right of Church and Commonwealth, that it receive no foundal by the neglect of due feverity, and their Children no harm by this invitation to licence, and want of good education.

From all these considerations, if they be thought on, as in the presence of God, and out of his word, any one may perceive, who defires to determine of these things by the Scripture, that those causes of lawful Divorce, which the most religious Emperors Theodofius and Valentinian fet forth in the forecited place, are according to the law of God, and the prime institution of Marriage; and were still more and more straiten'd, as the Church and State of the Empire still more and more corrupted and degenerated. Therfore pious Princes and Commonwealths both may and ought establish them again, if they have a mind to restore the honour, fanctity, and reli_ion of holy wedloc to their people, and difentangle many confciences from a miferable and perilous condition, to a chafte and honeit life.

To those recited causes wherfore a Wife might send a Divorce to her Husband, Justinian added four more, Constit. 117. And four more, for which a Man might put away his Wife. Three other causes were added in the Cede de repudiis, 1. Jubemus. All which causes are so clearly contrary to the first intent of Marriage, that they plainly dissolve it. I set them not down, being easy to be found in the body

of the civil Law.

It was permitted also by Christian Emperors, that they who would divorce by mutual confent, might without Impediment. Or if there were any difficulty at all in it, the law expresses the reason, that it was only in favour of the children; so that if there were none, the law of those godly Emperors made no other difficulty of a Divorce by consent. Or if any were minded without consent of the other to divorce, and without those causes which have bin nam'd, the Christian Emperors laid no other punishment upon them, than that the Husband wrongfully divorcing his Wife, should give back her dowry, and the use of that which was called Donatio propter nuptias; or if there were no dowry nor no donation, that he should then give her the fourth part of his goods. The like penalty was inflicted on the Wife departing without just cause. But that they who were once married, should be compell'd to remain so ever against their wills, was not exacted. Wherin those pious Princes follow'd the Law of God in Deut. xxiv. 1. and his express charge by the Prophet Malachi to difmifs from him the Wife whom he hates. never meant in Marriage to give to Man a perpetual torment instead of a meet-help. Neither can God approve that to the violation of this holy league (which is violated as foon as true affection ceases and is lost) should be added murder, which is already committed by either of them who refolvedly hates the other, as I shew'd out of 1 John xv. Whoso hateth his Brother is a Murderer.

CHAP. XLI.

Whether the Husband or Wife deserted, may marry to another.

IH E Wife's defertion of her Husband, the Christian Emperors plainly decreed to be a just cause of Divorce, whenas they granted him the right therof, if the had but lain out one Night against his will without probable cause. But of the Man deferting his Wife they did not fo determine: Yet if we look into the word of God, we shall find, that he who though but for a year without just cause forfakes his Wife, and neither provides for her maintenance, nor fignifies his purpose of returning, and good-will towards her, whenas he may, hath forfeited his right in her fo forfaken. For the Spirit of God speaks plainly, that both Man and Wife have fuch power over one another's perfon, as that they cannot deprive each other of living together, but by confent, and for a time.

Hither may be added, that the holy Spirit grants defertion to be a cause of Divorce, in those Answers given to the Corinthians concerning a Brother or Sister deserted by a misbeliever. If he depart, let him depart, a Brother or a Sister is not under Bondage in

concerning DIVORCE.

fuch cases. In which words, who sees not that the Holy Ghost openly pronounced, that the party without cause deserted, is not bound for another's wilful desertion?

But fome will fay, that this is fpoken of a mifbeliever departing. But I befeech ye, doth not he reject the faith of Christ in his deeds, who rashly breaks the holy Covenant of Wedloc instituted by God? And besides this, the holy Spirit does not make the misbelieving of him who departs, but the departing of him who misbelieves, to be the just cause of freedom to the Brother or Sister.

Since therfore it will be agreed among Christians, that they who depart from Wedloc without just cause, do not only denythe faith of Matrimony, but of Christ also, whatever they profess with their Mouths; it is but reason to conclude, that the party deserted is not bound in case of causses desertion, but that he may lawfully seek another confort, if it be needful to him, toward a pure and blameless conversation.

CHAP. XLII.

That Impotence of Body, Leprofy, Madnefs, &c. are just causes of Divorce.

F this, because it was not disputed in the Doctrine and Discipline of Divorce, him that would know further, I commend to the Latin original.

CHAP. XLIII.

That to grant Divorce for all the causes which have bin hitherto brought, disagrees not from the words of Christ, naming only the cause of Adultery.

OW we must see how these things can stand with the words of our Saviour, who seems directly to forbid all Divorce except it be for Adultery. To the understanding wheref, we must ever remember this: That in the words of our Saviour there can be no contrariety: That his words and answers are not to be stretch'd beyond the question propos'd: That our Saviour did not there purpose to treat of all the causes for which it might be lawful to divorce and marry again; for then that in the Corinthians of marrying again without guilt of Adultery could not the added. That it is not good for that Man to be alone, who hath not the special gift from above. That it is good for every such one to be married, that he may shun Fornication.

With regard to these principles, let us see what our Lord answer'd to the tempting *Pharisees* about Divorce, and second Marriage, and how far his answer doth extend.

First, no Man who is not very contentious, will deny that the Pharifees ask'd our Lord whether it were lawful to put away fuch a Wife, as was truly, and according to God's law, to be counted a Wife; that is, such a one as would dwell with her Hufband, and both would and could perform the necessary duties of Wedloc tolerably. But she who will not dwell with her Husband, is not put away by him, but goes of herfelf: and she who denies to be a meet-help, or to be so hath made herself unfit by open Misdemeanors, or through incurable Impotencies cannot be able, is not by the Law of God to be efteemed a Wife; as hath bin shewn both from the first institution, and other places of Scripture. Neither certainly would the Pharifees propound a question concerning such an unconjugal Wife; for their depravation of the Law had brought them to that pass, as to think a Man had right to put away his Wife for any cause, though never so slight. Since therfore it is manifest that Christ answer'd the Pharifees concerning a fit and meet Wife according to the Law of God, whom he forbid to divorce for any cause but Fornication; who fees not that it is a Wickedness so to wrest and extend that Answer of his, as if it forbade to divorce her who hath already forfaken, or hath loft the place and dignity of a Wife, by deferved infamy, or bath undertaken to be that which she hath not natural ability to be?

This truth is so powerful, that it hath mov'd the Papists to grant their kind of Divorce for other causes besides Adultery, as sor ill usage, and the not performing of conjugal duty; and to separate from bed and board for these causes, which is as much Divorce, as they grant for Adultery.

But some perhaps will object, that though it be yielded that our Lord granted Divorce not only for Adultery, yet it is not certain that he permitted Marriage after Vol. I.

Pp 2

Divorce,

Divorce, unless for that only cause. I answer, first, that the Sentence of Divorce, and second Marriage, is one and the same. So that when the right of Divorce is evinc'd to belong not only to the cause of Fornication, the power of second Marriage is also prov'd to be not limited to that cause only; and that most evidently, whenas the Holy Ghost, I Cor. vii. so frees the deserted party from Bondage, as that he may not only send a just Divorce in case of Desertion, but may seek an-

Laftly, Seeing God will not that any should live in danger of Fornication and utter ruin for the default of another, and hath commanded the Husband to send away with a Bill of Divorce her whom he could not love; it is impossible that the charge of Adultery should belong to him who for lawful causes divorces and marries, or to her who marries after she hath bin unjustly rejected, or to him who receives her without all fraud to the former wedloc. For this were a horrid blasphemy against God, so to interpret his words, as to make him dissent from himself; for who sees not a flat contradiction in this, to enthral blameless Men and Women to miseries and injuries, under a false and soothing title of Marriage, and yet to declare by his Apostle, that a Brother or Sister is not under bondage in such cases? No less do these two things conflict with themselves, to enforce the innocent and faultless to endure the pain and misery of another's perversences, or else to live in unavoidable temptation; and to affirm elsewhere that he lays on no Man the burden of another Man's sin, nor doth constrain any Man to the endangering of his Soul.

CHAP. XLIV.

That to those also who are justly divorc'd, second Marriage ought to be permitted.

THIS although it be well prov'd, yet because it concerns only the Offender, I leave him to search out his own Charter himself in the Author.

CHAP. XLV.

That some persons are so ordain'd to Marriage, as that they cannot obtain the gift of Continence, no not by earnest Prayer; and that therin every one is to be left to his own Judgment and Conscience, and not to have a burden laid upon him by any other.

CHAP. XLVI.

The Words of the Apostle concerning the praise of single Life unfolded.

THESE two Chapters not fo immediately debating the right of Divorce, I chose rather not to insert.

C H A P. XLVII.

The Conclusion of this Treatise.

HESE things, most renowned King, I have brought together, both to explain for what causes the unhappy, but sometimes most necessary help of Divorce ought to be granted, according to God's Word, by Princes and Rulers: as also to explain how the words of Christ do consent with such a grant. I have bin large indeed both in handling those Oracles of God, and in laying down those certain principles, which he who will know what the mind of God is in this matter, must ever think on and remember. But if we consider what mist and obscurity hath bin pour'd out by Antichrist upon this question, and how deep this pernicious contempt of Wedloc, and admiration of single life, even in those who are not call'd therto, hath sunk into many Men's persuasions, I fear lest all that hath bin said, be hardly enough to persuade such that they would cease at length to make themselves wifer and holier than God himself.

himself, in being so severe to grant-lawful Marriage, and so easy to connive at all, not only whoredoms, but deflowerings and adulteries: Whenas among the

people of God, no whoredom was to be tolerated.

Our Lord Jefus Christ, who came to destroy the works of Satan, send down his Spirit upon all Christians, and principally upon Christian Governors both in Church and Commonwealth (for of the clear judgment of your royal Majesty I nothing doubt, revolving the Scripture so often as ye do) that they may acknowledge how much they provoke the anger of God against us, whenas all kind of unchastity is tolerated, fornications and adulteries wink'd at: But holy and honourable Wedloe is oft with-held by the mere persuasion of Antichrist, from such as without this remedy, cannot preserve themselves from damnation! For none who hath but a spark of honesty will deny that Princes and States ought to use diligence toward the maintaining of pure and honest life among all Men, without which all Justice, all sear of God, and true Religion decays.

And who knows not that chaftity and pureness of life can never be restor'd, or continued in the Commonwealth, unless it be first establish'd in private houses, from whence the whole breed of Men is to come forth? To essect this, no wise Man can doubt that it is necessary for Princes and Magistrates first with severity to punish Whoredom and Adultery; next to see that Marriages be lawfully contracted, and in the Lord; then that they be faithfully kept; and lastly, when that unhappiness urges, that they be lawfully dissolv'd, and other Marriage granted, according as the law of God, and of Nature, and Constitutions of pious Princes have decreed; as I have shewn both by evident authorities of Scripture, together with the writings of the ancient Fathers, and other testimonies. Only the Lord grant that we may learn to prefer his ever just and faving Word, before the Comments of Antichrist, too deeply rooted in many, and the salse and blasphemous Exposition of our Saviour's words. Amen.

A Postscript.

HUS far Martin Bucer: Whom, where I might without injury to either part of the cause, I deny not to have epitomiz'd; in the rest observing a well-warranted rule, not to give an Inventory of fo many words, but to weigh their force. I could have added that eloquent and right Christian discourse, written by Erasmus on this Argument, not disagreeing in effect from Bucer. But this, I hope, will be enough to excuse me with the mere Englishman, to be no forger of new and loofe opinions. Others may read him in his own phrase on the first to the Corinthians, and eafe me who never could delight in long citations, much lefs in whole traductions; whether it be natural disposition or education in me, or that my Mother bore me a speaker of what God made mine own, and not a translator. There be others also whom I could reckon up, of no mean account in the Church (and Peter Martyr among the first) who are more than half our own in this Controversy. But this is a providence not to be slighted, that as Bucer wrote this tractate of Divorce in England and for England, so Erasmus prosesses he begun here among us the same subject, especially out of compassion, for the need he saw this Nation had of tome charitable redrefs herein; and ferioufly exhorts others to use their best industry in the clearing of this point, wherin custom liath a greater sway than verity. That therfore which came into the mind of these two admired strangers to do for England, and in a touch of highest prudence which they took to be not yet recover'd from monastic superstition, if I a native am found to have done for mine own Country, altogether suitably and conformly to their so large and clear understanding, yet without the least help of theirs, I suppose that henceforward among confcionable and judicious persons, it will no more be thought to my diferedit, or at all to this Nation's dishonour. And if these their Books, the one shall be printed often with best allowance in most religious Cities, the other with express authority of Leo the tenth, a Pope, shall for the propagating of truth, be publish'd and republish'd, though against the receiv'd opinion of that Church, and mine containing but the fame thing, shall in a time of reformation, a time of free speaking, free writing, not find a permission to the Press; I refer me to wisest Men, whether truth be fusser'd to be truth, or liberty to be liberty now among us, and be not again in danger of new

The Judgment of Martin Bucer, &c.

fetters and captivity after all our hopes and labours lost: and whether Learning be not (which our enemies too prophetically fear'd) in the way to be trodden down again by ignorance. Wherof while time is, out of the faith owing to God and my Country, I bid this Kingdom beware; and doubt not but God who hath dignify'd this Parliament already to fo many glorious degrees, will also give them (which is a fingular bleffing) to inform themselves rightly in the midst of an unprincipled age; and to prevent this working mystery of ignorance and ecclesiastical thraldom, which under new shapes and disguises begins a-fresh to grow

Cola=

Coladerina:

A REPLY to a Nameless Answer against the Doctrine and Discipline of Divorce.

Wherin the trivial Author of that Answer is discovered, the Licenser conferr'd with, and the Opinion which they traduce, defended.

PROV. xxvi. 5. Answer a Fool according to his Folly, lest he be wife in his own Conceit.

FTER many Rumours of Confutations and Convictions, forth-coming against the Doctrine and Discipline of Divorce, and now and then a by-blow from the Pulpit, feather'd with a censure strict indeed, but how true, more beholden to the Authority of that devout place which it borrow'd to be uttered in, than to any found reason which it could oracle; while I still hoped as for a bleffing to some piece of diligence, or learned discretion come from them, it was my hap at length, lighting on a certain parcel of Queries, that feek and find not, to find not feeking, at the tail of Anabaptistical, Antinomian, Heretical, Atheistical Epithets, a jolly Slander, called Divorce at pleafure. I ftood a-while and wonder'd, what we might do to a Man's heart, or what Anatomy use, to find in it fincerity; for all our wonted Marks every day fail us, and where we thought it was, we fee it is not, for alter and change refidence it cannot fure. And yet I fee no good of Body or of Mind fecure to a Man for all his past labours, without perpetual watchfulness and perseverance. Whenas one above others, who hath fuffer'd much and long in the defence of Truth, shall after all this, give her cause to leave him so destitute and so vacant of her desence, as to yield his Mouth to be the common road of Truth and Falshood, and such Falshood as is joined with a rash and heedless Calumny of his Neighbour. For what Book hath he ever met with, as his complaint is, Printed in the City, maintaining either in the title, or in the whole pursuance, Divorce at pleasure? 'Tis true, that to divorce upon extreme necessity, when through the perversences, or the apparent unfitness of either, the continuance can be to both no good at all, but an intolerable injury and temptation to the wrong'd and the defrauded, to divorce then there is a Book that writes it lawful. And that this Law is a pure and wholesome national Law, not to be with-held from good Men, because others likely enough may abuse it to their pleasure, cannot be charged upon that Book, but must be entred a bold and impious Accusation against God himself; who did not for this abuse with-hold it from his own people. It will be just therfore, and best for the reputation of him who in his Subitanes hath thus cenfured, to recall his Sentence. And if out of the abundance of his Volumes, and the readiness of his Quill, and the vaftness of his other Employments, especially in the great Audit for Accounts, he can spare us aught to the better understanding of this point, he shall be thank'd in public; and what hath offended in the Book, shall willingly submit to his correction. Provided he be fure not to come with those old and stale Suppositions, unless he can take away clearly what that Discourse hath urged against them, by one who will expect other Arguments to be perfuaded the good health of a found Antwer, than the Gout and Dropfy of a big Margent, litter'd and overlaid with crude and huddled Quotations. But as I still was waiting, when these light-armed Refuters would have done pelting at their three Lines uttered with a fage delivery of no Reason, but an impotent and worse than Bonnerlike Censure, to burn that which provokes them to a fair dispute; at length a Book was brought to my hands, entitled, An Answer to the Dostrine and Discipline of Divorce.

Gladly I received it, and very attentively composed myself to read; hoping that now fome good Man had vouchfafed the pains to instruct me better, than I could yet learn out of all the Volumes which for this purpose I had visited. Only this I marvell'd, and other Men have fince, whenas I, in a subject so new to this Age, and so hazardous to please, concealed not my Name, why this Author, defending that part which is so creeded by the People, would conceal his. But ere I could enter three leaves into the Pamphlet, (for I defer the pleafant rudeness, which by the licenser's leave I met with afterwards) my fatisfaction came in abundantly, that it could be nothing why he durst not name himself, but the guilt of his own wretcheduefs. For first, not to speak of his abrupt and bald beginning, his very first Page notoriously bewrays him an illiterate and arrogant presumer in that which he understands not, hearing us in hand as if he knew both Greek and Hebrew, and is notable to spell it; which had he been, it had been either written as it ought, or scor'd upon the Printer. If it be excused as the carelessness of his deputy, be it known, the learned Author himself is inventoried, and summ'd up to the utmost value of his Livery-Cloak. Whoever he be, though this to some may feem a flight Contest, I shall yet continue to think that Man full of other fecret injustice, and deceitful pride, who shall offer in public to assume the skill, though, it be but of a Tongue which he hath not, and would catch his Readers to believe of his ability, that which is not in him. The Licenfer indeed, as his Authority now stands, may license much; but if these Greek Orthographies were of his Licenfing, the Boys at School might reckon with him at his Grammar. Nor did I find this his want of the pretended Languages alone, but accompanied with fuch a low and home-four Expression of his Mother-English all along, without joint or frame, as made me ere I knew further of him, often stop and conclude, that this Author could for certain be no other than some Mechanic, Nor was the stile flat and rude, and the matter grave and folid, for then there had bin pardon; but fo shallow and to unwary was that also, as gave sufficiently the character of a gross and sluggish, yet a contentious and over-weaning pretender. For first, it behoving him to shew, as he promifes, what Divorce is, and what the true Doctrine and Discipline therof, and this being to do by fuch principles and proofs as are receiv'd on both fides, he performs neither of these; but shews it first from the Judaical practice, which he himself disallows, and next from the practice of Canon Law, which the Book he would consute utterly rejects, and all Laws depending theron; which this puny Clerk calls the Laws of England, and yet pronounceth them by an Ecclefiastical Judge: as if that were to be accounted the Law of England, which dependeth on the Popery of England; or if it were, this Parlament he might know hath now damn'd that Judicature. So that whether his meaning were to inform his own Party, or to confute his Adversary, instead of shewing us the true Doctrine and Discipline of Divorce, he shews us nothing but his own contemptible Ignorance. For what is the Mofaic Law to his Opinion? And what is the Canon, utterly now antiquated, either to that, or to mine? Ye see already what a faithful Definer we have of him. From fuch a wind Egg of definition as this, they who expect any of his other Arguments to be well hatch'd, let them enjoy the virtue of their worthy Champion. But one thing more I observed, a singular note of his stupidity, and that his trade is not to meddle with Books, much lefs with Confutations; whenas the Doctrine of Divorce had now a whole Year bin publish'd the second time, with many Arguments added, and the former ones bettered and confirmed, this idle Pamphlet comes reeling forth against the first Edition only, as may appear to any by the Pages quoted; which put me in mind of what by chance I had notice of to this purpose the last Summer, as nothing so serious but happens oft-times to be attended with a ridiculous accident: It was then told me that the Doctrine of Divorce was answered, and the Answer half printed against the first Edition, not by one, but by a pack of Heads; of whom the chief, by circumstance, was intimated to me, and fince ratified to be no other, if any can hold laughter, and I am fure none will guess him lower than an actual Serving-man. This Creature, for the flory must on, (and what though he be the lowest person of an Interlude, he may deferve a canvassing) transplanted himself, and to the improvement of his Wages, and your better notice of his Capacity, turned Solicitor. And having converfed much with a stripling Divine or two of these newly-fledg'd Probationers, that usually come scouting from the University, and lie here no lame Legers to pop into the Bethejda of some Knight's Chaplainship, where they bring Grace to his good Cheer, but no Peace or Benediction else to his House; these made the Cham-party, he contributed the Law, and both joined in the Divinity. Which made meintend, following the advice also of friends, to lay aside

Doctrine and Discipline of DIVORCE.

the thought of mispending a Reply to the Buz of such a Drone's nest. But finding that it lay, whatever was the matter, half a year after unfinished in the Press, and hearing for certain that a Divine of note, out of his good-will to the Opinion, had taken it into his Revise, and something had put out, something put in, and struck it here and there with a clove of his own Calligraghy to keep it from tainting: And farther, when I saw the Stuff, though very coarse and threadbare, garnish'd and trimly faced with the commendations of a Licenser, I resolv'd, so soon as leisure granted me the recreation, that my Man of Law should not altogether lose his Soliciting. Although I impute a share of the making to him whose Name I find in the Approbation, who may take, as his Mind serves him, this Reply. In the mean while it shall be seen, I resuse no Occasion, and avoid no Adversary, either to maintain what I have begun, or to give it up for better reason.

To begin then with the Licenfer and his Cenfure. For a Licenfer is not contented now to give his single Imprimatur, but brings his Chair into the Title-leat; there fits and judges up, or judges down what Book he pleafes: If this be fuffered, what worthless Author, or what cunning Printer will not be ambitious of such a staleto put off the heaviest geer; which may in time bring in round Fees to the Licenser, and wretched misleading to the People? But to the matter: he approves the publishing of this Book, to preserve the strength and honour of Marriage against those sad breaches and dangerous abuses of it. Belike then the wrongful fuffering of all those sad breaches and abuses in Marriage to a remediless thraldom, is the strength and honour of Marriage; a boisterous and bestial Strength, a dishonourable Honour, an infatuated Doctrine, worse than the Salvo jure of tyrannizing, which we all fight against. Next he faith, that common Discontents make these Breaches in unstaid Minds, and Men given to change. His words may be apprehended, as if they difallowed only to divorce for common Difcontents, in unflaid Minds, having no caufe, but a defire of change, and then we agree. But if he take all Discontents on this side Adultery, to be common, that is to say, not difficult to endure, and to affect only unstaid Minds, it might administer just cause to think him the unfittest Man that could be, to offer at a * Comment upon * Mr. Caryl Job; as feeming by this to have no more true fense of a good Man in his afflictions, than those Edomitish friends had, of whom Job complains, and against whom God testifies his anger. Shall a Man of your own Coat, who hath espoused his Flock, and represents Christ more, in being the true Husband of his Congregation, than an ordinary Man doth in being the Husband of his Wife, and yet this representment is thought a chief cause why Marriage must be inseparable; shall this spiritual Man ordinarily for the increase of his maintenance, or any slight cause, for sake that wedded Cure of Souls, that should be dearest to him, and marry another and another? And shall not a Person wrongfully afflicted, and persecuted even to extremity, for sake an unfit, injurious, and pestilent Mate, tied only by a civil and fleshly Covenant? If you be a Man fo much hating Change, hate that other Change; if yourfelf be not guilty, counfel your Brethren to hate it; and leave to be the supercilious Judge of other Men's Miseries and Changes, that your own be not judged. The reasons of your licensed Pamphlet, you say, are good; they must be better than your own then, I shall wonder else how such a trivial fellow was accepted and commended, to be the confuter of fo dangerous an Opinion as ye give out mine.

Now therfore to your Attorney, fince no worthier an Adversary makes his Appearance, nor this neither his Appearance, but lurking under the safety of his nameless obscurity; such as ye turn him forth at the Postern, I must accept him, and in a better temper than Ajax, do mean to scourge this Ramfor ye, till I meet with his Ulvises.

He begins with Law, and we have it of him as good, cheap as any Huckster at Law, newly set up, can possibly afford, and as impertinent; but for that he hath received his hansel. He presumes also to cite the Civil Law, which I perceive, by his citing, never came within his Dormitory; yet what he cites, makes but against himself.

His fecond thing therfore, is to refute the adverse Position, and very methodically, three Pages before he sets it down; and sets his own in the place, That disagreement of Mind or Disposition, though shewing itself in much sharpness, is not by the Law of God or Man a just cause of Divorce.

To this Polition I answer; That it lays no battery against mine, no nor so much as faces it, but tacks about long ere it come near, like a harmless and respectful Confutement. For I confess that disagreement of Mind or Disposition, though in much Vol. I.

sharpness, is not always a just cause of Divorce; for much may be endured. But what if the sharpness be much more than his much? To that point it is our mishap we have not here his grave decision. He that will contradict the Position which I alledg'd, must hold that no disagreement of Mind or Disposition can divorce, though shewn in most sharpness; otherwise he leaves a place for Equity to appoint limits, and so his following Arguments will either not prove his own Position, or not disprove mine.

His first Argument, all but what hobbles to no purpose, is this; Where the Scripture commands a thing to be done, it appoints when, how, and for what, as in the case of Death, or Excommunication. But the Scripture directs not what measure of disagreement or contrariety may divorce; Therfore the Scripture allows

not any Divorce for difagreement.

Answ. First, I deny your Major; the Scripture appoints many things, and yet leaves the circumstance to Man's discretion, particularly in your own Examples; Excommunication is not taught when, and for what to be, but lest to the Church. How could the Licenser let pass this childish ignorance, and call it good? Next, in matters of Death, the Laws of England, whereof you have intruded to be an opiniastrous Sub-advocate, and are bound to defend them, conceive it not enjoined in Scripture, when or for what cause they shall put to death, as in Adultery, Thest, and the like. Your Minor also is false, for the Scripture plainly sets down for what measure of disagreement a Man may divorce, Deut. xxiv. 1. Learn better what that phrase means, if she find no favour in his eyes.

Your fecond Argument, without more tedious fumbling, is briefly thus: If Diverfity in Religion, which breeds a greater diflike than any natural disagreement, may not cause a Divorce, then may not the lesser disagreement: But diver-

fity of Religion may not; Ergo.

Answ. First, I deny in the Major, that diversity of Religion breeds a greater dislike to Marriage-duties, than natural Disagreement. For between Israelite, or Christian and Insidel, more often hath been seen too much love: but between them who perpetually clash in natural Contrarieties, it is repugnant that there should be ever any married Love or Concord. Next, I deny your Minor, that it is commanded not to divorce in diversity of Religion, if the Insidel will stay: for that place in St. Paul commands nothing, as that Book at large affirmed, though you over-skipt it.

Secondly, If it do command, it is but with condition that the Infidel be content, and well-pleafed to ftay, which cuts off the fuppofal of any great hatred or difquiet between them, feeing the Infidel had liberty to depart at pleafure; and fo

this comparison avails nothing.

Your third Argument is from Deut. xxii. If a Man hate his Wife, and raise an ill report, that he found her no Virgin; if this were false, he might not put her

away, though hated never fo much.

Answer. This was a malicious hatred, bent against her Life, or to send her out of doors without her Portion. Such a hater loses by due punishment that privilege, Deut. xxiv. 1. to divorce for a natural Dislike; which though it could not love conjugally, yet sent away civilly, and with just conditions. But doubtless the Wife in that former case had liberty to depart from her salse Accuser, less his hatred should prove mortal; else that Law peculiarly made to right the Woman, had turned to her greatest mischief.

Your fourth Argument; One Christian ought to bear the infirmities of another,

but chiefly of his Wife.

Answer. I grant infirmities, but not outrages, nor perpetual defraudments of trueft conjugal fociety, not injuries and vexations as importunate as fire. Yet to endure very much, might do well an Exhortation, but not a compulfive Law. For the Spirit of God himfelf, by Solomon, declares that fuch a Confort the Earth cannot bear, and better dwell in a corner of the House-top, or in the Wilderness. Burthens may be borne, but still with consideration to the strength of an honest Man complaining. Charity indeed bids us forgive our Enemies, yet doth not force us to continue friendship and familiarity with those friends who have been false or unworthy towards us; but is contented in our peace with them, at a fair distance. Charity commands not the Husband to receive again into his Bosom the adulterous Wise, but thinks it enough, if he dismiss her with a beneficent and peaceful Dismission. No more doth Charity command; nor can her Rule compel, to retain in nearest Union of Wedloc, one whose other grossest faults, or disabilities to perform what was covenanted, are the just cau-

fes of as much grievance and diffension in a Family, as the private Act of Adultery. Let not therfore, under the name of fulfilling Charity, fach an unmerciful and more than legal Yoke, be padlock'd upon the Neck of any Christian.

Your fifth Argument: If the Husband ought to love his Wife, as Christ his

Church, then ought she not to be put away for contrariety of Mind.

Answer. This Similitude turns against him: For if the Husband must be as Christ to the Wise, then must the Wise be as the Church to her Husband. It there be a perpetual contrariety of Mind in the Church toward Christ, Christ himfelf threatens to divorce fuch a Spoule, and hath often done it. If they urge this

was no true Church, I urge again that was no true Wife.

His fixth Argument is from Matth. v. 32. which he expounds after the old fashion, and nevertakes notice of what I brought against that Expesition; let him therfore feek his Answer there. Yet can he not leave this Argument, but he must needs first shew us a curvet of his madness, holding out an Objection, and runing himself upon the point. For, saith he, if Christ except no Cause but Adu'tery, then all other Causes, as Frigidity, incestuous Marriage, &c. are no Causes of Divorce; and answers, That the Speech of Christ holds universally, as he intended it; namely, to condemn such Divorce as was groundlesly practised among the Fews, for every cause which they thought sufficient, not checking the Law of Confanguinities or Affinities, or forbidding other Caufe which makes Marriage void, ipsofacto.

Anfw. Look to it now, you be not found taking Fees on both fides; for it you once bring Limitations to the univerful Words of Christ, another will do as much with as good Authority; and affirm, that neither did he check the Law, Deut. xxiv. 1. nor forbid the Causes that make Marriage void actually; which is any thing in the World doth, Unfitness doth, and Contrariety of Mind; yea, more than Adultery, for that makes not the Marriage void, not much more unfit, but for the time, if the offended Party forgive. But Unfitness and Contrariety frustrates and nullifies for ever, unless it be a rare chance, all the good and peace of wedded Conversation; and leaves nothing between them enjoyable, but a prone and favage Necessity, not worth the name of Marriage, unaccompanied

with Love. Thus much his own Objection hath done against himself.

Argument 7th. He infifts, that Man and Wife are one flesh, therfore must not feparate. But must be sent to look again upon the * 35th Page of that Book, where he might read an Answer, which he stirs not. Yet can he not abstain, but he Edicion. must do us another pleasure ere he goes; although I call the Common Pleas to witness, I have not hired his Tongue, whatever Men may think by his arguing. For besides Adultery, he excepts other Causes which dissolve the Union of being one flesh, either directly, or by consequence. If only Adultery be excepted by our Saviour, and he voluntarily can add other Exceptions that diffolve that Union, both directly and by consequence, these Words of Christ, the main Obstacle of Divorce, are open to us by his own Invitation, to include whatever Causes diffolve that Union of Flesh, either directly or by consequence. Which, till he name other Causes more likely, I affirm to be done soonest by Unfitness and Contrariety of Mind; for that induces Hatred, which is the greatest Dissolver both of spiritual and corporal Union, turning the Mind, and confequently the Body, to other Objects. Thus our doughty Adversary, either directly or by consequence, yields us the question with his own Mouth; and the next thing he does, recants it again.

His 8th Argument shivers in the uttering, and he confesseth to be not over-confident of it; but of the rest it may be sworn he is. St. Paul, 1 Car. vii. faith, that the married have trouble in the flesh; therfore we must bear it, though never so into-

Ierable.

I answer, If this be a true consequence, why are not all Troubles to be born alike? Why are we suffered to divorce Adulteries, Defertions, or Frigidities? Who knows not that Trouble and Affliction is the Decree of God upon every state of Life? Follows it therfore, that though they grow excessive and insupportable, we must not avoid them? If we may in all other Conditions, and not in Marriage, the doom of our fuffering ties us not by the Trouble, but by the Bond of Marriage; and that must be proved inseparable from other Reasons, not from this place. And his own Confession declares the weakness of this Argument, yet his ungovern'd Arrogance could not be diffuaded from venting it.

Vol. I. Qq2His

His 9th Argument is, that a Husband must love his Wife as himself; therfore he may not divorce for any Disagreement, no more than he may separate his Soul

from his Body.

I answer: If he love his Wife as himself, he must love her so far as he may preferve him to her in a cheerful and comfortable manner, and not so as to ruin himself by Anguish and Sorrow, without any benefit to her. Next, if the Husband must love his Wife as himself, she must be understood a Wife in some reasonable measure, willing and sufficient to perform the chief Duties of her Covenant, else by the hold of this Argument, it would be his great Sin to divorce either for Adutery or Desertion. The rest of this will run circuit with the Union of one Flesh, which was answered before. And that to divorce a Relative and Metaphorical Union of two Bodies into one Flesh, can't be liken'd in all things to the dividing of that natural Union of Soul and Body into one Person, is apparent of itself.

His last Argument he fetches from the inconvenience that would follow upon this freedom of Divorce, to the corrupting of Men's minds, and the overturning

of all human Society.

But for me, let God and *Moses* answer this Blasphemer, who dares bring in such a foul Indictment against the Divine Law. Why did God permit this to his peope the Jews, but that the Right and Good which came directly therby, was more in his esteem, than the Wrong and Evil which came by accident? And for those weak Supposes of Infants that would be left in their Mothers Belly (which must needs be good News for Chamber-maids to hear a Serving-man grown to provident for great Bellies) and Portions and Jointures likely to incur imbezlement hereby, the ancient Civil Law instructs us plentifully how to award, which our

profound Opposite knew not, for it was not in his Tenures.

His Arguments are foun; now follows the Chaplain with his Antiquities, wifer if he had refrained, for his very touching aught that is learned, foils it, and lays him still more and more open, a conspicuous Gull. There being both Fathers and Councils more ancient, wherwith to have ferved his purpose better than with what he cites, how may we do to know the fubtle drift that moved him to begin first with the twelfth Council of Toledo? I would not undervalue the depth of his Notion; but perhaps he had heard that the Men of Toledo had store of good Blade Mettle, and were excellent at Cutling: Who can tell but it might be the reach of his policy, that these able Men of Decision would do best to have the prime stroke among his Testimonies in deciding this cause? But all this craft avails him not; for feeing they allow no cause of Divorce but Fornication, what do these keen Doctors here, but cut him over the Sinews with their Toledo's, for holding in the precedent Page other Caufes of Divorce befides, both directly and by consequence? As evil doth that Saxon Council, next quoted, bestead him. For it it allow Divorce precifely for no cause but Fornication, it thwarts his own Exposition: and if it understand Fornication largely, it sides with whom he would However, the Authority of that Synod can be but small, being under Theodorus, the Canterbury Bishop, a Grecian Monk of Tarsus, revolted from his own Church to the Pope. What have we next? The Civil Law stuffed in between two Councils, as if the Code had been fome Synod; for that he understood himfelf in this Quotation, is incredible; where the Law, Cod. l. 3. tit. 38. leg. 11. speaks not of Divorce, but against the dividing of Possessions to divers Heirs, wherby the married Servants of a great Family were divided, perhaps into diftant Countries and Colonies; Father from Son, Wife from Hufband, fore against their will. Somewhat lower he confesseth, that the Civil Law allows many Reafons of Divorce, but the Canon Law decrees otherwife; a fair credit to his cause! And I amaze me, though the fancy of this Doubt be as obtufe and fad as any Mallet, how the Licenter could fleep out all this, and fuffer him to uphold his Opinion by Canons and Gregorial Decretals; a Law which not only his Adverfary, but the whole Reformation of this Church and State hath branded and rejected. As ignorantly, and too ignorantly to deceive any Reader but an unlearned, he talks of Justin Martyr's Apology, not telling us which of the twain; for that passage in the beginning of his first, which I have cited elsewhere, plainly makes against him: So doth Tertullian, cited next, and next Erasmus, the one against Marcion, the other in his Annotations on Matthew, and to the Corinthians. And thus ye have the List of his choice Antiquities, as pleasantly chosen as ye would with from a Man of his handy Vocation, puffed up with no luck at all, above the stint of his capacity.

Now he comes to the Position, which I set down whole; and like an able Textman, sits it into four, that he may the better come at it with his Barber-Surgery, and his Sleeves turned up. Wherin sirt, he denies that any Disposition, Unstrans, or Contrariety of Mind, is unchangeable in Nature, but that by the help of

Diet and Physic, it may be altered.

I mean not to dispute Chilosophy with this Pork, who never read any. But I appeal to all Experience, though there be many drugs to purge these redundant Humours and Circulations, that commonly impair Health, and are not natural, whether any Man can with the fafety of his life bring a healthy Constitution into Physic with this design, to alter his natural temperament and disposition of Mind. How much more vain and ridiculous would it be, by altering and rooting up the Grounds of Nature, which is most likely to produce Death or Madness, to hope the reducing of a Mind to this or that fitness, or two disagreeing Minds to a mutual Sympathy? Suppose they might, and that with great danger of their Lives and right Sentes, after one temperature, how can they know that the fucceeding Dispetition will not be as far from Fitness and Agreement? They would perhaps change Melancholy into Sanguine; but what if Phlegm and Choler in as great a measure come instead, the Unstress will be still as difficult and troublesome? But laftly, whether thefe things be changeable or not, Experience teaches us, and our Polition supposes that they seldom do change in any time commensurable to the Necessities of Man, or convenient to the Ends of Marriage; and if the fault be in the one, shall the other live all his days in Bondage and Misery for another's perverseness, or immedicable disaffection? To my friends, of which may fewest be founhappy, I have a Remedy, as they know, more wife and manly to prescribe: but for his Friends and Followers (of which many may deserve justly to feel themselves the unhappiness which they consider not in others) I fend them by his advice to fit upon the Stool and strain, till their cross Dispositions and Contrarieties of Mind shall change to a better correspondence, and to a quicker apprehenfion of common fense, and their own good.

His fecond Reason is as heedless; because that Grace may change the Disposi-

tion, therfore no Indisposition may cause Divorce.

Arfw. First, it will not be deniable that many persons, gracious both, may yet happen to be very unsitly married to the great disturbance of either. Secondly, What if one have Grace, the other not, and will not alter, as the Scriptures testify there be of those, in whom we may expect a change, when the Black-a-moor changes his colour, or the Leopard his Spots, Jer. xiii. 23. Shall the gracious therfore dwell in torment all his life for the ungracious? We see that holiest Precepts, than which there can no better Physic be administred to the mind of Man, and set on with powerful preaching, cannot work this cure, no not in the Family, not in the Wite of him that preaches day and night to her. What an unreasonable thing it is, that Men, and Clergymen especially, should exact such wondrous changes in another Man's House, and are seen to work so little in their own?

To the fecond Point of the Position, That this Unstitutes hinders the main Ends and Benefits of Marriage; he answers, if I mean the Unstitutes of Choler, or sullen

Disposition, that feft words, according to Solomon, pacify wrath.

But I reply, That the faying of Solomon is a Proverb, frequently true, not univerfally, as both the Event shews, and many other Sentences written by the same Author, particularly of an evil Woman, Prov. xxi. 9, 19, and in other Chapters, that she is better shunn'd than dwelt with, and a Desert is preferr'd before her Society. What need the Spirit of God put this choice into our heads, if soft words could always take effect with her? How frivolous is not only this Disputer, but

he that taught him thus, and let him come abroad?

To his fecond Antwer I return this, That although there be not eafily found fuch an Antipathy, as to hate one another like a Toad or Poifon; yet that there is oft fuch a diflike in both, or either, to conjugal Love, as hinders all the comfort of Matrimony, fearce any can be fo simple as not to apprehend. And what can be that favour, found or not found, in the eyes of the Husband, but a natural Liking or Disliking; wherof the Law of God, Deut. xxiv. bears witness, as of an ordinary Accident, and determines wifely and divinely therafter. And this dislatisfaction happening to be in the one, not without the unspeakable discomfort of the other, must be be left like a thing confecrated to Calamity and Despair, without redemption?

Against the third Branch of the Position, hedenies that Solace and Peace, which is contrary to Difcord and Variance, is the main end of Marriage. Whatthen? He will have it the Solace of Male and Female. Came this Doctrine out of tome School or fome Sty? Who but one forfaken of all Sense and civil Nature, and chiefly of Christianity, will deny that Peace, contrary to Discord, is the Calling and the general End of every Christian, and of all his Actions, and more especially of Marriage, which is the dearest League of Love, and the dearest Resemblance of that Love which in Christ is dearest to his Church? How then can Peace and Comfort, as it is contrary to Difcord, which God hates to dwell with, not be the main end of Marriage? Difcord then we ought to fly, and to purfue Peace, far above the observance of a civil Covenant already broken, and the breaking daily iterated on the other fide. And what better Tellimony than the words of the Institution itself, to prove that a conversing Solace and peaceful Society, is the prime end of Marriage, without which no other Help or Office can be mutual, befeeming the Dignity of reasonable Creatures, that such as they should be coupled in the Rites of Nature by the mere compulsion of Lust, without Love or Peace, worse than wild Beafts? Nor was it half fo wifely spoken as some deem, though Austin spake it, that if God had intended other than Copulation in Marriage, he would for Adam have created a Friend, rather than a Wife, to converfe with, and our own Writers blame him for this opinion: for which and the like passages, concerning Marriage, he might be justly taxed of Rusticity in these affairs. For this cannot but be with case conceived, that there is one society of grave Friendship, and another amiable and attractive Society of conjugal Love, besides the deed of Procreation, which of itself soon cloys, and is despised, unless it be cherish'd and re-incited with a pleafing Convertation. Which if ignoble and fwainish Minds cannot apprehend, shall such merit therfore to be the Censurers of more generous and vertuous Spirits?

Against the last Point of the Position, to prove that Contrariety of Mind is not a greater cause of Divorce than corporal Frigidity, he enters into such a tedious and drawling tale of Burning, and Burning, and Lust and Burning, that the dulf Argument itself burns too for want of stirring; and yet all this Burning is not able to expel the Frigidity of his Brain. So long therfore as that Cause in the Position shall be proved a sufficient cause of Divorce, rather than spend words with this steamy Clod of an Antagonist, morethan of necessity and a little merriment, I will

not now contend whether it be a greater Caufe than Frigidity or no.

His next attempt is upon the Arguments which I brought to prove the Position. And for the first, not finding it of that structure as to be scaled with his short Ladder, he retreats with a Bravado, that it deserves no Answer. And I as much wonder what the whole Book deserved, to be thus troubled and solicited by such a paltry Solicitor. I would he had not cast the gracious Eye of his Duncery upon the small Deserts of a Pamphlet, whose every Line meddled with, uncases him to

Scorn and Laughter.

That which he takes for the fecond Argument, if he look better, is no Argument, but an Induction to those that follow. Then he stumbles that I should say, the gentlest ends of Marriage, confessing that he understands it not. And I believe him heartily: For how should he, a Serving-man both by Nature and by Function, an Idiot by breeding, and a Solicitor by Presumption, ever come to know or feel within himself what the meaning is of gentle? He blames it for a neat Phrase, for nothing angers him more than his own proper Contrary. Yet altogether without Art sure he is not; for who could have devised to give us more briefly a better description of his own Servility?

But what will become now of the Bufiness I know not; for the Man is suddenly taken with a Lunacy of Law, and speaks Revelations out of the Attorney's Academy only from a lying Spirit: For he says, that where a thing is void ipso facto, there needs no legal Proceeding to make it void; which is salfe, for Marriage is void by Adultery or Frigidity, yet not made void without legal Proceeding. Then asks my Opinion of John-a-Noaks and John-a Stiles: And I answer him, that I, for my part, think John Dory was a better Man than both of them; for certainly they were the greatest Wranglers that ever lived, and have fill'd all our

Law-books with the obtunding Story of their Suits and Trials.

After this he tells a miraculous piece of Antiquity, how two Romans, Titus and Sempronius, made Feoffments, at Rome fure, and levied Forces by the Common Law. But now his fit of Law paft, yet hardly come to himfelf, he maintains, that if Marriage be void, as being neither of God nor Nature, there needs no legal proceeding to

part

part it, and I tell him that offends not me; Then, quoth he, this is nothing to your Book, being the Doctrine and Discipline of Divorce. But that I deny him; for all Discipline is not Legal, that is to say, Juridical, but some is Personal, some Economical, and some Ecclesiastical.

Laftly, If I prove that contrary Dispositions are joined neither of God nor Nature, and so the Marriage void, he will give me the controversy. I have proved it in that Book to any wise Man, and without more ado the Institution proves it.

Where I answer an objection usually made, that the Disposition ought to be known before Marriage, and shew how difficult it is to chuse a sit Consort, and how easy to mistake; the Servitor would know what I mean by Conversation, declaring his Capacity nothing refined since his Law-puddering, but still the same it was in the Pantry, and at the Dresser. Shall I argue of Conversation with this Hoyden, to go and practise at his opportunities in the Larder? To Men of Quality I have said enough; and Experience confirms by daily Example that wisest, soberest, justest Men are sometimes miserably mistaken in their choice. Whom to leave thus without remedy, tost and tempested in a most unquiet Sea of Afflic-

tions and Temptations, I fay is most unchristianly.

But he goes on to untruls my Arguments, imagining them his Masler's Points. Only in the passage following, I cannot but admire the ripeness, and the pregnance of his native treachery, endeavouring to be more a Fox than his wit will fuffer him. Wheras I briefly mentioned certain Heads of difcourfe, which I referr'd to a place more proper according to my Method, to be treated there at full with all their Reasons about them, this Brain-worm against all the Laws of dispute, will needs deal with them here. And as a Country Hind, sometimes ambitious to shew his betters that he is not so simple as you take him, and that he knows his advantages, will teach us a new trick to confute by. And would you think to what a pride he fwells in the Contemplation of his rare stratagem, offering to carp at the Language of a Book, which yet he confesses to be generally commended; while himfelf will be acknowledged by all that read him, the basest and the hungrest indighter, that could take the boldness to look abroad. Observe now the Arrogance of a Groom, how it will mount. I had written that common Adultery is a thing which the rankest politician would think it shame and disworship, that his Law should countenance. First, it offends him, that rankest should fignify aught but his own fmell; who that knows English would not understand me, when I say a rank Serving-man, a rank Pettifogger, to mean a mere Serving-man, a mere and arrant Pettifogger, who lately was fo hardy, as to lay afide his Buckram-wallet, and make himfelf a Fool in Print, with confuting Books which are above him? Next, the word Politician is not used to his Maw, and therupon he plays the most notorious Hobby-horse, jesting and frisking in the Luxury of his Nonfense with such poor setches to cog a laughter from us, that no antic Hob-nail at a Morris, but is more handlomely facetious.

Concerning that place Deut, xxiv. 1. which he faith to be the main Pillar of my Opinion, though I rely more on the Institution than on that: These two Pillars I do indeed confess are to me as those two in the Porch of the Temple, Jachin and Bouz, which names import Establishment and Strength; nor do I sear who can fhake them. The Exposition of Deut, which I brought, is the received Exposition, both ancient and modern, by all Learned Men, unless it be a Monkish Papift here and there: and the Glois which he and his obscure Assistant would perfuade us to, is merely new and abfurd, prefuming out of his utter ignorance in the *Hebrew*, to interpret these words of the Text; first, in a mistaken sense of uncleannefs, against all approved Writers. Secondly, in a limited fense, whenas the Original speaks without limitation, some uncleanness or any : and it had been a wife Law indeed to mean itself particular, and not to express the case which this acute Rabbi hath all this while been hooking for; wherby they who are most partial to him may guess that something is in this Doctrine which I alledge, that forces the Adverlary to fuch a new and strained Exposition: Wherin he does nothing for above four Pages, but founder himfelf to and fro in his own Objections; one while denying that Divorce was permitted, another while affirming that it was permitted for the Wife's fake, and after all, diftrusts himself. And for his furest retirement, betakes him to those old Suppositions, that Christ abolish'd the Mosaic Law of Divorce; that the Jews had not fufficient knowledge in this point, thro' the darkness of the Dispensation of heavenly things; that under the plenteous Grace of the Gospel, we are tied by cruellest compulsion to live in Marriage till death, with the wickedest, the worst, the most persecuting Mate. These ignorant and doting furmises he might have read confuted at large, even in the first Edition, but sound it safer to pass that part over in silence. So that they who see not the sottishness

of this his new and tedious Exposition, are worthy to love it dearly.

His Explanation done, he charges me with a wicked Glofs, and almost Blasphemy, for saying that Christ in teaching, meant not always to be taken word for word; but like a wise Physician, administring one Excels against another, to reduce us to a perfect mean. Certainly to teach us, were no dishonest Method: Christ himself hath often used Hyperboles in his teaching; and gravest Authors, both Aristotle in the second of his Ethics to Nichomachus, and Seneca in his seventh de Beneficiis, advise us tostretch out the Line of Precept oft-times beyond measure, that while we tend further, the mean might be the easier attained. And whoever comments that 5th of Matthew, when he comes to the turning of Cheek after Cheek to blows, and the parting both with Cloak and Coat, if any please to be the risler, will be forced to recommend himself to the same Exposition, though this chattering Law-monger be bold to call it wicked. Now note another precious piece of him; Christ, saith he, doth not say that an unchaste Look is Adultery, but the Lusting after her; as if the looking unchastely could be without lusting. This gear is licented for good reason, Imprimatur.

Next he would prove that the Speech of Christ is not uttered in excess against the *Pharisees*, first, because he speaks it to his Disciples, *Matth. 5*. which is false, for he spake it to the Multitude, as by the first Verte is evident, among which in all likelihood were many *Pharisees*, but out of doubt, all of them *Pharisean* Disciples, and bred up in their Doctrine; from which extremes of Error and Falsity, Christ throughout his whole Sermon labours to reclaim the People. Secondly, saith he, because Christ forbids not only putting away, but marrying her who is put away. Acutely, as if the *Pharisees* might not have offended as much in marrying the Divorc'd, as in divorcing the Married. The Precept may bind all, rightly understood; and yet the vehement manner of giving it, may be occasioned only

by the Pharifees.

Finally, he winds up his Text with much doubt and trepidation; for it may be his Trenchers were not ferap'd, and that which never yet afforded Corn of Savour to his Noddle, the Salt-feller was not rubb'd: and therfore in this hafte eafily granting, that his Answers fall foul upon each other, and praying, you would not think he writes as a Prophet, but as a Man, he runs to the Black Jack, fills his

Flaggon, spreads the Table, and serves up Dinner.

After waiting and voiding, he thinks to void my fecond Argument, and the contradictions that will follow both in the Law and Gofpel, if the Mofaic Law were abrogated by our Saviour, and a compulsive Prohibition fix'd instead: and sings his old Song, that the Gospel counts unlawful that which the Law allow'd, instancing in Circumcision, Sacrifices, Washings. But what are these ceremonial things to the changing of a moral point in houshold Duty, equally belonging to Jew and Gentile? Divorce was then right, now wrong; then permitted in the rigorous time of Law, now forbidden by Law, even to the most extremely afflicted, in the favourable time of Grace and Freedom. But this is not for an unbuttoned fellow to discuss in the Garret at his Tresse, and dimension of Candle by the Snuff; which brought forth his scullionly Paraphrase on St. Paul, whom he brings in, discoursing such idle stuff to the Maids and Widows, as his own fervile Inurbanity forbears not to put into the Apostle's mouth, of the Soul's conversing: and this he presumes to do, being a Bayard, who never had the soul to know what conversing means, but as his Provender, and the familiarity of the Kitchen schooled his conceptions.

He paffes to the third Argument, like a Boar in a Vineyard, doing naught elfe; but still as he goes champing and chewing over, what I could mean by this Chimæra of a fit conversing Soul, Notions and Words never madelfor those chops; but like a generous Wine, only by over-working the settled Mud of his fancy, to make him drunk, and disgorge his vileness the more openly. All persons of gentle Breeding (I say gentle, though this Barrow grunt at the word) I know will apprehend, and be satisfied in what I spake, how unpleasing and discontenting the Society of Body must needs be between those whose Minds cannot be sociable. But what should a Man say more to a Snout in this pickle? What Language can be

low and degenerate enough?

The fourth Argument which I had, was, That Marriage being a Covenant, the very being wherof confills in the performance of unfeigned Love and Peace; if that were not tolerably perform'd, the Covenant became broke and revocable. Which how can any, in whose mind the principles of right Reason and Justice are not cancell'd,

denve

Doctrine and Discipline of DIVORCE.

deny? For how can a thing subsist, when the true Essence theros is dissolved? Yet this he denies, and yet in such a manner as alters my affertion; for he puts in, though the main end be not attained in full measure: but my Position is, if it be not tolerably attained, as throughout the whole Discourse is apparent.

Now for his Reasons; Heman found not that Peace and Solace which is the main end of Communion with God, should be therfore break off that Communion?

I answer, That if *Heman* found it not, the fault was certainly his own: but in Marriage it happens far otherwise: fometimes the fault is plainly not his who feeks Divorce: fometimes it cannot be discern'd whose fault it is; and therfore cannot in Reason or Equity be the matter of an absolute Prohibition.

His other instance declares, what a right handy-crasts Man he is of petty Cases, and how unsit to be aught else at highest, but a Hackney of the Law. I change Houses with a Man; it is supposed I do it for my own ends; I attain them not in this House; I shall not therfore go from my Bargain. How without fear might the young Charinus in Andria now cry out, What likeness can be here to a Marriage? In this Bargain was no Capitulation, but the yielding of Possession to one another, wherin each of them had his several end apart? In Marriage there is a solemn Vow of Love and Fidelity each to other: this Bargain is sully accomplished in the change; in Marriage the Covenant still is in performing. If one of them perform nothing tolerably, but instead of Love, abound in Disaffection, Disobedience, Fraud, and Hatred; what thing in the nature of a Covenant shall bind the other to such a perdurable mischief? Keep to your Problems of ten groats, these matters are not for Pragmatics, and Folk-mooters to babble in.

Concerning the place of Paul, that God hath called us to peace, I Cor. vii. and therfore certainly, if any where in this World, we have a right to claim it reafonably in Marriage; it is plain enough in the fense which I gave, and confest by Paraus, and other Orthodox Divines, to be a good sense, and this Answerer doth not weaken it. The other place, that be who bateth, may put away, which, if I shew him, he promises to yield the whole Controversy, is, besides Deut. xxiv. 1. Deut. xxi. 14. and before this, Exod. xxi. 8. Of Malachi I have spoken more in another place; and say again, that the best Interpreters, all the Ancient, and most of the Modern translate it, as I cite it, and very sew otherwise, where perhaps Junius is the chief.

Another thing troubles him, that Marriage is called the Mystery of Joy. Let it still trouble him; for what hath he to do either with joy or with mystery? He thinks it frantic Divinity to say, it is not the outward continuance of Marriage that keeps the Covenant of Marriage whole; but whosoever doth most according to peace and love, whether in Marriage or Divorce, he breaks Marriage least. If I shall spell it to him, he breaks Marriage least, is to say, he dishonours not Marriage; for least is taken in the Bible, and other good Authors, for, not at all. And a particular Marriage a Man may break, if for a lawful Cause, and yet not break, that is, not violate, or dishonour the Ordinance of Marriage. Hence these two Questions that follow, are left ridiculous; and the Maids at Aldgate, whom he slouts, are likely to have more Wit than the Serving-man at Addle-gate.

Wheras he taxes me of adding to the Scripture, in that I faid Love only is the fulfilling of every Commandment, I cited no particular Scripture, but spake a general fense, which might be collected from many places. For feeing Love includes Faith, what is there that can fulfil every Commandment but only Love? And I meant, as any intelligent Reader might apprehend, every positive and civil Commandment, wherof Christ hath taught us that Man is the Lord. It is not the formal Duty of Worship, or the sitting still, that keeps the holy Rest of Sabbath; but whofoever doth most according to Charity, whether he works or works not, he breaks the holy Rest of Sabbath least. So Marriage being a Civil Ordinance, made for Man, not Man for it; he who doth that which most accords with Charity, first to himself, next to whom he next owes it, whether in Marriage or Divorce, he breaks the Ordinance of Marriage leaft. And what in religious Prudence can be Charity to himfelf, and what to his Wife, either in continuing, or in diffolving the Marriage-knot, hath bin already oft enough difcourfed. So that what St. Paul faith of Circumcifion, the fame I flick not to fay of a Civil Ordinance, made to the good and comfort of Man, not to his ruin; Marriage is nothing, and Divorce is nothing, but Faith which worketh by Love. And this I trust none can mistake.

Vol. I. Rr Against

Against the fifth Argument, That a Christian in a higher Order of Priesthooli than that Levitical, is a Person dedicate to Joy and Peace; and therfore needs not in subjection to a Civil Ordinance, made to no other end but for his good, (when without his fault he finds it impossible to be decently or tolerably observed) to plunge himself into immeasurable Distractions and Temptations, above his strength; against this he proves nothing, but gads into filly conjectures of what Abuses would follow, and with as good reason might declaim against the best things that are.

Against the fixth Argument, That to force the Continuance of Marriage between Minds found utterly unfit and disproportional, is against Nature, and seems forbid under that allegorical Precept of Moses, not to sow a Field with divers Seeds, lest both be defiled; not to plough with an Ox and an Ass together, which I deduced by the pattern of St. Paul's reasoning what was meant by not muzling the Ox; he rambles over a long Narration, to tell us that by the Oxen are meant the Preachers: which is not doubted. Then he demands, if this my reasoning be like St. Paul's: And I answer him, Yes. He replies, that sure St. Paul would be asham'd to reason thus. And I tell him, No. He grants that place which I alledg'd, 2 Cor. 6. of unequal yoking, may allude to that of Moses, but says, I cannot prove it makes to my purpose, and shews not first how he can disprove it. Weigh Gentlemen, and consider, whether my Assirmations, back'd with Reason, may hold balance against the bare Denials of this ponderous Con-

futer, elected by his ghostly Patrons to be my Copes-mate.

Proceeding on to speak of mysterious things in Nature, I had occasion to fit the Language therafter, matters not; for the reading of this odious Fool, who thus ever when he meets with aught above the cogitation of his Breeding, leaves the noisome stench of his rude Slot behind him, maligning that any thing should be spoke or understood above his own genuine baseness; and gives sentence that his confuting hath bin employed about a frothy, immeritous, and undeferving Difcourse. Who could have believed so much Insolence durst vent itself from out the Hide of a Varlet, as thus to censure that which Men of mature judgment have applauded to be writ with good Reason? But this contents him not, he falls now to rave in his barbarous abusiveness; and why? A reason besitting such an Artisicer, because he saith the Book is contrary to all human Learning; whenas the World knows, that all, both human and divine Learning, till the Canon-Law, allow'd Divorce by confent, and for many Causes without consent. Next, he dooms it as contrary to Truth; whenas it hath been disputable among learned Men, ever since it was prohibited: and is by Peter Martyr thought an Opinion not impious, but hard to be refuted; and by Erasmus deem'd a Doctrine so charitable and pious, as, if it cannot be used, were to be wished it could; but is by Martin Bucer, a Man of dearest and most religious Memory in the Church, taught and maintained to be either most lawfully used, or most lawfully permitted. And for this, for I affirm no more than Bucer, what cenfure do you think, Readers, he hath condemned the Book to? To a death no less impious than to be burnt by the Hangman. Mr. Licenser, for I deal not now with this Caitiff, never worth my Earnest, and now not seasonable for my Jest, you are reputed a Man discreet enough, religious enough, honest enough, that is, to an ordinary competence in all these. But now your turn is, to hear what your own hand hath earned ye; that when you suffered this nameless Hangman to cast into public such a despiteful Contumely upon a Name and Person deserving of the Church and State equally to yourself, and one who hath done more to the prefent advancement of your own Tribe, than you or many of them have done for themselves; you forgot to be either honest, religious, or difcreet. Whatever the State might do concerning it, supposed a matter to expect Evil from, I should not doubt to meet among them with wife, and honourable, and knowing Men. But as to this brute Libel, fomuch the more impudent and lawless for the abused Authority which it bears; I say again, that I abominate the Cenfure of Rafcals and their Licenfers.

With difficulty I return to what remains of this ignoble Task, for the disdain I have to change a period more with the filth and venom of this Gourmand, swell'd

into a Confuter; yet, for the fatisfaction of others, I endure all this.

Against the seventh Argument, That if the Canon Law and Divines allow Divorce for Conspiracy of Death, they may as well allow it to avoid the same consequence from the likelihood of natural Causes.

First,

First, he denies that the Canon so decrees.

Lanswer, That it decrees for danger of Life, as much as for Adultery, Decret Gregor. l. 4. tit. 19. and in other places: And the best Civilians who cite the Canon-law, so collect, as Schneidewin in Institut. tit. 10. p. 4. de Divort. And indeed, who would have denied it, but one of a reprobate Ignorance in all he meddles with?

Secondly, he faith, the case alters; for there the Offender, who seeks the

Life, doth implicitly at least act a Divorce.

And I answer, that here Nature, though no Offender, doth the same. But it an Offender by acting a Divorce, shall release the offended, this is an ample grant against himself. He saith, Nature teaches to save life from one who seeks it. And I say, she teaches no less to save it from any other Cause that endangers it. He saith, that here they are both Actors. Admit they were, it would not be uncharitable to part them; yet sometimes they are not both Actors, but the one of them most lamentedly passive. So he concludes, we must not take advantage of our own Faults and Corruptions to release us from our Duties. But shall we take no advantage to save ourselves from the saults of another, who hath annuli'd his right to our Duty? No, saith he, letthem die of the Sullens, and try who will pity them. Barbarian, the shame of all bonest Attorneys, why do they not hoise him over the Bar, and blanket him?

Against the eighth Argument, That they who are destitute of all marriageable Gifts, except a Body not plainly unfit, have not the calling to marry, and confequently married and so found, may be divorc'd: This, he saith, is nothing to the purpose, and not sit to be answer'd. I leave it therfore to the judgment of his Masters.

Against the ninth Argument, That Marriage is a human Society, and so chiefly seated in Agreement and Unity of Mind: If therfore the Mind cannot have that due Society by Marriage, that it may reasonably and humanly desire, it can be no human Society, and so not without reason divorcible: here he falsissies, and turns what the Position required of a reasonable Agreement in the main matters of Society into an Agreement in all things, which makes the Opinion not mine, and so he leaves it.

At last, and in good hour, we are come to his farewel, which is to be a concluding taste of his Jabberment in Law, the slashiest and the sufficient that ever corrupted

in fuch an unfwill'd Hogshead.

Against my tenth Argument, as he calls it, but as I intended it, my other Position, That Divorce is not a thing determinable by a compulsive Law, for that all Law is for some good that may be frequently attained without the admixture of a worse inconvenience: But the Law forbidding Divorce, never attains to any good end of such Prohibition, but rather multiplies evil; therfore the Prohibition of Divorce is no good Law. Now for his Attorney's prize: but first, like a right cunning and sturdy Logician, he denies my Argument, not mattering whether in the major or minor; and saith, there are many Laws made for Good, and yet that Good is not attained, through the defaults of the Party, but a greater inconvenience follows.

But I reply, That this Answer builds upon a shallow foundation, and most unjustly supposes every one in default, who seeks Divorce from the most injurious
Wedloc. The default therfore will be found in the Law itself; which is neither
able to punish the Offender, but the Innocent must withal suffer; nor can right
the Innocent in what is chiefly fought, the obtainment of Love or Quietness. His
Instances out of the Common Law, are all so quite beside the matter which he
would prove, as may be a Warning to all Clients how they venture their business
with such a cock-brain'd Solicitor. For being to shew some Law of England, attaining to no good end, and yet through no default of the party, who is therby
debarr'd all remedy, he shews us only how some do lose the benefit of good Laws
through their own default. His first example saith, it is a just Law that every one
shall peaceably enjoy his Estate in Lands or otherwise. Does this Law attain to
no good end? The Bar will blush at this most incogitant Woodcock. But see if a
draft of Littleton will recover him to his Senses. If this Man having Fee simple
in his Lands, yet will take a Lease of his own Lands from another, this shall be
an Estopple to him in an Affize from the recovering of his own Land.

Mark now and register him! How many are there of ten thousand who have such a Fee-simple in their Sconce, as to take a Lease of their own Lands from another? So that this inconvenience lights upon fearce one in an Age, and by his own detault; and the Law of enjoying each Man his own, is good to all others. But on the contrary, this Prohibition of Divorce is good to none, and brings inconvenience to Numbers, who lie under intolerable Grievances without their own default, through the

Vol. I. Rr? wicked-

wickedness or folly of another; and all this iniquity the Law remedies not, but in a manner maintains. His other Cases are directly to the same purpose, and might have been spared, but that he is a Tradesman of the Law, and must be borne with at his first setting up, to lay forth his best Ware, which is only Gibberish.

I have now done that, which for many Caufes I might have thought, could not likely have been my fortune, to be put to this under-work of fcouring and unrubbishing the low and fordid Ignorance of such a presumptuous Lozel. Yet Hercules had the labour once imposed upon him to carry Dung out of the Augean Stable. At any hand I would be rid of him: for I had rather, fince the life of Man is liken'd to a Scene, that all my Entrances and Exits might mix with fuch Perfons only, whose Worth erects them and their Actions to a grave and tragic Deportment, and not to have to do with Clownsand Vices. But if a Man cannot peaceably walk into the World, but must be insested; sometimes at his sace with Dorrs and Horseflies, fometimes beneath with bawling Whippets and Shin-barkers, and thefe to be set on by Plot and Consultation with a Junto of Clergymen and Licensers, commended also and rejoiced in by those whose partiality cannot yet forgo oldpapistical Principles; have I not cause to be in such a manner desensive, as may procure me freedom to pass more unmolested hereaster by those Incumbrances, not fo much regarded for themselves, as for those who incite them? And what defence can properly be used in such a despicable Encounter as this, but either the Slap or the Spurn? If they can afford me none but a ridiculous Adversary, the blame belongs not to me, though the whole difpute be ftrew'd and fcattered with Ridiculous? And if he have fuch an ambition to know no better who are his Mates, but among these needy thoughts, which, though his two Faculties of Serving-man and Solicitor should compound into one Mongrel, would be but thin and meagre, if in this penury of Soul he can be possible to have the lustiness to think of Fame, let him but fend me how he calls himfelf, and I may chance not fail to indorfe him on the backfide of Posterity, not a golden, but a brazen Ass. Since my fate extorts from me a Talent of Sport, which I had thought to hide in a Napkin, he shall be my Batrachomuomachia, my Bavius, my Calandrino, the common Adagy of ignorance and over-weening: Nay, perhaps, as the provocation may be, I may be driven to curl up this gliding Prose into a rough Sotadic, that shall rhyme him into fuch a condition, as instead of judging good Books to be burnt by the Executioner, he shall be readier to be his own Hangman. Thus much to this Nusance.

But as for the Subject itself which I have writ and now defend, according as the opposition bears; if any Man equal to the matter, shall think it appertains him to take in hand this Controversy, either excepting against aught written, or persuaded he can shew better how this Question, of such moment to be throughly known, may receive a true determination, not leaning on the old and rotten Suggestions whereon it yet leans; if his Intents be sincere to the public, and shall carry him on without bitterness to the opinion, or to the person dissenting, lethim not, I entreat him, guess by the handling, which meritoriously hath been bestowed on this object of contempt and laughter, that I account it any displeasure done me to be contradicted in Print: But as it leads to the attainment of any thing more true, shall esteem it a benefit; and shall know how to return his Civility and sair Argument in such a fort, as he shall confess that todo so is my Choice, and to have

done thus was my Chance.

Kings and Magistrates:

PROVING

That it is Lawful, and hath been held fo through all Ages, for any, who have the Power, to call to account a Tyrant, or wicked King, and after due Conviction, to depose, and put him to Death; if the ordinary Magistrate have neglected, or deny'd to do it.

And that they, who of late fo much blame Deposing, are the Men that did it themselves.

F Men within themfelves would be govern'd by reason, and not generally give up their understanding to a double tyranny, of custom from without, and blind affections within, they would discern better what it is to savour and uphold the Tyrant of a Nation. But being Slaves within doors, no wonder that they strive so much to have the public State conformably govern'd to the inward vitious rule, by which they govern themselves. For indeed none can love freedom heartily, but good Men: the rest love not freedom, but licence; which never hath more scope, or more indulgence than under Tyrants. Hence is it that Tyrants are not oft offended, nor stand much in doubt of bad Men, as being all naturally fervile; but in whom virtue and true worth most is eminent, them they fear in earnest, as by right their Masters, against them lies all their hatred and sufpicion. Consequently neither do bad Men hate Tyrants, but have been always readiest, with the falsify'd names of Loyalty and Obedience, to colour over their base compliances. And although fometimes for shame, and when it comes to their own grievances, of Purse especially, they would seem good Patriots, and side with the better cause, yet when others for the deliverance of their Country, endued with fortitule and heroic virtue, to fear nothing but the curse written against those that do the work of the Lord negligently, would go on to remove, not only the calamities Jer. 43 1 and thraldoms of a People, but the roots and causes whence they spring; strait these Men, and sure helpers at need, as if they hated only the miseries, but not the mischiefs, after they have juggl'd and palter'd with the World, bandied and born arms against their King, divested him, disanointed him, nay cursed him all over in their Pulpits, and their Pamphlets, to the ingaging of fincere and real Men, beyond what is possible or honest to retreat from, not only turn Revolters from those Principles, which only could at first move them, but lay the stain of disloyalty, and worse, on those proceedings, which are the necessary consequences of their own former actions; nor diflik'd by themfelves, were they manag'd to the entire advantages of their own Faction; not confidering the while that he toward whom they boufted their new fidelity, counted them acceffory, and by those Statutes and Laws which they so impotently brandish against others, would have doomed them to a Traytor's death for what they have done already. 'Tis true, that most Men are apt enough to civil Wars and Commotions as a novelty, and for a flash, hot and active; but thro' sloth or inconstancy, and weakness of Spirit, either fainting erc their own pretences, though never so just, be half attained, or thro' an inbred falsehood and wickedness, betray oftimes to destruction with themselves, Men of nobleft temper joined with them for causes which they in their rath undertakings, were not capable of. If God and a good Caufe give them Victory, the profecution wherof for the most part, inevitably draws after it the alteration of

3

Laws, change of Government, downfall of Princes with their Families; then comes the task to those Worthies which are the Soul of that Enterprize, to be fwett and labour'd out amidst the throng and noises of vulgar and irrational Men. Some contesting for Privileges, Customs, Forms, and that old entanglement of Iniquity, their gibberish Laws, though the badge of their ancient slavery. Others who have been fiercest against their Prince, under the notion of a Tyrant, and no mean Incendiaries of the War against him, when God out of his providence and high disposal hath delivered him into the hand of their Brethren, on a fudden and in a new garb of Allegiance, which their doings have long fince cancell'd; they plead for him, pity him, extol him, proteft against those that talk of bringing him to the trial of Justice, which is the Sword of God, superior to all mortal things, in whose hand soever by apparent figns his testified will is to put it. But certainly, if we consider who and what they are, on a fudden grown fo pitiful, we may conclude their pity can be no true and Christian commiseration, but either levity and shallowness of mind, or else a carnal admiring of that worldly pomp and greatness, from whence they fee him fallen; or rather laftly, a diffembled and feditious pity, feign'd of industry to beget new commotions. As for Mercy, if it be to a Tyrant, under which name they themselves have cited him so oft in the hearing of God, of Angels, and the holy Church affembled, and there charged him with the spilling of more innocent blood by far, than ever Nero did, undoubtedly the Mercy which they pretend, is the Mercy of wicked Men, and Prov.xii.10. their mercies, we read, are cruelties; hazarding the welfare of a whole Nation, to have faved one whom fo oft they have term'd Agag, and vilifying the blood of many Jonathans that have fav'd Israel; infifting with much niceness on the unnecessariest clause of their Covenant, wherein the sear of change, and the abfurd contradiction of a flattering hostility had hampered them, but not fcrupling to give away for compliments, to an implacable revenge, the heads of many thousand Christians more.

Another fort there is, who coming in the course of these affairs, to have their share in great actions above the form of Law or Custom, at least to give their voice and approbation, begin to swerve and almost shiver at the majesty and grandeur of some noble deed, as if they were newly entered into a great fin; difputing precedents, forms, and circumstances, when the Commonwealth nigh perishes for want of deeds in substance, done with just and faithful expedition. To these I wish better instruction, and vertue equal to their calling; the former of which, that is to fay Instruction, I shall endeavour, as my duty is, to bestow on them; and exhort them not to startle from the just and pious resolution of adhering with all their affiftance to the prefent Parliament and Army, in the glorious way wherin Justice and Victory hath set them; the only war-rants through all ages, next under immediate Revelation, to exercise supreme power; in those proceedings which hitherto appear equal to what hath been done in any Age or Nation heretofore, juffly or magnanimoufly. Nor let them be discouraged or deterred by any new Apostate Scare-crows, who under show of giving Counfel, fend out their barking Monitories and Memento's, empty of aught else but the spleen of a frustrated Faction. For how can that pretended Counfel, be either found or faithful, when they that give it, see not for madnets and vexation of their ends loft, that those Statutes and Scriptures which both falfly and fcandaloutly they wrest against their Friends and Associates, would by fentence of the common adversary, fall first and heaviest upon their own heads? Neither let mild and tender dispositions be soolishly soften'd from their duty and perfeverance with the unmafculine Rhetoric of any puling Priest or Chaplain, fertas a friendly Letter of Advice, for fashion-sake in private, and forthwith publish'd by the Sender himsels, that we may know how much of Friend there was in it, to cast an odious envy upon them to whom it was pretended to be fent in charity. Nor let any Man be deluded by either the ignorance, or the notorious hypocrify and felf-repugnance of our dancing Divines, who have the confcience and the boldness, to come with Scripture in their Mouths, gloffed and fitted for their turns with a double contradictory fense, transforming the sacred verity of God, to an Idol with two saces, look-

ingut once two feveral ways, and with the fame quotations to charge others, which in the fame case they made ferve to justify themselves. For while the hope

to be made Classic and Provincial Lords led them on, while Pluralities greas'd them thick and deep, to the shame and scandal of Religion, more than all the Sects and Herefies they exclaim against; then to fight against the King's perfon, and no less a party of his Lords and Commons, or to put force upon both the Houses was good, was lawful was no refifting of Superior Powers; they only were powers not to be refifted, who countenane'd the good and punish'd the evil. But now that their cenforious domineering is not fuffer'd to be universal, truth and conscience to be freed, Tithes and Pluralities to be no more, though competent allowance provided, and the warm experience of large gifts, and they so good at taking them; yet now to exclude and seize on impeached Members, to bring Delinquents without exemption to a fair Tribunal by the common national Law against Murder, is now to be no less than Corab, Dathan, and Abiram. He who but ere-while in the Pulpits was a curfed Tyrant, an enemy to God and Saints, laden with all the innocent blood spilt in three Kingdoms, and fo to be fought against; is now, though nothing penitent or alter'd from his first principles, a lawful Magistrate, a Sovereign Lord, the Lord's Anointed, not to be touch'd, though by themselves imprison'd. As if this only were obedience, to preserve the mere useless bulk of his person, and that only in prison, not in the field, and to disobey his commands, deny him his dignity and office, every where to refift his power, but where they think it only furviving in their own faction.

But who in particular is a Tyrant, cannot be determined in a general difcourfe, otherwise than by supposition; his particular charge, and the sufficient proof of it must determine that: which I leave to Magistrates, at least to the uprighter fort of them, and of the people, though in number less by many, in whom Faction least hath prevail'd above the Law of nature and right reason, to judge as they find cause. But this I dare own as part of my faith, that if such a one there be, by whose Commission, whole Massacres have bin committed on his faithful Subjects, his Provinces offered to pawn or alienation, as the hire of those whom he had solicited to come in and destroy whole Cities and Countries; be he King or Tyrant, or Emperor, the Sword of Justice is above him; in whose hand soever is found sufficient power to avenge the effusion, and so great a deluge of innocent blood. For if all human power to execute, not accidentally but intendedly, the wrath of God upon evil-doers without exception, be of God; then that power, whether ordinary, or if that fail, extraordinary, so executing that intent of God, is lawful, and not to be resisted. But to unfold more at large this whole Question, though with all expedient brevity, I shall here set down, from first beginning, the Original of Kings; how and wherfore exalted to that dignity above their Brethren; and from thence shall prove, that turning to tyranny they may be as lawfully deposed and punish'd, as they were at first elected: This I shall do by authorities and reasons, not learnt in corners among Schifms and Herefies, as our doubling Divines are ready to calumniate, but fetch'd out of the midst of choicest and most authentic learning, and no prohibited Authors; nor many Heathen, but Mosaical, Christian, Orthodoxal, and which must needs be more convincing to our Adversaries, Presbyterial.

No Man who knows aught, can be so stupid to deny that all Men naturally were born free, being the image and resemblance of God himself, and were by privilege above all the creatures, born to command and not to obey: and that they liv'd so, till from the root of Adam's transgression, falling among themselves to do wrong and violence, and soreseeing that such courses must needs tend to the destruction of them all, they agreed by common league to bind each other from mutual injury, and jointly to defend themselves against any that gave disturbance or opposition to such agreement. Hence came Cities, Towns, and Commonwealths. And because no faith in all was found sufficiently binding, they saw it needful to ordain some Authority, that might restrain by sorce and punishment what was violated against peace and common right: This authority and power of self-defence and preservation being originally and naturally in every one of them, and unitedly in them all, for ease, for order; and less each Man should be his own partial judge, they communicated and derived either to one, whom for the eminence of his wisdom and integrity, they chose above the rest, or to more than one whom they thought of equal deserving: The first was called a King; the other Magistrates. Not to be their Lords

and Masters (though afterward those names in some places were given voluntarily to such as had bin authors of inestimable good to the people) but to be their Deputies and Commissioners, to execute, by virtue of their intrusted power, that justice which else every Man by the bond of Nature and of Covenant must have executed for himself, and for one another. And to him that shall consider well why among free persons, one Man by civil right should bear authority and jurisdiction over another, no other end or reason can be imaginable. These for a while govern'd well, and with much equity decided all things at their own arbitrement: till the temptation of such a power left absolute in their hands, perverted them at length to injustice and partiality. Then did they who now by trial had found the danger and inconveniences of committing arbitrary power to any, invent Laws either fram'd or confented to by all; that should confine and limit the authority of whom they chose to govern them: that so Man of whose failing they had proof, might no more rule over them, but Law and Reason abstracted as much as might be from personal errors and frailties. When this would not ferve, but that the Law was either not executed, or misapply'd, they were constrained from that time, the only remedy left them, to put Conditions and take Oaths from all Kings and Magistrates at their first installment to do impartial justice by Law: who upon those terms and no other, receiv'd Allegiance from the people, that is to fay, Bond or Covenant to obey them in execution of those Laws which they the people had themselves made or affented to. And this oft-times with express warning, that if the King or Magistrate prov'd unfaithful to his trust, the people would be disengag'd. They added also Counsellors and Parlaments, not to be only at his beck, but with him or without him, at fet times, or at all times, when any danger threaten'd, to have care of the public Safety. Therfore faith Claudius Sefell, a French Statesman, The Parlament was set as a bridle to the King; which I instance rather, because that Monarchy is granted by all to be a far more absolute than That this and the rest of what hath hitherto been spoken is most true, might be copiously made appear throughout all Stories Heathen and Christian; even of those Nations where Kings and Emperors have sought means to abolish all ancient memory of the people's right by their encroachments and usurpa-But I spare long insertions, appealing to the German, French, Italian, Arragonian, English, and not least the Scottish Histories: not forgetting this only by the way, that William the Norman, though a Conqueror, and not unfworn at his Coronation, was compell'd a fecond time to take Oath at St. Albans, ere the people would be brought to yield obedience.

It being thus manifest that the power of Kings and Magistrates is nothing else, but what is only derivative, transferr'd and committed to them in trust from the Peopleto the common good of them all, in whom the power yet remains fundamentally, and cannot be taken from them, without a violation of their natural Birthright; and seeing that from hence Aristotle, and the best of Political Writers have defin'd a King, him who governs to the good and profit of his People, and not for his own ends; it follows from necessary causes, that the Titles of Sovereign Lord, Natural Lord, and the like, are either arrogancies, or flatteries, not admitted by Emperors and Kings of best note, and dislik'd by the Church both of Jews, Isai. xxvi. 13. and ancient Christians, as appears by Tertullian and others. Although generally the People of Asia, and with them the Jews also, especially since the time they chose a King, against the advice and counsel of God, are noted by wise Authors much inclinable to

Slavery.

Secondly, that to fay, as is usual, the King hath as good right to his Crown and Dignity, as any Man to his Inheritance, is to make the Subject no better than the King's Slave, his Chattel, or his Possession that may be bought and sold: And doubtless, if hereditary Title were sufficiently inquir'd, the best soundation of it would be found but either in courtesy or convenience. But suppose it to be of right hereditary, what can be more just and legal, if a Subject for certain crimes be to forseit by Law from himself and Posterity, all his Inheritance to the King, than that a King for crimes proportional, should forseit all his Title and Inheritance to the People? Unless the People must be thought created all for him, he not for them, and they all in one body inferior to him single; which were a kind of treason against the dignity of Mankind to affirm.

Thirdly,

Thirdly, it follows, that to fay Kings are accountable to none but God, is the overturning of all Law and Government. For if they may refuse to give account, then all Covenants made with them at Coronation, all Oaths are in vain, and meer mockeries; all Laws which they fwear to keep, made to no purpoie: for if the King fear not God, as how many of them do not? we hold then our lives and effates by the tenure of his meer grace and mercy, as from a God, not a mortal Magistrate; a Position that none but Court-parasites or Men befotted would maintain. And no Christian Prince, not drunk with high Mind, and prouder than those Pagan Cafars that deify'd themselves, would arrogate fo unreasonably above human condition, or derogate so basely from a whole Nation of men his brethren, as if for him only fubfilting, and to ferve his glory, valuing them in comparison of his own brute will and pleasure no more than so many beafts, or vermin under his feet, not to be reason'd with, but to be injur'd; among whom there might be found fo many thousand men for wisdom, virtue, nobleness of mind, and all other respects but the fortune of his dignity, far above him. Yet fome would perfwade us that this abfurd opinion was King David's, because in the 51 Pfalm he cries out to God, Against thee only have I finn'd; as if David had imagin'd that to murder Uriah and adulterate his Wife had been no fin against his Neighbour, whenas that law of Moses was to the King expresly, Deut. 17. not to think so highly of himself above his Brethren. David therfore by those words could mean no other, than either that the depth of his guiltiness was known to God only, or to so few as had not the will or power to question him, or that the Sin against God was greater beyond compare than against Uriah. Whatever his meaning were, any wise man will see that the pathetical words of a Pfalm can be no certain decision to a point that hath abundantly more certain rules to go by. How much more rationally spake the Heathen King Demophoun in a Tragedy of Euripides than these interpreters would put upon King David? I rule not my People by Tyranny, as if they were Barbarians, but am my self liable, if I do unjustly, to suffer justly. Not unlike was the speech of Trajan the worthy Emperor, to one whom he made General of his Prætorian Forces: Take this drawn fword, saith he, to use for me, if I reign well; if not, to use against me. Thus Dion relates. And not Trajan only, but Theodosius the younger, a Christian Emperor, and one of the best, caused it to be enacted as a rule undeniable and fit to be acknowledg'd by all Kings and Emperors, that a Prince is bound to the Laws; that on the authority of Law theauthority of a Prince depends, and to the Laws ought to fubmit. Which Edict of his remains yet unrepeal'd in the Code of Justinian, 1. 1. tit. 24. as a facred constitution to all the succeeding Emperors. How then can any King in Europe maintain and write himself accountable to none but God, when Emperors in their own imperial Statutes have written and decreed themselves accountable to Law? And indeed where such account is not fear'd, he that bids a man reign over him above Law, may bid as well a favage beaft.

It follows laftly, that fince the King or Magistrate holds his authority of the people, both originally and naturally for their good in the first place, and not his own, then may the people as oft as they shall judge it for the best, either chuse him or reject him, retain him or depose him though no Tyrant, meerly by the liberty and right of free-born men to be govern'd as feems to them best. This, though it cannot but stand with plain reason, shall be made good also by Scripture, Deut. 17. 14. When thou art come into the Land which the Lord thy God giveth thee, and shall fay I will set a King over me, like as all the Nations about me. These words confirm us that the right of chusing, yea of changing their own Government, is by the grant of God himself in the people. And thersore when they defir'd a King, though then under another Form of Government, and though their changing displeased him, yet he that was himself their King, and rejected by them, would not be a hindrance to what they intended, further than by perfuafion, but that they might do therein as they faw good, 1 Sam. 8. only he referv'd to himself the nomination of who should reign over them. Neither did that exempt the King as if he were to God only accountable, though by his especial command anointed. Therfore David first made a Covenant with the Elders of Israel, and so was by them anointed King, 1 Chron. 11. And Jehoiada the Priest making Jehoash King, made a Covenant between him and the people, 2 Kings 11. 17. Therfore when Roboam at his coming to the S { Vol. I.

Crown, rejected those conditions which the Israelites brought him, hear what they answer him, What portion have we in David, or inheritance in the Son of Jesse? See to thine own House David. And for the like conditions not perform'd, all Israel before that time deposed Samuel; not for his own default, but for the misgovernment of his Sons. But some will say to both these examples, it was evilly done. I answer, that not the latter, because it was expresly allow'd them in the Law to fet up a King if they pleas'd; and God himfelf join'd with them in the work; though in some fort it was at that time displeasing to him, in respect of old Samuel who had govern'd them uprightly. As Livy praises the Romans who took occasion from Tarquinius a wicked Prince to gain their liberty, which to have extorted, faith he, from Numa or any of the good Kings before, had not been seasonable. Nor was it in the former example done unlawfully; for when Roboam had prepar'd a huge Army to reduce the Israelites, he was forbidden by the Prophet, 1 Kings 12. 24. Thus faith the Lord, ye shall not go up, nor fight against your brethren, for this thing is from me. He calls them their Brethren, not Rebels, and forbids to be proceeded against them, owning the thing himself, not by single providence, but by approbation, and that not only of the act, as in the former example, but of the fit feason also; he had not otherwise forbid to molest them. And those grave and wife Counfellors whom Rehoboam first advis'd with, spake no such thing, as our old grey-headed Flatterers now are wont, stand upon your birthright, fcorn to capitulate, you hold of God, and not of them; for they knew no fuch matter, unless conditionally, but gave him politic Counsel, as in a civil transaction. Therfore Kingdom and Magistracy, whether supreme or sub-ordinate, is called a buman Ordinance, 1 Pet. 2. 13, &c. which we are there taught is the will of God we should submit to, so far as for the punishment of evil doers, and the encouragement of them that do well. Submit, faith he, es free men. And there is no power but of God, faith Paul, Rom. 13. as much as to fay, God put it into man's heart to find out that way at first for common peace and preservation, approving the exercise therof; else it contradicts Peter, who calls the fame authority an Ordinance of man. It must be also understood of lawful and just power, else we read of great power in the Affairs and Kingdoms of the World permitted to the Devil: for faith he to Christ, Luke 4. 6. all this power will I give thee and the glory of them, for it is delivered to me, and to whomfoever I will, I give it: neither did he lye, or Christ gainsay what he affirm'd; for in the thirteenth of the Revelation we read how the Dragon gave to the Beast his power, his seat, and great authority: which Beast so authoriz'd most expound to be the tyrannical Powers and Kingdoms of the Earth. Therfore Saint Paul in the forecited Chapter tells us, that such Magistrates he means, as are not a terror to the good but to the evil, fuch as bear not the fword in vain, but to punish offenders, and to encourage the good. If such only be mention'd here as powers to be obey'd, and our fubmission to them only requir'd, then doubtless those powers that do the contrary, are no powers ordain'd of God; and by confequence no obligation laid upon us to obey or not to refift them. And it may be well observed that both these Apostles, whenever they give this Precept, express it in terms not concrete, but abstract, as Logicians are wont to speak; that is, they mention the ordinance, the power, the authority, before the perions that execute it; and what that power is, lest we should be deceived, they describe exactly. So that if the power be not such, or the person execute not such power, neither the one nor the other is of God, but of the Devil, and by confequence to be refifted. From this exposition Chrysoftom also on the same place differts not; explaining that these words were not written in behalf of a Tyrant. And this is verify'd by David, himself a King, and likeliest to be Author of the Pfalm 94. 20. which saith, Sball the throne of iniquity have fellowship with thee? And it were worth the knowing, since Kings, and that by Scripture, boast the justness of their Title, by helding is immediately of Cod. by holding it immediately of God, yet cannot show the time when God ever fet on the Throne them or their forefathers, but only when the people chose them; why by the same reason, since God ascribes as oft to himfelf the casting down of Princes from the Throne, it should not be thought as lawful, and as much from God when none are feen to do it but the people, and that for just causes. For if it needs must be a fin in them to depose, it may as likely be a fin to have elected. And contrary, if the people's act in election

election is pleaded by a King, as the act of God, and the most just title to enthroughin, why may not the people's act of rejection be as well pleaded by the people as the act of God, and the most just reason to depose him? So that we see the title and just right of reigning or deposing in reference to God, is found in Scripture to be all one; visible only in the people, and depending meerly upon justice and demerit. Thus far hath been considered chiefly the power of Kings and Magistrates; how it was, and is originally the people's, and by them conserr'd in trust only to be employ'd to the common peace and benefit; with liberty therfore and right remaining in them to reassume it to themselves, if by Kings or Magistrates it be abus'd; or to dispose of it by any alteration, as they shall judge most conducing to the public good.

We may from hence with more eafe, and force of argument determine what a Tyrant is, and what the people may do against him. A Tyrant whether by wrong or by right coming to the Crown, is he who regarding neither Law nor the common Good, reigns only for himself and his Faction: Thus St. Rafil among others defines him. And because his power is great, his will boundless and exorbitant, the fulfilling wherof is for the most part accompanied with innumerable wrongs and oppressions of the people, Murders, Massacres, Rapes, Adulteries, Defolation, and Subverfion of Cities and whole Provinces; look how great a good and happiness a just King is, so great a mischief is a Tyrant; as he the public Father of his Country, fo this the common Enemy. Against whom what the people lawfully may do, as against a common pest, and destroyer of mankind, I suppose no man of clear judgment need go further to be guided than by the very principles of nature in him. But because is is the vulgar folly of men to defert their own reason, and shutting their eyes to think they fee best with other mens, I shall shew by such examples as ought to have most weight with us, what hath been done in this case heretofore. The Greeks and Romans, as their prime Authors witness, held it not only lawful, but a glorious and heroic Deed, rewarded publicly with Statues and Garlands, to kill an infamous Tyrant at any time without trial; and but reason, that he who trod down all Law, should not be vouchfas'd the benefit of Law. Infomuch that Seneca the Tragedian brings in Hercules the grand suppressor of Tyrants thus fpeaking;

But of these I name no more, lest it be objected they were Heathen; and come to produce another fort of men that had the knowledge of true Religion. Among the Jews this custom of Tyrant-killing was not unusual. First Ebud, a man whom God had rais'd to deliver Ifrael from Eglon King of Moab, who had conquer'd and rul'd over them eighteen Years, being fent to him as an Ambafiador with a prefent, flew him in his own House. But he was a soreign Prince, an Enemy, and Ehud besides had special warrant from God. To the first I answer, it imports not whether foreign or native: For no Prince so native but professes to hold by Law; which when he himself overturns, breaking all the Covenants and Oaths that gave him title to his dignity, and were the bond and alliance between him and his people, what differs he from an outlandish King or from an Enemy? For look how much right the King of Spain hath to govern us at all, fo much right hath the King of England to govern us tyrannically. If he, though not bound to us by any league, coming from Spain in person to subdue us, or to destroy us, might lawfully by the people of England either be flain in Fight, or put to death in Captivity, what hath a native King to plead, bound by so many Covenants, Benefits and Honours to the welfare of his people? why he through the contempt of all Laws and Parlaments, the only tie of our obedience to him, for his own will's fake, and a boafted Prerogative unaccountable, after feven Years warring and destroying of his best Subjects, overcome, and yielded prisoner, should think to scape unque-Vol. I, Sf_2 Itionable,

ftionable, as a thing divine, in respect of whom so many thousand Christians destroy'd should lie unaccounted for, polluting with their slaughter'd Carcasses all the Land over, and crying for vengeance against the living that should have righted them? Who knows not that there is a mutual bond of amity and brotherhood between man and man over all the World, neither is it the English Sea that can fever us from that duty and relation: a streighter bond yet there is between fellow-fubjects, neighbours, and friends. But when any of thefe do one to another fo as hostility could do no worse, what doth the Law decree less against them, than open enemies and invaders? or if the Law be not prefent or too weak, what doth it warrant us to less than single defence or civil War? and from that time forward the Law of civil defensive War differs nothing from the Law of foreign hostility. Nor is it distance of place that makes enmity, but enmity that makes diftance. He therfore that keeps peace with me near or remote, of whatfoever Nation, is to me as far as all civil and human Offices an Englishman and a Neighbour: but if an Englishman forgetting all Laws, human, civiland religious, offend against life and liberty, to him offended and to the Law in his behalf, though born in the same W omb, he is no better than a Turk, a Saracen, a Heathen. This is Gospel, and this was ever Law among equals; how much rather then in force against any King whatsoever, who in respect of the people is confess'd inferior and not equal: to distinguish therfore of a Tyrant by Outlandish, or Domestic is a weak evasion. To the fecond that he was an Enemy, I answer, what Tyrant is not? yet Eglon by the Jews had been acknowledg'd as their Sovereign, they had ferv'd him eighteen years, as long almost as we our William the Conqueror, in all which time he could not be so unwise a Statesman but to have taken of them Oaths of Fealty and Allegiance; by which they made themselves his proper subjects, as their homage and prefent fent by *Ehud* testify'd. To the third, that he had special warrant to kill Eglon in that manner, it cannot be granted, because not express'd; it is plain that he was rais'd by God to be a Deliverer, and went on just principles, such as were then and ever held allowable to deal so by a Tyrant that could no otherwise be dealt with. Neither did Samuel, though a Prophet, with his own hand abstain from Agag; a foreign enemy no doubt; but mark the reason, As thy Sword bath made women childless; a cause that by the sentence of Law itself nullifies all relations. And as the Law is between Brother and Brother, Father and Son, Mafter and Servant, wherfore not between King or rather Tyrant and People? And wheras Jeha had special command to flay Jehoram a fuccessive and hereditary Tyrant, it seems not the less imitable for that; for where a thing grounded so much on natural reason hath the addition of a command from God, what does it but establish the lawfulness of fuch an act? Nor is it likely that God, who had fo many ways of punishing the house of Abab, would have fent a Subject against his Prince, if the saft in itfelf as done to a Tyrant had been of bad example. And if David refus'd to lift his hand against the Lord's Anointed, the matter between them was not tyranny, but private enmity, and David as a private person had been his own revenger, not fo much the people's; but when any Tyrant at this day can shew to be the Lord's Anointed, the only mention'd reason why David withheld his hand, he may then, but not till then, presume on the same privilege.

We may pass therfore hence to Christian Times. And first our Saviour himfelf, how much he savour'd Tyrants, and how much intended they should be found or honour'd among Christians, declares his mind not obscurely; accounting their absolute authority no better than Gentilism, yea though they flourish'd it over with the splendid name of Benefactors; charging those that would be his Disciples to usure no such dominion; but that they who were to be of most authority among them, should esteem themselves Ministers and Servants to the public. Mat. 20, 25. The Princes of the Gentiles exercise Lordship over them; and Mark 10. 42. They that seem to rule, saith he, either slighting or accounting them no lawful rulers; but ye shall not be so, but the greatest among you shall be your Servant. And although he himself were the meekest, and came on Earth to be so, yet to a Tyrant we hear him not vouchfase an humble word: but Tell that Fox, Luk. 13. And wherfore did his Mother the Virgin Mary give such praise to God in her prophetic Song, that he had now by the coming of Christ, cut down Dynasta's, or proud Monarchs from the Throne, if the Church, when God mani-

fefts

fests his power in them to do so, should rather choose all misery and vasfalage to ferve them, and let them still sit on their potent seats to be ador'd for doing mischief. Surely it is not for nothing that Tyrants by a kind of natural instinct both hate and fear none more than the true Church and Saints of God, as the most dangerous enemies and subverters of Monarchy, though indeed of Tyranny; hath not this been the perpetual cry of Courtiers, and Court-Prelates? wherof no likelier cause can be alledg'd, but that they well discern'd the mind and principles of most devout and zealous men, and indeed the very discipline of Church, tending to the diffolution of all Tyranny. No marvel then if fince the Faith of Christ receiv'd, in purer or impurer times, to depote a King and put him to death for Tyranny hath been accounted so just and requisite, that neighbour Kings have both upheld and taken part with Subjects in the action. And Ludovicus Pius, himfelf an Emperor, and Son of Charles the Great, being made Judge, Du Haillan is my author, between Milegast King of the Vultzes and his Subjects who had depos'd him, gave his verdict for the Subjects, and for him whom they had choten in his room. Note here that the right of electing whom they pleafe, is by the impartial testimony of an Emperor in the people: For, faid he, A just Prince ought to be preferr'd before an unjust, and the End of Government before the Prerogative. And Constantinus Leo, another Emperor in the Byzantine Laws faith, That the end of a King is for the general good, which be not performing, is but the counterfeit of a King. And to prove that some of our own Monarchs have acknowledg'd that their high office exempted them not from punishment, they had the Sword of St. Edward borne before them by an Officer who was call'd Earl of the Palace even at the times of their highest pomp and solemnity, to mind them, faith Matthew Paris, the best of our Historians, that if they err'd, the Sword had power to restrain them. And what restraint the Sword comes to at length, having both edge and point, if any Sceptic will needs doubt, let him feel. It is also affirm'd from diligent search made in our antient Books of Law, that the Peers and Barons of England had a legal right to judge the King: which was the cause most likely, for it could be no slight cause, that they were call'd his Peers, or Equals. This however may stand immovable, so long as man hath to deal with no better than man; that if our Law judge all men to the lowest by their Peers, it should in all equity ascendalso, and judge the highest. And so much I find both in our own and foreign Story, that Dukes, Earls, and Marquesses were at first not hereditary, not empty and vain titles, but names of trust and office, and with the office ceasing; as induces me to be of opinion, that every worthy man in Parlament, for the word Baron imports no more, might for the public good be thought a fit Peer and Judge of the King; without regard had to petty Caveats, and Circumstances, the chief impediment in high affairs, and ever stood upon most by circumstantial men. doubtless our Ancestors, who were not ignorant with what rights either Nature or ancient Constitution had endow'd them, when Oaths both at Coronation, and renew'd in Parlament would not ferve, thought it no way illegal to depofe and put to death their Tyrannous Kings. Infomuch that the Parlament drew up a charge against Richard the Second, and the Commons requested to have judgment decreed against him, that the Realm might not be endanger'd. And Peter Martyr a Divine of foremost rank, on the third of Judges approves their doings. Sir Thomas Smith also, a Protestant and a Statesman, in his Commonwealth of England putting the Question, whether it be lawful to rife against a Tyrant? answers, that the vulgar judge of it according to the event, and the learned according to the purpose of them that do it. But far before those days Gildas the most ancient of all our Historians, speaking of those times wherin the Roman Empire decaying, quitted and relinquish'd what right they had by conquest to this Island, and refign'd it all into the people's hands, testifies that the people thus re-invested with their own original right, about the year 446, both elected them Kings, who they thought best (the first Christian British Kings that ever reign'd here fince the Romans) and by the fame right, when they apprehended cause, usually depos'd and put them to death. This is the most fundamental and ancient tenure that any King of England can produce or pretend to; in comparison of which, all other titles and pleas are but of yeflerday. If any object that Gildas condemns the Britains for fo doing, the answer is as ready; that he condemns them no more for so doing, than he did before for chusing such, for saith he, They anointed them Kings, not of God, tut fuch as were more bloody than the rest. Next he condemns them not at all for deposing or putting them to death, but for doing it over-hastily, without trial or well examining the cause, and for electing others worse in their room. Thus we have here both domestic and most ancient Examples that the people of Britain have depos'd and put to death their Kings in those primitive Christian times. And to couple reason with example, if the Church in all Ages, Primitive, Romish, or Protestant, held it ever no less their duty than the power of their Keys, though without express warrant of Scripture, to bring indifferently both King and Peafant under the utmost rigor of their Canons and Censures Ecclefiastical, even to the fmiting him with a final Excommunion, if he persist impenitent, what hinders but that the temporal Law both may and ought, though without a special Text or Precedent, extend with like indifference the civil Sword, to the cutting off, without exemption, him that capitally offends? feeing that Justice and Religion are from the same God, and works of Justice oft-times more acceptable. Yet because that some lately with the Tongues and Arguments of Malignant Backfliders have written that the proceedings now in Parlament against the King, are without Precedent from any Protestant State or Kingdom, the Examples which follow shall be all Protestant, and chiefly Prefbyterian.

In the Year 1546, the *Duke of Saxony*, *Lantgrave of Hessen*, and the whole Protestant League rais'd open War against *Charles the Fifth* their Emperor, fent him a Defiance, renounc'd all Faith and Allegiance toward him, and debated long in Council whether they should give him so much as the title of *C.esar. Sleidan. l.* 17. Let all men judge what this wanted of deposing or of

killing, but the power to do it.

In the Year 1559, the Scotch Protestants claiming promise of their Queen-Regent for Liberty of Conscience, she answering that promises were not to be claim'd of Princes beyond what was commodious for them to grant, told her to her face in the Parlament then at Sterling, that if it were so, they renounc'd their obedience; and soon after betook them to Arms. Buchanan Hist. 1. 16. Certainly when Allegiance is renounc'd, that very hour the King or Queen

is in effect depos'd.

In the Year 1564, John Knox a most famous Divine, and the Reformer of Scotland to the Prefbyterian Discipline, at a general Assembly maintain'd openly in a diffpute against Lethington the Secretary of State, that Subjects might and ought to execute God's Judgments upon their King; that the Fact of Jehu and others aganst their King, having the ground of God's ordinary Command to put fuch and fuch offenders to death, was not extraordinary, but to be imitated of all that preferr'd the honour of God to the affection of Flesh and wicked Princes; that Kings, if they offend, have no privilege to be exempted from the punishments of Law more than any other subject: fo that if the King be a Murderer, Adulterer, or Idolater, he should suffer not as a King, but as an offender; and this Position he repeats again and again before them. Answerable was the opinion of John Craig another learned Divine, and that Laws made by the tyranny of Princes, or the negligence of People, their Posterity might abrogate, and reform all things according to the original inflitution of Commonwealths. And Knox being commanded by the Nobility to write to Calvin and other learned men for their judgments in that Question, refus'd; alledging that both himfelf was fully refolv'd in Confcience, and had heard their Judgments, and had the fame opinion under hand-writing of many the most godly and most learned that he knew in Europe; that if he should move the Question to them again, what should he do but shew his own forgetfulness or inconstancy. All this is far more largely in the Ecclefiastic History of Scotland l. 4. with many other pasfages to this effect all the Book over, fet out with diligence by Scotchmen of best repute among them at the beginning of these Troubles; as if they labour'd to inform us what we were to do, and what they intended upon the like occasion.

And to let the world know that the whole Church and Protestant State of Scotland in those purest times of Reformation were of the same belief, three years after, they met in the field Mary their lawful and hereditary Queen, took her Prisoner, yielding before Fight, kept her in Prison, and the same

year depos'd her. Buchan. Hift. l. 18.

And four years after that, the Scots in justification of their deposing Queen Mary,

Mary, fent Embassadors to Queen Elizabeth, and in a written Declaration alledg'd that they had us'd towards her more lenity than she deserv'd; that their Ancestors had heretosore punish'd their Kings by death or banishment; that the Scots were a free Nation, made King whom they freely chose, and with the same freedom un-king'd him if they saw cause, by right of ancient Laws and Ceremonies yet remaining, and old Customs yet among the High-landers in chusing the head of their Clans, or Families; all which, with many other arguments, bore witness that Regal power was nothing else but a mutual Covenant or Stipulation between King and People. Buch. Hist. 1, 20. These were Scotchmen and Presbyterians: but what measure then have they lately offered, to think such liberty less beseeming us than themselves, presuming to put him upon us for a Master, whom their Law scarce allows to be their own equal? If now then we hear them in another strain than heretosore in the purest times of their Church, we may be consident it is the voice of Faction speaking in them, not of Truth and Resormation.

In the Year 1581, the States of Holland in a general Affembly at the Hague, abjur'd all obedience and subjection to Philip King of Spain; and in a Declaration justify their so doing; for that by his tyrannous Government, against Faith so often given and broken, he had lost his right to all the Belgic Provinces; that therfore they depos'd him, and declar'd it lawful to chuse another in his stead. Thuan. 1. 74. From that time to this, no State or Kingdom in the World hath equally prospered: But let them remember not to look with an evil and pre-

judicial eye upon their neighbours walking by the fame rule.

But what need these examples to Presbyterians, I mean to those who now of late would seem so much to abhor deposing, whenas they to all Christendom have given the latest and liveliest example of doing it themselves. I question not the lawfulness of raising War against a Tyrant in desence of Religion, or civil Liberty; for no Protestant Church from the first Waldenses of Lyons and Languedoc to this day, but have done it round, and maintained it lawful. But this I doubt not to affirm, that the Presbyterians, who now so much condemn deposing, were the men themselves that depos'd the King, and cannot with all their shifting and relapsing, wash off the guiltiness from their own hands. For they themselves, by these their late doings have made it guiltiness, and turned their own warrantable actions into Rebellion.

There is nothing that so actually makes a King of England, as rightful Posfession and Supremacy in all Causes both Civil and Ecclesiastical: and nothing that so actually makes a Subject of England, as those two Oaths of Allegiance and Supremacy observed without equivocating, or any mental reservation. Out of doubt then when the King shall command things already constituted in Church or State, Obedience is the true effence of a Subject, either to do, if it be lawful, or if he hold the thing unlawful, to fubmit to that Penalty which the Law imposes, fo long as he intends to remain a Subject. fore when the people, or any part of them, shall rife against the King and his Authority, executing the Law in any thing established, Civil or Ecclefiaffical, I do not fay it is Rebellion, if the thing commanded though effablish'd be unlawful, and that they sought sirst all due means of redress (and no man is further bound to Law) but I fay it is an absolute renouncing both of Supremacy and Allegiance, which in one word is an actual and total deposing of the King, and the fetting up another supreme Authority over them. And whether the Presbyterians have not done all this and much more, they will not put me, I suppose, to reckon up a seven years story fresh in the memory of all men. Have they not utterly broke the Oath of Allegiance, rejecting the King's Command and Authority fent them from any part of the Kingdom whether in things lawful or unlawful? Have they not abjur'd the Oath of Supremacy, by fetting up the Parlament without the King, supreme to all their Obedience; and though their Vow and Covenant bound them in general to the Parlament, yet fometimes adhering to the leffer part of Lords and Commons that remain'd faithful, as they term it, and even of them, one while to the Commons without the Lords, another while to the Lords without the Commons? Have they not still declar'd their meaning, whatever their Oath were, to hold them only for supreme whom they found at any time most yielding to what they petition'd? Both these Oaths which were the streightest bond of an English Subject in reference to the King, being thus broke and made void; it follows

undeniably that the King from that time was by them in fact absolutely depos'd, and they no longer in reality to be thought his Subjects, notwithstanding their fine Clause in the Covenant to preserve his Person, Crown and Dignity, set there by some dodging Casuist with more crast than sincerity, to mitigate the matter in case of ill success, and not taken I suppose by any honest man, but as a Condition subordinate to every the least Particle that might more concern Religion, Liberty, or the public Peace.

To prove it yet more plainly that they are the Men who have depos'd the King, I thus argue. We know that King and Subject are Relatives, and Relatives have no longer being than in the Relation; the relation between King and Subject can be no other than Regal Authority and Subjection. Hence I infer past their defending, that if the Subject who is one relative, takes away the Relation, of force he takes away also the other relative: but the Presbyterians who were one Relative, that is to fay Subjects, have for this feven years taken away the Relation; that is to fay the King's Authority, and their Subjection to it; therfore the Presbyterians for these seven years have remov'd and extinguish'd the other Relative, that is to say the King; or to speak more in brief, have depos'd him; not only by depriving him the execution of his Authority, but by conferring it upon others. If then their Oaths of Subjection broken, new Supremacy obey'd, new Oaths and Covenants taken, notwithflanding frivolous evafions, have in plain terms unking'd the King, much more then hath their feven years Wars, not depos'd him only, but outlaw'd him, and defy'd him as an Alien, a Rebel to Law, an Enemy to the State. It must needs be clear to any man not averse from Reason, that Hostility and Subjection are two direct and positive Contraries, and can no more in one Subject stand together in respect of the same King, than one person at the same time can be in two remote places. Against whom therfore the Subject is in act of Hostility, we may be confident that to him he is in no Subjection: and in whom Hostility takes place of Subjection, for they can by no means confist together, to him the King can be not only no King, but an Enemy. So that from hence we shall not need dispute whether they have depos'd him, or what they have defaulted towards him as no King, but shew manifestly how much they have done toward the killing him. Have they not levied all thefe Wars against him whether offensive or defensive (for defence in War equally offends, and most prudently before-hand) and given Commission to stay where they knew his Person could not be exempt from danger? And if chance or flight had not faved him, how often had they kill'd him, directing their Artillery without blame or prohibition to the very place where they faw him stand? Have they not converted his Revenue to other uses, and detain'd from him all means of livelihood, fo that for them long fince he might have perish'd, or have starv'd? Have they not hunted and pursu'd him round about the Kingdom with fword and fire? Have they not formerly deny'd to treat with him, and their now recanting Ministers preach'd against him, as a Reprobate incurable, an Enemy to God and his Church, mark'd for destruction, and therfore not to be treated with? Have they not befieg'd him, and to their power forbid him Water and Fire, fave what they shot against him to the hazard of his life? Yet while they thus affaulted and endanger'd it with hostile deeds, they fwore in words to defend it with his Crown and Dignity; not in order, as it feems now, to a firm and lafting Peace, or to his repentance after all this blood; but fimply, without regard, without remorfe or any comparable value of all the miferies and calamities fuffered by the poor peop'c, or to fuffer hereafter through his obstinacy or impenitence. No understanding man can be ignorant that Covenants are ever made according to the prefent state of persons and of things; and have ever the more general Laws of Nature and of Reafon included in them, though not express'd. If I make a voluntary Covenant as with a man to do him good, and he prove afterward a Monfler to me, I should conceive a disobligement. If I covenant, not to hurt an enemy, in favour of him and forbearance, and hope of his amendment, and he, after that, shall do me tenfold injury and mischief to what he had done when I so covenanted, and still be plotting what may tend to my destruction, I question not but that his after-actions release me; nor know I Covenant so sacred that withholds me from demanding Justice on him. Howbeit, had not their distrust in a good Caufe, and the fast and loofe of our prevaricating Divines overfway'd, it find been doubtless better, not to have inferted in a Covenant unnecessary obligations,

gations, and words, not works of a supererogating Allegiance to their enemy; no way advantageous to themselves, had the King prevail'd, as to their cost many would have felt; but full of fnare and distraction to our Friends, useful only, as we now find, to our adverfaries, who under fuch a latitude and shelter of ambiguous interpretation have ever fince been plotting and contriving new opportunities to trouble all again. How much better had it bin, and more becoming an undaunted Virtue, to have declared openly and boldly whom and what power the people were to hold Supreme, as on the like occasion Protestants have done before, and many conscientious men now in these times have more than once befought the Parlament to do, that they might go on upon a ture foundation, and not with a ridling Covenant in their mouths, feeming to fwear counter, almost in the same breath, Allegiance and no Allegiance; which doubtless had drawn off all the minds of fincere men from siding with them, had they not difcern'd their actions far more deposing him than their words upholding him; which words made now the subject of cavillous interpretations, stood ever in the Covenant, by judgment of the more differning fort, an evidence of their fear, not of their fidelity. What, should I return to speak on, of those artempts for which the King himfelf hath often charg'd the Presbyterians of feeking his life, whenas in the due estimation of things they might without a fallacy be faid to have done the deed outright. Who knows not that the King is a name of dignity and office, not of person? Who therfore kills a King, must kill him while he is a King. Then they certainly who by deposing him have long fince taken from him the life of a King, his office and his lignity, they in the truest sense may be said to have kill'd the King: not only by their deposing and waging War against him, which besides the danger to his personal life, set him in the farthest opposite point from any vital sunction of a King, but by their holding him in prison vanquished and yielded into their absolute and desposic power, which brought him to the lowest degradement and incapacity of the Regal name. I say not, by whose matchless valour next under God, lest the story of their ingratitude therupon carry me from the purpose in hand, which is to convince them that they, which I repeat again, were the men who in the truest sense killed the King, not only as is prov'd before, but by depressing him their King far below the rank of a Subject to the condition of a Captive, without intention to restore him, as the Chancellor of Scotland in a speech told him plainly at Newcastle, unless he granted fully all their Demands, which they knew he never Nor did they treat, or think of treating with him, till their hatred to the Army that delivered them, not their love or duty to the King, joined them fecretly with men fentenc'd so oft for Reprobates in their own mouths, by whose futtle inspiring they grew mad upon a most tardy and improper Treaty. Wheras if the whole bent of their actions had not been against the King himself, but against his evil Council, as they seign'd, and publish'd, wherfore did they not restore him all that while to the true life of a King, his Office, Crown and Dignity, when he was in their power, and they themselves his nearest Counsellors? The truth therfore is, both that they would not, and that indeed they could not without their own certain destruction, having reduced him to such a final pass, as was the very death and burial of all in him that was regal, and from whence never King of England yet reviv'd, but by the new re-inforcement of his own party, which was a kind of refurrection to him. Thus having quite extinguisht all that could be in him of a King, and from a total privation clack him over like another specifical thing with forms and habitudes destructive to the former, they left in his person dead as to Law and all the civil right either of King or Subject, the Life only of a Prisoner, a Captive and a Mulefactor: Whom the equal and impartial hand of Justice finding, was no more to spare than another ordinary man; not only made obnoxious to the doom of Law by a charge more than once drawn up against him, and his own consession to the first Article at Newport, but summon'd and arraign'd in the fight of God and his people, curst and devoted to perdition worse than any Ahab, or Antiochus, with exhortation to curse all those in the Name of God that made not War against him, as bitterly as Meroz was to be curs'd, that went not out against a Canaanitish King, almost in all the Sermons, Prayers, and Fulminations that have bin utter'd this feven years by those cloven Tongues of falshood and dissension, who now, to the stirring up of new discord, acquit him; and against their own dis-Ver, I,

cipline, which they boast to be the Throne and Scepter of Christ, absolve him, unconfound him, though unconverted, unrepentant, unfenfible of all their precious Saints and Martyrs whose blood they have so oft laid upon his head: and now again with a new fovereign anointment can wash it all off, as if it were as vile, and no more to be reckon'd for than the blood of fo many Dogs in a time of Pestilence: giving the most opprobrious lye to all the acted zeal that for these many years hath fill'd their bellies, and sed them sat upon the soolish People. Ministers of Sedition, not of the Gospel, who while they saw it manifestly tend to civil War and Bloodshed, never ceas'd exasperating the people against him; and now that they see it likely to breed new commotion, cease not to incite others against the people that have fav'd them from him, as if Sedition were their only aim whether against him or for him. But God, as we have cause to trust, will put other thoughts into the people, and turn them from looking after these firebrands, of whose fury, and false prophecies, we have enough experience; and from the murmurs of new difcord will incline them to hearken rather with erected minds to the voice of our supreme Magistracy, calling us to liberty, and the flourishing deeds of a reform'd Commonwealth; with this hope, that as God was heretofore angry with the Jews who rejected him and his form of Government to choose a King, so that he will bless us, and be propitious to us who reject a King to make him only our Leader, and supreme Governor in the conformity as near as may be of his own ancient Government; if we have at least but so much worth in us to entertain the sense of our future happiness, and the courage to receive what God vouchfases us: wherin we have the honour to precede other Nations, who are now labouring to be our follow-For as to this question in hand, what the people by their just right may do in change of Government, or of Governor, we see it clear'd sofficiently; befides other ample Authority, even from the mouths of Princes themselves. And furely they that shall boast, as we do, to be a free Nation, and not have in themselves the power to remove, or to abolish any Governor supreme, or subordinate, with the Government it self upon urgent causes, may please their fancy with a ridiculous and painted freedom, fit to cozen babies; but are indeed under tyranny and fervitude; as wanting that power, which is the root and fource of all liberty, to dispose and aconomize in the Land which God hath given them, as Masters of Family in their own House and free Inheritance. Without which natural and effential power of a free Nation, though bearing high their heads, they can in due efteem be thought no better than flaves and vaffals born, in the tenure and occupation of another inheriting Lord. Whose Government, though not illegal, or intolerable, hangs over them as a Lordly fcourge, not as a free Government; and therfore to be abrogated. How much more justly then may they fling off Tyranny, or Tyrants? who being once depos'd can be no more than private men, as subject to the reach of Justice and Arraignment as any other Transgressors? And certainly if men, not to speak of Heathen, both wife and religious, have done justice upon Tyrants what way they could foonest, how much more mild and humane then is it to give them fair and open tryal? To teach lawless Kings, and all that so much adore them, that not mortal man, or his imperious Will, but Justice is the only true fovereign and fupreme Majesty upon Earth. Let men cease therfore out of Faction and Hypocrify to make outcrys and horrid things of things fo just and honourable. And if the Parlament and Military Council do what they do without precedent, if it appear their duty, it argues the more wisdom, virtue, and magnanimity, that they know themselves able to be a precedent to others. Who perhaps in future ages, if they prove not too degenerate, will look up with honour and afpire toward these exemplary and matchless deeds of their Ancestors, as to the highest top of their civil glory and emulation. Which heretofore in the pursuance of fame and foreign dominion, spent it self vain-gloriously abroad; but henceforth may learn a better fortitude to dare execute highest Justice on them that shall by sorce of Arms endeavour the oppressing and bereaving of Religion and their Liberty at home: that no unbridled Potentate or Tyrant, but to his forrow for the future, may prefume fuch high and irresponsible licence over mankind, to have and turn upfide-down whole Kingdoms of men, as though they were no more in respect of his perverse Will than a Nation of Pismires. As for the party call'd Presbyterian, of whom I believe very many to be good and faithful Christians. though though miffed by fome of turbulent Spirit, I with them earnestly and calmly mot to fall off from their first Principles, nor to affect rigor and superiority over men not under them; not to compel unforcible things in Religion especially, which if not voluntary, becomes a fin; nor to affift the clamor and malicious drifts of men whom they themselves have judg'd to be the worst of men, the obdurate enemies of God and his Church: nor to dart against the actions of their brethren, Dr want of other argument, those wrested Laws and Scriptures thrown by Prelates and Malignants against their own sides, which though they hurt not otherwife, yet taken up by them to the condemnation of their own doings give fcandal to all men, and difcover in themselves either extreme passion or apostacy. Let them not oppose their best friends and affociates who molest them not at all, infringe not the least of their Liberties, unless they call it their Liberty to bind other mens Confciences, but are still feeking to live at peace with them and brotherly accord. Let them beware an old and perfect Enemy, who though he hope by fowing Discord to make them his Instruments, yet cannot forbear a minute the open threatning of his destin'd Revenge upon them when they have serv'd his purposes. Let them fear therfore, if they be wife, rather what they have done already, than what remains to do, and be warned in time they put no confidence in Princes whom they have provok'd, left they be added to the Examples of those that miserably have tasted the event. Stories can inform them how Christiern the fecond, King of Denmark, not much above a hundred years path driven out by his Subjects, and receiv'd again upon new Oaths and Corditions, broke through them all to his most bloody Revenge, slaying his chier Opposers when he faw his time, both them and their children invited to a feast for that purpose. How Maximilian dealt with those of Bruges, though by mediation of the German Princes reconciled to them by folemn and public writings drawn and feal'd. How the Massacre at Paris was the effect of that credulous Peace which the Treneb Protestants made with Charles the Ninth their King: and that the main visible cause which to this day bath saved the Netherlands from utter ruin, was their final not believing the perfidious cruelty which as a constant maxim of State hath bin us'd by the Spanifo Kings on their Subjects that have taken arms and after trufted them; as no latter age but can testify, heretofore in Belgia it felf, and this very year in Naples. And to conclude with one past Exception, though far more ancient, David after once he had taken arms, never after that trufted Saul, though with Tears and much relenting he twice promifed not to hurt him. These Instances, sew of many, might admonish them, both English and Scotch, not to let their own ends, and the driving on of a Faction, betray them blindly into the fnare of those Enemies whose Revenge looks on them as the men who first begun, fomented, and carry'd on beyond the cure of any found or fafe accommodation, all the evil which hath fince unavoidably befallen them and their King.

I have fomething also to the Divines, though brief to what were needful; not to be diflurbers of the civil affairs, being in hands better able and more belonging to manage them; but to study harder, and to attend the office of good Pastors, knowing that he whose Flock is least among them, hath a dreadful charge, not perform'd by mounting twice into the chair with a formal Preachment huddl'd up at the odd hours of a whole lazy week, but by inceffant pains and watching in Jeason and out of season, from house to house, over the Souls of whom they have to feed. Which if they ever well confider'd, how little leifure would they find to be the most pragmatical Sidefmen of every popular Tumult and Sedition? And all this while are to learn what the true end and reason is of the Gospel which they teach; and what a world it distiers from the consorious and supercitious lording over Conscience. It would be good also they liv'd fo as might perfuade the people they hated Covetouineis, which worse than Herefy, is Idolatry; hated Pluralities, and all kind of Simony; left rambling from Benefice to Ecrefice, like ravenous Wolves feeking where they may devour the biggeft. Of which if fome, well and warmly feated from the beginning, be not guilty, 'twere good they held not converfation with fuch as are: let them be forry that being call'd to affemble about reforming the Church, they fell to progging and foliciting the Parlament, though they had renounc'd the name of Priests, for a new settling of their Tithes and Oblations; and double lin'd themfelves with spiritual places of commodity beyond the possible discharge of their Tt 2 Vol. I.

Let them affemble in Confiftory with their Elders and Deacons, according to ancient Ecclefiastical Rule, to the preserving of Church-discipline, each in his feveral charge, and not a pack of Clergy-men by themfelves to belly-chear in their presumptuous Sion, or to promote designs, abuse and gull the simple Laity, and stir up Tumult, as the Prelates did, for the maintenance of their pride and avarice. These things if they observe and wait with patience, no doubt but all things will go well without their importunities or exclamations: and the Printed Letters which they fend subscrib'd with the oftentation of great Characters and little moment, would be more confiderable than now they are. But if they be the Ministers of Mammon instead of Christ, and scandalize his Church with the filthy love of Gain, aspiring also to sit the closest and the heaviest of all Tyrants, upon the Conscience, and fall notoriously into the same Sins, wherof fo lately and fo loud they accus'd the Prelates; as God rooted out those immediately before, so will he root out them their imitators: and to vindicate his own Glory and Religion, will uncover their hypocrify, to the open world; and visit upon their own heads that curse ye Meroz, the very Motto of their Pulpits, wherwith fo frequently, not as Meroz, but more like Atheists, they have mock'd the vengeance of God, and the zeal of his People.

OBSERVATIONS

ONTHE

Articles of Peace

BETWEEN

JAMES Earl of ORMOND for King Charles the First on the one hand, and the Irish Rebels and Papists on the other hand:

And on a Letter fent by Ormond to Colonel 70 NES Governor of Dublin.

And a Representation of the Scots Presbytery at Belfast in Ireland.

To which the faid Articles, Letter, with Col. Jones's Answer to it, and Representation, &c. are prefix'd.

A PROCLAMATION.

ORMOND,

HEREAS Articles of Peace are made, concluded, accorded and agreed upon, by and between Us, JAMES Lord Marquess of ORMOND, Lord Lieut. General, and General Governor of His Majesty's Kingdom of Ireland, by virtue of the Authority wherwith We are intrusted, for, and on the behalf of His Most Excellent Majesty of the one Part, and the General Assembly of the Roman Catholics of the said Kingdom, for and on the behalf of His Majesty's Roman Catholic Subjects of the same, on the other Part; a true Copy of which Articles of Peace are hereunto annexed: We the Lord Lieut. do by this Proclamation, in his Majesty's Name publish the same, and do in his Majesty's Name strictly charge and command all His Majesty's Subjects, and all others inhabiting or residing within His Majesty's said Kingdom of Ireland to take notice theros, and to render due Obedience to the same in all the Parts theros.

And as his Majesty hath been induced to this Peace, out of a deep sense of the Miseries and Calamities brought upon this his Kingdom and People, and out of Hope conceived by His Majesty, that it may prevent the surther Essusion of His Subjects Blood, redeem them out of all the Miseries and Calamities under which they now suffer, restore them to all Quietness and Happiness under His Majesty's most Gracious Government, deliver the Kingdom in general from those Slaughters, Depredations, Rapines and Spoils which always accompany a War, encourage the Subjects and others with Comfort to betake themselves to Trade, Traffic, Commerce, Manusacture and all other things,

which uninterrupted, may increase the Wealth and Strength of the Kingdom, beget in all His Majesty's Subjects of this Kingdom a perfect Unity amongst themselves, after the too long continued Division amongst them: So his Majesty affures himself that all His Subjects of this His Kingdom (duly considering the great and inestimable Benefits which they may find in this Peace) will with all Duty render due Obedience therunto. And We in his Majesty's Name, do hereby declare, That all Persons so rendering due Obedience to the said Peace, shall be protected, cherished, countenanced and supported by his Majesty, and his Royal Authority, according to the true Intent and Meaning of the said Articles of Peace.

Given at our Castle of Kilkenny, January 17, 1648. GOD SAVE THE KING.

Articles of Peace, made, concluded, accorded and agreed upon, by and between His Excellency JAMES Lord Marquess of OR-MOND, Lord Lieutenant General, and General of His Majesty's Kingdom of Ireland, for, and on the behalf of his Most Excellent Majesty, by Virtue of the Authority wherewith the said Lord Lieutenant is intrusted, on the one Part: And the General Assembly of Roman Catholics of the said Kingdom, for, and on the behalf of His Majesty's Roman Catholic Subjects of the same, on the other Part.

Is Majesty's Roman Catholic Subjects, as therunto bound by Allegiance, Duty and Nature, do most humbly and freely Acknowledge and Recognize their Sovereign Lord King Charles to be lawful and undoubted King of this Kingdom of Ireland, and other His Highness's Realms and Dominions: And His Majesty's said Roman Catholic Subjects, apprehending with a deep sense the sad Condition wherunto His Majesty is reduced, as a further Testimony of their Loyalty, do declare, that they and their Posterity for ever, to the utmost of their Power, even to the Expence of their Blood and Fortunes, will maintain and uphold His Majesty, His Heirs and lawful Successors, their Rights, Prerogatives, Government and Authority, and therunto freely and heartily will render all due Obedience.

therunto freely and heartily will render all due Obedience.

Of which Faithful and Loyal Recognition and Declaration fo seasonably made by the said Roman Catholics, His Majesty is graciously pleased to accept, and accordingly to own them His loyal and dutiful Subjects: And is surther graciously pleased to

extend unto them the following Graces and Securities.

MPRIMIS, It is concluded, accorded and agreed upon, by and between the faid Lord Lieutenant, for, and on the behalf of His Most Excellent Majesty, and the said General Assemby, for, and on the behalf of the faid Roman Catholic Subjects; and His Majesty is graciously pleas'd, That it shall be enacted by ACT to be passed in the next Parlament to be held in this Kingdom, that all and every the Profesfors of the Roman Catholic Religion within the faid Kingdom, shall be free and exempt from all Mulcts, Penalties, Restraints and Inhibitions, that are or may be impos'd upon them by any Law, Statute, Usage or Custom whatsoever, for, or concerning, the free Exercise of the Roman Catholic Religion: And that it shall be likewise Enacted, That the said Roman Catholics, or any of them, shall not be question'd or molested in their Perfons, Goods or Estates, for any Matter or Cause whatsoever, for, concerning, or by reason of the free Exercise of their Religion, by Virtue of any Power, Authority, Statute, Law or Ufage whatfoever: And that it shall be further Enacted, That no Roman Catholic in this Kingdom shall be compelled to exercife any Religion, Form of Devotion, or Divine Service, other than fuch as shall be agreeable to their Conscience; and that they shall not be prejudiced or molefted in their Perfons, Goods, or Estates for not observing, using or hearing the Book of Common-Prayer, or any other Form of Devo-

tion

tion or Divine Service by virtue of any Colour or Statute made in the fecond year of Queen Elizabeth, or by virtue or Colour of any other Law, Declaration of Law, Statute, Custom, or Usage whatsoever, made or declared, or to be made or declared: And that it shall be further enacted, that the Professors of the Roman Catholic Religion, or any of them, be not bound or obliged to take the Oath commonly call'd, the Oath of Supremacy expressed in the Statute of 2 Elizabeth, c. 1. or in any other Statute or Statutes: And that the faid Oath shall not be tendered unto them, and that the Refusal of the said Oath shall not redound to the Prejudice of them, or any of them, they taking the Oath of Allegiance in hac verba, viz. I A. B. do hereby acknowledge, profess, testify and declare in my Conscience, before God and the World, that our Sovereign Lord King CHARLES is Lawful and Rightful King of this Realm, and of other his Majesty's Dominions and Countries; and I will bear Faith and true Allegiance to His Mujesty, and His Heirs and Successors, and Him and them will defend to the uttermost of my power against all Conspiracies and Attempts whatsoever which shall be made against His or their Crown and Dignity; and do my best endeavour to disclose and make known to His Majesty, His Heirs and Successors, or to the Lord Deputy, or other Elis Viajesty's Chief Governor or Governors for the time being, all Treason or transorous Conspiracies which I shall know or hear to be intended against His Majesty, or any of them: and I do make this Recognition and Acknowledgment, heartily, willingly and truly, upon the true Faith of a Christian; so help me God, &c. Nevertheless, the faid Lord Lieutenant doth not hereby intend that any thing in these Concessions contained shall extend, or be construed to extend to the granting of Churches, Church-Livings, or the Exercise of Jurisdiction, the Authority of the faid Lord Lieutenant not extending fo far; yet the faid Lord Lieutenant is authoriz'd to give the faid Roman Catholics full Assurance, as hereby the faid Lord Lieutenant doth give unto the faid Roman Catholics full Affurance, that they or any of them shall not be molested in the Possession which they have at prefent of the Churches or Church-Livings, or of the Exercise of their respective Jurisdictions, as they now exercise the same, until such time as His Majesty upon a full Confideration of the Desires of the said Roman Catholics in a free Parliament to be held in this Kingdom shall declare His further Pleasure.

II. Item, It is concluded, accorded and agreed upon by and between the faid Parties, and His Majesty is further graciously pleased that a free Parlament shall be held in this Kingdom within fix Months after the Date of these Articles of PEACE, or as foon after as Thomas Lord Viscount Dillon of Costologb Lord President of Connaght, Donnogh Lord Viscount Muskerry, Francis Lord Barron of Athanry, Alexander Mac-Donnel Esquire, Sir Lucas Dillon Knight, Sir Nicholas Plunket Knight, Sir Richard Barnwall Baronet, Jessey Brown, Donnogh O Callaghan, Tyrlah O Neile, Miles Reily and Gerrald Fennell, Esquires, or the major part of them will defire the fame, so that by possibility it may be held; and that in the mean time, and until the Articles of these Presents, agreed to be pass'd in Parlament, be accordingly pass'd, the same shall be inviolably observ'd as to the Matters therin contain'd, as if they were enacted in Parlament: And that in case a Parlament be not call'd and held in this Kingdom within two years next after the Date of these Articles of Peace, then His Majesty's Lord Lieutenant, or other His Majesty's chief Governor or Governors of this Kingdom for the time being, will at the request of the faid Thomas Lord Viscount Dillon of Costologh Lord Prefident of Connaght, Donnogh Lord Viscount Muskerry, Francis Lord Baron of Athany, Alexander Mac-Donnel Esquire, Sir Lucas Dillon Knight, Sir Nicholas Plunke: Knight, Sir Richard Barnwall Baronet, Jeffery Brown, Donnegh O Callaghan, Tyrlah O Neile, Miles Reily and Gerrald Fennell Esquires, or the major part of them, call a General Assembly of the Lords and Commons of this Kingdom, to attack the same the field Lord Lieutenest on other His Minchola chief Governor or tend upon the faid Lord Lieutenant or other His Majesty's chief Governor or Governors of this Kingdom for the time being, in such convenient Place, for the better fettling of the Affairs of the Kingdom. And it is further concluded, accorded and agreed upon by and between the faid Parties, that all Matters that by these Articles are agreed upon to be pass'd in Parlament, shall be transinitted into ENGLAND, according to the usual Form, to be passed in the said Parlament, and that the said Acts so agreed upon, and so to be pass'd, shall receive no Disjunction or Alteration here or in England; provided

provided that nothing shall be concluded by both or either of the said Houses of Parlament, which may bring prejudice to any of His Majesty's Protestant Party, or their Adherents, or to his Majesty's Roman Catholic Subjects or their Adherents, other than fuch things as upon this Treaty are concluded to be done, or fach things as may be proper for the Committee of Privileges of either or both Houses to take Cognizance of, as in such Cases heretofore hath been accustomed; and other than such Matters as His Majesty will be graciously pleased to declare His further pleasure in, to be pass'd in Parlament for the Satisfaction of his Subjects; and other than fuch things as shall be propounded to cither or both Houses by his Majesty's Lord Lieutenant or other chief Governor or Governors of this Kingdom for the time being, during the faid Parlament, for the Advancement of his Majesty's Service, and the Peace of the Kingdom; which Clause is to admit no Construction which may trench upon the Articles of Peace or any of them; and that both Houses of Parliament may confider what they shall think convenient touching the Repeal or Suspension of the Statute commonly called, Poynings ACT, entitled, An ACT that no Parliament be holden in that Land, until the ACTS be certify'd into ENGLAND.

III. Item, It is further concluded, accorded and agreed upon, by and between the faid Parties, and his Majesty is graciously pleased, That all Acts, Ordinances and Orders made by both or either Houses of Parlament, to the blemish, dishonour, or prejudice of his Majesty's Roman Catholic Subjects of this Kingdom, or any of them since the 7th of August 1641, shall be vacated; and that the same and all Exemplifications and other Acts which continue the memory of them be made void by Act to be pass'd in the next Parlament to be held in this Kingdom; and that in the mean time the said Acts or Ordinances, or any of them, shall be no Prejudice to the said Roman Catholics, or any of them.

IV. Item, It is also concluded, and agreed upon, and his Majesty is likewise graciously pleased, That all Indictments, Attainders, Outlawries in this Kingdom, and all the Processes and other Proceedings thereupon, and all Letters Patents, Grants, Leafes, Cuftoms, Bonds, Recognizances, and all Records, Act or Acts, Office or Offices, Inquisitions, and all other things depending upon, or taken by reason of the said Indictments, Attainders or Outlawries, fince the 7th day of August, 1641, in prejudice of the said Catholics, their Heirs, Executors, Administrators or Assigns, or any of them, or the Widows of them, or any of them, shall be vacated and made void in such fort as no Memory shall remain therof, to the blemish, dishonour or prejudice of the said Catholics, their Heirs, Executors, Administrators or Assigns, or any of them, or the Widows of them, or any of them; and that to be done when the faid Thomas Lord Viscount Dillon of Costologh Lord President of Connaght, Donnogh Lord Viscount Muskerry, Francis Lord Baron of Athuny, Alexander Mac-Donnel Esquire, Sir Lucas Dillon Knight, Sir Nicholas Plunket Knight, Sir Richard Barnwall Baronet, Jeffery Brown, Donnegh O Callaghan, Tyrlab O Neal, Miles Reilie and Gerrald Fennell Esquires, or the major part of them shall defire the fame, fo that by possibility it may be done: and in the mean time that no fuch Indictments, Attainders, Outlawries, Processes, or any other Proceedings thereupon, or any Letters Patents, Grants, Leafes, Custodiums, Bonds, Recognizances, or any Record or Acts, Office or Offices, Inquisitions, or any other thing depending upon, or by reason of the said Indictments, Attainders or Outlawries, shall in any fort prejudice the said Roman Catholics, or any of them, but that they and every of them shall be forthwith, upon Persection of these Articles, restor'd to their respective Possessions and Hereditaments respectively; provided, that no Man shall be question'd by reason hereof, for Measne Rates or Wastes, saving wilful Wastes committed after the first day of May

V. Item, It is likewise concluded, accorded and agreed, and his Majesty is graciously pleased, That as soon as possible may be, all Impediments which may hinder the said Roman Catholics to sit or vote in the next intended Parlament, or to choose, or to be chosen Knights and Burgesses, to sit or vote there, shall

be removed, and that before the faid Parlament.

VI. Item, It is concluded, accorded and agreed upon, and his Majesty is further graciously pleased. That all Debts shall remain as they were upon the 23d of

of Ostober, 1641. Notwithstanding any Disposition made or to be made, by Virtue or Colour of any Attainder, Outlawry, Fugacy, or other Forseiture; and that no Disposition or Grant made, or to be made of any such Debts, by Virtue of any Attainder, Outlawry, Fugacy, or other Forseiture, shall be of

force; and this to be passed as an Act in the next Parlament.

VII. Item, It is further concluded, accorded and agreed upon, and his Maje-Ry is graciously pleased, That for the securing of the Estates or reputed Estates of the Lords, Knights, Gentlemen and Freeholders, or reputed Freeholders, as well of Connaght and County of Clare, or Country of Thomond, as of the Counties of Limerick and Tipperary, the fame to be fecured by Act of Parlament, according to the Intent of the 25th Article of the Graces granted in the fourth year of his Majesty's Reign, the Tenor wherof for so much as concerneth the fame, doth enfue in these words, viz. We are graciously pleased, that for the Inhabitants of Connaght and Country of Thomond and County of Clare, that their feveral Estates shall be confirmed unto them and their Heirs against Us, and our Heirs and Successfors, by Act to be passed in the next Parlament to be holden in Ireland, to the end the fame may never hereafter be brought into any further question by Us, or our Heirs and Successors. In which Act of Parlament to to be passed, you are to take care that all Tenures in Capite, and all Rents and Services as are now due, or which ought to be answered unto us out of the said Lands and Premises, by any Letters Patent past therof since the sirst year of King HENRY VIII. or found by any Office taken from the said sirst year of King HENRY VIII. until the 21st of July 1645, wherby our late dear Father, or any his Predecessors actually received any Profit by Wardship, Liveries, Primer-seisins, Measine Rates, Ousterlemains or Fines of Alienations without Licence, be again referved unto Us, our Heirs and Successors, and all the rest of the Premises to be holden of our Castle of Athlone by Knights Service, according to our faid late Father's Letters, notwithftanding any Tenures in Capite found for Us by Office, fince the 21st of July 1615, and not appearing in any fuch Letters Patent, or Offices; within which Rule His Majesty is likewise graciously pleased, That the said Lands in the Counties of Limerick and Tipperary be included, but to be held by fuch Rents and Tenures only, as they were in the fourth year of his Majesty's Reign; provided always, that the faid Lords, Knights, Gentlemen and Freeholders of the faid Province of Connaght, County of Clare, and Country of Thomand, and Counties of Tipperary and Limerick, shall have and enjoy the full Benefit of such Composition and Agreement which shall be made with his most Excellent Majefty, for the Court of Wards, Tenures, Respits and Islues of Homage, any Clause in this Article to the contrary notwithstanding. And as for the Lands within the Counties of Kilkenny and Wicklee, unto which his Majesty was intitled by Offices, taken or found in the time of the Earl of Strafford's Government in this Kingdom, His Majesty is further graciously pleased, That the State therof shall be considered in the next intended Parlament, where his Majesty will affent unto that which shall be just and honourable; and that the like Act of Limitation of his Majesty's Titles, for the Security of the Estates of his Subjects of this Kingdom be passed in the said Parlament as was enacted in the 21st year of his late Majesty King JAMES his Reign in ENGLAND.

VIII. Item, It is further concluded, accorded and agreed upon, and his Majesty is further graciously pleased, that all Incapacities imposed upon the Natives of this Kingdom or any of them, as Natives, by any Act of Parlament, Provisos in Patents or otherwise, be taken away by Act to be passed in the said Parlament; and that they may be enabled to erect one or more Inns of Court in or near the City of Dublin or elsewhere, as shall be thought sit by his Majesty's Lord Lieutenant, or other Chief Governour or Governours of this Kingdom for the time being; and in ease the said Inns of Court shall be erected before the first day of the next Parlament, then the same shall be in such Places as his Majesty's Lord Lieutenants or other Chief Governour or Governours of this Kingdom for the time being, by and with the Advice and Consent of the said Thomas Lord Viscount Dillon of Coslologh Lord President of Connaght, Donnogh Lord Viscount Muskerry, Francis Lord Baron of Athunry, Alexander Mac-Donnell Esquire, Sir Lucas Dillon Kt. Sir Nicholas Plunker Knight, Sir Richard Barnwall Baronet, Jessey Browne, Donnogh O Callaghan, Tyr-

leh O Neile, Miles Rei'y, Gerrald Fennell Esquires, or any seven or more of them shall think fit; and that such Students, Natives of this Kingdom, as shall be therin, may take and receive the usual Degrees accustomed in any Inns of Court, they taking the enfuing Oath; viz. I A. B. do hereby acknowledge, profess, testify and declare in my Conscience before God and the World, that our Sovereign Lord King Charles is Lawful and Rightful King of this Realm, and of other his Majesty's Dominions and Countries; and I will bear Faith and true Allegiance to his Majedy, and his Heirs and Successors, and him and them will defend to the utmost of my Power against all Conspiracies and Attempts whatsoever, which shall be made against his or their Crown and Dignity; and do my best endeavour to disclose and make known to his Majesty, his Heirs and Successors, or to the Lord Deputy, or other his Majesty's Chief Governour or Governours for the time being, all Treason or traitorous Conspiracies which I shall know or hear to be intended again? bis Majesty or any of them. And I do here make this Recognition and Acknowledgement heartily, willingly and truly, upon the true Faith of a Christian; so help me God, &c. And his Majesty is further graciously pleased, that his Majesty's Roman Catholic Subjects may erect and keep free Schools for Education of Youths in this Kingdom, any Law or Statute to the contrary notwithstanding; and that all the matters affented unto in this Article be passed as Acts of Parliament in the faid next Parliament.

1X. Item, It is further concluded, accorded, and agreed upon, by and between the faid Parties, and his Majesty is graciously pleased, that Places of Command, Honour, Profit and Trust in his Majesty's Armies in this Kingdom shall be upon Perfection of these Articles actually and by particular Instances conferred upon his Roman Catholic Subjects of this Kingdom; and that upon the distribution, conferring and disposing of the Places of Command, Honour, Profit and Trust in his Majesty's Armies in this Kingdom, for the future no Difference shall be made between the faid Roman Catholics, and other his Majesty's Subjects; but that such Distribution shall be made with equal Indisserency according to their respective Merits and Abilities: and that all his Majefty's Subjects of this Kingdom, as well Roman Catholics as others, may for his Majesty's Service and their own Security, arm themselves the best they may, wherin they shall have all fitting Encouragement. And it is further concluded, accorded and agreed upon, by and between the faid Parties, and his Majesty is further graciously pleas'd, That Places of Command, Honour, Profit and Trust in the Civil Government in this Kingdom, shall be upon passing of the Bills in these Articles mentioned in the next Parlament, actually and by particular Instances conferred upon his Majesty's Roman Catholic Subjects of this Kingdom; and that in the distribution, conferring and disposal of the Places of Command, Honour, Profit and Trust in the Civil Government, for the future no Difference shall be made between the said Roman Cathelies, and other his Majefty's Subjects, but that fuch Distribution shall be made with equal Indifferency, according to their respective Merits and Abilities; and that in the Distribution of Ministerial Offices or Places, which now are, or hereafter shall be void in this Kingdom, equality shall be us'd to the Roman Catholic Natives of this Kingdom, as to other his Majesty's Subjects; and that the Command of Forts, Caftles, Garifon-Towns, and other Places of Importance of this Kingdom, shall be conferred upon His Majesty's Roman Catholic Subjects of this Kingdom upon Perfection of these Articles actually and by particular Instances; and that in the distribution, conferring and disposal of the Forts, Castles, Garifon-Towns, and other Places of Importance in this Kingdom, no difference shall be made between his Majesty's Roman Catholic Subjects of this Kingdom, and other his Majefty's Subjects, but that fuch distribution shall be made with equal Indifferency, according to their respective Merits and Abilities; and that until full Settlement in Parlament fifteen thousand Foot, and two thoufand and five hundred Horse of the Roman Catholics of this Kingdom shall be of the Standing Army of this Kingdom: And that until full Settlement in Parlament as aforefaid, the faid Lord Lieutenant or other Chief Governour or Governours of this Kingdom for the time being, and the faid Thomas Lord Vife. Dillon of Costologh Lord President of Connaght, Donnogh Lord Visc. Muskerry, Francis Lord Baron of Athunry, Alexander Mac-Donnell Esq; Sir Lucas Dillon Kt. Sir Nicholas Plunket Kt, Sir Richard Barnwall Bar. Jeffery Brown, Donnogh O Cal-

between the Earl of Ormond and the Irish.

laghan, Tyrlah O Neile, Miles Reily and Gerrald Fennell Efq; or any feven or more of them, the faid Thomas Lord Viscount Dillon of Costologh Lord Prefident of Connaght, Donnogh Lord Viscount Muskerry, Francis Lord Baron of Athany, Alexander Mac-Donnel Esq. Sir Lucas Dillon Kt. Sir Nicholas Plunket Kt. Sir Richard Barnwall Baronet, Jeffery Browne, Donnogh O Callaghan, Tyrlah O Neile, Miles Reily and Gerrald Fennell Esquires, shall diminish or add unto the said Number, as they shall see cause from time to time.

X. Item, It is further concluded, accorded and agreed upon, by and between the faid Parties, and his Majesty is further graciously pleas'd, that his Majesty will accept of the yearly Rent, or annual Sum of twelve thousand pounds Sterling, to be applotted with Indifferency and Equality, and confented to be paid to his Majesty, his Heirs and Successors in Parlament, for and in lieu of the Court of Wards in this Kingdom, Tenures in Capite, Common Knights-Service, and all other Tenures within the Cognizance of that Court, and for, and in lieu of all Wardships, Primer-seizins, Fines, Ousterlemains, Liveries, Intrufions, Alienations, Meafine Rates, Releafes and all other Profits, within the Cognizance of the faid Court, or incident to the faid Tenures, or any of them, or Fines to accrue to his Majesty by reason of the said Tenures or any of them, and for and in lieu of Respits and Issues of Homage and Fines for the fame. And the faid yearly Rent being fo applotted and confented unto in Parlament as aforefaid, then a Bill is to be agreed on in the faid Parlament to be passed as an Act for the securing of the said yearly Rent; or annual Sum of twelve thousand Pounds to be applotted as aforesaid, and for the Extinction and taking away of the faid Court; and other Matters aforefaid in this Article contained. And it is further agreed, that reasonable Compositions shall be accepted for Wardships fallen since the 23d of Ottober 1641, and already granted; and that no Wardships fallen and not granted, or that shall fall, shall be passed until the Success of this Article shall appear; and if his Majesty be secured as aforesaid, then all Wardships sallen since the said 23d of October, are to be included in the Argument aforefaid, upon Composition to be made with such as have Grants as aforefaid; which Composition to be made with the Grantees since the time aforesaid, is to be left to indifferent Persons, and the Umpirage to the faid Lord Lieutenant.

XI. Item, It is further concluded, accorded and agreed upon; by and between the faid Parties, and his Majesty is further graciously pleas'd, That no Nobleman or Peer of this Realm, in Parlament, shall be hereafter capable of more Proxies than two, and that blank Proxies shall be hereafter totally disallowed; and that if fuch Noblemen or Peers of this Realm, as have no Eflates in this Kingdom, do not within five years, to begin from the conclusion of these Articles, purchase in this Kingdom as followeth, viz. a Lord Baron 200%. per annum, a Lord Viscount 4001. per annum, and an Earl 6001. per annum, a Marquess 8001. per annum, a Duke 10001. per annum, shall lose their Votes in Parlament until fuch time as they shall afterwards acquire such Estates respectively; and that none be admitted in the House of Commons, but such as shall be estated and resident within this Kingdom.

XII. Item, It is further concluded, accorded and agreed upon, by and between the faid Parties, and his Majesty is further graciously pleas'd, That as for and concerning the Independency of the Parlament of Ireland on the Parlament of England, his Majesty will leave both Houses of Parlament in this Kingdom to make fuch Declaration therin as shall be agreeable to the Laws of the Kingdom of Ireland.

XIII. Item, It is further concluded and agreed upon, by and between the faid Parties, and his Majesty is further graciously pleas'd, That the Council-Table shall contain it self within its proper Bounds, in handling Matters of State and Weight fit for that Place; amongst which the Patents of Plantation, and the Offices wherupon those Grants are sounded, to be handled, as Matters of State, and to be heard and determined by his Majesty's Lord Lieutenant, or other Chief Governour or Governours for the time being, and the Council publicly at the Council-Board, and not otherwise; but Titles between Party and Party grown after these Patents granted, are to be left to the ordinary Course of Law; and that the Council-Table do not hereafter intermeddle with common Business, that is within the Cognizance of the ordinary Courts, nor with the altering of Possessions of Lands, nor make, nor use, pri-

U u 2 Vol. I.

vate Orders, Hearings or References concerning any such matter, nor grant any Injunction or Order for stay of any Suits in any Civil Cause: And that Parties griev'd for or by reason of any Proceedings formerly had there, may commence their Suits, and prosecute the same in any of his Majesty's Courts of Justice or Equity for remedy of their pretended Rights, without any Restraint or Interruption from his Majesty, or otherwise, by the Chief Governour or Governours and Council of this Kingdom: And that the Proceedings in the respective Precedency Courts shall be pursuant, and according to his Majesty's printed Book of Instructions, and that they shall contain themselves within the Limits prescribed by that Book, when the Kingdom shall be restored to such a degree of Quietness, as they be not necessarily enforced to exceed the same.

XIV. Item, It is further concluded, accorded and agreed upon by and between the faid Parties, and his Majesty is further graciously pleas'd, That as for and concerning one Statute made in this Kingdom, in the eleventh year of the Reign of Queen Elizabeth, intitled, An ACT for staying of Wool-Flocks, Tallow and other Necessaries within this Realm: And another Statute made in the said Kingdom in the twelsth year of the Reign of the said Queen,

intitled, An ACT

And one other Statute made in the faid Kingdom, in the 13th year of the Reign of the faid late Queen, intitled, An Exemplanation of the Act made in a Seffion of this Parlament for the staying of Wool-Flocks, Tallow, and other Wares and Commodities mention'd in the faid Act, and certain Articles added to the same Act, all concerning staple or native Commodities of this Kingdom, shall be repealed, if it shall be so thought sit in the Parliament (excepting for Wool and Wool-fells) and that such indifferent Persons as shall be agreed on by the said Lord Lieutenant, and the said Thomas Lord Viscount Dillon of Costologh Lord President of Connaght, Donnogh Lord Viscount Muskerry, Francis Lord Baron of Athunry, Alexander Mac-Donnel Esq.; Sir Lucas Dillon Kt. Sir Nicholas Plunket Kt. Sir Richard Barnwall Baronet, Jeffery Brown, Donnogh O Callaghan, Tyrlah O Neile, Miles Reily and Gerrald Fennell Esquires, or any seven or more of them shall be authorized by Commission under the Great Seal, to moderate and ascertain the Rates of Merchandize to be exported or imported out of, or into this Kingdom, as they shall think sit.

XV. Item, It is concluded, accorded and agreed, by and between the faid Parties, and his Majesty is graciously pleas'd, That all and every Person and Persons within this Kingdom, pretending to have suffered by Offices sound of several Countries, Territories, Lands and Hereditaments in the Province of Usser, and other Provinces of this Kingdom, in or since the first year of King James his Reign, or by Attainders or Forseitures, or by Pretence and Colour therof, since the said first year of King James, or by other Acts depending on the said Offices, Attainders and Forseitures, may petition his Majesty in Parlament for Relief and Redress; and if after examination it shall appear to his Majesty, the said Persons, or any of them have been injured, then his Majesty will prescribe a Course to repair the Person or Persons so suffering according to

Justice and Honour.

XVI. Item, It is further concluded, accorded and agreed upon, by and between the faid Parties, and his Majesty is graciously pleas'd, That as to the particular Cases of Maurice Lord Viscount de Rupe and Fermoy, Arthur Lord Viscoular Cases, Sir Edward Fitz-Gerrald of Cloanglish Baronet, Charles Mac-Carty Reag, Roger Moore, Anthony Mare, William Fitz-Gerrald, Anthony Linch, John Lacy, Collo Mac-Brien Mac-Mahowne, Daniel Castigni, Edmond Fitz-Gerrald of Ballimartir, Lucas Keating, Theobald Roch Fitz-Miles, Thomas Fitz-Gerrald of the Vally, John Bourke of Loghmaske, Edmond Fitz-Gerrald of Ballimallo, James Fitz-William Gerrald of Glinane, and Edward Sutton, they may petition his Majesty in the next Parlament, wherupon his Majesty will take such Consideration of them as shall be just and fit.

XVII. Item, It is likewise concluded, accorded and agreed upon, by and between the said Parties, and his Majesty is graciously pleas'd, That the Citizens, Freemen, Burgesses and former Inhabitants of the City of Cork, Towns of Youghall and Downegarven shall be forthwith, upon Persection of these Articles, restored to their respective Possessions and Estates in the said City and Towns

respectively,

respectively, where the same extends not to the endangering of the said Garisons in the said City and Towns. In which case so many of the said Cititizens and Inhabitants, as shall not be admitted to the present Possession of their Houses within the said City and Towns, shall be afforded a valuable annual Rent for the same, until Settlement in Parlament, at which time they shall be restored to those their Possessions. And it is surther agreed, and his Majestry is graciously pleased, That the said Citizens, Freemen, Burgesses and Inhabitants of the said City of Cork, and Towns of Toughall and Downegarven, respectively, shall be enabled in convenient time before the next Parlament to be held in this Kingdom, to chuse and return Burgesses into the same Parlament.

XVIII. Item, It is further concluded, accorded and agreed upon, by and between the faid Parties, and his Majesty is further graciously pleas'd, That an ACT of Oblivion be past in the next Parlament, to extend to all his Majefty's Subjects of this Kingdom, and their Adherents, of all Treafons and Offences, capital, criminal and personal, and other Offences of what nature, kind or quality foever, in fuch manner, as if fuch Treasons or Offences had never been committed, perpetrated or done: That the faid Act do extend to the Heirs, Children, Kindred, Executors, Administrators, Wives, Widows, Dowagers, or Affigns of fuch of the faid Subjects and their Adherents who died on, before, or fince, the 23d of October, 1641. That the said Act do relate to the first day of the next Parlament; that the said Act do extend to all Bodies Politic and Corporate, and their respective Successors, and unto all Cities, Burroughs, Counties, Baronies, Hundreds, Towns, Villages, Thitlings, and every of them within this Kingdom, for and concerning all and every of the faid Offences, or any other Offence or Offences in them, or any of them committed or done by his Majesty's said Subjects, or their Adherents, or any of them, before, in, or fince the 23d of Olicher, 1641. Provided this Act shall not extend to be construed to pardon any Offence or Offences, for which any Perion or Perfons have been convicted or attainted on Record at any time before the 23d day of October, in the year of our Lord 1641. That this Act shall extend to Piracies, and all other Offences committed upon the Sea by his Majesty's faid Subjects, or their Adherents, or any of them; that in this Act of Oblivion, Words of release, acquittal and discharge be inferted, that no Person or Perfons, Bodies Politic or Corporate, Counties, Cities, Burroughs, Baronies, Hundreds, Towns, Villages, Thislings, or any of them within this Kingdom, included within the faid Act, be troubled, impeached, fued, inquieted or molested, for, or by reason of any Offence, Matter or Thing whatsoever, comprised within the faid Act: And the said Act shall extend to all Rents, Goods and Chattels taken, detained or grown due to the Subjects of the one Party from the other fince the 23d of October, 1641. to the Date of these Articles of Peace; and also to all Customs, Rents, Arrears of Rents, to Prizes, Recognizances, Bonds, Fines, Forseitures, Penalties, and to all other Profits, Perquifits and Dues which were due, or did, or should accrue to his Majesty on, before, or fince the 23d of October, 1641. until the Perfection of these Articles, and likewise to all Measne Rates, Fines of what nature soever, Recognizances, Judgments, Executions therupon, and Penalties whatfoever, and to all other Profits due to his Majesty fince the said 23d of October and before, until the Perfection of these Articles, for, by reason, or which lay within the Survey or Recognizance of the Court of Wards; and also to all Respits, Issues of Homage and Fines for the same: Provided this shall not extend to discharge or remit any of the King's Debts or Subfidies due before the faid 23d of Geteber, 1641. which were then or before levied, or taken by the Sheriffs, Commiffioners, Receivers or Collectors, and not then or before accounted for, or fince disposed to the public Use of the said Roman Catholic Subjects, but that fuch Perfons may be brought to account for the fame after full Settlement in Parlament, and not before, unless by and with the Advice and Consent of the faid Thomas Lord Vifeount Dillon of Costologh Lord President of Connaght, Donnogh Lord Viscount Muskerry, Francis Lord Baron of Athunry, Alexander Mac-Donnel Esq, Sir Lucas Dillon Kt. Sir Nicholas Plunket Kt. Sir Richard Barnwall Baronet, Jeffery Brown, Donnogh O Callaghan, Tyrlah O Neile, Miles Reily and Gerrald Fennell Efquires, or any feven or more of them, as the faid Lord Lieu-

tenant otherwife shall think fit; provided, that such barbarous and inhuman Crimes as shall be particulariz'd and agreed upon by the said Lord Lieutenant, and the faid Thomas Lord Viscount Dillon of Costologh Lord Prefident of Connaght, Donnogh Lord Viscount Muskerry, Francis Lord Baron of Athunry, Alexander Mac-Donnel Esq; Sir Lucas Dillon Kt. Sir Nicholas Plunket Kt. Sir Richars! Barnwall Baronet, Jeffery Browne, Donnogh O Callaghan, Tyrlah O Neile, Miles Reily and Gerrald Fennell Efquires, or any feven or more of them, as to the Actors and Procurers therof, be left to be tried and adjudged by fuch indifferent Commissioners as shall be agreed upon by the said Lord Lieutenant; and the faid Thomas Lord Vifcount Dillon of Costologh Lord Prefident of Connaght, Donnogh Lord Viscount Muskerry, Francis Lord Baron of Athunry, Alexander Mac-Donnel Esq; Sir Lucas Dillon Kt. Sir Nicholas Plunket Kt. Sir Richard Barnwall Baronet, Jeffery Browne, Donnogh O Callaghan, Tyrlah O Neile, Miles Reily and Gerrald Fennell Esquires, or any seven or more of them; and that the Power of the faid Commissioners shall continue only for two years next ensuing the Date of their Commission, which Commission is to issue within six Months after the Date of these Articles; provided also that the Commissioners to be agreed on for trial of the faid particular Crimes to be excepted, shall hear, order and determine all Cases of Trust, where relief may or ought in equity to be afforded against all manner of Perfons, according to the Equity and Circumstances of every such Cases; and his Majesty's Chief Governour or Governours, and other Magistrates for the time being, in all his Majesty's Courts of Justice, and other his Majesty's Officers of what Condition or Quaity soever, be bound and requir'd to take notice of, and purfue the faid Act of Oblivion without pleading or fuit to be made for the fame; and that no Clerk or other Officers do make out or write out any manner of Writs, Processes, Summons or other Precept, for, concerning, or by reason of any Matter, Cause or Thing whatsoever releafed, forgiven, discharged, or to be forgiven by the said Act, under pain of 20 /. Sterling, and that no Sheriff or other Officer, do execute any fuch Writ, Process, Summons or Precept; and that no Record, Writing or Memory, do remain of any Offence or Offences, released or forgiven, or mentioned to be forgiven by this Act; and that all other Claufes usually inferted in Acts of General Pardon or Oblivion, enlarging his Majesty's Grace and Mercy, not herein particularized, be inferted and comprized in the faid Act, when the Bill shall be drawn up with the Exceptions already expressed, and none other. Provided always, that the faid Act of Oblivion shall not extend to any Treason, Felony or other Offence or Offences, which shall be committed or done from or after the Date of these Articles, until the first Day of the before-mentioned next Parlamenr, to be held in this Kingdom. Provided also, that any Act or Acts which shall be done by Virtue, Pretence or in Pursuance of these Articles of Peace agreed upon, or any Act or Acts which shall be done by Virtue, Colour or Pretence of the Power or Authority used or exercised by and amongst the Confederate Roman Catholics after the Date of the faid Articles, and before the faid Publication, shall not be accounted, taken, construed, or to be, Treason, Felony, or other Offence to be excepted out of the faid Act of Oblivion; provided likewise, that the said Act of Oblivion shall not extend unto any Person or Persons, that will not obey and submit unto the Peace concluded and agreed on by these Articles; provided further, that the said Act of Oblivion, or any thing in this Article contained, shall not hinder or interrupt the said Thomas Lord Viscount Dillon of Costologh Lord President of Connaght, Donnogh Lord Viscount Muskerry, Francis Lord Baron of Athunry, Alexander Mac-Donnel Esq; Sir Lucas Dillon Kt. Sir Nicholas Plunket Kt. Sir Richard Barnwall Baronet, Jeffery Browne, Donnogh O Callaghan, Tyriah O Neile, Miles Reily and Gerrald Fennell, Esquires, or any seven or more of them, to call to an Account, and proceed against the Council and Congregation, and the respective supream Councils, Commissioners general, appointed hitherto from time to time by the Confederate Catholics to manage their Affairs, or any other Person or Perfons accountable to an Accompt for their respective Receipts and Disburiements, fince the beginning of their respective Employments under the faid Confederate Catholics, or to acquit or release any Arrears of Excises, Customs, or public Taxes to be accounted for fince the 23d of Ottober 1641, and not difpos'd of hitherto, to the public Use, but that the Parties therin concern'd may be call'd to an Account for the same as aforesaid, by the said Thomas Lord Viscount Dillon of Costologh Lord President of Connaght, Donnogh Lord Visc. Musherry, Francis Lord Baron of Albunry, Alexander Mac-Donnel Esquire, Sir Lucas Dillon Kt. Sir Nicholas Plunket Kt. Sir Richard Baronet, Jeffery Browne, Donnagh O Callaghan, Tyrlah O Neile, Miles Reily and Gerrald Fennell, Esquires, or any seven or more of them, the said ACT or any thing therin contain'd to the contrary notwithstanding.

XIX. Item, It is further concluded, accorded and agreed upon, by and between the faid Parties, and his Majesty is graciously pleas'd, that an ACT be pass'd in the next Parlament, prohibiting, That neither the Lord Deputy, or other Chief Governour or Governours, Lord Chancellor, Lord High Treasurer, Vice-Treasurer, Chancellor, or any of the Barons of the Exchequer, Privy Council, or Judges of the four Courts, be Farmers of his Majesty's Customs

within this Kingdom.

XX. Item, It is likewise concluded, accorded and agreed, and his Majesty is graciously pleas'd, That an ACT of Parlament pass in this Kingdom against Monopolies, such as was enacted in England 21 Jacobi Regis, with a further Clause of repealing of all Grants of Monopolies in this Kingdom; and that Commissioners be agreed upon by the said Lord Lieutenant, and the suid Thomas Lord Viscount Dillon of Costologh Lord President of Connaght, Donnogh Lord Viscount Muskerry, Francis Lord Baron of Athunry, Alexander Mac-Donnel Esq.; Sir Lucas Dillon Kt. Sir Nicholas Plunket Kt. Sir Richard Barnwall Bar. Jessey Browne, Donnogh O Callaghan, Tyrlah O Neile, Miles Reily and Gerrald Fennell Esquires, or any seven or more of them, to set down the Rates for the Custom and Imposition to be laid on Aquavita, Wine, Oil, Yarn and Tobacco.

XXI. Item, It is concluded, accorded and agreed, and his Majesty is graciously pleas'd, that such Persons as shall be agreed on by the said Lord Lieutenant, and the said Thomas Lord Viscount Dillon of Costologh Lord President of Connaght, Donnogh Lord Viscount Maskerry, Francis Lord Baron of Athunry, Alexander Mac-Donnel Esquire, Sir Lucas Dillon Knight, Sir Nicholas Plunket Knight, Sir Richard Barnwall Baronet, Jeffery Brown, Donnogh O Callaghan, Tyrlah O Neile, Miles Reily and Gerrald Fennell Esquires, or any seven or more of them, shall be as soon as may be authoriz'd by Commission under the Great Seal to regulate the Court of Castle-chamber, and such Causes as shall be brought into, and censur'd in the said Court.

XXII. Item, It is concluded, accorded and agreed upon, and his Majesty is graciously pleas'd, that two Acts lately pass'd in this Kingdom, one prohibiting the plowing with Horses by the Tail, and the other prohibiting the burn-

ing of Oats in the Straw, be repeal'd.

XXIII. Item, It is further concluded, accorded and agreed upon, by and between the faid Parties, and his Majesty is further graciously pleas'd, for as much as upon Application of Agents from this Kingdom unto his Majesty in the fourth year of his Reign, and lately upon humble Suit made unto his Majesty, by a Committee of both Houses of the Parlament of this Kingdom, order was given by his Majesty for redress of several Grievances, and for so many of those as are not express'd in the Articles, wherof both Houses in the next ensuing Parlament shall desire the benefit of his Majesty's faid former Directions for Redress therin, that the same be afforded them; yet so, as for prevention of Inconveniences to his Majesty's Service, that the Warning mention'd in the 24th Article of the Graces in the fourth year of his Majesty's Reign be so understood, that the Warning being left at the Person's Dwelling-houses be held fufficient Warning; and as to the 22d Article of the faid Graces, the Process hitherto us'd in the Court of Wards do still continue, as hitherto it hath done in that, and hath been us'd in other English Courts; but the Court of Wards being compounded for, so much of the aforefaid Answer as concerns Warning and Process shall be omitted.

XXIV. Item, It is further concluded, accorded and agreed upon, by and between the faid Parties, and his Majesty is further graciously pleas'd, That Maritine Causes may be determin'd in this Kingdom, without driving of Merchants or others to appeal and seek Justice elsewhere: and if it shall fall out that there be Cause of an Appeal, the Party griev'd is to appeal to his Majesty in the Chancery of IRELAND; and that Sentence therupon to be given by the

Delegates, to be definitive, and not to be question'd upon any further Appeal, except it be in the Parlament of this Kingdom, if the Parlament shall then be sitting, otherwise not, this to be by ACT of Parlament; and until the said Parlament, the Admiralty and Maritine Causes shall be order'd and settl'd by the said Lord Lieutenant, or other Chief Governour or Governours of this Kingdom for the time being, by and with the Advice and Consent of the said Thomas Lord Viscount Dillon of Costologh Lord President of Connaght, Donnogh Lord Viscount Muskerry, Francis Lord Baron of Athunry, Alexander MacDonnel Esq., Sir Lucas Dillon Kt. Sir Nicholas Plunket Kt. Sir Richard Barnwall Baronet, Jessey, Donnagh O Callaghan, Tyrlah O Neile, Miles Reily and Gerrald Fennell, Esquires, or any seven or more of them.

XXV. Item, It is further concluded, accorded and agreed upon, by and between the faid Parties, and his Majesty is graciously pleas'd, That his Majesty's Subjects of this Kingdom be eas'd of all Rents and Increase of Rents lately rais'd on the Commission or defective Titles in the Earl of Strafford's Government, this to be by ACT of Parlament; and that in the mean time the faid Rents or Increase of Rents shall not be written for by any Process, or the payment ther-

of in any fort procur'd.

XXVI. Item, It is further concluded, accorded and agreed upon, by and between the faid Parties, and his Majesty is further graciously pleas'd, that by ACT to be pass'd in the next Parlament, all the Arrears of Interest-Money, which did accrue and grow due by way of Debt, Mortgage or otherwise, and yet not so satisfy'd fince the 23d of October 1641, until the Perfection of these Articles, shall be fully forgiven and be releas'd; and that for and during the space of three years next ensuing, no more shall be taken for Use or Interest of Money than five Pounds per Centum. And in Cases of Equity arising through Disability, occasion'd by the Distempers of the Times, the Considerations of Equity to be like unto both Parties; but as for Mortgages contracted between his Majesty's Roman Catholic Subjects and others of that Party, where Entry hath been made by the Mortgagers against Law, and the Condition of their Mortgages, and detain'd wrongfully by them without giving any Satisfaction to the Mortgages, or where any such Mortgagers have made Profit of the Lands mortgag'd above Country Charges, yet answer no Rent, or other Consideration to the Mortgagees, the Parties griev'd respectively to be left for relief to a Course of Equity therin.

XXVII. Item, It is further concluded, accorded and agreed upon, and his Majesty is further graciously pleas'd, that immediately upon Perfection of these Articles, the faid Thomas Lord Viscount Dillon of Costologh Lord President of Connaght, Donnogh Lord Viscount Muskerry, Francis Lord Baron of Athuny, Alexander Mac-Donnel Esq. Sir Lucas Dillon Kt. Sir Nicholas Plunket Kt. Sir Richard Barnwall Baronet, Jeffery Browne, Donnogh O Callaghan, Tyrlab O Neile, Miles Reily and Gerrald Fennell Esquires, shall be authoriz'd by the faid Lord Lieutenant to proceed in, hear, determine and execute, in and throughout this Kingdom, the enfuing Particulars, and all the Matters therupon depending; and that fuch Authority and other the Authorities hereafter mention'd shall remain of force without revocation, alteration or diminution, until Acts of Parlament be pass'd, according to the purport and intent of these Articles; and that in case of Death, Miscarriage, Disability to serve by reason of Sickness or otherwise of any the said Thomas Lord Viscount Dillon of Costologh Ld President of Connaght, Donnogh Ld Visc. Muskerry, Francis Ld Bar. of Athunrv, Alexander Mac-Donnel Esq; Sir Lucas Dillon Kt. Sir Nicholas Plunket Kt. Sir Richard Barnwall Baronet, Jeffery Browne, Donnogh O Callaghan, Tyrlah O Neile, Miles Reily and Gerrald Fennell Esquires, and his Majesty's Lord Lieutenant, or other Chief Governour or Governours of this Kingdom for the time being. shall name and authorize another in the Place of such as shall be so dead or thall mifcarry himfelf, or be fo difabled, and that the fame shall be such Person as shall be allow'd of by the faid Thomas Lord Viscount Dillon of Costologh, Lord Prefident of Connaght, Donnogh Lord Viscount Muskerry, Francis Lord Baron of Athunry, Alexander Mac-Donnell Esq; Sir Lucas Dillon Kt. Sir Nicholas Plunket Kt. Sir Richard Barnwall Baronet, Jeffery Browne, Donnogh O Callaghan, Tyrlab O Neile, Miles Reily and Gerrald Fennell Esquires, or any seven or more of them then living. And that the faid Thomas Lord Viscount Dillon of Costologic Lord

between the Earl of Ormond and the Irish.

Lord Prefident of Connaght, Donnogh Lord Viscount Muskerry, Francis Lord Baron of Athunry, Alexander Mac-Donnel Esq., Sir Lucas Dillon Kt. Sir Nicholas Plunket Kt. Sir Richard Barnwall Baronet, Jeffery Browne, Donnagh O Callaghan, Tyrlab O Neile, Miles Reily and Gerrald Fennell Esquires, or any seven or more of them, shall have Power to applot, raife and levy Means with Indifferency and Equality by way of Excise or otherwise, upon all his Majesty's Subjects within the faid Kingdom, their Persons, Estates and Goods, towards the Maintenance of fuch Army or Armies as shall be thought fit to continue, and be in Pay for his Majesty's Service, the Defence of the Kingdom, and other the necessary public Charges therof, and towards the Maintenance of the Forts Castles, Garisons and Towns, until there shall be a Settlement in Parlament of both or either Party, other than fuch of the faid Forts, Garifons and Caftles, as from time to time thall be thought fit, by his Majesty's Chief Governour or Governours of this Kingdom for the time being, by and with the Advice and Confent of the faid Thomas Lord Vife. Dillon of Coftologh Lord Prefident of Connaght, Donnogh Lord Vife. Muskerry, Francis Ld Baron of Athunry, Alexander Mac-Donnel Esq; Sir Lucas Dillon Kt. Sir Nicholas Plunket Kt. Sir Richard Barnevall Baronet, Jeffery Browne, Donnogh O Callaghan, Tyrleh O Neile, Miles Reily and Gerrald Fennell Esquires, or any seven or more of them, not to be maintained at the Charge of the Public; provided that his Majesty's Lord Lieutenant or other Chief Governour or Governours of this Kingdom for the time being, be first made acquainted with such Taxes, Levies and Excises as shall be made, and the manner of levying therof, and that he approve the same; and to the end that fuch of the Protestant Party as shall submit to the Peace, may in the several Countries where any of their Estates lie, have Equality and Indisferency in the Assessments and Levies that shall concern their Estates in the said feveral Counties.

It is concluded, accorded and agreed upon, and his Majesty is graciously pleafed, That in the Directions which shall issue to any such County, for the applotting, fubdividing and levying of the faid public Affeffments, some of the faid Protestant Party shall be joined with others of the Roman Catholic Party to that purpose, and for effecting that Service; and the said Thomas Lord $m \dot{V}$ is $m \dot{c}$ ount $m \dot{\it D}$ illon of Costalogh Lord President of Connaght, Donnegh Lord Viscount Mußerry, Francis Lord Baron of Athunry, Alexander Mac-Donnel Esq.; Sir Lucas Dillon Kt. Sir Nicholas Plunket Kt. Sir Richard Barnwall Baronet, Jeffery Browne, Donnogh O Calleghan, Tyrlah O Neile, Miles Reily and Gerrald Fennell Esqs; or any seven or more of them, shall have power to levy the Arrears of all Excites and other public Taxes imposed by the Confederate Roman Catholics, and yet unpaid, and to call Receivers and other Accomptants of all former Taxes and all public Dues to a just and strict Account, either by themselves, or by fuch as they or any feven or more of them shall name or appoint; and that the faid Lord Lieutenant, or any other Chief Governour or Governours of this Kingdom for the time being, shall from time to time issue Commissions to such Person or Persons as shall be named and appointed by the said Thomas Lord Vifcount Dillon of Coffologh Lord Prefident of Connaght, Donnogh Lord Vifcount Muskerry, Francis Lord Baron of Athunry, Alexander Mac-Donnel Efg. Sir Lucas Dillon Kt. Sir Nicholas Plunket Kt. Sir Richard Bernwall Baronet, Jeffery Browne, Donnogh O Callaghan, Tyrlah O Noile, Miles Reily and Gerrald Fennell Esquires, or any seven or more of them, for letting, setting, and improving the Estates of all such Person and Persons, as shall as here to any Party oppoling his Majesty's Authority, and not submitting to the Peace; and that the Profits of fuch Estates shall be converted by the faid Lord Lieutenant, or other Chief Governour or Governours of this Kingdom for the time being, to the Maintenance of the King's Army and other necessary Charges, until Settlement by Parlament; and that the said Thomas Lord Viscount Dillon of Costologic Lord Prefident of Connaght, Donnogh Lord Viscount Muskerry, Irancis Lord Baron of Athunry, A'enander Mac-Donnel Esq; Sir Lucas Dillor Kt. Sir Nicholas Plunket Kt. Sir Richard Barnwall Baronet, Jeffery Browne, Donnegh O Callaghan, Tyrlah O Neile, Miles Reily and Gerrald Fennell Esquires, or any seven or more of them, shall have power to applot, raise and levy Means with Indisserency and Equality, for the buying of Arms and Ammunition, and for the entertaining of Frigates in fuch proportion as shall be thought fit by his Ma-Vol. J.

jesty's Lord Lieutenant or other Chief Governours of this Kingdom for the time being, by and with the Advice and Confent of the faid Thomas Lord Vifcount Dillon of Costologh Lord President of Connaght, Donnogh Lord Viscount Muskerry, Francis Lord Baron of Athunry, Alexander Mac-Donnel Eiq; Sir Lucas Dillon Kt. Sir Nicholas Plunket Kt. Sir Richard Barnwall Baronet, Jeffery Browne, Donnogh O Callaghan, Tyrlah O Neile, Miles Reily and Gerrald rennell Efquires, or any feven or more of them; the faid Arms and Ammunition to be laid up in such Magazines, and under the Charge of such Persons as shall be agreed on by the faid Lord Lieutenant, and the faid Thomas Lord Viscount Dillon of Costologh Lord President of Connaght, Donnogh Lord Viscount Muskerry, Francis Lord Baron of Athunry, Alexander Mac-Donnel Efq; Sir Lucas Dillon Ki. Sir Nicholas Plunket Kt. Sir Richard Barnwall Baronet, Jeffery Browne, Donnegh O Callaghan, Tyrlah O Neile, Miles Reily and Gerrald Fennell Efquires, or any leven or more of them, and to be disposed of, and the said Frigats to be employed for his Majesty's Service, and the public Use and Benefit of this Kingdom of Ireland; and that the said Thomas Lord Viscount Dillon of Costology Lord Prefident of Connaght, Donnogh Lord Viscount Muskerry, Francis Lord Baron of Athunry, &c. or any feven or more of them, shall have power to applot, raise and levy Means with Indifferency and Equality, by way of Excise or otherwise, in the feveral Cities, Corporate Towns, Counties and part of Counties, now within the Quarters and only upon the Estates of the said Confederate Roman Catholics, all fuch Sum and Sums of Money as shall appear to the said Thomas Lord Viscount Dillon of Costologh Lord President of Connaght, Donnegh Lord Viscount Muskerry, Francis Lord Baron of Athunry, &c. or any seven or more of them, to be really due, for and in the discharge of the public Engagements of the said Confederate Catholics, incurred and grown due before the Conclusion of these Articles; and that the said Thomas Lord Viscount Dillon of Costologh Lord President of Connaght, Donnogh Lord Viscount Muskerry, Francis Lord Baron of Athunry, &c. or any feven or more of them, shall be authoriz'd to appoint Receivers, Collectors and all other Officers, for fuch Monies as shall be affested, taxed or applotted, in pursuance of the Authorities mention'd in this Article, and for the Arrears of all former Applotments, Taxes and other public Dues yet unpaid: And that the faid Thomas Lord Viscount Dillon of Costologh Lord President of Connaght, Donnegh Lord Viscount Muskerry, Francis Lord Baron of Atkunry, &c. or any feven or more of them, in case of Refractories or Delinquency, may diffrain and imprison, and cause such Delinquents to be diffrained and imprisoned. And the faid Thomas Lord Viscount Dillon of Costologh Lord President of Connaght, Donnogh Lord Viscount Muskerry, Francis Lord Baron of Athunry, &c. or any seven or more of them make pertect Books of all fuch Monies as shall be applotted, raised or levy'd, out of which Books they are to make feveral and respective Abstracts, to be delivered under their hands, or the hands of any feven or more of them, to the feveral and respective Collectors, which shall be appointed to levy and receive the fame. And that a Duplicate of the faid Books, under the hands of the faid Thomas Lord Vifcount Dillon of Costologh Lord President of Connaght, Donnogh Lord Viscount Muskerry, Francis Lord Baron of Athuny, &c. or any seven or more of them, be delivered unto his Majesty's Lord Lieutenant, or other Chief Governour or Governours of this Kingdom for the time being, wherby a perfect Account may be given; and that the faid Thomas Lord Viscount Dillon of Costologh Lord President of Connaght, Donnogh Lord Viscount Muskerry, Francis Lord Baron of Athunry, &c. or any feven or more of them, thall have Power to call the Council and Congregation, and the respective fupream Councils, and Commissioners General, appointed hitherto from time to time, by the faid Confederate Roman Catholics, to manage their public Affairs, and all other Persons accountable, to an Account, for all their Receipts and Disbursements since the beginning of their respective Employments, under the Confederate Roman Catholics.

XXVIII. Item, It is concluded, accorded and agreed, by and between the faid Parties, and his Majesty is graciously pleas'd, That for the Preservation of the Peace and Tranquillity of the Kingdom, the said Lord-Lieutenant, and the said Thomas Lord Viscount Dillon of Costologh Lord President of Connaght, Dennogh Lord Viscount Muskerry, Francis Lord Paron of Athunry, &c. or any seven

or more of them, shall for the present agree upon such Persons, who are to be authorized by Commission under the Great Seal, to be Commissioners of the Peace, Oyer and Terminer, Affizes and Goal-delivery, in, and throughout the Kingdom, to continue during pleasure, with such Power as Justices of the Peace, Oyer and Terminer, Assizes and Goal-delivery in former time of Peace have usually had, which is not to extend unto any Crime or Offence committed before the first of May last past, and to be qualify'd with Power to hear and determine all Civil Causes coming before them, not exceeding ten Pounds; provided that they shall not intermeddle with Titles of Lands; provided likewife, the Authority of fuch Commissioners shall not extend to question any Person or Persons, for any Shipping, Cattle or Goods, heretofore taken by either Party from the other, or other Injuries done contrary to the Articles of Ceffation, concluded by and with the faid Roman Catholic Party in, or fince May last, but that the same shall be determined by such indifferent Persons, as the Lord Lieutenant, by the Advice and Consent of the said Thomas Lord Viscount Dillon of Costologh Lord President of Connaght, Donnegh Lord Viscount Muskerry, Francis Lord Baron of Athunry, &c. or any feven or more of them shall think fit, to the end that speedy and equal Justice may be done to all Parties grieved; and the faid Commissioners are to make their Estreats as accustomed of Peace, and shall take the ensuing Oath, viz. You shall swear, That as Justice of the Peace, Oyer and Terminer, Assizes and Goal-delivery in the Counties of A.B. in all Articles to the Commission to you directed, you shall do equal Right to the Poor, and to the Rich after your Cunning and Wit and Power, and after the Laws and Customs of the Realm, and in pursuance of these Articles; and you shall not be of Counsel of any Quarrel hanging before you; and the Issues, Fines and Amerciaments which shall happen to be made, and all Forfeitures which shall happen before you, you shall cause to be entred without any concealment or imbezling, and fend to the Court of Exchequer, or to fuch other Place as his Majesty's Lord-Lieutenant, or other Chief Governour or Governours of this Kingdom shall appoint, until there may be access unto the said Court of Exchequer: You shall not lett for Gift or other Cause, but well and truly you shall do your Office of Justice of Peace, Oyer and Terminer, Assizes and Goal-delivery in that behalf; and that you take nothing for your Office of Justice of the Peace, Oyer and Terminer, Assizes and Goal-delivery to be done, but of the King, and Fees accustomed; and you shall not direct, or cause to be directed, any Warrant by you, to be made to the Parties, but you shall direct them to the Sheriss and Bailiss of the said Counties respectively, or other the King's Officers or Ministers, or other indifferent Perfons to do execution therof. So help you God, &c.

And that as well in the faid Commission, as in all other Commissions, and Authorities to be issued in pursuance of the present Articles, this Clause shall be inserted, viz. That all Officers, Civil and Martial, shall be required to be aiding and affisting and obedient unto the said Commissioners, and other Persons, to be authorized as aforesaid in the execution of their respective Powers.

XXIX. Item, It is further concluded, accorded and agreed upon, by and between the faid Parties, and his Majesty is further graciously pleas'd, That his Majesty's Roman Catholic Subjects do continue the Possession of such of his Majefty's Cities, Garisons, Towns, Forts and Castles which are within their now Quarters, until Settlement by Parlament, and to be commanded, ruled and governed in chief, upon occasion of necessity (as to the Martial and Military Affairs) by fuch as his Majesty, or his Chief Governour or Governours of this Kingdom for the time being, shall appoint; and the said Appointment to be by and with the Advice and Confent of the faid Thomas Lord Viscount Dillon of Costologh Lord President of Connaght, Donnogh Lord Viscount Muskerry, Francis Lord Baron of Athunry, &c. or any feven or more of them; and his Majesty's Chief Governour, or Governours, is to issue Commissions accordingly to fuch Perfons as shall be so named and appointed as aforesaid, for the executing of fuch Command, Rule or Government, to continue until all the Particulars in these present Articles agreed on to pass in Parlament, shall be accordingly passed; only in case of Death or Misbehaviour, such other Person or Persons to be appointed for the said Command, Rule and Government, to Vol. I. $X \times z$

be named and appointed in the place or places, of him or them, who shall so die or misbehave themselves, as the Chief Governour or Governours for the time being, by the Advice and Consent of the said Thomas Lord Viscount Dillon of Codologh Lord President of Connaght, Donnogh Lord Viscount Muskerry, Francis Lord Baron of Athuny, &c. or any seven or more of them shall think

fit, and to be continued until a Settlement in Parlament as aforefaid.

XXX. Item, It is further concluded, accorded and agreed upon, by and between the faid Parties, and his Majesty is further graciously pleased, That all Customs and Tenths of Prizes belonging to his Majesty, which from the Perrection of these Articles shall fall due within this Kingdom, shall be paid unto his Majesty's Receipt, or until recourse may be had therunto in the ordinary legal Way, unto such Person or Persons, and in such place or places, and under fuch Controuls as the Lord Lieutenant shall appoint to be disposed of, in order to the Defence and Safety of the Kingdom, and the defraying of other the necessary public Charges therof, for the Ease of the Subjects in other their Levies, Charges and Applotments. And that all and every Perfon or Persons, who are at present intrusted and employed by the said Roman Catholics, in the Entries, Receipts, Collections, or otherwise, concerning the faid Customs and Tenths of Prizes, do continue their respective Employments in the same, until full Settlement in Parlament, accountable to his Majesty's Receipts, or until recourse may be had therunto; as the said Lord Lieutenant shall appoint as aforefaid, other than to such, and so many of them, as to the Chief Governour or Governours for the time being, by and with the Advice and Confent of the faid Thomas Lord Viscount Dillon of Costologis Lord President of Connaght, Donnogh Lord Visc. Muskerry, Francis Lord Baron of Athunry, &c. or any feven or more of them, shall be thought fit to be altered; and then, and in fuch case, or in case of Death, Fraud or Misbehaviour, or other Alteration of any fuch Person or Persons, then such other Person or Persons to be employed therin, as shall be thought fit by the Chief Governour or Governours for the time being, by and with the Advice and Confent of the faid Thomas Lord Viscount Dillon of Costologh Lord President of Connaght, Donnogh Lord Viscount Muskerry, Francis Lord Baron of Athuny, &c. or any feven or more of them; and when it shall appear that any Person or Persons, who shall be found faithful to his Majesty, hath right to any of the Offices or Places about the faid Customs, wherunto he or they ot be admitted until Settlement in Parlament as aforefaid, that a reasonable Compensation shall be afforded to such Person or Persons for the same.

XXXI. Item, As for and concerning his Majesty's Rents, payable at Easter next, and from thenceforth to grow due, until a Settlement in Parlament, it is concluded, accorded and agreed upon, by and between the said Parties, and his Majesty is graciously pleas'd, That the said Rents be not written for, or levied, until a full Settlement in Parlament; and in due time upon Application to be made to the said Lord Lieutenant, or other Chief Governour or Governours of this Kingdom, by the said Thomas Lord Viscount Dillon of Coscopy Lord President of Connaght, Donnogh Lord Viscount Muskerry, Francis Lord Baron of Athurry, &c. or any seven or more of them, for remittal of those Rents, the said Lord Lieutenant, or any other Chief Governour or Governours of this Kingdom for the time being, shall intimate their Desires, and the Reason therof to his Majesty, who upon consideration of the present Condition of this Kingdom will declare his gracious Pleasure therin, as shall be just and honourable, and satisfactory to the reasonable Desires of his

Subjects.

XXXII. Item, It is concluded, accorded and agreed, by and between the faid Parties, and his Majefty is graciously pleas'd, That the Commissioners of Oyer and Terminer and Goal-delivery to be named as aforefaid, shall have Power to hear and determine all Murders, Manshaughters, Rapes, Stealths, burning of Houfes and Corn in Rick or Stack, Robberies, Burglaries, forcible Entries, Detainers of Possessioners, and other Offences committed or done, and to be committed and done since the first day of May last past, until the first day of the next Parlament, these present Articles, or any thing therin contained to the contrary notwithstanding; provided, that the Authority of the said Commissioners shall not extend to question any Person or Persons, for doing or committing

mitting

mitting any Act whatfoever, before the Conclusion of this Treaty, by Virtue or Colour of any Warrant or Direction from those in public Authority among the Confederate Roman Catholics, nor unto any Act which shall be done after the perfecting and concluding of these Articles, by Virtue or Pretence of any Authority which is now by these Articles agreed on; provided also that the said Commission shall not continue longer than the first day of the next Parlament.

XXXIII. It is concluded, accorded by and between the faid Parties, and his Majesty is further graciously pleas'd, That for the determining such differences which may arife between his Majesty's Subjects within this Kingdom, and the prevention of Inconvenience and Disquiet which through want of due Remedy in feveral Caufes may happen, there shall be Judicatures establim'd in this Kingdom, and that the Perfons to be authorized in them, shall have Power to do all fuch things as shall be proper and necessary for them to do; and the faid Lord Lieutenant, by and with the Advice and Confent of the faid Thomas Lord Vifcount Dillon of Costologh Lord President of Connaght, Donnogh Lord Viscount Muskerry, Francis Lord Baron of Athunry, &c. or any seven or more of them, shall name the fail Persons so to be authorized, and do all other things incident unto, and necessary for the fettling of the said intended

XXXIV. Item, At the Instance, humble Suit and earnest Desire of the General Affembly of the Confederate Roman Catholics, it is concluded, accorded and agreed upon, that the Roman Catholic Regular Clergy of this Kingdom, behaving themselves conformable to these Articles of Peace, shall not be molefted in the Possessions which at prefent they have of, and in the Bodies, Sites and Precincts of fuch Abbeys and Monasteries belonging to any Roman Catholic within the faid Kingdom, until Settlement by Parlament; and that the faid Clergy shall not be molested in the enjoying such Pensions, as hitherto , fince the Wars they enjoyed for their respective Livelihoods from the faid Roman Catholics: and the Sites and Precincts hereby intended, are declared to be the Body of the Abbey, one Garden and Orchard to each Abbey, if any there be, and what else is contained within the Walls, Meers or ancient Fences or

Ditch, that doth supply the Wall therof, and no more.

XXXV. Item, It is concluded, accorded and agreed, by and between the faid Parties, that as to all other Demands of the faid Roman Catholics, for or concerning all or any the matters proposed by them, not granted or affented unto in and by the aforefaid Articles, the faid Roman Catholics be referred to his Majesty's gracious Favour and further Concessions. In Witness wherof the faid Lord Lieutenant, for and on the behalf of his most Excellent Majesty, to the one Part of these Articles remaining with the said Roman Catholics, hath put his Hand and Seal: And Sir Richard Blake Kt. in the Chair of the General Affembly of the faid Roman Catholics, by Order, Command and unanimous Confent of the faid Catholics in full Affembly, to the other Part therof remaining with the faid Lord Lieutenant, hath put to his Hand and the Public Seal hitherto used by the said Roman Catholics, the 17th of January 1648, and in the 24th Year of the Reign of our Sovereign Lord CHARLES, by the Grace of God King of Great Britain, France and Ireland, &c.

SIR,

I HAVE not thus long forborn to invite you with those under your Command, to a Submission to his Majesty's Authority in me, and a Conjunction with me, in the ways of his Service, out of any the least Aversion I had to you, or any of them, or out of any disesteem I had to your Power, to advance or impede the same; but out of my Fear, whiles those that have of late usurped Power over the Subjects of England, held forth the least colourable Shadow of Moderation in their Intentions towards the Settlement of Church or State, and that in some tolerable Way with relation to Religion, the Interest

of the King and Crown, the Freedom of Parlament, the Liberties of the Subject, any Addresses from me proposing the withdrawing of that Party from those thus professing, from whom they have received some, and expetted further support, would have been but coldly received, and any Determination thereupon deferred in hope and expettation of the forementioned Settlement; or that you your self, who certainly have not wanted a foresight of the sad Confusion now covering the Face of England, would have declared with me, the Lord Inchequeen, and the Protestant Army in Munster, in prevention therof; yet my fear was, it would have been as difficult for you, to have carried with you the main Body of the Army under your Command (not so clear-sighted as your self) as it would have been dangerous to you, and those with you well inclined to have attempted it without them; but now that the Mask of Hypocrify, by which the Independent Army hath enfnared and enflaved all Estates and Degrees of Men, is laid aside, now that barefaced, they evidently appear to be the Subverters of true Religion, and to be the Protectors and Inviters not only of all false Ones, but of Irreligion and Atheism, now that they have barbarously and inhumanly laid violent, facrilegious hands upon, and murthered God's Anointed, and our King, not as heretofore some Patricides have done, to make room for some Usurper, but in a way plainly manifesting their Intentions, to change the Monarchy of England into Anarchy, unless their Aim be first to constitute an elective Kingdom; and CROMWEL or some such John of Leiden being elected, then by the same Force, by which they have thus far compassed their Ends, to establish a perfect Turkish Tyranny; now that of the three Estates of King, Lords and Commons, whereof in all Ages Parlaments have consisted, there remains only a small number, and they the Dregs and Scum of the House of Commons, picked and awd by the ARMY, a wicked Remnant, left for no other end, than yet further if it be possible to delude the People with the Name of a Parlament : The King being murther'd, the Lords and the rest of the Commons being by unheard of violence at several times forc'd from the Houses, and some imprison'd. And now that there remains no other Liberty in the Subjest but to profess blasphemous Opinions, to revile and tread under foot Magistracy, to murther Magistrates, and oppress and undo all that are not like-minded with them. Now I say, that I cannot doubt but that you and all with you under your command will take this Opportunity to act and declare against so monstrous and unparallel'd a Rebellion, and that you and they will chearfully acknowledge, and faithfully serve and obey our Gracious King CHARLES II. undoubted Heir of his Father's Crown and Virtues; under whose Right and Conduct we may by God's Affistance restore Protestant Religion to Purity, and therin settle it. Parlaments to their Freedom, good Laws to their Force, and our Fellow-Subjects to their just Liberties; wherin how glorious and bleffed a thing it will be, to be so considerably instrumental, as you may now make your self, I leave to you now to consider. And though I conceive there are not any Motives relating to some particular Interest to be mentioned after these so weighty Considerations, which are such as the World hath not been at any time furnish'd with; yet I hold it my part to assure you, that as there is nothing you can reasonably propose for the safety, satisfaction or advantage of your self, or of any that shall adhere to you in what I desire, that I shall not to the uttermost of my power provide for; so there is nothing I would, nor shall more industriously avoid, than those Necessities arising from my Duty to God and Man, that may by your rejecting this Offer force me to be a sad Instrument of shedding English Blood,

between the Earl of Ormond and the Irish.

which in such Case must on both sides happen. If this Overture find place with you, as I earnestly wish it may, let me know with what possible speed you can, and if you please by the Bearer in what way you desire, it shall be drawn on to a conclusion. For in that, as well as in the Substance, you shall find all ready compliance from me, that desire to be

CARRICK, March 9. 1648.

Your affectionate Friend to serve you,

ORMONDA

For Colonel Michael Jones Governour of DUBLIN.

My LORD,

OUR Lordship's of the ninth, I receiv'd the twelfth instant, and therin have I your Lordship's Invitation to a Conjunction with your self (I suppose) as Lord Lieutenant of Ireland, and with others now

united with the Irish, and with the Irish themselves also.

As I understand not how your Lordship should be invested with that Power pretended, so am I very well assured, that it is not in the power of any without the Parlament of England to give and assure pardon to those bloody Rebels, as by the ACT to that end pass'd may appear more fully. I am also well assured, that the Parlament of England would never assent to such a PEACE (such as is that of your Lordship's with the Rebels) wherin is little or no Provision made either for the Protestants or the Protestant Religion. Nor can I understand how the Protestant Religion should be settled and restor'd to its Purity by an Army of Papisls, or the Protestant Interests maintain'd by those very Enemies by whom they have been spoil'd and there slaughter'd: And very evident it is, that both the Protestants and Protestant Religion are in that your Lordship's Treaty, left as in the power of the Rebels, to be by them borne down and rooted out at pleasure.

As for that Consideration by your Lordship offer'd of the present and late Proceedings in England, I see not how it may be a sufficient Motive to me (or any other in like Trust for the Parlament of England in the Service of the Kingdom) to join with those Rebels upon any the pretences in that your Lordship's Letter mention'd; for therin were there a manifest betraying that Trust repos'd in me, in deserting the Service and IV ork committed to me, in joining with those I shall oppose, and in oppo-

sing whom I am oblig'd to serve.

Neither conceive I it any part of my Work and Care to take notice of any what soever Proceedings of STATE, foreign to my Charge and Trust here, especially they being sound hereunto apparently destructive.

Most certain it is, and former Ages have approved it, that the intermeddling of Governours and Parties in this Kingdom, with Sidings and Parties in ENGLAND, have been the very betraying of this Kingdom to the Irish, whiles the British Forces here had been therupon call doff, and the Place therin laid open, and as it were given up to the common ENEMY.

It is what your Lordship might have observed in your former Treaty with the Rebels, that upon your Lordship's therupon withdrawing, and sending hence into England the most considerable part of the English Army then commanded by you; therby was the remaining British Party not long after overpower'd, and your Quarters by the Irish over-run to the Gates of DUBLIN, your self also reduced to that low Condition, as to be befieg'd

Observations on the Articles of Peace

344

sieg'd in this very City (the Metropolis and principal Citadel of the Kingdom) and that by those Rebels, who till then could never stand before you: and what the end hath been of that Party, also so sent by your Lordship into England (although the Flower and Strength of the English Army here, both Officers and Soldiers) hath been very observable.

And how much the Dangers are at present (more than in former Ages) of hazarding the English Interest in this Kingdom, by sending any Parties hence into any other Kingdom upon any Pretences what soever, is very apparent, as in the generality of the Rebellion, now more than formerly; fo considering your Lordship's present Conclusions with, and Concessions to the Rebels, wherin they are allow'd the continued Possession of all the Cities, Forts and Places of Strength, wherof they stood posses'd at the time of their Treaty with your Lordship, and that they are to have a Standing Force (if I well remember) of 15000 Foot and 2500 Horse (all of their own Party, Officers and Soldiers) and they (with the whole Kingdom) to be regulated by a major part of Irish Trustees, chosen by the Rebels themselves, as Persons for their Interests and Ends, to be by them confided in, without whom nothing is to be acted. Therin I cannot but mind your Lordship of what hath been sometimes by your self delivered, as your sense in this particular; That the English Interest in Ireland must be preserv'd by the English, and not by Irish; and upon that ground (if I be not deceiv'd) did your Lordship then capitulate with the Parlament of ENGLAND, from which clear Principle I am forry to see your Lordship now receding.

As to that by your Lordship menae'd us here, of Blood and Force, if dissenting from your Lordship's Ways and Designs, for my particular I shall (my Lord) much rather chuse to suffer in so doing (for therin shall I do what is becoming, and answerable to my Trust) than to purchase my self on the contrary the ignominious Brand of Persidy, by any Al-

lurements of what soever Advantages offer'd me.

But very confident I am of the same Divine Power which hath still followed me in this WORK, and will still follow me; and in that Trust doubt nothing of thus giving your Lordship plainly this my Resolution in that Particular: So I remain,

DUBLIN, March 14. 16+8. Your Lordship's humble Servant.

Signed, MIC. JONES.

For the Lord of ORMOND thefe.

BYTHE

Lord Lieutenant General

O F

IRELAND.

ORMOND.

happy Memory hath been lately by a Party of his rebellious Subjects of ENGLAND most traitorously, maliciously, and inhumanly put to death and murthered; and forasmuch as his Majesty that now is, Charles by the Grace of GOD King of England, Scotland, France and Ireland, is Son and Heir of his said late Majesty, and thersore by the Laws of the Land, of force, and practised in all Ages, is to inherit. We therfore in discharge of the Duty we owe unto God, our Allegiance and Loyalty to our Sovereign, holding it sit him so to proclaim in and through this his Majesty's Kingdom, do by this our present Proclamation dectare and manifest to the World, That Charles II. Son and Heir of our Sovereign Lord King Charlest, of happy Memory, is, by the Grace of GOD, the undoubted KING of England, Scotland, France and Ireland, Defender of the FAITH, &c.

Given at CARRICK, Feb. 26. 1648.

GOD SAVE THE KING.

A necessary Representation of the present Evils, and imminent Dangers to Religion, Laws and Liberties, arising from the late and present Practices of the Sectarian Party in ENGLAND: Together with an Exhortation to Duties relating to the Covenant, unto all within our Charge, and to all the Well-affected within this Kingdom, by the Presbytery at BELFAST, February the 15th, 1649.

HEN we feriously consider the great and many Duties which we owe unto God and his People, over whom he hath made us Overfeers, and for whom we must give an Account; and when we behold the laudable Examples of the worthy Ministers of the Province of London, and of the Commissioners of the General Assembly of the Church of Scotland, in their free and faithful Testimonies against the Insolencies of the Scharian Party in England: Considering also the Dependency of this Kingdom upon the Kingdom of England, and remembring how against strong Oppositions we were assisted by the Lord the last year in discharge of the like Duty, and how he punished the Contempt of our Warning upon the Despisers therof: We find our selves as necessitated, so the more encouraged to cast in our Mite in the Treasury, lest our Silence should involve us in the Guilt of Unfaithfulness, and our People in security and neglect of Duties.

In this Discharge of the Trust put upon us by God, we would not be looked upon as Sowers of Sedition, or Broachers of national and divisive Motions; our Record is in Heaven, that nothing is more hateful unto us, nor less intended by us, and therfore we shall not fear the malicious and wicked Aspersions which we know Satan by his Instruments is ready to cast, not only upon us, but on all who sincerely endeavour the Advancement of Resor-

mation.

What of late have been, and now are, the infolent and prefumptuous Practices of the Sectaries in England, is not unknown to the World: For, First, notwithstanding their specious Pretences for Religion and Liberties, yet their late and present Actings being therwith compar'd, do clearly evidence that they love a rough Garment to deceive; since they have with a high Hand despis'd the OATH, in breaking the Covenant, which is so strong a Foundation to both, whilst they load it with slighting Reproaches, calling it a bundle of particular and contrary Interests, and a Snare to the People; and likewise labour to establish by Laws an universal Toleration of all Religions, which is an Innovation overturning of Unity in Religion, and so directly repugnant to the Word of God, the two first Articles of our solemn Covenant, which is the greatest Wickedness in them to violate, since many of the chiefest of themselves have, with their hands testify'd to the most High God, sworn and seal'd it.

Moreover, their great Disassection to the Settlement of Religion, and so their future breach of Covenant, doth more fully appear by their strong oppositions to *Presbyterial* Government (the Hedge and Bulwark of Religion) whilst they express their hatred to it more than to the worst of Errors, by excluding it under the name of Compulsion; when they embrace even *Paganism* and *Judaism* in the Arms of Toleration. Not to speak of their Aspersions upon it, and the Assertion as Antichristian and *Popish*, though they have deeply

lworr

between the Earl of Ormond and the Irish.

fworn to maintain the same Government in the first Article of the Covenant, as it is established in the Church of SCOTLAND, which they now so despite

and fully blafpheme.

Again, It is more than manifest, that they seek not the Vindication, but the Extirpation of Laws and Liberties, as appears by their seizing on the Person of the King, and at their pleasures removing him from place to place, not only without the Consent, but (if we mistake not) against a direct Ordinance of Parlament: Their violent surprising, imprisoning and feeluding many of the most worthy Members of the Honourable House of Commons, directly against a declared Privilege of Parlament, (an Action certainly without Parallel in any Age) and their Purposes of abolishing Parlamentary Power for the suture, and establishing of a Representative (as they call it) instead theros. Neither hath their Fury stay'd here, but without all Rule or Example, being but private Men, they have proceeded to the Trial of the King, against both Interest and Protestation of the Kingdom of Scotland, and the former public Declarations of both Kingdoms (besides the violent haste, rejecting the hearing of any Desences) with cruel Hands have put him to death; an Act so horrible, as no History, divine or human, hath laid a Precedent of the like.

These and many other their detestable Insolencies, may abundantly convince every unbyass'd Judgment, that the present Practice of the Scetaries and their Abettors, do directly overturn the Laws and Liberties of the Kingdoms, root out lawful and supream Magistracy (the just Privileges where we have sworn

to maintain) and introduce a fearful Confusion and lawless Anarchy.

The Spirit of God by Solomon tells us, Prov. 30. 21. That a Servant to reign, is one of the four things for which the Earth is disquieted, and which it cannot bear: We wonder nothing that the Earth is disquieted for these things; but we wonder greatly, if the Earth can bear them. And albeit the Lord so permit, that Folly be set in great Dignity, and they which sit in low place; That Servants ride upon Horses, and Princes walk as Servants upon the Earth, Eccles. 10. ver. 6, 7. Yet the same wise Man saith, Prov. 19. 10. Delight is not seemly for a Fool, much less for a Servant to have Rule over Princes.

When we consider these things, we cannot but declare and manifest our utter dislike and detestation of such unwarrantable Practices, directly subverting our Covenant, Religion, Laws and Liberties. And as Watchmen in SION warn all the Lovers of Truth and well-affected to the Covenant, carefully to avoid Compliance with, or not bearing witness against horrid Insolencies, lest partaking with them in their Sins, they also be Partakers of their Plagues. Therfore in the Spirit of Meekness, we earnestly intreat, and in the Authority of Jesus Christ (whose Servants we are) charge and obtest all who resolve to adhere unto Truth and the Covenant, diligently to observe and conscientiously to perform these following Duties.

First, That according to our solemn Covenant; every one study more to the Power of Godliness and personal Reformation of themselves and Families; because for the great Breach of this part of the Covenant, God is highly oftended with these Lands, and justly provoked to permit Men to be the Instruments of our Misery and Afflictions.

Secondly, That every one in their Station and Calling earnestly contend for the Faith which was once delivered to the Saints, Jude 3. And seek to have their Hearts established with Grace, that they be not unstable and wavering, carried about with every Wind of Doctrine; but that they receive the Truth in Love, avoiding the Company of such as withdraw from and vilify the public Ordinances; speak evil of Church-Government; invent damnable Errors, under the specious Pretence of a Gospel-way and new Light; and highly extol the Persons and Courses of notorious Sectaries, lest God give them over to strong Delusions (the Plague of these Times) that they may believe Lyes, and be damned.

Vol. I. Yya Thirdly,

Thirdly, That they would not be drawn by Counsel, Command or Example, to shake off the ancient and fundamental Government of these Kingdoms by King and Parlament, which we are so deeply inagaged to preserve by our solemn Covenant, as they would not be found guilty of the great Evil of these Times (condemned by the Holy Ghost) the despising of Dominion, and speaking Evil of Dignities.

Fourthly, That they do cordially endeavour the Preservation of the Union amongst the well-affected in the Kingdoms, not being swayed by any National Respect: Remembring that part of the Covenant; That we shall not suffer our selves directly nor indirectly, by whatsoever Combination, Perswassion, or Terror, to be divided, or withdrawn from this blessed Union and Conjunction.

And Finally, Albeit there be more prefent Hazard from the Power of Sectaries (as were from Malignants the last year) yet we are not ignorant of the evil Purposes of Malignants, even at this time, in all the Kingdoms, and particularly in this; and for this Cause, we exhort every one with equal Watchfulness to keep themselves free from affociating with such, or from swerving in their Judgments to malignant Principles; and to avoid all such Persons as have been from the beginning known Opposers of Reformation, Resulers of the Covenant, combining themselves with Papists and other notorious Malignants, especially such who have been chief Promoters of the late Engagement against England, Calumniators of the Work of Reformation, in reputing the Miseries of the present Times unto the Advancers therof; and that their just hatred to Sectaries incline not their Minds to savour Malignants, or to think, that because of the Power of Sectaries, the Cause of God needs the more to fear the Enmity, or to stand in need of the help of Malignants.

OBSERVATIONS

UPON

The Articles of Peace with the *Irifh* Rebels, on the Letter of *Ormond* to Col. *Jones*, and the Representation of the Presbytery at *Belfast*:

Lthough it be a Maxim much agreeable to wisdom, that just deeds are the best answer to injurious words, and actions, of whatever fort, their own plainest interpreters; yet since our enemies can find the leisure both ways to offend us, it will be requisite we should be found in neither of those ways neglectful of our just defence: To let them know, that sincere and upright intentions can certainly with as much ease deliver themselves into words as into deeds.

Having therfore seen of late those Articles of Peace granted to the Papife Rebels of Ireland, as special graces and favours from the late King, in reward, most likely, of their work done, and in his name and authority confirm'd and ratify'd by James Earl of Ormond; together with his Letter to Col. Jones, Governour of Dublin, full of contumely and dishonour, both to the Parlament and Army: And on the other side, an infolent and seditious Representation from the Scotch Presbytery at Belfast in the North of Ireland, no less dishonourable to the State, and much about the same time brought hither; there will be needful as to the fame flanderous afperfions but one and the fame Vindication against them both. Nor can we sever them in our notice and resentment, though one part intitled a Presbytery, and would be thought a Protestant Assembly, since their own unexampled virulence hath wrapt them into the fame guilt, made them accomplices and affiftants to the abhorred Irish Rebels, and with them at prefent to advance the fame interest: if we consider both their Calumnies, their Hatred, and the pretended Reasons of their hatred to be the same; the time also, and the place concurring, as that there lacks nothing but a few formal words, which may be easily dissembled, to make the perfectest conjunction; and between them to divide that Island.

As for these Articles of Peace made with those inhuman Rebels and Papists of Ireland by the late King, as one of his last Master-pieces, we may be considently perswaded, that no true-born Englishman can so much as barely read them without indignation and disclain, that those bloody Rebels, and so proclaim'd and judg'd of by the King himself, after the mercises and barbarous Massacre of so many thousand English, (who had us'd their right and title to that Country with such tenderness and moderation, and might otherwise have secur'd themselves with ease against their Treachery) should be now graced and rewarded with such freedoms and enlargements, as none of their Ancestors could ever merit by their best obedience, which at best was always treacherous; to be infranchiz'd with sull liberty equal to their Conquerors, whom the just revenge of ancient Pyracies, cruel Captivities, and the causses infestation of our Coast, had warrantably call'd over, and the long prescription of many hundred years; besides what other titles are acknowledg'd by their own Irish Parlaments, had lixe and seated in that Soil with as good a right as the meerest Natives.

These therfore by their own foregoing demerits and provocations justly made our vassals, are by the first Article of this Peace advanced to a Condition of freedom superior to what any English Protestants durst have demanded. For what else can be the meaning to discharge them the common Oath of Supremacy, especially being Papists (for whom principally that Oath was intended; but either to resign them the more into their own Power, or to let a mark of dischonour upon the British Loyalty; by trusting Irish Rebels for one single Oath of Allegiance, as much as all his Subjects of Britain for the double tweating both of Allegiance and Supremacy?

The

The fecond Article puts it into the hands of an Irish Tarlament to repeal, or to suspend, if they think convenient, the Act usually call'd Poyning's Ast, which was the main, and yet the civilest and most moderate acknowledgment impos'd of their dependance on the Crown of England; wherby no Parlament could be summon'd there, no Bill be past, but what was first to be transmitted and allow'd under the great Seal of England. The recalling of which Act, tends openly to invest them with a Law-giving power of their own, enables them by degrees to throw off all subjection to this Realm, and renders them, who by their endless treasons and revolts have deserv'd to hold no Parlament at all, but to be govern'd by Edicts and Garisons, as absolute and supreme in that Assembly as the People of England in their own Land. And the 12th Article grants them in express words, that the Irish Parlament shall be no more dependent on the Parlament of England, than the Irish themselves shall declare agreeable to the Laws of Ireland.

The two and twentieth Article, more ridiculous than dangerous, coming efpecially from fuch a ferious knot of Lords and Politicians, obtains that those Acts prohibiting to plow with Horses by the Tail, and burn Oats in the Straw, be repeal'd; enough, if nothing else, to declare in them a disposition not only sottish, but indocible, and averse from all Civility and Amendment: and what hopes they give for the future, who rejecting the ingenuity of all other Nations to improve and wax more civil by a civilizing Conquest, though all these many years better shown and taught, preser their own absurd and savage Customs before the most convincing evidence of reason and demonstration: a Testimony of their true Barbarism and obdurate wilfulness, to be expected no

less in other matters of greatest moment.

Yet such as these and thus affected, the ninth Article entrusts with the Militia; a Trust which the King swore by God at New-Market, he would not commit to his Parlament of England, no not for an hour. And well declares the confidence he had in Irish Rebels, more than in his Loyallest Subjects. He grants them moreover, till the performance of all these Articles, that 15000 Foot and 2500 Horse shall remain a standing Army of Papists at the beck and command of Dillon, Muskerry and other Arch-Rebels, with power also of adding to that number as they shall see cause. And by other Articles allows them the constituting of Magistrates and Judges in all Causes, whom they think sit: and till a settlement to their own minds, the possession of all those Towns and Countries within their new Quarters, being little less than all the Island, besides what their Cruelty hath dispeopled and laid waste. And lastly, the whole managing both of Peace and War is committed to Papists, and the chief Leaders of that Rebellion.

Now let all men judge what this wants of utter alienating and acquitting the whole Province of Ireland from all true fealty and obedience to the Commonwealth of England. Which act of any King against the Consent of his Parlament, though no other Crime were laid against him, might of it self strongly conduce to the dif-inthroning him of all. In France, Henry the Third, demanding leave in greatest exigencies to make Sale of some Crown-Lands only, and that to his Subjects, was answered by the Parlament then at Blois, that a King in no case, though of extremest necessity, might alienate the Patrimony of his Crown, wherof he is but only Usu-fruttuary, as Civilians term it, the propriety remaining ever to the Kingdom, not to the King. And in our own Nation, King John, for religning though unwillingly his Crown to the Pope's Legate, with little more hazard to his Kingdom than the payment of 1000 Marks, and the unfightliness of such a Ceremony, was depos'd by his Barons, and Lewis the French King's Son elected in his room. And to have carried only the Jewels, Plate, and Treasure into Ireland without consent of the Nobility, was one of those impeachments that condemn'd Richard the Second to lose his Crown.

But how petty a Crime this will feem to the alienating of a whole Kingdom, which in these Articles of Peace we see as good as done by the late King, not to Friends, but to mortal Enemies, to the accomplishment of his own interests and ends, wholly separate from the People's good, may without aggravation be easily conceiv'd. Nay, by the Covenant it self, since that so cavillously is urg'd against us, we are enjoin'd in the fourth Article, with all faithfulness to endea-

between the Earl of Ormond and the Irish.

vour the bringing all fuch to public Trial and condign Punishment, as shall divide one Kingdom from another. And what greater dividing than by a pernicious and hostile Peace, to disalliege a whole Feudary Kingdom from the ancient Dominion of England? Exception we find ther of no person whatsoever; and if the King, who hath actually done this, or any for him claim a privilege above Justice, it is again demanded by what express Law either of God or Man, and why he whose office is to execute Law and Justice upon all others, should fit himself like a Demigod in lawless and unbounded Anarchy; refusing to be accountable for that Authority over men naturally his Equals, which God himfelf without a reason given is not wont to exercise over his Creatures? And if God the nearer to be acquainted with mankind and his frailties, and to become our Priest, made himself a Man, and subject to the Law, we gladly would be instructed why any mortal man for the good and welfare of his brethren being made a King, should by a clean contrary motion make himself a God, exalted above Law; the readiest way to become utterly unsensible, both of his human condition, and his own duty.

And how fecurely, how fmoothly, with how little touch or fense of any commiseration, either princely or so much as human, he hath sold away that justice fo oft demanded, and fo oft by himself acknowledg'd to be due for the blood of more than 200000 of his Subjects, that never hurt him, never disobey'd him, affaffinated and cut in pieces by those Irish Barbarians, to give the first promoting, as is more than thought, to his own tyrannical defigns in England, will appear by the 18th Article of his Peace; wherin without the least regard of Justice to avenge the dead, while he thirsts to be aveng'd upon the living, to all the Murders, Massacres, Treasons, Pyracies, from the very fatal day wherin that Rebellion first broke out, he grants an Act of Oblivion. If this can be justified, or not punish'd in whomsoever, while there is any Faith, any Religion, any Justice upon Earth, there can no reason be alledg'd why all things are not left to Confusion. And thus much be observ'd in brief concerning these Articles

of Peace made by the late King with his Irish Rebels.

The Letter of Ormond fent to Col. Jones Governour of Dublin, attempting his fidelity, which the differetion and true worth of that Gentleman bath fo well answer'd and repuls'd, and pass'd here without mention, but that the other part of it not content to do the errand of Treason, roves into a long digression of evil and reproachful Language to the Parlament and Army of Lugland, Which though not worth their notice, as from a Crew of Rebels whose inhumanities are long fince become the horror and execration of all that hear them, yet in the pursuance of a good endeavour, to give the world all due fatisfaction

of the prefent doings, no fit opportunity shall be omitted.

He accused first, That we are the Subverters of Religion, the Protestors and Inviters not only of all false ones, but of Irreligion and Atheism. An Accusation that no man living could more unjustly use than our Accuser himself; and which without a strange besottedness, he could not expect but to be retorted upon his own All men who are true Protestants, of which number he gives out to be one, know not a more immediate and killing Subverter of all true Religion than Antichrift, whom they generally believe to be the Pope and Church of Rome; he therfore who makes Peace with this grand Enemy and Perfecutor of the true Church, he who joins with him, strengthens him, gives him root to grow up and spread his Poison, removing all Opposition against him, granting him Schools, Abbeys, and Revenues, Garifons, Fortreffes, Towns, as in 10 many of those Articles may be feen, he of all Protestants may be call'd most justly the Subverter of true Religion, the Protector and Inviter of Irreligion and Atheism, whether it be Ormand, or his Mafter. And if it can be no way prov'd, that the Parlament hath countenanc'd Popery or Papifts, but have every where broken their temporal Power, thrown down their public Superstitions, and confin'd them to the bare enjoyment of that which is not in our reach, their Confciences; if they have encourag'd all true Ministers of the Gospel, that is to say, afforded them favour and protection in all places where they preach'd, and although they think not Money or Stipend to be the best encouragement of a true Pattor, yet therinalfo have not been wanting nor intend to be, they doubt not then to affirm themselves, not the Subverters, but the Maintainers and Defenders of true Religion; which of it felf and by confequence is the furest and the strongest Subversion, not only of all false ones, but of Irreligion and Atheism. For the Weapons of that Warfare, as the Apostle testifies, who best knew, are not carnal, but mighty through God to the pulling down of strong-holds, and all reasonings, and every high thing exalted against the knowledge of God, surprising every thought unto the obedience of Christ, and easily revenging all disobedience, 2 Cor. 10. What Minister or Clergy-man that either understood his high calling, or fought not to erect a fecular and carnal Tyranny over spiritnal things, would neglect this ample and sublime power conferred upon him, and come a begging to the weak hand of Magistracy for that kind of aid which the Magistrate hath no Commission to afford him, and in the way he feeks it hath been always found helplefs and unprofitable. Neither is it unknown, or by wifest Men unobserv'd, that the Church began then most apparently to degenerate, and go to ruin, when she borrow'd of the Civil Power more than fair encouragement and protection; more than which Christ himself and his Apostles never requir'd. To fay therfore, that We protect and invite all false Religions, with Irreligion also and Atheifm, because we lend not, or rather misapply not the temporal power to help out, though in vain, the floth, the spleen, the insufficiency of Church-men, in the execution of fpiritual discipline, over those within their Charge, or those without, is an imputation that may be laid as well upon the best-regulated States and Governments through the World. Who have been fo prudent as never to employ the civil Sword further than the edge of it could reach, that is, to Civil Offences only; proving always against objects that were spiritual a ridiculous weapon. Our protection therfore to men in Civil Matters unoffenfive we cannot deny; their Confciences we leave, as not within our Cognizance, to the proper cure of instruction, praying for them. Nevertheless, if any be found among us declar'd Atheifts, malicious Enemies of God, and of Chrift; the Parlament, I think, professes not to tolerate such, but with all besitting endeavours to suppress them. Otherways to protect none that in a larger sense may be tax'd of Irreligion or Atheim, may perhaps be the ready way to exclude none fooner out of protection, than those themselves that most accuse it to be so general to others. Lastly, that we invite such as these, or incourage them, is a meer flander without proof.

He tells us next, that they have murther'd the King. And they deny not to have justly and undauntedly, as became the Parlament of England, for more Bloodshed and other heinous Crimes than ever King of this Land was guilty of, after open trial, punish'd him with death. A matter which to men whose serious consideration therof hath lest no certain precept, or example undebated, is so far from giving offence, that we implore and beseech the Divine Majesty so to uphold and support their spirits with like Fortitude and Magnanimity, that all their ensuing actions may correspond and prove worthy that impartial and noble piece of Justice, wherin the Hand of God appear'd so evidently on our side. We shall not then need to fear what all the rout and faction of men basely

principl'd can do against us.

The end of our proceedings, which he takes upon him to have discover'd, The changing forfooth of Monarchy into Anarchy, founds so like the smattering of some raw Politician, and the overworn objection of every trivial Talker, that we leave him in the number. But seeing in that which follows he contains not himself, but contrary to what a Gentleman should know of Civility, proceeds to the contemptuous naming of a Person, whose valour and high merit many enemies more noble than himself have both honour'd and fear'd, to affert his good name and reputation, of whose fervice the Commonwealth receives so ample satisfaction, 'tis answered in his behalf, that Cromwell whom he couples with a name of scorn, hath done in sew years more eminent and remarkable Deeds wheron to found Nobility in his House, though it were wanting, and perpetual Renown to Posterity, than Ormond and all his Ancestors put together can shew from any Record of their Irish Exploits, the widest Scene of their Glory.

He passes on his groundless conjectures, that the aim of this Parlament may be perhaps to set up first an elective Kingdom, and after that a persect Turkish Tyranny. Of the former, we suppose the late act against Monarchy will suffice to acquit them. Of the latter, certainly there needed no other pattern than that Tyranny which was so long modelling by the late King himself, with Strafford,

and

and that Arch-Prelate of Canterbury, his chief Instruments; whose designs God hath dissipated. Neither is it any new project of the Monarchs, and their Courtiers in these days, though Christians they would be thought, to endeavour the introducing of a plain Turkish Tyranny. Withest that Consultation had in the Court of France under Charles the Ninth at Blois, when in Pencet, a certain Court-projector, brought in secretly by the Chancellor Biragha, after many praises of the Ottoman Government, proposes means and ways at large, in presence of the King, the Queen Regent, and Anjou the King's Brother, how with best expedition, and least noise the Turkish Tyranny might be set up in France. It appears therfore that the design of bringing in that Tyranny, is a Monarchical design, and not of those who have dissolved Monarchy.

As for Parlaments by three Estates, we know that a Parlament signifies no more than the Supreme and General Council of a Nation, confishing of whom-foever chosen and assembled for the public good; which was ever practised, and in all forts of Government, before the word Parlament, or the formality, or the possibility of those three Estates, or such a thing as a Titular Monarchy had either name or being in the World. The Original of all which we could produce to be far newer than those all Ages which he vaunts of, and by such first invented and contrived, whose authority, thoe it were Charles Martell, stands not so high in our repute, either for himself, or the age he lived in, but that with as good warrant we may recede from what he ordained, as he ordain what before was not.

But wheras befiles he is bold to alledge that of the three Estates there remains only a small number, and they the Dregs and Scum of the House of Commons; this reproach, and in the mouth of an Irish Man, concerns not them only, but redounds to apparent dishonour of the whole English Nation. Doubtless there must be thought a great scarcity in England of persons honourable and deferving, or else of Judgment, or so much as Honesty in the People, if those whom they esteem worthy to sit in Parlament be no better than Scum and Dregs in the Irish Dialect. But of such like stuff we meet not any where with more excrescence than in his own lavish Pen; which feeling it self loose without the reins of discretion, rambles for the most part beyond all Soberness and Civility. In which Torrent he goes on negotiating and cheapning the Loyalty of our Faithful Governour of Dublin, as if the known and try'd Constancy of that valiant Gentleman were to be bought with Court-sumes.

He lays before him, that there remains now no other Liberty-in the Subjett but to profess blasphemous opinions, to revile and tread under foot Magistracy, to murther Magistrates, to Oppress and undo all that are not like-minded with us. Forgetting in the mean while himself to be in the head of a mixt Rabble, part Papifts, part Fugitives, and part Savages, guilty in the highest degree of all these Crimes. What more blafphemous, not Opinion, but whole Religion, than Popery, plung'd into Idolatrous and Ceremonial Superstition, the very death of all true Religion; figur'd to us by the Scripture it felf in the shape of that Beast, full of the names of Blasphemy, which we mention to him as to one that would be counted Protestant, and had his breeding in the house of a Bishop? And who are those that have trod under foot Magistracy, murdered Magistrates, oppress'd and undone all that filed not with them, but the Irifb Rebels, in that horrible Conspiracy, for which Ormand himself hath either been or seem'd to be their Enemy, though now their Ringleader. And let him afk the Jefuits about him, whether it be not their known Doctrine and also Practice, not by fair and due process of Jullice to punish Kings and Magistrates, which we disavow not, but to murder them in the baiest and most affassinous manner, if their Church-interest so require. There will not need more words to this windy Railer, convicted openly of all those Crimes which he so confidently, and yet falfly charges upon others.

We have now to deal, though in the fame Country, with another fort of Adversaries, in show far different, in substance much-what the same. These write themselves the Presbytery of Belfast, a place better known by the name of a late Barony, than by the Fame of these Men's Doctrine or Ecclesiastical Deeds; whose obscurity till now never came to our hearing. And surely we should think this their Representment far beneath considerable, who have neglected and past over the like unadvitedness of their fellows in other places more near us, were it not to observe in some particulars the Sympathy, good Intelligence, and joint pace which they go in the North of Ireland, with their Copartning Rebels in the

Vol. I. Za South

South, driving on the fame Interest to lose us that Kingdom, that they may gain it themselves, or at least share in the spoil: though the other be open Ene-

mies, these pretended Brethren.

The Introduction of their Manifest out of doubt must be zealous; Their Duty, they say, to God and his People, over whom he hath made them Overseers, and for whom they must give account. What mean these Men? Is the Presbytery of Beljast, a small Town in Ulster, of so large extent, that their Voices cannot serve to teach Duties in the Congregation which they oversee, without spreading and divulging to all parts far beyond the Diocess of Patrick or Columba, their written Representation, under the suttle pretence of feeding their own Flock? Or do they think to oversee or undertake to give an account for all to whom their Paper sends greeting? S. Paul to the Elders of Ephesus thinks it sufficient to give charge, That they take heed to themselves and to the Flock over which they were made Overseers; beyond those Bounds he enlarges not their Commission. And surely when we put down Bishops and put up Presbyters, which the most of them have made use of to enrich and exalt themselves, and turn the sint heel against their Benefactors, we did not think that one Classic Fraternity so obscure and so remote, should involve us and all State-Assairs, within the Censure and Jurisdiction of Belfast, upon pretence of overseeing their own Charge. We very well know that Church-Censures are limited to Church-Matters, and

We very well know that Church-Censures are limited to Church-Matters, and these within the compass of their own Province, or to say more truly of their own Congregation: that Assairs of State are not for their meddling, as we could urge even from their own Invectives and Protestations against the Bishops, wherin they tell them with much servency, that Ministers of the Gospel, neither by that Function, nor any other which they ought accept, have the least

Warrant to be Pragmatical in the State.

And furely in vain were Bishops for these and other Causes forbid to sit and vote in the House, if these Men out of the House, and without Vote shall claim and be permitted more licence on their Presbyterial Stools, to breed continual disturbance by interposing in the Commonwealth. But seeing that now, since their heaving out the Prelates to heave in themselves, they devise new ways to bring both ends together, which will never meet; that is to say, their former Doctrine with their present Doings, as that they cannot else teach Magistrates and Subjects their Duty, and that they have besides a Right themselves to speak as Members of the Commonwealth: Let them know that there is a wide difference between the general exhortation to Justice and Obedience, which in this point is the utmost of their Duty, and the State-disputes wherin they are now grown such Busybodies, to preach of Titles, Interests and Alterations in Government; more than our Saviour himself, or any of his Apostles ever took upon them, though the Title both of Casar and of Herod, and what they did in matters of State, might have then admitted Controversy enough.

Next, for their Civil Capacities, we are fure that Pulpits and Church-Affemblies, whether Classical or Provincial, never were intended or allow'd by wise Magistrates, no nor by him that sent them, to advance such purposes, but that as Members of the Commonwealth they ought to mix with other Commoners, and in that temporal Body to assume nothing above other private Persons, or otherwise than in a usual and legal manner: not by distinct Remonstrances and Representments, as if they were a tribe and party by themselves, which is the next immediate way to make the Church lift a Horn against the State, and claim an absolute and undepending Jurisdiction, as from like advantage and occasion (to the trouble of all Christendom) the Pope hath for many Ages done; and not only our Bishops were climbing after him, but our Presbyters also, as by late Experiment we find. Of this Representation therfore we can esteem and judge no other than of a standerous and seditions Libel, sent abroad by a fort of Incendiaries to delude and make the better way under the cunning and plausible name

of a Prefbytery.

A fecond Reason of their Representing is, that they consider the dependance of that Kingdom upon England, which is another shameless untruth that ever they consider'd; as their own Actions will declare, by conniving, and in their silence partaking with those in Ulster, whose obedience, by what we have yet heard, stands dubious, and with an eye of Conformity rather to the North, than to that part where they owe their subjection; and this in all likelihood by the inducement

and inftigation of these Representers: who are so far from considering their dependance on England, as to presume at every word to term proceedings of Parlament, the Infolencies of a Sectarian party, and of private men. Despising dominion, and speaking evil of dignities, which hypocritically they would seem to dissuade others from; and not searing the due correction of their Superiors, that may in sit season overtake them. Whenas the least consideration of their dependance on England would have kept them better in their Duty.

The third Reason which they use, makes against them; The remembrance how God punish'd the contempt of their warning last year upon the Breakers of Covenant, whenas the next year after they forget the warning of that punish ment hanging over their own heads for the very same transgression, their manifest breach of Covenant by this seditious Representation, accompanied with the

doubtful obedience of that Province which reprefents it.

And thus we have their Preface supported with three Reasons; two of them notorious falsities, and the third against themselves; and two examples, the Province of London, and the Commissioners of the Kirk-Assembly. But certain, if

Canonical Examples bind not, much lefs do Apocryphal.

Proceeding to avouch the trust put upon them by God, which is plainly proved to be none of this nature, They would not be looked upon as Sowers of Sedition, or Authors of divisive Motions; their Record, they say, is in Heaven, and their Truth and Honesty no Man knows where. For is not this a shameless Hypocrify, and of meer Wolves in Sheeps cloathing, to sow Sedition in the Ears of all Men, and to sace us down to the very Act, that they are Authors of no such matter? But let the sequel both of their Paper, and the obedience of the place wherin they are, determine.

Nay, while we are yet writing these things, and foretelling all men the Rebellion which was even then designed in the close purpose of these unhallowed Priestlings, at the very time when with their Lips they disclaimed all sowing of Sedition, News is brought, and too true, that the Scottish Inhabitants of that Province are actually revolted, and have not only besieged in London-Derry those Forces which were to have sought against Ormond and the Irish Rebels; but have in a manner declared with them, and begun open War against the Parlament; and all this by the incitement and illusions of that unchristian Synagogue at Belfast, who yet dare charge the Parlament, that notwithstanding specious pretences, yet their actings do evidence that they love a rough Garment to deceive. The Deceit we own not, but the Comparison, by what at first sight may seem alluded, we accept: For that hairy roughness assumed, won Jacob the Birthright both Temporal and Eternal; and God we trust hath so disposed the mouth of these Balaems, that coming to Curse, they have stumbled into a kind of Blessing, and compared our actings to the saithful Act of that Patriarch.

But if they mean, as more probably their meaning was, that rough Garment spoken of Zach. 13. 4. we may then behold the pitiful store of learning and theology, which these deceivers have thought sufficient to uphold their credit with the People, who, though the rancour that leavens them have fomewhat quickned the common drawling of their Pulpit elocution, yet for want of stock enough in Scripture-phrase to serve the necessary uses of their Malice, they are become so liberal, as to part freely with their own Budge-gowns from off their backs, and bestow them on the Magistrate as a rough Garment to deceive; rather than not be furnished with a reproach, though never so improper, never so obvious to be turned upon themselves. For but with half an eye cast upon that Text, any man will foon differn that rough Garment to be their own Coat, their own Livery, the very Badge and Cognizance of fuch false Prophets as themselves. Who, when they understand, or ever seriously mind the beginning of that 4th verse, may be ashamed every one of his lying Vision, and may justly fear that foregoing denouncement to such as speak Lyes in the name of the Lord, vers. 4. lurking under the rough Garment of outward rigour and formality, wherby they cheat the fimple. So that this rough Garment to deceive, we bring ye once again, Grave Sirs, into your own Vestry; or with Zachary shall not think much to sit it to your own Shoulders. To befow aught in good earnest on the Magistrate, we know your classic Priestship is too gripple, for ye are always begging : and for this rough Gown to deceive, we are confident ye cannot spare it; it is your Sunday's Gown, your every day Gown, your only Gown, the Gown of your Facul-Vol. I. Z 2 2

ty; your divining Gown; to take it from ye were Sacrilege. Wear it therfore, and possess it your selves, most grave and reverend Carmelites, that all Men both young and old, as we hope they will shortly, may yet better know ye, and distinguish ye by it; and give to your rough Gown, where-ever they meet it, whether in Pulpit, Classis, or Provincial Synod, the precedency, and the

pre-eminence of deceiving.

They charge us next that we have broken the Covenant, and loaden it with flighting Reproaches. For the reproaching, let them answer that are guilty, whereof the State we are fure cannot be accus'd. For the breaking, let us hear wherin. In labouring, say they, to establish by Law a universal Toleration of all Religions. This touches not the State; for certainly were they so minded, they need not labour it, but do it, having power in their hands; and we know of no Act as yet past to that purpose. But suppose it done, wherin is the Covenant broke? The Covenant enjoins us to endeavour the extirpation first of Popery and Prelacy, then of Herefy, Schism, and Prophaneness, and whatsoever shall be found contrary to sound Doctrine and the Power of Godliness. And this we cease not to do by all effectual and proper means: But these Divines might know that to extirpate all these things can be no work of the Civil Sword, but

of the Spiritual, which is the Word of God.

No Man well in his Wits, endeavouing to root up Weeds out of his Ground, instead of using the Spade will take a Mallet or a Beetle. Nor doth the Covenant any way engage us to extirpate, or to profecute the Men, but the Herefies and Errors in them, which we tell these Divines and the rest that understand not, belongs chiefly to their own Function, in the diligent preaching and infifting upon found Doctrine, in the confuting, not the railing down Errors, encountering both in public and private Conference, and by the power of truth not of perfecution, fubduing those Authors of Heretical Opinions, and lastly in the spiritual execution of Church-discipline within their own Congregations. In all these ways we shall assist them, favour them, and as far as appertains to us join with them, and moreover not tolerate the free exercife of any Religion, which shall be found absolutely contrary to found Doctrine or the Power of Godliness; for the Confcience, we must have patience till it be within our verge. thus doing, we shall believe to have kept exactly all that is requir'd from us by Whilst they by their seditious practices against us, than which nothe Covenant. thing for the prefent can add more affiftance or advantage to those bloody Rebels and Papifts in the South, will be found most pernicious Covenant-breakers themselves, and as deep in that guilt as those of their own Nation the last year ; the warning of whose ill success like men hardned for the same Judgment, they miferably pervert to an incouragement in the fame offence, if not a far worfe: For now they have join'd Interest with the Irish Rebels, who have ever fought against the Covenant, wheras their Country-men the year before made the Covenant their Plea. But as it is a peculiar Mercy of God to his People, while they remain his, to preferve them from wicked Confederations: fo it is a mark and punishment of Hypocrites, to be driven at length to mix their Cause, and the Interest of their Covenant with God's Enemies.

And wheras they affirm that the tolerating of all Religions in the manner that we tolerate them, is an innovation; we must acquaint them that we are able to make it good, if need be, both by Scripture and the Primitive Fathers, and the frequent affertion of whole Churches and Protestant States in their Remonstrances and Expostulations against the Popish Tyranny over Souls. And what force of argument do these Doctors bring to the contrary? But we have long observed to what pass the bold ignorance and sloth of our Clergy tends no less now than in the Bishops days, to make their bare sayings and censures authentic with the People, though destitute of any proof or argument. But thanks be to God they are discerned.

Their next impeachment is, That we oppose the Presbyterial Government, the Hedge and Bulwark of Religion. Which all the Land knows to be a most impudent salse-hood, having established it with all freedom, wherever it hath been desired. Nevertheless, as we perceive it aspiring to be a compulsive power upon all without exception in Parochial, Classical, and Provincial Hierarchies, or to require the slessly Arm of Magistracy in the execution of a spiritual Discipline, to punish and americal by any corporal institution those whose Consciences cannot be edifyed.

edify'd by what authority they are compell'd, we hold it no more to be the Heigy and Bulwark of Religion, than the Popish and Prelatical Courts, or the Spanish Inquisition.

But we are told, We embrace Paganism and Judaism in the arms of Toleration. A most audacious calumny! And yet while we detest Judaism, we know our selves commanded by St. Paul, Rom. 11. to respect the Jews, and by all means to endeavour their conversion.

Neither was it ever fworn in the Covenant to maintain a univerfal Prefbytery in England, as they falfly alledge, but in Scotland against the common Enemy, if our aid were call'd for: being left free to reform our own Country according to the Word of God, and the example of best reformed Churches; from which rule we are not yet departed.

But here, utterly forgetting to be Ministers of the Gospel, they presume to open their mouths not in the Spirit of Meekness, as like dissemblers they pretend, but with as much devilish malice, impudence and salshood, as any Irish Rebel could have utter'd; and from a barbarous nook of Ireland brand us with the extirpation of Laws and Liberties; things which they seem as little to under-

fland as aught that belongs to good Letters or Humanity.

That we seiz'd on the Person of the King; who was surrendred into our hands an Enemy and Captive by our own subordinate and paid Army of Scots in England. Next, our imprisoning many Members of the House. As if it were impossible they should deserve it, conspiring and bandying against the public good; which to the other part appearing, and, with the power they had, not relifting, had been a manifest desertion of their Trust and Duty. No question but it is as good and necessary to expel rotten Members out of the House, as to banish Delinquents out of the Land: and the reason holds as well in forty as in five. And if they be yet more, the more dangerous is their number. They had no privilege to fit there, and vote home the Author, the impenitent Author of all our Mileries to Freedom, Honour and Royalty, for a few fraudulent, if not destructive Con-Which that they went about to do, how much more clear it was to all men, so much the more expedient, and important to the Commonwealth was their speedy seizure and exclusion; and no breach of any just privilege, but a breach of their knotted faction. And here they cry out, An Action without parallel in any Age. So heartily we wish all men were unprejudic'd in all our Actions, as these illiterate denouncers never parallel'd so much of any Age as would contribute to the tithe of a Century. That we abolish Parlamentary Power, and establish a Representative instead theref. Now we have the height of them; these profound Instructors, in the midst of their Representation, would know the Erglish of a Representative, and were perhaps of that Classis, who heretofore were as much stagger'd at Triennial.

Their grand Accusation is our Justice done on the King, which that they may prove to be without rule or example, they venture all the credit they have in divine and human History; and by the same desperate boldness detect themselves to be egregious Lyars and Impostors, feeking to abuse the multitude with a show of that gravity and learning which never was their Portion. Had their knowledge been equal to the knowledge of any stupid Monk, or Abbot, they would have known at least, though ignorant of all things else, the life and acts of him, who first instituted their Order: But these blockish Presbyters of Clandeboy know not that John Know, who was the first sounder of Presbytery in Scotland, taught professedly the Doctrine of deposing, and of killing Kings. And thus while they deny that any such rule can be found, the rule is found in their own Country, given them by their own first Presbyterian Institutor; and they themselves, like irregular Friers walking contrary to the rule of their own Foundation, deferve for fo groß an ignorance and tranfgression to be disciplin'd upon their own Stools. Or had their reading in History been any, which by this we may be confident is none at all, or their Malice not heighten'd to a blind rage, they never would fo rashly have thrown the Dice to a palpable discovery of their ignorance and want of shame. But wherefore spend we two such precious things as time and reason upon Priests, the most prodigal mis-spenders of time, and the scarcest owners of reason? 'Tis sufficient we have publish'd our defences, given reasons, given examples of our Justice done; Books also have been written to the same purpose for Men to look on that will; that no Nation under Heaven but in one age or other hath done the like. The difference only is, which rather feems to us matter of glory,

that

that they for the most part have without Form of Law done the deed by a kind of martial Justice, we by the deliberate and well-weigh'd Sentence of a legal Judicature.

But they tell us, It was against the interest and protestation of the Kingdom of Scotland. And did exceeding well to join those two together: hereby informing us what credit or regard need be given in England to a Scotch Protestation, ushered in by a Scotch Interest: certainly no more than we see is given in Scotland to an English Declaration, declaring the Interest of England. If then our interest move not them, why should theirs move us? If they say, we are not all England; we reply, they are not all Scotland: nay, were the last year so inconsiderable a part of Scotland as were beholden to this which they now term the Sectarian Army, to defend and rescue them at the charges of England from a stronger party of their own Countrymen, in whose esteem they were no better than Sectarians themselves. But they add, It was against the former Declarations of both Kingdoms, to seize, or proceed against the King. We are certain that no such Declarations of both Kingdoms, as derive not their sull force from

the sense and meaning of the Covenant, can be produced.

And if they plead against the Covenant, To preserve and defend his Person; we ask them briefly whether they take the Covenant to be absolute or conditional? If absolute, then suppose the King to have committed all prodigious Crimes and Impieties against God, or Nature, or whole Nations, he must nevertheless be facred from all violent touch. Which abfurd opinion, how it can live in any Man's reason, either natural or rectified, we much marvel: Since God declared his anger as impetuous for the faving of King Benhadad, though furrendring himself at mercy, as for the killing of Naboth. If it be conditional, in the prefervation and defence of Religion, and the People's Liberty, then certainly to take away his life, being dangerous, and pernicious to both these, was no more a breach of the Covenant, than for the same reason at Edinburgh to behead Gordon the Marquess Huntley. By the same Covenant we made vow to asfift and defend all those that should enter with us into this League; not absolutely, but in the maintenance and pursuing therof. If therfore no Man else ever was fo mad as to claim from hence an impunity from all Justice, why should any for the King? Whose Life by other Articles of the same Covenant was forfeit. Nay if common sense had not led us to such a clear Interpretation, the Scotch Commissioners themselves might boast to have been our first teachers: who when they drew to the malignance which brought forth that perfidious last year's irruption against all the bands of Covenant or Christian Neighbourhood, making their hollow Plea the defence of His Majesty's Person, they were constrained by their own guiltiness to leave out that following morfel that would have choak'd them, the preservation and defence of true Religion, and our Liberties. And questionlefs in the prefervation of these, we are bound as well, both by the Covenant, and before the Covenant, to preserve and defend the Person of any private Man, as the Person and Authority of any inserior Magistrate: So that this Article, objected with fuch vehemence against us, contains not an exception of the King's Person, and Authority, to do by privilege what wickedness he list, and be defended, as some fancy, but an express testification of our Loyalty; and the plain words without wresting will bear as much, that we had no thoughts against his person, or just power, provided they might consist with the preservation and defence of true Religion and our Liberties. But to these how hazardous his life was, will be needless to repeat so often. It may suffice that while he was in custody, where we expected his Repentance, his remorfe at last, and compassion of all the innocent blood shed already, and hereaster likely to be shed for his meer wilfulnefs, he made no other use of our continual forbearance, our humblest Petitions and Obtestations at his feet, but to sit contriving and somenting new Plots against us, and as his own phrase was, playing his own Game upon the Miseries of his People: Of which we defire no other view at present than these Articles of Peace with the Rebels, and the rare Game likely to enfue from fuch a cast of his Cards. And then let Men reslect a little upon the flanders and reviles of these wretched Priests, and judge what Modesty, what Truth, what Conscience, what any thing sit for Ministers, or we might say reasonable men, can harbour in them. For what they began in shamelesness and malice, they conclude in frenzy: throwing out a fudden rhapfody of Proverbs quite from the purpose; and with as much comeliness as when Saul prophesy'd. For casting off, as he did his Garments, all modesty and meekness wherewith the Language of Ministers ought to be cloath'd, especially to their supreme Magistrate, they talk at random of Servanis raging, Servanis riding, and wonder bow the Earth can bear them. Either these men imagine themselves to be marveloufly high fet and exalted in the Chair of Belfalt, to vouchfafe the Parlament of England no better stile than Servants, or else their high notion, which we rather believe, falls as low as Court-parafitifm; fuppofing all Men to be Servants, but the King. And then all their pains taken to feem so wise in proverbing, serves but to conclude them downright Slaves: and the edge of their own Proverb falls reverse upon themselves. For as Delight is not seemly for Fools, much less high Words to come from base Minds. What they are for Ministers, or how they crept into the Fold, whether at the Window, or through the Wall, or who fet them there so haughty in the Pontifical See of Belfait, we know not. But this we rather have cause to wonder if the Earth can bear this unfufferable infolency of upftarts; who from a ground which is not their own, dare fend fuch defiance to the fovereign Magistracy of England, by whose authority and in whose right they inhabit there. By their actions we might rather judge them to be a generation of High-land Thieves and Red-shanks, who being neighbourly admitted, not as the Saxons by merit of their Warfare against our Encmies, but by the courtefy of England to hold possessions in our Province, a Country better than their own, have, with worse Faith than those Heathen, provid ingrateful and treacherous Guests to their best Friends and Entertainers. And let them take heed, left while their filence, as to these matters, might have kept them blameless and secure under those proceedings which they so fear'd to partake in, that these their treasonous attempts and practices have not involv'd them in a far worse guilt of Rebellion; and (notwithstanding that fair dehortatory from joining with Malignants) in the appearance of a co-interest and partaking with the Irish Rebels. Against whom, though by themselves pronounc'd to be the Enemies of God, they go not out to battle, as they ought, but rather by these their doings assist and become associates.

EIKONO-

ΈΙΚΟΝΟΚΛΑΣΤΗΣ.

In Answer to a Book Intitled,

ΈΙΚΩΝ ΒΑΣΙΛΙΚΗ,

The Portraiture of his Sacred Majesty in his Solitudes and Sufferings.

Prov. 28. 15. As a roaring Lion and a ranging Bear, so is a wicked Ruler over the poor People.

16. The Prince that wanteth understanding, is also a great Oppressor; but

he that hateth covetousness, shall prolong his days.

17. A Man that doth violence to the Blood of any Person, shall fly to the pit, let no man stay him.

Saluft, Conjurat, Catilin.

Regium imperium, quod initio, conservanda libertatis, atque augenda reipub. causâ fucrat, in superbiam, dominationemque se convertit. Regibus boni, quam mali, suspectiores sunt, semperque his aliena virtus formidolosa est.

Quidlibet impunè facere, hoc scilicet regium est.

Published by Authority.

The PREFACE.

O descant on the Missortunes of a Person fallen from so high a Dignity, who hath also paid his final debt both to Nature and his Faults, is neither of it felf a thing commendable, nor the intention of this Difcourfe: Neither was it fond Ambition, or the Vanity to get a Name prefent or with Pofterity, by writing against a King. I never was so thirsty after Fame, nor so destitute of other hopes and means better and more certain to attain it: for Kings have gain'd glorious Titles from their Favourers by writing against private Men, as Henry the 8th did against Luther; but no Man ever gain'd much honour by writing against a King, as not usually meeting with that force of Argument in such Courtly Antagonists, which to convince might add to his Reputation. Kings most commonly, tho' strong in Legions, are but weak at Arguments; as they who ever have accustom'd from the Cradle to use their Will only as their right hand, their Reafon always as their left. Whence unexpectedly constrain'd to that kind of combate, they prove but weak and puny Adverfaries : verfaries: Nevertheless, for their sakes who through custom, simplicity, or want of better teaching, have not more seriously consider'd Kings, than in the gaudy name of Majesty, and admire them and their doings as if they breath'd not the same breath with other mortal Men, I shall make no scruple to take up (for it seems to be the challenge both of him and all his party) this Gauntlet, though a King's, in the behalf of Liberty and the Commonwealth.

And further, fince it appears manifestly the cunning drift of a faction and defeated Party, to make the fame advantage of his Book, which they did before of his Regal Name and Authority, and intend it not so much the defence of his former Actions, as the promoting of their own future Defigns; making therby the Book their own rather than the King's, as the benefit now must be their own more than his: now the third time to corrupt and diforder the minds of weaker Men, by new Suggestions and Narrations, either faltly or fallaciously representing the state of things to the dishonour of this pretent Government, and the retarding of a general Peace, so needful to this afflicted Nation, and so nigh obtain'd; I suppose it no Injury to the dead, but; a good deed rather to the living, if by better information given them, or which is enough, by only remembring them the truth of what they themselves know to be here misaffirm'd, they may be kept from entering the third time unadvisedly into War and Bloodshed: for as to any moment of solidity in the Book itself, stuft with naught else but the common grounds of Tyranny and Popery, fugar'd a little over; or any need of answering, in respect of staid and well-principl'd men, I take it on me as a work affign'd rather than by me chosen or affected; which was the cause both of beginning it so late, and finishing it so leisurely in the midst of other imployments and diversions. And if the late King had thought sufficient those Answers and Defences made for him in his lifetime, they who on the other fide accus'd his evil Government, judging that on their behalf enough also hath been reply'd, the heat of this Controverfy was in likelihood drawing to an end; and the further mention of his deeds, not fo much unfortunate as faulty, had in tenderness to his late Sufferings been willingly forborn; and perhaps for the prefent age might have flept with him unrepeated, while his Adversaries, calm'd and affwag'd with the fuccess of their Cause, had been the less favourable to his Memory. But fince he himfelf, making new appeal to Truth and the World, had left behind him this Book as the best Advocate and Interpreter of his own Actions, and that his Friends by publishing, difperfing, commending, and almost adoring it, seem to place therin the chief Arength and nerves of their Caufe, it would argue doubtless in the other Party great deficience and distrust of themselves, not to meet the force of his Reafon in any Field whatfoever, the force and equipage of whose Arms they have so often met victoriously. And he who at the Bar stood excepting against the form and manner of his Judicature, and complain'd that he was not heard; neither he nor his Friends shall have that cause now to find fault; being met and debated within this open and monumental Court of his own erecting; and not only heard uttering his whole mind at large, but answer'd: which to do effectually, if it be necessary that to his Book nothing the more respect be had for being his, they of his own Party can have no just rea-Ion to exclaim. For it were too unreasonable that he, because dead, should have the liberty in his Book to speak all evil of the Parliament; and they, because living, should be expected to have less free-VOL. I. Aaa

dom, or any for them, to speak home the plain truth of a full and pertinent Reply: As he, to acquit himself, hath not spar'd his Adverfaries to load them with all forts of Blame and Accusation, so to him, as in his Book alive, there will be us'd no more courtship than he uses; but what is properly his own guilt, not imputed any more to his evil Counsellors (a Ceremony us'd longer by the Parlament than he himself desir'd) shall be laid here, without Circumsocutions, at his own door. That they who from the first beginning, or but now of late, by what unhappiness I know not, are so much affatuated, not with his person only, but with his palpable Faults, and doat upon his Desormities, may have none to blame but their own solly, if they live and die in such a strooken blindness, as next to that of Sodom hath not happen'd to any fort of men more gross or more misseading.

First then, that some men (whether this were by him intended or by his Friends) have by policy accomplish'd after death that revenge upon their Enemies which in life they were not able, hath been oft related. And among other Examples we find that the last Will of Cæsar being read to the people, and what bounteous Legacies he had bequeath'd them, wrought more in that vulgar audience to the avenging of his death, than all the art he could ever use to win his favour in his life-time. And how much their intent who publish'd these overlate Apologies and Meditations of the dead King, drives to the fame end of stirring up the People to bring him that Honour, that Affection, and by confequence that Revenge to his dead Corpfe, which he himself could never gain to his Person, it appears both by the conceited Portraiture before his Book, drawn out to the full measure of a masking Scene, and set there to catch Fools and filly Gazers; and by those Latin words after the end, Vota dabunt quæ Bella negarunt; intimating, that what he could not compass by War, he should atchieve by his Meditations: for in words, which admit of various fense, the liberty is ours to choose that Interpretation which may best mind us of what our restless Enemies endeavour, and what we are timely to prevent. And here may be well observed the loose and negligent curiosity of those who took upon them to adorn the setting out of this Book; for tho' the Picture martyr him and faint him to befool the People, yet the Latin Motto in the end which they understand not, leaves him as it were a politic Contriver to bring about that interest by fair and plausible words, which the force of Arms deny'd him. But quaint Emblems and Devices begg'd from the old Pageantry of fome Twelfe-nights entertainment at Whitehall, will do but ill to make a Saint or Martyr: and if the People refolve to take him fainted at the rate of fuch a Canonizing, I shall fuspect their Calender more than the Gregorian. In one thing I must commend his openness who gave the Title to this Book, Einer Basilian, that is to fay, The King's Image; and by the Shrine he dreffes out for him, certainly would have the People come and worship him: For which reason this answer also is intitled, Iconoclasses, the famous Surname of many Greek Emperors, who in their zeal to the Command of God, after long Tradition of Idolatry in the Church, took courage and broke all fuperstitious Images to pieces. But the people, exorbitant and excessive in all their motions, are prone of times not to a religious only, but to a civil kind of Idolatry in idolizing their Kings; though never more miftaken in the Object of their Worship; heretofore being wont to repute for Saints those faithful and couragious Barons who lost their lives in the Field, making glorious War against Tyrants for the commonLiberty; as Simon de Momfort, Earl of Leicester, against Henry the Third; Thomas Pluntagenet Earl of Lancaster, against Edward the Second. Eat now with a beforted and degenerate baseness of Spirit, except some sew who yet retain in them the old English Fortitude and Love of Freedom, and have testify'd it by their matchless deeds, the rest imbastardiz'd from the ancient Nobleness of their Ancestors, are ready to fall flat and give adoration to the Image and Memory of this Man, who hath offer'd at more cunning fetches to undermine our Liberties, and put Tyranny into an Art, than any British King before him: which low dejection and debasement of mind in the people, I must confess I cannot willingly atcribe to the natural Disposition of an Englishman, but rather to two other Causes: first, to the Prelates and their fellow-teachers, though of another Name and Sect, whose Pulpit-stuff, both first and last, hath been the Doctrine and perpetual Iniution of Servility and Wretchedness to all their Hearers, and their Lives the type of worldliness and hypocrify, without the least true pattern of Virtue, Righteoutness, or Self-denial in their whole practice. I attribute it next to the factious Inclination of most men divided from the Public by feveral ends and humours of their own. At first no Man less belov'd, no Man more generally condemn'd than was the King; from the time that it became his Custom to break Parlaments at home, and either wilfully or weakly to betray Protestants abroad, to the beginning of those Combustions, all men inveigh'd against him; all men, except Court-Vassals, oppos'd him and his tyrannical Proceedings; the Cry was universal; and this full Parlament was at first unanimous in their diflike and protestation against his evil Government. But when they who fought themselves and not the public, began to doubt that all of them could not by one and the same way attain to their ambitious purposes, then was the King, or his Name at least, as a fit property first made use of, his doings made the best of, and by degrees justified: which begot him fuch a Party as after many wiles and struglings with his inward fears, embolden'd him at length to fet up his Standard against the Parlament. Whenas before that time, all his adherents, confifting most of dissolute Swordmen and Suburb-roysters, hardly amounted to the making up of one ragged Regiment, strong enough to assault the unarm'd House of After which attempt, feconded by a tedious and bloody War on his Subjects, wherin he hath so far exceeded those his arbitrary Violences in time of Peace, they who before hated him for his high Mifgovernment, nay fought against him with display'd Banners in the Field, now applaud him and extol him for the wifeft and most religious Prince that liv'd. By fo strange a method amongst the mad multitude is a sudden Reputation won, of Wildom by wilfulness and subtile shifts, of Goodness by multiplying evil, of Piety by endeavouring to root out true Religion.

But it is evident that the chief of his Adherents never lov'd him, never honour'd either him or his Cause, but as they took him to set a face upon their own malignant Designs; nor bemoan his loss at all, but the loss of their own aspiring hopes: like those captive Women, whom the Poet notes in his *Iliad*, to have bewail'd the Death of *Patroclus* in outward show, but indeed their own condition;

Πάτροκλου πρόφασιν, σφών δ'ἀυτών κήδ' ἐκάςη. Hom. Iliad. τ.

And it needs must be ridiculous to any Judgment uninthrall'd, that they who in other matters express so little fear either of God or Man, should in this one particular outstrip all Precisianism with their scruples and cases, Vol., I.

A a a 2

and fill men's ears continually with the noise of their conscientious Loyalty, and Allegiance to the King, Rebels in the mean while to God in all their actions beside: much less that they whose profess'd Loyalty and Allegiance led them to direct Arms against the King's Person, and thought him nothing violated by the Sword of Hostility drawn by them against him, should now in earnest think him violated by the unsparing Sword of Justice, which undoubtedly so much the less in vain she bears among men, by how much greater and in highest place the offender. Else Justice, whether moral or political, were not Justice, but a false Counterfeit of that impartial and godlike Virtue. The only grief is, that the Head was not strook off to the best advantage and commodity of them that held it by the Hair: which observation, though made by a common Enemy, may for the truth of it hereafter become a Proverb. But as to the Author of these Soliloquies, whether it were the late King, as is vulgarly believ'd, or any fecret Coadjutor, and some stick not to name him; it can add nothing, nor shall take from the weight, if any be, of reason which he brings. But Allegations, not Reasons, are the main Contents of this Book, and need no more than other contrary Allegations to lay the Qustion before all Men in an even Ballance; though it were fupposed that the Testimony of one Man in his own Cause affirming could be of any moment to bring in doubt the Authority of a Parlament denying. But if these his fair-spoken words shall be here fairly confronted and laid parallel to his own far-differing deeds, manifest and visible to the whole Nation, then furely we may look on them who notwithstanding shall persist to give to bare words more credit than to open deeds, as men whose Judgment was not rationally evine'd and persuaded, but fatally stupefy'd and bewitch'd into such a blind and obstinate belief: for whose cure it may be doubted, not whether any Charm, though never fo wifely murmur'd, but whether any Prayer can be available.

I. Upon the King's calling this last Parlament.

HAT which the King lays down here as his first foundation, and as it were the head stone of the whole Structure that Hazzer Parlament, not more by others advice, and the necessity of his affairs, than by his own choice and inclination; is to all knowing Men so apparently not true, that a more unlucky and inaufpicious fentence, and more betokening the downfal of his whole Fabric, hardly could have come into his mind. For who knows not that the inclination of a Prince is best known either by those next about him, and most in favour with him, or by the current of his own Actions? Those nearest to his King, and most his Favourites, were Courtiers and Prelates; Men whose chief study was to find out which way the King inclin'd, and to imitate him exactly: How these Men stood affected to Parlaments cannot be forgotten. No Man but may remember it was their continual exercise to dispute and preach against them; and in their common discourse nothing was more frequent, than that they boped the King should have now no need of Parlaments any more. And this was but the copy which the Parafites had industriously taken from his own Words and Actions, who never call'd a Parlament, but to supply his necessities; and having supply'd those, as suddenly and ignominioufly diffolv'd it, without redreffing any one grievance of the People: Sometimes choosing rather to miss of his Subsidies, or to raise them by illegal courses, than that the People should not still miss of their hopes to be reliev'd by Parlaments.

The first he broke off at his coming to the Crown, for no other cause than to protect the Duke of Buckingham against them who had accused him, besides other heinous Crimes, of no less than poisoning the deceased King his Father. And still the latter breaking was with more affront and indignity put upon the House and her worthiest Members than the former. Insomuch that in the fifth year of his Reign, in a Proclamation he feems offended at the very rumor of a Parlament divulg'damong the People, as if he had taken it for a kind of Slander, that Men should think him that way exorable, much less inclin'd: and forbids it as a prefumption to prescribe him any time for Parlaments; that is to fay, either by Perfualion or Petition, or fo much as the reporting of fuch a Rumor: for other manner of prescribing was at that time not suspected. By which fierce Edict, the people, forbidden to complain, as well as forc'd to fuffer, began from thenceforth to despair of Parlaments. Wherupon such illegal actions, and especially to get vast sums of Money, were put in practice by the King and his new Officers, as Monopolies, compulfive Knighthoods, Coat, Conduct and Ship-money, the feizing notof one Naboth's Vineyard, but of whole Inheritances under the pretence of Forrest, or Crown-Lands; Corruption and Bribery compounded for, with impunities granted for the future, as gave evident proof that the King never meant, nor could it stand with the reafon of his Affairs ever to recall Parlaments: having brought by thefe irregular courses the people's Interest and his own to so direct an opposition, that he might foresee plainly, if nothing but a Parlament could save the people, it must neceffarily be his undoing.

Till eight or nine years after, proceeding with a high hand in these Enormities, and having the second time levied an injurious War against his native Country, Scotland; and finding all those other shifts of raising money, which bore out his first Expedition, now to fail him, not of his own choice and inclination, as any Child may see, but urged by strong necessities, and the very pangs of State, which his own violent Proceedings had brought him to, he calls a Parlament; first in Ireland, which only was to give him four Subsidies, and so to expire; then in England, where his first demand was but twelve Subsidies, to maintain a Scotch War, condemned and abominated by the whole Kingdom: promising their grievances should be considered afterwards. Which when the Parlament, who judged that War it self one of their main grievances, made no haste to grant, not enduring the delay of his impatient will, or else fearing the conditions of their grant, he breaks off the whole Session, and dismisses them and their

grievances with fcorn and frustration.

Much less therfore did he call this last Parlament by his own choice and inclination; but having first try'd in vain all undue ways to procure money, his Army of their own accord being beaten in the North, the Lords petitioning, and the general voice of the People almost histing him and his ill-acted regality off the Stage, compelled at length both by his wants, and by his fears, upon meer extremity he fummon'd this last Parlament. And how is it possible that he should willingly incline to Parlaments, who never was perceiv'd to call them but for the greedy hope of a whole National Bribe, his Subfidies; and never lov'd, never fulfill'd, never promoted the true end of Parlaments, the redress of grievances; but still put them off, and prolong'd them, whether gratify'd or not gratify'd; and was indeed the Author of all those grievances? To say therfore that he call'd this Parliament of his own choice and inclination, argues how little truth we can expect from the fequel of this Book, which ventures in the very first period to affront more than one Nation with an untruth so remarkable; and prefumes a more implicit Faith in the People of England, than the Pope ever commanded from the Romifts Laity; or elfe a natural fortishness fit to be abus'd and ridden? While in the judgment of wife Men, by laying the foundation of his defence on the avouchment of that which is fo manifestly untrue, he hath given a worfe foil to his own caufe, than when his whole Forces were at any time overthrown. They therfore who think fuch great Service done to the King's affairs in publishing this Book, will find themselves in the end mistaken, if sense and right mind, or but any mediocrity of knowledge and remembrance hath not quite forfaken men.

But to prove his inclination to Parlaments, he affirms here, To have always thought the right way of them most fafe for his Crown, and best pleasing to his People. What he thought we know not, but that he ever took the contrary way, we saw; and from his own actions we felt long ago what he thought of Parlaments or of pleasing his People: a surer Evidence than what we hear now too late in

words.

He alledges, that the cause of forbearing to convene Parlaments was the sparks which some men's distempers there studied to kindle. They were indeed not temper'd to his temper; for it neither was the Law, nor the rule by which all other tempers were to be try'd; but they were esteem'd and chosen for the sittest men, in their several Counties, to allay and quench those distempers which his own inordinate doings had inflam'd. And if that were his resusing to convene, till those men had been qualify'd to his temper, that is to say, his will, we may easily conjecture what hope there was of Parlaments, had not sear and his insatiate poverty, in the midst of his excessive wealth constrain'd him.

He hoped by his freedom and their moderation to prevent mifunderstandings. And wherfore not by their freedom and his moderation? But freedom he thought too high a word for them, and moderation too mean a word for himself: this was not the way to prevent misunderstandings. He still fear'd passion and prejudice in other men; not in himself: and doubted not by the weight of his own reason to counterposse any Fastion; it being so easy for him, and so frequent, to call his obstinacy Reason, and other men's reason Fastion. We in the mean while must believe that wisdom and all reason came to him by Title with his Crown; passion, prejudice, and faction came to others by being Subjects.

He was forry to hear with what popular heat Elections were carry'd in many places. Sorry rather that Court-Letters and intimations prevail'd no more, to divert, or to deter the people from their free Election of those men, whom they thought best affected to Religion and their Country's Liberty, both at that time in danger to be lost. And such men they were, as by the Kingdom were sent to advise him, not sent to be cavilled at, because elected, or to be entertained by him with an undervalue and misprission of their temper, judgment, or affection. In vain was a Parlament thought sittest by the known Laws of our Nation, to advise and regulate unruly Kings, if they, instead of hearkening to advice, should be permitted to turn it off, and resule it by vilifying and traducing their advisers, or by accusing of a popular heat those that lawfully elected them.

His own and his children's interest oblig'd him to feek, and to preserve the love and welfare of his Subjects. Who doubts it? But the same interest, common to all Kings, was never yet available to make them all feek that, which was indeed best

An Answer to Eikon Basilike.

for themselves and their Posterity. All men by their own and their Children's interest are oblig'd to Honesty and Justice: but how little that consideration works in private men, how much less in Kings, their deeds declare best.

He intended to oblige both Friends and Enemies, and to exceed their Defires, did they but pretend to any modest and sober sense; mistaking the whole business of a Parlament. Which met not to receive from him Obligations, but Justice; nor he to expect from them their modesty, but their grave advice, utter'd with freedom in the public cause. His talk of modesty in their defires of the common welfare, argues him not much to have understood what he had to grant, who misconceiv'd so much the nature of what they had to defire. And for sober sense, the expression was too mean, and recoils with as much dishonour upon himself, to be a King where sober sense could possibly be so wanting in a Parlament.

The odium and offences which somemen's Rigour, or remissings in Church and State, had contrasted upon his Government, he resolved to have expiated with better Laws and Regulations. And yet the worst of misdemeanors committed by the worst of all his favourites in the height of their dominion, whether acts of rigor or remissiness, he hath from time to time continu'd, own'd, and taken upon himself by public Declarations, as often as the Clergy, or any other of his Instruments felt themselves overburden'd with the people's hatred. And who knows not the fuperstitious rigor of his Sunday's Chapel, and the licentious remissiness of his Sunday's Theatre; accompanied with that reverend Statute for Dominical Jigz and Maypoles, publish'd in his own Name, and deriv'd from the example of his Father James? Which testifies all that rigor in Superstition, all that remissiness in Religion to have iffued out originally from his own House, and from his own Authority. Much rather then may those general miscarriages in State, his proper Sphere, be imputed to no other person chiefly than to himself. And which of all those oppressive Acts or Impositions did he ever disclaim or disavow, till the fatal awe of this Parlament hung ominoufly over him? Yet here he fmoothly feeks to wipe off all the envy of his evil Government upon his Substitutes and Under-Officers; and promifes, though much too late, what wonders he purpos'd to have done in the reforming of Religion; a work wherin all his undertakings heretofore declare him to have had little or no judgment: Neither could his breeding, or his course of life acquaint him with a thing so spiritual. Which may well affure us what kind of Reformation we could expect from him; either some politic form of an imposed Religion, or else perpetual vexation and perfecution to all those that comply'd not with such a form. like amendment he promises in State; not a step further than his Reason and Conscience told him was fit to be desir'd, wishing be had kept within those bounds, and not suffer'd his own judgment to have been over-born in some things, of which things one was the Earl of Strafford's execution. And what fignifies all this, but that still his resolution was the same to set up an arbitrary Government of his own, and that all Britain was to be ty'd and chain'd to the conscience, judgment, and reafon of one Man; as if those gifts had been only his Peculiar and Prerogative, intail'd upon him with his fortune to be a King? Whenas doubtless no man fo obstinate, or so much a Tyrant, but professes to be guided by that which he calls his Reason and his Judgment, tho' never so corrupted; and pretends also his Confcience. In the mean while, for any Parlament or the whole Nation to have either reason, judgment, or conscience by this rule, was altogether in vain, if it thwarted the King's Will; which was easy for him to call by any other more plaufible name. And thus we find these fair and specious promites, made upon the experience of many hard fufferings, and his most mortify'd retirements, being throughly fifted, to contain nothing in them much different from his former practices, fo crofs and fo averfe to all his Parlaments, and both the Nations of this Island. What fruits they could in likelihood have produc'd in his restorement, is obvious to any prudent foresight.

And this is the substance of his first Section, till we come to the devout of it, model'd into the form of a private Pfalter. Which they who so much admire the Arch-Bishop's late Breviary, and many others as good Manuals and Handmaids of Devotion, the lip-work of every Prelatical Liturgist, clapt together, and quilted out of Scripture-phrase, with as much ease, and as little need of Christian diligence or judgment as belongs to the compiling of any ordinary

and falable piece of English Divinity that the shops value. But he who from such a kind of Pfalmiftry, or any other verbal Devotion, without the pledge andearnest of fuitable deeds, can be persuaded of a zeal and true righteousness in the Person, hath much yet to learn, and knows not that the deepest policy of a Tyrant hath been ever to counterfeit Religious. And driftotle in his Polities hath mention'd that special crast among twelve other tyrannical Sophisms. Neither want we examples: Andronicus Comnenus the Byzantine Emperor, though a most cruc. Tyrant, is reported by Nicetas to have been a constant reader of Saint Paul's Epiftles; and by continual fludy had to incorporated the phrase and stile of that transcendent Apostle in all his Familiar Letters, that the imitation feem'd to vie with the original. Yet this avail'd not to deceive the people of that Empire, who notwithstanding his Saint's vizard, tore him to pieces for his Tyranny. From Stories of this nature both ancient and modern which abound, the Poets also, and some English have been in this point so mindful of Decorum, as to put never more pious words in the mouth of any person than of a Tyrant. I thall not inftance an abitrufe Author, wherin the King might be lefs converfant, but one whom we well know was the Closet Companion of these his solitudes, William Shakespeare, who introduces the person of Richard the third, speaking in as high a strain of piety and mortification as is utter'd in any passage of this Book, and sometimes to the same sense and purpose with fome words in this place; I intended, faith he, not only to oblige my Friends, but my Enemies. The like faith Richard, A.7 2. Seen. 1.

> I do not know that Englishman alive, With whom my foul is any jot at odds, More than the Infant that is born to-night; I thank my God for my humility.

Other stuff of this fort may be read throughout the whole Tragedy, wherin the Poet us'd not much licence in departing from the truth of History, which delivers him a deep diffembler, not of his Affections only, but of Religion.

In praying therfore, and in the outward work of Devotion, this King we fee hath not at all exceeded the worst of Kings before him. But herein the worst of Kings, professing Christianism, have by far exceeded him. They, for aught we know, still pray'd their own, or at least borrowed from fit Authors. But this King, not content with that which, although in a thing holy, is no holy theft, to attribute to his own making other men's whole Prayers, hath as it were unhallow'd and unchriften'd the very duty of Prayer it felf, by borrowing to a Christian use Prayers offer'd to a Heathen God. Who would have imagin'd fo little fear in him of the true all-feeing Doity, fo little reverence of the Holy Ghoft, whose office is to dictate and present our Christian Prayers, fo little care of truth in his last words, or honour to himseli, or to his Friends or fense of his afflictions, or of that sad hour which was up-on him, as immediately before his Death to pop into the hand of that grave Bishop who attended him, as a special Relique of his Saintly Exercises, a * Prayer stolen word for word from the mouth of a Heathen Woman praying to a Heathen God; and that in no ferious Book, but in the vain amatorious Poem of Sir Philip Sydney's Arcadia; a Book in that kind full of worth and wir, but among religious thoughts and duties not worthy to be nam'd; nor to be read at any time without good caution, much less in time of trouble and affliction to be a Christian's Prayer-Book? It hardly can be thought upon without fome laughter, that he who had acted over us fo flately and fo tragically, fhould leave the World at last with such a ridiculous exit, as to bequeath among his edifying friends that stood about him such a piece of mockery to be published by them, as must needs cover both his and their heads with shame and consustion. And fure it was the hand of God that let them fall, and be taken in fuch a foolith Trap, as hath expos'd them to all derifion, if for nothing elfe, to throw contempt and difgrace in the fight of all Men, upon this his idoliz'd Book, and the whole rofary of his Prayers; therby tellifying how little he accepted them from those who thought no better of the living God than of a Buzzard Idol, that would be ferv'd and worship'd with the polluted trash of Romances and Ar-

^{*} This PRAYER is printed at the end of the Author's Life, prefixed to this Valuene.

cadia's, without discerning the affront so irreligiously and so boldly offer'd him to his face.

Thus much be faid in general to his Prayers, and in special to that Arcadian Prayer us'd in his Captivity; enough to undeceive us what esteem we are to set

upon the rest.

And thus far in the whole Chapter we have feen and confider'd, and it cannot but be clear to all men, how and for what ends, what concernments and necessities, the late King was no way induc'd, but every way constrain'd to call this last Parlament; yet here in his first Prayer he trembles not to avouch as in the ears of God, That he did it with an upright intention to his Glory, and his people's Good: of which dreadful Attestation how sincerely meant, God, to whom it was avow'd, can only judge; and he hath judg'd already, and hath written his impartial Sentence in Characters legible to all Christendom; and besides hath taught us that there be some whom he hath given over to delusion, whose very Mind and Conscience is desil'd, of whom Saint Paul to Titus makes mention.

II. Upon the Earl of Strafford's Death.

HIS next Chapter is a penitent Confession of the King, and the strangest, if it be well weigh'd, that ever was Auricular Book here of giving his Confession gest, if it be well weigh'd, that ever was Auricular. For he repents here of giving his Consent, though most unwillingly, to the most feafonable and folemn piece of Justice that had been done of many years in the Land: but his fole Confcience thought the contrary. And thus was the welfare, the fafety, and within a little, the unanimous demand of three populous Nations to have attended still on the fingularity of one Man's opinionated Conscience; if men had always been fo tame and spiritless, and had not unexpectedly found the grace to understand, that if his Conscience were so narrow and peculiar to it felf, it was not fit his Authority should be so ample and universal over others: For certainly a private Conscience forts not with a public Calling, but declares that Person rather meant by nature for a private Fortune. And this also we may take for truth, that he whose Conscience thinks it fin to put to death a capital Offender, will as oft think it meritorious to kill a righteous Person. But let us hear what the sin was that lay so fore upon him; and as his Prayer given to Dr. Juxon, testifies to the very day of his death, it was his figning the Bill of Strafford's execution: A Man whom all men look'd upon as one of the boldest and most impetuous Instruments that the King had to advance any violent or illegal Defign. He had rul'd Ireland and some parts of England, in an arbitrary manner; had endeavour'd to subvert fundamental Laws, to subvert Parlaments, and to incense the King against them; he had also endeavour'd to make Hostility between England and Scotland: He had counsel'd the King to call over that Irish Army of Papists, which he had cunningly rais'd, to reduce England, as appear'd by good Testimony then present at the Consultation: For which, and many other Crimes alledg'd and prov'd against him in 28 Articles, he was condemn'd of High Treason by the Parlament. The Commons by far the greater number cast him; the Lords after they had been satisfy'd in a full Discourse by the King's Solicitor, and the Opinions of many Judges deliver'd in their House, agreed likewise to the Sentence of Treason. The People univerfally cry'd out for Justice. None were his Friends but Courtiers and Clergymen, the worst at that time, and most corrupted fort of men; and Court-Ladies, not the best of Women; who when they grow to that infolence as to appear active in State-Affairs, are the certain fign of a diffolute, degenerate, and pufillanimous Commonwealth. Last of all the King, or rather first, for these were but his Apes, was not satisfy'd in Conscience to condemn him of High Treason; and declared to both Houses, That no fears or respects whatsoever should make him alter that Resolution founded upon his Conscience. Either then his Resolution was indeed not founded upon his Conscience, or his Confrience Vol. I. $\mathbf{B} \mathbf{b} \mathbf{b}$

Conscience receiv'd better information, or else both his Conscience and this his strong Resolution strook sail, notwithstanding these glorious words, to his stronger sear; for within a few days after, when the Judges at a privy Council, and sour of his elected Bishops had pick'd the thorn out of his Conscience, he was at length perswaded to sign the Bill for strafford's Execution. And yet perhaps that it wrung his Conscience to condemn the Earl of High Treason is not unlikely; not because he thought him guiltless of highest Treason, had half those Crimes been committed against his own private Interest or Person, as appear'd plainly by his charge against the six Members; but because he knew himself a Principal in what the Earl was but his Accessary, and thought nothing

Treason against the Commonwealth, but against himself only. Had he really scrupled to sentence that for Treason which he thought not treasonable, why did he seem resolv'd by the Judges and the Bishops? and if by them refolv'd, how comes the scruple here again? It was not then, as he now pretends, The importunities of some, and the fear of many, which made him fign, but the fatisfaction given him by those Judges and ghostly Fathers of his own chusing. Which of him shall we believe? for he seems not one, but double; either here we must not believe him professing that his Satisfaction was but feemingly receiv'd and out of fear, or elfe we may as well believe that the scruple was no real scruple, as we can believe him here against himself before, that the fatisfaction then receiv'd was no real fatisfaction. Of fuch a variable and fleeting Confcience, what hold can be taken? But that indeed it was a facil Conscience, and could diffemble satisfaction when it pleas'd, his own insuing Actions declar'd; being foon after found to have the chief hand in a most detested Conspiracy against the Parlament and Kingdom, as by Letters and Examinations of *Percy*, *Goring*, and other Confpirators came to light; that his intention was to refcue the Earl of *Strafford*, by feizing on the Tower of *London*; to bring up the *English* Army out of the *North*, join'd with eight thousand *Irish* Papists rais'd by *Strafford*, and a *French* Army to be landed at Portsmouth against the Parlament and their Friends. For which purpose the King, though requested by both Houses to disband those Irish Papists, refus'd to do it, and kept them still in arms to his own purposes. No marvel then, if being as deeply criminous as the Earl himself, it stung his Conscience to adjudge to death those misdeeds wherof himself had been the chief Author: no marvel though instead of blaming and detesting his Ambition, his evil Counsel, his Violence and Oppression of the People, he fall to praise his great Abilities, and with Scholastic Flourishes beneath the decency of a King, compares him to the Sun, which in all figurative use and fignificance bears allusion to a King, not to a Subject: No marvel though he knit Contradictions as close, as words can lie together, not approving in his judgment, and yet approving in his fubsequent reason all that Strafford did, as driven by the necessity of times, and the temper of that people; for this excuses all his Misdemeanors: Lastly, no marvel that he goes on building many fair and pious Conclusions upon false and wicked Premifes, which deceive the common Reader, not well difcerning the antipathy of fuch Connexions: but this is the marvel, and may be the aftonishment of all that have a Confcience, how he durst in the fight of God (and with the same words of contrition wherwith *David* repents the murdering of *Uriab*) repent his lawful compliance to that just act of not faving him, whom he ought to have delivered up to speedy punishment, though himself the guiltier of the two. If the deed were fo finful to have put to death fo great a Malefactor, it would have taken much doubtless from the heaviness of his Sin to have told God in his Confession, how he labour'd, what dark Plots he had contriv'd, into what a League entred, and with what Conspirators against his Parlament and Kingdoms, to have refcu'd from the claim of Justice so notable and so dear an Instrument of Tyranny; which would have been a Story, no doubt, as pleafing in the ears of Heaven, as all these equivocal Repentances. For it was fear, and nothing elfe, which made him feign before both the fcruple and the fatisfaction of his Conscience, that is to say, of his mind: his first sear, pretended Conscience, that he might be borne with to resule signing, his latter fear being more urgent, made him find a Conscience both to sign, and to be satisfy'd. As for Repentance, it came not on him till a long time after; when he saw he could have suffer'd nothing more, though he had deny'd that Bill. For how could

could be understandingly repent of letting that be Treason which the Parlament and whole Nation to judg'd? This was that which repented him, to have given up to just punishment so stout a Champion of his Deligns, who might have been foufeful to him in his following civil Broils. It was a worldly Repentance, not a confcientions; or else it was a strange Tyranny which his Conscience had got over him, to vex him like an evil Spirit for doing one Act of Justice, and by that means to fortify his Resolution from ever doing so any That mind must needs be irrecoverably deprav'd, which either by chance or importunity, tasting but once of one just deed, spatters at it and abhors the relish ever after. To the Scribes and Pharifees, Woe was denounced by our Saviour, for straining at a Gnat and swallowing a Camel, though a Gnat were to be strain'd at: But to a Conscience with whom one good deed is fo hard to pass down as to endanger almost a choaking, and bad deeds without number, though as big and bulky as the ruin of three Kingdoms, go down currently without straining, certainly a far greater woe apperrains. If his Conscience were come to that unnatural Dyscrasy, as to digest poisson and to keck at wholesome food, it was not for the Parlament, or any of his Kingdoms to feed with him any longer. Which to conceal he would perfuade us that the Parlament also in their Conscience escap'd not some tou bes of remorfe for putting Strafford to death, in forbidding it by an after-act to be a Precedent for the future. But in a fairer construction, that act imply'd rather a defire in them to pacify the King's mind, whom they perceiv'd by this means quite alienated; in the mean while not imagining that this after-act should be retorted on them to tie up Justice for the time to come upon like occasion, whether this were made a Precedent or not, no more than the want of fuch a Precedent, if it had been wanting, had been available to hinder this.

But how likely is it that this after-act argu'd in the Parlament their least repenting for the death of Strafford, when it argu'd so little in the King himself, who notwithstanding this after-act, which had his own hand and concurrence, if not his own infligation, within the fame year accus'd of High Treason no less than fix Members at once for the same pretended Crimes which his Conscience would not yield to think treafonable in the Earl: So that this his fubtle Argument to fasten a repenting, and by that means a guiltiness of Strafford's death upon the Parlament, concludes upon his own head; and shews us plainly that either nothing in his judgment was Treason against the Commonwealth, but only against the King's Person; a tyrannical Principle; or that his Conscience was a perverse and prevaricating Conscience, to scruple that the Commonwealth thould punish for treasonous in one eminent Offender, that which she himself sought so vehemently to have punish'd in six guiltless persons. If this were that touch of Confcience which he bore with greater regret than for any other fin committed in his life, whether it were that proditory Aid fent to Rockel and Religion abroad, or that prodigality of shedding blood at home, to a million of his Subjects Lives not valu'd in comparison of one Strafford, we may confider yet at last what true fense and feeling could be in that Conscience, and what fit-

nefs to be the Master-conscience of three Kingdoms.

But the reason why he labours that we should take notice of so much tenderness and regret in his Soul for having any hand in Strassord's death, is worth the marking e'er we conclude: He hoped it would be some evidence before God and Man to all posterity, that he was far from bearing that vast load and guilt of blood laid upon him by others: Which hath the likeness of a suttle Distinulation, bewailing the blood of one Man, his commodious Instrument, put to death most justly, though by him unwillingly, that we might think him too tender to shed willingly the blood of those thousands, whom he counted Rebels. And thus by dipping voluntarily his singers end, yet with shew of great remorse, in the blood of Strassord, where all men clear him, he thinks to scape that Sea of innocent blood wherin his own guilt inevitably hath plung'd him all over. And we may well perceive to what easy satisfactions and purgations he had inur'd his secret Conscience, who thinks by such weak policies and oftentations as these to gain belief and absolution from understanding Men.

III. Upon his going to the House of Commons.

Oncerning his unexcusable and hostile march from the Court to the House of Commons, there needs not much be said; for he confesses it to be an act which most men whom he calls bis Enemies cry'd shame upon, indifferent men grew jealous of and fearful, and many of bis Friends resented, as a motion arising rather from passion than reason: He himself in one of his Answers to both Houses made profession to be convined that it was a plain breach of their privilege; yet here like a rotten building newly trim'd over, he represents it speciously and fraudulently, to impose upon the simple Reader; and seeks by smooth and suttle words not here only, but through his whole Book, to

make fome beneficial use or other even of his worst miscarriages.

These Men, faith he, meaning his Friends, knew not the just motives and pregnant grounds with which I thought my self furnished; to wit, against the five Members whom he came to drag out of the House. His best Friends indeed knew not, nor could ever know his Motives to such a riotous act; and had he himself known any just grounds, he was not ignorant how much it might have tended to his justifying, had he nam'd them in this place, and not conceal'd them. But to suppose them real, suppose them known, what was this to that violation and dishonour put upon the whole House, whose very door forcibly kept open, and all the passages near it he beset with Swords and Pistols cockt and menac'd in the hands of about three hundred Swaggerers and Russians, who but expected, nay audibly call'd for, the word of Onset to begin a slaughter?

He had discover'd, as he thought, unlawful Correspondence which they had us'd, and Engagements to embroil his Kingdoms, and remembers not his own unlawful Correspondencies and Conspiracies with the Irish Army of Papists, with the French to land at Portsmouth, and his tampring both with the English and Scotch Army to come up against the Parlament: the least of which attempts by whomfoever, was no less than manifest Treason against the Commonwealth.

If to demand Justice on the five Members were his Plea, for that which they with more reason might have demanded Justice upon him (I use his own Argument) there needed not so rough assistance. If he had resolved to bear that repulse with patience, which his Queen by her words to him at his return little thought he would have done, wherfore did he provide against it with such an armed and unusual Force? But his heart served him not to undergo the hazard that such a desperate sousse would have brought him to. But wherfore did he go at all, it behoving him to know there were two Statutes that declared he ought first to have acquainted the Parlament who were the Accusers, which he resused to do, though still professing to govern by Law, and still justifying his attempts against Law: And when he saw it was not permitted him to attaint them but by a sair tryal, as was offered him from time to time, for want of just matter which yet never came to light, he let the business sall of his own accord; and all those Pregnancies and just Motives came to just nothing.

He had no temptation of displeasure or revenue against those Men: None but what he thirsted to execute upon them, for the constant opposition which they made against his tyrannous Proceedings, and the love and reputation which

they therfore had among the people.

He mist but little to have produc'd Writings under some Men's own hands. But yet he mist, though their Chambers, Trunks, and Studies were seal'd up and search'd; yet not found guilty. Providence would not have it so. Good Providence that curbs the raging of proud Monarchs, as well as of mad Multitudes. Tet he wanted not such probabilities (for his pregnant is come now to probable) as were sufficient to raise jealousies in any King's heart: And thus his pregnant motives are at last prov'd nothing but a Tympany, or a Queen Mary's Cushion; for in any King's heart, as Kings go now, what shadowy conceit or groundless toy will not create a Jealousy?

That he had design'd to affault the House of Commons, taking God to witness, he utterly denies; yet in his Answer to the City, maintains that any course of vio-

lence

lence had been very justifiable. And we may then guess how far it was from his design: However, it discover'd in him an excessive eagerness to be aveng'd en them that cross'd him; and that to have his will, he stood not to do things never so much below him. What a becoming sight it was to see the king of England one while in the House of Commons, by and by in the Guild-Hall among the Liveries and Manufactures, prosecuting so greedily the track of five or six sled Subjects; himself not the Sollicitor only but the Pursivant, and the Apparitor of his own partial Cause. And although in his Answers to the Parlament, he hath consess'd, first that his manner of prosecution was illegal, next that as he once conceiv'd he had ground enough to accuse them, so at length that he found as good cause to desert any prosecution of them; yet here he seems to reverse all, and against promise takes up his old deserted Accusation, that he might have something to excuse himself, instead of giving due reparation, which he

always refus'd to give them whom he had fo difhonour'd. That I went, faith he of his going to the House of Commons, attended with fone Gentlemen; Gentlemen indeed, the ragged infantry of Stews, and Brothels; the spawn and shipwreck of Taverns and Dicing-Houses: and then he pleads it was no unwonted thing for the Majesty and Safety of a King to be so attended, especially in discontented times. An illustrious Majesty no doubt, so attended; a becoming fafety for the King of England, plac'd in the fidelity of fuch Guards and Champions: happy times, when Braves and Hacksters, the only contented Members of his Government, were thought the fittest and the faithfullest to defend his Person against the discontents of a Parlament and all good Men. Were those the chosen ones to preserve reverence to him, while he enter'd unassur'd, and full of suspicions, into his great and faithful Counsel? Let God then and the World judge whether the Caufe were not in his own guilty and unwarrantable doings: The House of Commons upon several Examinations of this business declar'd it sufficiently prov'd that the coming of those Soldiers, Papists and others with the King, was to take away some of their Members, and in case of opposition or denial, to have fallen upon the House in a hoftile manner. This the King here denies; adding a fearful Imprecation against his own life, If he purposed any violence or oppression against the Innocent, then, saith he, let the Enemy perfecute my Soul, and tread my life to the ground, and lay my Ho. nour in the dust. What need then more disputing? He appeal'd to God's Tribunal, and behold God hath judg'd and done to him in the fight of all men according to the verdict of his own mouth: To be a warning to all Kings hereafter how they use presumptuously the words and protestations of David, without the spirit and conscience of David. And the King's admirers may here see their madness, to mistake this Book for a monument of his worth and wisdom, whenas indeed it is his Doomsday Book; not like that of William the Norman his Predecessor, but the record and memorial of his Condemnation; and discovers whatever hath befallen him, to have been haften'd on from Divine Juffice by the rash and inconsiderate Appeal of his own lips. But what evasions, what pretences, though never fo unjust and empty, will he refuse in matters more unknown, and more involved in the mists and intricacies of State, who, rather than not justify himself in a thing so generally odious, can flatter his Integrity with fuch frivolous excuses against the manifest diffent of all men, whether Enemies, Neuters, or Friends. But God and his Judgments have not been mock'd; and good men may well perceive what a distance there was ever like to be between him and his Parlament, and perhaps between him and all amendment, who for one good deed, though but confented to, asks God forgiveness; and from his worst deeds done, takes occasion to insist upon his righteousness.

IV. Upon the Infolency of the Tumults.

E have here, I must confess, a neat and well-couch'd invective a-against Tumults, expressing a true fear of them in the Author; but yet so handsomely compos'd, and withal so feelingly, that, to make a Royal comparison, I believe Reboboam, the Son of Solomon, could not have compos'd it better. Yet Reboboam had more cause to inveigh against them; for they had ston'd his Tribute-gatherer, and perhaps had as little spar'd his own Person, had he not with all speed betaken him to his Chariot. But this King hath stood the worst of them in his own House without danger, when his Coach and Horses, in a panic fear, have been to feek, which argues that the

Tumults at Whitehall were nothing so dangerous as those at Sechem.

But the matter here confiderable is not whether the King, or his Houshold Rhetorician have made a pithy declamation against Tumults, but first whether these were Tumults or not; next if they were, whether the King himself did not cause them. Let us examine therfore how things at that time stood. King, as before hath been prov'd, having both call'd this Parlament unwillingly, and as unwillingly from time to time condescended to their several acts, carrying on a disjoint and private Interest of his own, and not enduring to be fo cros'd and oversway'd, especially in the executing of his chief and boldest Instrument, the Deputy of Ireland, first tempts the English Army, with no less reward than the spoil of London, to come up and destroy the Parlament. That being discover'd by some of the Officers, who, tho' bad enough, yet abhor'd so foul a deed, the King harden'd in his purpose, turns him next to the Scotch Army, and baits his temptation with a richer reward; not only to have the facking of London, but four Northern Counties to be made Scottish, with Jewels of great value to be given in pawn the while. But neither would the Scots, for any promise of reward, be bought to such an execrable and odious treachery; but with much honesty gave notice of the King's design both to the Parlament and City of London. The Parlament moreover had intelligence, and the people could not but difcern that there was a bitter and malignant party grown aip now to fuch a boldness, as to give out insolent and threatning speeches against the Parlament it self. Besides this, the Rebellion in *Ireland* was now broke out; and a Conspiracy in *Scotland* had been made, while the King was there, against some chief Members of that Parlament; great numbers here of unknown and fulpicious persons resorted to the City. The King being return'd from Scotland, prefently ditmiffes that Guard which the Parlament thought necessary in the midst of so many dangers to have about them, and puts another Guard in their place, contrary to the privilege of that high Court, and by fuch a one commanded, as made them no lefs doubtful of the Guard it felf. therfore upon some ill effects therof first found, discharge; deeming it more fafe to fit free, tho' without a Guard, in open danger, than inclos'd with a fufpected fafety. The people therfore, lest their worthiest and most faithful Patriots, who had expos'd themselves for the public, and whom they saw now left naked, should want aid, or be deserted in the midst of these dangers, came in multitudes, tho' unarm'd, to witness their sidelity and readiness in case of any violence offer'd to the Parlament. The King both envying to fee the people's love thus devolv'd on another object, and doubting left it might utterly difable him to do with Parlaments as he was wont, fent a Meffage into the City forbidding fuch reforts. The Parlament also both by what was discover'd to them, and what they faw in a malignant Party (fome of which had already drawn blood in a Fray or two at the Court-Gate, and even at their own Gate in Westminiter-Hall) conceiving themselves to be still in danger where they sate, fent a most reasonable and just Perition to the King, that a Guard might be allow'd them out of the City, wherof the King's own Chamberlain, the Earl of Effex, might have command; it being the right of inferiour Courts to make choice of their own Guard. This the King refus'd to do, and why he refus'd, the very next day made manifest: For on that day it was that he fallied out from Whiteball, with those trusty Myrmidens, to block up, or give affault to the House of Commons. He had, befides all this, begun to fortify his Court, and entertain'd armed Men not a few; who ftanding at his Palace-Gate, revil'd, and with drawn Swords wounded many of the People, as they went by unarm'd, and in a peaceable manner, wherof fome died. The passing by of a multitude, tho' neither to St. George's Feast, nor to a Filting, certainly of it felf was no Tumult; the expression of their loyalty and stedfastness to the Parlament, whose lives and safeties by more than slight rumours they doubted to be in danger, was no Tumult. If it grew to be so, the cause was in the King himself and his injurious retinue, who both by hostile preparations in the Court, and by actual assailing of the People, gave them just cause to defend themselves.

Surely those unarmed and petitioning people needed not have been so formidable to any, but to such whose consciences misgave them how ill they had deserved of the people; and first began to injure them, because they justly feared it from them; and then ascribe that to popular Tumult, which was occa-

fion'd by their own provoking.

And that the King was so emphatical and elaborate on this Theme against Tumults, and express with such a vehemence his hatred of them, will redound less perhaps than he was aware to the commendation of his Government. For besides that in good Governments they happen seldomest, and rise not without cause, if they prove extreme and pernicious, they were never counted so to Monarchy, but to Monarchical Tyranny; and extremes one with another are at most antipathy. If then the King so extremely stood in sear of Tumults, the inference will endanger him to be the other extreme. Thus far the occasion of this discourse against Tumults; now to the discourse it self, voluble enough, and full of sentence, but that, for the most part, either specious rather than so-lid, or to his cause nothing pertinent.

He never thought any thing more to presage the mischiefs that ensued, than those Tumults. Then was his foresight but short, and much mistaken. Those Tumults were but the mild effects of an evil and injurious reign; not signs of mischiefs to come, but seeking relief for mischiefs past: those signs were to be read more apparent in his rage and purpos'd revenge of those free expostulations and clamours of the people against his lawless Government. Not any thing, saith he, portends more God's displeasure against a Nation, than when he suffers the clamours of the Vulgar to pass all bounds of Law and reverence to Authority. It portends rather his displeasure against a tyrannous King, whose proud Throne he intends to overturn by that contemptible Vulgar; the sad cries and oppressions of whom his Royalty regarded not. As for that supplicating people, they did no hurt either to Law or Authority, but stood for it rather in the Parlament against whom they sear'd would violate it.

That they invaded the Honour and Freedom of the two Houses, is his own officious accusation, not seconded by the Parlament, who had they seen cause, were themselves best able to complain. And if they shook and menac'd any, they were such as had more relation to the Court than to the Commonwealth; Enemies, not Patrons of the people. But if their petitioning unarmed were an invasion of both Houses, what was his entrance into the House of Commons, bestetting it with armed men? In what condition then was the honour and freedom

of that House?

They forbore not rude deportments, contemptuous words and actions to himself and his Court.

It was more wonder, having heard what treacherous hostility he had defign'd against the City and his whole Kingdom, that they forbore to handle him as

people in their rage have handled Tyrants heretofore for less offences.

They were not a fhort Ague, but a fierce quotidian Fever. He indeed may best fay it, who most selt it; for the shaking was within him, and it shook him by his own description worse than a Storm, worse than an Earthquake; Belshazzar's Palfy. Had not worse search, terrors, and envies made within him that commotion, how could a multitude of his Subjects, armed with no other weapon than Petitions, have shaken all his Joints with such a terrible Ague? Yet that the Parlament should entertain the least fear of bad intentions from him or his party, he endures not; but would perswade us that men scare themselves and others without cause: for he thought sear would be to them a kind of Armour, and his design was, if possible, to disarmall, especially of a wife sear and suspection: for that he knew would find weapons.

He goes on therfore with vehemence to repeat the mischiefs done by these Tunnults. They first petitioned, then protested; distate next, and lastly over-awe the Parlament. They remov'd obstructions, they purg'd the Houses, cast out rotten members. If there was a man of iron, such as Talus, by our Poet Spencer, is seign'd to be the page of Justice, who with his iron Flail could do all this, and expeditiously, without those deceitful forms and circumstances of Law, worse than ceremonies in Religion; I say God send it done, whether by one Talus, or by a thousand.

But they subdu'd the men of conscience in Parlament, back'd and abetted all seditions and schismatical Proposals against Government ecclesiastical and civil.

Now we may perceive the root of his hatred whence it fprings. It was not the King's grace or princely goodness, but this iron Flail, the People, that drove the Bishops out of their Baronies, out of their Cathedrals, out of the Lords House, out of the Copes and Surplices, and all those Papistical Innovations, threw down the High-Commission and Star-chamber, gave us a Triennial Parlament, and what we most defir'd; in revenge wherof he now so bitterly inveighs against them: these are those seditious and schissmatical Proposals then by him condescended to as Acts of Grace, now of another name; which declares him, touching matters of Church and State, to have been no other man in the deepest of his Solitude, than he was before at the highest of his Sovereignty.

But this was not the worst of these Tumults, they play'd the hasty Midwives, and would not stay the ripening, but went streight to ripping up, and forcibly cut

out abortive Votes.

They would not stay perhaps the Spanish demurring, and putting off such wholesome acts and countels, as the politic Cabinet at Whitehali had no mind to. But all this is complain'd here as done to the Parlament, and yet we heard not the Parlament at that time complain of any violence from the people, but from him. Wherfore intrudes he to plead the cause of Parlament against the people, while the Parlament was pleading their own cause against him, and against him were forced to seek refuge of the people? 'Tis plain then that those confluxes and resorts interrupted not the Parlament, nor by them were thought

tumultuous, but by him only and his Court-Faction.

But what good man had not rather want any thing he most desired for the public good, than attain it by such unlawful and irreligious means? As much as to say, Had not rather sit still, and let his Country be tyranniz'd, than that the people, finding no other remedy, should stand up like Men, and demand their Rights and Liberties. This is the artificialest piece of sinesse to perswade Men to be Slaves, that the wit of Court could have invented. But hear how much better the Moral of this Lesson would besit the Teacher: What good man had not rather want a boundless and arbitrary power, and those sine Flowers of the Crown, call'd Prerogatives, than for them to use force and perpetual vexation to his faithful Subjects, nay to wade for them through blood and civil War? So that this and the whole bundle of those following sentences may be apply'd better to the convincement of his own violent courses, than of those pretended Tumults.

Who were the chief Demagogues to send for those Tumults, some alive are not ignorant. Setting aside the affrightment of this Goblin word; for the King, by his leave, cannot coin English, as he could Money, to be current (and 'tis believ'd this wording was above his known Stile and Orthography, and accuses the whole composure to be conscious of some other Author) yet if the People were sent for, embolden'd and directed by those Demagogues, who, saving his Greek, were good Patriots, and by his own confession Men of some repute for Parts and Piety, it helps well to assure was both urgent cause, and the less danger of their coming.

Complaints were made, yet no redrefs could be obtain'd. The Parlament also complain'd of what danger they sate in from another party, and demanded of him a Guard, but it was not granted. What marvel then if it chear'd them to see some store of their Friends, and in the Roman, not the pettisogging sense, their Clients so near about them; a desence due by nature both from whom it was offer'd, and to whom, as due as to their Parents; tho' the Court storm'd and fretted to see such honour given to them, who were then best Fa-

thers

thers of the Commonwealth. And both the Parlament and People complain'd, and demanded Justice for those Assaults, if not Murders done at his own doors by that crew of Russlers; but he, instead of doing Justice on them, justify'd and abetted them in what they did, as in his public Answer to a Petition from the City may be read. Neither is it slightly to be pass'd over, that in the very place where Blood was first drawn in this Cause, as the beginning of all that follow'd, there was his own Blood shed by the Executioner: According to that sentence of Divine Justice, In the place where Dogs lick'd the Blood of Naboth, shall Dogs lick thy Blood, even thine.

From hence he takes occasion to excuse that improvident and fatal error of his abfenting from the Parlament. When he found that no Declaration of the Bishops could take place against those Tumults. Was that worth his considering, that foolish and self-undoing Declaration of twelve Cypher Bishops, who were immediately appeach'd of Treason for that audacious Declaring? The Bishops peradventure were now and then pull'd by the Rochets, and deferv'd another kind of pulling; but what amounted this to the fear of his own Person in the Streets? Did he not the very next day after his irruption into the House of Commons, than which nothing had more exasperated the people, go in his Coach unguarded into the City? Did he receive the least affront, much less violence in any of the Streets, but rather humble demeanors and supplications? Hence may be gather'd, that however in his own guiltiness he might have justly sear'd, yet that he knew the people so full of awe and reverence to his Person, as to dare commit himself single among the thickest of them, at a time when he had most provok'd them. Besides, in Scotland they had handled the Bishops in a more robustious manner; Edinburgh had been full of Tumults, two Armies from thence had entred England against him: yet after all this he was not fearful, but very forward to take fo long a Journey to Edinburgh; which argues first, as did also his rendition afterward to the Scotch Army, that to England he continu'd still, as he was indeed, a stranger, and full of dissidence; to the Scots only a native King, in his confidence, tho' not in his dealing towards them. It shews us next beyond doubting, that all this his fears of Tumults was but a meer pretence and occasion taken of his resolved absence from the Parlament for some other end not difficult to be guess'd. And those instances wherin valour is not to be question'd for not scuffling with the Sea, or an undisciplined Rabble, are but subservient to carry on the solemn jest of his fearing Tumults; if they discover not withal the true reason why he departed, only to turn his flashing at the Court-Gate to flaughtering in the Field; his diforderly bickering to an orderly invading; which was nothing elfe but a more orderly diforder.

Some sufficient and affirm'd that he meditated a War, when he went first from Whitehall. And they were not the worst heads that did so, nor did any of his former alls weaken him to that, as he alledges for himself; or if they had, they clear him only for the time of passing them, not for whatever thoughts might come after into his mind. Former actions of improvidence or fear, not with him unusual, cannot absove him of all after-meditations.

He goes on protesting his no intention to have left Whitehall, had these horrid Tumults given him but fair Quarter, as if he himself, his Wife and Children

had been in peril. But to this enough hath been answer'd.

Had this Parlament, as it was in its first Election, namely with the Lord and Baron Bishops, fate full and free, he doubts not but all had gone well. What warrant is this of his to us? whose not doubting was all good men's greatest doubt.

He was refolved to hear Reason, and to consent so far as he could comprehend. A hopeful resolution: what if his reason were sound by oft experience to comprehend nothing beyond his own advantages, was this a reason sit to be intrusted

with the common good of three Nations?

But, faith he, as Swine are to Gardens, so are Tumults to Parlaments. This the Parlament, had they found it so, could best have told us. In the mean while who knows not that one great Hog may do as much mischief in a Garden as many little Swine? He was sometimes prone to think, that had he called this last Parlament to any other place in England, the sad Consequences might have been prevented. But change of Air changes not the mind. Was not his first Parlamence

Vol., I, Ccc

at Oxford diffolv'd after two Subfidies given him, and no Justice receiv'd? Was not his last in the same place, where they sate with as much freedom, as much quiet from Tumults as they could desire, a Parlament, both in his account and their own, consisting of all his Friends, that fled after him, and suffer'd for him, and yet by him nicknam'd, and cashier'd for a Mungrel Parlament, that vext bis Queen with their base and mutinous motions? as his Cabinet-letter tells us. Whereby the World may see plainly, that no shifting of place, no sifting of Members to his own mind, no number, no paucity, no freedom from Tumults could cover bring his arbitrary wilfulness, and tyrannical Designs to brook the least shape or similitude, the least counterseit of a Parlament.

Finally, instead of praying for his people as a good King should do, he prays to be deliver'd from them, as from wild Beasts, Inundations, and raging Seas, that had overborn all Loyalty, Modesty, Laws, Justice, and Religion; God save

the People from fuch Intercessors.

V. Upon the Bill for Triennial Parlaments, and for settling this, &c.

HE Bill for Triennial Parlaments was doubtless a good Bill, and the other for settling this was at that time very expedient; and in the King's own words no more than what the World was fully confirm'd ke might in fusice, Reason, Honour, and Conscience grant them; for to that end he affirms to have done it.

But wheras he attributes the passing of them to his own Act of Grace and Willingness, as his manner is to make Virtues of his Necessities, and giving to himself all the praise, heaps ingratitude upon the Parlament, a little memory will fet the clean contrary before us; that for those beneficial Acts we owe what we owe to the Parlament; but to his granting them neither praise nor thanks. The first Bill granted much less than two former Statutes yet in force by Edward the third; that a Parlament should be call'd every year, or oftner, if need were: nay, from a far ancienter Law-Book call'd the Mirror, it is affirm'd in a late Treatife call'd Rights of the Kingdom, that Parlaments by our old Laws ought twice a year to be at London. The fecond was fo necessary, that nothing in the power of man more feem'd to be the stay and support of all things from that Reep ruin to which he had nigh brought them, than that A& obtain'd. He had by his ill Stewardship, and, to say no worse, the needless raising of two Armies intended for a civil War, beggar'd both himself and the Public; and besides had left us upon the fcore of his needy Enemies for what it cost them in their own defence against him. To difingage him and the Kingdom great sums were to be borrow'd, which would never have been lent, nor could ever be paid, had the King chanced to diffolve this Parlament as heretofore. The Errors alfo of his Government had brought the Kingdom to fuch extremes, as were incapable of all recovery without the absolute continuance of this Parlament. It had been else in vain to go about the settling of so great distempers, if he, who first caus'd the Malady, might, when he pleas'd, reject the Remedy. Notwithflanding all which, that he granted both these Acts unwillingly, and as a meer paffive Instrument, was then visible even to most of those men who now will fee nothing.

At paffing of the former Act he himself conceal'd not his unwillingness; and testifying a general dislike of their actions, which they then proceeded in with great approbation of the whole Kingdom, he told them with a masterly Brow, that by this Act he had oblig'd them above what they had deserved, and gave a piece of Justice to the Commonwealth three times short of his Predecessors, as if he had been giving some boon, or begg'd office to a fort of his desertless Grooms.

That he pass'd the latter Act against his will, no man in reason can hold it questionable. For if the February before he made so dainty, and were so loth

to beflow a Parlament once in three years upon the Nation, because this had so oppos'd his courses, was it likely that the May following he should bestow willingly on this Parlament an indissoluble sitting, when they had offended him much more by cutting short and impeaching of High Treason his chief Favourites? It was his fear then, not his favour, which drew from him that Act, lest the Parlament, incens'd by his Conspiracies against them, about the same time discover'd, should with the People have referred too heinously those his doings, if to the suspicion of their danger from him he had also added the denial of this only means to secure themselves.

From these Acts therfore in which he glories, and wherwith so oft he upbraids the Parlament, he cannot justly expect to reap aught but dishonour and dispraise; as being both unwillingly granted, and the one granting much less than was before allowed by Statute, the other being a testimony of his violent and lawless Custom, not only to break Privileges, but whole Parlaments; from which Enormity they were constrained to bind him first of all his Predecessors; never any before him having given like causes of distrust and jealousy to his People. As for this Parlament, how far he was from being advised by them, as he

ought, let his own words express.

He taxes them with undoing what they found well done: and yet knows they undid nothing in the Church but Lord Bishops, Liturgies, Ceremonies, High Commission, judg'd worthy by all true Protestants to be thrown out of the Church. They undid nothing in the State but irregular and grinding Courts, the main grievances to be remov'd; and if these were the things which in his opinion they found well done, we may again from hence be inform'd with what unwillingness he remov'd them; and that those gracious Acts wherof so frequently he makes mention, may be english'd more properly Acts of sear and dissimulation against his mind and conscience.

The Bill preventing diffolution of this Parlament he calls an unparallel'd Act, out of the extreme confidence that his Subjects would not make ill use of it. But was it not a greater confidence of the People to put into one Man's hand so great a Power, till he abus'd it, as to summon and diffolve Parlaments? He would be thank'd for trusting them, and ought to thank them rather for trusting him:

the trust issuing first from them, not from him.

And that it was a meer trust, and not his Prerogative, to call and dissolve Parlaments at his pleafure; and that Parlaments were not to be diffolv'd, till all Petitions were heard, all Grievances redress'd, is not only the affertion of this Parlament, but of our ancient Law-books, which aver it to be an unwritten Law of common Right, fo ingraven in the Hearts of our Ancestors, and by them so constantly enjoy'd and claim'd, as that it needed not enrolling. And if the Scots in their Declaration could charge the King with breach of their Laws for breaking up that Parlament without their content, while matters of greatest moment were depending; it were unreasonable to imagine that the Wisdom of England should be so wanting to it self through all ages, as not to provide by some known Law, written or unwritten, against the not calling, or the arbitrary diffolving of Parlaments; or that they who ordain'd their fummoning twice a year, or as oft as need requir'd, did not tacitly enact also, that as necessity of affairs call'd them, so the same necessity should keep them undiffolv'd till that were fully fatisfy'd. Were it not for that, Parlaments, and all the fruit and benefit we receive by having them, would turn foon to meer abusion. It appears then that if this Bill of not diffolving were an unparallel'd Act, it was a known and common Right which our Ancestors under other Kings enjoy'd as firmly as if it had been graven in Marble; and that the infringement of this King first brought it into a written Act: Who now boasts that as a great favour done us, which his own lefs fidelity than was in former Kings, constrain'd us only of an old undoubted Right, to make a new written Act. But what needed written Acts, whenas anciently it was esteem'd part of his Crown-Oath not to diffolve Parlaments till all Grievances were confider'd? wherupon the old Modi of Parlament, calls it flat Perjury, if he dissolve them before; as I find cited in a Book mention'd at the beginning of this Chapter, to which and other Law-tractats I refer the more Lawyerly mooting of this point, which is neither my element, nor my proper work here; fince the Book which I have Vol. L Ccc 2

to answer, pretends to reason not to authorities and quotations: and I hold rea-

fon to be the best Arbitrator, and the Law of Law it self.

'Tis true, that good Subjects think it not just that the King's condition should be worse by bettering theirs. But then the King must not be at such a distance from the people in judging what is better and what worse; which might have been agreed, had be known (for his own words condemn him) as well with moderation to use, as with earnestness to desire his own advantages.

A continual Parlament be thought would keep the Commonwealth in tune. Judge, Commonwealth, what proofs he gave that this boafted profession was ever in

his thought.

Some, faith he, gave out that I repented me of that settling Act. His own actions gave it out beyond all supposition; for doubtless it repented him to have established that by Law, which he went about so soon after to abrogate by the Sword

He calls those Acts which he confesses tended to their good, not more Princely than friendly Contributions: As if to do his duty were of courtesy, and the discharge of his trust a parcel of his liberality; so nigh lost in his esteem was the birth-right of our Liberties, that to give them back again upon demand stood

at the mercy of his Contribution.

He doubts not but the affections of his People will compensate his sufferings for those acts of confidence: And imputes his sufferings to a contrary Cause. Not his confidence but his distrust was that which brought him to those sufferings, from the time that he forlook his Parlament; and trusted them ne'er the sooner for what he tells of their piety and religious strictness, but rather hated them as Pu-

ritans, whom he always fought to extirpate.

He would have it believ'd that to bind his hands by these Acts argu'd a very short foresight of things, and extreme fatuity of mind in him, if he had meant a War. If we should conclude so, that were not the only Argument: neither did it argue that he meant Peace; knowing that what he granted for the present out of sear, he might as soon repeal by force, watching his time; and deprive them the fruit of those Acts, if his own designs wherin he put his trust took effect.

Yet he complains, That the Tumults threaten'd to abuse all Asts of Grace, and turn them into wantonness. I would they had turn'd his wantonness into the grace of not abusing Scripture. Was this becoming such a Saint as they would make him, to adulterate those facred words from the grace of God to the acts of his own grace? Herod was eaten up of Worms for suffering others to compare his voice to the voice of God; but the Borrower of this Phrase gives much more cause of jealousy, that he liken'd his own acts of grace to the acts of God's Grace.

From prophaneness he scarce comes off with perfect sense. I was not then in a capacity to make War, therfore I intended not. I was not in a capacity, therfore I could not have given my Enemies greater advantage than by so unprincely inconstancy to have scatter'd them by Arms, whom but lately I had settled by Parlament. What place could there be for his inconstancy to do that thing wherto he was in no capacity? Otherwise his inconstancy was not so unwonted, or so nice, but that it would have easily found pretences to scatter those in revenge whom he settled in fear.

It had been a course full of sin as well as of hazard and dishonour. True; but if those Considerations withheld him not from other Actions of like nature, how can we believe they were of strength sufficient to withhold him from this?

And that they withheld him not, the event foon taught us.

His letting some mengo up to the Pinacle of the Temple, was a temptation to them to cast him down headlong. In this Simily we have himself compar'd to Christ, the Parlament to the Devil, and his giving them that Act of settling, to his letting them go up to the Pinacle of the Temple. A tottering and giddy Act rather than a settling. This was goodly use made of Scripture in his Solitudes: But it was no Pinacle of the Temple, it was a Pinacle of Nebuchadnezzar's Palace from whence he and Monarchy sell headlong together.

He would have others see that All the Kingdoms of the World are not worth gaining by ways of sin which hazard the Soul; and hath himself left nothing unhazarded to keep three. He concludes with sentences that rightly scann'd,

make not so much for him as against him, and confesses that the Ast of settling was no fin of his Will; and we easily believe him, for it hath been clearly proved

a fin of his unwillingness.

With his Orifons I meddle not, for he appeals to a high Audit. This yet may be noted, that at his Prayers he had before him the fad prefage of his ill fuccess, As of a dark and dangerous Storm, which never admitted his return to the Port from whence he set out. Yet his Prayer-Book no sooner shut, but other hopes flatter'd him; and their flattering was his destruction.

VI. Upon his Retirement from Westminster.

HE Simily wherwith he begins I was about to have found fault with, as in a garb fomewhat more poetical than for a Statist: but meeting with many strains of like dress in other of his Essays, and him hearing reported a more diligent reader of Poets, than of Politicians, I begun to think that the whole Book might perhaps be intended a piece of Poetry. The words are good, the fiction fmooth and cleanly; there wanted only Rhyme, and that they fay is bestow'd upon it lately. But to the Argument.

I stay'd at White-Hall till I was driven away by shame more than sear. I retract not what I thought of the fiction, yet here I must confess it lies too open. In his Messages and Declarations, nay in the whole Chapter next but one before this, he affirms that The danger wherin his Wife, his Children, and his own Person were by those Tumults, was the main cause that drove him from White-Hall, and appeals to God as witness: he affirms here that it was shame more than fear. And Digby, who knew his mind as well as any, tells his newlisted Guard, That the principal cause of his Majesty's going thence, was to save them from being trod in the dirt. From whence we may discern what salse and frivolous excuses are avow'd for truth, either in those Declarations, or in this penitential Book. Our Forefathers were of that courage and feverity of zeal to Justice and their native Liberty, against the proud contempt and misrule of their Kings, that when Richard the Second departed but from a Committee of Lords who fate preparing matter for the Parlament, not yet affembled, to the removal of his evil Counsellors, they first vanquish'd and put to slight Robert de Vere his chief Favourite; and then coming up to London with a huge Army, requir'd the King then withdrawn for fear, but no further off than the Tower, to come to Westminster. Which he refusing, they told him flatly that unless he came they would chuse another. So high a Crime it was accounted then for Kings to absent themselves, not from a Parlament, which none ever durst, but from any meeting of his Peers and Counfellors which did but tend towards a Parlament. Much less would they have suffer'd that a King for such trivial and various pretences, one while for fear of Tumults, another while for sleame to see them, should leave his Royal Station, and the whole Kingdom bleeding to death of those wounds which his own unskilful and perverse Government had inslicted.

Shame then it was that drove him from the Parlament, but the shame of what? Was it the shame of his manifold errors and misdeeds, and to see how weakly he had play'd the King? No; Eut to fee the barbarous rudeness of these Tumults to demand any thing. We have flarted here another, and I believe the truest, cause of his deferting the Parlament. The worst and strangest of that Any-thing which the people then demanded, was but the unlording of Bishops, and expelling them the House, and the reducing of Church-Discipline to a conformity with other Protestant Churches; this was the Barbarism of those Tumults: and that he might avoid the granting of those honest and pious demands, as well demanded by the Parlament as the People, for this very cause more than for sear, by his own consession here, he lest the City; and in a most tempestuous season forfook the Helm and Steerage of the Commonwealth. This was that terrible Any-thing from which his Conscience and his Reason chose to run rather than not deny. To be importun'd the removing of evil Counfellors, and other Grievances in Church and State, was to him an

intolerable

intolerable oppression. If the People's demanding were so burdensome to him, what was his denial and delay of Justice to them?

But as the demands of his People were to him a burden and oppression, for was the advice of his Parlament efteem'd a bondage; Whose agreeing Votes, as he affirms, were not by any Law or Reason conclusive to his Judgment. For the Law, it ordains a Parlament to advise him in his great Affairs; but if it ordain also that the fingle judgment of a King shall out-ballance all the wisdom of his Parlament, it ordains that which frustrates the end of its own ordaining. For where the King's judgment may diffent to the destruction, as it may happen. both of himself and the Kingdom, there Advice, and no further, is a most insufficient and frustraneous means to be provided by Law in cases of io high con-It being therfore most unlike a Law, to ordain a remedy so flender and unlawlike, to be the utmost means of all public safety or prevention, as Advice is, which may at any time be rejected by the fole judgment of one man, the King, and so unlike the Law of England, which Lawyers say is the quintessence of Reason; we may conclude that the King's negative voice was never any Law, but an abfurd and reasonless Custom, begotten and grown up either from the flattery of basest times, or the usurpation of immoderate Prin-Thus much to the Law of it, by a better evidence than Rolls and Records, Reafon.

But is it possible he should pretend also to Reason, that the judgment of one Man, not as a wife or good Man, but as a King, and oft-times a wilful, proud, and wicked King, should outweigh the prudence and all the virtue of an elected Parlament? What an abusive thing it were then to summon Parlaments, that by the major part of voices greatest matters may be there debated and resolv'd,

whenas one voice after that shall dash all their Resolutions?

He attempts to give a reason why it should, Because the whole Parlament represents not him in any kind. But mark how little he advances; for if the Parlament represent the whole Kingdom, as is sure enough they do, then doth the King represent only himself; and if a King without his Kingdom be in a civil sense nothing, then without or against the Representative of his whole Kingdom, he himself represents nothing; and by consequence his judgment and his negative is as good as nothing: and though we should allow him to be something, yet not equal or comparable to the whole Kingdom, and so neither

to them that represent it.

Yet here he maintains, To be no further bound to agree with the Votes of both Houses, than he sees them to agree with the will of God, with his just Rights as a King, and the general Good of his People. As to the freedom of his agreeing or not agreeing, limited with due bounds, no man reprehends it; this is the Question here, or the Miracle rather, why his only not agreeing should lay a negative bar and inhibition upon that which is agreed to by a whole Parlament, though never fo conducing to the public good or fafety. To know the will of God better than his whole Kingdom, whence should he have it? Certainly Court-breeding and his perpetual conversation with Flatterers was but a bad School. To judge of his own Rights could not belong to him, who had no right by Law in any Court to judge of fo much as Felony or Treafon, being held a party in both these cases, much more in this; and his Rights however should give place to the general good, for which end all his Rights were given him. Lastly, to suppose a clearer insight and discerning of the general good, allotted to his own fingular judgment, than to the Parlament and all the People, and from that felf-opinion of difcerning to deny them that good which they, being all Freemen, feek earneftly and call for, is an arrogance and iniquity beyond imagination rude and unreasonable; they undoubtedly having most authority to judge of the public good, who for that purpose are chosen out and fent by the People to advise him. And if it may be in him to see oft the major part of them not in the right, had it not been more his modesty to have doubted their feeing him more often in the wrong?

He passes to another reason of his denials, Because of some men's bydropic unscribbleness, and thirst of asking, the more they drank, whom no fountain of Regal Bounty was able to overcome. A comparison more properly bestow'd on those that came to guzzle in his Wine-cellar, than on a freeborn People that came to laim in Parlament their Rights and Liberties, which a King ought therfore to

grant, because of right demanded; not to deny them for scar his bounty should be exhausted, which in these demands (to continue the same Metaphor) was not so much as broach'd; it being his duty, not his bounty to grant these things.

Putting off the Courtier, he now puts on the Philosopher, and fententioutly disputes to this effect, That reason ought to be us'd to men, sorce and terror to Beast's; that he deferves to be a Slave who captivates the rational fovereignty of his Soul, and tiberty of his Will to compulsion; that he would not forfeit that freedom which cannot be deny'd him as a King, because it belongs to him as a Man and a Christian, though to preserve his Kingdom; but rather die enjoying the Empire of his Soul, than live in fuch a vajjalage, as not to use his reason and conscience to like or dislike as a King. Which words of themselves, as far as they are sense, good and philosophical, yet in the mouth of him who to engross this common liberty to himself, would tread down all other men into the condition of Slaves and Beafts, they quite lofe their commendation. He confesses a rational sovereignty of Soul, and freedom of Will in every man, and yet with an implicit repugnancy would have his reason the sovereign of that sovereignty, and would captivate and make useless that natural freedom of will in all other men but himfelf. But them that yield him this obedience he fo well rewards, as to pronounce them worthy to be Slaves. They who have lost all to be his Subjects, may stoop and take up the reward. What that freedom is, which cannot be denied him as a King, because it belongs to him as a Man and a Christian, I understand not. If it be his Negative Voice, it concludes all men who have not fuch a Negative as his against a whole Parlament, to be neither Men nor Christians: and what was he himself then all this while, that we denied it him as a King? Will he fay that he enjoy'd within himself the less freedom for that? Might not he, both as a Man and as a Christian, have reign'd within himself in full sovereignty of soul, no man repining, but that his outward and imperious Will must invade the civil Liberties of a Nation? Did we therfore not permit him to use his reason or his conscience, not permitting him to bereave us the use of ours? And might not he have enjoy'd both as a King, governing us as Free-men by what Laws we our felves would be govern'd? It was not the inward use of his reason and his conscience that would content him, but to use them both as a Law over all his Subjects, in whatever he declar'd as a King to like or diflike. Which use of reason, most reasonless and unconscionable, is the utmost that any Tyrant ever pretended over his Vallals.

In all wife Nations the Legislative Power, and the judicial execution of that Power, have been most commonly distinct, and in feveral hands; but yet the former fupreme, the other fubordinate. If then the King be only fet up to execute the Law, which is indeed the highest of his Office, he ought no more to make or forbid the making of any Law agreed upon in Parlament, than other inferior Judges, who are his Deputies. Neither can he more reject a Law offer'd him by the Commons, than he can new make a Law which they reject. And yet the more to credit and uphold his cause, he would feem to have Philosophy on his fide, straining her wife dictates to unphilosophical purposes. But when Kings come fo low, as to fawn upon Philosophy, which before they neither vaha'd nor underflood, 'tis a fign that fails not, they are then put to their laft Trump. And Philosophy as well requites them, by not suffering her golden fayings either to become their lips, or to be us'd as masks and colours of injurious and violent deeds. So that what they presume to borrow from her sage and virtuous Rules, like the Riddle of Sphinx not understood, breaks the neck of their own cause.

land to be bound by any Coronation-Oath in a blind and brutish formality, to consent to whatever its Subjects in Parlament shell require. What Tyrant could presume to say more, when he meant to kick down all Law, Government, and bond of Oath? But why he so desires to absolve himself the Oath of his Coronation, would be worth the knowing. It cannot but be yielded that the Oath which builds him to performance of his Trust, ought in reason to contain the sum of what his chief Trust and Office is. But if it neither do enjoin nor mention to

But now again to Politics: He cannot think the Majesty of the Crown of Eng-

what his chief Trust and Office is. But if it neither do enjoin nor mention to him, as a part of his duty, the making or the marring of any Law, or scrap of Law, but requires only his assent to those Laws which the People have already chosen, or shall chuse (for so both the Latin of that Oath, and the old English, and all reason admits, that the People should not lose under a new King

what freedom they had before) then that negative Voice fo contended for, to deny the passing of any Law which the Commons chose, is both against the Oath of his Coronation, and his Kingly Osfice. And if the King may deny to pass what the Parlament hath chosen to be a Law, then doth the King make himself superiour to his whole Kingdom; which not only the general Maxims of Policy gainsay, but even our own standing Laws, as hath been cited to him in Remonstrances heretofore, that the King hath two Superiours, the Law, and his Court of Parlament. But this he counts to be a blind and brutish formality, whether it be Law, or Oath, or his Duty, and thinks to turn it off with whole-some words and phrases, which he then first learnt of the honest People, when they were so often compelled to use them against those more truly blind and brutish formalities thrust upon us by his own command.

As for his instance, in case He and the House of Peers attempted to enjoin the House of Commons, it bears no equality; for he and the Peers represent but them-

felves, the Commons are the whole Kingdom.

Thus he concludes his Oath to be fully discharg'd in governing by Laws already made, as being not bound to pass any new, if his Reason hids him deny. And so may infinite mischiefs grow, and a whole Nation be ruin'd, while our general good and safety shall depend upon the private and overweening Reason of one obstinate Man, who against all the Kingdom, if he list, will interpret both the Law and his Oath of Coronation by the tenor of his own Will. Which he himself confesses to be an arbitrary power, yet doubts not in his Argument to imply, as if he thought it more sit the Parlament should be subject to his Will, than he to their Advice; a man neither by nature nor by nurture wise. How is it possible that he in whom such Principles as these were so deep rooted, could ever, tho' restor'd again, have reign'd otherwise than tyrannically?

He objects, That Force was but a flevish Method to dispel his Error. But how often shall it beanswer'd him, that no force was us'd to dispel the error out of his head, but to drive it from off our necks? for his error was imperious, and would command all other men to renounce their own reason and understanding,

till they perish'd under the injunction of his all-ruling error.

He alledges the uprightness of his intentions to excuse his possible failings; a Position false both in Law and Divinity: Yea, contrary to his own better principles, who affirms in the twelfth Chapter, that the goodness of a man's intention will not excuse the scandal and contagion of the example. His not knowing, through the corruption of Flattery and Court-principles, what he ought to have known, will not excuse his not doing what he ought to have done; no more than the small skill of him who undertakes to be a Pilot will excuse him to be missed by any wandring Star mistaken for the Pole. But let his intentions be never so upright, what is that to us? What answer for the Reason and the National Rights which God hath given us, if having Parlaments, and Laws, and the power of making more to avoid mischief, we suffer one man's blind intentions to lead us all with our eyes open to manifest destruction?

And if Arguments prevail not with such a one, Force is well us'd; not to carry on the weakness of our Counsels, or to convince his Error, as he surmises, but to acquit and rescue our own Reason, our own Consciences from the force and prohibition laid by his usurping error upon our Liberties and Understand-

ings.

Never thing pleas'd him more, than when his judgment concurr'd with theirs. That was to the applause of his own judgment, and would as well have pleas'd

any felf-conceited man.

Yea, in many things he chose rather to deny himself than them. That is to say, in trisles. For of his own Interests and personal Rights he conceives himself Master. To part with, if he please, not to contest for, against the Kingdom's good: And in what concerns Truth, Justice, the Right of Church, or his Crown, no man shall gain his consent against his mind. What can be left then for a Parlament, but to sit like Images, while he still thus either with incomparable arrogance assumes to himself the best ability of judging for other men what is Truth, Justice, Goodness, what his own or the Church's right, or with unsufferable Tyranny restrains all men from the enjoyment of any good, which his judgment, though erroneous, thinks not sit to grant them; notwithstanding that the Law and

An Answer to Eikon Basilike.

his Coronal Oath requires his undeniable affent to what Laws the Parlament a-

gree upon.

The had raiher wear a Crown of Thorns with our Saviour. Many would be all one with our Saviour, whom our Saviour will not know. They who govern ill those Kingdoms which they had a right to, have to our Saviour's Crown of Thorns no right at all. Thorns they may find enow of their own gathering, and their own twifting; for Thorns and Snares, saith Solomon, are in the way of the Froward: but to wear them, as our Saviour wore them, is not given to them that suffer by their own demerits. Nor is a Crown of Gold his due, who cannot first wear a Crown of Lead; not only for the weight of that great Office, but for the compliance which it ought to have with them who are to counsel him, which here he terms in scorn An imbased flexibleness to the various and oft contrary distates of any Fastions, meaning his Parlament; for the question hath bin all this while between them two. And to his Parlament, though a numerous and choice Assembly, of whom the Land thought wisest, he imputes, rather than to himself, want of reason, neglect of the Public, interest of Parties, and particularly of private will and passion; but with what modesty or likelihood of truth, it will be wearisome to repeat so often.

He concludes with a fentence fair in feeming, but fallacious. For if the confeience be ill edified, the refolution may more befit a foolish than a Christian King, to prefer a felf-will'd conscience before a Kingdom's good; especially in the denial of that which Law and his Regal Office by Oath bids him grant to his Parlament and whole Kingdom rightfully demanding. For we may observe him throughout the Discourse to affert his Negative Power against the whole Kingdom; now under the specious Plea of his conscience and his reason, but heretofore in a louder note; Without us, or against our consent, the Votes of either or of both Houses together, must not, cannot, shall not. Declar. May 4. 1642.

With these and the like deceivable Doctrines he levens also his Prayer.

VII. Upon the Queen's departure.

O this Argument we shall soon have said; for what concerns it us to hear a Husband divulge his Houshold Privacies, extolling to others the virtues of his Wise? an infirmity not feldom incident to those who have least cause. But how good she was a Wise, was to himself, and be it left to his own fancy; how bad a Subject, is not much disputed. And being such, it need be made no wonder, the left a Protestant Kingdom with as little honour as

her Mother left a Popish.

That this is the first example of any Protestant Subjects that have taken up Arms against their King a Protestant, can be to Protestants no dishonour; when it shall be heard that he first levied War on them, and to the interest of Papists more than of Protestants. He might have given yet the precedence of making War upon him to the Subjects of his own Nation, who had twice opposed him in the open Field long ere the English found it necessary to do the like. And how groundless, how dissembled is that fear, lest she, who for so many years had bin averse from the Religion of her Husband, and every year more and more before these disturbances broke out, should for them be now the more alienated from that to which we never heard she was inclin'd? But if the fear of her Delinquency, and that Justice which the Protestants demanded on her, was any cause of her alienating the more, to have gain'd her by indirect means had been no advantage to Religion, much less then was the detriment to lose her further off. It had bin happy if his own actions had not given cause of more scandal to the Protestants, than what they did against her could justly scandalize any Papist.

Then who accused her, well enough known to be the Parlament, he censures for Men yet to seek their Religion, whether Dostrine, Discipline, or Good Manners; the rest he sooths with the name of true English Protestants, a meer schismatical

name, yet he fo great an enemy of Schism.

Vol. I. Ddd He

He afcribes rudeness and barbarity, worse than Indian, to the English Parlament; and all virtue to his Wise, in strains that come almost to Sonnetting: How sit to govern men, undervaluing and aspersing the great Council of his Kingdom, in comparison of oneWoman. Examples are not far to seek how great mischief and dishonour hath sallen to Nations under the Government of effeminate and uxorious Magistrates, who being themselves govern'd and oversway'd at home under a seminine Usurpation, cannot but be far short of spirit and authority without doors to govern a whole Nation.

Her tarrying here he could not think safe among them who were shaking hands with Allegiance, to lay faster hold on Religion; and taxes them of a duty rather than a crime, it being just to obey God rather than Man, and impossible to serve two Masters. I would they had quite shaken off what they stood shaking hands with;

the fault was in their courage, not in their cause.

In his Prayer he prays that the difloyalty of his Protestant Subjects may not be a hindrance to her love of the true Religion; and never prays, that the diffoluteness of his Court, the Scandals of his Clergy, the unfoundness of his own Judgment, the lukewarmness of his Life, his Letter of compliance to the Pope, his permitting Agents at Rome, and the Pope's Nuntio here, may not be found in the fight of God far greater hindrances to her conversion.

But this had been a futtle Prayer indeed, and well pray'd, though as duly as a *Pater-nefter*, if it could have charm'd us to fit ftill and have Religion and our Liberties one by one fnatch'd from us, for fear left rifing to defend our felves, we fhould fright the Queen, a ftiff Papift, from turning Protestant. As if the way to make his Queen a Protestant, had bin to make his Subjects more than

half-way Papists.

He prays next that his constancy may be an antidote against the poison of other men's example. His constancy in what? Not in Religion, for it is openly known that her Religion wrought more upon him, than his Religion upon her; and his open favouring of Papists, and his hatred of them call'd Puritans, made most men suspect she had quite perverted him. But what is it that the blindness of hypocrify dares not do? It dares pray, and thinks to hide that from the eyes of God, which it cannot hide from the open view of man.

VIII. Upon his Repulse at Hull, and the Fate of the Hothams.

WLL, a Town of great strength and opportunity both to Sea and Land-Affairs, was at that time the Magazine of all those Arms which the King had bought with money most illegally extorted from his Subjects of England, to use in a causeless and most unjust Civil War against his Subjects of Scotland. The King in high discontent and anger had left the Parlament, and was gone toward the North, the Queen into Holland, where she pawn'd and set to sail the Crown-Jewels (a crime heretofore counted treafonable in Kings) and to what intent these sums were raifed, the Parlament was not ignorant. His going northward in fo high a chafe, they doubted was to possess himself of that strength, which the storehouse and situation of Hull might add suddenly to his malignant Party. Having first therfore in many Petitions earnestly pray'd him to dispose and settle, with consent of both Houses, the military Power in trusty hands, and he as oft refusing, they were necessitated by the turbulence and danger of those times to put the Kingdom by their own authority into a posture of defence; and very timely fent Sir John Hotham, a Member of the House, and Knight of that County, to take Hull into his custody, and some of the Train'd-bands to his affistance: Neither had the King before that time omitted to attempt the same, first by Colonel Legg, one of those who were imploy'd to bring the Army up against the Parlament, then by the Earl of Newcastle under a disguise. Letters of the Lord Digby were intercepted, wherin was wisht that the King would declare himself, and retire to some safe place; other information came from abroad, that Hull was the place defign'd for some new enterprise.

Bug

An Answer to Eikon Basilike.

But these Attempts not succeeding, and that Town being now in custody of the Parlament, he fends a Meffage to them, that he had firmly refolv'd to go in Person into Ireland, to chastise those wicked Rebels (for these and worse words he then gave them) and that towards this work he intended forthwith to raise by his Commissions, in the Counties near Westchester, a Guard for his own Person consisting of 2000 soot, and 200 horse, that should be arm'd from his Magazine at Hull. On the other fide, the Parlament, forefeeing the King's drift, about the same time fend him a Petition, that they might have leave for necessary causes to remove the Magazine of Hull to the Tower of London; to which the King returns his denial; and foon after going to Hull, attended with about 400 horse, requires the Governor to deliver him up the Town: wherof the Governor befought humbly to be excused, till he could fend notice to the Parlament who had intrusted him; wherat the King much incens'd, proclaims him Traitor before the Town-Walls, and gives immediate order to stop all Passages between him and the Parlament. Yet he himself dispatches post after post to demand justice as upon a Traitor, using a strange iniquity to require Justice upon him whom he then waylaid and debarr'd from his appearance. The Parlament no fooner understood what had pass'd, but they declare that Sir John Hotham had done no more than was his duty, and was therfore no Traitor.

This relation being most true, proves that which is affirm'd here to be most false; seeing the Parlament, whom he accounts his greatest Enemies, had more considence to abet and own what Sir John Hotham had done, than the King had considence to let him answer in his own behalf.

To speak of his patience, and in that solemn manner, he might better have forborn; God knows, saith he, it affected me more with forrow for others than with anger for my felf; nor did the affront trouble me so much as their sin. This is read, I doubt not, and believ'd: and as there is some use of every thing, so is there of this Book, were it but to shew us, what a miserable, credulous, deluded thing that creature is, which is call'd the vulgar; who notwithstanding what they might know, will believe such vain-glories as these. Did not that choleric and vengesul act of proclaiming him Traitor before due process of Law, having been convinc'd so late before of his illegality with the five Members, declare his anger to be incens'd? doth not his own relation consess as much? and his second Message lest him suming three days after, and in plain words testifies his impatience of delay till Hotham be severely punish'd, for that which he there terms an insupportable affront.

Surely if his forrow for Sir John Hotham's fin were greater than his anger for the affront, it was an exceeding great forrow indeed, and wondrous charitable. But if it flirr'd him fo vehemently to have Sir John Hotham punisht, and not at all that we hear to have him repent, it had a strange operation to be call'd a forrow for his sin. He who would persuade us of his forrow for the fins of other men, as they are fins, not as they are sin'd against himself, must give us first some testimony of a forrow for his own sins, and next for such sins of other men as cannot be supposed a direct injury to himself. But such compunction in the King no man hath yet observ'd; and till then, his forrow for Sir John Hotham's sin will be call'd no other than the resentment of his repulse; and his labour to have the sinner only punish'd, will be call'd by a right name, his revenge.

And the hand of that cloud which cast all soon after into darkness and disorder, was his own hand. For affembling the Inhabitants of Yorkshire, and other Counties, horse and foot, first under colour of a new Guard to his Person, soon after, being supply'd with Ammunition from Holland, bought with the Crown-Jewels, he begins an open War by laying siege to Hull: which Town was not his own, but the Kingdom's; and the Arms there, public Arms, bought with the public Money, or not his own. Yet had they bin his own by as good right as the private House and Arms of any man are his own; to use either of them in a way not private, but suspicious to the Commonwealth, no Law permits. But the King had no propriety at all either in Hull or in the Magazine: so that the following Maxims which he cites of bold and disloyal Undertakers, may belong more justly to whom he least meant them. After this he again relapses into the praise of his patience at Hull, and by his overtalking of it, seems to doubt eivent

ther his own conscience, or the hardness of other men's belief. To me the more he praises it in himself, the more he seems to suspect that in very deed it was

not in him, and that the lookers on fo likewise thought.

Thus much of what he fuffe'rd by Hotham, and with what patience; now of what Hotham fuffered, as he judges, for opposing him: He could not but observe bow God not long after pleaded and aveng'd bis cause. Most men are too apt, and commonly the worst of men, so to interpret and expound the judgments of God, and all other events of providence or chance, as makes most to the justifying of their own cause, though never so evil; and attribute all to the particular favour of God towards them. Thus when Saul heard that David was in Keilab, God, faith he, hath delivered him up into my hands, for he is shut in. But how far that King was deceiv'd in his thought that God was favouring to his cause, that story unfolds; and how little reason this King had to impute the death of Hotham to God's avengement of his repulse at Hull, may easily be seen. For while Hotham continu'd faithful to his truft, no man more fafe, more fuccefsful, more in reputation than he: But from the time he first fought to make his peace with the King, and to betray into his hands that Town, into which before he had deny'd him entrance, nothing prosper'd with him. Certainly had God purposed him such an end for his opposition to the King, he would not have deserr'd to punish him till then, when of an enemy he was chang'd tobe the King's Friend, nor have made his repentance and amendment the occafion of his ruin. How much more likely is it, since he fell into the act of disloyalty to his charge, that the judgment of God concurred with the punishment of man, and justly cut him off for revolting to the King? To give the World an example, that glorious deeds done to ambitious ends, find reward answerable, not to their outward seeming, but to their inward ambition. In the mean while, what thanks he had from the King for revolting to his cause, and what good opinion for dying in his fervice, they who have ventur'd like him, or intend, may here take notice.

He proceeds to declare, not only in general wherfore God's Judgment was upon Hotham, but undertakes by fancies, and allufions, to give a criticism upon every particular: That his head was divided from his Body, because his heart was divided from the King; two heads cut off in one family for affronting the head of the Commonwealth; the eldest Son being infected with the sin of the Father, against the Father of his Country. These petty glosses and conceits on the high and secret Judgments of God, besides the boldness of unwarrantable commenting, are so weak and shallow, and so like the quibbles of a Court-Sermon, that we may safely reckon them either fetcht from such a pattern, or that the hand of some houshold Priest soisted them in, lest the World should forget how much he was the Disciple of those Cymbal Doctors. But that Argument by which the Author would commend them to us, discredits them the more: For if they be so obvious to every fancy, the more likely to be erroneous, and to misconceive the mind of those high secrecies, where they presume to determine. For God

judges not by human fancy.

But however God judg'd Hotham, yet he had the King's pity: but mark the reason how preposterous; so far he had his pity, as he thought he at first acted more against the light of his conscience than many other men in the same cause. Questionless they who act against conscience, whether at the Bar of human, or divine Justice, are pitied least of all. These are the common grounds and verdicts of Nature, wherof when he who hath the judging of a whole Nation, is found destitute under such a Governor, that Nation must needs be miserable.

By the way he jerks at fome men's reforming to models of Religion, and that they think all is gold of Piety that doth but glifter with a shew of Zeal. We know his meaning, and apprehend how little hope there could be of him from such language as this: But are sure that the piety of his prelatic Model glister'd more upon the Posts and Pillars which their zeal and servency gilded over, than in

the true works of spiritual edification.

He is forry that Hotham felt the justice of others, and fell not rather into the hands of his mercy. But to clear that, he should have shewn us what mercy he had ever us'd to such as fell into his hands before, rather than what mercy he intended to such as never could come to ask it. Whatever mercy one man might have expected, 'tis too well known the whole Nation found none; though they be-

 $\operatorname{ough}_{\mathfrak{t}}$

An Answer to Eikon Basilike.

fought it often, and fo humbly, but had bin fwallow'd up in blood and ruin, to fet his private will above the Parlament, had not his strength fail'd him. Tet clemency be counts a debt, which he ought pay to those that crave it; since we pay not any thing to God for his Mercy but Proyers and Praises. By this reason we ought as freely to pay all things to all men; for of all that we receive from God, what do we pay for, more than prayers and praises? we look'd for the discharge of his Office, the payment of his Duty to the Kingdom, and are paid Court-payment with empty fentences that have the found of gravity, but the fignificance of nothing pertinent.

Yet again after his mercy past and granted, he returns back to give sentence upon Hotham; and whom he tells us he would fo fain have faved alive, him he never leaves killing with a repeated Condemnation, though dead long fince. It was ill that fomebody stood not near to whifper him, that a reiterating Judge is worse than a tormentor. He pities him, he rejoices not, he pities him again; but still is fure to brand him at the tail of his pity with some ignominious mark, either of ambition or difloyalty. And with a kind of conforious pity aggravates

rather than lessens or conceals the fault: To pity thus, is to triumph.

He assumes to foreknow, that after-times will dispute, whether Hotham were more infamous at Hull, or at Tower-hill. What knew he of after-times, who while he fits judging and censuring without end, the fate of that unhappy Father and his Son at Tower-bill, knew not that the like fate attended him before his own Palace-Gate; and as little knew whether after-times do not referve a greater infamy upon his own Life and Reign.

He fays but over again in his Prayer, what his Sermon hath preach'd: How acceptably to those in Heaven, we leave to be decided by that precept which forbids vain Repetitions. Sure enough it lies as heavy as he can lay it upon the head

of poor Hotham.

Needs he will fasten upon God a piece of revenge as done for his sake; and takes it for a favour, before he know it was intended him: which in his Closet had bin excufable, but in a written and publish'd Prayer too presumptuous.

Ecclefiastes hath a right name for such kind of Sacrifices.

Going on he prays thus, Let not thy Justice prevent the objects and opportunities of my Mercy. To folly, or to blasphemy, or to both shall we impute this? Shall the Justice of God give place, and serve to glorify the Mercies of a Man? All other Men who know what they ask, defire of God that their doings may tend to his glory; but in this prayer God is requir'd that his Justice would forbear to prevent, and as good have faid to intrench upon the glory of a Man's Mercy. If God forbear his Justice, it must be sure to the magnifying of his own Mercy: But here a mortal man takes the boldness to ask that glory out of his hand. It may be doubted now by them who understand Religion, whether the King were more unfortunate in this his Prayer, or Hotham in those his Sufferings.

IX. Upon the listing and raising Armies, &c.

T were an endless work to walk side by side with the verbosity of this Chapter; only to what already hath not bin fpoken, convenient Answer shall be given. He begins again with Tumults; all demonstration of the People's Love and Loyalty to the Parlament was Tumult; their Petitioning, Tumult; their defensive Armies were but listed Tumults; and will take no notice that those about him, those in a time of Peace listed into his own House, were the beginners of all these Tumults; abusing and affaulting not only such as came peaceably to the Parlament at London, but those that came petitioning to the King himself at York. Neither did they abstain from doing violence and outrage to the Messengers sent from Parlament; he himself either countenancing or conniving at them.

He supposes that his recess gave us considence that he might be conquer'd. Other men suppose both that and all things else, who knew him neither by nature warlike, nor experienc'd, nor fortunate; fo far was any Man that discern'd aught from esteeming him unconquerable; yet such are readiest to imbroil others.

But he had a Soul invincible. What praise is that? The Stomach of a Child is oftimes invincible to all correction. The unteachable man hath a soul to all reason and good advice invincible; and he who is intractable, he whom nothing can persuade, may boast himself invincible; whenas in some things to be over-

come is more honest and laudable than to conquer.

He labours to have it thought that his fearing God more than Man was the ground of his fufferings; but he should have known that a good principle not rightly understood may prove as hurtful as a bad, and his fear of God may be as faulty as a blind zeal. He pretended to fear God more than the Parlament, who never urg'd him to do otherwise; he should also have fear'd God more than he did his Courtiers, and the Bishops who drew him, as they pleased, to things in-consistent with the sear of God. Thus boasted Saul to have performed the Commandment of God, and stood in it against Samuel; but it was found at length that he had feared the People more than God, in faving those fat Oxen for the worship of God which were appointed for destruction. Not much unlike, it not much worse, was that fact of his, who for fear to displease his Court and mungrel Clergy, with the diffolutest of the People, upheld in the Church of God, while his power lasted, those Beasts of Amalee, the Prelates, against the advice of his Parlament and the example of all Reformation; in this more unexcufable than Saul, that Saul was at length convinc'd, he to the hour of death fixed in his false persuasion, and sooths himself in the flattering peace of an erroneous and obdurate confcience; finging to his foul vain Pfalms of exultation, as if the Parlament had affailed his reason with the force of Arms, and not he on the contrary their reason with his Arms, which hath been prov'd already, and shall be more hereafter.

He twits them with bis AEIs of Grace; proud, and un-felf-knowing words in the mouth of any King who affects not to be a God, and fuch as ought to be as odious in the ears of a free Nation. For if they were unjust acts, why did he grant them as of grace? If just, it was not of his grace, but of his duty and

his Oath to grant them.

A glorious King he would be, though by his fufferings: But that can never be to him, whose sufferings are his own doings. He seigns a hard choice put upon him, either to kill his Subjects, or be killed. Yet never was King less in danger of any violence from his Subjects, till he unsheath'd his Sword against them; nay long after that time, when he had spilt the blood of thousands, they had still his Perfon in a soolish veneration.

He complains, That civil War must be the fruits of his seventeen years reigning with such a measure of Justice, Peace, Plenty, and Religion, as all Nations either admired or envied. For the Justice we had, let the Council-Table, Starchamber, High-Commission speak the praise of it; not forgetting the unprincely usage, and, as far as might be, the abolishing of Parlaments, the displacing of honest Judges, the Sale of Offices, Bribery and Exaction, not found out to be punished, but to be shared in with impunity for the time to come. Who can number the Extortions, the Oppressions, the public Robberies and Rapines committed on the Subject both by Sea and Land under various pretences? Their possessions also taken from them, one while as Forest-Land, another while as Crown-Land; nor were their Goods exempted, no not the Bullion in the Mint; Piracy was become a project own'd and authoriz'd against the Subject.

For the peace we had, what peace was that which drew out the English to a needless and dishonourable Voyage against the Spaniards at Cales? Or that which lent our shipping to a treacherous and Antichristian War against the poor Protestants of Rochel our suppliants? What peace was that which fell to rob the French by Sea, to the imbarring of all our Merchants in that Kingdom? which brought forth that unblest expedition to the Isle of Rhee, doubtful whether more calamitous in the success or in the design, betraying all the slower of our military Youth and best Commanders to a shameful surprisal and execution. This was the peace we had, and the peace we gave, whether to friends or to soes abroad. And if at home any peace was intended us, what meant those billeted Soldiers in all parts of the Kingdom, and the design of German Horse to subdue us in our peaceful Houses?

For our Religion, where was there a more ignorant, profane, and vitious Clergy, learned in nothing but the antiquity of their Pride, their Covetousness

An Answer to Eikon Basilike.

and Superfition? whose unsincere and levenous Doctrine, corrupting the people, first taught them looseness, then bondage; loosening them from all found knowledge and strictness of life, the more to fit them for the bondage of Tyranny and Superstition. So that what was left us for other Nations not to pity rather than admire or envy, all those seventeen years, no wise man could see. For wealth and plenty in a Land where Justice reigns not, is no argument of a flourishing State, but of a nearness rather to ruin or commotion.

These were not some miscarriages only of a Government, which might escape, but a universal distemper, and reducement of Law to arbitrary Power; not through the evil counsels of some men, but through the constant course and practice of all that were in highest favour: whose worst actions he frequently avow'd and took upon himself, and whose Persons when he could no longer protect, he esteem'd and savour'd to the end; but never otherwise than by constraint, yielding any of them to due Punishment; wherby manifesting that what they

did was by his own Authority and Approbation.

Yet here he asks, Whose innocent Blood he hath shed, what Widows or Orphans tears can witness against him? after the suspected poissoning of his Father, not enquir'd into, but finother'd up, and him protected and advanc'd to the very half of his Kingdom, who was accused in Parlament to be the Author of the fact, after so many Years of cruel War on his People in three Kingdoms. Whence the Author of Truths manifest, a Scotchman, not unacquainted with affairs, positively affirms, That there hath more Christian Blood been shed by the Commisfion, Approbation, and Connivance of King Charles and his Father James in the latter end of their reign, than in the Ten Roman Perfecutions. Not to speak of those many Whippings, Pillories, and other corporal inflictions wherewith his reign also before this War was not unbloody; some have died in Prison under cruel restraint, others in Banishment, whose Lives were shorten'd through the rigor of that Persecution wherwith so many years he insested the true Church. And those fix Members all men judg'd to have escap'd no less than capital danger, whom he fo greedily purfuing into the House of Commons, had not there the forbearance to conceed how much it troubl'd him, That the Birds were flown. If fome Vultur in the Mountains could have open'd his Beak intelligibly and fpoke, what fitter words could he have utter'd at the lofs of his Prey? The Tyrant Nero, though not yet deferving that name, fet his hand fo unwillingly to the execution of a condemn'd Person, as to wish He had not known Letters. Certainly for a King himfelf to charge his Subjects with High Treason, and so vehemently to profecute them in his own cause, as to do the Office of a Searcher, argu'd in him no great aversation from shedding blood, were it but to satisfy his anger, and that revenge was no unpleasing morfel to him, wherof he himself thought not much to be so diligently his own Caterer. But we insist rather upon what was actual, than what was probable.

He now falls to examine the causes of this War, as a difficulty which he had long studied to find out. It was not, saith he, my withdrawing from Whitehall; for no account in reason could be given of those Tunults, where an orderly Guard was granted. But if it be a most certain truth that the Parlament could never yet obtain of him any Guard sit to be consided in, then by his own consession some account of those pretended Tunults may in reason be given; and both concerning

them and the Guards enough hath bin faid already.

Whom did be protest against the Justice of Parlament? Whom did he not to his utmost power? Endeavouring to have rescu'd Strafford from their Justice, tho' with the destruction of them and the City; to that end expressy commanding the admittance of new Soldiers into the Tower, rais'd by Suckling and other Conspirators, under pretence for the Portugal; not to repeat his other Plot of bringing up the two Armies. But what can be disputed with such a King, in whose mouth and opinion the Parlament it self was never but a Fastion, and their Justice no Justice, but the Distates and overswaying Insolence of Tumults and Rabbles? and under that excuse avouches himself openly the general Patron of most notorious Delinquents, an improves their slight out of the Land, whose crimes were such, as that the justest and the fairest trial would have soonest condemn'd them to death. But did not Catiline plead in like manner against the Roman Senate, and the injustice of their trial, and the justice of his slight from Rome? Cefar also, then hatching Tyranny, injected the same scrupulous de-

murs to stop the sentence of death in sull and free Senate decreed on Lentulus and Cethegus, two of Catiline's accomplices, which were renew'd and urg'd for Stafford. He vouchsafes to the reformation, by both Kingdoms intended, no better name than Innovation and ruin both in Church and State. And what we would have learnt so gladly of him in other passages before, to know wherin he tells us now of his own accord. The expelling Bishops out of the House of Peers, this was ruin to the State; the removing them root and branch, this was ruin to the Church. How happy could this Nation be in such a Governor who counted that their ruin, which they thought their deliverance; the ruin both of Church

and State, which was the recovery and the faving of them both?

To the passing of those Bills against Bishops, how is it likely that the House of Peers gave fo hardly their confent, which they gave fo eafily before to the attaching them of High Treason, twelve at once, only for protesting that the Parlament could not act without them? Surely if their rights and privileges were thought fo undoubted in that House, as is here maintain'd; then was that Protestation, being meant and intended in the name of their whole spiritual Order, no Treason; and so that House it self will become liable to a just construction either of injustice in them for so consenting, or of usurpation, representing none but themselves, to expect that their voting or not voting should obstruct the Commons: Who not for five repulses of the Lords, no not for fifty, were to defift from what in the name of the whole Kingdom they demanded, fo long as those Lords were none of our Lords. And for the Bill against root and branch, tho' it pass'd not in both Houses till many of the Lords and some sew of the Commons, either enticed away by the King, or overaw'd by the fense of their own Malignancy, not prevailing, deferted the Parlament, and made a fair riddance of themselves; that was no warrant for them who remain'd faithful, being far the greater number, to lay aside that Bill of root and branch, till the return of their fugitives; a Bill so necessary and so much desir'd by themselves as by the People.

This was the partiality, this degrading of the Bishops, a thing so wholesome in the State, and so orthodoxal in the Church both ancient and reformed, which the King rather than affent to, will either hazard both his own and the Kingdom's ruin, by our just defence against his force of arms; or prostrate our consciences in a blind obedience to himself, and those men, whose superstition, zealous or unzealous, would inforce upon us an Antichristian tyranny in the Church, neither Primitive, Apostolical, nor more anciently universal than some other manifest corruptions.

But he was bound, besides his judgment, by a most strict and undispensable Oath to preserve that Order and the Rights of the Church. If he mean the Oath of his Coronation, and that the letter of that Oath admit not to be interpreted either by equity, reformation, or better knowledge, then was the King bound by that Oath to grant the Clergy all those Customs, Franchises, and Canonical Privileges granted to them by Edward the Confessor; and so might one day, under pretence of that Oath, and his conscience, have brought us all again to Popery. But had he fo well remembred as he ought, the words to which he fwore, he might have found himself no otherwise oblig'd there, than according to the Laws of God, and true profession of the Gospel. For if those following words, Establish'd in this Kingdom, be fet there to limit and lay prescription on the Laws of God and truth of the Gospel by man's establishment, nothing can be more absurd or more injurious to Religion. So that however the German Emperors or other Kings have levied all those Wars on their Protestant Subjects under the colour of a blind and literal observance to an Oath, yet this King had least pretence of all. Nor is it to be imagin'd, if what shall be establish'd come in question, but that the Parlament should oversway the King, and not he the Parlament. And by all Law and Reason that which the Parlament will not, is no more established in this Kingdom, neither is the King bound by Oath to uphold it as a thing establish'd.

Had he gratified, he thinks, Antiepiscopal Fastion with his consent, and sacrificed the Church-government and Revenues to the sury of their covetousness, &c. an Army had not bin raised. Wheras it was the sury of his own hatred to the professor true Religion which first incited him to presecute them with the Sword of War, when Whips, Pillories, Exiles, and Imprisonments were not thought sufficient. To colour which he cannot find wherwithal but that stale pretence of Charles the fifth, and other Popish Kings, that the Protestants had only an

inten_r

intent to lay hands on the Church-revenues, a thing never in the thoughts of this Parlament, till exhausted by his endless War upon them, their necessity seiz'd on that for the Commonwealth, which the luxury of Prelates had abus'd before to a common mischief.

His confent to the unlording of Bishops (for to that he himself confented, and at Canterbury the chief feat of their Pride, fo God would have it) was from bis firm perfuasion of their contentedness to suffer a present diminution of their Rights. Can any man, reading this, not differn the pure mockery of a Royal Confent, to delude us only for the present, meaning, it seems, when time should ferve, to revoke all? By this reckoning his confents and his denials come all to one pass: and we may hence perceive the wisdom and the integrity of those Votes which voted his Concessions at the Isle of Wight for grounds of a lasting Peace. This he alledges, this Controverfy about Bishops, to be the true state of that difference between him and the Parlament. For he held Epifcopacy both very Sacred and Divine; with this Judgment, and for this cause he withdrew from the Parlament, and confesses that some men knew be was like to bring again the same judgment which he carried with him. A fair and unexpected justification from his own mouth afforded to the Parlament, who notwithstanding what they knew of his obstinate mind, omitted not to use all those means, and that patience to have gain'd him.

As for Delinquents, he allows them to be but the necessary consequences of his and their withdrawing and defending. A pretty shift to mince the name of a Delinquent into a necessary Consequent: what is a Traitor, but the necessary consequence of his Treason? What a Rebel, but of his Rebellion? From this conceit he would infer a Pretext only in the Parlament to setch in Delinquents, as if there had indeed been no such cause, but all the delinquency in London Tumults.

Which is the over-worn theme, and stuffing of all his discourses.

This he thrice repeats to be the true state and reason of all that War and Devastation in the Land; and that of all the Treaties and Propositions offer'd him, he was resolv'd never to grant the abolishing of Episcopal, or the establishment of Presbyterian Government. I would demand now of the Scots and Covenanters (for so I call them, as misobservers of the Covenant) how they will reconcile the preservation of Religion and their Liberties, and the bringing of Delinquents to condign punishment, with the freedom, bonour, and safety of this vow'd resolution here, that esteems all the Zeal of their prostituted Covenant no better than a noise and shew of piety, a heat for reformation, silling them with prejudice, and obstructing all equality and clearness of judgment in them. With these Principles who knows but that at length he might have come to take the Covenant, as others whom they brotherly admit, have done before him? And then all, no doubt, had gone well, and ended in a happy peace.

His Prayer is most of it borrow'd out of David; but what if it be answer'd him as the Jews, who trusted in Moses, were answer'd by our Saviour; There

is one that accufeth you, even David, whom you misapply.

He tells God, that his Enemies are many, but tells the People, when it serves his turn, they are but a faction of some sew, prevailing over the major part of both Houses.

God knows he had no passion, design or preparation to embroil his Kingdom in a Civil War. True; for he thought his Kingdom to be Issachar, a strong As that would have couch'd down between two burdens, the one of Prelatical Superstition, the other of civil Tyranny: but what passion and design, what close and open preparation he had made to subdue us to both these by terror and preventive force, all the Nation knows.

The confidence of some Men had almost perswaded him to suspect his own innocence. As the words of Saint Paul had almost perswaded Agrippa to be a Christian.

But almost in the work of repentance is as good as not at all.

God, faith he, will find out bloody and deceitful Men, many of whom have not liv'd out half their days. It behov'd him to have been more cautious how he tempted God's finding out of blood and deceit, till his own years had been further fpent, or that he had enjoy'd longer the fruits of his own violent Counfels.

But instead of wariness he adds another temptation, charging God to know that the chief design of this War was either to destroy his Person or to force his Judgment. And thus his Prayer from the evil practice of unjust accusing Men to God, arises to the hideous rashness of accusing God before men, to know that for truth, which all men know to be most false.

Vol. I. Eee He

An Answer to Eikon Basilike.

He prays, That God would forgive the People, for they know not what they do. It is an cafy matter to fay over what our Saviour faid; but how he lov'd the People, other Arguments than affected Sayings must demonstrate. He who so oft hath presum'd rashly to appeal to the knowledge and testimony of God in things so evidently untrue, may be doubted what belief or esteem he had of his forgiveness, either to himself, or those for whom he would so feign that men should hear he pray'd.

X. Upon their seizing the Magazines, Forts, &c.

O put the matter foonest out of controversy who was the first beginner of this Civil War, fince the beginning of all War may be discern'd not only by the first act of Hostility, but by the Counsels and Preparations foregoing, it shall evidently appear that the King was still foremost in all these. No King had ever at his first coming to the Crown more love and acclamation from a people; never any people found worse requital of their loyalty and good affection: First, by his extraordinary fear and mistrust that their Liberties and Rights were the impairing and diminishing of his Regal Power, the true Original of Tyranny; next, by his hatred to all those who were esteem'd religious; doubting that their Principles too much afferted Liberty. This was quickly feen by the vehemence, and the causes alledg'd of his persecuting, the other by his frequent and opprobrious difsolution of Parlaments; after he had demanded more money of them, and they to obtain their Rights had granted him, than would have bought the Turk out of Morea, and fet free all the Greeks. But when he fought to extort from us, by way of Tribute, that which had been offer'd him conditionally in Parlament, as by a free People, and that those Extortions were now consum'd and wasted by the luxury of his Court, he began then (for still the more he did wrong, the more he fear'd) before any Tumult or Infurrection of the People, to take counsel how he might to-Then was the design of German Horse, and tally fubdue them to his own will. Soldiers billeted in all parts; the Pulpits resounded with no other Doctrine than that which gave all Property to the King, and Passive Obedience to the Subject. After which innumerable forms and shapes of new Exactions and Exacters overspread the Land: Nor was it enough to be impoverish'd, unless we were difarm'd. Our Train'd-Bands, which are the truffieft and most proper ftrength of a free Nation, had their Arms in divers Counties taken from them; other Ammunition by defign was ingrofs'd and kept in the Tower, not to be bought without a Licence, and at a high rate.

Thus far, and many other ways were his Counfels and Preparations beforehand with us, either to a Civil War, if it should happen, or to subdue us without a War, which is all one, until the raising of his two Armics against the Scots, and the latter of them rais'd to the most persidious breaking of a solemn

Pacification.

1)4

After the beginning of this Parlament, whom he saw so resolute and unanimous to relieve the Commonwealth, and that the Earl of Strafford was condemn'd to die, other of his evil Counsellors impeach'd and imprison'd, to shew there wanted not evil Counsel within himself sufficient to begin a War upon his Subjects, though no way by them provok'd, he sends an Agent with Letters to the King of Denmark requiring aid against the Parlament, endeavours to bring up both Armies, first the English, with whom 8000 Irish Papists rais'd by Strafford, and a French Army were to join; then the Scots at Newcastle, whom he thought to have encourag'd by telling them what money and horse he was to have from Denmark. I mention not the Irish Conspiracy till due place. These and many other were his Counsels toward a Civil War. His Preparations, after those two Armies were dismiss'd, could not suddenly be too open: Nevertheless there were 8000 Irish Papists which he refus'd to disband, though intreated by both Houses, first, for reasons best known to himself, next, under pretence of lending them to the Spaniard; and so kept them undisband-

cd

· An Answer to Eikon Basilike.

ed till very near the month wherin that Rebellion broke forth. He was also raising Forces in London, pretendedly to serve the Portugal, but with intent to seize the Tower. Into which divers Cannoneers were by him sent; the Court was fortify'd with Ammunition, and Soldiers new listed, follow'd the King from London, and appear'd at King ston some hundreds of Horse in a warlike manner, with Waggons of Ammunition after them; the Queen in Holland was buying more; the Inhabitants of Yorkshire and other Counties were call'd to Arms, and actual Forces rais'd, while the Parlament were yet petitioning in peace.

As to the Act of Hostility, though not much material in whom first it began after such Counsels and Preparations discover'd, and so far advanc'd by the King, yet in that act also he will be found to have had precedency, if not at London by the assault of his arm'd Court upon the naked People, and his attempt upon the House of Commons, yet certainly at Hull, first by his close Practices on that Town, next by his Siege. Thus whether Counsels, Preparations, or Acts of Hostility be consider'd, it appears with evidence enough, though much more might be said, that the King is truly charg'd to be the first beginner of these Civil Wars. To which may be added as a close, that in the Isle of Wight he charg'd it upon himself at the public Treaty, and acquitted the Parlament

But as for the fecuring of Hull and the public stores therin, and in other places, it was no furprifal of his Strength; the custody where by Authority of Parlament was committed into hands most fit and most responsible for such a trust. It were a folly beyond ridiculous, to count ourselves a free Nation, if the King, not in Parlament, but in his own Person, and against them, might appropriate to himself the strength of a whole Nation as his proper Goods. What the Laws of the Land are, a Parlament should know best, having both the life and death of Laws in their law-giving power: And the Law of England is, at best, but the reason of Parlament. The Parlament therfore, taking into their hands that where most properly they ought to have the keeping, committed no surprisal. If they prevented him, that argu'd not at all either his innocency

or unpreparedness, but their timely foresight to use prevention.

But what needed that? They knew his chiefest Arms left him were those only which the ancient Christians were wont to use against their Persecutors, Prayers and Tears. O facred reverence of God, respect and shame of Men, whither were ye fled when these hypocrisies were utter'd? Was the Kingdom then at all that cost of Blood to remove from him none but Prayers and Tears? What were those thousands of Blaspheming Cavaliers about him, whose mouths let fly Oaths and Curfes by the volley; were those the Prayers? and those Carouses drunk to the Confusion of all things good or holy, did those minister the Tears? Were they Prayers and Tears that were lifted at York, muster'd on Heworth Moore, and laid fiege to Hull for the guard of his Person? Were Prayers and Tears at fo high a rate in Holland, that nothing could purchase them but the Crown-Jewels? Yet they in Holland (fuch word was fent us) fold them for Guns, Carabines, Mortar-pieces, Cannons, and other deadly Instruments of War; which when they came to York, were all no doubt by the merit of some great Saint fuddenly transform'd into Prayers and Tears; and being divided into Regiments and Brigades, were the only Arms that mischiev'd us in all those Battles and Encounters.

These were his chief Arms, whatever we must call them, and yet such Arms as they who sought for the Commonwealth have by the help of better

Prayers vanquish'd and brought to nothing.

He bewails his want of the Militia, not so much in reference to his own protestion as the People's, whose many and sore Oppressions grieve him. Never considering how ill for seventeen years together he had protected them, and that these miseries of the People are still his own handy-work, having smitten them like a forked Arrow, so fore into the Kingdom's sides, as not to be drawn out and cured without the incision of more sless.

He tells us that what he wants in the hands of Power, he has in the wings of Faith and Prayer. But they who made no reckoning of those Wings while they had that power in their hands, may easily mistake the Wings of Faith for the Wings of Presumption, and so fall headlong.

Vol. I. Eee 2 We

We meet next with a comparison, how apt let them judge that have travell'd to Mecca, That the Parlament have hung the Majesty of Kingship in an airy imagination of Regality, between the Privileges of both Houses, like the Tomb of Mahomet. He knew not that he was prophesying the death and burial of a Turkish Tyranny, that spurn'd down those Laws which gave it life and being, so long as it

endur'd to be a regulated Monarchy.

He counts it an injury not to have the fole Power in himself to help or hurt any; and that the Militia which he holds to be his undoubted Right, should be disposed as the Parlament thinks fit: And yet confesses that if he had it in his actual disposing, he would defend those whom he calls his good Subjects from those Men's violence and fraud, who would perswade the World that none but Wolves are fit to be trusted with the custody of the Shepherd and his Flock. Surely, if we may guess whom he means here, by knowing whom he hath ever most opposed in this Controversy, we may then assure ourselves that by violence and fraud he means that which the Parlament hath done in settling the Militia, and those the Wolves, into whose hands it was by them intrusted: which draws a clear confession from his own mouth, that if the Parlament had left him sole Power of the Militia, he would have used it to the destruction of them and their Friends.

As for fole power of the Militia which he claims as a Right no less undoubted than the Crown, it hath been oft enough told him, that he hath no more Authority over the Sword than over the Law; over the Law he hath none, either to establish or to abrogate, to interpret or to execute, but only by his Courts and in his Courts, wherof the Parlament is highest: no more therfore hath he power of the Militia, which is the Sword, either touse or to dispose, but with consent of Parlament; give him but that, and as good give him all our Laws and Liberties. For if the power of the Sword were any where separate and undepending from the power of Law, which is originally seated in the highest Court, then were that power of the Sword higher than the power of Law, and being at one Man's disposal, might when he pleas'd controul the Law, and enslave us. Such power as this did the King in open terms challenge to have over us, and brought thousands to help him win it; so much more good at fighting than at understanding, as to perswade themselves that they sought then for the Subject's Liberty.

He is contented, because he knows no other remedy, to resign this power for bis own time, but not for bis Successors: So diligent and careful he is that we should be Slaves, if not to him, yet to his Posterity, and sain would leave us the Legacy of another War about it. But the Parlament have done well to remove that question: whom, as his manner is to dignify with some good name or other, he calls now a many-headed Hydra of Government, full of fastious distrastions, and not more eyes than mouths. Yet surely not more mouths, or not so wide as the dissolute Rabble of all his Courtiers had, both Hees and

Shees, if there were any Males among them.

He would prove that to govern by Parlament hath a Monstressity rather than Perfection; and grounds his Argument upon two or three eminent Absurdities: First, by placing Counsel in the Senses, next, by turning the Senses out of the Head, and in lieu therof placing Power supreme above sense and reason; which be now the greater Monstrossities? Further to dispute what kind of Government is best, would be a long Theme; it suffices that his reasons here for

Monarchy are found weak and inconfiderable.

He bodes much borror and bad influence after bis Eclipse. He speaks his wishes; but they who by weighing prudently things past, foresee things to come, the best Divination, may hope rather all good success and happiness, by removing that darkness, which the misty cloud of his Prerogative made between us and a peaceful Reformation, which is our true Sun-light, and not he, though he would be taken for our Sun itself. And wherfore should we not hope to be govern'd more happily without a King, whenas all our misery and trouble hath been either by a King, or by our necessary vindication and defence against him.

He would be thought *inforc'd to Perjury*, by having granted the Militia, by which his Oath bound him to protect the People. If he can be perjur'd in granting that, why doth he refuse for no other cause the abolishing of Episcopacy?

But

An Answer to Eikon Basilike.

But never was any Oath fo blind as to fwear him to protect Delinquents against Justice, but to protect all the People in that Order, and by those hands which the Parlament should advise him to, and the protected confide in; and not under the shew of Protection to hold a violent and incommunicable Sword over us as ready to be let fall upon our own necks, as upon our Enemies; nor to

make our own Hands and Weapons fight against our own Liberties.

By his parting with the Militia he takes to himself much praise of his affurance in God's protection; and to the Parlament imputes the fear of not daring to adventure the injustice of their actions upon any other way of fafety. But wherfore came not this affurance of God's Protection to him, till the Militia was wrung out of his hand? It should seem by his holding it so fast, that his own Actions and Intentions had no lefs of injustice in them, than what he charges upon others, whom he terms Chaldeans, Sabeans, and the Devil himself. But Job us'd no fuch Militia against those Enemies, nor fuch a Magazine as was at Hull, which this King fo contended for, and made War upon us, that he might have wherwithal to make War against us.

He concludes, that although they take all from him, yet can they not obstruct his way to Heaven. It was no handsome occasion, by feigning obstructions where they are not, to tell us whither he was going: he should have shut the door, and pray'd in secret, not here in the high Street. Private Prayers in public,

ask something of whom they ask not, and that shall be their Reward.

XI. Upon the Nineteen Propositions, &c.

F the nineteen Propositions he names none in particular, neither shall the Answer: But he insists upon the old Plea of his Conscience, Honour and Reason; using the plausibility of large and indefinite words, to defend himfelf at such a distance as may hinder the eye of common judgment from all distinct view and examination of his reasoning. He would buy the peace of his People at any rate, save only the parting with his Conscience and Honour. Yet shews not how it can happen that the Peace of a People, if otherwise to be bought at any rate, should be inconsistent or at variance with the Conscience and Honour of a King. Till then we may receive it for a better fentence, that nothing should be more agreeable to the Conscience and Honour of a King, than to preferve his Subjects in peace, especially from Civil War.

And which of the Propositions were obtruded on him with the point of the Sword, till he first with the point of the Sword thrust from him both the Propositions and the Propounders? He never reckons those violent and merciless Obtrustions, which for almost twenty years lie had been forcing upon tender Consciences by all forts of Perfecution, till through the multitude of them that were to fuffer, it could be no more call'd a Perfecution, but a plain War. From which when first the Scots, then the English were constrained to defend themselves, this their just defence is that which he calls here, Their making War upon his

Soul.

He grudges that so many things are required of him, and nothing offer'd him in requital of those favours which he had granted. What could satiate the defires of this Man, who being King of England, and Matter of almost two Millions yearly, was still in want; and those acts of Justice which he was to do in duty, counts done as favours, and fuch favours as were not done without the avaritious hopes of other Rewards besides supreme Honour, and the constant Re-

venue of his place?

This Honour, he faith, they did him, to put him on the giving part. And spake truer than he intended, it being meerly for honour's fake that they did fo; not that it belong'd to him of right: For what can he give to a Parlament, who receives all he hath from the People, and for the People's good? Yet now he brings his own conditional Rights to contest and be preferr'd before the People's good; and yet unless it be in order to their good, he hath no Rights at all; reigning by the Laws of the Land, not by his own; which Laws are in the

hands

hands of Parlament to change or abrogate as they shall see best for the Commonwealth; even to the taking away of Kingship itself, when it grows too masterful and burdensome. For every Commonwealth is in general defin'd, a Society fufficient of itself in all things conducible to well-being and commodiour life. Any of which requisite things, if it cannot have without the gift or favour of a fingle Person, or without leave of his private reason or his conscience, it cannot be thought sufficient of itself, and by consequence no Commonwealth, nor free; but a multitude of Vassals in the possession and domain of one absolute Lord, and wholly obnoxious to his will. If the King have power to give ordeny any thing to his Parlament, he must do it either as a Person several from them, or as one greater; neither of which will be allow'd him: not to be confider'd feverally from them; for as the King of England can do no wrong, fo neither can he do right but in his Courts and by his Courts; and what is legally done in them, shall be deem'd the King's affent, though he as a several Perfon thall judge or endeavour the contrary; fo that indeed without his Courts, or against them, he is no King. If therfore he obtrude upon us any public mifchief, or withhold from us any general good, which is wrong in the highest degree, he must do it as a Tyrant, not as a King of England, by the known Maxims of our Law. Neither can he, as one greater, give aught to the Parlament which is not in their own power, but he must be also greater than the Kingdom which they represent: so that to honour him with giving part was a meer civility, and may be well term'd the courtefy of England, not the King's due.

But the incommunicable fewel of his Conscience he will not give, but reserve to himself. It seems that his Conscience was none of the Crown-Jewels; for those we know were in Holland, not incommunicable to buy Arms against Subjects. Being therfore but a private Jewel, he could not have done a greater pleasure to the Kingdom than by reserving it to himself. But he, contrary to what is here profested, would have his Conscience not an incommunicable, but a universal Conscience, the whole Kingdom's Conscience. Thus what he seems to sear lest we should ravish from him, is our chief complaint that he obtruded upon us; we never forc'd him to part with his Conscience, but it was he that

would have forc'd us to part with ours.

Some things he taxes them to have offer'd him, which while he had the Mastery of his Reason, he would never consent to. Very likely; but had his reason master'd him as it ought, and not been master'd long ago by his sense and humour (as the breeding of most Kings hath been ever sensual and most humour'd) perhaps he would have made no difficulty. Mean while at what a fine pass is the Kingdom, that must depend in greatest Exigencies upon the santasy of a King's Reason, be he wise or fool, who arrogantly shall answer all the Wisdom of the Land,

that what they offer feems to him unreasonable?

He prefers his love of Truth before his love of the People. His love of Truth would have led him to the fearch of Truth, and have taught him not to lean fo much upon his own understanding. He met at first with Doctrines of unaccountable Prerogative; in them he rested, because they pleas'd him; they therfore pleas'd him because they gave him all: and this he calls his love of Truth,

and prefers it before love of his People's Peace.

Some things they propos'd which would have wounded the inward peace of his Conscience. The more our evil hap, that three Kingdoms should be thus pester'd with one Conscience; who chiefly scrupled to grant us that which the Parlament advis'd him to, as the chief means of our public Welfare and Reformation. These scruples to many perhaps seem pretended; to others, upon as good grounds, may seem real; and that it was the just judgment of God, that he who was so cruel and so remorseless to other Men's Consciences, should have a Conscience within him as cruel to himself; constraining him, as he constrain'd others, and infinaring him by such Ways and Counsels as were certain to be his destruction.

Other things though he could approve, yet in honour and policy he thought fit to deny, left he should seem to dare deny nothing. By this means he will be sure, what with Reason, Conscience, Honour, Policy, or Punctilio's, to be found never unsurnish'd of a denial: Whether it were his envy not to be overbounteous, or that the submissing of our asking stirr'd up in him a certain pleasure of denying. Good Princes have thought it their chief happiness to be always granting; if

 $\frac{g_0}{5}$

good things, for the things fake; if things indifferent, for the People's fake, while this man fits calculating variety of excuses how he may grant leaft, as

if his whole strength and royalty were plac'd in a meer negative.

Of one Proposition especially he laments him much, that they would bind him to a general and implicit confent for whatever they defir'd. Which though I find not among the nineteen, yet undoubtedly the Oath of his Coronation binds him to no less; neither is he at all by his office to interpose against a Parlament in the making or not making of any Law; but to take that for just and good legally, which is there decreed, and to fee it executed accordingly. Nor was he fet over us to vie wisdom with his Parlament, but to be guided by them: any of whom possibly may as far excel him in the gift of wildom, as he them in place and dignity. But much nearer is it to impossibility that any King alone should be wifer than all his Council; fure enough it was not he, though no King ever before him fo much contended to have it thought fo. And if the Parlament fo thought not, but defir'd him to follow their advice and deliberation in things of public concernment, he accounts it the fame Proposition, as if Sampson had been mov'd to putting out his eyes, that the Philistines might abuse him. And thus out of an unwife or pretended fear left others should make a scorn of him for yielding to his Parlament, he regards not to give cause of worse Suspicion that he made a fcorn of his regal Oath.

But to exclude him from all power of denial feems an arrogance; in the Parlament he means: what in him then to deny against the Parlament? None at all by what he argues: For, by petitioning, they confess their Inferiority, and that obliges them to rest, if not satisfy'd, yet quieted with such an answer as the will and reason of their Superior thinks sit to give. First, petitioning in better English, is no more than requesting or requiring; and men require not savours only, but their due, and that not only from Superiors, but from Equals, and Inseriors also. The noblest Romans, when they stood for that which was a kind of regal honour, the Consulship, were wont in a submissive manner to go about, and beg that highest Dignity of the meanest Plebeians, naming them man by man; which in their tongue was call'd Petitio consulatus. And the Parlament of England petition'd the King, not because all of them were inserior to him, but because he was superior to any one of them, which they did of civil custom, and for sashion's sake more than of duty; for by plain Law cited before, the Parlament

is his Superior.

But what Law in any tryal or dispute enjoins a Free-man to rest quieted, though not fatisfied with the will and reason of his Superior? It were a mad Law that would fubject reason to superiority of place. And if our highest confultations and purpos'd Laws must be terminated by the King's Will, then is the Will of one man our Law, and no futtlety of dispute can redeem the Parlament and Nation from being Slaves: neither can any Tyrant require more than that his will or reason, though not satisfying, should yet be rested in, and determine all things. We may conclude therfore that when the Parlament petition'd the King, it was but meerly form, let it be as foolifb and abjurd as he pleafes. It cannot certainly be fo abfurd as what he requires, that the Parlament should confine their own and all the Kingdom's reason to the will of one man, because it was his hap to succeed his Father. For neither God nor the Laws have subjected us to his will, nor let his reason to be our Sovereign above Law (which must needs be, if he can strangle it in the birth) but set his Person over us in the fovereign execution of fuch Laws as the Parlament effablish. The Parlament therfore without any usurpation hath had it always in their power to limit and confine the exorbitancy of Kings, whether they call it their Will, their Reason, or their Conscience.

But this above all was never expected, nor is it to be endur'd, that a King, who is bound by Law and Oath to follow the advice of his Parlament, should be permitted to except against them as young Statesmen, and proudly to suspend his following their advice, until his seven years experience had show well they could govern themselves. Doubtless the Law never supposed so great an arrogance could be in one Man; that he whose seventeen years unexperience had almost ruin'd all, should sit another seven years School-master, to tutor those who were sent by the whole Realm to be his Counsellors and Teachers. And with what Modesty can he pretend to be a Statesman himself; who with his Fa-

An Answer to Eikon Basilike.

400

ther's King-craft and his own, did never that of his own accord which was not directly opposite to his profess'd Interest both at home and abroad; discontenting and alienating his Subjects at home, weakning and deserting his Confederates abroad, and with them the common cause of Religion? So that the whole course of his Reign, by an example of his own surnishing, hath resembled Phacton more than Phabus, and forc'd the Parlament to drive like Jehu; which Omen taken from his own mouth God hath not diverted.

And he on the other fide might have remembred that the Parlament fit in that body, not as his Subjects, but as his Superiors, call'd, not by him, but by the Law; not only twice every year, but as oft as great affairs require, to be his Counfellors and Dictators, tho' he stomach it; nor to be disfolv'd at his pleafure, but when all grievances be first remov'd, all Petitions heard and answer'd.

This is not only Reason, but the known Law of the Land.

When he heard that Propositions would be fent him, he fat conjecturing what they would propound; and because they propounded what he expected not, he takes that to be a warrant for his denying them. But what did he expect? He expected that the Parlament would reinforce fome old Laws. But if those Laws were not a fufficient remedy to all grievances, nay were found to be grievances themselves, when did we lose that other part of our freedom to establish new? He thought some injuries done by himself and others to the Commonwealth were to be repair'd. But how could that be, while he the chief Offender took upon him to be fole Judge both of the injury and the reparation? He staid till the advantage of his Crown confider'd might induce him to condescend to the People's good. Whenas the Crown itself with all those advantages were therfore given him, that the people's good should be first consider'd; not bargain'd for, and bought by inches with the bribe of more offertures and advantages to his Crown. for moderate desires of due Reformation; as if any such desires could be immoderate. He look'd for fuch a Reformation both in Church and State, as might preferve the roots of every grievance and abuse in both still growing (which he calls the foundation and essentials) and would have only the excrescencies of Evil prun'd away for the prefent, as was plotted before, that they might grow fast enough between Triennial Parlaments to hinder them by work enough besides from ever striking at the root. He alledges, They should have had regard to the Laws in force, to the Wisdom and Piety of former Parlaments, to the ancient and universal Practice of Christian Churches. As if they who come with full authority to redrefs public grievances, which oftimes are Laws themselves, were to have their hands bound by Laws in force, or the supposition of more piety and wifdom in their Ancestors, or the practice of Churches heretofore, whose Fathers, notwithstanding all these pretences, made as vast alterations to free themselves from ancient Popery. For all Antiquity that adds or varies from the Scripture is no more warranted to our fafe imitation, than what was done the Age before at Trent. Nor was there need to have despair'd of what could be establish'd in lieu of what was to be annull'd, having before his eyes the Government of fo many Churches beyond the Seas; whose pregnant and solid reasons wrought so with the Parlament, as to defire a Uniformity rather with all other Protestants, than to be a Schism divided from them under a Conclave of thirty Bishops, and a Crew of irreligious Priests that gaped for the same Preserment.

And wheras he blames those Propositions for not containing what they ought, what did they mention, but to vindicate and restore the Rights of Parlament invaded by Cabin Councils, the Courts of Justice obstructed, and the Government of Church innovated and corrupted? All these things he might easily have observed in them, which he affirms he could not find; but found those demanding in Parlament who were lookt upon before as sastious in the State, and schismatical in the Church; and demanding not only Toleration for themselves in their vanity, novelty, and consuston, but also an extirpation of that Government whose Rights they had a mind to invade. Was this man ever likely to be advised, who with such a prejudice and disesteem sets himself against his chosen and appointed Counsellors; likely ever to admit of Resormation, who censures all the Government of other Protestant Churches as bad as any Papist could have censured them? And what King had ever his whole Kingdom in such contempt, so to wrong and dishonour the free elections of his People, as to judge them whom the Nation thought worthiest to sit with him in Parlament, sew else but such as were punishable by

the Laws: yet knowing that time was, when to be a Protestant, to be a Christian, was by Law as punishable as to be a Traitor; and that our Saviour himself coming to reform his Church, was accus'd of an intent to invade Casar's right, as good a right as the Prelate Bishops over had; the one being got by force, the other by spiritual usurpation, and both by force upheld,

He admires and falls into an extasy that the Parlament should fend him such a borrid Proposition, as the removal of Episcopacy. But expect from him in an extasy no other reasons of his admiration than the dream and tautology of what he hath so oft repeated, Law, Antiquity, Ancestors, Prosperity, and the like, which will be therfore not worth a second Answer, but may pass with his own

comparison, into the common sewer of other Popish arguments.

Had the two Houses su'd out their Livery from the Wardship of Tumults, he could fooner have believ'd them. It concern'd them first to sue out their Livery from the unjust Wardship of his encroaching Prerogative. And had he alfo redeem'd his overdated minority from a Pupilage under Bishops, he would much less have mistrusted his Parlament; and never would have set so base a character upon them, as to count them no better than the Vasials of certain nameless men, whom he charges to be such as kunt after Fastion with their Hounds the Tumults. And yet the Bishops could have told him, that Nimrod, the first that hunted after Faction, is reputed by ancient Tradition the first that sounded Monarchy; whence it appears that to hunt after Faction is more properly the King's Game, and those Hounds, which he calls the Vulgar, have been often halloo'd to from Court, of whom the mungrel fort have been intic'd; the rest have not lost their scent, but understood aright that the Parlament had that part to all which he had failed in; that trust to discharge, which he had broken; that eftate and bonour to preferve, which was far beyond his, the estate and honour of the Commonwealth, which he had imbezl'd.

Yet so far doth self-opinion or salse principles delude and transport him, as to think the concurrence of his reason to the Votes of Parlament, not only political, but natural, and as necessary to the begetting, or bringing forth of any one compleat act of public wisdom as the Sun's influence is necessary to all nature's productions. So that the Parlament, it feems, is but a Female, and without his procreative Reafon can produce no Law: Wifdom, it feems, to a King is natural, to a Parlament not natural, but by conjunction with the King: yet he professes to hold his Kingly Right by Law; and if no Law could be made but by the great Council of a Nation, which we now term a Parlament, then certainly it was a Parlament that first created Kings; and not only made Laws before a King was in being, but those Laws especially wherey he holds his Crown. He ought then to have so thought of a Parlament, if he count it not Male, as of his Mother, which to civil Being created both him and the Royalty he wore. And if it hath bin anciently interpreted the prefaging fign of a future Tyrant, but to dream of copulation with his Mother, what can it be less than actual Tyran-ny to affirm waking, that the Parlament, which is his Mother, can neither con-ceive or bring forth any authoritative Act without his masculine coition? Nay, that his Reason is as celestial and life-giving to the Parlament, as the Sun's influence is to the Earth: What other notions but thefe or fuch like, could fwell up Caligula to think himfelf a God?

But to be rid of these mortifying Propositions, he leaves tyrannical evasion unessay'd; sirst, that they are not the joint and free desires of both Heuses, or the major part; next, that the choice of many Members was carried on by Fastion. The former of these is already discover'd to be an old device put first in practice by Charles the sisth, since Reformation: Who when the Protestants of Germany for their own defence join'd themselves in a League, in his Declarations and Remonstrances laid the fault only upon some sew (for it was dangerous to take notice of too many Enemies) and accused them that under colour of Religion they had a purpose to invade his and the Church's right; by which policy he deceiv'd many of the German Cities, and kept them divided from that League, until they saw themselves brought into a snare. That other Cavil against the People's choice puts us in mind rather what the Court was wont to do, and how to tamper with Elections: neither was there at that time any Faction more potent, or more likely to do such a business than they themselves who com-

plain most, Vol. I,

But he must chew such Morsels, as Propositions, ere he let them down. So let him; but if the Kingdom shall taste nothing but after his chewing, what does he make of the Kingdom but a great Baby? The streightness of his Conscience will not give him leave to swallow down such Camels of sacrilege and injustice as others do. This is the Pharifee up and down, I am not as other men are. But what Camels of injuffice he could devour, all his three Realms were witness, which was the cause that they almost perish'd for want of Parlaments. And he that will be unjust to man, will be facrilegious to God; and to bereave a Christian Confcience of liberty for no other reason than the narrowness of his own Conicience, is the most unjust measure to man, and the worst sacrilege to God. That other, which he calls Sacrilege, of taking from the Clergy that superflucus Wealth, which antiquity as old as Constantine, from the credit of a divine Vision, counted poisson in the Church, hath been ever most opposed by men whose righteoufness in other matters hath been least observ'd. He concludes, as his manner is, with high commendation of his own unbiass'd Restitude, and believes nothing to be in them that diffent from him, but Faction, Innovation, and particular Defigns. Of these Repetitions I find no end, no not in his Prayer; which being founded upon deceitful Principles, and a fond hope that God will bless him in those bis Errors, which he calls bonest, finds a fit answer of St. James, Ye ask and receive not, because ye ask amiss. As for the truth and fincerity which he prays may be always found in those his Declarations to the people, the contrariety of his own actions will bear eternal witness, how little careful or folicitous he was, what he promis'd or what he utter'd there.

XII. Upon the Rebellion in Ireland.

HE Rebellion and horrid Maffacre of English Protestants in Ireland, to the number of 154000 by their own computation, although fo fudden and so violent, as at first to amaze all men that were not accesfary; yet from whom, and from what counfels it first sprung, neither was, nor could be possibly so secret, as the Contrivers theros, blinded with vain hope, or the despair that other Plots would succeed, suppos'd: For it cannot be imaginable that the Irish, guided by so many suttle and Italian heads of the Romish Party, should so far have lost the use of reason, and indeed of common sense, as not supported with other strength than their own, to begin a War so desperate and irreconcilable against both England and Scotland at once. All other Nations from whom they could expect aid, were bufied to the utmost in their own most necessary Concernments. It remains then that either some authority, or some great assistance promis'd them from England, was that wheron they chiefly trusted. And as it is not difficult to discern from what inducing Cause this Infurrection first arose, so neither was it hard at first to have apply'd some effectual Remedy, though not prevention. But the affurance which they had in private, that no remedy should be apply'd, was it seems, one of the chief reafons that drew on their undertaking.

Seeing then the main incitement and authority for this Rebellion must be needs deriv'd from England, it will be next inquir'd who was the prime Author. The King here denounces a Malediction temporal and eternal, not simply to the Author, but to the malicious Author of this bloodshed: and by that limitation may exempt, not himself only, but perhaps the Irish Rebels themselves, who never will confess to God or Man that any blood was shed by them maliciously; but either in the Catholic Cause, or common Liberty, or some other specious Plea, which the Conscience from grounds both good and evil usually suggests to itself, therby thinking to elude the direct force of that imputation which

lies upon them.

Yet he acknowledges it fell out as a most unbappy advantage of some mens malice against him: but indeed of most mens just suspicion, by finding in it no such wide departure or disagreement from the scope of his former Counsels and Proceedings. And that he himself was the Author of that Rebellion, he denies

both

both here and elsewhere, with many Imprecations, but no solid evidence. What on the other side against his denial hath bin affirm'd in three Kingdoms, being here briefly set in view, the Reader may so judge as he finds cause.

This is most certain, that the King was ever friendly to the Irish Papists, and

in his third year, against the plain advice of Parlament, like a kind of Pope, fold them many Indulgencies for money; and upon all occasions advancing the Popith Party, and negotiating under-hand by Priefts, who were made his Agents, ingag'd the Irish Papists in a War against the Scotch Protestants. To that end he furnished them, and had them train'd in Arns, and kept them up the only Army in his three Kingdoms, till the very burft of that Rebellion. The Summer before that difinal October, a Committee of most active Papists, all fince in the head of that Rebellion, were in great favour at White-Hall; and admitted to many private Confultations with the King and Queen. And to make it evident that no mean matters were the fubject of those Conferences, at their request he gave away his peculiar right to more than five Irish Counties, for the payment of an inconfiderable Rent. They departed not home till within two months before the Rebellion; and were either from the first breaking out, or foon after, found to be the chief Rebels themselves. But what should move the King, besides his own inclination to Popery, and the prevalence of his Queen over him, to hold fuch frequent and close meetings with a Committee of Irish Papists in his own House, while the Parlament of England that unadvised with, is declared by a Scotch Author, and of it self is clear enough. The Parlament at the beginning of that Summer, having put Strafford to death, imprison'd others his chief Favourites, and driven the rest to fly; the King, who had in vain tempted both the Scotch and the English Army to come up against the Parlament and City, finding no compliance answerable to his hope from the Protestant Armies, betakes himself last to the Irish, who had in readiness an Army of eight thousand Papists, and a Committee here of the fame Religion. And with them, who thought the time now come to do eminent fervice for the Church of Rome against a Puritan Parlament, he concludes that fo foon as both Armies in England should be disbanded, the Irish should appear in Arms, mafter all the Protestants, and help the King against his Parlament. And we need not doubt that those five Counties were given to the Irish for other reason than the four Northern Counties had bin a little before offer'd to the Scots. The King in August takes a journey into Scotland; and overtaking the Scotch Army then on their way home, attempts the fecond time to pervert them, but without success. No sooner come into Scotland, but he lays a Plot, so saith the Scotch Author, to remove out of the way such of the Nobility there, as were most likely to withstand, or not to further his designs. This being difcover'd, he fends from his fide one Dillon a Papilt Lord, foon after a chief Rebel, with Letters into Ireland; and dispatches a Commission under the Great Seal of Scotland at that time in his own cuftody, commanding that they should forthwith, as had bin formerly agreed, cause all the Irish to rise in Arms. Who no sooner had receiv'd such command, but obey'd; and began in Massacre, for they knew no other way to make fure the Protestants, which was commanded them expresly; and the way, it seems, lest to their discretion. He who hath a mind to read the Commission it self, and sound reason added why it was not likely to be forg'd, befides the attestation of so many Irish themselves, may have recourse to a Book, intitled, The Mystery of Iniquity. After the Rebellion broken out, which in words only he detested, but underhand favour'd and promoted by all the offices of friendship, correspondence, and

After the Rebellion broken out, which in words only he detested, but underland favour'd and promoted by all the offices of friendship, correspondence, and what possible aid he could afford them, the particulars wherof are too many to be inserted here, I suppose no understanding man could longer doubt who was Author or Instigator of that Rebellion. If there be who yet doubt, I refer them especially to that Declaration of July 1643, concerning this matter. Against which Testimonies, Likelihoods, Evidences, and apparent Actions of his own, being so abundant, the bare denial of one man, though with impreca-

tion, cannot in any reason countervail.

As for the Commission granted them, he thinks to evade that by retorting, that fome in England fight against him, and yet pretend his authority. But though a Parlament by the known Laws may affirm justly to have the King's Authority inteparable from that Court, though divided from his Person, it is not credible Vol. I.

F f f 2 that

that the *Irifb* Rebels who fo much tender'd his Perfon above his Authority, and were by him fo well receiv'd at Oxford, would be fo far from all humanity, as to flander him with a particular Commission, fign'd and fent them by his own hand.

And of his good affection to the Rebels, this Chapter itself is not without witness. He holds them less in fault than the Scots, as from whom they might alledge to have fetch'd their imitation; making no difference between men that rose necessarily to defend themselves, which no Protestant Doctrine ever disallow'd, against them who threaten'd War, and those who began a voluntary and causless Rebellion with the Massacre of so many thousands who never means them harm.

He falls next to flashes, and a multitude of words, in all which is contain'd no more, than what might be the Plea of any guiltiest Offender: He was not the Author, because he hath the greatest share of loss and dishonour by what is committed. Who is there that offends God, or his Neighbour, on whom the greatest share of loss and dishonour lights not in the end? But in the act of doing evil, men use not to consider the event of their evil doing; or if they do, have then no power to curb the sway of their own wickedness; so that the greatest share of loss and dishonour to happen upon themselves, is no argument that they were not guilty. This other is as weak, that a King's Interest above that of any other man, lies chiefly in the common Welfare of bis Subjects; therfore no King will do aught against the common welfare. For by this evasion any Tyrant might as well purge himself from the guilt of raising Troubles or Commotions among the people, because undoubtedly his chief interest lies in their sit-

ting still.

I faid but now that even this Chapter, if nothing elfe, might susfice to discover his good affection to the Rebels; which in this that follows too notorioully appears; imputing this Infurrection to the preposterous Rigour, and unreasonable Severity, the covetous Zeal and uncharitable Fury of some men, (these some men by his continual paraphrase are meant the Parlament;) and lastly, to the fear of utter extirpation. If the whole Irishry of Rebels had fee'd some Advocate to speak partially and fophistically in their defence, he could have hardly dazl'd better: yet nevertheles would have prov'd himself no other than a plausible Deceiver. And perhaps those feigned Terrors and Jealousies were either by the King himfelf, or the Popith Priests which were sent by him, put into the head of that inquisitive People, on set purpose to engage them. For who had power to oppress them, or to relieve them being oppress, but the King or his immediate Deputy? This rather should have made them rise against the King than against the Parlament. Who threaten'd or ever thought of their extirpation, till they themselves had begun it to the English? As for prepesserous Rigour, covetous Zeal, and uncharitable Fury; they had more reason to suspect those Evils first from his own commands, whom they saw using daily no greater argument to prove the truth of his Religion than by enduring no other but his own Prelatical; and to force it upon others, made Epifcopal, Ceremonial, and Common-Prayer-Book Wars. But the Papifts understood him bettter than by the outfide; and knew that those Wars were their Wars. Although if the Commonwealth should be afraid to suppress open Idolatry, lest the Papists therupon should grow desperate, this were to let them grow and become our Perfecutors, while we neglected what we might have done Evangelically, to be their Reformers: Or to do as his Father James did, who instead of taking heart and putting confidence in God by fuch a deliverance as from the Powder-Plot, though it went not off, yet with the meer conceit of it, as some observe, was hit into such a bestic trembling between Protestant and Papist all his life after, as that he never durst from that time do otherwise than equivocate or collogue with the Pope and his adherents.

He would be thought to commiferate the fad effects of that Rebellion, and to lament that the tears and blood spilt there did not quench the sparks of our civil discord here. But who began these dissensions? and what can be more openly known than those retardings and delays which by himself were continually devis'd, to hinder and put back the relief of those distressed Protestants, whom he seems here to compassionate? The particulars are too well known to be re-

cited and too many.

But be offer'd to go bimfelf in person upon that expedition; and reckons up many furmises why he thinks they would not suffer him. But mentions not that by his underdealing to debauch Armies here at home, and by his secret Intercourse with the chief Rebels, long ere that time every where known, he had brought the Parlament into so just a distinct of him, as that they durst not leave the

public Arms to his disposal, much less an Army to his conduct.

He concludes, That next the fin of those who began that Redellion, theirs must needs be who hinder'd the suppressing, or diverted the aids. But judgment rathly given oftimes involves the Judge himself. He finds fault with those who threaten's all extremity to the Rebels, and pleads much that mercy should be shown them. It feems he found himfelf not to much concern'd as those who had lott fathers, Brothers, Wives and Children by their cruelty; whom in juffice to retaliste, is not as he supposes unevangelical, so long as Magistracy and War are not laid down under the Gospel. If this his Sermon of affected mercy were not too Pharifaical, how could be permit himself to cause the slaughter of so many thoufands here in England for mere Prerogatives, the Toys and Gewgaws of his Crown, for Copes and Surplices, the Trinkets of his Priests, and not perceive his own zeal, while he taxes others to be most preposterous and unevangelical? Neither is there the fame cause to destroy a whole City for the ravishing of a Sifter, not done out of Villany, and recompence offer'd by Marriage; nor the same case for those Disciples to summon fire from Heaven upon the whole City where they were deny'd lodging; and for a Nation by just War and execution to flay whole Families of them who to barbaroufly had flain whole Families before. Did not all Ifrael do as much against the Benjamites for one Rape committed by a few, and defended by the whole Tribe? and did they not the fame to Jabefh-Gilead for not affifting them in that revenge? I speak not this, that fuch measure should be meted rigorously to all the Irish, or as remembring that the Parlament ever so decreed; but to shew that this his Homily hath more of craft and affectation in it, than of found Doctrine.

But it was happy that his going into *Ireland* was not confented to; for either he had certainly turn'd his intended forces against the Parlament itself, or not gone at all; or had he gone, what work he would have made there, his

own fellowing words declare.

He would have punify'd some, no question; for some perhaps who were of least use, must of necessity have been sacrific'd to his reputation, and the convenience of his affairs. Others he would have disarm'd; that is to say, in his own time: but all of them he would have protested from the sury of those that would have drown'd them, if they had refus'd to swim down the popular stream. These expressions are too often met, and too well understood for any man to doubt his meaning. By the sury of those, he means no other than the Justice of Parlament, to whom yet he had committed the whole business. Those who would have refus'd to swim down the popular stream, our constant key tells us to be Papists, Prelates, and their Faction; these by his own consession here, he would have protected against his Puritan Parlament: And by this who sees not that he and the Irish Rebels had but one aim, one and the same drift, and would have forthwith join'd in one body against us?

He goes on still in his tenderness of the Irish Rebels, searing lest our zeal should be more greedy to kill the Bear for his skin than for any harm he hath done. This either justifies the Rebels to have done no harm at all, or infers his opinion that the Parlament is more bloody and rapacious in the prosecution of their Justice, than those Rebels were in the execution of their barbarous crueky. Let men doubt now and dispute to whom the King was a Friend most, to his Eng-

lish Parlament, or to his Irish Rebels.

With whom, that we may yet fee firther how much he was their Friend, after that the Parlament had brought them every where either to Famine, or a low Condition, he to give them all the respit and advantages they could desire, without advice of Parlament, to whom he himself had committed the managing of that War, makes a Cessation; in pretence to relieve the Protestants, overborn there with numbers, but as the event provid, to support the Papills, by diverting and drawing over the English Army there, to his own service here against the Parlament. For that the Protestants were then on the winning hand, it must needs be plain; who notwithstanding the miss of those Forces, which at their landing here master'd without difficulty great part of Weles and Cheshire, seet made

a shift to keep their own in *Ircland*. But the plot of this *Irish* Truce is in good part discover'd in that Declaration of *September* 30, 1643. And if the Protestants were but *bandfuls* there, as he calls them, why did he stop and way-lay both by Land and Sea, to his utmost power, those Provisions and Supplies which were sent by the Parlament? How were so many *bandfuls* call'd over, as for a while stood him in no small stead, and against our main Forces here in

Ingland?

Since therfore all the reasons that can be given of this Cessation appear so salfe and frivolous, it may be justly sear'd that the design itself was most wicked and pernicious. What remains then? He appeals to God, and is cast; likening his punishments to Job's trials, before he saw them to have Job's ending. He cannot stand to make prolix Apologies. Then surely those long Pamphlets set out for Declarations and Remonstrances in his name, were none of his; and how they should be his indeed, being so repugnant to the whole course of his Actions, augments the difficulty.

But he usurps a common faying, That it is Kingly to do well, and hear ill. That may be fometimes true: but far more frequently to do ill and hear well; so great is the multitude of Flatterers, and them that deify the name of King.

Yet not content with these neighbours, we have him still a perpetual Preacher of his own virtues, and of that especially, which who knows not to be patience

perforce?

He believes it will at last appear that they who first began to embroil his other Kingdoms, are also guilty of the blood of Ireland. And we believe so too; for now the Cessation is become a Peace by publish'd Articles, and Commission to bring them over against England, sirst only ten thousand by the Earl of Glamorgan, next all of them, if possible, under Ormond, which was the last of all his Transactions done as a public Person. And no wonder; for he look'd upon the blood spilt, whether of Subjects or of Rebels, with an indifferent eye, as exhausted out of his own veins; without distinguishing, as he ought, which was good blood and which corrupt; the not letting out wheros, endangers the whole body.

And what the Doctrine is, ye may perceive also by the Prayer, which after a short ejaculation for the poor Protestants, prays at large for the Irish Rebels, that God would not give them over, or their Children, to the covetousness, cruelty,

fierce and eursed anger of the Parlament.

He finishes with a deliberate and solemn Curse upon bimself and bis Father's House. Which how far God hath already brought to pass, is to the end that men by so eminent an example should learn to tremble at his judgments, and not play with imprecations.

XIII. Upon the calling in of the Scots, and their coming.

T must needs seem strange to Men who accustom themselves to ponder and contemplate things in their first original and institution, that Kings, who, as all other Officers of the Public, were at first chosen and install'd only by consent and suffrage of the People, to govern them as Freemen by Laws of their own framing, and to be, in consideration of that dignity and riches bestow'd upon them, the intrusted Servants of the Commonwealth, should notwithstanding grow up to that dishonest encroachment, as to esteem themselves Masters both of that great Trust which they serve, and of the People that betrusted them: counting what they ought to do, both in discharge of their public duty, and for the great reward of Honour and Revenue which they receive, as done all of meer grace and favour; as if their power over us were by nature, and from themselves, or that God had fold us into their hands. This ignorance or wilful mistake of the whole matter, had taken so deep root in the imagination of this King, that whether to the English or to the Scot, mentioning what acts of his Regal Office, though God knows how unwillingly, he

had pass'd, he calls them, as in other places, Acts of grace and bounty; so here special obligations, favours, to gratify affive spirits, and the desires of that party. Words not only founding Pride and Lordly Usurpation, but Injustice, Partiality and Corruption. For to the Irifb he fo far condescended, as first to tolerate in private, then to covenant openly the tolerating of Popery: So far to the Scot, as to remove Bishops, establish Presbytery, and the Militia in their own hands; preferring, as some thought, the Desires of Scotland before his own Interest and Honour. But being once on this side Tweed, his reason, his conscience, and his honour became fo flreighten'd with a kind of false Virginity, that to the English neither one or other of the same demands could be granted, wherwith the Scots were gratify'd; as if our air and climate on a fudden had chang'd the property and the nature both of Confcience, Honour, and Reafon, or that he found none to fit as English to be the subjects of his arbitrary power. Ireland was as Ephraim, the strength of his head, Scotland as Judah, was his Lawgiver; but over England, as over Edom, he meant to cast his Shoc, and yet so many fober Englishmen not sufficiently awake to consider this, like men inchannel with the Circican cup of fervitude, will not be held back from running their own heads into the Yoke of Bondage.

The turn of his discourse is against settling of Religion by violent means; which whether it were the Scots defign upon England, they are best able to clear themfelves. But this of all may feem strangest, that the King, who, while it was permitted him, never did thing more eagerly than to molest and perfecute the confciences of most religious men; he who had made a War, and lost all rather than not uphold a Hierarchy of persecuting Bishops, should have the confidence here to profess himself so much an Enemy of those that force the confidence. fcience. For was it not he, who upon the English obtruded new Ceremonies, upon the Scots a new Liturgy, and with his fword went about to engrave a bloody Rubric on their backs? Did he not forbid and hinder all effectual feach of Truth; nay, like a befieging Enemy, stopt all her passages both by word and writing? Yet here can talk of fair and equal disputations: where notwithstanding, if all submit not to his judgment, as not being rationally constitute, they must submit (and he conceals it not) to his penalty, as counted obstinate. But what if he himself and those his learned Churchmen were the convicted or the obstinate part long ago, should Reformation suffer them to sit lording over the Church in their fat Bishoprics and Pluralities, like the great Whore the fitteth upon many Waters, till they would vouchfafe to be difputed out? Or should we fit disputing, while they fat plotting and perfecuting? Those Clergymen were not to be driven into the fold like Sheep, as his Simile runs, but to be driven our of the Fold like Wolves or Thieves, where they fat fleecing those Flocks which they never fed.

He believes that Presbytery, though provid to be the only Institution of Jesus Christ, where not by the Sword to be set up without his consent; which is contrary both to the Doctrine, and the known Practice of all Protestant Churches, if

his Sword threaten those who of their own accord embrace it.

And although Christ and his Apostles being to civil affairs but private men, contended not with Magistrates, yet when Magistrates themselves, and especially Parlaments, who have greateft right to difpose of the civil So ord, come to know Religion, they ought in confeience to defend all those who receive it willingly against the violence of any King or Tyrant whatsoever. Neither is it therfore true, That Christianity is planted or watred with Christian Blood; ser there is a large difference between forcing men by the Sword to turn Prefbyterians, and detending those who willingly are so from a furious inroad of bloody Bishove um'd with the Militia of a King their Pupil. And if covetousness and combitton be . gument that Preflytery bath not much of Christ, it argues more strongly against Epifcopacy; which from the time of her first mounting to an order above the Profbyters, had no other Parents than Covetouiness and Ambition. And those Setts, Schijms, and Herefies, which he speaks of, if they get but prength and numbers, need no other pattern than Episcopacy and himself, to set up their ways by the like method of violence. Nor is there any thing that hath more marks of Schilm and Sectarism than English Episcopacy; whether we look at Apostolic times, or at reformed Churches; for the univerfal way of Church-government before, may as foon lead us into gross error, as their universally corrupted Doctrine. And

Government, by reason of ambition, was likeliest to be corrupted much the sooner of the two. However, nothing can be to us catholic or universal in Religion, but what the Scripture teaches; whatsoever without Scripture pleads to be universal in the Church, in being universal is but the more Schismaticas. Much less can particular Laws and Constitutions impart to the Church of England any power of consistory or tribunal above other Churches, to be the sole Judge of what is Sect or Schism, as with much rigour, and without Scripture they took upon them. Yet these the King resolves here to defend and maintain to his last, pretending, after all those conferences offer'd, or had with him, not to see more rational and religious motives than Soldiers carry in their Knapsacks; with one thus resolv'd it was but folly to stand disputing.

He imagines his own judicious zeal to be most concern'd in his tuition of the Church. So thought Saul when he prefum'd to offer Sacrifice, for which he loft his Kingdom; so thought Uzziah when he went into the Temple, but was thrust out with a Leprofy for his opinion'd zeal, which he thought judicicus. It is not the part of a King, because he ought to defend the Church, therfore to set himself fupreme head over the Church, or to meddle with Ecclefial Government, or to defend the Church otherwise than the Church would be defended; for such defence is bondage: nor to defend abuses, and stop all Reformation under the name of New moulds fancy'd and fashion'd to private designs. The holy things of Church are in the power of other keys than were deliver'd to his keeping. Christian liberty, purchased with the death of our Redeemer, and established by the fending of his free Spirit to inhabit in us, is not now to depend upon the doubtful confent of any earthly Monarch; nor to be again fetter'd with a prefumptuous negative voice, tyrannical to the Parlament, but much more tyrannical to the Church of God; which was compelled to implore the aid of Parlament, to remove his force and heavy hands from off our confciences, who therfore complains now of that most just defensive force, because only it remov'd his violence and perfecution. If this be a violation to his confcience, that it was hindred by the Parlament from violating the more tender confciences of fo many thousand good Christians, let the usurping conscience of all Tyrants be ever to violated.

He wonders, Fox wonder, how we could fo much diffrust God's assistance, as to call in the Protestant aid of our Brethren in Scotland: why then did he, if his trust were in God and the justice of his Cause, not scruple to sollicit and invite earnestly the assistance both of Papists and of Irish Rebels? If the Scots were by us at length sent home, they were not call'd in to stay here always; neither was it for the people's ease to feed so many Legions longer than their help was needful.

The Government of their Kirk we despised not, but their imposing of that Government upon us; not Presbytery but Arch-Presbytery, Classical, Provincial, and Diocesan Presbytery, claiming to it self a Lordly Power and Superintendency both over Flocks and Pastors, over Persons and Congregations no way their own. But these debates in his judgment would have bin ended better by the best Divines in Christendom in a full and free Synod. A most improbable way, and such as never yet was us'd, at least with good success, by any Protestant Kingdom or State since the Resonmation: Every true Church having wherwithal from Heaven, and the affisting Spirit of Christ implor'd to be complete and persect within it self. And the whole Nation is not easily to be thought so raw, and so perpetually a novice after all this light, as to need the help and direction of other Nations, more than what they write in public of their opinion, in a matter so samiliar as Church-Government.

In fine, he accuses Piety with the want of Loyalty, and Religion with the breach of Allegiance, as if God and he were one Master, whose commands were so often contrary to the commands of God. He would persuade the Scots that their chief Interest consists in their sidelity to the Crown. But true policy will teach them to find a safer interest in the common friendship of England, than in the ruins of one ejected Family.

XIV. Upon the Covenant.

PON this themehis discourse is long, his matter little but repetition, and therfore soon answer'd. First, after an abusive and strange apprehension of Covenants, as if Men pawn'd their souls to them with whom they covenant, he digresses to plead for Bishops; first from the antiquity of their possession bere, since the sirst plantation of Christianity in this Island; next from a universal prescription since the Apostles till this last Century. But what avails the most primitive Antiquity against the plain sense of Scripture? which if the last Century have best follow'd, it ought in our esteem to be first. And yet it hath been often prov'd by Learned Men from the Writings and Epistles of most ancient Christians, that Episcopacy crept not up into an Order above the Presbyters,

till many years after that the Apostles were deceas'd.

He next is unfatisfy'd with the Covenant, not only for some passages in it referring to himself, as he supposes, with very dubious and dangerous limitations, but for binding men by Oath and Covenant to the Reformation of Church-Discipline. First, those limitations were not more dangerous to him than he to our Liberty and Religion; next, that which was there vow'd to cast out of the Church an Antichristian Hierarchy which God had not planted, but ambition and corruption had brought in, and foster'd to the Church's great damage and oppression, was no point of controversy to be argu'd without end, but a thing of clear moral necessity to be forthwith done. Neither was the Covenant superfluous, though former engagements both religious and legal bound us before: But was the practice of all Churches hertofore intending Reformation. All Israel, though bound enough before by the Law of Moses to all necessary duties; yet with Asa their King enter'd into a new Covenant at the beginning of a Reformation: And the Jews after captivity, without confent demanded of that King who was their Master, took solemn Oath to walk in the Commandments of God. All Protestant Churches have done the like, notwithstanding former engagements to their feveral Duties. And although his aim were to fow variance between the Protestation and the Covenant, to reconcile them is not difficult. The Protestation was but one step, extending only to the Doctrine of the Church of England, as it was distinct from Church-Discipline; the Covenant went further, as it pleas'd God to difpense his light by degrees, and comprehended Church-Government: Former with latter steps in the progress of well-doing need not reconcilement. Nevertheless he breaks through to his conclusion, That all honest and wise men ever thought themselves sufficiently bound by former ties of Religion; leaving As, $E \approx ra$, and the whole Church of God in sundry Ages to shift for bonesty and wisdom from some other than his testimony. And although aftercontracts absolve not till the former be made void, yet he first having done that, our duty returns back, which to him was neither moral nor eternal, but conditional.

Willing to perfwade himself that many good men took the Covenant, either unwarily or out of fear, he seems to have bestow'd some thoughts how these good men following his advice may keep the Covenant and not keep it. The first evasion is, presuming that the chief end of Covenanting in such men's intentions was to preserve Religion in parity, and the Kingdom's peace. But the Covenant will more truly inform them that purity of Religion and the Kingdom's peace was not then in state to be preserved, but to be restor'd; and therfore binds them not to a preservation of what was, but to a Resormation of what was evil, what was traditional and dangerous, whether novelty or antiquity, in Church or State. To do this classes with no former Oath lawfully sworn either to God or the King, and rightly understood.

In general, he brands all fuch confederations by League and Covenant, as the common road us'd in all factious Perturbations of State and Church. This kind of language reflects with the fame ignominy upon all the Protestant Reformations that have been fince Luther; and so indeed doth his whole Book, replenish'd throughout with hardly other words or arguments, than Papists, and especially Popish Kings, have us'd hertosore against their Protestant Subjects; whom he

Vol. I. Ggg would

would perswade to be every man his own Pope, and to absolve himself of those ties, by the suggestion of salse or equivocal interpretations too oft repeated to be now answer'd.

The Parlament, he faith, made their Covenant like Manna, agreeable to every man's Pelate. This is another of his gloffes upon the Covenant; he is content to let it be Manna, but his drift is that men should loath it, or at least expound it by their own relish, and latitude of sense; wherin lest any one of the simpler fort should fail to be his crafts-master, he furnishes him with two or three laxative, he terms them general clauses, which may serve somewhat to relieve them against the Covenant taken: intimating, as if what were lawful and according to the word of God, were no otherwise so, than as every man fancy'd to himself. From such learned explications and resolutions as these upon the Covenant, what marvel if no Royalist or Malignant resuste to take it, as having learnt from these Princely Instructions his many Salve's, cautions, and reservations, how to be a Covenanter and Anticovenanter, how at once to be a Seot, and an Irish Rebel.

He returns again to disallow of that Reformation which the Covenant vows, as leing the partial advice of a few Divines. But matters of this moment, as they were not to be decided there by those Divines, so neither are they to be determin'd here by Essays and curtal Aphorisms, but by solid proofs of Scripture.

The rest of his discourse he spends, highly accusing the Parlament, that the main Reservation by them intended, was to rob the Church, and much applauding himself both for his forwardness to all due Resormation, and his averseness from all such kind of Sacrilege. All which, with his glorious title of the Church's Desender, we leave him to make good by Pharaoh's Divinity, if he please, for to Joseph's Piety it will be a task unsuitable. As for the parity and poverty of Ministers, which he takes to be of so sad consequence, the Scripture reckons them for two special Legacies left by our Saviour to his Disciples; under which two Primitive Nurses, for such they were indeed, the Church of God more truly flourish'd than ever after, since the time that Imparity and Church-revenue rushing in, corrupted and beleper'd all the Clergy with a worse infection than Gehazi's; some one of whose Tribe, rather than a King, I should take to be compiler of that unsalted and Simonical Prayer annex'd: although the Prayer itself strongly prays against them. For never such holy things as he means were given to more Swine, nor the Church's bread more to Dogs, than when it fed ambitious, irreligious and dumb Prelatcs.

XV. Upon the many Jealousies, &c.

O wipe off jealousies and seandals, the best way had been by clear Actions, or till Actions could be clear'd, by evident reasons; but meer words we are too well acquainted with. Had bis honour and reputation been dearer to him than the lust of reigning, how could the Parlament of either Nation have laid so often at his door the breach of Words, Promises, Acts, Ouths, and Execrations, as they do avowedly in many of their Petitions and Addresses to him? thither I remit the Reader. And who can believe that whole Parlaments, elected by the People from all parts of the Land, should meet in one mind and resolution not to advise him, but to conspire against him in a worse powder-plot than Catesbie's, to blow up, as he terms it, the people's affection towards him, and batter down their Loyalty by the Engines of foul assertions: Waterworks rather than Engines to batter with, yet those assertions were rais'd from the soulness of his own actions. Whereof to purge himself, he uses no other argument than a general and so often iterated commendation of himself; and thinks that Court Holy-water hath the virtue of expiation, at least with the filly people, to whom he familiarly imputes sin where none is, to seem liberal of his sargiveness where none is ask'd or needed.

What ways he hath taken toward the Prosperity of his people, which he would feem fo earnestly to desire, if we do but once call to mind, it will be enough to teach us, looking on the smooth infinuations here, that Tyrants are not more

flatter'd by their Slaves, than forc'd to flatter others whom they fear.

For the People's tranquillity he would willingly be the Jona; but left he should be taken at his word, pretends to foresee within ken two imaginary winds never heard of in the Compais, which threaten, if he be cast over board, to increase the Storm; but that Controverfy divine Lot hath ended.

He had rather not rule, than that his people should be ruin'd; and yet above these twenty years hath been ruining the people about the niceties of his ruling. He is accurate to put a difference between the plague of malice, and the ague of miglakes, the itch of novelty, and the leprofy of difloyalty. But had he as well known how to diffinguish between the venerable grey hairs of ancient Religion, and the old fourff of Superstition, between the wholesome heat of well governing, and the feverous rage of tyrannizing, his judgment in State-physic had been of more

Much he prophestes, that the credit of those men who have east black scandals on kim, shall ere long be quite blasted by the same surnace of popular obloquy, wherin they fought to cast his name and honour. I believe not that a Romish gilded Portraiture gives better Oracle than a Babylonish golden Image could do, to tell us truly who heated that Furnace of obloquy, or who deferves to be thrown in, Nebuchadnezzar or the three Kingdoms. It gave him great cause to suspect his own Innocence, that he was opposed by fo many who profest fingular piety. But this qualm was foon over, and he concluded rather to suspect their Religion than his own innocence, affirming that many with him were both learned and religious above the ordinary fize. But if his great Seal without the Parlament were not sufficient to create Lords, his Parole must needs be far more unable to create learned and religious men; and who shall authorize his unlearned judgment to point them out?

He guesses that many well-minded men were by popular Preachers urg'd to oppose him. But the opposition undoubtedly proceeded and continues from heads far wifer, and spirits of a nobler strain; those Priest-led Herodians with their blind guides are in the Ditch already; travelling, as they thought, to Sion, but moor'd in the Isle of Wight.

He thanks God for his constancy to the Protestant Religion both abroad and at home. Abroad, his Letter to the Pope; at home, his Innovations in the Church will speak his constancy in Religion what it was, without surther credit to this vain boaft.

His using the assistance of some Papists, as the cause might be, could not hurt his Religion; but in the fettling of Protestantism their aid was both unseemly and fuspicious, and inferr'd that the greatest part of Protestants were against him and his obtruded fettlement.

But this is strange indeed, that he should appear now teaching the Parlament what no man, till this was read, thought ever he had learn'd, that difference of perswasion in religious matters may fall out where there is the sameness of Allegiance and Subjection. If he thought fo from the beginning, wherfore was there such compulsion us'd to the Puritans of England, and the whole Realm of Scotland about conforming to a Liturgy? Wherfore no Bishop no King? Wherfore Episcopacy more agreeable to Monarchy, if different perswasions in Religion may agree in one Duty and Allegiance? Thus do Court-Maxims like Court-

Minions rife or fall as the King pleases.

Not to tax him for want of Elegance as a Courtier in writing Oglio for Olla the Spanish word, it might be well affirm'd that there was a greater Medley and difproportioning of Religions to mix Papifts with Protestants in a religious cause, than to entertain all those diversify'd Sects, who yet were all Protestants,

one Religion, though many Opinions.

authority.

Neither was it any shame to Protestants, that he a declar'd Papist, if his own Letter to the Pope, not yet renounc'd, belye him not, found fo few Protestants of his Religion, as enforc'd him to call in both the counfel and the aid of Papifts to help establish Protestancy, who were led on, not by the sense of their Allegiance, but by the hope of his Apostacy to Rome, from disputing to warring, his own voluntary and first appeal.

His hearkning to evil Counfellors, charg'd upon him so often by the Parlament, he puts off as a device of those men who were so eager to give him better counsel. That those men were the Parlament, and that he ought to have us'd the coun-Vol. I. Ggg 2

fel of none but those, as a King, is already known. What their civility laid upon evil Counsellors, he himself most commonly own'd; but the event of those evil Counsels the Enormities, the Confusions, the Miseries, he transfers from the guilt of his own civil broils to the just resistance made by Parlament; and imputes what miscarriages of his they could not yet remove for his opposing, as if they were some new misdemeanors of their bringing in, and not the inveterate diseases of his own bad Government; which, with a disease as bad, he falls again to magnify and commend: and may all those who would be govern'd by his Retractions and Concessions, rather than by Laws of Parlament, admire his Self-Encomiums, and be flatter'd with that Crown of Patience to which he cunningly exhorted them, that his Monarchial soot might have the setting it

That trust which the Parlament saithfully discharg'd in the afferting of our Liberties, he calls another Artisce to withdraw the people from him to their designs. What piece of Justice could they have demanded for the People, which the jealousy of a King might not have miscall'd a design to disparage his Government, and to ingratiate themselves? To be more just, religious, wise, or magnanimous than the common fort, stirs up in a Tyrant both sear and envy; and straight he cries out Popularity, which in his account is little less than Treason. The sum is, they thought to regulate and limit his Negative voice, and share with him in the Militia, both or either of which he could not possibly hold without consent of the people, and not be absolutely a Tyrant. He professes to desire no other liberty than what he envies not his Subjects according to Law; yet sought with might and main against his Subjects to have a sole power over them in his hand, both against and beyond Law. As for the Philosophical Liberty which in vain he talks of, we may conclude him very ill train'd up in those free notions, who to civil Liberty was so injurious.

tions, who to civil Liberty was fo injurious.

He calls the Confcience God's fovereignty, why then doth he contest with God about that supreme title? why did he lay restraint, and force enlargements upon our Consciences in things for which we were to answer God only and the Church? God bids us be subject for Conscience sake, that is as to a Magistrate, and in the Laws; not usurping over spiritual things, as Lucifer beyond his

fohere.

Finally, having laid the fault of these Commotions, not upon his own misgovernment, but upon the ambition of others, the necessity of some mens fortune, and thirst after novelty, he bodes himself much bouour and reputation, that like the Sun shall rise and recover it self to such a Splendour, as Owls, Batts, and such fatal Birds shall be unable to bear. Poets indeed use to vapor much after this manner. But to bad Kings, who without cause expect suture glory from their actions, it happens as to bad Poets, who sit and starve themselves with a delusive hope to win Immortality by their bad Lines. For though men ought not to speak evil of Dignities which are just, yet nothing hinders us to speak evil, as oft as it is the truth, of those who in their Dignities do evil; thus did our Saviour himself, John the Baptist, and Stephen the Martyr. And those black veils of his own misdeeds he might be sure would ever keep his face from shining, till he could result evil speaking with well doing, which grace he seems here to pray for; and his Prayer doubtless as it was pray'd, so it was heard. But even his Prayer is so ambitious of Prerogative, that it dares ask away the Prerogative of Christ himself, To become the head-stone of the Corner.

XVI. Upon the Ordinance against the Common-Prayer Book.

If A T to think of Liturgies, both the Sense of Scripture, and Apostolical Practice would have taught him better, than his human reasonings and conjectures: Nevertheless, what weight they have, let us consider. It it be no news to have all Innovations usher'd in with the name of Resormation, sure it is less news to have all reformation censur'd and oppos'd under the name of innovation; by those, who being exalted in high place above their merit, sear all change, though of things never so ill or so unwisely settled. So bardly can the dotage of those that dwell upon Antiquity allow present times any share of godliness or swildom.

any share of godline's or wisdom.

The removing of Liturgy he traduces to be done only as a thing plausible to the People; whose rejection of it he likens, with small reverence, to the erucifying of our Saviour; next, that it was done to please those men who gloried in their extemporary vein, meaning the Ministers. For whom it will be best to answer, as was answer'd for the man born blind, They are of age, let them speak for themselves; not how they came blind, but whether it were Liturgy that held them

tongue-ty'd.

For the matter contain'd in that Book, we need no better witness than King Edward the fixth, who to the Cornish Rebels confesses it was no other than the old Mass-Book done into English, all but some few words that were expung'd. And by this argument which King Edward so promptly had to use against that irreligious Rabble, we may be affur'd it was the carnal fear of those Divines and Politicians that modell'd the Liturgy no farther off from the old Mass, lest by too great an alteration they should incense the People, and be destitute of

the same shifts to fly to which they had taught the young King.

For the manner of using set forms, there is no doubt but that wholesome matter, and good defires rightly conceiv'd in the heart, wholesome words will follow of Neither can any true Christian find a reason why Liturgy should be at all admitted, a Prescription not impos'd or practis'd by those first Founders of the Church, who alone had that authority: Without whose precept or example, how conftantly the Priest puts on his Gown and Surplice, so constantly doth his Prayer put on a fervile yoak of Liturgy. This is evident, that they who use no set Forms of Prayer, have words from their affections; while others are to seek affections fit and proportionable to a certain dose of prepared words; which as they are not rigorously forbid to any man's private infirmity, so to imprison and confine by force, into a Pinfold of set words, those two most unimprisonable things, our Prayers, and that Divine Spirit of utterance that moves them, is a tyranny that would have longer hands than those Giants who threaten'd bondage to Heaven. What we may do in the same form of words is not so much the question, as whether Liturgy may be forc'd, as he forc'd it. It is true that we pray to the same God, must we therfore always use the fame words? Let us then use but one word, because we pray to one God. We profess the same Truths, but the Liturgy comprehends not all Truths: we read the same Scriptures, but never read that all those facred expressions, all benefit and use of Scripture, as to public Prayer, should be deny'd us, except what was barrel'd up in a Common-Prayer Book with many mixtures of their own, and which is worse, without falt. But suppose them savoury words and unmix'd, suppose them Manna it self, yet if they shall be hoarded up and enjoined us, while God every morning rains down new expressions into our hearts; instead of being fit to use, they will be found like referved Manna, rather to breed Worms and stink. We have the same duties upon us, and feel the same wants: yet not always the same, nor at all times alike; but with variety of Circumstances, which ask variety of words: Wherof God hath given us plenty; not to use so copiously upon all other occasions, and fo niggardly to him alone in our devotions. As if Christians were now in a worse samine of words sit for Prayer, than was of food at the Siege of Jerusa-

lem, when perhaps the Priests being to remove the shew-bread, as was accuflom'd, were compell'd every Sabbath-day for want of other Loaves, to bring again still the same. If the Lord's Prayer had been the warrant or pattern to set Livirgies, as is here affirm'd, why was neither that Prayer, nor any other set Fermever after us'd, or fo much as mention'd by the Apostles, much less commended to our use? Why was their care wanting in a thing so useful to the Church? so sull of danger and contention to be left undone by them to other men's penning, of whose authority we could not be socertain? Why was this forgotten by them, who declare that they have reveal'd to us the whole Counfel of God; who as he left our affections to be guided by his fanctifying Spirit, fo did de likewise our words to be put into us without our premeditation; not only those cautious words to be us'd before Gentiles and Tyrants, but much more those filial words, of which we have to frequent use in our access with freedom of speech to the Throne of Grace. Which to lay afide for other outward dictates of men, were to injure him and his perfect Gift, who is the Spirit and the giver of our ability to pray; as if his ministration were incompleat, and that to whom he gave affections, he did not also afford utterance to make his Gift of Prayer a perfect Gift.

And although the Gift were only natura', yet voluntary Prayers are less subject to formal and superficial tempers than set Forme: For in the se, at least for words and matter, he who prays must consu't first with his heart; which in likelihood may stir up his affections; in these having both words and matter ready made to his lips, which is enough to make up the outward act of prayer, his affections grow lazy, and come not up easily at the call of words not these own; the Prayer also having less intercourse and sympathy with a heart wheria it was not conceiv'd, saves itself the labour of so long a journey downward, and slying up in haste on the specious wings of formality, if it sall not back again headlong, instead of a prayer which was expected, presents God with a

fet of stale and empty words.

No doubt but oftentation and formality may taint the best duties; we are not therfore to leave duties for no duties, and to turn Prayer into a kind of lurry. Cannot unpremeditated babling be rebuk'd, and restrain'd in whom we find they are, but the Spirit of God must be forbidden in all men? But it is the custom of bad Men and Hypocrites to take advantage at the least abuse of good things, that under that covert they may remove the goodness of those things, rather than the abuse. And how unknowingly, how weakly is the using of set Forms attributed here to constancy, as if it were constancy in the Cuckoo to

be always in the fame Liturgy.

Much less can it be lawful that an English'd Mass-Book, compos'd, for aught we know, by men neither learned, nor godly, should justle out, or at any time deprive us the exercise of that heavenly Gift, which God by special promise pours out daily upon his Church, that is to fay, the spirit of Prayer. of to help those many infirmities, which he reckons up, Rudeness, Impertinency, Flatness, and the like, we have a remedy of God's finding out, which is not Liturgy, but his own free spirit. Though we know not what to pray as we ought, yet he with fighs unutterable by any words, much less by a stinted Liturgy dwelling in us, makes intercession for us according to the mind and will of God both in private, and in the performance of all Ecclefiaffical Duties. For it is his promise also, that where two or three are gather'd together in his name thall agree to ask him any thing, it shall be granted; for he is there in the midst of them. If any ancient Churches to remedy the infirmities of Prayer, or rather the infections of Arian and Pelagian Herefics, neglecting that ordain'd and promis'd help of the Spirit, betook them almost four hundred years after Christ to Liturgy their own invention, we are not to imitate them; nor to distrust God in the removal of that Truant-help to our Devotion, which by him never was appointed. And what is faid of Liturgy, is faid also of Directory, if it be impos'd: although to forbid the Service-Book there be much more reason, as being of itself superstitious, offensive, and indeed, though English'd, yet still the Mass-Book: and public Places ought to be provided of fuch as need not the help of Liturgies or Directories continually, but are supported with ministerial Gifts answerable to their calling.

Lastly, that the Common-Prayer Book was rejected because it pray'd so oft for him, he had no reason to object: for what large and laborious Prayers were

made for him in the Pulpits, if he never heard, it is doubtful they were never heard in Heaven. We might now have expected that his own following Prayer should add much credit to set Forms; but on the contrary we find the same imperfections in it, as in most before, which he lays here upon extemporal. Nor doth he ask of God to be directed whether Liturgies be lawful, but presumes, and in a manner would perswade him that they be so; praying that the Church and he may never want them. What could be pray'd worse extempore?

XVII. Of the Differences in point of Church-Government.

↑ H E Government of Church by Bishops hath been so fully prov'd from the Scriptures to be vicious and usurp'd, that whether out of Piety or Policy maintain'd, it is not much material: For Piety grounded upon error, can no more justify King Charles, than it did Queen Mary in the fight of God or Man. This however must not be let pass without a serious Observation; God having to difpos'd the Author in this Chapter as to confefs and discover more of mystery and combination between Tyranny and false Religion, than from any other hand would have been credible. Here we may fee the very dark roots of them both turn'd up, and how they twine and interweave one another in the Earth, though above ground shooting up in two fever'd Branches. We may have learnt both from facred Story, and times of Reformation, that the Kings of this World have both ever hated, and instinctively fear'd the Church of God. Whether it be for that their Doctrine feems much to favour two things to them fo dreadful, Liberty and Equality; or because they are the Children of that Kingdom, which, as ancient Prophecies have foretold, shall in the end break to pieces and diffolve all their great Power and Dominion. And those Kings and Potentates who have strove most to rid themselves of this fear, by cutting off or suppreffing the true Church, have drawn upon themselves the occasion of their own ruin, while they thought with most policy to prevent it. Thus Pharaob when once he began to fear and wax jealous of the Israelites, lest they should multiply and fight against him, and that his fear stirr'd him up to afflict and keep them under, as the only remedy of what he fear'd, foon found that the evil which before flept, came fuddenly upon him, by the preposterous way he took to prevent it. Passing by examples between, and not shutting wilfully our eyes, we may fee the like ftory brought to pass in our own Land. This King more than any before him, except perhaps his Father, from his first entrance to the Crown, harbouring in his mind a strange fear and suspicion of Men most religious, and their Doctrine, which in his own language he here acknowledges, terming it the feditious exorbitancy of Ministers tongues, and doubting lest they, as he not christianly expresses it, should with the keys of Heaven let out Peace and Loyalty from the people's bearts: though they never preach'd or attempted aught that might justly raise in him such thoughts, he could not rest or think himself secure, so long as they remain'd in any of his three Kingdoms unrooted out. But outwardly professing the same Religion with them, he could not prefently use violence as Pharaoh did, and that course had with others before but ill fucceeded. He chooses therfore a more mystical Way, a newer Method of Antichristian Fraud, to the Church more dangerous: and like to Balack the Son of Zippor, against a Nation of Prophets thinks it best to hire other esteemed Prophets, and to undermine and wear out the true Church by a false Ecclefiaftical Policy. To this drift he found the Government of Bishops most ferviceable; an Order in the Church, as by men first corrupted, so mutually corrupting them who receive it, both in judgment and manners. He by conferring Bishoprics and great Livings on whom he thought most pliant to his Will, against the known Canons and universal practice of the ancient Church.

wherby those Elections were the people's right, fought, as he confesses, to have greatest influence upon the Church-men. They on the other fide finding themfelves in a high Dignity, neither founded by Scripture, nor allow'd by Reformation, nor supported by any spiritual Gift or Grace of their own, knew it their best course to have dependance only upon him: and wrought his fancy by degrees to that degenerate and unkingly perswasion of No Bishop, no King. Whenas on the contrary all Prelates in their own futtle fense are of another mind; according to that of Pius the fourth, remember'd in the History of Trent, that Bishops then grow to be most vigorous and potent, when Princes happen to be Thus when both Interests of Tyranny and Episcomost weak and impotent, pacy were incorporate into each other, the King, whose principal safety and establishment consisted in the righteous execution of his civil power, and not in Bishops and their wicked Counsels, fatally driven on, set himself to the removal of those men whose Doctrine and desire of Church-Discipline he so fear'd would be the undoing of his Monarchy. And because no temporal Law could touch the innocence of their lives, he begins with the perfecution of their Consciences, laying scandals before them; and makes that the argument to inflict his unjust penalties both on their Bodies and Estates. In this War against the Church if he had fped fo, as other haughty Monarchs whom God hertofore hath harden'd to the like enterprize, we ought to look up with praises and thankfgiving to the Author of our deliverance, to whom Victory and Power, Majesty, Honour and Dominion belongs for ever.

In the mean while, from his own words we may perceive eafily that the special motives which he had to endear and deprave his judgment to the savouring and utmost defending of Episcopacy, are such as here we represent them: and how unwillingly, and with what mental reservation he condescended against his Interest to remove it out of the Peers house, hath been shewn already. The reasons, which he affirms wrought so much upon his judgment, shall be so far

answer'd as they be urg'd.

Scripture he pretends, but produces none, and next the constant practice of all Christian Churches, till of late years tumult, faction, pride, and covetousness, invented new models under the Title of Christ's Government. Could any Papist have spoke more scandalously against all Reformation? Well may the Parlament and best-affected People now be troubled at his calumnies and reproaches, since he binds them in the same bundle with all other the reformed Churches; who also may now surther see, besides their own bitter experience, what a cordial and well-meaning helper they had of him abroad, and how true to the Protestant Cause.

As for Histories to prove Bishops, the Bible, if we mean not to run into Errors, Vanities, and Uncertainties, must be our only History. Which informs us that the Apostles were not properly Bishops; next, that Bishops were not fuccessors of Apostles, in the function of Apostleship: And that if they were Apostles, they could not be precisely Bishops; if Bishops, they could not be Apostles, this being universal, extraordinary, and immediate from God; that being an ordinary, fixt, and particular charge and continual inspection over a certain Flock. And although an ignorance and deviation of the ancient Churches afterward, may with as much reason and charity be supposed as sudden in point of Prelaty, as in other manifest corruptions, yet that no example fince the first age for 1500 years can be produc'd of any settled Church, wherin were many Ministers and Congregations, which had not fome Bishops above them; the Ecclefiastical Story, to which he appeals for want of Scripture, proves clearly to be a falfe and over-confident affertion. Sozomenus, who wrote above twelve hundred years ago, in his feventh Book, relates from his own knowledge, that in the Churches of Cyprus and Arabia (places near to Jerusalem, and with the first frequented by Apostles) they had Bishops in every Village; and what could those be more than Presbyters? The like he tells of other Nations; and that Episcopal Churches in those days did not condemn them. I add, that many. Western Churches, eminent for their Faith and good Works, and fettled above four hundred years ago in France, in Piemont and Bohemia, have both taught and practis'd the same Doctrine, and not admitted of Episcopacy among them. if we may believe what the Papists themselves have written of these Churches, which they call Waldenses, I find it in a Book written almost four hundred years fince,

fince, and fet forth in the Bobemian History, that those Churches in Piemont have held the fame Doctrine and Government, fince the time that Constantine with his mischievous donations poison'd Sylvester and the whole Church. Others affirm they have fo continu'd there fince the Apostles, and Theodorus Belvederensis in his relation of them, confesseth that those Heresies, as he names them, were from the first times of Christianity in that place. For the rest I refer me to that famous testimony of Jerom, who upon that very place which he cites here, the Epistle to Titus, delares openly that Bishop and Presbyter were one and the fame thing, till by the instigation of Satan partialities grew up in the Church, and that Bishops rather by custom than any ordainment of Christ, were exalted above Presbyters: whose interpretation we trust shall be received before this intricate stuff tattl'd here of Timothy and Titus, and I know not whom their Successors, far beyond Court-Element, and as far beneath true Edisication. These are his fair grounds both from Scripture-Canons and Ecclesiastical Examples; how undivine-like written, and how like a worldly Gofpeller that understands nothing of these matters, posterity no doubt will be able to judge, and will but little regard what he calls Apostolical, who in his Letter to the Pope calls Apostolical the Roman Religion.

Nor let him think to plead, that therfore it was not Policy of State, or obstinacy in him which upheld Episcopacy, because the injuries and losses which he sustained by so doing were to him more considerable than Episcopacy itself; for all this might Pharaoh have had to say in his excuse of detaining the Israelites, that his own and his Kingdom's safety, so much endanger'd by his denial, was to him more dear than all their building labours could be worth to Egypt. But

whom God hardens, them also he blinds.

He endeavours to make good Episcopacy not only in Religion, but from the nature of all civil Government, where Parity breeds confusion and fastion. But of faction and confusion, to take no other than his own testimony, where hath more been ever bred than under the imparity of his own Monarchical Government? Of which to make at this time longer dispute, and from civil constitutions and human conceits to debate and question the convenience of Divine Ordinations, is neither wisdom nor sobriety: and to consound Mosaic Priesthood with Evangelic Presbytery against express institution, is as far from warrantable. As little to purpose is it, that we should stand polling the reformed Churches, whether they equalize in number those of bis three Kingdoms, of whom so lately the far greater part, what they have long desir'd to do, have now quite thrown

off Epifcopacy.

Neither may we count it the Language or Religion of a Protestant so to vilify the best resormed Churches (for none of them but Lutherans retain Bishops) as to sear more the scandalizing of Papists, because more numerous, than of our Protestant Brethren, because a bandful. It will not be worth the while to say what Schismatics or Heretics have had no Bishops; yet less the should be taken for a great Reader, he who prompted him, if he were a Doctor, might have remember'd the foremention'd place in Sozomenus; which affirms, that besides the Cyprians and Arabians who were counted Orthodoxal, the Novatians also, and Montanists in Phrygia had no other Bishops than such as were in every Village: and what Presbyter hath a narrower Dioceis? As for the Aërians we know of no Heretical Opinion justly father'd upon them, but that they held Bishops and Presbyters to be the same. Which he in this place not obscurely seems to hold a Heresy in all the reformed Churches; with whom why the Church of England desir'd conformity, he can find no reason with all his charity, but the coming in of the Scots Army; such a high esteem he had of the English.

He tempts the Clergy to return back again to Bishops, from the fear of tenuity and contempt, and the assurance of better thriving under the favour of Princes; against which temptations if the Clergy cannot arm themselves with their own

fpiritual Armour, they are indeed as poor a carcass as he terms them.

Of fecular Honours and great Revenues added to the dignity of Prelates, fince the subject of that question is now remov'd, we need not spend time: But this perhaps will never be unseasonable to bear in mind out of Chrysostom, that when Ministers came to have Lands, Houses, Farms, Coaches, Horses, and the like Lumber, then Religion brought forth Riches in the Church, and the Daughter devour'd the Mother.

But if his judgment in Episcopacy may be judg'd by the goodly choice he made of Bishops, we need not much amuse ourselves with the consideration of those evils which, by his foretelling, will necessarily follow their pulling down, until he prove that the Apostles, having no certain Diocess or appointed place of residence, were properly Bishops over those Presysters whom they ordain'd, or Churches they planted; wherin oftimes their labours were both joint and promiscuous: Or that the Apostolic Power must necessarily descend to Bishops, the use and end of either function being so different. And how the Church hath slourish'd under Episcopacy, let the multitude of their ancient and gross errors testify, and the words of some learnedest and most zealous Bishops among them; Nazianzen in a devout passion wishing Prelaty had never been; Basil terming them the Slaves of Slaves; Saint Martin the Enemies of Saints, and consessing that after he was made a Bishop, he found much of that grace decay in him which he had before.

Concerning his Coronation-Oath, what it was, and how far it bound him, already hath been spoken. This we may take for certain, that he was never sworn to his own particular conscience and reason, but to our conditions as a free people; which requir'd him to give us such Laws as ourselves should choose. This the Scots could bring him to, and would not be bassled with the pretence of a Coronation-Oath, after that Episcopacy had for many years been settled there. Which concession of his to them, and not to us, he seeks here to put off with evasions that are ridiculous. And to omit no shifts, he alleges that the Presbyterian manners gave him no encouragement to like their Modes of Government. If that were so, yet certainly those men are in most likelihood nearer to Amendment, who seek a stricter Church-Discipline than that of Episcopacy, under which the most of them learn'd their manners. If estimation were to be made of God's Law by their manners, who leaving Egypt, receiv'd it in the Wilderness, it could reap from such an inference as this, nothing but rejection and disesteem.

For the Prayer wherwith he closes, it had been good some fase Liturgy, which he so commends, had rather been in his way; it would perhaps in some measure have perform'd the End for which they say Liturgy was first invented, and have hinder'd him both here, and at other times, from turning his notorious errors into his Prayers.

XVIII. Upon the Uxbridge Treaty, &c.

F the way of Treaties be look'd upon in general, as a retiring from bestial force to human reason, his first Aphorism here is in part deceiv'd. For men may treat like Beasts as well as fight. If some fighting were not manlike, then either fortitude were no virtue, or no fortitude in fighting: And as Politicians of times through dilatory purposes and emulations handle the matter, there hath been no where found more bestiality than in treating; which hath no more commendation in it, than from fighting to come to undermining, from violence to crast, and when they can no longer do as Lions, to do as Foxes.

The fincerest end of treating after War once proclaim'd, is either to part with more, or to demand less than was at first fought for, rather than to hazard more lives, or worse mischiefs. What the Parlament in that point were willing to have done, when first after the War begun, they petition'd him at Colebrook to vouchsase a Treaty, is unknown. For after he had taken God to witness of his continual readiness to treat, or to offer Treaties to the avoiding of bloodshed, taking the advantage of a Mist, the sittest weather for deceit and treachery, he follows at the heels those Messengers of Peace with a train of covert War; and with a bloody surprize falls on our secure Forces which lay quartering at Brentford in the thoughts and expectation of a Treaty. And although in them who make a trade of War, and against a natural Enemy, such an onset might in the rigour of martial Law have been excus'd, while Arms were not yet by agreement suspended; yet by a King, who seem'd so heartily to ac-

cept

cept of treating, and professes here, He never wanted either desire or disposition to it, professes to have greater confidence in his Reason than in his Sword, and as a Christian to seek Peace and ensue it, such bloody and deceitful advantages would have bin forborn one day at least, if not much longer; in whom there had not bin a thirst rather than a detestation of civil War and Blood.

In the midst of a second Treaty not long after, sought by the Parlament, and after much ado obtain'd with him at Oxford, what suttle and unpeaceable designs he then had in chace, his own Letters discover'd: What attempts of treacherous hostility successful and unsuccessful he made against Bristol, Scarborough, and other places, the Proceedings of that Treaty will soon put us in mind; and how he was so far from granting more of reason after so much of blood, that he deny'd then to grant what before he had offer'd: making no other use of Treaties pretending Peace, than to gain advantages that might enable him to continue War. What marvel then it he thought it no diminution of himself, as oft as he saw his time, to be importunate for Treaties, when he sought them on-

ly, as by the upfhot appear'd, to get opportunities?

But he infers, as if the Parlament would have compell'd him to part with something of his horear as a King. What honour could he have, or call his, join'd not only with the offence or disturbance, but with the bondage and destruction of three Nations? wherof though he be careless and improvident, yet the Parlament, by our Laws and Freedom, ought to judge, and use prevention; our Laws else were but Cobweb Laws. And what were all his most rightful honours, but the people's gift, and the investment of that Lustre, Majesty and Honour, which for the public good, and no otherwise, redounds from a whole Nation into one person? So far is any honour from being his to a common mischief and calamity. Yet still he talks on equal terms with the grand Representative of that people, for whose sake he was a King, as if the general welfare and his subservient Rights were of equal moment or consideration. His aim indeed hath ever bin to magnify and exalt his borrow'd Rights and Prerogatives above the Parlament and Kingdom of whom he holds them. But when a King sets himself to bandy against the highest Court and Residence of his Regal Authority, he then, in the single person of a Man, sights against his own Majesty and King-

ship, and then indeed fets the first hand to his own deposing. The Treaty at Uxbridge, he faith, gave the fairest hopes of a happy composure; fairest indeed, if his instructions to bribe our Commissioners with the promise of Security, Rewards, and Places were fair: What other hopes it gave, no man can tell. There being but three main heads wheron to be treated; Ireland, Episcopacy, and the Militia; the first was anticipated and forestall'd by a Peace at any rate to be haften'd with the Irish Rebels, ere the Treaty could begin, that he might pretend his word and honour past against the specious and popular arguments (he calls them no better) which the Parlament would urge upon him Episcopacy he bids the Queen be confifor the continuance of that just War. dent he will never quir; which informs us by what Patronage it flood: And the Sword he resolves to clutch as fast, as if God with his own hand had put it This was the moderation which he brought; this was as far as Reason, Honour, Conscience, and the Queen, who was his Regent in all these, would give bim leave. Lastly, for composure, instead of happy, how miserable it was more likely to have bin, wife men could then judge; when the English, during Treaty, were call'd Rebels, the Irish, good and catholic Subjects; and the Parlament before-hand, though for fashions call'd a Parlament, yet by a Jesuitical flight not acknowledg'd, though call'd fo; but privately in the Council-Books inroll'd no Parlament: that if accommodation had fucceeded, upon what terms foever, fuch a devilish fraud was prepared, that the King in his own efteem had bin absolv'd from all performance, as having treated with Rebels, and no Parlament; and they on the other fide instead of an expected happiness, had bin brought under the Hatchet. Then no doubt War had ended, that Maffacre and Tyranny might begin. These Jealousies, however raised, let all men see whether they be diminish'd or allay'd, by the Letters of his own Cabinet open'd. And yet the breach of this Treaty is laid all upon the Parlament and their Commissioners, with odious Names of Pertinacy, hatred of Peace, Fastien, and Covetousnefs, nay his own Brat Superfition is laid to their charge; notwithstanding his here professed resolution to continue both the Order, Maintenance, and Authority

of Prelates, as a Truth of God.
Vol. II. Hhh 2 And

And who were most to blame in the unsuccessfulness of that Treaty, his appeal is to God's decision; believing to be very excusable at that Tribunal. But if ever man glory'd in an unsexible stiffness, he came not behind any: and that grand Maxim, always to put something into his Treaties, which might give colour to refuse all that was in other things granted, and to make them signify nothing, was his own principal Maxim and particular Instructions to his Commissioners. Yet all, by his own verdict, must be constru'd Reason in the King, and depraved Temper in the Parlament.

That the highest Tide of success, with these principles and designs, set him not above a Treaty, no great wonder. But that his lowest Ebb could not be lower than

a Flight, was a prefumption that ruin'd him.

He prefaged the future unfuccessfulness of Treaties by the unwillingness of some men to treat; and could not see what was present, that their unwillingness had good cause to proceed from the continual experience of his own obstinacy and breach of word.

His Prayer therfore of forgiveness to the guilty of that Treaty's breaking, he had good reason to say heartily over, as including no man in that guilt sooner than himself.

As for that Protestation following in his Prayer, How oft have I entreated for Peace, but when I speak therof they make them ready to War; unless he thought himself still in that perfidious mist between Colebrook and Hounslow, and thought that mist could hide him from the eye of Heaven as well as of Man, after such a bloody recompence given to our first offers of Peace, how could this in the sight of Heaven without horrors of conscience be utter'd?

XIX. Upon the various Events of the War.

T is no new or unwonted thing for bad men to claim as much part in God as his best fervants, to usurp and imitate their words, and appropriate to themselves those properties which belong only to the good and righteous. This not only in Scripture is familiarly to be found, but here also in this Chapter of Apocrypha. He tells us much, why it pleased God to send him Victory or Loss (although what in so doing was the intent of God, he might be much mistaken as to his own particular) but we are yet to learn what real good use he

made therof in his practice.

Those numbers which he grew to from fmall beginnings, were not such as out of love came to protect him, for none approv'd his actions as a King, except Courtiers and Prelates, but were fuch as fled to be protected by him from the fear of that Reformation which the pravity of their lives would not bear. Such a Snow-ball he might eafily gather by rolling through those cold and dark provinces of ignorance and lewdness, where on a sudden he became so numerous. He imputes that to God's protestion, which, to them who persist in a bad cause, is either his long-fuffering, or his hardening; and that to wholesome chastifement, which were the gradual beginnings of a fevere punishment. For if neither God nor nature put civil power in the hands of any whomfoever, but to a lawful end, and commands our obedience to the authority of Law only, not to the tyrannical force of any person; and if the Laws of our Land have plac'd the Sword in no man's single hand, so much as to unsheath against a foreign enemy, much less upon the native people, but have placed it in that elective body of the Parlament, to whom the making, repealing, judging, and interpreting of Law it felf was also committed, as was fittelt, so long as we intended to be a free Nation, and not the Slaves of one man's will, then was the King himself disobedient and rebellious to that Law by which he reign'd; and by authority of Parlament to raise arms against him in defence of Law and Liberty, we do not only think, but believe and know was justifiable both by the Word of God, the Laws of the Land, and all lawful Qaths; and they who fided with him fought against all these.

The same Allegations which he uses for himself and his Party, may as well fit any Tyrant in the World: for let the Parlament be called a Faction when the King pleafes, and that no Law must be made or changed either civil or religious, because no Law will content all fides, then must be made or changed no Law at all but what a Tyrant, be he Protestant or Papist, thinks sit. rannous Affertion forc'd upon us by the Sword, he who fights against, and dies fighting, if his other fins overweigh not, dies a Martyr undoubtedly both of the Faith and of the Commonwealth: and I hold it not as the opinion, but as the full belief and perswasion of far holier and wifer Men than Parasitic Preachers. Who, without their Dinner-doctrine, know that neither King, Law, Civil Oaths, or Religion, was ever established without the Parlament: and their power is the fame to abrogate as to establish: neither is any thing to be thought establish'd, which that House declares to be abolish'd. Where the Parlament fits, there infeparably fits the King, there the Laws, there our Oaths, and whatfoever can be civil in Religion. They who fought for the Parlament, in the trueft fense fought for all these; who fought for the King divided from his Parlament, tought for the shadow of a King against all these; and for things that were not, as if they were establish'd. It were a thing monstroutly absurd and contradictory to give the Parlament a Legislative Power, and then to upbraid them for transgressing old Establishments.

But the King and his Party having lost in this Quarrel their Heaven upon Earth, begin to make great reckoning of Eternal Life, and at an easy rate in forma Pauperis canonize one another into Heaven; he them in his Book, they him in the Portraiture before his Book: but as was faid before, Stage-work will not do it, much less the justness of their Cause, wherin most frequently they died in a brutish sierceness, with Oaths and other damning words in their mouths; as if fuch had bin all the Oaths they fought for: which undoubtedly fent them full fail on another Voyage than to Heaven. In the mean while they to whom God gave victory, never brought to the King at Oxford the state of their Confciences, that he should presume without confession, more than a Pope prefumes, to tell abroad what conflicts and accusations men, whom he never spoke with, have in their own thoughts. We never read of any English King but one that was a Confessor, and his name was Edward; yet sure it pass'd his skill to know thoughts, as this King takes upon him. But they who will not stick to slander mens inward Consciences, which they can neither see nor know, much less will care to slander outward Actions, which they pretend to see, though with fenfes never fo vitiated.

To judge of his condition conquer'd, and the manner of dying on that fide, by the fober men that chose it, would be his small advantage: it being most notorious, that they who were hottest in his Cause, the most of them were men oftner drunk, than by their good-will fober, and very many of them so fought and so died.

And that the Conscience of any man should grow suspicious, or be now convicted by any Pretensions in the Parlament, which are now prov'd salse and unintended, there can be no just cause. For neither did they ever pretend to establish his Throne without our Liberty and Religion, nor Religion without the Word of God, nor to judge of Laws by their being establish, but to establish them by their being good and necessary.

He tells the World he often pray'd that all on his side might be as faithful to God and their own Souls, as to him. But Kings above all other men have in their hands not to pray only, but to do. To make that Prayer effectual, he should have govern'd as well as pray'd. To pray and not to govern, is for a Monk, and not a King. Till then he might be well affur'd they were more faithful to their

lust and rapine than to him.

In the wonted predication of his own virtues he goes on to tell us, that to conquer he never desir'd, but only to restore the Laws and Liberties of his People. It had bin happy then he had known at last, that by force to restore Laws abrogated by the Legislative Parlament, is to conquer absolutely both them and Law it self. And for our Liberties, none ever oppress'd them more, both in Peace and War; first like a Master by his arbitrary power, next as an Enemy by hostile invasion.

And if his best friends fear'd him, and he himself in the temptation of an absclute Conquest, it was not only pious but friendly in the Parlament, both to fear him and resist him; since their not yielding, was the only means to keep

him out of that temptation wherin he doubted his own strength.

He takes himself to be guilty in this War of nothing else, but of confirming the forcer of some men: Thus all along he fignifies the Parlament, whom to have settled by an act he counts to be his only guiltiness. So well he knew that to continue a Parlament, was to raise a War against himself; what were his Actions then, and his Government the while? For never was it heard in all our Story, that Parlaments made War on their Kings, but on their Tyrants; whose modessy and gratitude was more wanting to the Parlament, than theirs to any of such Kings.

What he yielded was his fear; what he deny'd was his obstinacy. Had be yielded more, fear might perchance have fav'd him; had he granted less, his ob-

ftinacy had perhaps the fooner deliver'd us.

To review the occasions of this War, will be to them never too late, who would be warn'd by his example from the like evils: but to wish only a happy conclusion, will never expiate the fault of his unhappy beginnings. 'Tis true, on our fide the fins of our lives not seldom fought against us: but on their fide, besides those,

the grand fin of their Caufe.

How can it be otherwise, when be desires here most unreasonably, and indeed facrilegiously, that we should be subject to him, though not further, yet as far as all of us may be subject to God, to whom this expression leaves no precedency? He who desires from men as much obedience and subjection, as we may all pay to God, desires not less than to be a God; a Sacrilege far worse than meddling.

with the Bishop's Lands, as he esteems it.

His Prayer is a good Prayer and a glorious; but glorying is not good, if it know not that a little leven levens the whole lump. It should have purg'd out the leven of untruth in telling God that the blood of his Subjects by him shed was in his just and necessary defence. Yet this is remarkable; God hath here so order'd his Prayer, that as his own lips acquitted the Parlament, not long before his death, of all the blood spilt in this War, so now his Prayer unwittingly draws it upon himself. For God imputes not to any man the blood he spills in a just cause; and no man ever begg'd his not imputing of that which he in his justice could not impute: So that now whether purposely, or unawares, he hath confess'd both to God and Man the blood-guiltiness of all this War to lie upon his own head.

XX. Upon the Reformation of the Times.

HIS Chapter cannot punctually be answer'd without more repetitions than now can be excufable: Which perhaps have already bin more humour'd than was needful. As it prefents us with nothing new, fo with his exceptions against Reformation pitifully old and tatter'd with continual using; not only in his Book, but in the words and writings of every Papist and Popish King. On the Scene he thrusts out first an Antimasque of two bugbears, Novelty and Perturbation; that the ill looks and noise of those two may as long as possible drive off all endeavours of a Reformation. Thus sought Pope Adrian, by representing the like vain terrors, to divert and dislipate the zeal of those reforming Princes of the age before in Germany. And if we credit Latimer's Sermons, our Papists here in England pleaded the same dangers and inconveniencies against that which was reformed by Edward the fixth. Wheras if those fears had bin available, Christianity it self had never bin re-Which Christ foretold us, would not be admitted without the cenfure of Novelty and many great Commotions. These therfore are not to deter us.

He grants Reformation to be a good work, and confesses what the indulgence of times and corruption of manners might have depravid. So did the fore-mention'd Pope, and our Grandsire Papists in this Realm. Yet all of them agree in one fong with this here, that they are forry to see so little regard had to Laws esta-

blish'd, and Religion settled.

Popular compliance, dissolution of all order and government in the Church, Schisms, Opinions, Undecencies, Consussions, sacrilegious Invasions, contempt of the Clergy and their Livergy, diminution of Princes; all these complaints are to be read in the Messages and Speeches almost of every Legate from the Pope to those States and Cities which began Reformation. From whence he either learn'd the same pretences, or had them naturally in him from the same Spirit. Neither was there ever so fincere a Reformation that hath escap'd these clamours.

He offer'd a Synod or Convocation rightly chosen. So offer'd all those Popish Kings hertofore; a course the most unsatisfactory, as matters have been long carried, and found by experience in the Church liable to the greatest fraud and packing; no solution, or redress of evil, but an increase rather; detested therfore by Nazianzen, and some other of the Fathers. And let it be produc'd, what good hath been done by Synods from the first times of Refor-

mation.

Not to justify what Enormities the Vulgar may commit in the rudeness of their zeal, we need but only instance how he bemoans the pulling down of Crosses and other superstitious Monuments, as the effect of a popular and deceitful Reformation. How little this savours of a Protestant, is too easily perceived.

What he charges in defect of Piety, Charity, and Morality, hath been also charg'd by Papiths upon the best reform'd Churches; not as if they the Accufers were not tenfold more to be accus'd, but out of their Malignity to all endeavour of amendment; as we know who accus'd to God the lineerity of Job; an accusation of all others the most easy, whenas there lives not any mortal man so excellent, who in these things is not always deficient. But the infirmities of best men, and the scandals of mix'd hypocrites in all times of reforming, whose bold intrusion covets to be ever seen in things most sacred as they are more specious, can lay no just blemish upon the integrity of others, much less upon the purpose of Reformation itself. Neither can the evil doings of some be the excuse of our delaying or deserting that duty to the Church, which for no respect of times or carnal policies can be at any time unseasonable.

He tells with great shew of Piety what kind of Persons public Reformers ought to be, and what they ought to do. It is strange that in above twenty years, the Church growing still worse and worse under him, he could neither be as he bids others be, nor do as he pretends here so well to know; nay, which is worst of all, after the greatest part of his Reign spent in neither knowing nor doing aught toward a Reformation either in Church or State, should spend the residue in hindring those by a seven years War, whom it concern'd with his confent or without it to do their parts in that great performance.

'Tis true that the method of reforming may well fubfilt without perturbation of the State; but that it falls out otherwise for the most part, is the plain Text of Scripture. And if by his own rule he had allow'd us to fear God first, and the King in due order, our Allegiance might have still follow'd our Religion in a sit subordination. But if Christ's Kingdom be taken for the true Discipline of the Church, and by bis Kingdom be meant the violence he us'd against it, and to uphold an Antichristian Hierarchy, then sure enough it is, that Christ's Kingdom could not be set up without pulling down bis: And they were best Christians who were least subject to him. Christ's Government, out of question meaning it Prelatical, he thought would consirm bis: and this was that which overthrew it.

He professes to own his Kingdom from Christ, and to desire to rule for his glory, and the Church's good. The Pope and the King of Spain profess every where as much; and both his practice and all his reasonings, all his enmity against the true Church we see hath been the same with theirs, since the time that in his Letter to the Pope he assured them both of his full compliance. But evil beginnings never bring forth good conclusions: they are his own words, and he ratify'd them by his own ending. To the Pope he engag'd himself to hazard Life and Estate for the Roman Religion, whether in compliment he did it, or in earnest; and God, who

(too

An Answer to Eikon Basilike.

424

flood nearer than he for complementing minded, writ down those words; that according to his resolution, so it should come to pass. He prays against his Hypocrify and Pharifaical Washings, a Prayer to him most pertinent, but choaks it streight with other words which pray him deeper into his old Errors and Delusions.

XXI. Upon his Letters taken and divulged.

H E King's Letters taken at the Battle of Nafeby, being of greatest importance to let the people see what Faith there was in all his promises and solemn Protestations, were transmitted to public view by special Order of Parlament. They discover'd his good affection to the Papists and Irish Rebels, the strict intelligence he held, the pernicious and dishonourable Peace he made with them, not sollicited but rather solliciting, which by all invocations that were holy he had in public abjur'd. They reveal'd his endeavours to bring in foreign Forces, Irish, French, Dutch, Lorrainers, and our old Invaders the Danes upon us, besides his suttleties and mysterious arts in treating: to sum up all, they shew'd him govern'd by a Woman. All which, though suspected vehemently before, and from good grounds believ'd, yet by him and his adherents peremptorily deny'd, were by the opening of that Cabinet visible to all men under his own hand.

The Parlament therfore, to clear themselves of aspersing him without cause, and that the people might no longer be abus'd and cajol'd, as they call it, by Falfities and Court-impudence, in matters of fo high concernment, to let them know on what terms their duty stood, and the Kingdom's peace, conceiv'd it most expedient and necessary that those Letters should be made public. This the King affirms was by them done without honour and civility: words, which if they contain not in them, as in the language of a Courtier most commonly they do not, more of fubstance and reality than Compliment, Ceremony, Courtfawning and Diffembling, enter not I suppose further than the ear into any wise man's confideration. Matters were not then between a Parlament and a King their enemy in that state of trisling, as to observe those superficial Vanities. But if honour and civility mean, as they did of old, discretion, honesty, prudence, and plain truth, it will be then maintain'd against any Sect of those Cabalists, that the Parlament in doing what they did with those Letters, could fuffer The reasons are already in their honour and civility no diminution. heard.

And that it is with none more familiar than with Kings to transgress the bounds of all honour and civility, there should not want examples good store, if brevity would permit; in point of Letters, this one shall suffice. The Dutchess of Burgundy and Heir of Duke Charles, had promis'd to her Subjects that she intended no otherwise to govern, than by advice of the three Estates; but to Lewis the French King had written Letters that she had refolv'd to commit wholly the managing of her affairs to four Perfons whom she nam'd. The three Estatesnot doubting the fincerity of her Princely Word, send Embassadors to Lewis, who then befieg'd Arras belonging to the Dukes of Burgundy. The King taking hold of this occasion to fet them at division among themselves, question'd their Credence; which when they offer'd to produce with their Instructions, he not only shews them the private Letter of their Dutchess, but gives it them to carry home, wherwith to affront her; which they did, she denying it floutly, till they spreading it before her face in a full Assembly, convicted her of an open lye. Which although Comines the Historian much blames, as a deed too harsh and dishonourable in them who were Subjects, and not at war with their Princess, yet to his Master Lewis, who first divulg'd those Letters, to the open shaming of that young Governess, he imputes no incivility or dishonour at all, although betraying a certain confidence reposed by that Letter in his Royal Secrecy.

With

With much more reason then may Letters not intercepted only, but won in battle from an Enemy, be made public to the best advantages of them that win them, to the difcovery of fuch important truth or falthood. Was it not more dishonourable in himself to seign suspicions and jealousies, which we first found among these Letters, touching the chastisty of his Mother, therby to gain affiftance from the King of Denmark, as in vindication of his Sifter? The Damfel of Burgundy at fight of her own Letter was foon blank, and more ingenuous than to fland out-facing; but this man whom nothing will convince, thinks by talking world without end to make good his integrity and fair dealing, contradicted by his own Hand and Seal. They who can pick nothing out of them but Phrases, shall be counted Bees: they that discern further both there and here, that constancy to his Wife is set in place before Laws and Religion, are in his naturalities no better than Spiders.

He would work the people to a perswasion, that if he be miserable, they cannot be happy. What should hinder them? Were they all born Twins of Hippocrates with him and his fortunc, one birth one burial? It were a Nation miferable indeed, not worth the name of a Nation, but a race of Idiots, whose happiness and welfare depended upon one Man. The happiness of a Nation consists in true Religion, Piety, Justice, Prudence, Temperance, Fortitude, and the contempt of Avarice and Ambition. They in whomsoever these virtues dwell eminently, need not Kings to make them happy, but are the Architects of their own happiness; and whether to themselves or others are not less than Kings. But in him which of these virtues were to be found, that might extend to the making happy, or the well-governing of so much as his own houshold, which was the most licentious and ill-govern'd in the whole Land?

But the opening of those Letters was design'd by the Parlament to make all Reconciliation desperate. Are the Lives of so many good and faithful Men that died for the freedom of their Country, to be so slighted, as to be forgotten in a stupid reconcilement without justice done them? What he fears not by War and Slaughter, should we fear to make desperate by opening his Letters? Which fact he would parallel with Cham's revealing of his Father's Nakedness: When he at that time could be no way esteem'd the Father of his Country, but the Destroyer; nor had he ever before merited that former title.

He thanks God be cannot only bear this with patience, but with charity forgive the Doers. Is not this meer mockery, to thank God for what he can do, but will not? For is it patience to impute Barbarism and Inhumanity to the opening of an Enemy's Letter, or is it Charity to clothe them with curses in his Prayer, whom he hath forgiven in his Discourse? In which Prayer to shew how readily he can return good for evil to the Parlament, and that if they take away his Coat, he can let them have his Cloak also; for the difmantling of his Letters he wishes they may be cover'd with the Cloak of Confusion. Which I suppose they do refign with much willingness, both Livery, Badge, and Cognizance, to them who chose rather to be his Servants and Vasfals, than to stand against him for the Liberty of their Country.

XXII. Upon Vol. I. Lii

XXII. Upon his going to the Scots.

\ H E King's coming in, whether to the *Scots* or *English*, deferv'd no thanks: For Necessity was his Counsellor; and that he hated them both alike, his expressions every where manifest. Some say his purpose was to have come to London, till hearing how flrictly it was proclaim'd that no man should conceal him, he diverted his course. But that had been a frivolous excuse: and besides, he himself rehearsing the consultations had before he took his journey, shews us clearly that he was determined to adventure upon their Loyalty who first began his troubles. And that the Scots had notice of it before, hath been long fince brought to light. What prudence there could be in it, no man can imagine; Malice there might be by raising new jealousies to divide Friends. For besides his dissidence of the English, it was no small dishonour that he put upon them, when rather than yield himself to the Parlament of England, he yielded to a hireling Army of Scots in England, paid for their fervice here, not in Scotch coin, but in English Silver; nay, who from the first beginning of these troubles, what with brotherly affiftance, and what with monthly pay, have defended their own Liberty and Confciences at our charge. However it was a hazardous and rash journey taken to resolve riddles in mens Loyalty, who had more reason to mistrust the riddle of such a disguis'd yielding; and to put himself in their hands. whose Loyalty was a Riddle to him, was not the course to be resolv'd of it, but to attempt it. What Providence deny'd to Force, he thought it might grant to Fraud, which he stiles *Prudence*: But Providence was not cozen'd with difguises, neither outward nor inward.

To have known his greatest danger in his suppos'd safety, and his greatest safety in his suppos'd danger, was to him a fatal Riddle never yet resolv'd; whering rather to have employ'd his main skill had been much more to his preservation.

Had he known when the Game was lost, it might have sav'd much contest; but the way to give over fairly was not to slip out of open War into a new disguise. He lays down his Arms, but not his Wiles; nor all his Arms; for in obstinacy he comes no less arm'd than ever, Cap-a-pé. And what were they but wiles, continually to move for Treaties, and yet to persist the same man, and to fortify his mind before-hand, still purposing to grant no more than what seem'd good to that violent and lawless Triumvirate within him, under the falsify'd names of his Reason, Honour, and Conscience, the whole circulating dance of his shifts and evasions?

The words of a King, as they are full of power, in the authority and strength of Law, so like Sampson without the strength of that Nazarite's Lock, they have no more power in them than the words of another Man.

He adores Reason as Domitian did Minerva, and callsher the Divinest Power, therby to intimate as if at reasoning, as at his own weapon, no man were so able as himself. Might we be so happy as to know where these Monuments of his Reason may be seen; for in his actions and his writing they appear as thinly as could be expected from the meanest parts, bred up in the midst of so many ways extraordinary to know something. He who reads his talk, would think he had lest Oxford not without mature deliberation: yet his Prayer confesses that he knew not what to do. Thus is verify'd that Psalm; he poureth contempt upon Princes, and causeth them to wander in the Wilderness where there is no way, Psal, 107.

XXIII. Upon the Scots delivering the King to the English.

HAT the Scots in England should fell their King, as he himself here affirms, and for a price so much above that, which the covetousness of Judas was contented with to sell our Saviour, is so soul an infamy and dishonour cast upon them, as besits none to vindicate but themselves. And it were but friendly Counsel to wish them beware the Son, who comes among them with a firm belief that they fold his Father. The rest of this Chapter he sacrifices to the Echo of his Conscience, out-babling Creeds and Ave's, glorying in his resolute obstinacy, and as it were triumphing how evident it is now that not cvil Counsellors, but he himself hath been the Author of all our Troubles. Herein only we shall disagree to the World's end, while he who sought so manifestly to have annihilated all our Laws and Liberties, hath the considence to perswade us that he hath fought and suffer'd all this while in their defence.

But he who neither by his own Letters and Commissions under Hand and Seal, nor by his own Actions held as in a Mirror before his sace, will be convinced to see his saults, can much less be won upon by any force of words, neither he, nor any that take after him; who in that respect are no more to be disputed with, than they who deny Principles. No question then, but the Parlament did wisely in their decree at last, to make no more Addresses. For how unalterable his will was, that would have been our Lord, how utterly averse from the Parlament and Reformation during his confinement, we may behold in this Chapter. But to be ever answering fruitless Repetitions, I should become liable to answer for the same mysels. He borrows David's Psalms, as he charges the Assembly of Divines in his twentieth Discourse, To bave set sorth old Catechisms and Confessions of Faith new dress; had he borrow'd David's heart, it had been much the holier thest. For such kind of borrowing as this, if it be not better'd by the Borrower, among good Authors is accounted Plagiary. However, this was more tolerable than Pamela's Prayer stolen out of Sir Philip.

XXIV. Upon the denying him the Attendance of his Chaplains.

CHAPLAIN is a thing fo diminutive and inconfiderable, that how he should come here among matters of fo great concernment to take In fuch room up in the Difcourfes of a Prince, if it be not wonder'd, is to be fmil'd at. Certainly by me fo mean an Argument shall not be written; but I shall huddle him, as he does Prayers. The Scripture owns no such Order, no such Function in the Church; and the Church not owning them, they are left, for aught I know, to such a further examining as the Sons of S. eva the Jew met with; Bishops or Presbyters we know, and Deacons we know, but what are Chaplains? In State perhaps they may be lifted among the upper Serving-men of some great houshold, and be admitted to some such place, as may stile them the Sewers, or the Yeomen-Ushers of Devotion, where the Matter is too refly, or too rich to fay his own Prayers, or to blefs his own Table. Wherfore should the Parlament then take fuch implements of the Court Cup-board into their confideration? They knew them to have been the main Corrupters at the King's elbow; they knew the King to have been always their most attentive Scholar and Imitator, and of a Childto have fuck'd from them and their Clofet-work all his impotent Principles of Tyranny and Superstition. While therfore they had any hope left of his reclaiming, these sowers of Malignant Tares they kept afunder from him, and sent to him such of the Ministers and other zealous Persons as they Vol. I. lii 2

thought were best able to instruct him, and to convert him. What could Religion herfelf have done more to the faving of a Soul? But when they found him past Cure, and that he to himself was grown the most evil Counsellor of all, they deny'd him not his Chaplains, as many as were fitting, and fome of them attended him, or elfe were at his call to the very last. Yet here he makes more Lamentation for the want of his Chaplains, than superstitious Micab did to the Denites, who had taken away his houthold Priest: Te have taken away my Gods which I made, and the Priest, and what have I more? And perhaps the whole Story of Micab might square not unfitly to this argument: Now know I, faith he, that the Lord will do me good, feeing I have a Levite to my Prioft. Micab had as great a care that his Priest should be Mosaical, as the King had that his should be Apostolical; yet both in error touching their Priests. Houshold and private Orifons were not to be officiated by Priests; for neither did public Prayer appertain only to their office. Kings hertofore, David, Solomon, and Jebejaphat, who might not touch the Priesthood, yet might pray in public, yea in the Temple, while the Priests themselves stood and heard. What ail'd this King then, that he could not chew his own Mattins without the Priest's Ore tenus? Yet it is like he could not pray at home, who can here publish a whole Prayer-book of his own, and fignifies in some part of this Chapter almost as good a mind to be a Priest himself, as Micab had to let his Son be. There was doubtless therfore fome other matter in it, which made him fo defirous to have his Chaplains about him, who were not only the contrivers, but very oft the instruments also of his defigns.

The Ministers which were sent him, no marvel he endur'd not; for they preach'd repentance to him: the others gave him easy consession, easy absolution, nay, firengthen'd his hands, and harden'd his heart, by applauding him in his wilful ways. To them he was an Abab, to these a Constantine; it must follow then, that they to him were as unwelcome as Eliah was to Abab, these as dear and pleasing as Amaziah the Priest of Bethel was to Feroboam. These had learnt well the lesson that would please; Prophesy not against Bethel, for it is the King's Chappel, the King's Court; and had taught the King to say of those Ministers which the Parlament had sent, Amos hath conspir'd against me, the Land is not able to hear

all his words.

Returning to our first Parallel, the King look'd upon his Prelates, as Orphans under the facrilegious eyes of many rapacious Reformers: and there was as great rear of Sacrilege between Micab and his Mother, till with their holy treasure, about the loss wherof there was such a cursing, they made a graven and a molten Image, and got a Priest of their own. To let go his criticizing about the found of Prayers, imperious, rude, or passionate modes of his own devising, we are in danger to fall again upon the flats and shallows of Liturgy. Which if I should repeat again, would turn my answers into Responsaries, and beget another Liturgy, having too much of one already.

This only I shall add, that if the heart, as he alledges, cannot safely join with another man's extemporal sufficiency, because we know not so exactly what they mean to say, then those public Prayers made in the Temple by those forenamed Kings, and by the Apostles in the Congregation, and by the ancient Christians for above three hundred years before Liturgies came in, were with the People made in vain.

After he hath acknowledg'd that Kings hertofore pray'd without Chaplains, even publicly in the Temple itself, and that every private Believer is invested with a royal Priesthood; yet like one that relish'd not what he tasted of the heavenly gist, and the good word of God, whose name he so considently takes into his mouth, he frames to himself impertinent and vain reasons why he should rather pray by the officiating mouth of a Closet-Chaplain. Their Prayers, saith he, are more prevalent, they flow from minds more enlighten'd, from affections less distracted. Admit this true, which is not, this might be something said as to their Prayers for him, but what avails it to their praying with him? If his own mind be incumbred with secular affairs, what helps it hisparticular Prayer, tho' the mind of his Chaplain be not wandring, either after new preferment, or his dinner? The fervency of one man in prayer cannot supererogate for the coldness of another; neither can his spiritual defests in that duty be made out in the acceptance of God by another man's abilities. Let him endeavour to have more light in himself, and not to walk by another man's Lamp, but to get Oil into his own. Let

him cast from him, as in a Christian warfare, that fecular incumbrance which either diffracts or overloads him; his load else will never be the less heavy, because another man's is light. Thus these pious slourishes and colours examin'd throughly, are like the Apples of Afphaltis, appearing goodly to the fudden eye, but look well upon them, or at least but touch them, and they turn into Cinders.

In his Prayer he remembers what voices of joy and gladness there were in his Chappel, God's House, in his opinion, between the Singing-men and the Organs; and this was unity of spirit in the bond of peace; the vanity, superstition, and misdevotion of which place, was a scandal far and near: Wherin so many things were sung, and pray'd in those Songs which were not understood; and yet he who makes a difficulty how the people can join their hearts to extemporal Prayers, though diffinefly heard and understood, makes no question how they should join their hearts in unity to fongs not understood.

I believe that God is no more mov'd with a Prayer elaborately pen'd, than

men truly charitable, are mov'd with the pen'd speech of a Beggar.

Finally, O ye Ministers, read here what work he makes among your Gallypots, your Balms and Cordials; and not only your fweet Sippets in Widows Houses, but the huge gobbets wher with he charges you, to have devour'd houses and all; the houses of your Brethren, your King, and your God. Cry him up for a Saint in your Pulpits, while he cries you down for Atheifts into Hell.

XXV. Upon his penitential Meditations and Vows at Holmby.

T is not hard for any man who hath a Bible in his hands, to borrow good words and holy fayings in abundance; but to make them his own, is a work of grace only from above. He borrows here many penitential Verses out of Deria's Pfalms. So did many among those Ifraelites, who had revolted from the true Worship of God, invent to themselves instruments of music like David, and probably Pfalms also like his; and yet the Prophet Amos complains heavily against them. But to prove how short this is of true repentance, I will recite the penitence of others, who have repented, in words not borrow'd, but their own, and yet by the doom of Scripture itself are judg'd Reprobates.

Cain said unto the Lord, My Iniquity is greater than I can bear: behold thou hast driven me this day from the face of the Earth, and from thy face shall I be hid.

And when Esau heard the words of his Father, he cry'd with an exceeding hitter

ery, and faid, Blefs me, even me also, O my Father; yet found no place of repentance, though he fought it carefully with tears, Heb. 12.

And Pharaoh laid to Mojes, The Lord is righteous, I and my Pcople are wicked:

I have fin'd against the Lord your God, and against you.

And Balaam faid, Let me die the death of the righteous, and let my last end be

And Saul faid to Samuel, I have sin'd, for I have transgress'd the commandment

of the Lord; yet honour me now, I pray thee, before the Elders of my People.

And suben Ahab heard the words of Eliah, he rent his clothes, and put fackclothes.

upon his flesh, and fasted, and lay in sackcloth, and went softly.

Jehoram also rent his clothes, and the People look'd, and behold he had fackcloth upon his flish; yet in the very act of his humiliation he could fay, God do so, and more also to me, if the head of Elisha shall stand on him this day.

Therfore faith the Lord, They have not cry'd unto me with their heart, when they how'd upon their beds. They return, but not to the Most High. Hosea 7.

And Judas faid, I have fin'd, in that I have betray'd innocent blood.

And Simon Magus faid, Pray ye to the Lord for me, that none of these things

come upon me.

All these took the pains both to confess and to repent in their own words, and many of them in their own tears, not in David's. But transported with the

An Answer to Eikon Basilike.

vain oftentation of imitating David's language, not his life, observe how he brings a curfe upon himfelf and his Father's house (God so disposing it) by his uturp'd and ill-imitated Prayer, Let thy anger I beseech thee be against me and my Father's heuse; as for these Sheep, what have they done? For if David indeed sin'd in numbring the People, of which fault he in earnest made that Confession, and acquitted the whole People from the guilt of that fin; then doth this King, using the same words, bear witness against himself to be the guilty Person, and either in his Soul and Confeience here acquits the Parlament and the People, or elfa abuses the words of David, and diffembles grossy even to the face of God; which is apparent in the very next line; wherin he accuses even the Church itfell to God, as if the were the Church's enemy, for having overcome his Tyranny by the powerful and miraculous Might of God's manifest arm: For to other through in the midft of our divisions and disorders, who can attribute our Victories? Thus had this miserable man no worse enemies to sollicit and mature his own destruction, from the hasten'd sentence of divine Justice, than the obdurate curses which proceeded against himself out of his own mouth.

Hitherto his Meditations, now his Vows, which as the Vows of Hypocrites used to be, are most commonly absurd, and some wicked. Jacob vow'd that God fhould be his God, if he granted him but what was necessary to perform that Vow, life and subfishence: but the obedience profer'd here is nothing so cheap. He who took so heinously to be offer'd nineteen Propositions from the

Parlament, capitulates here with God almost in as many Articles.

If he will continue that light, or rather that darkness of the Gospel, which is among his Prelates, fettle their Luxuries, and make them gorgeous Bishops;

If he will restore the grievances and mischiefs of those obsolete and popish Laws, which the Parlament without his confent hath abrogated, and will fuffer

Justice to be executed according to his sense;

If he will suppress the many Schisms in Church, to contradict himself in that which he hath foretold must and shall come to pass, and will remove Reformation as the greatest Schism of all, and Factions in the State by which he means in every leaf the Parlament;

If he will restore him to his Negative Voice and the Militia, as much as to say, to arbitrary Power, which he wrongfully avers to be the Right of bis Prede-

cellors;

If he will turn the hear's of his People to their old Cathedral and Parochial Ser-

vice in the Liturgy, and their Passive Obedience to the King;

If he will quench the Army, and withdraw our Forces from withstanding the

Piracy of Rupert, and the plotted Irish Invasion;

If he will less him with the freedom of Bishops again in the House of Peers, and of fugitive Delinqents in the House of Commons, and deliver the honour of Parlament into his hands, from the most natural and due protection of the people, that entrusted them with the dangerous enterprize of being faithful to their

Country against the rage and malice of his tyrannous opposition;

If he will keep him from that great offence of following the Counsel of his Parlament, and enacting what they advise him to, which in all reason, and by the known Law and Oath of his Coronation he ought to do, and not to call that Sacrilege which necessity through the continuance of his own Civil War hath compell'd them to; Necessity, which made David eat the Shew-bread, made Ezekiah take all the Silver which was found in God's House, and cut off the Gold which overlaid those doors and pillars, and give it to 3enacherib; Necesfity, which of times made the Primitive Church to fell her facred Utenfils, even to the Communion-Chalice;

If he will restore him to a Capacity of glorifying him by doing that both in Church

and State, which must needs dishonour and pollute his Name;

If he will bring him again with peace, bonour and safety to his chief City, without repenting, without fatisfying for the blood spilt, only for a few politic Concessions, which are as good as nothing;

If he will put again the Sword into his hand, to punish those that have deliver'd

us, and to protest Delinquents against the Justice of Parlament;
Then, if it be possible to reconcile Contradictions, he will praise him by displeasing him, and serve him by differving him.

His glory, in the gaudy Copes and painted Windows, Mitres, Rochets, Altars, and the chanted Service-Book, shall be dearer to him than the establishing

his Grown in righteousness, and the spiritual power of Religion.

He will pardon those that have offended him in particular, but there shall want no suttle ways to be even with them upon another score of their supposed Ossences against the Commonwealth; whereby he may at once effect the glory of a seeming justice, and destroy them pleasantly, while he seigns to sorgive them

as to his own particular, and outwardly bewails them.

These are the conditions of his treating with God, to whom he bates nothing of what he stood upon with the Parlament: as if Commissions of Array could deal with him also. But of all these conditions, as it is now evident in our eyes, God accepted none, but that final Petition which he so oft, no doubt but by the secret judgment of God, importunes against his own head; praying God, That his mercies might be so toward him, as his resolutions of truth and peace were toward his People. It follows then, God having cut him off without granting any of these mercies, that his resolutions were as seigned, as his Vows are frustrate.

XXVI. Upon the Army's surprisal of the King at Holmby.

O give account to Royalists what was done with their vanquish'd King, yielded up into our hands, is not to be expected from them whom God hath made his Conquerors. And for Brethren to debate and rip up their falling out in the Ear of a common Enemy, therby making him the Judge, or at least the well-pleas'd Auditor of their disagreement, is neither wise nor comely. To the King therfore, were he living, or to his Party yet remaining, as to this Action, there belongs no answer. Emulations, all men know are incident among military men, and are, if they exceed not, pardonable. But some of the former Army, eminent enough for their own martial deeds, and prevalent in the House of Commons, touch'd with envy to be so far outdone by a new model which they contemn'd, took advantage of Prefbyterian and Independent Names, and the virulence of some Ministers, to raise disturbance. the War being then ended, thought slightly to have discarded them, who had faithfully done the work, without their due pay, and the reward of their invincible valour. But they who had the Sword yet in their hands, disdaining to be made the first objects of ingratitude and oppression, after all that expence of their blood for Justice and the common Liberty, seiz'd upon the King their Prifoner, whom nothing but their matchless deeds had brought so low as to surrender up his Person: though he, to stir up new discord, chose rather to give up himself a captive to his own Country-men who less had won him. This in likelihood might have grown to fome height of mitchief; partly through the strife which was kindling between our elder and our younger Warriors, but chiefly through the feditious tongues of fome false Ministers, more zealous against Schifms, than against their own Simony and Pluralities, or watchful of the common Enemy, whose suttle infinuations had got so far in among them, as with all diligence to blow the coals. But it pleas'd God not to embroil and put to confusion his whole people for the perverseness of a few. The growth of our diffension was either prevented, or soon quieted; the Enemy soon deceived of his rejoicing, and the King especially disappointed of not the meanest morsel that his hope presented him, to ruin us by our division. And being now so nigh the end, we may the better be at leifure to flay a while, and hear him commenting upon his own Captivity.

He faith of his furprifal, that it was a motion eccentric and irregular. What then? his own allusion from the Celestial Bodies, puts us in mind that irregular motions may be necessary on Earth sometimes as well as constantly in Heaven. That is not always best which is most regular to written Law. Great Worthies hertofore by disobeying Law, oftimes have sav'd the Commonwealth; and the Law afterward by firm Decree hath approv'd that planetary motion,

that unblamable exorbitancy in them.

He means no good to either Independent or Presbyterian, and yet his Parable, like that of Balaam, is over-rul'd to portend them good, tar beside his intention. Those Twins that strove enclos'd in the womb of Rebecca, were the seed of Abraham; the younger undoubtedly gain'd the heavenly Birth-right; the elder though supplanted in his Simile, shall yet no question find a better portion than Esau found, and sar above his uncircumcis'd Prelates.

He censures, and in censuring seems to hope it will be an ill Omen that they who build Jerusalem divide their tongues and hands. But his hope fail'd him with his example; for that there were divisions both of tongues and hands at the building of Jerusalem, the Story would have certify'd him; and yet the work prosper'd: and if God will, so may this, notwithstanding all the crast and malignant wiles of Sanballat and Tobiah, adding what suel they can to our dissensions; or the indignity of his comparison, that likens us to those sedictious Zea-

lors whose intestine fury brought destruction to the last Jerusalem.

It being now no more in his hand to be reveng'd on his oppofers, he feeks to fatiate his fancy with the imagination of some revenge upon them from above; and like one who in a drowth observes the Sky, sits and watches when any thing will drop, that might folace him with the likeness of a Punishment from Heaven upon us: which he strait expounds how he pleases. No evil can befal the Parlament or City, but he positively interprets it a judgment upon them for his fake; as if the very Manufcript of God's Judgments had been deliver'd to his custody and exposition. But his reading declares it well to be a false copy which he uses; dispensing often to his own bad deeds and successes the testimony of Divine Favour, and to the good deeds and successes of other Men, Divine Wrath and Vengeance. But to counterfeit the hand of God is the boldest of all Forgery: And he who without warrant, but his own famtastic furmile, takes upon him perpetually to unfold the fecret and unfearchable Mysteries of high Providence, is likely for the most part to mistake and slander them; and approaches to the madness of those reprobate thoughts, that would wrest the Sword of Justice out of God's own hand, and employ it more justly in his own conceit. It was a fmall thing to contend with the Parlament about the fole power of the Militia, when we fee him doing little less than laying hands on the Weapons of God himself, which are his judgments, to wield and manage them. by the sway and bent of his own frail Cogitations. Therfore they that by Tumults first occasion'd the raising of Armies, in his doom must needs be chasten'd by their own Army for new Tumults.

First, note here his confession, that those Tumults were the first occasion of raifing Armies, and by consequence that he himself rais'd them first against those suppos'd Tumults. But who occasion'd those Tumults, or who made them so, being at first nothing more than the unarm'd and peaceable concourse of People, hath been discust already. And that those pretended Tumults were chastiz'd by their own Army for new Tumults, is not prov'd by a Game at tic-tac with words; Tumults and Armies, Armies and Tumults, but seems more like the me-

thod of a Justice irrational than divine.

If the City were chasten'd by the Army for new Tumults, the reason is by himself set down evident and immediate, their new Tumults. With what sense can it be referr'd then to another sar-fetch'd and imaginary cause that happen'd so many years before, and in his supposition only as a cause? Manlius desended the Capitol and the Romans from their enemies the Gauls: Manlius for sedition afterward was by the Romans thrown headlong from the Capitol; therfore Manlius was punish'd by divine Justice for desending the Capitol, because in that place punish'd for sedition, and by those whom he desended. This is his Logic upon Divine Justice; and was the same before upon the death of Sir John Hotham. And here again, such as were content to see him driven away by unsuppressed Tumults, are now forc'd to say to an Army. Was this a judgment? was it not a mercy rather that they had a noble and victorious Army to near at hand to say to?

From God's Justice, he comes down to Man's Justice. Those few of both Houses who at first withdrew with him from the vain pretence of Tumults, were counted Deserters; therfore those many must be also Deserters who withdrew afterwards from real Tumults: as if it were the place that made a Parlament, and not the end and cause. Because it is deny'd those were Tumults from which the King made shew of being driven, is it therfore of necessity imply'd, that there could be never any Tumults for the suture? If some men sly in crast,

An Answer to Eikon Basilike.

may not other men have cause to fly in earnest? But mark the difference between their flight and his; they soon return'd in safety to their places, he not till after many years, and then a Captive to receive his punishment. So that their flying, whether the cause be consider'd or the event, or both, neither justify'd him, nor condemn'd themselves.

But he will needs have vengeance to pursue and overtake them; though to bring it in, it cost him an inconvenient and obnoxious comparison, As the Mice and Rats overtook a German Bishop. I would our Mice and Rats had been as orthodoxal here, and had so pursu'd all his Bishops out of England; then vermin had rid away vermin, which now hath lost the lives of too many thousand honest men to do.

He cannot but observe this Divine Justice yet with sorrow and pity. But forrow and pity in a weak and over-master'd Enemy, is look'd upon no otherwise than as the Ashes of his revenge burnt out upon it self; or as the damp of a cool'd fury when we say it gives. But in this manner to sit spelling and observing Divine Justice upon every accident and slight disturbance that may happen humanly to the affairs of Men, is but another fragment of his broken revenge; and yet the shrewdest and the cunningest Obloquy that can be thrown upon their Actions. For if he can persuade men that the Parlament and their cause is pursu'd with Divine Vengeance, he hath attain'd his end, to make all men forsake them, and think the worst that can be thought of them.

Nor is he only content to suborn Divine Justice in his censure of what is past, but he assumes the person of Christ himself to prognosticate over us what he wishes would come. So little is any thing or person facred from him, no not in Heaven, which he will not use, and put on, if it may serve him plausibly to wreck his spleen, or ease his mind upon the Parlament. Although if ever fatal blindness did both attend and punish wilfulness, if ever any enjoy'd not comforts for n glessing counsel belonging to their peace, it was in none more evidently brought to pass than in himself: and his Predictions against the Parlament and their Adherents have for the most part been verify'd upon his own head, and upon his chief Counsellors.

He concludes with high praifes of the Army. But praifes in an Enemy are fuperfluous, or finell of crast; and the Army shall not need his praises, nor the Parlament far worse for his accusing prayers that follow. Wherin as his Charity can be no way comparable to that of Christ, so neither can his affurance that they whom he seems to pray for, in doing what they did against him, knew not what they did. It was but arrogance thersore, and not charity, to lay such ignorance to others in the sight of God, till he himself had been infallible, like him whose peculiar words he overweeningly assumes.

Vol. I. - Kkk XXVII.

XXVII. Intitl'd to the Prince of Wales.

What he wrote to him as a King of England, concerns not him; God and the Parlament having now otherwise dispos'd of England. But because I see it done with some artifice and labour, to possess the people that they might amend their present condition, by his or by his Son's restorement, I shall shew point by point, that although the King had been re-install'd to his defire, or that his Son admitted, should observe exactly all his Father's Precepts, yet that this would be so far from conducing to our happiness, either as a remedy to the present distempers, or a prevention of the like to come, that it would inevitably throw us back again into all our past and fulfill'd miseries; would force us to fight over again all our tedious Wars, and put us to another fatal struggling for Liberty and Life, more dubious than the former. In which as our success hath been no other than our cause; so it will be evident to all posterity, that his missortunes were the meer consequence of his perverse Judgment.

First he argues from the experience of those troubles which both he and his Son have had, to the improvement of their piety and patience: and by the way bears witness in his own words, that the corrupt education of his youth, which was but glanc'd at only in some sormer passages of this Answer, was a thing neither of mean confideration, nor untruly charg'd upon him or his Son: himself confessing here, that Court-delights are prone either to root up all true vertue and honcur, or to be contented only with some leaves and withering formalities of them, without any real fruits tending to the public good. Which prefents him still in his own words another Rehoboam, foften'd by a far worse Court than Solomon's, and so cerrupted by flatteries, which he affirms to be unfeparable, to the overturning of all peace, and the loss of his own Honour and Kingdoms. That he came therfore thus bred up and nurtur'd to the Throne, far worfe than Rehoboam, unlefs he be of those who equalized his Father to King Solomon, we have here his own confessionon. And how voluptuously, how idly reigning in the hands of other men, he either tyranniz'd or triffed away those seventeen years of peace, without care or thought, as if to be a King had been nothing else in his apprehension, but to eat and drink, and have his will, and take his pleafure; though there be who can relate his domestic life to the exactness of a diary, there shall be here no mention made. This yet we might have then foreseen, that he who tpent his leifure foremisfly and fo corruptly to his own pleafing, would one day or other be worse busied and employed to our forrow. And that he acted in good earnest what $\mathit{Rehoboam}$ did but threaten, to make his little finger heavier than his Father's Loins, and to whip us with his two twifted Scorpions, both temporal and spiritual Tyranny, all his Kingdoms have felt. What good use he made afterward of his adverfity, both his impenitence and obstinacy to the end (for he was no Manaffek) and the fequel of these his meditated resolutions, abundantly express; retaining, commending, teaching to his Son all those putrid and pernicious documents both of State and of Religion, instill'd by wicked Doctors, and receiv'd by him as in a Vessel nothing better season'd, which were the first occasion both of his own and all our miseries And if he in the best maturity of his years and understanding made no better use to himself or others of his fo long and manifold afflictions, either looking up to God, or looking down upon the reason of his own affairs, there can be no probability that his Son, bred up, not in the foft esseminacies of a Court only, but in the rugged and more boifterous licence of undifciplin'd Camps and Garifons, for years unable to reflect with judgment upon his own condition, and thus ill in-Aructed by his Father, should give his mind to walk by any other rules than these bequeath'd him as on the death-bed of his Father, and as the choicest of all that experience, which his most serious observation and retirement in good or evil days, had taught him. David indeed by fuffering without just cause, learn'd that meekness and that wisdom by adversity, which made him much the fitter man to reign. But they who fuffer as Oppressors, Tyrants, violators of Law, and perfecutors of Reformation, without appearance of repenting, if

An Answer to Eikon Balisike.

they once get hold again of that dignity and power which they had loft, are but whetted and enrag'd by what they fuffer'd, against those whom they look upon as them that caus'd their sufferings.

How he hath been fubjett to the sceptre of God's word and spirit, though acknowledg'd to be the best Government, and what his dispensation of tivil power hath been, with what Justice, and what bonour to the public peace, it is but looking back upon the whole catalogue of his deeds, and that will be fufficient to remember us. The Cup of God's physic, as he calls it, what alteration it wrought in him to a firm bealthfulness from any furfeit, or excess wherof the people generally thought him fick, if any man would go about to prove, we have his own

testimony following here, that it wrought none at all.

First, he hath the same fix'd opinion and esteem of his old Ephesian Goddess, call'd the Church of England, as he had ever; and charges strictly his Son after him to persevere in that Anti-papal Schism (for it is not much better) as that which will be necessary both for his Soul's and the Kingdom's Peace. But if this can be any foundation of the Kingdom's peace, which was the first cause of our distractions, let common sense be Judge. It is a rule and principle worthy to be known by Christians, that no Scripture, no nor so much as any ancient Creed, binds our Faith, or our obedience to any Church whatfoever, denominated by a particular name; far less, if it be distinguish'd by a several Government from that which is indeed Catholic. No man was ever bid be subject to the Church of Corinth, Rome, or Afia, but to the Church without addition, as it held faithful to the rules of Scripture, and the Government establish'd in all places by the Apostles; which at first was univerfally the same in all Churches and Congregations; not differing or diffinguish'd by the diversity of Countries, Territories, or civil Bounds. That Church, that from the name of a diffinct place takes authority to fet up a distinct Faith or Government, is a Schism and Faction, not a Church. It were an injury to condemn the Papist of absurdity and contradiction, for adhering to his Catholic Romifo Religion, if we for the pleafure of a King and his politic confiderations, shall adhere to a Catholic English.

But suppose the Church of England were as it ought to be, how is it to us the fafer by being so nam'd and establish'd, whenas that very name and establishment, by his contriving, or approbation, ferv'd for nothing else but to delude us and amute us, while the Church of England was almost chang'd into the Church of Rome. Which as every man knows in general to be true, so the particular Treaties and Transactions tending to that conclusion, are at large difcover'd in a Book intitled the English Pope. But when the people, discerning these abuses, began to call for Reformation, in order to which the Parlament demanded of the King to un-establish that Prelatical Government, which without Scripture had usurp'd over us, strait, as Pharach accus'd of Idleness the Israel. ites that fought leave to go and facrifice to God, he lays faction to their charge. And that we may not hope to have ever any thing reformed in the Church either by him or his Son, he forewarns him, That the Devil of Rebellion doth most commonly turn himself into an Angel of Reformation: and says enough to make him hate it, as the worst Evils, and the bane of his Crown: nay he counfels him to let nothing from little or despicable to him, so as not speedily and effectually to suppress Errors & Schisms. Wherby we may perceive plainly that our confciences were destined to the same servitude and persecution, if not worse than before, whether under him, or if it should so happen, under his Son; who count all Protestant Churches erroneous and schismatical, which are not Episcopal. His next precept is concerning our civil Liberties; which by his fole voice and predominant will must be circumscrib'd, and not permitted to extend a hand's breadth further than his interpretation of Laws already fettled. And although al' human Laws are but the offspring of that frailty, that fallibility, and imperfection which was in their Authors, wherby many Laws, in the change of ignorant and obscure Ages, may be found both scandalous, and full of grievance to their Posterity that made them, and no Law is further good, than mutable upon all occasion; yet if the removing of an old Law, or the making of a new would fave the Kingdom, we shall not have it unless his arbitrary voice will to far flacken the fliff curb of his Prerogative, as to grant it us; who are as freeborn to make our own Laws, as our Fathers were who made thefe we have Where are then the English Liberties which we boalt to have been left us by our Vol. 1. Kkk2 Progenitors? Vol. 1.

Progenitors? To that he answers, that Our Liberties confist in the enjoyment of the fruits of our Industry, and the benefit of those Laws to which we our selves have confented. First, for the enjoyment of those fruits which our industry and labours have made our own upon our own, what privilege is that above what the Turks, Jews and Moors enjoy under the Turkish Monarchy? For without that kind of Justice, which is also in Argiers, among Thieves and Pirates between themfelves, no kind of Government, no Society, just or unjust, could stand; no combination or conspiracy could stick together. Which he also acknowledges in these words: That if the Crown upon his head be so heavy as to oppress the whole body, the weakness of inferior members cannot return any thing of strength, bonour, or safety to the head; but that a necessary debilitation must follow. So that this Liberty of the Subject concerns himself and the subsistence of his own regal power in the first place, and before the consideration of any right belonging to the Subject. We expect therfore fomething more that must distinguish free Government from flavish. But instead of that, this King, though ever talking and protesting as smooth as now, suffer'd it in his own hearing to be preach'd and pleaded without controll or check, by them whom he most favour'd and upheld, that the Subject had no property of his own Goods, but that all was the King's right.

Next, for the benefit of those Laws to which we our selves have consented, we never had it under him; for not to speak of Laws ill executed, when the Parlament, and in them the People, have consented to divers Laws, and according to our ancient Rights, demanded them, he took upon him to have a Negative Will, as the transcendent and ultimate Law above all our Laws; and to rule us forcibly by Laws to which we our selves did not consent, but complain'd of. Thus these two heads, wherin the utmost of his allowance here will give our Liberties leave to consist, the one of them shall be so far only made good to us, as may support his own Interest and Crown from ruin or debilitation; and so far Turkish Vassals enjoy as much liberty under Mahomet and the Grand Signior: the other we neither yet have enjoy'd under him, nor were ever like to do under the Tyranny of a Negative Voice, which he claims above the unanimous consent

and power of a whole Nation virtually in the Parlament.

In which Negatitve Voice to have been cast by the doom of War, and put to death by those who vanquish'd him in their own defence, he reckons to himself more than a Negative Martyrdom. But Martyrs bear witness to the truth, not to themselves. If I bear witness of my self, saith Christ, my witness is not true. He who writes himself Martyr by his own inscription, is like an ill Painter, who by writing on the shapeless Picture which he hath drawn, is fain to tell passengers what shape it is; which else no man could imagine: no more than how a Martyrdom can belong to him, who therfore dies for his Religion because it is established. Certainly if Agrippa had turned Christian, as he was once turning, and had put to death Scribes and Pharifees for observing the Law of Moses, and refufing Christianity, they had died a truer Martyrdom. For those Laws were established by God and Moses, these by no warrantable authors of Religion, whose Laws in all other best reformed Churches are rejected. And if to die for an establishment of Religion be Martyrdom, then Romish Priests executed for that which had fo many hundred years been establish'd in this Land, are no worse Martyrs than he. Lastly, if to die for the testimony of his own conscience be enough to make him Martyr, what Heretic dying for direct Blafphemy, as some have done constantly, may not boast a Martyrdom? As for the constitution or repeal of civil Laws, that power lying only in the Parlament, which he by the very Law of his Coronation was to grant them, not to debar them, nor to preferve a leffer Law with the contempt and violation of a greater, it will conclude him not fo much as in a civil and metaphorical fense to have died a Martyr of our Laws, but a plain Transgressor of them. And should the Parlament, endued with Legislative Power, make our Laws, and be after to dispute them piece-meal with reason, conscience, humour, passion, fancy, folly, obstinacy, or other ends of one man, whose sole word and will shall bassle and unmake what all the wifdom of a Parlament hath been deliberately framing, what a ridiculous and contemptible thing a Parlament would foon be, and what a bate unworthy Nation we, who boatt our freedom, and fend them with the manifest peril of their Lives to preserve it, they who are not mark'd by desting

An Answer to Eikon Basilike.

for Slaves, may apprehend. In this fervile condition to have kept us still under hatches, he both resolves here to the last, and so instructs his Son.

As to those offer'd condescensions of charitable connivence, or toleration, if we consider what went before, and what follows, they moulder into nothing. For, what with not suffering ever so little to seem a despicable Schism, without effectual suppression, as he warned him before, and what with no opposition of Law, Government, or established Religion to be permitted, which is his following proviso, and wholly within his own construction, what a miserable and suspected toleration, under Spies and haunting Promoters we should enjoy, is apparent. Besides that it is so far beneath the honour of a Parlament and free Nation to beg and supplicate the Godship of one frail man, for the bare and simple toleration of what they all consent to be most just, pious, and best pleasing to God, while that which is erroneous, unjust and mischievous in the Church or State, shall by him alone against them all be kept up and establish'd, and they censur'd the while for a covetous, ambitious, and facrilegious Faction.

Another bait to allure the people, is the charge he lays upon his Son to be tender of them. Which if we should believe in part, because they are his Herd, his Cattle, the Stock upon his ground, as he accounts them, whom to waste and destroy would undo himself, yet the inducement which he brings to move him, renders the motion it self something suspicious. For if Princes need no Palliations, as he tells his Son, wherfore is it that he himself hath so often used them? Princes, of all other men, have not more change of Raiment in their Wardrobes, than variety of Shifts and Palliations in their solemn actings and pretences to the

People.

To try next if he can enfhare the prime men of those who have opposed him, whom, more truly than his meaning was, he calls the *Patrons and Vindicators of the People*, he gives out *Indemnity*, and offers Asts of oblivion. But they who with a good conscience and upright heart did their civil duties in the fight of God, and in their several places, to resist Tyranny and the violence of Superstition banded both against them, he may be sure will never seek to be forgiven that, which may be justly attributed to their immortal praise; nor will affent ever to the guilty blotting out of those actions before men, by which their Faith assures them they chiefly stand approved, and are had in remembrance before the throne of God.

He exhorts his Son not to fludy revenge. But how far he, or at leaft they about him intend to follow that exhortation, was feen lately at the Hague, and by what attempts were likewife made in other places. How implacable they would be, it will be wifdom and our fafety to believe rather, and prevent, than to make trial. And it will concern the multitude, though courted here, to take heed how they feek to hide or colour their own ficklenefs and inftability with a bad repentance of their well-doing, and their fidelity to the better cause, to which at first so chearfully and conscientiously they join'd themselves.

at first so chearfully and conscientiously they join'd themselves.

He returns again to extol the Church of England, and again requires his Son by the joint authority of a Father and a King, not to let his heart receive the least check or disaffection against it. And not without cause, for by that means having sole influence upon the Clergy, and they upon the People, after long search and many disputes, he could not possibly find a more compendious and politic way to uphold and settle Tyranny, than by subduing first the Consciences of vulgar men with the insensible poison of their slavish Doctrine: for then the body and besotted mind without much reluctancy was likeliest to admit the Yoke.

He commends also Parlaments held with freedom and with honour. But I would ask how that can be, while he only must be the sole free Person in that number? and would have the power with his unaccountable denial to dishonour them by rejecting all their counsels, to confine their Law-giving Power, which is the Foundation of our freedom, and to change at his pleasure the very name of a

Parlament into the name of a Faction.

The conclusion therfore must needs be quite contrary to what he concludes; that nothing can be more unhappy, more dishonourable, more unsafe for all, than when a wife, grave, and bonourable Parlament shall have labour'd, debated, argued, consulted, and, as he himself speaks, contributed for the public good all their Counsels in common, to be then strustrated, disappointed, deny'd and repuls'd by the single whilf of a Negative, from the mouth of one wilful

man; nay, to be blasted, to be struck as mute and motionless as a Parlament of Tapestry in the Hangings, or else after all their pains and travel to be dissolved, and cast away like so many Noughts in Arithmetic, unless it be to turn the O of their infignificance into a lamentation with the people, who had fo vainly fent them. For this is not to enact all things by public confent, as he would have us be perfuaded, this is to enact nothing but by the private confent and leave of one not negative tyrant; this is mischief without remedy, a stifling and obstructing evil that hath no vent, no out-let, no passage through: Grant him this, and the Parlament hath no more freedom than if it fate in his Noofe, which when he pleases to draw together with one twitch of his Negative, shall throttle a whole Nation, to the wish of Caligula in one neck. This with the power of Militia in his own hands over our bodies and estates, and the Prelates to enthrall our consciences either by fraud or force, is the sum of that happiness and liberty we were to look for, whether in his own restitution, or in these precepts given to his Son. Which unavoidably would have fet us in the fame state of misery, wherin we were before; and have either compell'd us to fubmit like bond-slaves, or put us back to a fecond wandring over that horrid Wilderness of distraction and civil flaughter, which, not without the strong and miraculous hand of Ged affifting us, we have meafur'd out, and furviv'd. And who knows, if we make fo flight of this incomparable deliverance, which God hath bestowed upon us, but that we shall like those foolish *Ifraelites*, who depos'd God and *Samuel* to set up a King, cry out one day, because of our King, which we have been mad upon; and then God, as he foretold them, will no more deliver us.

There remains now but little more of his discourse, where of yet to take a short view will not be amis. His words make semblance as if he were magnanimously exercising himself, and so teaching his Son, To want as well as to wear a Crown; and would seem to account it not worth taking up or enjoying, upon fordid, dishonourable, and irreligious terms; and yet to his very last did nothing more industriously than strive to take up and enjoy again his sequester'd Crown, upon the most fordid, dishonourable, and irreligious terms, not of making peace only, but of joining and incorporating with the murdrous Irish, formerly by himself declared against, for wicked and detestable Rebels, odious to God and all good Men. And who but those Rebels now, are the chief strength and considence of his Son; while the Presbyter Scot that woos and sollicits him, is neglected and put off, as if no terms were to him fordid, irreligious and dis-

honourable, but the Scottish and Presbyterian.

He bids his Son keep to the true principles of piety, vertue, and bonour, and he shall never want a Kingdom. And I say, People of England, keep ye to those principles, and ye shall never want a King. Nay, after such a fair deliverance as this, with so much fortitude and valour shown against a Tyrant, that people that should seek a King, claiming what this Man claims, would shew themselves to be by nature slaves, and arrant beasts; not sit for that liberty which they cried out and bellowed for, but sitter to be led back again into their old bondage, like a fort of clamouring and sighting brutes, broke loose, that know

not how to use or possess the liberty which they fought for.

The last sentence, wheron he seems to venture the whole weight of his former reasons and argumentations, That Religion to their God, and Loyalty to their King, cannot be parted, without the sin and infelicity of a People, is contrary to the plain teaching of Christ, that No man can serve two Masters; but, if he hold to the one, he must reject and forsake the other. If God then, and earthly Kings be for the most part not several only, but opposite Masters, it will as oft happen, that they who will serve their King must forsake their God; and they who will serve God, must forsake their King; which then will neither be their sin, nor their infelicity; but their wisdom, their piety, and their true happiness: as to be deluded by these unsound and suttle oftentations here, would be their misery.

XXVIII. Intitl'd Meditations upon Death.

T might be well thought by him who reads no further than the Title of this last Essay, that it requir'd no answer. For all other human things are disputed, and will be variously thought of to the world's end. But this business of Death is a plain case, and admits no controversy: In that centre all Opinions meet. Nevertheless, since out of those sew mortifying hours that should have been intirest to themselves, and most at peace from all passion and disquiet, he can afford spare time to inveigh bitterly against that Justice which was done upon him; it will be needful to say something in defence of those Proceedings, tho' briefly, in regard so much on this Subject hath been written lately.

It happened once, as we find in Esdras and Josephus, Authors not less believed than any under facred, to be a great and folemn debate in the Court of Darius, what thing was to be counted strongest of all other. He that could resolve this, in reward of his excelling wifdom, should be clad in Purple, drink in Gold, sleep on a Bed of Gold, and fit next to Darius. None but they doubtlefs who were reputed wife, had the Question propounded to them: Who after some respite given them by the King to confider, in full Affembly of all his Lords and gravett Counsellors, return'd severally what they thought. The first held, that Wine was strongest; another, that the King was strongest. But Zorobabel Prince of the Captive Jews, and Heir to the Crown of Judah, being one of them, prov'd Women to be stronger than the King, for that he himself had seen a Concubine take his Crown from off his head to fet it upon her own: And others besides him have lately seen the like Feat done, and not in jest. Yet he prov'd on, and it was so yielded by the King himself, and all his Sages, that neither Wine, nor Women, nor the King, but Truth, of all other things was the strongest. For me, though neither ask'd, nor in a Nacion that gives such rewards to wisdom, I shall pronounce my sentence somewhat different from Zorobabel; and shall defend, that either Truth and Justice are all one, for Truth is but Justice in our knowledge, and Justice is but Truth in our practice; and he indeed to explains himfelf in taying that with Truth is no accepting of Perfons, which is the property of Justice: or else if there be any odds, that Justice, though not stronger than Truth, yet by her office is to put forth and exhibit more strength in the affairs of mankind. For Truth is properly no more than Contemplation; and her utmost efficiency is but teaching: but Justice in her very effence is all strength and activity; and hath a Sword put into her hand, to use against all violence and oppression on the earth. She it is most truly, who accepts no Person, and exempts none from the feverity of her stroke. She never fuffers injury to prevail, but when falfhood first prevails over Truth; and that also is a kind of Justice done on them who are so deluded. Though wicked Kings and Tyrants counterfeit her Sword, as some did that Buckler, fabled to fall from Heaven into the Capitol, yet she communicates her power to none but fuch as like herself are just, or at least will do justice. For it were extreme partiality and injuffice, the flat denial and overthrow of her felf, to put her own authentic Sword into the hand of an unjust and wicked Man, or so far to accept and exalt one mortal Person above his equals, that he alone shall have the punishing of all other men transgressing, and not receive like punishment from men, when he himfelf shall be found the highest Transgressor.

We may conclude therfore, that Justice, above all other things, is and ought to be the strongest: She is the Strength, the Kingdom, the Power, and Majesty of all Ages. Truth her self would subscribe to this, though Darius and all the Monarchs of the World should deny. And if by sentence thus written it were my happiness to set free the minds of Englishmen from longing to return poorly under that Captivity of Kings, from which the strength and supreme Sword of Justice hath deliver'd them, I shall have done a work not much inferior to that of Zorobabel: who by well praising and extolling the sorce of Truth, in that contemplative strength conquer'd Darius; and freed his Country and the people of God from the Captivity of Babylon. Which I shall yet not despair to do, if they in this Land whose minds are yet Captive,

be but as ingenuous to acknowledge the strength and supremacy of Justice, as that Heathen King was to confess the strength of Truth: or let them but, as he did, grant that, and they will soon perceive that Truth resigns all her outward strength to Justice: Justice therfore must needs be strongest, both in her own and in the strength of Truth. But if a King may do among men whatsoever is his will and pleasure, and notwithstanding be unaccountable to men, then contrary to this magnify'd wisdom of Zorobabel, neither Truth nor Justice, but the King is strongest of all other things: which that Persian Monarch himself in the midst of all his pride and glory durst not assume.

Let us fee therfore what this King hath to affirm, why the fentence of Justice and the weight of that Sword which she delivers into the hands of men, should be more partial to him offending, than to all others of human race. First he pleads that no Law of God or Man gives to Subjects any power of judicature without or against him. Which affertion shall be prov'd in every part to be most untrue. The first express Law of God given to mankind, was that to Noah, as a Law, in general, to all the fons of men. And by that most ancient and univerfal Law, Whosoever sheddeth man's blood, by man shall his blood be shed; we find here no exception. If a King therfore do this, to a King, and that by men also, the same shall be done. This in the Law of Moses, which came next, several times is repeated, and in one place remarkably, Numb. 35. Te shall take no satisfaction for the life of a murderer, but he shall surely be put to death: the Land cannot be cleansed of the blood that is shed therin, but by the blood of him that shed it. This is so spoken as that which concerned all Israel, not one man alone, to fee performed; and if no fatisfaction were to be taken, then certainly no exception. Nay the King, when they should set up any, was to obferve the whole Law, and not only to fee it done, but to do it; that his beart might not be listed up above his Brethren, to dream of vain and reasonless Prerogatives or Exemptions, wherby the Law it felf must needs be founded in unrighteoufness.

And were that true, which is most false, that all Kings are the Lord's Anointed, it were yet absurd to think that the Anointment of God should be as it were a charm against Law, and give them privilege, who punish others, to sin themselves unpunishably. The High Priest was the Lord's Anointed as well as any King, and with the same confectated oil: yet Solomon had put to death Abiather, had it not been for other respects than that anointment. If God himself say to Kings, Touch not mine anointed, meaning his chosen people, as is evident in that Psalm, yet no man will argue thence, that he protects them from Civil Laws if they offend; then certainly, though David as a private Man, and in his own cause, fear'd to lift his hand against the Lord's Anointed, much less can this forbid the Law, or disarm Justice from having legal power against any King. No other supreme Magistrate, in what kind of Government soever, lays claim to any such enormous Privilege; wherfore then should any King, who is but one kind of Magistrate, and set over the People for no other end

than they?

Next in order of time to the Laws of Moses, are those of Christ, who declares professedly his Judicature to be spiritual, abstract from civil managements, and therfore leaves all Nations to their own particular Laws, and way of Government. Yet because the Church hath a kind of Jurisdiction within her own bounds, and that also, though in process of time much corrupted and plainly turn'd into a corporal Judicature, yet much approv'd by this King; it will be firm enough and valid against him, if Subjects, by the Laws of Church alfo, be invested with a power of judicature both without and against their King, though pretending, and by them acknowledged next and immediately under Christ supreme Head and Governour. Theodosius the Emperor having made a flaughter of the Theffalonians for sedition, but too cruelly, was excommunicated to his face by Saint Ambrose, who was his subject; and Excommunion is the utmost of Ecclesiastical Judicature, a spiritual putting to death. But this, ye will fay, was only an example. Read then the Story, and it will appear, both that Ambrose avouch'd it for the Law of God, and Theodossus confest it of his own accord to be fo; and that the Law of God was not to be made void in him, for any reverence to his Imperial Power. From hence, not to be tedious, I shall pass into our own Land of Britain; and show that Subjects here have exercis'd the

utmost of spiritual Judicature, and more than spiritual against their Kings, his Predecessors. *Vortiger* for committing incest with his Daughter, was by Saint German, at that time his Subject, curs'd and condemn'd in a British Council about the year 448; and therupon soon after was deposed. Mauricus a King in Wales for breach of Oath, and the murder of Cynetus, was excommunicated and curft, with all his Orf-spring, by Oudoceus Bishop of Landaff in Iull Synod, about the year 560; and not restor'd till he had repented. Moreant another King in Wales having flain Frior his Uncle, was fain to come in Person, and receive judgment from the same Bishop and his Clergy; who upon his penitence acquitted him, for no other cause than left the Kingdom should be destitute of a Successor in the Royal Line. These Examples are of the Primitive, British, and Episcopal Church; long ere they had any Commerce or Communion with the Church of Rome. What Power afterward of deposing Kings, and so consequently of putting them to death, was assumed and practised by the Canon Law, I omit as a thing generally known. Certainly if whole Councils of the Romifo Church have in the midst of their dimness differend so much of Truth, as to decree at Constance, and at Basil, and many of them to avouch at Trent also, that a Council is above the Pope, and may judge him, though by them not deny'd to be the Vicar of Christ, we in our clearer light may be asham'd not to discern further, that a Parlament is by all equity and right above a King, and may judge him, whose reasons and pretentions to hold of God only, as his immediate Vicegerent, we know how far fetch'd they are, and infusficient.

As for the Laws of Man, it would ask a volume to repeat all that might be in this point against him from all Antiquity. In Greece, Orestes the Son of Agamennon, and by Succession King of Argos, was in that Country judg'd and condemn'd to death for killing his Mother: whence escaping, he was judg'd again, though a stranger, before the great Council of Arcopagus in Athens. And this memorable Act of Judicature, was the first that brought the Justice of that grave Senate into Fame and high Estimation over all Greece for many Ages And in the same City, Tyrants were to undergo legal fentence by the Laws of Solon. The Kings of Sparta, though descended lineally from Hercules, efteem'd a God among them, were often judg'd, and fometimes put to death by the most just and renowned Laws of Lycurgus; who, though a King, thought it most unequal to bind his Subjects by any Law, to which he bound not himfelf. In Rome the Laws made by Valerius Publicola, and what the Senate decreed against Nero, that he should be judg'd and punish'd according to the Laws of their Ancestors, and what in like manner was decreed against other Emperors, is vulgarly known. And that the Civil Law warrants like Power of Judicature to Subjects against Tyrants, is written clearly by the best and samoulest Civilians. For if it was decreed by Theodosius, and stands yet firm in the Code of Justinian, that the Law is above the Emperor, then certainly the Emperor being under Law, the Law may judge him; and if judge him, may punish him proving tyrannous: how clie is the Law above him, or to what purpole? These are necessary deductions; and therafter hath bin done in all Ages and Kingdoms, oftner than to be here recited.

But what need we any further fearch after the Laws of other Lands, for that which is fo fully and fo plainly fet down lawful in our own? Where ancient Books tell us, Bratton, Fleta, and others, that the King is under Law, and inferior to his Court of Parlament; that although his Place to do Juffice be highest, yet that he stands as liable to receive Justice, as the meanest of his Kingdom. Nay, Alfred the most worthy King, and by some accounted first absolute Monarch of the Saxons here, fo ordain'd; as is cited out of an ancient Law-Book call'd the Mirror; in Rights of the Kingdom, p. 31. where it is complain'd on, as the fovereign abuse of all, that the King should be deem'd above the Law, wheras he ought to be subjest to it by bis Oath. Of which Oath antiently it was the last cause, that the King should he as liable, and obedient to suffer right, as others of his People. And indeed it were but fond and fentlefs, that the King should be accountable to every petty Suit in leffer Courts, as we all know he was, and not be subject to the Judieature of Parlament in the main matters of our common fafety or destruction; that he should be answerable in the ordinary Courts of Law for any wrong done to a private Person, and not answerable in Court of Parlament for destroying the Vol. I. L11

An Answer to Eikon Basilike.

2 4 Co. Land

whole Kingdom. By all this, and much more that might be added, as in an argument over-copious rather than barren, we fee it manifest that all Laws both of God and Man are made without exemption of any Person whomsoever; and that if Kings presume to over-top the Law by which they reign for the public Good, they are by Law to be reduc'd into Order; and that can no way be more justly, than by those who exalted them to that high Place. For who should better understand their own Laws, and when they are transgrest, than they who are govern'd by them, and whose consent first made them? And who can have more right to take knowledge of things done within a free Nation than they within themselves?

Those objected Oaths of Allegiance and Supremacy we swore, not to his Perfon, but as it was invested with his Authority; and his Authority was by the People first given him conditionally, in Law, and under Law, and under Oath also for the Kingdom's Good, and not otherwise; the Oaths then were interchang'd, and mutual; stood and fell together; he swore fidelity to his trust; not as a deluding Ceremony, but as a real condition of their admitting him for King; and the Conqueror himself swore it oftner than at his Crowning: they swore Homage and Fealty to his Person in that trust. There was no reason why the Kingdom should be further bound by Oaths to him, than he by his Coronation-Oath to us, which he hath every way broken: and having broken, the ancient Crown-Oath of Alfred above-mentioned conceals not his Penalty.

As for the Covenant, if that be meant, certainly no difereet Person can imagine it should bind us to him in any stricter sense than those Oaths formerly. The Acts of Hostility which we receiv'd from him, were no such dear obligements that we should owe him more Fealty and Defence for being our Eenemy, than we could before when we took him only for a King. They were accus'd by him and his Party to pretend Liberty and Reformation, but to have no other end than to make themselves great, and to destroy the King's Person and Authority. For which reason they added that third Article, testitying to the World, that as they were refolv'd to endeavour first a Reformation in the Church, to extirpate Prelacy, to preferve the Rights of Parlament, and the Liberties of the Kingdom, to they intended, so far as it might consist with the Preservation and Defence of these, to preserve the King's Person and Authority; but not other-As far as this comes to, they covenant and fwear in the fixth Article to preferve and defend the Persons and Authority of one another, and all those that enter into that League; so that this Covenant gives no unlimitable exemption to the King's Person, but gives to all as much Defence and Preservation as to him, and to him as much as to their own Persons, and no more; that is to fay, in order and fubordination to those main ends for which we live and are a Nation of Men join'd in fociety either christian, or at least human. But if the Covenant were made absolute, to preserve and defend any one whomsoever, without respect had, either to the true Religion, or those other superiour things to be defended and preferv'd however, it cannot then be doubted, but that the Covenant was rather a most foolish, hasty, and unlawful Vow, than a deliberate and well-weigh'd Covenant; fwearing us into Labyrinths and Repugnances, no way to be folv'd or reconcil'd, and therfore no way to be kept; as first offending against the Law of God, to vow the absolute Preservation, Detence, and Maintaining of one Man, though in his Sins and Offences never fo great and heinous against God or his Neighbour; and to except a Person from Justice, wheras his Law excepts none. Secondly, it offends against the Law of this Nation, wherin, as hath been prov'd, Kings in receiving Juffice, and undergoing due trial, are not differenc'd from the meanest Subject. Lastly, it contradicts and offends against the Covenant itself, which vows in the fourth Article to bring to open trial and condign punishment all those that shall be found guilty of fuch Crimes and Delinquencies, wherof the King by his own Letters and other undeniable Testimonics not brought to light till afterward, was found and convicted to be the chief Actor in what they thought him, at the time of taking that Covenant, to be over-rul'd only by evil Counfellors; and those, or whomfoever they should discover to be principal, they vow'd to try, either by their own supreme Judicatories, for so even then they call'd them, or by others baving Power from them to that effect. So that to have brought the King to condign Punishment hath not broke the Covenant, but it would have broke the Covenant

An Answer to Eikon Basilike.

Covenant to have fav'd him from those Judicatories, which both Nations declar'd in that Covenant to be fupreme against any person whatsoever. And if the Covenant swore otherwise to preserve him than in the Preservation of true Religion and our Liberties, against which he sought, if not in Arms, yet in Resolution to his dying day, and now after death still sights against in this his Book, the Covenant was better broken, than he sav'd. And God hath testify'd by all propitious and evident signs, wherby in these latter times he is wont to testify what pleases him, that such a solemn and for many Ages unexampled Act of due Punishment, was no mockery of Justice, but a most grateful and well-pleasing Sacrifice. Neither was it to cover their Perjury, as he accuses, but to uncover his perjury to the Oath of his Coronation.

The rest of his discourse quite forgets the Title; and turns his Meditations upon death into obloquy and bitter vehemence against his Judges and Accusers; imitating therin, not our Saviour, but his Grandmother Mary Queen of Scots, as also in the most of his other scruples, exceptions and evasious; and from whom he seems to have learnt, as it were by heart, or else by kind, that which is thought by his Admirers to be most virtuous, most manly, most christian, and most martyr-like both of his words and speeches here, and of his Answers

and Behaviour at his Trial.

It is a fad fate, he faith, to have his Enemies both Accusers, Parties, and Judges. Sad indeed, but no fusicient Plea to acquit him from being so judg'd. For what Malefactor might not sometimes plead the like? If his own crimes have made all men his Enemies, who else can judge him? They of the Powder-plot against his Father might as well have pleaded the same. Nay, at the Resurrection it may as well be pleaded, that the Saints who then shall judge the World, are both

Enemies, Judges, Parties, and Accusers.

So much he thinks to abound in his own defence, that he undertakes an unmeasurable task; to bespeak the fingular care and protection of God over all Kings, as being the greatest Patrons of Law, Justice, Order, and Religion on Earth. But what Patrons they be, God in the Scripture oft enough hath exprest; and the Earth itself hath too long groan'd under the burden of their injustice, disorder, and irreligion. Therfore to vind their Kings in chains, and their Nobles with links of Iron, is an honour belonging to his Saints; not to build Babel, which was Nimroa's work, the first King, and the beginning of his Kingdom was Babel, but to destroy it, especially that spiritual Babel: and first to overcome those European Kings, which receive their Power, not from God, but from the beast; and are counted no better than his ten horns. These shall hate the great Whore, and yet shall give their Kingdoms to the Beast that carries her; they shall commit Fornication with her, and yet shall burn her with sire, and yet shall lament the sall of Babylon, where they fornicated with her.

Thus shall they be to and fro, doubtful and ambiguous in all their doings, until at last, joining their Armies with the Beast, whose Power first rais'd them, they shall perish with him by the King of Kings, against whom they have rebested; and the Fowls shall eat their Flesh. This is their doom written, and the utmost that we find concerning them in these latter days; which we have much more cause to believe, than his unwarranted Revelation here, prophesying what shall sollow after his death, with the spirit of Enmity, not of Saint John. He would sain bring us out of conceit with the good Success which God hath

He would fain bring us out of conceit with the good Success which God hath voachsas'd us. We measure not our cause by our success, but our success by our cause. Yet certainly in a good Cause, success is a good confirmation; for God hath promis'd it to good Men almost in every least of Scripture. If it argue not for us, we are sure it argues not against us; but as much or more for us, than ill success argues for them; for to the wicked God hath denounc'd ill

fuccess in all that they take in hand.

He hopes much of those foster tempers, as he calls them, and less advantaged by bis ruin, that their Consciences do already gripe them. 'Tis true, there be a tort of moody, hot-brain'd, and always unedity'd Consciences; apt to engage their Leaders into great and dangerous affairs past retirement, and then upon a studden qualm and swimming of their Conscience, to betray them basely in the midst or what was chiefly undertaken for their sakes. Let such Men never meet with any faithful Parlament to hazard for them; never with any noble Spirit to conduct and lead them out, but let them live and die in service Condition and

Vol. I. 1.11.2 their

their scrupulous queasines, if no instruction will confirm them. Others there be in whose Consciences the loss of gain, and those advantages they hoped for, hath sprung a sudden Leak. These are they that cry out, the Covenant broken! and to keep it better, slide back into neutrality, or join actually with Incendiaries and Malignants. But God hath eminently begun to punish those, first, in Scotland, then in Ulster, who have provok'd him with the most hateful kind of mockery, to break his Covenant under pretence of strictest keeping it; and hath subjected them to those Malignants, with whom they scrupled not to be Associates. In God therfore we shall not fear what their salse fraternity can do against us.

He feeks again with cunning words to turn our fuccess into our fin. But might call to mind that the Scripture speaks of those also, who when God slew them, then fought him; yet did but flatter him with their mouth, and ly'd to him with their tengues; for their heart was not right with him. And there was one who in the time of his affliction trespass'd more against God; This was that King Ahaz.

He glories much in the forgiveness of his Enemies; so did his Grandmother at her death. Wife men would fooner have believ'd him, had he not fo often told us so. But he hopes to erect the Trophies of his Charity over us. And Trophies of Charity no doubt will be as glorious as Trumpets before the Alms of Hypocrites; and more especially the Trophies of such an aspiring Charity as offers in his Prayer to fhare victory with God's compassion, which is over all his Works. Such Prayers as these may perhaps catch the People, as was intended: but how they please God, is to be much doubted, though pray'd in secret, much less written to be divulg'd. Which perhaps may gain him after death a fhort, contemptible, and foon fading Reward; not what he aims at, to flir the constancy and folid firmness of any wife Man, or to unsettle the Conscience of any knowing Christian, if he could ever aim at a thing so hopeless, and above the genius of his Cleric Elocution, but to catch the worthless approbation of an inconstant, irrational, and image-doting Rabble. The rest, whom perhaps ignorance without malice, or some error, less than fatal, hath for the time misled on this fide Sorcery or Obduration, may find the grace and good guidance to bethink themselves and recover.

DEFENCE

OFTHE

People of England,

In ANSWER to

Salmafius's DEFENCE of the KING.

The PREFACE.

LTHO' I fear, left, if in defending the People of England, I should be as copious in Words, and empty of Matter, as most Men think Sal-Mass has been in his Defence of the King, I might seem to deserve justly to be accounted a verbose and filly Desender; yet since no Man thinks himself obliged to make so much haste, tho' in the handling but of any ordinary Subject, as not to premife fome Introduction at least, according as the weight of his Subject requires; if I take the fame course in handling almost the greatest Subject that ever was, (without being too tedious in it) I am in hopes of attaining two things, which indeed I carneftly defire. The one, not to be at all wanting, as far as in me lies, to this most Noble Cause, and most worthy to be recorded to all future Ages: The other, That I may appear to have avoided myfelf, that frivolousness of Matter, and redundancy of Words, which I blame in my Antagonist. For I am about to discourse of Matters, neither inconfiderable nor common, but how a most Potent King, after he had trampled upon the Laws of the Nation, and given a shock to its Religion, and begun to rule at his own Will and Pleafure, was at last fubdu'd in the Field by his own Subjects, who had undergone a long Slavery under him; how afterwards he was cast into Prison, and when he gave no ground, either by Words or Actions, to hope better things of him, he was finally by the Supreme Council of the Kingdom condemned to die, and beheaded before the very Gates of the Royal Palace. I shall likewife relate (which will much conduce to the cafing Men's Minds of a great Superflition) by what Right, especially according to our Law, this Judgment was given, and all these Matters transacted; and fhall eafily defend my Valiant and Worthy Countrymen (who have extremely well deferved of all Subjects and Nations in the World) from the most wicked Calumnies both of Domestic and Foreign Ruilers, and especially from the Reproaches of this most vain and empty Sophister, who sets up for a Captain and Ringleader to all the rest. For what King's Majesty sitting upon an exalted Throne, ever shone so brightly, as that of the People of England then did, when shaking off that old Superstition, which had prevailed a long time, they gave Judgment upon the King himself, or rather upon an Enemy who had been their King, caught as it were in a Net by hisown Laws, (who alone of all Mortals challenged to himself impunity by a Divine Right) and scrupled not to inslict the fame punishment upon him, being guilty, which he would have inflicted upon any other? But why do I mention these things as performed by the People, which almost open their Voice themselves, and testify the Presence of God throughout? Who, as often as it feems good to his larinite Wifdom, uses to throw down proud and unruly Kings, exalting themselves above the Condition of Human Nature, and utterly to extirpate them and all their Family. By his manifest Impulse being set on work to recover our almost lost Liberty, following him as our Guide, and adoring the impresses of his Divine Power manifested upon all occasions, we went on in no obscure, but an illustrious Passage, pointed out and made plain to us by God himfelf. Which things, if I should fo much as hope by any diligence or ability of mine, fuch as it is, to discourse of as I ought to do, and to commit them fo to writing, as that perhaps all Nations and all Ages may read them, it would be a very vain thing in me. For what stile can be august and magnificent enough, what man has parts sufficient to undertake so great a Task? Since we find by experience, that in so many Ages as are gone over the World, there has been but here and there a Man found, who has been able worthily to recount the Actions of Great Heroes, and Potent States; can any man have so good an opinion of his own Talents, as to think himself capable to reach these glorious and wonderful Works of Almighty God, by any Language, by any stile of his? Which Enterprize, though some of the most eminent Perfons in our Commonwealth have prevailed upon me by their Authority to undertake, and would have it be my bufiness to vindicate with my Pen against Envy and Calumny (which are proof against Arms) those Glorious Performances of rheirs (whose opinion of me I take as a very great honour that they should pitch upon me before others to be ferviceable in this kind to those most Valiant Deliverers of my Native Country; and true it is, that from my very Youth I have been bent extremely upon such fort of Studies, as inclined me, if not to do great things myfelf, at least to celebrate those that did) yet as having no confidence in any fuch Advantages, I have recourse to the Divine Assistance; and invoke the Great and Holy God, the Giver of all good Gists, that I may as substantially, and as truly, discuts and refute the Sauciness and Lyes of this Foreign Declamator, as our Noble Generals pioufly and fucceisfully by force of Arms broke the King's Pride, and his unruly Domineering, and afterwards put an end to both by inflicting a memorable Punishment upon himself, and as thoroughly as a fingle Perfon did with case but of late confute and confound the King himself, rising as it were from the Grave, and recommending himself to the People in a Book publish'd after his death, with new Artifices and Allurements of Words and Expressions. Which Antagonist of mine, though he be a Forcigner, and, though he deny it a thousand times over, but a poor Grammarian; yet not contented with the Salary due to him in that Capacity, chose to turn a Pragmatical Coxcomb; and not only to intrude in State-Affairs, but into the Affairs of a Foreign State: tho' he brings along with him neither Modesty, nor Understanding, nor any other Qualification requisite in so great an Arbitrator, but Sauciness, and a little Grammar only. Indeed if he had publish'd here, and in English, the same things that he has now wrote in Latin such as it is, I think no Man would have thought it worth while to return an Answer to them, but would partly despife them as common, and exploded over and over already, and partly abhor them as fordid and tyrannical Maxims, not to be endured even by the most abject of Slaves: Nay, Men that have sided with the King, would have had these thoughts of his Book. But since he has swol'n it to a confiderable bulk, and dispers'd it amongst Foreigners, who are altogether ignorant of our Affairs and Constitution; it's fit that they who mistake them, should be better informed; and that he, who is so very forward ro speak ill of others, should be treated in his own kind. If it be asked, why we did not then attack him fooner, why we fuffered him to triumph fo long, and pride himself in our filence? For others I am not to answer; for myself I can boldly fay, That I had neither Words nor Arguments long to feek for the defence of fo good a Caufe, if I had enjoyed fuch a measure of health, as would have endur'd the fatigue of writing. And being but weak in Body, I am forced to write by piece-meal, and break off almost every hour, though the Subject be fuch as requires an unintermitted fludy and intenfeness of mind. But though this bodily Indifposition may be a hindrance to me in setting forth the just Praises of my most worthy Countrymen, who have been the Saviours of their Native Country, and whose Exploits, worthy of Immortality, are already famous all the World over; yet I hope it will be no difficult matter for me to defend them from the Infolence of this filly little Scholar, and from that faucy Tongue of his, at least. Nature and Laws would be in an ill case, if Slavery Slavery should find what to say for itself, and Liberty be mute: and if Tyrants should find men to plead for them, and they that can master and vanquish Tyrants, should not be able to find Advocates. And it were a deplorable thing indeed, if the Reason Mankind is endu'd withal, and which is the gift of God, should not furnish more Arguments for Men's Preservation, for their Deliverance, and, as much as the nature of the thing will bear, for making them equal to one another, than for their Oppression, and for their utter rain under the Domineering Power of one single Person. L'at me therfore enter upon this Noble Cause with a cheerfulness, grounded upon this Assurance, That my Adversary's Cause is maintain'd by nothing but Fraud, Fallacy, Ignorance and Berbarity; wheras mine has Light, Truth, Reason, the Practice and the

Learning of the best Ages of the World, of its side. But now, having faid enough for an Introduction, fince we have to do with Critics; let us in the first place confider the Title of this choice Piece: Dzfensio R gia pro Car. Primo, ad Car. Secun lum: A Royal Defence (or the King's Defence) for Charles the First, to Charles the Second. You undertake a wonderful piece of work, whoever you are; to plead the Father's Caule before his own Son: a hundred to one but you carry it. But I fummon you, Salmafius, who hertofore feulk'd under a wrong name, and now go by no name at all, to appear before another Tribunal, and before other Judges, where perhaps you may not hear those little Applauses, which you use to be so fond of in your School. But why this Royal Desence dedicated to the King's own Son? We need not put him to the torture; he confesses why. At the King's charge, says he. O mercenary and chargeable Advocate! could you not afford to write a Defence for Charles the Father, whom you pretend to have been the best of Kings, to Charles the Son, the most indigent of all Kings, but it must be at the poor King's own Charge? But though you are a Knave, you would not make yourfelf ridiculous, in calling it the King's Defence; for you having fold it, it is no longer yours, but the King's indeed: who bought it at the price of a hundred Jacobuffes, a great Sum for a poor King to difburfe. I know very well what I fly: and tis well enough known who brought the Gold, and the Purse wrought with Beads: We know who saw you reach out greedy Fifts, under pretence of embracing the King's Chaplain, who brought the Prefent, but indeed to embrace the Prefent itself, and by accepting it to exhaust almost all the King's Treafury.

But now the Man comes himfelf, the Door creaks; the Actor comes upon the

Stage.

In filence now, and with attention wait,
That we may learn what th' Eunuch has to prate.

Terent.

For whatever the matter is with him, he blufters more than ordinary. Aborrible meffage had lately struck our Ears, but our Minds more, with a beinous evound concerning a Parricide committed in England in the Perfon of a King, by a wicked Conspiracy of Sacrilegious Men. Indeed that horrible Message must either have had a much longer Sword than that which Peter drew, or those Ears must have been of a wonderful length, that it could wound at fuch a diffance; for it could not to much as in the least offend any Ears but those of an Ass. For what harm is it to you, that are Foreigners? Are any of you hurt by it, if we amongst ourfelves put our own Enemies, our own Traitors to death, be they Commoners, Noblemen, or Kings? Do you, Salmafius, let alone what does not concern you: for I have a horrible Mcffage to bring of you too; which I'm miftaken if it strike not a more beinous Wound into the Ears of all Grammarians and Critics, provided they have any Learning and Delicacy in them, to wit, your crouding fo many barbarous Expressions together in one Period in the Person of (Ariflar.bus) a Grammarian; and that fo great a Critic as you, hired at the King's charge to write a Defence of the King his Father, should not only set so sulfome a Preface before it, much like those lamentable Ditties that used to be sung at Funerals, and which can move Compaffion in none but a Coxcomb; but in the very first Sentence should provoke your Readers to laughter with so many Barbarifms all at once. Perfona Regis, you cry. Where do you find any fuch Latin? Or are you telling us fome Tale or other of a Perkin Warbee, who taking

nbon

upon him the Ferson of a King, has, forfooth, committed some horrible Parricide in England? Which expression, though dropping carelessy from your Pen, has more truth in it, than you are aware of. For a Tyrant is but like a King upon a Stage, a man in a Vizor, and acting the part of a King in a Play; he is not really a King. But as for these Gallicisms, that are so frequent in your Book, I won't lash you for them myself, for I am not at leisure; but shall deliver you over to your Fellow-Grammarians, to be laught to fcorn and whipt by them. What follows is much none beinous, that what was decreed by our Supreme Magistrates to be done to the King, should be said by you to have been done by a wicked Conspiracy of sacrilegious Persons. Have you the impudence, you Rogue, to talk at this rate of the Acts and Decrees of the chief Magistrates of a Nation, that lately was a most Potent Kingdom, and is now a more Potent Commonwealth? Whose proceedings no King ever took upon him by word of mouth, or otherwise to vilify and set at nought. The Illustrious States of Holland therfore, the Genuine Off-spring of those Deliverers of their Country, have defervedly by their Edict condemn'd to utter Darkness this Desence of Tyrants, fo pernicious to the Liberty of all Nations; the Author of which, every Free State ought to forbid their Country, or to banish out of it; and that State particularly that feeds with a Stipend fo ungrateful and fo favage an Enemy to their Commonwealth, whose very Fundamentals, and the causes of their becoming a free State, this Fellow endeavours to undermine as well as ours, and at one and the fame time to subvert both; loading with Calumnies the most worthy Afferters of Liberty there, under our Names. Confider with yourfelves, ye most Illustrious States of the United Netherlands, who it was that put this Affertor of Kingly Power upon fetting Pen to Paper? who it was, that but lately began to play Rew in your Country? what Counfels were taken, what Endeavours used, and what disturbances ensued therupon in Holland? and to what pass things might have been brought by this time? How Slavery and a new Mafter were ready prepar'd for you; and how near expiring that Liberty of yours, afferted and vindicated by fo many years War and Toil, would have been ere now, if it had not taken breath again by the timely death of a certain rash young GENTLEMAN. But our Author begins to strut again, and to feign wonderful Tragedies; Whomfoever this dreadful news reacht (to wit, the news of Salmafius's Parricidial Barbarisms) all of a sudden, as if they had been struck with Lightning, their hair stood an end, and their tongues clove to the roof of their mouth. Which let natural Philosophers take notice of (for this fecret in nature was never discovered before) that Lightning makes mens hair stand an end. But who knows not that little effeminate minds are apt to be amaz'd at the news of any extraordinary great Action; and that then they shew themfelves to be, what they really were before, no better than fo many Stocks? Some could not refrain from tears; some little Women at Court, I suppose, or if there be any more effeminate than they, of whose number Salmasius himself being one, is by a new Metamorphofis become a Fountain near a-kin to his Name (Salmeris) and with his counterfeit flood of tears prepared over night, endeavours to emasculate generous minds: I advise therfore, and wish them to have a care;

——Infamis ne quem malè fortibus undis Salmacis Enervet.—— —— Ne, si vir cum venerit, exeat indè Semivir, & tastis subitò mollescat in undis.

Abstain, as Manhood you esteem, From Salmacis' pernicious Stream: If but one moment there you stay, Too dear you'll for your Bathing pay.

Depart nor Man nor Woman, but a Sight Difgracing both, a loath'd Hermaphrodite.

They that had more courage (which yet he expresses in miserable hald Latin, as if he could not so much as ipeak of Men of Courage and Magnanimity in proper words) were set on fire with indignation to that degree, that they could hardly contain them-

themselves. Those furious Hectors we value not of a rush. We have been accustomed to rout such Bullies in the Field with a true sober courage; a courage becoming Men that can contain themselves, and are in their right Wits. There were none that didnot curse the Authors of shorrible a Villany. But yet, you say, their tongues clove to the roof of their mouths; and if you mean this of our Fugitives only, I wish they had clove there to this day; for we know very well that there's nothing more common with them, than to have their mouths full of Curses and Imprecations, which indeed all good Men abominate, but withal despise. As for others, it's hardly credible, that when they heard the news of our having inflicted a Capital Punishment upon the King, there should any be found, especially in a Free State, so naturally adapted to Slavery as either to speakill of us, or so much as to centure what we had done. Nay, 'tis highly probable that all good Men applauded us, and gave God thanks for so illustrious, so exalted a piece of Justice; and for a Caution so very useful to other Princes. In the mean time, as for thole fierce, those freel-hearted Men, that, you fay, take on for, and bewail so pitifully, the lamentable and wonderful death of I know not who; them, I fay, together with their tinkling Advocate, the dullest that ever appeared fince the name of a King was born and known in the World, we shall e'en let whine on, till they cry their eyes out. But in the mean time, what School-boy, what little infignificant Monk could not have made a more elegant Speech for the King, and in better Latin than this Royal Advocate has done? But it would be folly in me to make fuch particular Animadversions upon his Childishness and Frenzies throughout his Book, as I do here upon a few in the beginning of it; which yet I would be willing enough to do (for we hear that he is swell'd with Pride and Conceit to the utmost degree imaginable) if the undigested and immethodical bulk of his Book did not protect him. He was refolved to take a course like the Soldier in Terence, to save his Bacon; and it was very cunning in him to stuss his Book with somuch Puerility, and somany filly Whimfies, that it might nauseate the smartest Man in the World to death to take notice of them all. Only I thought it might not be amifs to give a Specimen of him in the Preface; and to let the ferious Reader have a tafte of him at first, that he might guess by the first dishthat's ferved up, how noble an Entertainment the rest are like to make; and that he may imagine with himfelf what an infinite number of Fooleries and Impertinences must needs be heaped up together in the body of the Book, when they stand so thick in the very Entrance into it, where, of all other places, they ought to have been shunned. His tittle-tattle that follows, and his Sermons fit for nothing but to be worm-eaten, I can eafily pass by; as for any thing in them relating to us, we doubt not in the least, but that what has been written and published by Authority of Parlament, will have far greater weight with all wife and fober Men, than the Calumnies and Lyes of one fingle impudent little Fellow: who being hired by our Fugitives, their Country's Enemies, has scrap'd together, and not scrupled to publish in Print, whatever little Story any one of them that employed him, put into his head. And that all Men may plainly fee how little confeience he makes of fetting down any thing right or wrong, good or bad, I defire no other Witness than Salmasius himself. In his book, entitled, Apparotus contra Primatum Pape, he fays, 'There are most weighty Reasons why the Church ought to lay afide Episcopacy, and return to the Apostolical Institution of Presbyters: That a sargreater mischief has been introduced into the Church by Epifcopacy, than the Schifms themselves were, which were before apprehended: That the plague which Epitcopacy introduced, depressed the whole body of the Church under a miterable Tyranny; nay, had put a yoke even upon the necks of Kings and Princes: That it would be more beneficial to the Church, if the whole Hierarchy itself were extirpated, than if the Pope only, 'who is the head of it, were laid afide, page 160. 'That it wou'd be very ' much for the good of the Church, if Episcopacy were taken away, together with ' the Papacy: That if Episcopacy were once taken down, the Papacy would fall of itself, as being founded upon it, page 171. He says, he can shew very good ' reasons why Episcopacy ought to be put down in those Kingdoms that have · renounced the Pope's Supremacy; but that he can lee no region for attaining ' it there: That a Reformation is not entire, that is defective in this point: ' That no reason can be alledg'd, no probable cause assigned, why the Supre-' macy of the Pope being once difowned, Episcopacy should notwithstanding Vol. I. M m m

be retained, page 197. Though he had wrote all this, and a great deal more to this effect, but four years ago, he is now become fo vain and fo impudent withal, as to accuse the Parlament of England, ' for not only turning the Bishops out of the House of Lords, but for abolishing Episcopacy itself. Nay, he perfuades us to receive Episcopacy, and defends it by the very same Reasons and Arguments, which with a great deal of earnestness he had consuted himself in that former Book; to wit, 'That Bishops were necessary, and ought to have been retained, to prevent the springing up of a Thousand pernicious Sects and Crafty Turn-coat! Are you not asham'd to shift hands thus in ' Herefies. things that are Sacred, and (I had almost said) to betray the Church; whose most solemn Institutions you feem to have afferted and vindicated with so much noise, that when it should seem for your interest to change sides, you might undo and subvert all again with the more difgrace and infamy to yourself? It's notoriously known, That when both Houses of Parlament, being extreamly defirous to reform the Church of England by the pattern of other Reformed Churches, had refolv'd to abolish Episcopacy, the King first interposed and afterwards waged War against them chiefly for that very Cause; which proved fatal to him. Go now and boast of your having defended the King; who, that you might the better defend him, do now openly betray and impugn the Caufe of the Church, whose Defence you yourself had formerly undertaken; and whose severest Censures ought to be inflicted upon you. As for the present form of our Government, fince such a foreign insignificant Professor as you, having laid afide your Boxes and Desks stuffed with nothing but Trisles, which you might have spent your time better in putting into order, will needs turn bufy-body, and be troublefome in other Men's matters, I shall return you this answer, or rather not to you, but to them that are wifer than yourself, viz. That the Form of it is such as our present distractions will admit of; not such as were to be wish'd, but such as the obstinate Divisions that are amongst us, will bear. What State foever is peftered with Factions, and defends it felf by Force of Arms, is very just in having regard to those only that are sound and untainted, and in overlooking or feeluding the rest, be they of the Nobility or the Common People; nay, though profiting by experience, they should refuse to be govern'd any longer, either by a King or a House of Lords. But in railing at that Supreme Council, as you call it, and at the Chairman there, you make yourfelf very ridiculous; for that Council is not the Supream Council, as you dream it is, but appointed by Authority of Parlament, for a certain time only; and confifting of forty persons, for the most part Members of Parlament, any one of whom may be President, if the rest vote him into the Chair. And there is nothing more common, than for our Parlaments to appoint Committees of their own Members; who, when fo appointed, have Power to meet where they pleafe, and hold a kind of little Parlament amongst themselves. And the most weighty Affairs are often referred to them, for Expedition and Secrety; the care of the Navy, the Army, the Treasury; in short, all things whatfoever relating either to War or Peace. Whether this be called a Council, or any thing else, the thing is ancient, though the name may be new; and it is fuch an Institution, as no Government can be duly administred without it. As for our putting the King to death, and changing the Government, forbear your bawling, don't spit your Venom, till, going along with you through every Chapter, I show, whether you will or no, by what Law, by what Right and Justice all that was done. But if you insist to know by what Right, by what Law ; by that Law, I tell you, which God and Nature have enacted, viz. that whatever things are for the Universal Good of the whole State, are for that reason lawful and just. So wife Men of old used to answer such as you. You find fault with us for Repealing Laws that had obtained for fo many years; but you do not tell us whether those Laws were good or bad, nor, if you did, should we heed what you faid; for, you buty Puppy, what have you to do with our Laws? I wish our Magistrates had repealed more than they have, both Laws and Lawyers; if they had, they would have confulted the Interest of the Christian Religion, and that of the People better than they have done. you, That Hobgoblins, Sons of the Earth, scarce Gentlemen at home, scarce known to their own Countrymen, should presume to do such things. But you ought to have remembred, what not only the Scriptures, but Horace would have taught you,

——Valet ima summis Mutare, & insignem attenuat Deus, Obscura promens, &c.

The Power that did create, can change the Scene Of things; make mean of great, and great of mean: The brightest Glory can eclipse with Night; And place the most obscure in dazling Light.

But take this into the bargain. Some of those who, you fay, be scarce Gentlemen, are not at all inferior in birth to any of your party. Others, whose Ancestors were not noble, have taken a course to attain to true Nobility by their own Industry and Vertue, and are not inferior to Men of the Noblest They had rather be called Sons of the Earth, provided it be their own Earth (their own Native Country) and act like Men at home, than, being destitute of House or Land, to relieve the Necessities of Nature in a Foreign Country by felling of Smoke, as thou doft, an inconfiderable Fellow and a Jack-straw, and who dependest upon the good-will of thy Masters for a poor Stipend; for whom it were better to dispense with thy labours, and return to thy own Kindred and Countrymen, if thou hadft not this one piece of Cunning, to babble out some filly Prelections and Fooleries at so good a rate amongst Foreigners. You find fault with our Magistrates for admitting such a Commonshore of all forts of Seis. Why should they not? It belongs to the Church to cast them out of the Communion of the faithful; not to the Magistrate to banish them the Country, provided they do not offend against the Civil Laws of Men at first united into Civil Societies, that they might live fafely, and enjoy their Liberty, without being wrong'd or oppress'd; and that they might live religiously and according to the Doctrine of Christianity, they united themselves into Churches. Civil Societies have Laws, and Churches havea Discipline peculiar to themselves, and far differing from each other. this has been the occasion of so many Wars in Christendom; to wit, because the Civil Magistrate and the Church confounded their Jurisdictions. Therfore we do not admit of the Papish Sect, so as to tolerate Papists at all; for we do not look upon that as a Religion, but rather as an Hierarchical Tyranny, under a Cloak of Religion, cloathed with the Spoils of the Civil Power, which it has usurp'd to itself contrary to our Saviour's own Doctrine. As for the Independents, we never had any fuch amongst us, as you describe; they that we call Independents, are only such as hold that no Classis or Synods have a Superiority over any particular Church, and that therfore they ought all to be pluck'd up by the Roots, as Branches, or rather as the very Trunk of Hierarchy itself; which is your own opinion too. And from hence it was that the name of Independents prevailed amongst the Vulgar. The rest of your Presace is spent in endeavouring not only to stir up the hatred of all Kings and Monarchs against us, but to perfuade them to make a general War upon us. Mithridates of old, though in a different cause, endeavoured to stir up all Princes to make Warupon the Romans, by laying to their charge almost just the same things that you do to ours : viz. that the Romans aim'd at nothing but the Subversion of all Kingdoms, that they had no regard to any thing, whether facred or civil, that from their very first rife, they never enjoy'd any thing but what they had acquir'd by force, that they were Robbers, and the greatest Enemies in the World to Thus Mithridates express himself in a Letter to Arfaces, King of Monarchy. the Parthians. But how came you, whose business it is to make filly Speeches from your Defk, to have the confidence to imagine, that by your perfuafions to take up Arms, and founding an Alarm as it were, you should be able so much as to influence a King amongst Boys at play; especially, with so shrill a Voice, and unfavoury Breath, that I believe, if you were to have been the Trumpeter, not fo much as Homer's Mice would have waged War against the Frogs? So little do we fear, you Slug you, any War or Danger from Foreign Princes through your filly Rhetoric, who accufest us to them, just as if you were at play, That we tofs Kings Heads like Balls; play at Bowls with Crowns; and regard Scepters no more than if they were Fool's Staves with heads on: But you in the mean Vol. I. Mmm 2

time, you filly Loggerhead, deferve to have your Bones well thrashed with a Fool's staff, for thinking to stir up Kings and Princes to War by such childish Arguments. Then you cry aloud to all Nations, who, I know full well, will never heed what you fay. You call upon that wretched and barbarous Crew of Irish Rebelstoo, to affert the King's Party. Which one thing is sufficient evidence how much you are both a Fool and a Knave, and how you out-do almost all Mankind in Villany, Impudence, and Madness; who scruple not to implore the Loyalty and Aid of an execrable People, devoted to the Slaughter, whom the King himself always abhorr'd, or so pretended, to have any thing to do with, by reason of the guilt of so much innocent Blood, which they had contracted. And that very Perfidiousness and Cruelty, which he endeavoured as much as he could to conceal, and to clear himself from any suspicion of, you the most villanous of Mortals, as fearing neither God nor Man, voluntarily and openly take upon yourfelf. Go on then, undertake the King's Defence at the Encouragement, and by the Affistance of the Irish. You take care, and so you might well, lest any should imagine that you were about to bereave Cicero or Demosthenes of the praise due to their Eloquence, by telling us before-hand, that you conceive you ought not to speak like an Orator. 'Tis wisely said of a Fool; you conceive you ought not to do what is not in your power to do: and who that knows you never fo little, ever expects any thing like an Orator from you? Who neither uses, nor is able to publish any thing that's Elaborate, Distinct, or has so much as Sense in it; but like a second Crispin, or that little Grecian Tzetzes, you do but write a great deal, take no pains to write well; nor could write any thing well, though you took never so much pains. This Cause shall be argued (fay you) in the hearing, and as it were before the Tribunal of all Mankind. That's what we like so well, that we could now wish we had a discreet and intelligent Adverfary, and not fuch a hair-brain'd Blunderbuss, as you, to deal with. You conclude very Tragically, like Ajax in his Raving; Iwill proclaim to Heaven and Earth the Injustice, the Villany, the Perfidiousness and Cruelty of these Men, and will deliver them over convicted to all Posterity. O Flowers! that fuch a witlefs, fenfeles Bawler, one that was born but to spoil or tranfcribe good Authors, should think himself able to write any thing of his own, that will reach Posterity, whom together with his frivolous Scribbles, the very next Age will bury in Oblivion; unless this Defence of the King perhaps may be beholden to the Answer I give to it, for being looked into now and then. And I would entreat the Illustrious States of Helland to take off their Prohibition, and fuffer the Book to be publicly fold. For when I have detected the Vanity, Ignorance, and Falshood, that it is full of, the farther it spreads, the more effectually it will be supprest. Now let us hear how he convicts us.

DEFENCE

OFTHE

People of England.

CHAP. I.

Persuade myself, Salmasus, that you being a vain stassly Man, are not a little proud of being the King of Great-Britain's Defender, who himself was stil'd the Defender of the Faith. For my part, I think you deserve your Titles both alike; for the King defended the Faith, and you have defended him so, that betwixt you, you have spoiled both your Causes: which I shall make appear throughout the whole ensuing Discourse, and particularly in this very Chapter. You told us in the 12th Page of your Presace, That so good and so just a Cause ought not to be embelished with any Flourishes of Rhetoric; That the King needed no other Defence, than by a bare Narrative of his Story: and yet in your first Chapter, in which you had promised us that bare Narrative, you neither tell the Story right, nor do you abstain from making use of all the skill you have in Rhetoric to set it off. So that, if we must take your own judgment, we must believe the King's Cause to be neither good nor just. But by the way I would advise you not to have so good an Opinion of yourself (for no body else has so of you) as to imagine that you are able to speak well upon any Subject, who can neither play the part of an Orator, nor an Historian, nor express yourselfina Stile that would not be ridiculous even in a Lawyer; but like a Mountebank's Jugler, with big fwelling words in your Preface, you raifed our expectation, as if fome mighty matter were to enfue: in which your defign was not fo much to introduce a true Narrative of the King's Story, as to make your own empty intended flourishes go off the better. For being now about to give us an account of thematter of Fast, you find yourfelf encompassed and affrighted with so many Monsters of Novelty, that you are at a loss what to say first, what next, and what last of all. I'll tell you what the matter is with you. In the first place, you find yourfelf affrighted and aftonished at your own monitrous Lyes, and then you find that empty head of yours not encompassed, but carried round with so many trifles and fooleries, that you not only now do not, but never did know what was fit to be spoken, and in what method. Among the many Difficulties that you find in expressing the beinousness of so incredible a piece of Impiety, this one offers itself, you say, which is easily said, and must often be repeated; to wit, That the Sun itself never beheld a more outragious action. But by your good leave, Sir, the Sun lias beheld many things, that blind Bernard never faw. But we are content you should mention the Sun over and over. And it will be a piece of Prudence in you so to do. For though our wickedness does not require it, the coldnefs of the defence that you are making, does. The Original of Kings, you tay, is as anciene as that of the Sun. May the Gods and Goddesses, Damestophus, bless thee with an everlaiting Solftice; that thou mayest always be warm, thou that can'st not stir a foot without the Sun. Perhaps you would avoid the imputation of being called a Doctor *Umbraticus*. But alas! you are in perfect darkness, that make no difference betwixt a Paternal Power, and a Regal: and that when you had ca'led Kings Fathers of their Country, could fancy that with that Metaphor you had perfuaded us that whatever is applicable to a Father, is to to a King. Alas! there's a great difference betwirt them. Our Fathers begot us

Our King made not us, but we him. Nature has given Fathers to us all, but we ourselves appointed our own King. So that the People is not for the King, but the King for them. We bear with a Father, though he be harft and fever; and so we do with a King. But we do not bear with a Father, if he be a Tyrant. If a Father murder his Son, he himself must die sor it; and why should not a King be fubject to the fame Law, which certainly is a most just one? Especially confidering that a Father cannot by any possibility divest himself of that Relation, but a King casily may make himself neither King nor Father of his People. If this action of ours be considered according to its quality, as you call it, I, who am both an Englishman born, and was an Eye-witness of the Transactions of these Times, tell you, who are both a Foreigner and an utter Stranger to our Affairs; That we have put to death neither a good nor a just, nor a merciful, nor a devout, nor a godly, nor a peaceable King, as you stile him; but an Enemy, that has been to to us almost ten years to an end; nor one that was a Father, but a Destroyer of his Country. You confess that fuch things have been fractified; for yourself have not the impudence to deny it: but not by Protestants upon a Protefront King. As if he deserved the name of a Protestant, that in a Letter to the Pope, could give him the title of Most Holy Father; that was always more favourable to the Papists than to those of his own Profession. And being such, he is not the first of his own Family that has been put to death by Protestants. Was not his Grandmother deposed and banish'd, and at last beheaded by Protestants? And were not her own Countrymen, that were Protestants too, well enough pleased with it? Nay, if I should say they were Parties to it, I should not lye. But there being so sew Protestant Kings, it is no great wonder, if it never happened that one of them has been put to death. But that it is lawful to depose a Tyrant, and to punish him according to his deserts; nay, that this is the opinion of very eminent Divines, and of fuch as have been most instrumental in the late Reformation, do you deny it if you dare. You confess that many Kings have come to an unnatural Death: Some by the Sword, fome poison'd, some strangled, and some in a Dungeon; but for a King to be arraign'd in a Court of Judicature, to be put to plead for his Life, to have Sentence of Death pronounced against him, and that Sentence executed; this you think a more lamentable Instance than all the rest, and make it a prodigious piece of Impiety. Tell me, thou superlative Fool, Whether it be not more just, more agreeable to the Rules of Humanity, and the Laws of all Human Societies, to bring a Criminal, be his Offence what it will, before a Court of Justice, to give him leave to speak for himself; and, if the Law condemn him, then to put him to death as he has deferved, fo as he may have time to repent or to recollect himself; than presently, as soon as ever he is taken, to butcher him without more ado? Do you think there's a Malefactor in the World, that if he might have his choice, would not chuse to be thus dealt withal? And if this fort of proceeding against a private Person be accounted the fairer of the two, why should it not be counted so against a Prince? Nay, why should we not think that himself liked it better? You would have had him kill'd privately, and none to have feen it, either that future Ages might have lost the Advantage of fo good an Example; or that they that did this glorious Action, might feem to have avoided the Light, and to have acted contrary to Law and Juftice. You aggravate the matter by telling us, that it was not done in an uproar, or brought about by any Faction amongst Great Men, or in the heat of a Rebellion, either of the People, or the Soldiers: that there was no hatred, no fear, no ambition, no blind precipitate rashness in the Case; but that it was long confulred on, and done with deliberation. You did well in leaving off being an Advocate, and turn Grammarian, who from the Accidents and Circumflances of a thing, which in themselves considered sway neither one way nor other, argue in differnise of it, before you have proved the thing itself to be the driver countries of the second or bad. See how open you lie: If the Action you are diffcourfing of, be commendable and praife-worthy, they that did it deferve the greater Honour, in that they were prepoffessed with no Passions, but did what they did for Vertue's fake. If there were great difficulty in the enterprise, they did well in not going about it railly, but upon Advice and Confideration. The' for my own part, when I call to mind with how unexpected an importunity and fervency of Mind, and with how unanimous a Confent, the whole Army, and a

e čmalu matini av - Wallatie

great part of the People from almost every County in the Kingdom, cried out with one Voice for Justice against the King, as being the sole Author of all their Calamities: I cannot but think that these things were brought about by a Divine impulse. Whatever the matter was, whether we consider the Magistrates, or the Body of the People, no Men ever undertook with more Courage, and which our Adversaries themselves consess, in a more sedate temper of Mind, so brave an Action, an Action that might have become those famous Heroes of whom we read in former Ages; an Action, by which they enobled not only Laws, and their Execution, which feem for the future equally reftor'd to high and low against one another; but even Justice, and to have rendered it after so fignal a Judgment, more illustrious and greater than in its own felf. We are now come to an end of the 3d Page of the first Book, and have not the bare Narrative he promifed us yet. He complains that our Principles are, That a King whose Government is burthensome and odious, may lawfully be deposed: And, by this Dostrine, fays he, if they had had a King a thousand times better than they had, they would not have spared his Life. Observe the Man's subtle way of arguing For I would willingly be informed what Confequence there is in this, unless he allows, that a King's Government may be burthensome and odious, who is a thousand times better than our King was. So, that now he has brought things to this pafe, to make the King that he defends, a thousand times worse than some whose Government notwithstanding is burthensome and odious, that is, it may be, the most monstrous Tyrant that ever reigned. I wish ye Joy, O ye Kings, of so able a Defender. Now the Narrative begins. They put him to feveral jorts of Torments. Give an instance. They remov'd him from Prison to Prison; and so they might lawfully do; for having been a Tyrant, he became an open Enemy, and was ta-Often changing his Keepers. Left they themselves should change. Sometimes they gave him hopes of Liberty; nay, and sometimes even of restoring him to bis Crown, upon Articles of Agreement. It feems then the taking away his Life, was not done upon fo much premeditation, as he talked of before; and that we did not lay hold on all opportunities and means, that offer'd themselves, to renounce our King. Those things that in the beginning of the War we demanded of him, when he had almost brought us under, which things if they were denied us, we could enjoy no Liberty, nor live in any fafety; those very things we petitioned him for when he was our Prisoner, in a humble, submissive way, not once, nor twice, but thrice, and oftener, and were as often denied. When we had now loft all hopes of the King's complying with us, then was that noble Order of Parlament made, That from that time forward, there should no Articles be fent to the King; so that we lest off applying ourselves to him, not from the time that he began to be a Tyrant, but from the time that we found him incurable. But afterward fome Parlament-Men fet upon a new Project, and meeting with a convenient opportunity to put it in practice, pass a Vote to fend further Proposals once more to the King. Whose Wickedness and Folly nearest resembles that of the Roman Senate, who contrary to the opinion of M. Tullius, and all honest Men, voted to send Embassadors to M. Inthony; and the Event had been the fame, but that it pleafed God Almighty in his Providence, to order it otherwise, and to affert our Liberty, though he suffer'd them to be enflav'd: For the' the King did not agree to any thing that might conduce to a firm Peace, and Settlement of things more than he had before, they go and vote themselves satisfied. Then the founder part of the House saiding themfelves and the Commonwealth betrayed, implore the aid of that Valiant Upon which occasion and always faithful Army to the Commonwealth. I can observe only this, which yet I am loth to utter; to wit, that our Soldiers understood themselves better than our Senators, and that they faved the Commonwealth by their Arms, when the other by their Votes had almost ruined it. Then he relates a great many things in a doleful, lamentable Strain; but he does it so senselessy, that he seems rather to beg of his Readers that they would be forrowful, than to stir up any such Paffion in them. It grieves him to think that the King should undergo a Capital Punishment after such a manner as no other King ever had done. Tho' he had orten told us before, that there never was a King that underwent a Capital Punishmentatall. Do you use to compare ways and manners, ye Coxcomb, when you have no Things, nor Actions to compare with one another? He juffer'd Death, Tays he, as a Robber, as a Murderer, as a Parricide, as a Traytor, as a Tyrant. Is

this defending the King? Or is it not rather giving a more severe Sentence as gainst him than that that we gave? How came you so all on a sudden to be of our mind? He complains that Executioners in Vizards [personati Carnifices] cut off the King's Head. What shall we do with this Fellow? He told us before, of a Murder committed on one in the disguise of a King: [in Persona Regis.] Now he fays, 'twas done in the difguise of an Executioner. 'Twere to no purpose to take particular notice of every filly thing he says. He tells Stories of Boxes on the Ear, and Kicks, that, he says, were given the King by Common Soldiers, and that 'twas four Shillings a-piece to see his dead Body. These, and such like Stories, which partly are false, and partly impertinent, betray the Ignorance and Childishness of our poor Scholar; but are far from making any Reader ever a whit the fadder. In good faith his Son Charles had done better to have hired fome Ballad-finger to have bewailed his Father's Miffortunes, than this doleful, shall I call him, or rather most ridiculous Orator, who is fo dry and infipid, that there's not the least Spirit in any thing he fays. Now the Narrative's done, and 'tis hard to fay what he does next, he runs on fo fordidly and irregular. Now he's angry, then he wonders; he neither cares what he talks, nor how; repeats the fame things ten times over, that could not but look ill, tho' he had faid them but once. And I perfuade myfelf, the extemporary Rhymes of fome antic Jack-pudding may deferve printing better; fo far am I from thinking aught he fays worthy of a ferious Answer. I pass by his stilling the King a Protector of Religion, who chose to make war upon the Church, rather than part with those Church-Tyrants, and Enemies of all Religion, the Bishops; and how is it possible that he should maintain Religion in its Purity, that was himself a Slave to those impure Traditions and Ceremonies of theirs? And for our Sectaries, whose Sacrilegious Meetings, you say, have public Allowance; instance in any of their Principles, the Profession of which is not openly allow'd of, and countenanced in Holland. But in the mean time, there's not a more Sacrilegious Wretch in nature than yourfelf, that always took liberty to speak ill of all forts of people. They could not wound the Commonwealth more dangerously than by taking off its Master. Learn, ye abject, home-born Slave; unless ye take away the Master, ye destroy the Commonwealth. That that has a Master, is one Man's Property. The word Master denotes a private, not a public Relation. They persecute most unjustly those Ministers that abhorr'd this Action of theirs. Left you should not know what Ministers he means, I'll tell you in a few words what manner of Men they were; they were those very Men, that by their Writings and Sermons justified taking up Arms against the King, and flirred the People up to it. That daily curfed, as Deborah did Meroz, all fuch as would not furnish the Parlament either with Arms, or Men, or Money. That taught the People out of their Pulpits, that they were not about to fight against a King, but a greater Tyrant than either Saul or Abab ever were; nay, more a Nero than Nero himself. As soon as the Bishops, and those Clergymen, whom they daily inveighed against, and branded with the odious Names of Pluralists and Non-residents, were taken out of their way, they presently jump, fome into two, fome into three of their best Benefices; being now warm them-felves, they soon unworthily neglected their Charge. Their Covetousness brake through all Restraints of Modesty and Religion, and themselves now labour under the fame Infamy, that they had loaded their Predecessors with; and because their Covetousness is not yet satisfied, and their ambition has accustomed them to raise Tumults, and be Enemies to Peace, they can't rest at quiet yet, but preach up Sedition against the Magistracy, as it is now established, as they had formerly done against the King. They now tell the People that he was cruelly murdered; upon whom themselves having heapedall their Curses, had devoted him to Destruction, whom they had deliver'd up as it were to the Parlament, to be despoil'd of his Royalty, and pursued with a Holy War. They now complain that the Scataries are not extirpated; which is a most absurd thing to expect the Magistrates should be able to do, who never yet were able, do what they could, to extirpate Avarice and Ambition, those two most pernicious Heresies, and more destructive to the Church than all the rest, out of the very order and tribe of the Ministers themselves. For the Sects which they inveigh against, I confefs there are fuch amongst us, but they are obscure, and make no noise in the world: The Sects that they are of, are public and notorious, and much more

dangerous to the Church of God. Simon Magus and Diotrephes were the Ringleaders of them. Yet are we so far from persecuting these Men, tho' they are pestilent enough, that tho' we know them to be ill-affected to the Government, and defirous of, and endeavouring to work a change, we allow them but too much Liberty. You, that are both a Frenchman and a Vagabond, feem difpleased that the English, more fierce and cruel than their own Massiffs, as your barking Eloquence has it, have no regard to the lawful Successor and Heir of the Crown: Take no care of the King's youngest Son, nor of the Queen of Bohemia. I'll make ye no Answer; you shall answer yourself. When the frame of a Government is changed from a Monarchy to any other, the new Modellers have no regard to frecission: the application is easy; it's in your Book de primatu Papæ. The great change throughout three Kingdoms, you fay, was brought about by a small number of Men in one of them. If this were true, that finall number of Men would have deferved to have Dominion over the rest; Valiant Men over faint-hearted Cowards. These are they that presumptuously took upon them to change, antiquum Regni Regimen, in alium qui à pluribus Tyrannis teneatur. 'Tis well for them that you cunnot find fault with them, without committing a barbarous Soloccifm; you shame all Grammarians. The English will never be able to well out this stain, Nay, you, tho'a blot and a flain to all scarned Men, were never yet able to stain the Renown and everlafting Glory of the English Nation, that with fo great a Refolution, as we hard y find the like recorded in any History, having struggled with, and overcome, not only their Enemies in the Field, but the superstitious Perfuafions of the common People, have purchased to themselves in general amongst all posterity the name of Deliverers: The Body of the people having undertook and performed an enterprize, which in other Nations is thought to proceed only from a magnanimity that's peculiar to Heroes. What the Protestants and Primitive Christians have done, or would do upon such an occasion, 1711 tell ye herafter, when we come to debate the merits of the Caufe: In discourfing it before, I should be guilty of your fault, who outdo the most impertinent Talkers in Nature. You wonder how we shall be able to answer the Jesuits. Meddle with your own matters, you Runagate, and be asham'd of your actions, fince the Church is asham'd of you; who, though but of late you fet yourself fo fiercely and with fo much Oftentation against the Pope's Supremacy and Episcopal Government, are now become yourself a very Creature of the Bishops. You confess that some Protestants whom you do not name, have afferted it lawful to depose a Tyrant: But though you do not think fit to name them, I will, because you say they are far worse than the very fesuits themselves; they are no other than Luther, and Zuinglius, and Calvin, and Bucer, and Pareus, and many others. But then, you fay, they refer it to the Judgment of learned and wife Men, who shall be accounted a Tyrant. But what for Men, were these? Were they wise Men, were they Men of Learning? Were they any-wise remarkable, either for Virtue or Nobility? You may well allow a People that has felt the heavy Yoke of Slavery, to be Wife, and Learned, and Noble enough to know what is fit to be done to the Tyrant that has oppressed them; though they neither consult with Foreigners nor Grammarians. But that this Man was a Tyrant, not only the Parlaments of England and Scotland have declared by their actions and express words; but almost all the People of both Nations affented to it, till such time as by the Tricks and Artifices of the Bishops they were divided into two Factions: and what if it has pleafed God to chufe fuch Men, to execute his Vengeance upon the greatest Potentates on Earth, as he chose to be made partakers of the benefit of the Gospel? Not many Wise, not many Learned, not many Powerful, not many Noble: That by those that are not, be might bring to naught those that are; and that no flesh might glory in his fight. And who are you that babble to the contrary? Dare you affect the Reputation of a learned Man? I confess you are pretty well verfed in Phrase-Books, and Lexicons, and Glossaries; infomuch that you feem to have spent your time in nothing else. But you do not make appear that you have read any good Authors with fo much Judgment as to have benefited by them. Other Copies and various Lections and Words omitted, and Corruptions of Texts and the like, these you are full of; but no footstep of any solid Learning appears in all you have writ: Or do ye think yourfelf a wife Man, that quarrel and contend about the meanest Trifles that may be? That being altogether ignorant in Astronomy and Physic, yet are always railing at the Pro-N n n

festors of both, whom all Men credit in what things belong to their own Sciences, that would be ready to curse them to the Pit of Hell, that should offer to deprive you of the Vain-glory of having corrected or supply'd the least word or letter in any Copy you've criticised upon. And yet you are mad to hear yourself call'd a Grammarian. In a certain trisling Discourse of yours, you call Dr. Hammond Knave in plain terms who was one of this King's Chaplains, and one that he valued above all the rest, for no other reason but because he had called you a Grammarian. And I don't question but you would have been as ready to have thrown the same reproach upon the King himself, if you had heard that he had approv'd his Chaplain's Judgment of you. Take notice now, how much I (who am but one of those many English, that you have the impudence to call Mad-men, and unlearned, and ignoble, and wicked) flight and despife you, (for that the English Nation in general should take any notice in public of such a worm as you are, would be an infinite undervaluing of themselves) who though one should turn you topsy-turvy, and inside-out, are but a Grammarian: Nay, as if you had made a foolisher wish than Midas did, whatever you meddle with, except when you make Solœcisms, is Grammar still. Whosoever therfore he be, though from among the Dregs of that common People that you are so keen upon, (for as for those Men of Eminency amongst us, whose great Actions evidenced to all Men their Nobility, and Virtue, and Conduct, I won't diffrace them fo much, as to compare you to them, or them to you'l but whofoever, I fay, among the Dregs of that common People has but fuck'd in this Principle, That he was not born for his Prince, but for God and his Country; he deferves the reputation of a Learned, and an Honest, and a Wife Man more, and is of greater use in the world than yourself. For such a one is Learned without Letters; you have Letters, but no Learning, that understand so many Languages, turn over so many Volumes, and yet are but afleep when all is done.

CHAP. II.

HE Argument that Salmasius, toward the conclusion of his first Chapter, urg'd as irrefragable, to wit, that it was really fo, because all Men unanimously agreed in it; That very Argument, than which, as he apply'd it, there is nothing more false, I, that am now about to discourse of the Right of Kings, may turn upon himself with a great deal of Truth. For, wheras he defines a King (if that may be faid to be defin'd which he makes infinite) to be a Person in whom the Supreme Power of the Kingdom resides, who is answerable to God alone, who may do whatfoever pleases him, who is bound by no Law: I will undertake to demonstrate, not by mine, but by his own Reasons and Authorities, that there never was a Nation or People of any account (for to ranfack all the unciviliz'd parts of the World were to no purpose) that ever allowed this to be their King's Right, or put such exorbitant Power into his hand, as that he should not be bound by any Law, that he might do what he would, that he should judge all, but be judged of none. Nor can I perfuade myself, that there ever was any one Person besides Salmasius of so slavish a Spirit, as to affert the outragious Enormities of Tyrants to be the Rights of Kings. Those amongst us that were the greatest Royalists, always abhorred this fordid Opinion: And Salmassus himself, as appears by some other Writings of his before he was bribed, was quite of ano-Infomuch, that what he here gives out, does not look like the Dictates of a free Subject under a free Government, much less in so famous a Commonwealth as that of Holland, and the most eminent University there; but feems to have been penn'd by fome despicable. Slave that lay rotting in a Prison, or a Dungeon. If whatever a King has a mind to do, the right of Kings will bear him out in (which was a Lesson that the bloody Tyrant Antoninus Caracalla, tho' his Step-mother Julia preach'd it to him, and endeavoured to inure him to the practice of it, by making him commit incest with her felf, yet could hardly suck in) then there neither is, nor ever was that King that deferved the name of a Tyrant. They may fafely violate all the Laws of

God and Man: their very being Kings keeps them innocent. What Crime was ever any of them guilty of? They did but make use of their own Right upon their own Vaffals. No King can commit fuch horrible Cruelties and Outrages, as will not be within this Right of Kings. So that there's no Pretence left for any Complaints or Expostulations with any of them. And dare you affert, That this Right of Kings, as you call it, is grounded upon the Law of Nations, or rather upon that of Nature, you Brute Beaft? for you deserve not the name of a Man, that are so cruel and unjust towards all those of your own kind; that endeavour as much as in you lies, so to bear down and vilify the whole race of Mankind, that were made after the Image of God, as to affert and maintain that those cruel and unmerciful Taskmasters, that through the superstitious whimsies, or sloth, or treachery of some persons, get into the Chair, are provided and appointed by Nature herfelf, that mild and gentle Mother of us all, to be the Governors of those Nations they enflave. By which pestilent Doctrine of yours, having rendered them more sherce and untractable, you not only enable them to make havoc of, and trample under foot their miferable Subjects; but endeavour to arm them for that very purpose with the Law of Nature, the Right of Kings, and the very Constitutions of Government, than which nothing can be more impious or ridiculous. By my confent, as Dionysius formerly of a Tyrant became a School-master, so you of a Grammarian should become a Tyrant; not that you may have that Regal Licence of doing other people harm, but a fair opportunity of perifhing miferably your felf: That, as Tiberius complain'd, when he had confin'd himfelf to the Island Capreæ, you may be reduced into fuch a condition, as to be fenfible that you perish daily. But let us look a little more narrowly into this Right of Kings that you talk of. This was the sense of the Eastern, and of the Western part of the World. I shall not answer you with what Aristotle and Cicero, (who are both as credible Authors as any we have) tell us, viz. That the People of Asia easily fubmit to Slavery, but the Syrians and the Jews are even born to it from the womb. I confess there are but few, and those Men of great wisdom and courage, that are either desirous of Liberty, or capable of using it. The greatest part of the world chuse to live under Masters; but yet they would have them just ones. As for such as are unjust and tyrannical, neither was God ever so much an enemy to Mankind, as to enjoin a necessity of submitting to them; nor was there ever any people fo destitute of all fense, and funk into such a depth of despair, as to impose so cruel a Law upon themselves and their posterity. First, you produce the words of King Solomon in bis Ecclesiastes. And we are as willing to appeal to the Scripture as you. As for Solomon's Authority, we'll confider that hereafter, when perhaps we shall be better able to understand it. First, let us hear God himself speak, Deut. xvii. 14. When thou art come into the Land, which the Lord thy God giveth thee, and shalt say, I will set a King over me, like as the Nations that are round about me. Which paffage I could with all Men would feriously confider: for hence it appears by the testimony of God himfelf; First, that all Nations are at liberty to creek what Form of Government they will amongst themselves, and to change it when and into what they will. This God affirms in express terms concerning the Hebrew Nation; and it does not appear but that other Nations are, as to this respect, in the same condition. Another remark that this place yields us, is, that a Commonwealth is a more perfect Form of Government than a Monarchy, and more fuitable to the condition of Mankind, and in the opinion of God himfelf, better for his own People; for himfelf appointed it, and could hardly be prevailed withal a great while after, and at their own importunate defire, to let them change it into a Monarchy. But to make it appear that he gave them their choice to be govern'd by a fingle person, or by more, so they were justly govern'd, in case they should in time to come refolve upon a King, he prescribes Laws for this King of theirs to obferve, wherby he was forbidden to multiply to himfelf Horfes and Wives, or to heap up Riches: whence he might cafily infer, that no power was put into his hands over others, but according to Law, fince even those actions of his life, which related only to himfelf, were under a Law. He was commanded therfore to transcribe with his own hand all the Precepts of the Law, and having writ them out, to observe and keep them, that his mind might not be lifted up above his Brethren. 'Tis evident from hence, that as well the Prince as the Nnn 2 Vol. I. People

People was bound by the Law of Moses. To this purpose Josephus writes, .. proper and an able Interpreter of the Laws of his own Country, who was ad mirably well versed in the Jewish Policy, and infinitely presentle to a thousand obscure ignorant Rabbins: He has it thus in the fourth Book of his Antiquities. 'Αρισταρατία μέν δυ κράτιστου, &c. "An Aristocracy is the best Form of Go-Agis cogatia per 80 2021; 00, Ct. In Indicatory is the best Form of Gocovernment; wherfore do not you endeavour to fettle any other, 'tis ecompanies of the first control of the second presides over ye, but if you will have a King, let
companies him guide himself by the Law of God, rather than by his own wisdom;
companies and lay a restraint upon him, if he offer at more power than the state of
companies will allow of." Thus he expresses himself upon this place in Deuteronomy. Another Jewish Author, Philo Judeus, who was Josephus's Contemporary, a very studious Man in the Law of Moses, upon which he wrote a large Commentary; when in his Book concerning the Creation of the King, he interprets this Chapter of Deuteronomy, he fets a King loofe from the Law no otherwise than as an enemy may be said to be so: " They, says he, that to "the prejudice and destruction of the people acquire great power to themselves, "deferve not the name of Kings, but that of Enemies: For their Actions are " the same with those of an irreconcileable enemy. Nay, they, that under a repretence of Government are injurious, are worse than open enemies. We may sence ourselves against the latter; but the malice of the former is so " much the more pestilent, because it is not always easy to be discovered. But when it is discovered, why should they not be dealt with as enemies? The same Author in his fecond Book, Allegoriar. Legis, "A King, fays he, and a Ty-" rant, are Contraries. And a little after, A King ought not only to command, 66 but also to obey." All this is very true, you'll say, a King ought to observe the Laws, as well as any other Man. But what if he will not, what Law is there to punish him? I answer, the same Law that there is to punish other Men; for I find no exceptions. There is no express Law to punish the Priests or any other inferior Magistrates, who all of them, if this opinion of the exemption of Kings from the Penalties of the Law would hold, might by the fame reason claim impunity, what guilt soever they contract, because there is no positive Law for their punishment; and yet I suppose none of them ever challeng'd fuch a Prerogative, nor would it ever be allow'd them, if they should. Hitherto we have learned from the very Text of God's own Law, that a King ought to obey the Laws, and not lift himself up above his Brethren. Let us now confider whether Solomon preached up any other Doctrine, Ch. viii. v. 2. I counsel thee to keep the King's Commandment, and that in regard of the Oath of God. Be not hasty to go out of his sight; stand not in an evil thing; for he doth whatsoever pleaseth him. Where the word of a King is, there is power; and who may say unto him, what dost thou? It is well enough known, that here the Preacher directs not his Precepts to the Sanbedrim, or to a Parlament, but to private Perfons; and fuch he commands to keep the King's Commandment, and that in regard of the Oath of God. But as they fwear Allegiance to Kings, do not Kings likewife fwear to obey and maintain the Laws of God, and those of their own Country? So the Reubenites and Gadites promife obedience to Joshua, Josh. i. 17. According as we hearkened unto Moses in all things, so will we hearken unto thee; only the Lord thy God be with thee, as he was with Moses. Here's an express condition. Hear the Preacher else, Chap. ix. v. 17. The words of wife Men are heard in quiet, more than the cry of him that ruleth among fools. The next caution that Solemon gives us, is, Be not hasty to go out of his fight; stand not in an evil thing; for he doth whatsoever pleaseth him. That is, he does what he will to Malesactors, whom the Law authorizes him to punish, and against whom he may proceed with mercy or severity, as he sees occasion. Here's nothing. The Tyranny is nothing that a good. Man process he associated thing like Tyranny; nothing that a good Man needs be afraid of. Where the Word of a King is, there is power; and who may fay to kim, What doft thou? And yet we read of one that not only faid to a King. What doft thou? but told him, Thou hast done foolishly. But Samuel, you may fay, was an extraordinary Person. Lanswer you with your own Words, which follow in the 49th Page of your Book, What was there extraordinary, flay you, in Scal or in David? And fo fay I, what was there in Samuel extraordinary? He was a Prophet, you'll fay; fo are they that now follow his example; for they act according to the will of God, either his revealed, or his fecres will, which yourfelf grant in your 50th Page. The Preacher therfore in this place

.i ...

place prudently advises private persons not to contend with Princes; for it is even dangerous to contend with any Man that's either rich or powerful. But what then? Must thersfore the Nobility of a Nation, and all the inserior Magistrates, and the whole body of the people not dare to mutter when a King raves and acts like a Madman? Must they not oppose a soolish, wicked, outragious Tyrant, that perhaps seeks the destruction of all good Men? Must they not endeavour to prevent his turning all Divine and Humanthings upside down? Must they suffer him to massacre his People, burn their Cities, and commir such Outrages upon them daily; and finally, to have persect Liberty to do what he lists without controul?

O de Cappadocis eques catastis!

Thou flavish Knight of Cappadocia!

Whom all free People, if you can have the confidence herafter to fet your foot within a free Country, ought to cast out from amongst them, and send to some remote parts of the World, as a Prodigy of dire portent; or to condemn to some perpetual drudgery, as one devoted to slavery, solemnly obliging themselves, if they ever let you go, to undergo a worse slavery under some cruel, silly Tyrant: No Man living can either devise himself, or borrow from any other, Expressions so sull of Cruelty and Contempt, as may not justly be apply'd to you. But go on. When the Israelites asked a King of God, they said, they would set up a King that should have the same Rule and Dominion over them, that the Kings of their neighbour Countries exercised over their Subjects. But the Kings of the East we know had an unlimited Power: as Virgil testifies,

——Regem non fic Ægyptus & ingens Lydia, nec Populi Parthorum, & Medus, Hydafpes Observant.——

No Eastern Nation ever did adore The Majesty of Sovereign Princes more.

First, What is that tous, what fort of Kings the Israelites desired? Especially since God was angry with them, not only for desiring such a King as other Nations had, and not such a King as his own Law describes, but barely for desiring a King at all? Nor is it credible that they should desire an unjust King, and one that should be out of the reach of all Laws, who could not bear the Government of Samuel's Sons, though under the power of Laws; but from their Covetousness sought refuge in a King. And lastly, The Verte that you quote out of Virgil, does not prove that the Kings of the East had an absolute unlimited Power; for those Bees, that he there speaks of, and who reverence their Kings, he says, more than the Egyptians or Medes do theirs, by the Authority of the same Poet,

----- Magnis agitant fub legibus .evum.

Live under certain Fundamental Laws.

They do not live under a King then, that's tied to no Law. But now I'll let you fee how little reason you have to think I bear you an ill-will. Most People think you are a Knave; but I'll make it appear that you have only put on a Knave's Vizor for the present. In your Introduction to your Discourse of the Pope's Supremacy, you say, that some Divines in the Council of Trent made use of the Government, that is said to be amongst Bees, to prove the Pope's Supremacy. This sancy you borrow from them, and urge it here with the same malice that they did there. Now that very same answer that you gave them, whilst you were an honest Man, now that you are become a Knave, you shall give your self, and pull off with your own hand that Vizor you have now put on: The Bees, sayyou, are a State, and the Netward Philosophers call them; they have a King, but a harmless one; he is a Leader, or Captain, rather than a King; he never least, nor

pulls, nor kills his subject Bees. No wonder they are so observant of him then: But in good Faith, you had but ill luck to meddle with these Bees; for though they are Bees of Trent, they show you to be a Drone. Aristotle, a most exact writer of Politics, affirms that the Asiatique Monarchy, which yet himself calls barbarous, was according to Law, Politic. 3. And wheras he reckons up five feveral forts of Monarchies, four of those five he makes Governments according to Laws, and with the confent of the People; and yet he calls them tyrannical Forms of Government, because they lodge so much power in one Man's hand. But the Kingdom of the Laecdemonians he fays is most properly a Kingdom, because there all Power is not in the King. The fifth fort of Monarchy, which he calls παμβατίλεια, that is, where the King is all in all; and to which he refers that, that you call the Right of Kings, which is a Liberty to do what they lift; he neither tells us when, nor where any fuch Form of Government ever obtained. Nor feems he to have mentioned it for any other purpose than to shew how unjust, absurd, and tyrannical a Government it is. You say, that when Samuel would deter the People from chusing a King, he propounded to them this Right of Kings. But whence had Samuel it? Had he it from the written Law of God? That can't be. We have observ'd already, that the Scriptures afford us a quite other Scheme of Sovereignty. Had Samuel it then immediately from God himfelf by Revelation? That's not likely neither; for God diflikes it, discommends it, finds fault with it: So that Samuel does not expound to the People any Right of Kings appointed by God; but a corrupt and depraved manner of governing, taken up by the Pride and Ambition of Princes. He tells not the People what their Kings ought to do, but what they would do. He told them the manner of their King, as before he told us of the manner of the Priests, the Sons of Eli; for he uses the same word in both places; (which you in the 33d Page of your Book, by an Hebrew Solocism too, call nound.) That manner of theirs was wicked, and odious, and tyrannical: It was no right, but great wrong. The Fathers have commented upon this place too: I'll instance in one, that may stand for a great many; and that's Sulpitius Severus, a contemporary and intimate Friend of St. Jerome, and, in St. Augustin's opinion, a Man of great Wisdom and Learning. He tells us in his facred History, that Samuel in that place acquaints the People with the imperious Rule of Kings, and how they use to lord it over their Subjects. Certainly it cannot be the Right of Kings to domineer and be imperious. But according to Salujt, that lawful Power and Authority that Kings were entrusted with, for the preservation of the public Liberty, and the good of the Commonwealth, quickly degenerated into Pride and Tyranny: And this is the fense of all Orthodox Divines, and of all Lawyers upon that place of Samuel. And you might have learned from Sichardus, that most of the Rabbins too were of the fame mind; at least, not any one of them ever afferted that the absolute inherent Right of Kings is there discoursed of. Yourself in your 5th Chapter, Page 106, complain, That not only Clemens Alexandrinus, but all other Expefitors mistake themselves upon this Text: And you, I'll warrant ye, are the only Man that have had the good luck to hit the Mark. Now what a piece of folly and impudence is this in you to maintain, in opposition to all Orthodox Expositors, that those very Actions which God so much condemns, are the Right of Kings, and to pretend Law for them? Though yourfelf confess, that that Right is very often exercised in committing Outrages, being injurious, contumelious and the like. Was any Man ever to that degree *fui juris*, fo much his own Master, as that he might lawful y prey upon Mankind, bear down all that flood in his way, and turn all things upfide-down? Did the Remans ever maintain, as you fay they did, that any Man might do these things suo jure, by virtue of some inherent Right in himself? Salust indeed makes C. Memmius, a Tribune of the People, in an invective Speech of his against the Pride of the Nobility, and their escaping unpunish'd, howsoever they misbehaved themselves, to use these words, viz. "To do whatever one has a mind to, without fear of "Punishment, is to be a King." This Saying you catch'd hold of, thinking it would make for your purpose; but consider it a little better, and you'll find yourfelf deceived. Does he in that place affert the Right of Kings? Or does he not blame the common People, and chide them for their Sloth, In fuffering their Nobility to lord it over them, as if they were out of the reach of all Law, and in Submitting again to that Kingly Tyranny, which together with their

Kings themselves, their Ancestors had lawfully and justly rejected and banish'd from amongst them? If you had consulted Tully, you would have understood both Salust and Samuel better. In his Oration pro C. Rabirio, "There is none "of us ignorant, fays he, of the manner of Kings. There is mone "Dictates: Mind what I fay, and do accordingly." Many passages to this purpose he quotes out of Poets, and calls them not the Right, but the Custom or the Manner of Kings; and he says, We ought to read and consider them, not only for curiofity fake, but that we may learn to beware of them, and avoid You perceive how miserably you are come off with Salust, who, the he be as much an Enemy to Tyranny as any other Author whatfoever, you thought would have patronized this tyrannical Right that you are establishing. my word for't, the Right of Kings feems to be tottering, and even to further its own ruin, by relying upon such weak Props for its support; and by endeavouring to maintain itself by such Examples and Authorities, as would hasten its downfall, if it were further off than it is. The extremity of Right or Law, you fay, is the height of Injury, Summum jus summa injuria; this saying is verified most properly in Kings, who when they go to the utmost of their Right, fall into those courses, in which Samuel makes the Right of Kings to confift. And 'tis a miserable Right, which, when you have faid all you can for, you can no otherwife defend, than by confessing, that it is the greatest injury that may be. The extremity of Right or Law is saidtobe, when a Man ties himself up to Niccties, dwells upon Letters and Syllables, and in the mean time neglects the intent and equity of the Law; or when a written Law is cunningly and maliciously interpreted; this Cicero makes to have been the rife of that common faying. But fince tis certain that all Right flows from the Fountain of Justice, fo that nothing can possibly be any Man's right that is not just, 'tis a most wicked thing in you to affirm that for a King to be unjust, rapacious, tyrannical, and as ill as the worst of them ever were, is according to the right of Kings; and to tell us that a Holy Prophet would have perfuaded the People to fuch a fenfeless thing. For whether written or unwritten, whether extreme or remis, what Right can any Man have to be injurious? Which left you should confess to be true of other Men, but not of Kings, I have one Man's Authority to object to you, who I think was a King likewife, and professes that that Right of Kings that you speak of, is odious both to God and himself: It is in the 94th Psalm, Shall the Throne of Iniquity have fellowship with thee, that frameth mischief by a Law? Be not therefore so injurious to God, as to ascribe this Doctrine to him, viz. that all manner of wicked and flagitious Actions are but the Right of Kings; fince himfelf tells us, that he abhors all fellowship with wicked Princes for this very reason, because under pretence of Sovereignty they create Misery and Vexation to their Subjects. Neither bring up a false Accusation against a Prophet of God; for by making him to teach us in this place what the Right of Kings is, you do not produce the right Samuel, but fuch another empty Shadow as was raifed by the Witch of Endor. Tho' for my own part, I verily believe that that infernal Samuel would not have been fo great a Lyar, but that he would have confessed, that what you call the Right of Kings, is Tyranny. We read indeed of Impieties countenanced by Law, Jus datum sceleri: you yourself confess that they are bad Kings that have made use of this boundless Licence of theirs to do every thing. Now this Right that you have introduced for the Destruction of Mankind, not proceeding from God, as I have proved it does not, must need scome from the Devil; and that it does really so, will appear more clearly hereafter. By virtue of this Liberty, fay you, Princes may if they will. And for this, you pretend to have Cicero's Authority. I'm always willing to mention your Authorities, for it generally happens that the very Authors you quote them out of, give you an Answer themselves. Hear else what Cicero says in his 4th Philippic, 'What cause of War can be more just and warrantable than to avoid Slavery? For the a People may have the good fortune to live under a gentle Mafter, yet those are in a miserable Condition whose Prince may tyrannize over them if he will.' May, that is, can; has Power enough to do. If he meant it of his Right, he would contradict himself, and make that an unjust Cause of War, which himself had affirmed with the same breath to be a most just one. It is not therfore the Right of all Kings that you describe, but the Injuriousness, and Force, and Violence of some. Then you tell us what private

Men may do. A private Man, fay you, may lye, may be ungrateful; and fo may Kings, but what then? May they therfore Plunder, Murder, Ravish, without controll? 'Tis equally prejudicial and destructive to the Commonwealth, whether it be their own Prince, or a Robber, or a Foreign Enemy that Spoils, Maffacres, and Enflaves them. And queftionless, being both alike Enemies of Human Society, the one as well as the other may lawfully be opposed and punish'd; and their own Prince the rather, because he, tho' raised to that Dignity by the Honours that his People have conferr'd upon him, and being bound by his Oath to defend the Public Safety, betrays it notwithstanding all. At last you grant, that Moses prescribes Laws, according to which the King that the People of Hrael should chuse, ought to govern, the' different from this Right that Samuel prepofes; which words contain a double Contradiction to what you have faid before. For wheras you had affirmed, That a King was bound by no Law, here you confess he is. And you fet up two contrary Rights, one described by Moses, and another by Samuel, which is abfurd. But, fays the Prophet, you shall be Servants to your King .. Tho' I should grant that the Israeliies were really so, it would not prefently follow, that it was the Right of their Kings to have them fo; but that by the Usurpation and Injustice of most of them, they were reduc'd to that Condition. For the Prophet had foretold them, that that importunate Petition of theirs would bring a Punishment from God upon them; not because it would be their King's Right so to harrass them, but because they themselves had deserved it should be so. If Kings are out of the reach of the Law, fo as that they may do what they lift, they are more absolute than any Masters, and their Subjects in a more despicable Condition than the worst of Slaves. The Law of God provided some redress for them, tho' of another Nation, if their Masters were cruel and unreasonable towards them. And can we imagine that the whole Body of the People of a free Nation, tho' oppress'd and tyrannized over, and prey'd upon, should be left remediles? That they had no Law to protect them, no Sanctuary to betake themselves to? Can we think that they were deliver'd from the Bondage that they were under to the Egyptian Kings, to be reduced into a worse to one of their own Brethren? All which being neither agreeable to the Law of God, nor to common Senfe, nothing can be more evident than that the Prophet declares to the People the Manner, and not the Right of Kings; nor the Manner of all Kings, but of most. Then you come to the Rabbins, and quote two of them, but you have as bad luck with them here, as you had before. For it is plain, that that other Chapter that Rabbi Joses speaks of, and which contains, he fays, the Right of Kings, is that in Deuteronomy, and not in Samuel. Rabbi Judas fays very truly, and against you, that that Discourse of Samuel's was intended only to frighten the People. 'Tis a most pernicious Doctrine to maintain that to be any one's Right, which in itself is flat Injustice, unless you have a mind to speak by contraries. And that Samuel intended to affrighten them, appears by the 18th Verle, And ye shall cry out in that day, because of your King, which ye shall have chosen you, and I will not hear you in that day, saith the Lord. That was to be their Punishment for their Obstinacy in persisting to defire a King against the Mind and Will of God, and yet they are not forbidden here either to pray against him, or to endeavour to rid themselves of him. For if they might lawfully pray to God against him, without doubt they might useall lawful means for their own Deliverance. For what Man living, when he finds himself in any Calamity, betakes himself to God, so as to neglect his own Duty in order to a Redrefs, and rely upon his lazy Prayers only? But be it how it will, what is all this to the Right of Kings, or of the English People? who neither asked a King against the Will of God, nor had one appointed us by God, but by the Right that all Nations have to appoint their own Governors, appointed a King over us by Laws of our own, neither in Obedience to, nor against any Command of God? And this being the Case, for aught I see, we have done well in depoling our King, and are to be commended for it, fince the Israelites sinned in asking one. And this the Event has made appear; for we, when we had a King, prayed to God against him, and he heard us, and delivered us: But the Jews (who not being under a Kingly Government, defired a King) he fuffered to live in Slavery under one, till, at last, after their return from the Babylonish Captivity, they betook themselves to their former Government again. Then

Then you come to give us a display of your Talmudical Learning, but you have as ill fuccess with that, as you have had with all the reft. For whilst you are endeavouring to prove that Kings are not liable to any Temporal Judicature, you quote an Authority out of the Treatise of the Sanbearim, That the King neither is judged of others, nor does himself judge any. Which is against the People's own Petition in Samuel; for they defired a King that might judge them. You labour in vain to falve this, by telling us, that it is to be understood of those Kings that reigned after the Babylonish Captivity. For then, what fay we to Maimonides? He makes this difference between the Kings of Heael, and those of Juda; that the Kings of the Posterity of David judge, and are judged; but the Kings of Israel do neither. You contradict and quarrel with your felf or your Rabbins, and still do my work for me. This, say you, is not to be understood of the Kings of Hrael in their first Institution; for in the 17th Verse 'tis said, You shall be his Servants; that is, he shall use ye to it, not that he shall have any Right to make you so. Or if you understand it of their Kings Right, 'tis but a Judgment of God upon them for asking a King; the effects of which they were sensible of under most of their Kings, tho' not perhaps under all. But you need no Antagonists, you are such a perpetual Adversary to your self. For you tell us now a Story, as if you were arguing on my side, how that first Aristobulus, and after him Januaus, furnamed Alexander, did not receive that Kingly Right that they pretended to, from the Sanbedrim, that great Treasury and Oracle of the Laws of that Nation, but usurped it by degrees against the Will of the Senate. For whose sake, you say, that childish Fable of the principal Men of that Assembly being struck dead by the Angel Gabriel, was first invented. And thus you confess that this magnificent Prerogative, upon which you feem mainly to rely, viz. That Kings are not to be judged by any upon Earth, 'was grounded upon this worse than an old Wife's Tale, that is, upon a Rabbinical Fable.' But that the Hebrew Kings were liable to be call'd in question for their Actions, and to be punished with stripes, if they were found faulty, Sichardus shows at large out of the Writings of the Rabbins, to which Author you are indebted for all that you employ of that fort of Learning, and yet you have the Impudence to be thwarting with him. Nay, we read in the Scripture that Saul thought himself bound by a Decree of his own making; and in Obedience thereunto, that he cast Lots with his Son Jonathan which of them two should die. Uzzias likewise, when he was thrust out of the Temple by the Priests as a Leper, submitted as every private Person in such a Case ought to do, and ceas'd to be a King. Suppose he should have refused to go out of the Temple, and lay down the Government, and live alone, and had refolved to affert that Kingly Right of not being fubject to any Law; do you think the Priests, and the People of the Jews, would have suffered the Temple to be defiled, the Laws violated, and live themselves in danger of the Infection? It feems there are Laws against a leprous King, but none against a Tyrant. Can any Man possibly be so mad and foolish as to fancy that the Laws should so far provide for the People's Health, as tho' some notione Distemper should feize upon the King himself, yet to prevent the Infection's reaching them, and make no Provision for the Security of their Lives and Estates, and the very being of the whole State, against the Tyranny of a cruel, unjust Prince, which is incomparably the greater mitchief of the two? But, fay you, there can be no precedent shown of any one King, that has been arraigned in a Court of Justice, and condemn'd to die. Sichardus answers that well enough. 'Tis all one, says he, as if one should argue on this manner: The Emperor of Germany never was fummoned to appear before one of the Prince-Electors; therefore if the Prince Elector Palatine should impeach the Emperor, he were not bound to plead to it; tho' it appears by the Golden Bull, that Charles the Fourth subjected himfelf and his Succeffors to that Cognizance and Jurifdiction. But no wonder if Kings were indulged in their Ambition, and their Exorbitances passed by, when the times were fo corrupt and depraved, that even private Men, if they had either Money or Interest, might escape the Law, tho guilty of Crimes of never so high a nature. That avuncious, that you speak of, that is to be wholly independent upon any other, and accountable to none upon Earth, which you fay is peculiar to the Majesty of Sovereign Princes, Aristotle in the 4th Book of his Pol. Cb. 10. calls a most Tyrannical Form of Government, and not in the leaft to be endured by a free People. And that Kings are not liable Vol. I.

to be question'd for their Actions, you prove by the Testimony of a very worthy Author, that barbarous Tyrant Mark Antony; one of those that subverted the Commonwealth of Rome: And yet he himself, when he undertook an Expedition against the Parthians, summon'd Herod before him, to answer to a Charge of Murder, and would have punished him, but that Herod brib'd him. So that Antony's afferting this Prerogative Royal, and your Defence of King Charles, come both out of one and the fame Spring. And its very reasonable, say you, that it should be so; for Kings derive their Auhterity from God alone. What Kings are those, I pray, that do so? For I deny that there ever were any such Kings in the World, that derived their Authority from God alone. Saul the first King of Israel had never reign'd, but that the People defired a King, even against the Will of God; and tho' he was proclaimed King once at Mizpah, yet after that he lived a private Life, and look'd to his Father's Cattel, till he was created fo the fecond time by the People at Gilgal. And what think ye of David? Tho' he had been anointed once by God, was he not anointed the fecond time in Hebron by the Tribe of Judah, and after that by all the People of Israel, and that after a mutual Covenant betwixt him and them? 2 Sam. 5. 1 Chron. 11. Now a Covenant lays an Obligation upon Kings, and reftrains them within Bounds. Solomon, you fay, succeeded him in the Throne of the Lord, and was acceptable to all men: 1 Chron. 29. So that 'tis fomething to be well-pleafing in the eyes of the People. Jehoiadab the Priest made Joash King, but first he made him and the People enter into a Covenant to one another, 2 Kings 11. I confess that these Kings, and all that reign'd of David's Posterity, were appointed to the Kingdom both by God and the People; but of all other Kings, of what Country foever, I affirm, that they are made fo by the People only; nor can you make it appear, that they are appointed by God any otherwise than as all other things, great and small, are faid to be appointed by him, because nothing comes to pass without his Providence. So that I allow the Throne of David was in a peculiar manner call'd, The Throne of the Lord: whereas the Thrones of other Princes are no otherwise God's, than all other things in the World are his; which if you would, you might have learnt out of the same Chapter, Ver. 11, 12. Thine, O Lord, is the greatness, &c. for all that is in the Heaven, and in the Earth is thine. Both riches and honour come of thee, and thou reignest over all. And this is so often repeated, not to puff up Kings, but to put them in mind, tho' they think themselves Gods, that yet there is a God above them, to whom they owe whatever they are and have. And thus we eafily understand what the Poets, and the Essence among the Jews mean, when they tell us, That 'tis by God that Kings reign, and that they are of Jupiter; for so all of us are of God, we are all his Off-spring. So that this universal Right of Almighty God's, and the Interest that he has in Princes, and their Thrones, and all that belongs to them, does not at all derogate from the People's Right; but that notwithstanding all this, all other Kings, not particularly and by name appointed by God, owe their Sovereignty to the People only, and confequently are accountable to them for the management of it. The truth of which Doctrine, the the Common People are apt to flatter their Kings, yet they themselves acknowledge, whether good ones, as Sarpedon in Homer is described to have been; or bad ones, as those Tyrants in the Lyrick Poet:

Γλαΐκε, τίη δη νωι τετιμήνεσθα, μαλίσα, &c.

Glaucus, in Lycia we're ador'd like Gods: What makes 'twixt us and others so great odds?

He refolves the Question himself: "Because, says he, we excel others "in Heroical Vertues: Let us sight mansfully then, says he, lest our Country-"men tax us with Sloth and Cowardice." In which words he intimates to us, both that Kings derive their Grandeur from the People, and that for their Conduct and Behaviour in War, they are accountable to them. Bad Kings indeed, tho' to cast some Terror into People's minds, and beget a Reverence of themselves, they declare to the World, that God only is the Author of Kingly Government; in their Hearts and Minds they reverence no other Deity but that of Fortune, according to that passage in Horace:

Te Dacus asper, te prosugi Scythæ,
Regumque matres barbarorum, &
Purpurei metuunt Tyranni.
Injurioso ne pede proruas
Stantem columnam, neu populus frequens
Ad arma cessantes, ad arma
Concitet, imperiumque frangat.

"All barb'rous People, and their Princes toe,

"All Purple Tyrants honour you; "The very wandring Scythians do.

" Support the Pillar of the Roman State,

" Left all Men be involv'd in one Man's fate,

" Continue us in Wealth and Peace;

"Let Wars and Tumults ever ceafe.

So that if 'tis by God that Kings now-a-days reign, 'tis by God too that the People affert their own Liberty; fince all things are of him, and by him. I'm fure the Scripture bears witness to both; that by him Kings reign, and that by him they are cast down from their Thrones. And yet experience teaches us, that both thefe things are brought about by the People, oftner than by God. Be this Right of Kings, therefore, what it will, the Right of the People is as much from God as it. And whenever any People, without fome visible Defignation of God himself, appoint a King over them, they have the same Right to put him down, that they had to fet him up at first. And certainly 'tis a more God-like Action to depose a Tyrant, than to set up one: And there appears much more of God in the People, when they depose an unjust Prince, than in a King that oppresses an innocent People. Nay, the People have a Warrant from God to judge wicked Princes; for God has conferr'd this very honour upon those that are dear to him, that celebrating the praises of Christ their own King, 'they shall bind in Chains the Kings of the Nations, (under which Appellation all Tyrants under the Gospel are included) 'and execute the Judgments written upon them that challenge to themselves an Exemption from all written Laws, Pfalm 149. So that there's but little reason lest for that wicked and foolish Opinion, that Kings, who commonly are the worst of Men, should be so high in God's account, as that he should have put the World under them, to be at their beck, and be govern'd according to their humour; and that for their fakes alone he should have reduced all Mankind, whom he made after his own Image, into the fame condition with Brutes. After all this, rather than fay nothing, you produce M. Aurelius, as a Countenancer of Tyranny; but you had better have let him alone. I can't fay whether he ever affirm'd, that Princes are accountable only before God's Tribunal. Xiphiline indeed, out of whom you quote those Words of M. Aurelius, mentions a certain Government, which he calls an Autarchy, of which he makes God the only Judg: περί ἀυταρχίας ὁ Θεὸς μόυ& πρίνειν δύναθαι. But that this word Autarchy and Monarchy are fynonymous, I cannot eafily perfwade my felf to believe. And the more I read what goes before, the lefs I find my felf inclinable to think for And certainly whoever confiders the Context, will not eafily apprehend what coherence this Sentence has with it, and must needs wonder how it comes to abruptly into the Text; especially since Marcus Aurelius, that Mirror of Princes, earried himself towards the People, as Capitolinus tells us, just as if Rome had been a Commonwealth still. And we all know that when it was fo, the Supreme Power was in the People. The same Emperor honoured the memory of Tharfeas, and Helvidius, and Cato, and Dio, and Brutus; who all were Tyrant flayers, or affected the reputation of being thought fo. In the first Book that he writes of his own Life, he says that he propos'd to himself a Form of Government, under which all men might equally enjoy the benefit of the Law, and Right and Justice be equally administred to all. And in his fourth Book he fays, The Law is Mafter, and not he. He acknowledged the Right of the Senate and the People, and their Interest in all things: We are Vol. I. 0002

fo far, fays he, from having any thing of our own, that we live in your Hou-These things Xipbiline relates of him. So little did he arrogate aught to himself by virtue of his Sovereign Right. When he died, he recommended his Son to the Romans for his Succeffor, if they should think he deserved it. So far was he from pretending to a Commission from Heaven to exercise that absolute and imaginary Right of Sovereignty, that Autarchy, that you tell us of. All the Latin and Greek Books are full of Authorities of this nature. But we have heard none of them yet. So are the Jewish Authors. And yet, you say, The Jews in many things allowed but too little to their Princes. Nay, you'll find that both the Greeks and the Latins allowed much less to Tyrants. And how little the Jews allowed them, would appear, if that Book that Samuel wrote of the manner of the Kingdom were extant; which Book the Hebrew Doctors tell us, their Kings tore in pieces and burnt, that they might be more at liberty to tyrannize over the people without controll or fear of punishment. Now look about ye again, and catch hold of somewhat or other. In the last place you come to wrest David's words in the 17th Pfalm, Let my sentence come forth from thy presence. Therfore, says Barnachmoni, God only can judge the King. And yet it's most likely that David penn'd this Pfulm when he was perfecuted by Saul, at which time, though himfelf were anointed, he did not decline being judged even by Jonathan: Notwithstanding, if there be iniquity in me, slay me thyself, 1 Sam. 20. At least in this Pfalm he does no more than what any perfon in the world would do upon the like occasion; being falsly accused by Men, he appeals to the judgment of God himfelf, Let thine eyes look upon the thing that is right; thou hast proved and visited mine heart, &c. What relation has this to a Temporal Judicature? Certainly they do no good office to this right of Kings, that thus discover the weakness of its soundation. Then you come with that thread-bare argument, which of all others is most in vogue with our Courtiers, Against thee, thee only have I sumed, Pfal. li. 6. As if David in the midst of his Repentance, when overwhelm'd with forrow, and almost drowned in tears, he was humbly imploring God's Mercy, had any thoughts of this Kingly Right of his when his heart was fo low, that he thought he deferved not the right of a flave. And can we think that he despited all the People of God, his own Brethren, to that degree, as to believe that he might murder them, plunder them, and commit Adultery with their Wives, and yet not fin against them all this while? So Holy a Man could never be guilty of fuch infufferable Pride, nor have fo little knowledge either of himfelf, or of his duty to his Neighbour. So without doubt, when he fays, Against thee only, he meant, against thee chiefly have I finned, &c. But whatever he means, the words of a Pfalm are 100 full of Poetry, and this Pfalm too full of Passion, to afford us any exact definitions of Right and Justice; nor is it proper to argue any thing of that nature from them. But David was never question'd for this, nor made to plead for his life before the Sanhedrim. What then? How should they know that any such thing had been which was done fo privately, that perhaps for some years after not above one or two were privy to it, as fuch fecrets there are in most Courts? 2 S.im. 12. Thou hast done this thing in fecret. Befides, what if the Senate should neglect to punish private perfons? Would any infer that therefore they ought not to be punish'd at all? But the reason why David was not proceeded against as a Malefactor, is not much in the dark: He had condemn'd himself in the 5th verse, The man To which the Prophet prefently replies, that hath done this thing shall furely die. Thou art the man. So that in the Prophet's judgment as well as his own, he was worthy of death; but God by his Sovereign Right over all things, and of his great Mercy to David, absolves him from the guilt of his Sin, and the sentence of death which he had pronounc'd against himself: verse 13th, The Lord hath put away thy sin, thou shalt not die. The next thing you do is to rail at some bloody Advocate or other, and you take a deal of pains to refute the conclusion of his Difcourfe. Let him look to that; I'll endeavour to be as fhort as I can in what I've undertaken to perform. But fome things I must not pass by without taking notice of; as first and foremost your notorious Contradictions; for in the 30th Page you fay, The Ifraelites do not deprecate an unjust, rapacious, tyrannical King, one as bad as the worst of Kings are. And yet, Page 42. you are very finart upon your Advocate, for maintaining that the Itraelites tasked for a Tyrant: Would they have leaf'd out of the Frying-pan into the

Fire, say you, and groan under the Cruelty of the worst of Tyrants, rather than live under bad Judges, especially being us'd to such a Form of Government? First you said the Hebrews would rather live under Tyrants than Judges, here you fay they would rather live under Judges than Tyrants? and that they defired nothing lefs than a Tyrant. So that your Advocate may answer you out of your own Book. For according to your Principles 'tis every King's Right to be a Tyrant. What you fay next is very true, The Supreme Power was then in the Peaple, which appears by their own rejecting their Judges, and making choice of a Kingly Government. Remember this when I shall have occasion to make use of it. You fay, that God gave the Children of Israel a King, as a thing good and profitable for them, and deny that he gave them one in his anger, as a Punifhment for their Sin. But that will receive an easy answer; for to what purpose should they cry to God because of the King that they had chosen, if it were not because a Kingly Government is an evil thing; not in it felt, but because it most commonly does, as Samuel forewarns the People that theirs would, degenerate into Pride and Tyranny? If y'are not yet fatisfied, hark what you fay your felf; acknowledge your own hand, and blush; 'tis in your Apparatus ad Primatum: God gave them a King in his anger, fay you, being offended at their Sin in rejecting him from ruling over them; and so the Christian Church, as a Punishment for its forfaking the pure Worship of God, has been subjected to the more than Kingly Government of one mortal Head. So that if your own Comparison holds, either God gave the Children of Israel a King as an evil thing, and as a punishment; or he has set up the Pope for the good of the Church. Was there ever any thing more light and mad than this Man is? Who would trust him in the smallest matters, that in things of so great concern fays and unfays without any confideration in the World? You tell us in your 29th Page, That by the Constitution of all Nations, Kings are bound by no That this had been the judgment both of the Eastern and Western part of the World. And yet pag. 43. you say, That all the Kings of the East ruled 2272 Legen, according to Law, nay that the very Kings of Egypt in all matters whatsoever, whether great or small, were tied to Laws. Tho' in the beginning of this Chapter you had undertook to demonstrate, That Kings are bound by no Laws, that they give Laws to others, but have none prescribed to themselves. For my part I've no reason to be angry with ye, for either y'are mad, or of our side. You do not defend the King's Caufe, but argue against him, and play the fool with him: Or if y'are in earnest, that Epigram of Catullus,

Tantò pessimus emnium Poeta, Quantò tu optimus omnium Patronus.

The worst of Poets, I my self declare; By how much you the best of Patrons are.

That Epigram, I fay, may be turn'd, and very properly applied to you; for there never was fo good a Poet, as you are a bad Patron. Unless that finguity, that you complain your Advocate is immers'd over head and ears in, has blinded the eyes of your own understanding too, I'll make ye now fensible that y'are become a very Brute your felf. For now you come and confess that the Kings of all Nations have Laws prescribed to them. But then you say again, They are not so under the power of them, as to be liable to censure or punishment of death, if they break them. Which yet you have proved neither from Scripture, nor from any good Author. Observe then in short; to prescribe Municipal Laws to such as are not bound by them, is filly and ridiculous: and to panish all others, but leave some one man at liberty to commit all fort of Impieties without fear of punishment, is most unjust; the Law being general, and not making any exception; neither of which can be supposed to hold place in the Constitutions of any wife Law-maker, much lefs in those of God's own making. But that all may perceive how unable you are to prove out of the writings of the Jews, what you undertook in this Chapter to make appear by them, you confess of your own accord, That there are some Radbins, who affirm that their Forefathers ought not to have had any other King than God himself; and that he set other Kings over them for their punchment. And of those mens opinion, I declare my felf to be. It is not fitting nor decent that any Man should be a King that does not far excel all his Subjects.

But where Men are Equals, as in all Governments very many are, they ought to have an equal interest in the Government, and hold it by turns. But that all Men should be Slaves to one that is their Equal, or (as it happens most commonly) far inferior to them, and very often a Fool, who can to much as entertain fuch a thought without Indignation? Nor does it make for the Honour of a Kingly Government, that our Saviour was of the Posterity of some Kings, more than it does for the commendation of the worst of Kings, that he was the Offfpring of some of them too. The Messias is a King. We acknowledge him so to be, and rejoice that he is fo; and pray that his Kingdom may come, for he is worthy: Nor is there any other either equal, or next to him. And yet a Kingly Government being put into the hands of unworthy and undeferving Persons, as most commonly it is, may well be thought to have done more harm than good to Mankind. Nor does it follow for all this that all Kings, as fuch, are Tyrants. But suppose it did, as for argument-sake I'll allow it does, lest you should think I'm too hard with ye; make you the best use of it you can. Then, say you, God himself may properly be said to be the King of Tyrants, nay, himself the worst of all Tyrants. If the first of these conclusions does not follow, another does, which may be drawn from most parts of your Book, viz. That you perpetually contradict, not only the Scriptures, but your own felf. For in the very last foregoing Period you had affirmed, that God was the King of all things, having himself created them. Now he created Tyrants and Devils, and confequently by your own reason, is the King of such. The second of these Conclusions we detest, and wish that blasphemous Mouth of yours were stopt up, with which you affirm God to be the worst of Tyrants, if he be, as you often say he is, the King and Lord of fuch. Nor do you much advantage your Cause by telling us that Mofes was a King, and had the absolute and supreme Power of a King. For we could be content that any other were fo, that could refer our matters to God, as Moles did, and confult with him about our affairs, Exod. xviii. 19. But neither did Moses, notwithstanding his great familiarity with God, ever assume a Liberty of doing what he would himself. What says he of himself; The people come unto me to enquire of God. They came not then to receive Moses's own Dictates and Commands. Then fays Jethro, ver. 19. Be thou for the people to Godward, that thou mayst bring their causes unto God. And Moses himself says, Deut. iv. 5. I have taught you Statutes and Judgments, even as the Lord my God commanded me. Hence it is that he is said to have been faithful in all the House of God, Numb. xii. 7. So that the Lord Jehovah himself was the People's King, and Moses no other than as it were an Interpreter or a Messenger betwixt him and them. Nor can you, without Impiety and Sacrilege, transfer this absolute Supreme Power and Authority from God to a Man; (not having any Warrant from the Word of God fo to do) which Moses used only as a Deputy or Substitute to God; under whose Eye, and in whose Presence, himself and the People always were. But now, for an aggravation of your wickedness, though here you make Mojes to have exercis'd an absolute and unlimited Power, in your Apparat. ad Primat. Page 230. you say that he together with the seventy Elders ruled the people, and that himself was the chief of the people, but not their Mafter. If Moses therefore were a King, as certainly he was, and the best of Kings, and had a Supreme and Legal Power, as you fay he had, and yet neither was the People's Mafter nor govern'd them alone; then according to you, Kings, tho indued with the Supreme Power, are not by virtue of that Sovereign and Kingly Right of theirs Lords over the People, nor ought to govern them alone; much lefs, according to their own Will and Pleafure. After all this, you have the Impudence to feign a Command from God to that People, to fet up a King over them, as foon as they should be possessed of the Holy Land, Deut. xvii. For you craftily leave out the former words, and shalt say, I will set a King over me, &c. And now call to mind what you faid before, Page 42. and what I faid I should have occasion to make use of, viz. That the Power was then in the People, and that they were entirely free. What follows, argues you either mad or irreligious; take whether you list: God, say you, having so long before appointed a Kingly Government, as best and most proper for that People; What shall we say to Samuel's opposing it, and God's own acting, as if himself were against it? How do these things agree? He finds himfelf caught, and observe now with how great malice against the Prophet, and impiety against God, he endeavours to disentangle himfelf.

himself. We must consider, says he, that Samuel's own Sons then judged the People, and the People rejected them because of their corruption; now Samuel was loth his Sons should be laid aside, and God to gratify the Prophet, intimated to him, as if himself were not very well pleased with it. Speak out, ye Wretch, and never mines the matter: You mean, God dealt deceitfully with Samuel, and he with the People. It is not your Advocate, but your felf that are frantic and distracted; who cast off all reverence to God Almighty, so you may but seem to honour the Kings Would Samuel prefer the Interest of his Sons and their Ambition, and their Covetousness, before the general good of all the People, when they asked a thing that would be good and profitable for them? Can we think that he would impose upon them by cunning and subtilty, and make them believe things that were not? Or if we should suppose all this true of Samuel, would God himself countenance and gratify him in it; would he diffemble with the People? So that either that was not the Right of Kings which Samuel taught the People; or else that Right by the Testimony, both of God and the Prophet, was an evil thing, was burdensom, injurious, unprofitable, and chargeable to the Commonwealth: Or Lastly, (which must not be admitted) God and the Prophet deceiv'd the People. God frequently protests that he was extremely displeas'd with them for asking a King. V. 7th. They have not rejected thee, but they have rejected me, that I should not reign over them. As if it were a kind of Idolatry to ask a King, that would even suffer himself to be ador'd, and assume almost Divine Honour to himself. And certainly, they that subject themselves to a worldly Master, and set him above all Laws, come but a little short of chusing a strange God: And a strange one it commonly is; brutish, and void of all fense and reason. So ist of Sam. Chap. 10th. v. 19th. And ye have this day rejetted your God, who himself saved you out of all your advertities and your tribulation, and ye have said unto him, Nay, but set a King over us, &c. and Chap. 12th, v.12th. Ye faid unto me, Nay, but a King shall reign over us; when the Lord your God was your King: and v. the 17th. See that your wickedness is great, that ye have done in the fight of the Lord, in asking you a King. And Hosea speaks contemptibly of the King, Chap. 13. v. 10, 11. I will be thy King; where is any other that may fave in all thy Cities, and thy Judges of whom thou faidjt, Give me a King and Princes? I gave thee a King in mine anger, and took him away in my wrath. And Gideon that warlike Judge, that was greater than a King; I will not rule over you, fays he, neither shall my Son rule over you; the Lord shall rule over you, Judges, Chap. 8. Intimating thereby, that it is not fit for a Man, but for God only to exercise Dominion over Men. And hence Josephus in his Book against Appion, an Egyptian Grammarian, and a foul-mouth'd fellow, like you, calls the Commonwealth of the Hebrews a Theocracy, because the principality was in God only. In Isaiah, Chap. 26. v. 13. the People in their Repentance, complain that it had been mischievous to them, that other Lords, besides God himself, had had Dominion over them. All which places prove clearly, that God gave the Israelites a King in his anger; but now who can forbear laughing at the use you make of Abimelech's Story? Of whom it is faid, when he was kill'd, partly by a Woman that hurl'd a piece of a Mill-stone upon him, and partly by his own Armour-Bearer, that God rendred the wickedness of Abimelech. This History, say you, proves strongly that God only is the Judge and Avenger of Kings. Yea, if this Argument hold, he is the only Judge and Punisher of Tyrants, Villainous Rascals, Whoever can get into the Saddle, whether by right or by wrong, and Baftards. has thereby obtain'd a Sovereign Kingly Right over the People, is out of all danger of punishment, all inferior Magistrates must lay down their Arms at his feet, the People must not dare to mutter. But what if some great notorious Robber had perished in War; as Abimelech did, would any Man infer from thence, That God only is the Judge and Punisher of Highway-men? Or what if Abimelech had been condemn'd by the Law, and died by an Executioner's hand, would not God then have rendred his wickedness? You never read that the Judges of the Children of Israel were ever proceeded against according to Law: And yet you confess, That where the Government is an Aristocracy, the Prince, if there be any, may and ought to be call'd in question, if he break the Laws. This in your 47th Page. And why may not a Tyrant as well be proceeded against in a Kingly Government? Why, because God rendred the wickedness of Abimeleck. So did the Women, and fo did his own Armour-Bearer; over both which he pretended

pretended to a right of Sovereignty. And what if the Magistrates had rendred his wickedness? Do not they bear the Sword for that very purpose, for the punishment of Malefactors? Having done with his powerful argument from the History of Abimelech's death, he betakes himself, as his custom is, to Slanders and Calumnies; nothing but Dirt and Filth comes from him: but for those things that he promis'd to make appear, he hath not prov'd any one of them, either from the Scriptures, or from the Writings of the Rabbins. He alledges no reason why Kings should be above all Laws, and they only of all mortal Men exempt from punishment, if they deferve it. He falls foul upon those very Authors and Authorities that he makes use of, and by his own Discourse demonstrates the truth of the opinion that he argues against. And perceiving that he is like to do but little good with his arguments, he endeavours to bring an odium upon us, by loading us with flanderous Accufations, as having put to death the most vertuous innocent Prince that ever reign'd. Was King Solomon, fays he, better than King Charles the First? I confess some have ventur'd to compare his Father King James with Solomon; nay, to make King James the better Gentleman of the two. Solomon was David's Son, David had been Saul's Musician; but King James was the Son of the Earl of Darnly, who, as Buchanan tells us, because David the Musician got into the Queen's Bed-Chamber at an unseafonable time, kill'd him a little after; for he could not get to him then, because he had bolted the Door on the inside. So that King James being the Son of an Earl, was the better Gentleman; and was frequently called a fecond Solomon, though it is not very certain that himself was not the Son of David the Musician too. But how could it ever come into your head to make a comparison betwixt King Charles and Solomon? For that very King Charles whom you praise thus to the Sky, that very Man's Obstinacy, and Covetousness, and Cruelty, his hard usage of all good and honest Men, the Wars that he rais'd, the Spoilings and Plunderings and Conflagrations that he occasioned, and the death of innumerable of his Subjects that he was the cause of, does his Son Charles, at this very time whilft I'm a writing, confess and bewail in the Stool of Repentance in Scotland, and renounces there that Kingly Right that you affert. But fince you delight in Parallels, let's compare King Charles and King Solomon together a little: Solomon began bis reign with the death of his Brother, who had justly deserved it; King Charles began his with his Father's Funeral, I do not fay with his Murder: and yet all the marks and tokens of Poison that may be, appeared in his dead body; but that suspicion lighted upon the Duke of Buckingham only, whom the King notwithstanding cleared to the Parlament, though he had killed the King, and his Father; and not only fo, but he diffolved the Parliament, left the matter should be enquired into. Solomon oppressed the people with heavy Taxes; but he spent that Money upon the Temple of God, and in raising other public Buildings: King Charles spent his in Extravagances. Solomon was enticed to Idolatry by many Wives: This Man by one. Solomon though he were feduced himfelf, we read not that he feduced others; but King Charles feduced and enticed others not only by large and ample rewards to corrupt the Church, but by his Edicts and Ecclefiastical Constitutions he compell'd them to fet up Altars, which all Protestants abhor, and to bow down to Crucifixes painted over them on the Wall. But yet for all this, Solomon was not condem-Nor does it follow, because he was not, that therefore he ought not Perhaps there were many Circumstances that made it then not to have been. expedient. But not long after the People both by words and actions made appear what they took to be their right, when Ten Tribes of Twelve revolted from his Son; and if he had not faved himself by slight, it is very likely they would have stoned him, notwithstanding his Threats and big swelling words,

CHAP,

CHAP. III.

Aving proved sufficiently that the Kings of the Jews were subject to the fame Laws that the People were; That there are no exceptions made in their favour in Scripture; That 'tis a most false affertion grounded upon no Reason, nor warranted by any Authority, to say, That Kings may do what they lift with Impunity; That God has exempted them from all human Jurif-diction, and referved them to his own Tribunal only: Let us now confider, whether the Gospel preach up any such Doctrine, and enjoin that blind Obedience which the Law was fo far from doing, that it commanded the contrary; let us consider, whether or no the Gospel, that Heavenly Promulgation, as it were, of Christian Liberty, reduce us to a condition of Slavery to Kings and Tyrants, from whose imperious rule even the old Law, that Mistress of Slavery, discharged the People of God, when it obtained. Your first argument you take from the Person of Christ himself. But, alas! who does not know that he put himself into the condition, not of a private person only, but even of a Servant, that we might be made free? Nor is this to be understood of some internal spiritual Liberty only; how inconsistent else would that Song of his Mother's be with the defign of his coming into the World, He hath scattered the proud in the imagination of their heart, he hath put down the mighty from their seat, and hath exalted the humble and meek? How ill suited to their occasion would these expressions be, if the coming of Christ rather established and strengthened a Tyrannical Government, and made a blind subjection the duty of all Christians? He himself having been born, and lived and died under a Tyrannical Government, has thereby purchased Liberty for us. As he gives us his Grace to fubmit patiently to a condition of Slavery, if there be a necessity of it; so if by any honest ways and means we can rid our selves and obtain our Liberty, he is fo far from restraining us, that he encourages us fo to do. Hence it is that St. Paul not only of an Evangelical, but also of a Civil Liberty, fays thus, I Cor. 7. 21. Art thou called, being a Servant? care not for it; but if thou maist be made free, use it rather; you are bought with a price, be not ye Servants of Men. So that you are very impertinent in endeavouring to argue us into Slavery by the example of our Saviour; who by fubmitting to fuch a condition himself, has confirmed even our Civil Liberties. He took upon him indeed in our stead the form of a Servant, but he always retained his purpose of being a Deliverer; and thence it was that he taught us a quite other notion of the Right of Kings, than this that you endeavour to make good. You, I fay, that preach up not Kingship, but Tyranny, and that in a Commonwealth; by enjoining not only a necessary, but a Religious Subjection to whatever Tyrant gets into the Chair, whether he come to it by Succession, or by Conquest, or Chance, or any how. And now I'll turn your own Weapons against you; and oppose you, as I use to do, with your own Authorities. When the Collectors of the Tribute-Money came to Christ for Tribute in Galilee, he asked Peter, Mat. 17. Of whom the Kings of the Earth took custom or tribute, of their own Children, or of Strangers? Peter saith unto him, Of Strangers; Jesus saith unto him, then are the Children free; notwithstanding lest we should offend them, &c. give unto them for thee and for me. Expositors differ upon this place, whom this Tribute was paid to; some fay it was paid to the Priests, for the use of the Sanctuary; others that it was paid to the Emperor. I am of opinion that it was the Revenue of the Sanctuary, but paid to Herod, who perverted the Institution of it, and took it to himself. Jesephus mentions divers forts of Tribute which he and his Sons exacted, all which Agrippa afterwards remitted. And this very Tribute, though small in it felf, yet being accompanied with many more, was a heavy burden. The Jews, even the poorest of them in the time of their Commonwealth, paid a Poll, fo that it was fome confiderable oppression that our Saviour spoke of : and from hence he took occasion to tax Herod's Injustice (under whose Government, and within whose Jurisdiction he then was) in that, whereas the Kings of the Earth, who affect usually the Title of Fathers of their Country, do not use to oppress their own Children, that is, their own natural-born Subjects with heavy and unreasonable Exactions, but lay such burdens upon strangers, and conquer'd ene-Ppp Vol. I.

mies; he, quite contrary, oppressed not strangers, but his own people. But let what will be here meant by Children, either natural-born Subjects, or the Children of God, and those the Elect only, or Christians in general, as St. Augustine understands the place; this is certain, that if Peter was a Child, and therefore free, then by consequence we are so too, by our Saviour's own Testimony, either as Englishmen, or as Christians; and that it therefore is not the Right of Kings to exact heavy Tributes from their own Countrymen, and those freeborn Subject. Christ himself professes, that he paid not this Tribute as a thing that was due, but that he might not bring trouble upon himfelf by offending those that demanded it. The work that he came into this World to do, was quite of another nature. But if our Saviour deny, that it is the Right of Kings to burden their Free-born Subjects with grievous Exactions; he would certainly much less allow it to be their Right to Spoil, Massacre, and Torture their own Countrymen, and those Christians too. He discoursed after such a manner of the Right of Kings, that those to whom he spoke, suspected his Principles, as laying too great a restraint upon Sovereignty, and not allowing the Licence that Tyrants assume to themselves to be the Rights of Kings. It was not for nothing that the Pharisees put such Questions to him, tempting him; and that at the same time they told him, that he regarded not the Person of any Man: nor was it for nothing that he was angry when such Questions were proposed to him, Matth. 22. If one should endeavour to ensnare you with little Questions, and catch at your Answers, to ground an Accusation against you upon your own Principles concerning the Right of Kings, and all this under a Monarchy, would you be angry with him? You'd have but very little reason. 'Tis evident, That our Saviour's Principles concerning Government, were not agreeable to the Humour of Princes. His Answer too implies as much; by which he rather turn'd them away, than instructed them. He asked for the Tribute-Money. Whose Image and Superscription is it, fays he? They tell him it was Cæsar's. Give then to Cafar, fays he, the things that are Cafar's; and to God, the things that are Goa's. And how comes it to pais, that the People should not have given to them the things that are theirs? Render to all Men their dues, says St. Paul, Rom. 13. So that Cafar must not ingross all to himself. Our Liberty is not Cafar's; 'tis a Bleffing we have received from God himfelf; 'tis what we are born to; to lay this down at Cæsar's feet, which we derive not from him, which we are not beholden to him for, were an unworthy Action, and a degrading of our very Nature. If one should consider attentively the Countenance of a Man, and enquire after whose Image so noble a Creature were framed; would not any one that heard him, presently make answer, That he was made after the Image of God himself? Being therefore peculiarly God's own, and consequently things that are to be given to him; we are intirely free by Nature, and cannot without the greatest Sacrilege imaginable be reduced into a Condition of Slavery to any Man, especially to a wicked, unjust, cruel Tyrant. Our Saviour does not take upon him to determine what things are God's, and what C.efar's; he leaves that as he found it. If the piece of Money which they shewed him, was the fame that was paid to God, as in Vespesian's time it was; then our Saviour is so far from having put an end to the Controversy, that he has but entangled it, and made it more perplext than it was before: for 'tis impossible the same thing should be given both to God, and to Casar. But, you say, he intimates to them what things were Cafar's; to wit, that piece of Money, because it bore the Emperor's Stamp; and what of all that? How does this advantage your Cause? You get not the Emperor, or your felf a Penny by this Conclusion. Either Christ allowed nothing at all to be Cafar's, but that piece of Money that he then had in his hand, and thereby afferted the People's Interest in every thing elfe; or elfe, if (as you would have us understand him) he affirms all Money that has the Emperor's stamp upon it, to be the Emperor's own, he contradicts himself, and indeed gives the Magistrate a property in every Man's Estate, whenas he himself paid his Tribute-Money with a Proteflation, that it was more than what either *Peter*, or he were bound to do. The ground you rely on, is very weak; for Money bears the Prince's Image, not as a token of its being his, but of its being good Metal, and that none may prefume to counterfest it. If the writing Princes Names, or fetting their Stamps upon a thing, veft the property of it in them, 'twere a good ready way for them to invade all Property.

Property. Or rather, if whatever Subjects have, be absolutely at their Princes disposal, which is your Assertion, that piece of Money was not Casar's, because his Image was stampt on it, but because of right it belonged to him before 'twas coin'd. So that nothing can be more manifest, than that our Saviour in this place never intended to teach us our Duty to Magistrates (he would have fpoke more plainly, if he had) but to reprehend the Malice and Wickedness of the hypocritical *Pharisees*. When they told him that *Herod* laid wait to kill him; did he return an humble, submissive Answer? Go, tell that Fox, says he, &c. intimating, that Kings have no other Right to destroy their Subjects, than Foxes have to devour the things they prey upon. Say you, 'He fuffered Death under a Tyrant.' How could be possibly under any other? But from hence you conclude, that he afferted it to be the Right of Kings to commit Murder, and act Injustice. You'd make an excellent Moralist. But our Saviour, tho' he became a Servant, not to make us fo, but that we might be free; yet carried he himfelf fo with relation to the Magistracy, as not to ascribe any more to them than their due. Now, let us come at last to enquire what his Doctrine was upon this Subject. The Sons of Zebedee were ambitious of Honour and Power in the Kingdom of Christ, which they persuaded themselves he would fhortly fet up in the World; he reproves them fo, as withal to let all Christians know what Form of Civil Government he defires they should settle amongst themselves. Ye know, says he, that the Princes of the Gentiles exercise dominion over them; and they that are great, exercife authority upon them: but it shall not be so among you; but whosoever will be great among you, let him be your Minister; and whosoever will be chief among you, let him be your Servant. Unless you'd been distracted, you could never have imagined that this place makes for you: and yet you urge it, and think it furnishes you with an Argument to prove that our Kings are abfolute Lords and Mafters over us and ours. May it be our fortune to have to do with fuch Enemies in War, as will fall blindfold and naked into our Camp instead of their own : as you constantly do, who alledge that for your felf, that of all things in the world makes most against you. The Ifraelites asked God for a King, such a King as other Nations round about them had. God diffwaded them by many Arguments, whereof our Saviour here gives us an Epitome; You know that the Princes of the Gentiles exercise Dominion over them. But yet, because the Israelites persisted in their defire of a King, God gave them one, tho' in his Wrath. Our Saviour, left Christians should defire a King, such a one at least as might rule, as he fays the Princes of the Gentiles did, prevents them with an Injunction to the contrary; but it shall not be so among you. What can be said plainer than this? That stately, imperious Sway and Dominion that Kings use to exercise, shall not be amongst you; what specious Titles soever they may assume to themselves, as that of Benefactors; or the like. But he that will be great amongst you (and who is greater than the Prince?) let him be your Servant. So that the Lawyer, whoever he be, that you are so smart upon, was not so much out of the way, but had our Saviour's own Authority to back him, when he faid that Christian Princes were indeed no other than the People's Servants; 'tis very certain that all good Magistrates are so. Infomuch that Christians either must have no King at all, or if they have, that King must be the People's Servant. Absolute Lordship and Christianity are inconsistent. Moses himself, by whose Ministry that servile Œconomy of the old Law was inflituted, did not exercise an arbitrary, haughty Power and Authority, but bore the burden of the People, and carried them in his Bofom, as a Nurfing Father does a fucking Child, Numb. 11. and what is that of a Nurfing Father but a Ministerial Imployment? Plato would not have the Magistrates called Lords, but Servants and Helpers of the People; nor the People Servants, but Maintainers of their Magistrates, because they give Meat, Drink, and Wages to their Kings themselves. Aristotle calls the Magistrates, Keepers and Ministers of the Laws. Plato, Ministers and Servants. The Apostle calls them Ministers of God; but they are Ministers and Servants of the People, and of the Laws, nevertheless for all that; the Laws and the Magiflrates were both created for the good of the People: And yet this is it, that you call the Opinion of the Fanatic Miftiffs in England. I should not have thought the People of England were Maftiff-dogs, if fuch a Mungrel-Cur as thou art, did not bark at them fo currifhly. The Mafter, if it shall please ye, of St. *LupusinLa-Lupus*, complains it feems that the Mastiss's are mad (Fanatics). Germanus tin. signifies a Not. I.

Ppp2

hereheretofores whose Colleague that Lupus of Triers was, deposed our incestuous King Vortigern by his own Authority. And therefore St. Lugas despites thee; the Master not of a Holy Wolf, but of some hunger-starv'd thieving little Wolf or other, as being more contemptible than that Master of Vipers, of whom Martial makes mention, who has't by relation a barking She-Wolf at home too, that domineers over thee most wretchedly; at whose Instigations, as I am informed, thou hast wrote this stuff. And therefore it is the less wonder that thou shouldst endeavour to obtrude an absolute Regal Government upon others, who hast been accustomed to bear a Female Rule so servilely at home thy felf. Be therefore, in the Name of God, the Master of a Wolf, lest a She-Wolf be thy Mistress; be a Wolfthy self, be a Monster made up of a Man, and a Wolf; whatever thou art, the English Mastiss will but make a laughing-stock of thee. But I am not now at leifure to hunt for Wolves, and will put an end therefore to this Digreffion. You that but a while ago wrote a Book against all manner of superiority in the Church, now call St. Peter the Prince of the Apottles. How inconstant you are in your Principles! But what fays Peter? Submit your selves to every Ordinance of Man, for the Lord's sake, whether it be to the King as Supreme, or to Governours, as unto them that are sent by him for the punishment of evil-doers, and the praise of them that do well: for so is the will of God, &c. This Epiftle Peter wrote, not only to private Perfons, but those Strangers featter'd and dispers'd through Asia; who in those places where they sojourned, had no other Right, than what the Laws of Hospitality intitled them to. Do you think fuch Mens case to be the same with that of Natives, Free-born Subjects, Nobility, Senates, Affemblies of Estates, Parliaments? Nay, is not the case far different of private Persons, tho' in their own Country; and Senators, or Magistrates, without whom, Kings themselves cannot possibly subsist? But let us suppose that St. Peter had directed his Epistle to the Natural-born Subjects, and those not private persons neither; suppose he had writ to the Senate of Rome; What then? No Law that is grounded upon a reason, expresly fet down in the Law it felf, obligeth further than the reason of it extends. fubjett, fays he, ὑωστάγητε: That is, according to the genuine fense and import of the word, be subordinate, or legally subject. For the Law, Aristotle says, is Order. Submit for the Lord's fake. Why so? Because a King is an Officer appointed by God for the punishment of evil-doers, and the praise of them that do well; For so is the will of God: To wit, that we should submit and yield Obedience to fuch as are here deferibed. There is not a word spoken of any other. You see the ground of this Precept, and how well 'tis laid. The Apostle adds in the 16th verse, as Free; therefore not as Slaves. What now? if Princes pervert the design of Magistracy, and use the power, that is put into their hands, to the ruin and destruction of good Men, and the praise and encouragement of evil-doers; must we all be condemn'd to perpetual Slavery, not private persons only, but our Nobility, all our inferior Magistrates, our very Parliament it self? Is not temporal Government call'd a human Ordinance? How comes it to pass then. that Mankind should have power to appoint and constitute, what may be good and profitable for one another; and want power to restrain or suppress things that are univerfally mischievous and destructive? That Prince, you fay, to whom St. Peter enjoins Subjection, was Nero the Tyrant: And from thence you infer, that it is our Duty to submit and yield Obedience to such. But it is not certain that this Epiftle was writ in Nero's Reign: 'Tis as likely to have been writ in Claudius's time. And they that are commanded to submit, were private Persons and Strangers; they were no Consuls, no Magistrates: 'Twas not the Roman Senate, that St. Peter directed his Epistle to. Now let us hear what use you make of St. Paul (for you take a freedom with the Apostles, I find, that you will not allow us to take with Princes; you make St. Peter the chief of them to-day, and to-morrow put another in his place) St. Paul in his 13th Chap. to the Romans, has these words: Let every Soul be subject unto the higher Powers, for there is no power but of God; the powers that be, are ordained of God. I confels he writes this to the Romans, not to Strangers differs'd, as Peter did; but however he writes to private persons, and those of the meaner rank: And yer he gives us a true, and a clear account of the reason, the original, and the defign of Government; and shows us the true and proper ground of our Obedience, that it's far from imposing a recessity upon us of being Slaves. "Let every " Soul,

" Soul, fays he; that is, let every Man fubmit." Chrysoftom tells us, "That "St. Paul's defign in this Difcourfe, was to make it appear, that our Saviour " did not go about to introduce Principles inconfistent with the Civil Govern-" ment, but fuch as strengthned it, and settled it upon the surest Foundations." He never intended then by fetting Nero, or any other Tyrant out of the reach of all Laws, to enflave Mankind under his Lust and Cruelty. "He in-"tended too, fays the fame Author, to diffwade from unnecessary and causless " Wars." But he does not condemn a War taken up against a Tyrant, a Bosom-Enemy of his own Country, and consequently the most dangerous that may be. "Twas commonly faid in those days, that the Doctrine of the Apostles was " feditions, themselves Persons that endeavour'd to shake the fettled Laws and "Government of the World; that this was what they aimed at in all they faid " and did." The Apostle in this Chapter stops the mouths of such Gainsayers: So that the Apostles did not write in defence of Tyrants, as you do; but they afferted fuch things as made them suspected to be Enemies to the Government they liv'd under, things that flood in need of being explained and interpreted, and having another fense put upon them than was generally receiv'd. St. Chryfostom has now taught us what the Apostle's design was in this Discourse; let us now examine his words: Let every Soul be subject to the Higher Powers. He tells us not what those Higher Powers are, nor who they are; for he never intended to overthrow all Governments, and the feveral Constitutions of Nations, and fubject all to some one Man's will. Every good Emperor acknowledged that the Laws of the Empire, and the Authority of the Senate was above himself: and the same principle and notion of Government has obtained all along in civiliz'd Nations. Pindar, as he is cited by Herodotus, calls the Law πάνθων βασιλέα, King over all. Orpheus in his Hymns calls it the King both of Gods and Men: And he gives the reason why it is so; Because, says he, 'tis that that sits a: the helm of all human affairs. Plato in his Book De Legibus, calls it το χρατών εν τη πόλει: that that ought to have the greatest sway in the Commonwealth. In his Epistles he commends that Form of Government, in which the Law is made Lord and Master, and no scope given to any Man to tyrannize over the Laws. Aristotle is of the same opinion in his Politics; and so is Cicero in his Book de Legibus, That the Laws ought to govern the Magistrates as they do the People. The Law therefore having always been accounted the highest Power on Earth, by the jugdment of the most learned and wise men that ever were, and by the Constitutions of the best-ordered States; and it being very certain that the Doctrine of the Gospel is neither contrary to Reason nor the Law of Nations, that Man is truly and properly subject to the higher Powers who obeys the Law and the Magistrates, so far as they govern according to Law. So that St. Paul does not only command the People, but Princes themselves to be in subjection; who are not above the Laws, but bound by them, For there is no Power but of God: that is no Form, no lawful Conflictation of any Government. The most ancient Laws that are known to us, were formerly ascribed to God as their Author. For the Law, fays Cicero in his Philippics, is no other than a rule of well-grounded reaton, derived from God himfelf, enjoining whatever is just and right, and forbidding the contrary. So that the inflitution of Magistracy is Jure Divino, and the end of it is, that Mankind might live under certain Laws, and be govern'd by them. But what particular Form of Government each Nation would live under, and what Persons should be entrusted with the Magistracy, without doubt, was left to the choice of each Nation. Hence St. Peter calls Kings and Deputies, Human Ordinances. And Hosea in the 8th Chapter of his Prophecy, They have fet up Kings, but not by me; they have made Princes, and I knew it not. For in the Commonwealth of the Hebrews, where, upon matters of great and weighty importance, they could have access to God himself, and consult with him, they could not chuse a King themselves by Law, but were to refer the matter to him. Other Nations have received no fuch Command. Sometimes the very Form of Government, if it be amifs, or at least those Persons that have the Power in their hands, are not of God, but of Men, or of the Devil, Luke 4. All this Power will I give unto thee, for it is delivered unto me, and I give it to whom I will. Hence the Devil is called the Prince of this World; and in the 12th of the Revelations, the Drugon gave to the Beaft his Power, and his Throne, and great Authority. So that we must not understand St. Paul, as if he spoke of all forts of Magistrates in general,

but of lawful Magistrates; and so they are described in what follows. must also understand him of the Powers themselves; not of those Men always, in whose hands they are lodged. St. Chrysostom speaks very well, and clearly upon this occasion. What? fays he, is every Prince then appointed by God to be 10? I say no juch thing, says he. St. Paul speaks not of the Person of the Mazistrate, but of the Magistracy it self. He does not say, there is no Prince but who is of God. He fays there is no Power but of God. Thus far St. Chrysoftom; for what Powers are, are ordained of God: So that St. Paul speaks only of a lawful Magistracy. For what is evil and amifs, cannot be faid to be ordain'd, because 'tis disorderly; Order and Disorder cannot consist together in the same Subject. The Apostle fays, The Powers that be; and you interpret his words as if he had faid, The Powers that now be; that you may prove that the Romans ought in Conscience to obey Nero, who you take for granted was then Emperor. I'm very well content you should read the words so, and draw that Conclusion from them. The Confequence will be, that Englishmen ought to yield Obedience to the present Government, as 'tis now establisht according to a new Model; because you must needs acknowledge that it is the present Government, and ordain'd of God, as much at least as Nero's was. And lest you should object that Nero came to the Empire by a Lawful Succession, it's apparent from the Roman History that both he and Tiberius got into the Chair by the Tricks and Artifices of their Mothers, and had no right at all to the Succession. So that you are inconsistent with your felf, and retract from your own Principles, in affirming that the Romans owed Subjection to the Government that then was; and yet denying that Englishmen owe Subjection to the Government that now is. But 'tis no wonder to hear you contradict your felf. There are no two things in the world more directly opposite and contrary to one another, than you are to your self. But what will become of you, poor Wretch? You have quite undone the young King with your Witticifms, and ruin'd his Fortunes utterly; for according to your own Doctrine you must needs confess, that this present Government in England, is ordain'd of God, and that all Englishmen are bound in Conscience to submit to it. Take notice, all ye Criticks and Textuaries; Do not you prefume to meddle with this Text. Thus Salmafius corrects that Passage in the Epistle to the Romans: He has made a discovery, that the Words ought not to be read, The Powers that are; but, The Powers that now are: And all this to prove that all Men owed Subjection and Obedience to Nero the Tyrant, whom he supposed to have been then Em-This Epistle, which you say was writ in Nero's time, was writ in his Predecessor's time, who was an honest well-meaning Man: And this learned Men evince by undeniable Arguments. But besides, the five first years of Nero's reign were without exception. So that this threadbare Argument, which fo many Men have at their tongues end, and have been deceived by, to wit, that Tyrants are to be obeyed, because St. Paul injoins a Subjection to Nero, is evident to have been but a cunning Invention of some ignorant Parson. He that resists the Powers, to wit, a lawful Power, resists the Ordinance of God. Kings themfelves come under the Penalty of this Law, when they refift the Senate, and act contrary to the Laws. But do they refift the Ordinance of God, that refist an unlawful Power, or a Person that goes about to overthrow and destroy a lawful one? No Man living in his right Wits can maintain such an Affertion. The words immediately after make it as clear as the Sun, that the Apostle speaks only of a lawful power; for he gives us in them a Definition of Magistrates, and thereby explains to us who are the Persons thus authorized, and upon what account we are to yield Obedience, left we should be apt to miftake and ground extravagant Notions upon his Discourse. The Magistrates, tays he, are not a Terror to good Works, but to evil: Wilt thou then not be afraid of the Power? Do that which is good, and thou shalt have praise of the same: For he is the Minister of God to thee for good. He beareth not the Sword in vain; for he is the Minister of God, a Revenger to execute Wrath upon him that doth evil. What honest Man would not willingly submit to such a Magistracy as is here described? And that not only to avoid Wrath, and for fear of Punishment, but for Conscience sake. Without Magistrates, and some Form or other of Civil Government, no Commonwealth, no Human Society can fubfift, there were no living in the World. But whatever Power enables a Man, or whatfoever Magistrate takes upon him to act contrary to what St. Paul makes the Duty of those that

are in Authority; neither is that Power, nor that Magistrate ordain'd of God. And confequently to fuch a Magistracy no Subjection is commanded, nor is any due, nor are the People forbidden to refitt fuch Authority; for in fo doing they do not relift the Power, nor the Magistracy, as they are here excellently well described; but they resist a Robber, a Tyrant, an Enemy; who if he may notwithstanding in some sense be called a Magistrate, upon this account only, because he has Power in his hands, which perhaps God may have invested him with for our punishment; by the same reason the Devil may be called a Magi-This is most certain, that there can be but one true Definition of one and the fame thing. So that if St. Paul in this place define what a Magistrate is, which he certainly does, and that accurately well; he cannot possibly define a Tyrant, the most contrary thing imaginable, in the same words. Hence I infer, that he commands us to fubmit to fuch Magistrates only as he himself defines and describes, and not to Tyrants, which are quite other things. For this Cause you pay Tribute also: He gives a Reason, together with a Command. Hence St. Chryfostom; Why dowe pay Tribute to Princes? Do we not, adds he, thereby reward them for the care they take of our Safety? We should not have paid them any Tribute if we had not been convined, that it was good for us to live under a Government. So that I must here repeat what I have said already, That since Subjection is not absolutely enjoined. but on a particular Reason, that Reason must be the rule of our Subjection: where that Reafon holds, we are Rebels if we fubmit not; where it holds not, we are Cowards and Slaves if we do. But, fay you, the English are far from being Freemen; for they are wicked and flagitious. I will not reckon up here the Vices of the French, tho' they live under a Kingly Government; neither will I excuse my own Country-men too far: but this I may fafely fay, whatever Vices they have, they have learnt them under a Kingly Government; as the Ifraelites learnt a great deal of Wickedness in Egypt. And as they, when they were brought into the Wilderness, and lived under the immediate Government of God himself, could hardly reform, just so 'tis with us. But there are good hopes of many amongst us; that I may not here celebrate those Men who are eminent for their Piety and Virtue, and Love of the Truth; of which fort I perswade my self we have as great a number, as where you think there are most such. But they bave laid a beavy yoke upon the English Nation: What if they have, upon these of them that endeavoured to lay a heavy Yoke upon all the rest? Upon those that have deferved to be put under the hatches? As for the rest, I question not but they are very well content to be at the expence of maintaining their own Liberty, the Public Treasury being exhausted by the Civil Wars. Now he betakes himself to the Fabulous Rabbins again: He afterts frequently, that Kings are bound by no Laws; and yet he proves, That according to the sense of the Rabbins, a King may be guilty of Treason, by suffering an Investion upon the Rights of bis Crown. So Kings are bound by Laws, and they are not bound by them; they may be Criminals, and yet they may not be fo. This Man contradicts himself so perpetually, that Contradiction and he seem to be of kin 10 one ano-You fay that God himfelf put many Kingdoms under the yoke of Neduchadnezzar, King of Babylon. I confess he did so for a time, Jer. 27. 7. but do you make appear, if you can, that he put the English Nation into a condition of Slavery to Charles Stuart for a minute. I contess he suffered them to be enslaved by him for some time; but I never yet heard that himself appointed it so to be. Or if you will have it so, that God shall be faid to put a Nation under Slavery, when a Tyrant prevails; why may he not as well be faid to deliver them from his Tyranny, when the People prevail and get the upper hand? Shall his Tyranny be faid to be of God, and not our Liberty? There is no evil in the City, that the Lord hath not done, Amos 3. So that Famine, Pestilence, Sedition, War, all of them are of God; and is it therefore unlawful for a People atflicked with any of these Plagues, to endeavour to get rid of them? Certainly they would do their utmost, they know them to be fent by God, unless himself miraculously from Heaven should command the contrary: And why may they not by the same reason rid themselves of a Tyrant, if they are stronger than he? Why should we suppose his weakness to be appointed by God for the ruin and deltruction of the Commonwealth, rather than the Power and Strength of all the People for the good of the State? Far be it from all Commonwealths, from all Societies of free-born Men, to maintain not only fuch per-Ji lous,

nicious, but such stupid and senseless Principles; Principles that subvert all Civil Society, that to gratify a few Tyrants, level all Mankind with Brutes; and by setting Princes out of the reach of human Laws, give them an equal power over both. I pass by those foolish Dilemme's that you now make, which that you might take occasion to propose, you seign some or other to assert that the superlative Power of Princes is derived from the People; though for my own part I do not at all doubt but that all the power that any Magistrates have, is so. Hence Cicero, in his Orat. pro Flacco, 'Our wise and holy Ancestors, says ke, 'appointed those things to obtain for Laws, that the People enacted.' And hence it is that Lucius Crassus, an Excellent Roman Orator, and at that time President of the Senate, when in a Controverfy betwixt them and the common People, he afferted their Rights, 'I befeech you, fays be, fuffer 1 ot us to live in fub-' jection to any, but your felves, to the entire body of whom we can and ought to fubmit.' For though the Roman Senate govern'd the People, the People themselves had appointed them to be their Governours, and had put that power into their hands. We read the term of Majefly more frequently applied to the People of Rome, than to their Kings. Tully in Orat. pro Plancio, It is the condition of all free People, (fays he) and especially of this People, 6 the Lord of all Nations, by their Votes to give or take away, to or from any, 'as themselves see cause. 'Tis the duty of the Magistrates patiently to submit to what the body of the People enact. Those that are not ambitious of Ho-' nour, have the lefs obligation upon them to court the People? Those that affect Preferment, must not be weary of entreating them.' Should I scruple to call a King the Servant of his People, when I hear the Roman Senate, that reign'd over so many Kings, profess themselves to be but the People's Servants? You'll object perhaps, and fay, that all this is very true in a popular State; but the case was altered afterwards, when the Regal Law transferr'd all the People's Right into Augustus and his Successors. But what think you then of Tiberius, whom your felf confess to have been a very great Tyrant, as he certainly was? Suctionius says of him, that when he was once called Lord or Moster, though after the enacting of that Lex Regia, he defired the Person that gave him that appellation, to forbear abusing him. How does this found in your ears? a Tyrant thinks one of his Subjects abuses him in calling him Lord. The same Emperor in one of his Speeches to the Senate, 'I have faid, fays he, frequently heretofore, and now I fay it again, that a good Prince, whom you have invefted with so great power as I am entrusted with, ought to serve the Senate, $^{\circ}$ and the body of the People, and fometimes even particular Perfons; nor do Irepent of having faid to: I confess that you have been good, and just, and 'indulgent Masters to me, and that you are yet so.' You may say that he disfembled in all this, as he was a great Proficient in the art of Hypocrify; but that's all one. No man endeavours to appear otherwise than he ought to be. Hence Tacitus tells us, that it was the custom in Rome for the Emperors in the Circus, to worship the People; and that both Nero and other Empetors practifed it. Claudian in his Panegyric upon Honorius mentions the fame cuftom. By which fort of Adoration what could possibly be meant, but that the Emperors of Rome, even after the enacting of the Lew Regia, confessed the whole body of the People to be their Superiors? But I find, as I fuspected at first, and fo I told ye, that you have spent more time and pains in turning over Glossaries, and criticifing upon Texts, and propagating fuch-like laborious Trifles, than in reading found Authors fo as to improve your knowledge by them. For had you been never fo little verfed in the Writings of learned Men in former Ages, you would not have accounted an opinion new, and the product of some Enthufiastic Heads, which has been afferted and maintained by the greatest Philosophers, and most famous Politicians in the World. You endeavour to expose one Martin, who you tell us was a Taylor, and one William a Tanner; but if they are fuch as you describe them, I think they and you may very well go together; though they themselves would be able to instruct you, and untold those mysterious Riddles that you propose: as, Whether or no they that in a Monarchy would have the King but a Servant to the Commonwealth, will say the same thing of the whole body of the Pcople in a popular State? And whether all the People Jerve in a Democracy, or only some part or other serve the rest? And when they have been an Œdipus to you, by my confent you shall be a Sphina

to them in good earnest, and throw your felf headlong from some precipice or other, and break your neck; for else I'm afraid you'll never have done with your Riddles and Fooleries. You alk, Whether or no, when St. Paul names Kings, he meant the People? I confess St. Paul commands us to gray for Kings, but he had commanded us to pray for the People before, ver. 1. But there are some for all that, both among Kings and common People, that we are forbidden to pray for; and if a man must not so much as be prayed for, may he not be punished? What should hinder? But, when Paul wrote this Epifle, he that reigned was the most profligate Person in the World. That's false. For Ludovicus Capellus makes it evident, that this Epiftle likewife was writ in Claudius's time. When St. Paul has occasion to speak of Nero, he calls him not a King, but a Lion; that is, a wild, favage Beaft, from whose jaws he is glad he was delivered, 2 Tim. 4. So that it is for Kings, not for Beast's that we are to pray, that under them we may live a quiet and a peaceable life, in all godliness and honesty. Kings and their Interest are not the things here intended to be advanced and secured; 'tis the public Peace, Godliness and Honesty, whose establishment we are commanded to endeavour after, and to pray for. But is there any People in the World that would not chuse rather to live an honest and careful Life, tho' never free from War and Troubles, in the defence of themselves and their Families, whether against Tyrants or Enemies (for I make no difference) than under the power of a Tyrant or an Enemy to spin out a Life equally troublesome, accompanied with Slavery and Ignominy? That the latter is the more definable of the two, I'll prove by a Testimony of your own; not because I think your Authority worth quoting, but that all Men may observe how double-tongu'd you are, and how mercenary your Pen is. "Who would not rather, say you, bear with those " diffensions that through the emulation of great Men often happen in an Aristo-" cratical Government, than live under the Tyrannical Government of one, " where nothing but certain mifery and ruin is to be look'd for? The People of " Rome preferr'd their Commonwealth, tho' never fo much shatter'd with civil " Broils, before the intolerable Yoke of their Emperors. When a People, to " avoid Sedition, submits to a Monarchy, and finds by experience, that that is " the worst evil of the two, they often desire to return to their former Govern-" ment again." These are your own words, and more you have to this purpose in that Difcourse concerning Bishops, which under a seigned name you wrote against Petavius the Jesuit; though your self are more a Jesuit than he, nay worse than any of that Crew. We have already heard the sense of the Scripture upon this Subject; and it has been worth our while to take some pains to find it out. But perhaps it will not be fo to enquire into the judgment of the Fathers, and to ranfac their Volumes: for if they affert any thing which is not warranted by the Word of God, we may fafely reject their Authority, be it never fo great; and particularly that expression that you alledge out of Ireneus, "That God in his Providence orders it so, that such Kings reign as are "fuitable to, and proper for the People they are to govern, all Circumstances confidered." That expression, I say, is directly contrary to Scripture. For though God himfelf declared openly that it was better for his own people to be governed by Judges than by Kings, yet he left it to them to change that Form of Government for a worfe, if they would themselves. And we read frequently, that when the body of the People has been good, they have had a wicked King, and contrariwife that a good King has fometimes reign'd when the People have been wicked. So that wife and prudent Men are to confider and fee what is profitable and fit for the People in general; for it is very certain that the fame Form of Government is not equally convenient for all Nations, nor for the fame Nation at all times; but fometimes one, fometimes another may be more proper, according as the industry and valour of the People may increase or decay. But if you deprive the People of this liberty of setting up what Government they like best among themselves, you take that from them, in which the life of all civil Liberty confifts. Then you tell us of Justin Martyr, of his humble and submissive behaviour to the Antonines, those best of Emperors; as if any body would not do the like to Princes of fuch moderation as they were. " How " much worse Christians are we in these days, than those were? They were content to " live under a Prince of another Religion." Alas! They were private Persons, and infinitely inferior to the contrary party in strength and number. But now Papists will not endure a Protestant Prince, nor Protestants one that is Popish. You QqqVor, I,

do well and discreetly, in shewing your self to be neither Papist nor Protestant. And you are very liberal in your concessions; for now you confess that all forts of Christians agree in that very thing, that you alone take upon you with so much impudence and wickedness, to cry down and oppose. And how unlike those Fathers that you commend, do you show your self: They wrote Apologies for the Christians to Heathen Princes; you in defence of a wicked Popish King, against Christians and Protestants. Then you entertain us with a number of impertinent quotations out of Athenagoras and Tertullian: Things that we have already heard out of the Writings of the Apostles, much more clearly and intelligibly exprest. But Tertullian was quite of a different opinion from yours, of a King's being a Lord and Master over his Subjects: Which you either knew not, or wickedly dissembled. For he, though he were a Christian, and directed his discourse to a Heathen Emperor, had the confidence to tell him, that an Emperor ought not to be called Lord. " Augustus "himself, says be, that formed this Empire, refus'd that appellation: 'Tis a "Title proper to God only. Not but that the Title of Lord and Mafter may in some sense be ascribed to the Emperor: But there is a peculiar sense of that word, which is proper to God only; and in that fense, I will not ascribe it " to the Emperor. I am the Emperor's free-man. God alone is my Lord and " Master. And the same Author, in the same Discourse; how inconsistent, if says he, are those two Appellations, Father of his Country, and Lord and " Nafter?" And now I wish you much joy of Tertullian's authority, whom it had been a great deal better you had let alone. But Tertullian calls them Parricides that flew Domitian. And he does well, for fo they were, his Wife and Servants conspir'd against him. And they set one Parthenius and Stephanus, who were accus'd for concealing part of the public Treasure, to make him away. If the Senate and the People of Rome had proceeded against him according to the custom of their Ancestors; had given Judgment of Death against him, as they did once against Nero; and had made search for him to put him to death; doye think Tertullian would have called them Parricides? If he had, he would have deferv'd to be hang'd, as you do. I give the fame answer to your quotation out of Origen, that I have given already to what you have cited out of Irenaus. Athanafius indeed fays, that Kings are not accountable before human Tribunals. But I wonder who told Athanasius this? I do not hear that he produces any authority from Scripture, to confirm this affertion. And I'll rather believe Kings and Emperors themselves, who deny that they themselves have any such Privilege, than I will Athanasius. Then you quote Ambrosius, who after he had been a Proconsul, and after that became a Catechumen, at last got into a Bishopric: But for his authority, I say, that his Interpretation of those words of David, against thee only I have sinned, is both ignorant and adulatory. He was willing all others should be enthrall'd to the Emperor, that he might enthral the Emperor to him-We all know with what a Papal Pride and Arrogancy he treated Theodofius the Emperor, how he took upon him to declare him guilty of that maffacre at Thessalonica, and to forbid him coming into the Church; how miserably raw in Divinity, and unacquainted with the Doctrine of the Gospel, he shewed himfelf upon that accasion; when the Emperor fell down at his feet, he commanded him to get him out of the Porch. At last, when he was received again into the Communion of the Church, and had offered, because he continued standing near to the Altar, the Magisterial Prelate commanded him out of the Rails: O Emperor, fays he, these inner places are for the Priests only, 'tis not unlawful for others to come within them! Does this found like the behaviour of a Minister of the Gospel, or like that of a Jewish High-Priest? And yet this man, such as we hear he was, would have the Emperor ride other People, that himself might ride him, which is a common trick of almost all Ecclesiastics. With words to this purpose, he put back the Emperor as inferior to himself: You rule over men, faith he, that are partakers of the same Nature, and Fellow-servants with your self: For there is one only Lord and King over all, to wit, the Creator of all. This is very pretty! This piece of truth, which the craft and flattery of Clergy-men has all along endeavoured to suppress and obscure, was then brought to light by the furious passion, or to speak more mildly, by the ignorant indiscreet zeal of one of them. After you have difplay'd Ambrose's ignorance, you show your own, or rather, vent a Heresy in affirming point-blank, That under the Old Testament, there was no such things as forgiveness of Sins upon the account of Christ's sufferings,

fince David confest d his transgression, saying, Against thee only have I sinned, Pt. 68. Tis the Orthodox Tenet, that there never was any remission of Sins, but by the blood of the Lamb that was flain from the beginning of the World. I know not whose Disciple you are, that set up for a Broacher of new Heresies: but certain I am, that that great Divine's Disciple whom you are so angry with, did not mistake himself, when he said that any one of David's Subjects might have said, against thee only have I sinned, as properly, and with as much right, as David himscif. Then you quote St. Austin, and produce a company of Hipponensian Divines. What you alledge out of St. Austin, makes not at all against us. We confess that, as the Prophet Daniel has it, it's God that changeth times, fets up one Kingdom, and pulls down another; we only defire to have it allow'd us, that he makes use of Men as his Instruments. If God alone gave a Kingdom to King Charles, God alone has taken it from him again, and given it to the Parlament, and to the People. If therfore our Allegiance was due to King Charles, because God had given him a Kingdom; for the fame reason it is now due to the present Magistracy. For your self confess, that God has given our Magistrates such power as he uses to give to wicked Princes, for the punishment of the Nation. And the confequence of this will be, that according to your own opinion, our present Magistrates being rais'd and appointed by God, cannot lawfully be deposed by any, but God himself. Thus you overthrow the opinion you pretend to maintain, which is a thing very frequent with you: Your Apology for the King, carries its death's-wound in it. You have attained to fuch a prodigious degree of Madness and Stupidity, as to prove it unlawful upon any account whatsoever, to lift up one's finger against Magistrates, and with the very next breath to affirm that it's the duty of their Subjects to rife up in Rebellion against them. You tell us that St. Jerom calls Ishmael that slew Gedaliah, a Parricide or Traytor: And it is very true, that he was fo: For Gedaliah was Deputy Governour of Judea, a good man, and flain by Ishmael without any cause. The same Author in his Comment upon the Book of Ecclesiastes, says, that Solomon's command to keep the King's Commandment, is the same with St. Paul's Doctrine, upon the same subject; and deserves commendation for having made a more moderate Construction of that Text, than most of his Contemporaries. You fay, you will forbear enquiring into the Sentiments of Learned Men that lived fince St. Austin's time: but to shew that you had rather dispense with a Lye, than not quote any Author that you think makes for you, in the very next period but one, you produce the Authorities of Isidore, Gregory, and Otho, Spanish and Dutch Authors, that liv'd in the most barbarous and ignorant ages of all; whose Authorities, if you knew how much we despise, you would not have told a Lye to have quoted them. But would you know the reason why he dares not come fo low as to the prefent times? why he does as it were hide himfelf, and disappear, when he comes towards our own times? The reason is, Because he knows full well, that as many eminent Divines as there are of the Reformed Church, fo many Adversaries he would have to encounter. Let him take up the Cudgels, if he thinks fit; he will quickly find himfelf run down with innumerable Authorities out of Luther, Zuinglius, Calvin, Bucer, Martyr, Paræus, and the rest. I could oppose you with Testimonies out of Divines that have flourished even in Leyden. Though that famous University and renowned Commonwealth, which has been as it were a Sanctuary for Liberty, those Fountains and Streams of all Polite Learning, have not yet been able to wash away that slavish Rust that sticks to you, and insuse a little Humanity into you. Finding your felf destitute of any affistance or help from Orthodox Protestant Divines, you have the impudence to betake your felf to the Sorbonists, whose College you know is devoted to the Romisto Religion, and confequently but of very weak authority amongst Protestants. We are willing to deliver so wicked an affertor of Tyranny as you, to be drown'd in the Sorbon, as being asham'd to own so despicable a Slave as you show your self to be, by maintaining that the whole body of a Nation is not equal in power to the most slothful degenerate Prince that may be. You labour in vain to lay that upon the Pope, which all free Nations, and all Orthodox Divines own and affert. But the Pope and his Clergy, when they were in a low Condition, and but of small account in the World, were the first Authors of this pernicious abfurd Doctrine of yours: and when by preaching fuch Doctrine they had gotten Vor. I. Qqq2

power into their own hands, they became the worst of Tyrants themselves. Yet they engaged all Princes to them by the closest tie imaginable, perswading the World that was now befotted with their Superstition, that it was unlawful to depose Princes tho' never so bad, unless the Pope dispensed with their Allegiance to them, by abfolving them from their Oaths. But you avoid Orthodox Writers, and endeavour to burden the truth with prejudice and calumny, by making the Pope the first affertor of what is a known and common received Opinion amongst them; which if you did not do it cunningly, you would make your self appear to be neither Papist nor Protestant, but a kind of a Mongrel Idumean Heroaian. For as they of old adored one most inhuman bloody Tyrant for the Mesfias, so you would have the World fall down and worship all. You boast that you have confirm'd your Opinion by the Testimonies of the Fathers that flourished in the four first Centuries; whose Writings only are Evangelical, and according to the truth of the Christian Religion. This man is past all shame! how many things did they preach, how many things have they published, which Christ and his Apostles never taught? How many things are there in their Writings, in which all Protestant Divines differ from them? But what is that Opinion that you have confirm'd by their Authorities? Why, That evil Princes are appointed by God. Allow that, as all other pernicious and destructive things are. What then? why, that therfore they have no Judge but God alone, that they are above all human Laws; that there is no Law, written or unwritten, no Law of Nature, nor of God, to call them to account before their own Subjects. But how comes that to pass? Certain I am, that there is no Law against it: No Penal Law excepts Kings. And all reason and justice requires, that those that oftend, should be punished according to their deferts, without respect of Persons. Nor have you hitherto produced any one Law, either written or unwritten, of God or of Nature, by which this is forbidden. What stands in the way then? Why may not Kings be proceeded against? Why, because they are appointed by God, be they never so bad. I do not know whether I had best call you a Knave, or a Fool, or ignorant, unlearned You show your felf a vile Wretch, by propagating a Doctrine so destructive and pernicious; and y'are a Fool for backing it with such filly Arguments. God fays in Isa. 54. I have created the slayer to destroy. Then by your reason a Murderer is above the Laws. Turn this topsy-turvy, and consider it as long as you will, you'll find the Confequence to be the fame with your own. For the Pope is appointed by God, just as Tyrants are, and set up for the punishment of the Church, which I have already demonstrated out of your own Writings; And yet, fay you, Wal. Mef. pag. 412. because he has raised his Primacy to an insufferable height of power, so as that he has made it neither better nor worse than plain downright Tyranny, both he and his Bishops may be put down more lawfully than they were at first set up. You tell us that the Pope and the Bishops (tho' God in his wrath appointed them) may yet lawfully be rooted out of the Church, because they are Tyrants; and yet you deny that 'tis lawful to depose a Tyrant in the Commonwealth, and that for no other reason than because God appointed him, tho' he did it in his anger. What ridiculous stuff this is! for wheras the Pope cannot hurt a Man's Confcience against his own will, for in the Consciences of Men it is that his Kingdom confifts, yet you are for depoling him as a grievous Tyrant, in whose own power it is not to be a Tyrant; and yet you maintain that a Tyrant properly and truly fo called, a Tyrant that has all our Lives and Estates within his reach, without whose assistance the Pope himself could not excreife his Tyranny in the Church, ought for Confeience fake to be born withal and fubmitted to. These affertions compar'd with one another betray your Childishness to that degree, that no Man can read your Books, but must of neceffity take notice of your ignorance, raffiness, and incogitancy. But you alledge another reason, Human Affairs would be turn'd upside down. They would so, and be chang'd for the better. Human Affairs would certainly be in a deplorable condition, if being once troubled and diforder'd, there was a necessity of their continuing always fo. I fay, they would be chang'd for the better, for the King's power would revert to the People, from whom it was first derived, and conferred upon one of themselves; and the power would be transferred from him that abused it, to them that were prejudiced and injured by the abuse of it; than which nothing can be more just, for there could not well be an Umpire in fuchacase; Who would stand to the judgment of a Foreigner? all Mankind would equally

in answer to Salmasius's Descence of the King. 485

equally be subject to the Laws; there would be no Gods of flesh and blood: Which kind of Deities whoever goes about to fet up in the World, they are equally injurious to Church and Commonwealth. Now I must turn your own Weapons upon you again. You say, There can be no greater Heresy than this, to fet up one Man in Christ's Seat. These two are infallible marks of Antichrist, Infallibi-lity in Spirituals, and Omnipotence in Temporals. Apparat. ad Prim. pag. 171. Do you pretend that Kings are infallible? If you do not, why do you make them Omnipotent? And how comes it to pass that an unlimited Power in one Man should be accounted less destructive to Temporal things, than it is to Ecclesiastical? Or do you think that God takes no care at all of Civil Affairs? If he takes none himfelf, I'm fure he does not forbid us to take care which way they go. If he does take any care about them, certainly he would have the fame Reformation made in the Commonwealth, that he would have made in the Church, especially it being obvious to every Man's experience that Infallibility and Omnipotency being arrogated to one Man, are equally mitchievous in both. God has not fo model-Led the Government of the World as to make it the duty of any Civil Community to fubmit to the Cruelties of Tyrants, and yet to leave the Church at liberty to free themselves from Slavery and Tyranny: nay, rather quite contrary, he has put no Arms into the Church's hand but those of Patience and Innocence, Prayer and Ecclefiastical Discipline; but the Commonwealth, all the Magiflracy are by him entrutted with the preservation and execution of the Laws, with the power of punishing and revenging; he has put the Sword into their hands. I cannot but smile at this Man's preposterous whimsies; in Ecclesiastics he's Helvidius, Threseas, a persect Tyrannicide. In Politics no Man more a Lackey and Slave to Tyrants than he. If his Doctrine hold, not we only that have depos'd our King, but the Protestants in general, who against the minds of their Princes have rejected the Pope, are all Rebelsalike. But I've confounded him long enough with his own Arguments. Such is the nature of the Beast, lest his Adversary should be unprovided, he himself furnishes him with Weapons. Never did any Man give his Antagonist greater advantages against himself than he does. They that he has to do withal, will be fooner weary of purfuing him, than he of flying.

CHAP. IV.

PErhaps you think, Salmafius, that you have done enough to ingratiate your felf with Princes; that you have deferred well of the same of t der their own Interest, and take their measures according to what it really is, not according to the false Gloss that your flatteries have put upon it, there never was any Man in the World that deferv'd fo ill of 'em as you, none more destructive and pernicious to them and their interest in the whole World than your felf. For by exalting the Power of Kings above all Human Laws, you tell all Mankind that are fubject to fuch a Government, that they are no better than Slaves, and make them but the more defirous of Liberty by diffcovering to them their error, and putting that into their heads that they never fo much as dreamt of before, to wit, that they are Slaves to their Princes. And without doubt fuch a fort of Government will be more irkfome and unfufferable, by how much the more you perfuade the World, that it is not by the allowance and fubmiffion of Nations, that Kings have obtained this exorbitant Power; but that it is abiolutely effential to fuch a Form of Government, and of the nature of the thing it felf. So that whether you make the World of your mind or no, your Doctrine must needs be mischievous and destructive, and such as cannot but be abhorred of all Princes. For if you should work men into a perswasion that the Right of Kings is without all bounds, they would no longer be subject to a Kingly Government; if you miss of your aim, yet you make men weary of Kings, by telling them that they affume fuch a power to themselves, as of right belonging to them. But if Princes will allow of those Principles that I affert; if they will fuffer themselves and their own power to be circumscribed by Laws, instead of an uncertain, weak and violent Government, full of cares and sears, they will reign peaceably, quietly, and fecurely. If they flight this counfel of mine, though wholesome in it felt, because of the meanners of the Author, they fhall know that it is not my counfel only, but what was anciently advised by one of the wifest of Kings. For Lycurgus King of Lacedemon, when he observed that his own Relations that were Princes of Argos and Messana, by endeavouring to introduce an Arbitrary Government, had ruin'd themfelves and their People; he, that he might benefit his Country, and fecure the Succession to his own Family, could think upon no better expedient, than to communicate his Power to the Senate, and taking the great Men of the Realm into part of the Government with himself; and by this means the Crown continued in his Family for many ages. But whether it was Lycurgus, or, as some learned men are of opinion, Theopompus, that introduced that mixt Form of Government among the Lacedemonians, fomewhat more than a hundred years after Lycurgus's time (of whom it is recorded, that he used to boast, that by advancing the Power of the Senate above that of the Prince, he had fettled the Kingdom upon a fure Foundation, and was like to leave it in a lafting and durable condition to his Posterity) which of them foever it was, I fay, he has left a good Example to modern Princes; and was as creditable a Counfellor, as his Counfel was fafe. For that all men should submit to any one man, so as to acknowledge a Power in him fuperior to all human Laws, neither did any Law ever enact, nor indeed was it possible that any such Law should ever be; for that cannot be said to be a Law, that strikes at the root of all Laws, and takes them quite away: It being apparent, that your Positions are inconsistent with the nature of all Laws, being such as render them no Laws at all. You endeavour notwithstanding, in this fourth Chapter, to make good by Examples, what you have not been able to do by any Reasons that you have alledged hitherto. Let's consider whether your Examples help your Cause; for they many times make things plain, which the Laws are either altogether filent in, or do but hint at. We'll begin first with the Jews, whom we suppose to have known most of the mind of God; and then, according to your own method, we'll come to the times of Christianity. And first, for those Times in which the Israelites being subject to Kings, who, or howfoever they were, did their utmost to cast that slavish yoke from off their Eglon the King of Moab had made a Conquest of them; the Seat of his Empire was at Jericho; he was no contemner of the true God; when his Name was mentioned, he rose from his Seat: The Israelites had served him eighteen Years; they fent a Present to him, not as to an Enemy, but to their own Prince; notwithstanding which outward Veneration and Profession of Subjection, they kill him by a wile, as an Enemy to their Country. You'll say perhaps, that *Ebud*, who did that action, had a Warrant from God for so doing. He had so, 'tis like; and what greater Argument of its being a warrantable and praise worthy action? Color of the same warrantable and praise worthy action? of its being a warrantable and praise-worthy action? God uses not to put Men upon things that are unjust, treacherous and cruel, but upon such things as are virtuous and laudable. But we read no where that there was any positive Command from Heaven in the case. The Israelites called upon God; so did we. And God stirred up a Saviour for them; so he did for us. Eglon of a neighbouring Prince became a Prince of the Jews; of an Enemy to them he became their King. Our Gentleman of an English King became an Enemy to lish Nation; fo that he ceas'd to be a King. Those Capacities are inconfishent. No Man can be a Member of the State, and an Enemy to it at the same time. Antony was never lookt upon by the Romans as a Consul, nor after the Senate had voted them both Enemies. This Cicero tells us in his Fourth Philippic: If Antony be a Conful, fays he, Brutus is an Enemy; but if Brutus be a Saviour and Preserver of the Commonwealth, Antony is an Enemy: none but robbers count him a Conful. By the same reason, say I, who but Enemies to their Country look upon a Tyrant as a King? So that Eglon's being a Foreigner, and King Charles a Prince of our own, will make no difference in the case; both being Enemies, and both Tyrants, they are in the same circumstances. If Ebud kill'd him justly, we have done so too in putting our King to death. Sampson that renowned Champion of the Hebrews, tho' his Country-men blam'd him for it, Dost thou not know, fay they, that the Philistines bave dominion over us? Yet against those Philistines, under whose Dominion he was, he himself undertook a War in his own Person, without any other help;

and whether he acted in pursuance of a Command from Heaven, or was prompted by his own Valour only; or whatfoever inducement he had, he did not put to death one, but many that tyrannized over his Country, having first called upon God by Prayer, and implored his Assistance. So that Sampson counted it no act of Impiety, but quite contrary, to kill those that enslaved his Country, tho' they had dominion over himself too; and tho' the greater part of his Countrymen submitted to their Tyranny. But yet David, who was both a King and a Prophet, would not take away Saul's life, because he was Goa's Anointed. Does it follow that because David refused to do a thing, therfore we are obliged not to do that very thing? David was a private Person, and would not kill the King; is that a precedent for a Parlament, for a whole Nation? David would not revenge his own Quarrel, by putting his Enemy to death by ftealth; does it follow that therfore the Magistrates must not punish a Malefactor according to Law? He would not kill a King; must not an Affembly of the States therfore punish a Tyrant? He scrupled the killing of God's Anointed; must the People therfore scruple to condemn their own Anointed? Especially one that after having so long professed Hostility against his own People, had wash'd off that anointing of his, whether Sacred or Civil, with the Blood of his own Subjects. I confefs that those Kings whom God by his Prophets anointed to be Kings, or appointed to fome special service, as he did Cyrus, Isa. 44. may not improperly be called the Lord's Anointed; but all other Princes, according to the feveral ways of their coming to the Government, are the People's Anointed, or the Army's, or many times the Anointed of their own Faction only. But taking it for granted, that all Kings are God's Anointed, you can never prove, that therfore they are above all Laws, and not to be called in question, what Villanies foever they commit. What if David laid a charge upon himself and other private Persons not to stretch forth their hands against the Lord's Anointed? Does not God himself command Princes not fo much as to touch his Anointed? Which were no other than his People, Psal. 105. He preferred that anointing wherwith his People were anointed, before that of Kings, if any fuch thing were. Would any man offer to infer from this place of the *Pfalmift*, That Believers are not to be called in question, tho' they offend against the Laws, because God commands Princes not to touch his Anointed? King Solomon was about to put to death Abiathar the Priest, tho' he were God's Anointed too; and did not spare him because of his Anointing, but because he had been his Father's Friend. If that Sacred and Civil Anointing, wherwith the High-Priest of the Jews was anointed, wherby he was not only constituted High-Priest, but a Temporal Magistrate in many cases, did not exempt him from the Penalty of the Laws; how comes a Civil Anointing only to exempt a Tyrant? But you fay, Saul was a Tyrant, and worthy of Death: What then? It does not follow, that because he deferved it, that David in the circumstances he was then under, had power to put him to death without the People's Authority, or the Command of the Magistracy. But was Saul a Tyrant? I wish you would say so; indeed you do so, though you had said before in your Second Book, pige 32. That be was no Tyrant, but a good King, and chosen of God. Why should false Accusers, and Men guilty of Forgery be branded, and you escape without the like ignominious Mark? For they practife their Villanies with lefs Treachery and Deceit than you write, and treat of matters of the greatest moment. Saul was a good King, when it ferv'd your turn to have him to; and now he's a Tyrant, because it fuits with your present purpose. But 'tis no wonder that you make a Tyrant of a good King; for your Principles look as if they were invented for no other dehign, than to make all good Kings fo. But yet David, tho' he would not put to death his Father-in-Law, for Caufes and Reafons that we have nothing to do withal, yet in his own Defence he raifed an Army, took and possessed Cities that belong'd to Saul, and would have defended Keilah against the King's Forces, had he not understood that the Citizens would be false to him. Suppose Saul had befreged the Town, and himfelf had been the first that had scal'd the Walls; do you think David would prefently have thrown down his Arms, and have betray'd all those that affisted him to his anointed Enemy? I believe not. reason have we to think David would have stuck to do what we have done, who when his Occasions and Circumstances so required, proffered his Assistance to the Philistines, who were then the professed Enemies of his Country, and did that against Saul, which I am fure we should never have done against our Tyrant? I'm weary of mentioning your Lyes, and asham'd of them. You say, 'tis a Maxim' of the English, That Enemies are rather to be spared than Friends; and that therfore we conceived we ought not to spare our King's Life, because he had been our Friend. You impudent Lyar, what Mortal ever heard this Whimfy before you invented it? But we'll excuse it. You could not bring in that threadbare Flourish, of our being more fierce than our own Mastisss (which now comes in the fifth time, and will as oft again before we come to the end of your Book) without some such Introduction. We are not so much more fierce than our own Mastiss, as you are more hungry than any Dog whatsoever, who return so greedify to what you have vomited up so often. Then you tell us, that David commanded the Amalekite to be put to death, who pretended to have killed Saul. But that instance, neither in respect of the Fact, nor the Person, has any affinity with what we are discoursing of. I do not well understand what cause David had to be so severe upon that Man, for pretending to have hastened the King's death, and in effect but to have put him out of his pain, when he was dying; unless it were to take away from the Israelites all suspicion of his own having been instrumental in it, whom they might look upon as one that had revolted to the Philistines, and was part of their Army. Just such another Action as this of Davia's, do all Men blame in Domitian, who put to death Epaphroditus, because he had helped Nero to kill himself. After all this, as another instance of your Impudence, you call him not only the invinted of the Lord, but the Lord's Christ, who a little before you had faid was a Tyrant, and acted by the impulse of some evil Spirit. Such mean thoughts you have of that reverend Name, that you are not asham'd to give it to a Tyrant, whom you your self confess to have been possessed with the Devil. Now I come to that Precedent, from which every Man that is not blind must needs infer the Right of the People to be superior to that of Kings. When Solomon was dead, the People affembled themselves at Sichems to make Rehoboam King. Thither himself went, as one that stood for the place, that he might not feem to claim the Succession as his Inheritance, nor the same Right over a free-born People that every Man has over his Father's Sheep and Oxen. The People propose Conditions, upon which they were willing to admit him to the Government. He desires three days time to advise; he consults with the old Men; they tell him no fuch thing, as that he had an absolute Right to fucceed, but perswade him to comply with the People, and speak them fair, it being in their power whether he should reign or not. Then he advises with the young Menthat were brought up with him; they, as if Salmasius's Phrenzy had taken them, thunder this Right of Kings into his ears; perswade him to threaten the People with Whips and Scorpions: And he answered the People as they advised him. When all Israel saw that the King hearkened not to them, then they openly protest the Right of the People, and their own Liberty; What portion have we in David? To thy Tents, O Ifrael? now look to thine own House, David. When the King fent Adoram to them, they stoned him with Stones, and perhaps they would not have stuck to have serv'd the King himself so, bur he made hafte and got out of the way. The next News is of a great Army rais'd by Rehoboam to reduce the Ifraelites to their Allegiance. God forbids him to proceed, Go not up, fays he, to war against your Brethren the Children of Ifrael; for this thing is of me. Now confider; heretofore the People had defired a King; God was displeased with them for it, but yet permitted them to make a King, according to that Right that all Nations have to appoint their own Governors. Now the People reject Reboboam from ruling them; and this God not only fuffers them to do, but forbids Reboboam to make War against them for it, and ftops him in his undertaking; and teaches him withal, that those that had revolted from him, were not Rebels in fo doing; but that he ought to look upon them as Brethren. Now recollect your felf: You fay that all Kings are of God, and that therfore the People ought not to relift them, be they never fuch Tyrants. I answer you, the Convention of the People, their Votes, their Acts, are likewife of God, and that by the Testimony of God himself in this place; and confequently according to your Argument, by the Authority of God himfelf, Princes ought not to relift the People. For as certain as it is, that Kings are of God, and whatever Argument you may draw from thence to enforce a Subjecti-

in answer to Salmasius's Defence of the King.

on and Obedience to them: So certain is it, that free Affemblies of the Body of the People are of God, and that naturally affords the same Argument for their Right of restraining Princes from going beyond their Bounds, and rejecting them if there be occasion; nor is their so doing a justifiable Cause of War, any more than the People of Israel's rejecting Reboboam was. You ask, why the People did not revolt from Solomon? Who but you would ask such an impertinent Question? You see they did revolt from a Tyrant, and were neither punished, nor blam'd for it. It is true, Solomon sell into some Vices, but he was not therfore a Tyrant; he made amends for his Vices by many excellent Virtues, that he was famous for, by many benefits which accrued to the Nation of the Jews by his Government. But admit that he had been a Tyrant: Many times the Circumstances of a Nation are such, that the People will not, and many times fuch, that they cannot depose a Tyrant. You see they did it when it was in their power. But, fay you, Jeroboam's Att was ever had in detestation; 'Iwas locked upon as an unjust revolt from a lawful Prince; he and his Successors were accounted Rebels. I confess we find his revolt from the true Worship of God often found fault with; but I no whete find him blum'd for revolting from Rehoboam; and his Succeffors are frequently spoken of as wicked Princes, but not as Rebels. Acting contrary to Law and Right, fay you, cannot introduce, or establish a Right. I pray, what becomes then of your Right of Kings? Thus do you perpetually baffle your felf. You fay, Adulteries, Nurders, Thefts are daily committed with impunity. Are you not aware, that here you give an answer to your own Question, how it comes to pass, that Tyrants do so often escape unpunished? You fay, Those Kings were Rebels, and yet the Prophets do no where difficulte the People from their Allegiance. And why do you, ye rascally false Prophet, endeavour to perswade the People of England not to yield Obedience to their present Magistrates, tho' in your opinion they are Rebels? This English Faction of Robbers, fay you, alledge for themselves, that by some immediate Voice from Heaven, they were put upon their bloody Enterprize. It is notoriously evident, that you were diffracted when you wrote these Lines; for as you have put the words together, they are neither Latin, nor Sense. And that the English pretend to any such warrant, as a Justification of their Actions, is one of those many Lyes and Fictions that your Book is full of. But I proceed to urge you with Examples. Libna, a great City revolted from Joram, because he had forsaken God: 'twas the King therfore that was guilty, not the City, nor is the City blam'd for it. He that confiders the reason that's given why that City rejected his Government, must conclude that the Holy Ghost rather approves of what they did, than condemns them for it. These kind of revolts are no precedents, say you. But why were you then fo vain, as to promife in the beginning of this Chapter, that you would argue from Examples, wheras all the Examples that you alledge, are meer Negatives, which prove nothing? and when we urge Examples that are folid and positive, vou say they are no Precedents. Who would endure such a way of arguing? You challenged us at precedents; we produced them; and what do you do? You hang back, and get out of the way. I proceed: Jehn at the Command of a Prophet, flew a King; nay, he ordered the death of Akaziah, his own Liege Prince. If God would not have Tyrants put to death by their own Subjects, if it were a wicked thing to to do, a thing of a bad Example; why did God himfelf command it? If he commanded it, it was a lawful, commendable, and a praife-worthy Action. It was not therfore lawful to kill a Tyrant, because God commanded it; but God commanded it, because, antecedently to his Command, it was a justifiable and a lawful Action. Again, Jehoiaia the High-Prieft did not scruple to depose Athaliah, and kill her, tho' she had been feven years in actual Possession of the Crown. But, say you, she took upon her the Government when she had no Right to it. And did not you say your felf, but a while ago, That Tiberius assumed the Sovereignty when it belonged not at all to him? And yet you then affirm'd, that according to our Saviour's Doctrine, we ought to yield Obedience to fuch Tyrants as he was. 'Twere a most ridiculous thing to imagine, that a Prince, who gets in by Ufurpation, may lawfully be deposed; but one that rules tyrannically may not. But, fay you, Athaliah cou'd not possibly reign according to the Law of the Jewish Kingdom, Thou shalt set over thee a King, fays God Almighty; he does not fay, Thou shalt fit over thee a Quien. If this Argument have any weight, I may as well fay, the Command of God was, Rrr Vol. I.

that the People should set over themselves a King, not a Tyrant. So that I'm even with you. Amezias was a flothful, idolatrous Prince, and was put to death, not by a few Conspirators; but rather, it should seem, by the Nobility, and by the Body of the People. For he fled from ferufalem, had none to ftand by him, and they purfued him to Lachifh: They took Counfel against him, fays the History, because he had forsaken God: And we do not find that Azarias his Son prosecuted those that had cut off his Father. You quote a great many frivolous passages out of the Rabbins, to prove that the Kings of the Jews were superior to the Sanbedrim. You do not consider Zedekiab's own words, Jerem. 38. The King is not he that can do any thing against you. So that this was the Prince's own stile. Thus he confessed himself interior to the great Council of the Realm. Perhaps, fay you, he meant that he durst not deny them any thing for fear of Sedition. But what does your perhaps fignify, whose most positive afferting any thing is not worth a Louse? For nothing in Nature can be more fickle and inconsistent than you are. How oft have you appear'd in this Discourse inconsistent with your felf; unfaying with one Breath what you had faid with another? Here, again, you make Comparisons betwixt King Charles, and some of the good Kings of Judah. You speak contemptibly of David, as if he were not worthy to come in competition with him. Consider David, say you, an Adulterer, a Murderer; King Charles was guilty of no such Crimes. Solomon his Son, who was accounted wife, &c. Who can with patience hear this filthy, rafcally Fool, speak so irreverently of Persons eminent both in Greatness and Piety? Dare you compare King David with King Charles; a most religious King and Prophet, with a Superstitious Prince, and who was but a Novice in the Christian Religion; a most prudent wife Prince with a weak one; a valiant Prince with a cowardly one; finally, a most just Prince with a most unjust one? Have you the impudence to commend his Chastity and Sobriety, who is known to have committed all manner of Lewdness in company with his Confident the Duke of Buckingham? It were to no purpose to enquire into the private Actions of his Life, who publicly at Plays would embrace and kifs the Ladies lafciviously, and handle Virgins and Matrons Breafts, not to mention the rest? I advise you therfore, you counterfeit Plutarch, to abstain from such like Parallels, lest Ibe forced to publish those things concerning King Charles, which I am willing to conceal. Hitherto we have entertain'd our felves with what the People of the Jews have acted or attempted against Tyrants, and by what Right they did it in those times, when God himself did immediately, as it were, by his Voice from Heaven govern their Commonwealth. The Ages that succeeded, do not afford us any Authority, as from themselves, but confirm us in our Opinion by their imitating the Actions of their Fore-fathers. For after the Babylonish Captivity, when God did not give any new command concerning the Crown, tho' the Royal Line was not extinct, we find the People returning to the old Mofaical Form of Government again. They were one while Tributaries to Anticchus, King of Syria; yet when he enjoin'd them things that were contrary to the Law of God, they refisted him, and his Deputies, under the Conduct of their Priests, the Maccabees, and by force regain'd their former Liberty. After that, whoever was accounted most worthy of it, had the Principality conferr'd upon him. 'Till at last, Hircanus the Son of Simon, the Brother of Judah, the Maccabee, having spoiled David's Sepulchre, entertain'd foreign Soldiers, and began to invest the Priesthood with a kind of Regal Power. After whose time his Son Aristobulus was the first that assum'd the Crown; he was a Tyrant indeed, and yet the People stirred not against him, which is no great wonder, for he reigned but one Year. And he himself being overtaken with a grievous Disease, and repenting of his own Cruelty and Wickedness, defired nothing more than to die, and had his wish. His Brother Alexander succeeded him; and against him, you say, the People raised no Insurrection, tho' he were a Tyrant too. And this Lye might have gone down withus, if Josephus's History had not been extanr. We should then have had no memory of those times, but what your Josippus would afford us, out of whom you transcribe a few fenfeless and useless Apothegms of the Pharifees. The History is thus: Alexander administred the Public Affairs ill, both in War and Peace; and tho' he kept in pay great numbers of Pifidians and Cilicians, yet could be not protect himself from the Rage of the People: but whilft he was facrificing they fell upon him, and had almost fmother'd him with Boughs

Boughs of Palm-trees and Citron-trees. Afterward the whole Nation made War upon him fix Years, during which time, when many thousands of the Jews had been slain, and he himself being at length desirous of Peace, demanded of them, what they would have him do to fatisfy them; they told him nothing could do that, but his Blood, nay, that they should hardly pardon him after his death. This History you perceiv'd was not for your purpose, and so you put it off with a few Pharisaical Sentences; when it had been much better, either to have let it quite alone, or to have given a true Relation of it: but you trust to Lyes more than to the Truth of your Cause. Even those eight hundred Pharisees, whom he commanded to be crucified, were of their number that had taken up Arms against him. And they with the rest of the People had solemnly protested, that if they could subdue the King's Forces, and get his Person into their power, they would put him to death. After the death of Alexander, his Wife Alexandra took the Government upon her, as Athaliah had formerly done, not according to Law (for you have confessed, that the Laws of the Jews admitted not a Female to wear the Crown) but the got it partly by force, for the maintain'd an Army of Foreigners; and partly by favour, for the had brought over the Pharifees to her Interest, which fort of Men were of the greatest Authority with the People. Them she had made her own, by putting the Power into their Hands, and retaining to her felf only the Name. Just as the Scotch Presbyterians lately allowed Charles the Name of King, but upon condition, that he would let them be King in effect. After the death of Alexandra, Hyracmus and Aristobulus, her Sons, contended for the Sovereignty: Aristobulus was more industrious, and having a greater Party, forced his Elder Brother out of the Kingdom. A while after, when Pompey passed through Syria, in his return from the Mithridatic War; the Jews, supposing they had now an opportunity of regaining their Liberty, by referring their Caufe to him, difpatch an Embaffy to him in their own Names; they renounce both the Brothers; complain that they had enflaved them. Pompey deposed Aristobulus, leaves the Priesthood, and fuch a Principality as the Laws allowed to Hyrcanus the Elder. From that time forward he was called High-Prieft, and Ethnarcha. After these times in the Reign of Archelaus, the Son of Herod, the Jews fent fifty Ambastidors to Augustus Cæsar; accused Herod that was dead, and Archelaus his Son, that then reigned; they deposed him as much as in them lay, and petition'd the Emperor, that the People of the Jews might be govern'd without a King. C.eler was moved at their entreaty, and did not appoint a King over them, but a Governour, whom they called an *Ethnarch*. When that Governour had prefided ten years over Judea, the People fent Ambassadors again to Rome, and accused him of Tyranny. Cajer heard them graciously; sent for the Governour, condemn'd him to perpetual Exile, and banished him to Vienna. Answer me now, that People that accused their own Princes, that desir'd their Condemnation, that defir'd their Punishment, would not they themselves rather, if it had been in their power, and that they might have had their choice; would not they, I fay, rather have put them to death themselves? You do not deny, but that the Pcople, and the Nobles often took up Arms against the Roman Deputies, when by their Avarice, or their Cruelty, their Government was burdenfome and oppressive. But you give a ridiculous reason for this, as all the rest of yours are. You say, They were not yet accustomed to the Yoke; very like they were not, under Alexander, Herod, and his Son. But, fay you, they would not raife War again, Caius Cæfar, nor Petronius. I confess they did not, and they did very prudently in abstaining, for they were not able. Will you hear their own words upon that occasion? We will not make War, say they, because we cannot. That thing which they themselves acknowledge, they refrain'd from for want of Ability: you, falle Hypocrite, pretend they abiliain'd from out of Religion. Then with a great deal of toil you do just nothing at all; for you endeavour to prove out of the Fathers (tho' you had done it as superficially before) that Kings are to be prayed for. That good Kings are to be pray'd for, no Man denies; nay, and bad ones too, as long as there are any hopes of them: fo we ought to pray for Highway-men, and for our Enemies. But how? Not that they may plunder, spoil and murder us; but that they may repent. We pray both for Thieves and Enemies; and yet who ever dreamt but that it was law ful to put the Laws in execution against one, and to fight against the other? Rrr 2 Vol. I.

I value not the Egyptian Liturgy that you quote; but the Priest that you mention, who prayed that Commodus might succeed his Father in the Empire, did not pray for any thing in my opinion, but imprecated all the mischiefs imaginable to the Roman State. You say, that we have broken our Faith, which we engaged more than once in folemn Assemblies to preserve the Authority and Majesty of the King. But because hereaster you are more large upon that subject, I shall pass it by in this place; and talk with you when you come to it again. You return then to the Fathers; concerning whom take this in fhort. Whatever they fay, which is not warranted by the Authority of the Scriptures, or by good Reason, shall be of no more regard with me, than if any other ordinary Man had faid it. The first that you quote is *Tertullian*, who is no Orthodox Writer, notorious for many errors; whose authority, if he were of your opinion, would stand you in no stead. But what fays he? He condemns Tumults and Rebellions. So do we. But in faying fo, we do not mean to destroy all the People's Rights and Privileges, all the Authority of Senates, the Power of all Magistrates, the King only excepted. The Fathers declaim against Seditions railly raised, by the giddy heat of the multitude; they speak not of the inferior Magistrates, of Senates, of Parlaments encouraging the People to a lawful opposing of a Tyrant. Hence Ambrose, whom you quote; "Not to resist, says he, but to weep and to sigh, "these are the Bulwarks of the Priesthood; what one is there of our little number who dares fay to the Emperor, I do not like your Laws? This is not al-66 lowed the Priests, and shall Lay-men pretend to it?" 'Tis evident of what fort of Perfons he speaks, viz. of the Priests, and such of the People as are private Men, not of the Magistrates. You see by how weak and preposterous a reason he lighted a Torch as it were to the diffensions that were afterwards to arise betwixt the Laity and the Clergy concerning even Civil or Temporal Laws. But because you think you prest hardest upon us with the Examples of the Primitive Christians; who they were haraffed as much as a People could be, yet, you fay, they never took up Arms against the Emperor: I will make it appear, in the first place, that for the most part they could not: Secondly, that whenever they could, they did: And thirdly, that whether they did or did not, they were fuch a fort of People, as that their example deserves but to have little sway with us. First therfore, no Man can be ignorant of this, that when the Commonwealth of kome expired, the whole and fovereign power in the Empire was fettled in the Emperor; that all the Soldiers were under his pay; infomuch that if the whole Body of the Senate, the Equestrian Order, and all the common People had endeavoured to work a change, they might have made way for a maffacre of themfelves. but could not in any probability retrieve their loft Liberty: for the Empire would still have continued, tho' they might perhaps have been so lucky as to have kill'd the Emperor. This being fo, what could the Christians do? 'Tis true, there were a great many of them; but they were dispersed, they were generally Persons of mean quality, and but of small interest in the World. How many of them would one Legion have been able to keep in awe? Could io inconfiderable a body of Men as they were in those days, ever expect to accomplish an Enterprize that many famous Generals, and whole Armies of tried Soldiers had loft their lives in attempting? When about 300 years after our Saviour's Nativity, which was near upon 20 years before the Reign of Conftantine the Great, when Dioclesian was Emperor, there was but one Christian Legion in the whole Roman Empire; which Legion, for no other reason than because it consisted of Christians, was flain by the rest of the Army at a Town in France called Octodurum. The Christians, say you, conspir'd not with Cassius, with Albinus, with Niger; and does Tertullian think they merited by not being willing to lofe their lives in the quarrels of Infidels? 'Tis evident therfore that the Christians could not free themselves from the yoke of the Roman Emperors; and it could be no ways advantageous to their interest to conspire with Infidels, as long as Heathen Em-But that afterwards the Christians made war upon Tyrants, perors reign'd. and defended themselves by force of Arms when there was occasion, and many times revenged upon Tyrants their Enormities, I am now about to make appear. In the first place, Constantine being a Christian, made war upon Licinius, and cut him off, who was his Partner in the Sovereign Power, because he molested the Haftern Christians; by which act of his he declared thus much at least, that one Magistrate might punish another: For he for his Subjects sake punished Licinius,

in answer to Salmasius's Defence of the King.

cinius, who to all intents was as absolute in the Empire as himself, and did not leave the vengeance to God alone: Licinius might have done the fame to Conferentine, if there had been the like occasion. So then, if the matter be not wholly referved to God's own Tribunal, but that Men have fomething to do in the cafe, why did not the Parlament of England stand in the same relation to King Charles, that Constantine did to Licinius? The Soldiers made Constantine what he was: But our Laws have made our Parlaments equal, nay, superior to our Kings. The Inhabitants of Constantinople refisted Constantius an Arian Emperor, by force of Arms, as long as they were able; they opposed Hermegenes whom he had fent with a Military power to depose Paul an Orthodox Bishop; the house whither he had betaken himfelf for fecurity, they fired about his ears, and at last killed him right-out. Constans threaten'd to make war upon his Brother Constantius, unless he would restore Paul and Athanasius to their Bishoprics. You fee those holy Fathers, when their Bishoprics were in danger, were not a- 🥔 shamed to stir up their Prince's own Brother to make War upon him. Not long after, the Christian Soldiers, who then made whom they would Emperors, put to death Constant the Son of Constantinus, because he behaved himself dissolutely and proudly in the Government, and translated the Empire to Magnentius. Nay, those very persons that saluted Julian by the name of Emperor, against Constantius's will, who was actually in possession of the Empire, (for Julian was not then an Apostate, but a virtuous and valiant person) are they not amongst the number of those Primitive Christians, whose Example you propose to us for our imagination? Which action of theirs, when Constantius by his Letters to the People very sharply and earnestly forbad, (which Letters were openly read to them) they all cried out unanimously, That themselves had but done what the Provincial Magistrates, the Army, and the Authority of the Commonwealth had decreed. The fame perfons declared War against Constantius, and contributed as much as in them lay, to deprive him both of his Government and his Life. How did the Inhabitants of Antioch behave themselves, who were none of the worst fort of Christians? I'll warrant you they pray'd for Julian, after he became an Apostate, whom they used to rail at in his own presence, and fcoffing at his long Beard bid him make Ropes of it: Upon the news of whote death they offer'd public Thankfgivings, made Feafts, and gave other public Demonstrations of Joy. Do you think they used when he was alive to pray for the continuance of his life and health? Nay, is it not reported, that a Christian Soldier in his own Army was the Author of his death? Sozomen, a Writer of Ecclefiastical History, does not deny it, but commends him that did it, if the Fact were so: 'For it is no wonder, fays he, that some of his own Soldiers might think within himself, that not only the Greeks, but all Mankind hitherto ' had agreed that it was a commendable action to kill a Tyrant; and that they ' deferve all men's praife, who are willing to die themselves to procure the liber-'ty of all others: So that that Soldier ought not raflely to be condemned, ' who in the Caufe of God and of Religion, was fo zealous and valiant.' Thefe are the words of Sozomen, a good and religious Man of that age. By which we may eafily apprehend what the general opinion of pious men in those days was upon this point. Ambrose himself being commanded by the Emperor Valentinian the Younger, to depart from Milan, refused to obey him, but defended himself and the Palace by force of Arms against the Emperor's Officers, and took upon him, contrary to his own Doctrine, to refift the higher powers. There was a great fedition raifed at Conflantinople against the Emperor Arcadius, more than once, by reason of Chrysostem's Exile. Hitherto I have shewn how the Primitive Christians behaved themselves towards Tyrants; how not only the Chriftian Soldiers, and the People, but the Fathers of the Church themselves, have both made War upon them, and opposed them with force, and all this before St. Austin's time: for you your felf are pleased to go down no lower; and therfore I make no mention of Valentinian the Son of Placidia, who was flain by Maximus a Senator, for committing Adultery with his Wife; nor do I mention Acitus the Emperor, whom, because he disbanded the Soldiers, and betook himself wholly to a luxurious life, the Roman Senate immediately deposed; because these things came to pass some years after St. Austin's death. But all this I give you: Suppose I had not mentioned the practice of the Primitive Christians; suppose they never had stirred in opposition to Tyrants; suppose they

had accounted it unlawful fo to do; I will make it appear that they were not fuch Persons, as that we ought to rely upon their Authority, or can safely sollow their Example. Long before Constantine's time the generality of Christians had lost much of the Primitive Sanctity and Integrity both of their Doctrine and Manners. Afterwards, when he had vaftly enriched the Church, they began to fall in love with Honour and Civil Power, and then the Christian Religion went to wrack. First Luxury and Sloth, and then a great drove of Herefies and Immoralities broke loofe among them; and these begot Envy, Hatred and Discord, which abounded every where. At last, they that were linked together into one Brotherhood by that holy band of Religion, were as much at variance and strife among themselves as the most bitter Enemies in the world could be. No reverence for, no consideration of their duty was left amongst them: the Soldiers and Commanders of the Army, as oft as they pleased themselves, created new *Emperors*, and sometimes killed good ones as well as bad. I need not mention fuch as Verannio, Maximus, Eugenius, whom the Soldiers all of a fudden advanced and made them Emperors; nor Gretian, an excellent Prince; nor Valentinian the younger, who was none of the worst, and yet were put to death by them. It is true, these things were acted by the Soldiers, and Soldiers in the Field; but those Soldiers were Christians, and lived in that Age which you call Evangelical, and whose example you propose to us for our imitation. Now you shall hear how the Clergy managed themselves: Pastors and Bishops, and sometimes those very Fathers whom we admire and extol to so high a degree, every one of whom was a Leader of their feveral Flocks; those very men, I say, fought for their Bishoprics, as Tyrants did for their Sovereignty; fometimes throughout the City, fometimes in the very Churches, fometimes at the Altar, Clergy-men and Lay-men fought promifcuoufly; they flew one another, and great flaughters were made on both You may remember Damafus and Urcifinus, who were Contemporaries with Ambrose. It would be too long to relate the tumultuary Infurrections of the Inhabitants of Constantinople, Antioch, and Alexandria, especially those under the Conduct and Management of Cyrillus, whom you extol as a Preacher up of Obedience; when the Monks in that Fight, within the City, had almost sain Orciles, Theodosius's Deputy. Now who can sufficiently wonder at your Impudence, or Careleffness and Neglect? " 'Till St. Austin's time, say you, and lower " down than the Age that he lived in, there is not any mention extant in History, of " any private Person, of any Commander, or of any number of Conspirators, that have " put their Prince to death, or taken up Arms against him." I have named to you out of known and approved Histories, both private Persons and Magistrates, that with their own hands have flain not only bad, but very good Princes: Whole Armies of Christians, many Bishops among them, that have fought against their own Emperors. You produce some of the Fathers, that with a great flourish of words, perswade or boast of Obedience to Princes: And I, on the other fide, produce both those same Fathers, and others besides them, that by their actions have declined Obedience to their Princes, even in lawful things; have defended themselves with a Military Force against them; others that have opposed forcibly, and wounded their Deputies; others that being Competitors for Bishoprics, have maintained Civil Wars against one another: As if it were lawful for Christians to wage War with Christians for a Bishopric, and Citizens with Citizens; but unlawful to fight against a Tyrant, in defence of our Liberty, of our Wives and Children, and of our Lives them-Who would own fuch Fathers as these? You produce St. Austin, who you fay, afferts that the Power of a Master over his Servants, and a Prince over his Subjects, is one and the fame thing. But I answer; If St. Austin affert any fuch thing, he afferts what neither our Saviour, nor any of his Apostles ever afferted; tho' for the confirmation of that Affertion, than which nothing can be more falfe, he pretends to rely wholly upon their Authority. The three or four last Pages of this Fourth Chapter, are stuffed with meer Lyes, or things carelesly and loofely put together, that are little to the purpose: And that every one that reads them, will discover by what has been said already. For what concerns the Pope, against whom you declaim so loudly, I am content you should bawl at him, till you are hoarfe. But wheras you endeavour to perfwade the ignorant, that all that called themselves Christians, yielded an entire obedience to Princes, whether good or bad, till the Papal Power grewtothet beight, that it was acknowledged superior

in answer to Salmasius's Defence of the King.

Superior to that of the Civil Magistrate, and till he took upon him to absolve Subjects from their Allegiance: I have fufficiently proved by many Examples before and fince the age that St. Augustine lived in, that nothing can be more false. Neither does that feem to have much more truth in it, which you fay in the last place; viz. That Pope Zachary absolved the French-men from their Oath of Allegiance to their King. For Francis Hottoman, who was both a French-man and a Lawyer, and a very learned man, in the 13th Chapter of his Francogallia,, denies that either Chilperic was deposed, or the Kingdom translated to Pepin by the Pope's Authority; and he proves out of very ancient Chronicles of that Nation, that the whole affair was transacted in the great Council of the Kingdom, according to the original Constitution of that Government. Which being once done, the French Histories, and Pope Zachary himself, deny that there was any necessity of absolving his Subjects from their Allegiance. For not only Hottoman, but Guiccord, a very eminent Historian of that Nation, informs us, that the ancient Records of the Kingdom of France testify, that the Subjects of that Nation upon the first institution of Kingship amongst them, reserved a power to themiclives, both of chusing their Princes, and of deposing them again, if they thought fit: And that the Oath of Allegiance which they took, was upon this express condition; to wit, that the King should likewise perform what at his Coronation he fwore to do. So that if Kings by mifgoverning the People committed to their charge, first broke their own Oath to their Subjects, there needs no Pope to dispense with the People's Oaths; the Kings themselves by their own persidioufness having absolved their Subjects. And finally, Pope Zachary himself in a Letter of his to the French, which you your felf quote, renounces, and ascribes to the People that Authority which you say he assumes to himself: For, " if a " Prince be accountable to the People, being beholden to them for his Royalty; " if the People, fince they make Kings, have the fame Right to depose them, as the very words of that Pope are; it is not likely that the French-men would by any Oath depart in the least from that ancient Right, or ever tie up their own hands, to as not to have the fame Right that their Ancestors always had, to depose bad Princes, as well as to honour and obey good ones; nor is it likely that they thought themselves obliged to yield that Obedience to Tyrants, which they fwore to yield only to good Princes. A People obliged to Obedience by fuch an Oath, is difebarged of that Obligation, when a lawful Prince becomes a Tyrant, or gives himfelf over to Sloth and Voluptuousness; the rule of Justice, the very Law of Nature dispenses with such a People's Allegiance. So that even by the Pope's own opinion, the People were under no Obligation to yield Obcdience to Chilperic, and confequently had no need of a Dispensation.

CHAP. V.

HO' I am of opinion, Salmafius, and always was, that the Law of God does exactly agree with the Law of Nature; fo that having shown what the I aw of God is, with respect to Princes, and what the practice has been of the People of God, both Jews and Christians, I have at the same time, and by the same discourse, made appear what is most agreeable to the Law of Nature; yet because you pretend to confute us most powerfully by the Law of Nature, I will be content to admit that to be necessary, which before I had thought would be superfluous; that in this Chapter I may demonstrate, that nothing is more suitable to the Law of Nature, than that Punishment be inslicted upon Tyrants. Which if I do not evince, I will then agree with you, that likewise by the Law of God they are exempt. I do not purpose to frame a long Discourse of Nature in general, and the Original of Civil Societies; that Argument has been largely hundled by many Learned Men, both Greek and Latin. But I shall endeavour to be as short as may be; and my design is not so much to consute you (who would willing y have spared this pains) as to show that you consute your self, and destroy your own Positions. Pil begin with that first Position which you lay down as a Fundamental, and that shall be the Ground-work of my enfuing

The Law of Nature, say you, is a Principle imprinted on all suing Discourse. mens minds, to regard the good of all Mankind, considering men as united together in Societies. But this innate Principle cannot procure that common good, unless, as there are people that must be governed, so that very Principle ascertain who shall govern them. To wit, lest the stronger oppress the weaker, and those persons, who for their mutual Sasety and Protection have united themselves together, should be disunited and divided by Injury and Violence, and reduced to a bestial savage life again. This I suppose is what you mean. Out of the number of those that united into one body, you fay, there must needs have been some chosen, who excelled the rest in Wisdom and Valour; that they either by force, or by perswasion, might restrain those that were refractory, and keep them within due bounds. Sometimes it would so fall out that one fingle Person, whose Conduct and Valour was extraordinary, might be able to do this, and sometimes more assisted one another with their Alvice and Counsel. But fince it is impossible that any one man should order all things himself, there was a necessity of his confulting with others, and taking some into part of the Government with himse t: So that whether a fingle person reign, or whether the Supreme Power reside in the body of the People, since it is impossible that all should administer the affairs of the Common wealth, or that one man should do all, the Government does always lie upon the shoulders of wany. And afterwards you say, both Forms of Government, whether by many or a few, or by a single person, are equally according to the Law of Nature; for both proceed from the same Principle of Nature, viz. That it is impossible for any single person so to govern alone, as not to admit others into a share of the Government with himself. Tho' I might have taken all this out of the third Book of Arifictle's Politics, I chose rather to transcribe it out of your own Book; for you stole it from him, as Prometheus did fire from Jupiter, to the ruin of Monarchy, and overthrow of your felf, and your own opinion. For enquire as diligently as you can for your life, into the Law of Nature, as you have described it, you will not find the least footstep in it of Kingly Power, as you explain it. The Law of Nature, fay you, in ordering who should govern others, respected the universal good of all mankind. It did not then regard the private good of any particular person, not of a Prince, so that the King is for the People, and consequently the People supcrior to him; which being allowed, it is impossible that Princes should have any right to oppress or enslave the people; that the inferior should have right to tyrannize over the fuperior. So that fince Kings cannot pretend to any right to do milchief, the right of the people must be acknowledged according to the Law of Nature to be superior to that of Princes; and therfore by the same right, that before Kingship was known, men united their Strength and Counsels for their mutual Safety and Defence; by the fame right, that for the prefervation of all men's Liberty, Peace, and Safety, they appointed one or more to govern the rest; by the same right they may depose those very persons, whom for their Valour or Wisdom they advanced to the Government, or any others that rule diforderly, if they find them by reason of their slothfulness, folly, or impiety, unfit for Government: fince Nature does not regard the good of one, or of a few, but of all in general. For what fort of perfons were they whom you suppose to have been chosen? You say, they were such as excelled in Courage and Conduct, to wit, fuch as by Nature feemed fittest for Government; who by reason of their excellent Wifdom and Valour, were enabled to undertake fo great a Charge. The confequence of this I take to be, that Right of Succession is not by the Law of Nature; that no Man by the Law of Nature has right to be King, unless he excel all others in Wisdom and Courage; that all such as reign, and want these qualifications, are advanced to the Government by Force or Faction; have no right by the Law of Nature to be what they are, but ought rather to be Slaves than Princes. For Nature appoints that wife Men should govern Fools, not that wicked Men should rule over good Men; Fools over wife Men: And confequently, they that take the Government out of fuch men's hands, act according to the Law of Nature. To what end Nature directs wife Men should bear the Rule, you shall hear in your own words; viz. "That by Force or by Perswasson, they may keep such as are unruly, without due bounds." But how should he keep others within the bounds of their duty, that neglects, or is ignorant of, or wilfully acts contrary to his own? Alledge now, it you can, any dictate of Nature, by which we are enjoined to neglect the wife Institutions of the Law of Nature, and have no regard to them in Civil and Public Concerns, when we fee what great and ad-

mirable things Nature her felf effects in things that are inanimate and void of fense, rather than lose her end. Produce any Rule of Nature, or Natural Justice, by which inferior Criminals ought to be punished, but Kings and Princes to go unpunished; and not only so, but the guilty of the greatest Crimes imaginable, be had in Reverenc, and almost adored. You agree, That all Forms of Government, whether by many, or few, or by a fingle person, are equally agreeable to the Law of Nature. So that the person of a King is not by the Law of Nature more facred than a Senate of Nobles, or Magistrates, chosen from amongst the common people, who you grant may be punished, and ought to be if they offend; and confequently, Kings ought to be fo too, who are appointed to rule for the very same end and purpose that other Magistrates are. For fay you, Nature does not allow any fingle person to bear rule so entirely, as not to have Partners in the Government. It does not therfore allow of a Monarch; it does not allow one fingle person to rule so, as that all others should be in a slavish subjection to his Commands only. You that give Princes fuch Partners in the Government, as in whom, to use your own words, the Government always resides, do at the same time make others Colleagues with them, and equal to them; nay, and confequently you fettle a power in those Colleagues of punishing, and of depofing them. So that while you your felf go about, not to extol a Kingly Government, but to establish it by the Law of Nature, you destroy it; no greater misfortune could befall Sovereign Princes, than to have fuch an Advocate as you are. Poor unhappy wretch! what blindness of mind has feiz'd you, that you should unwittingly take to much pains to difcover your knavery and folly, and make it visible to the world, (which before you conceal'd in some measure, and dif-guis'd) that you should be so industrious to heap difgrace and ignominy upon your self? What offence does Heaven punish you for, in making you appear in public, and undertake the defence of a desperate Cause, with so much impudence and childishness, and instead of desending it, to betray it by your ignorance? What Enemy of yours would defire to fee you in a more forlorn, defpicable condition than you are, who have no refuge left from the depth of mifery, but in your own imprudence and want of fense, since by your unskilful and filly defence, you have rendered Tyrants the more odious and deteftable, by aferibing to them an unbounded liberty of doing mischief with impunity; and confequently have created them more Enemies than they had before? But I return to your Contradictions. When you had refolv'd with your felf to be fo wicked as to endeavour to find out a Foundation for Tyranny in the Law of Nature, you faw a necessity of extolling Monarchy above other forts of Government; which you cannot go about to do, without doing as you use to do, that is, contradicting your felf. For having faid but a little before, That all Forms of Government, whether by more or fewer, or by a fingle person, are equally according to the Law of Nature, now you tell us, that of all these sorts of Government, that of a fingle person is most natural: Nay, though you had said in express terms but lately, That the Law of Nature does not allow that any Government should reside entirely in one man. Now upbraid whom you will with the putting of Tyrants to death; fince you your felf, by your own folly, have cut the Throats of all Monarchs, nay, even of Monarchy it felf. But it is not to the purpose for us here to dispute which Form of Government is best, by one fingle person, or by many. I confefs many eminent and famous men have extolled Monarchy; but it has always been upon this supposition, that the Prince were a very excellent person, and one that of all others deferved belt to reign; without which Supposition, no Form of Government can be so prone to Tyranny as Monarchy is. And wheras you refemble a Monarchy to the Government of the World, by one Divine Being, I pray answer me, Whether you think that any other can deserve to be invested with a power here on Earth, that shall resemble his power that governs the World, except fuch a person as does infinitely excel all other Men, and both for Wisdom and Goodness in some measure resemble the Deity? and fuch a person in my opinion, none can be but the Son of God himself. And wheras you make a Kingdom to be a kind of Family, and make a comparison betwixt a Prince and the Master of a Family; observe how lame the Parallel is. For a Mafter of a Family begot part of his Houshold, at least he feeds all those that are of his house, and upon that account deserves to have the Government; but the reason holds not in the case of a Prince; nay, 'tis quite contrary. Vol. L

the next place, you propose to us for our imitation the example of inferior Creatures, especially of Birds, and amongst them of Bees, which according to your skill in Natural Philosophy, are a fort of Birds too; The Bees have a King over them. The Bees of Trent you mean; don't you remember? all other Bees, you your felf confess to be Commonwealths. But leave off playing the fool with Bees; they belong to the Muses, and hate, and (you see) confute such a Beetle as you are. The Quails are under a Captoin. Lay such shares for your own Bitterns; you are not Fowler good enough to catch us. Now you begin to be perfonally concerned. Gallus Gallinaceus, a Cock, fay you, has both Cocks and Hens under him. How can that be, fince you your felf that are Gallus, and but too much Gallinaceus, by report cannot govern your own fingle Hen, but let her govern you? So that if a Gallinaceus be a King over many Hens, you that are a flave to one, must own your self not to be so good as a Gallinaceus, but some Stercorarius Gallus, some Dunghil-Cock or other. For matter of Books, there is no body publishes huger Dunghils than you, and you disturb all people with your shitten Cock-crow; that's the only property in which you resemble a true Cock. I'll throw you a great many Barley-corns, if in ranfacking this Dunghil Book of yours, you can show me but one Jewel. But why should I promise you Barley, that never peckt at Corn, as that honest plain Cock that we read of in Afop, but at Gold, as that Roguey Cock in Plautus, though with a different event; for you found a hundred Jacobuffes, and he was struck dead with Euclio's Club, which you deferve more than he did. But let us go on: That same natural reason that designs the good and safety of all Mankind, requires, that whoever is once promoted to the Sovereignty, be prejerved in the possession of it. Who ever quest on'd this, as long as his prefervation is consistent with the safety of all the rest? But is it not obvious to all men that nothing can be more contrary to natural reason than that any one man should be preserved and defended to the utter ruin and destruction of all others? But yet (you say) it is better to keep and defend a bad Prince, nay one of the word that ever was, than to change him for another; because his ill Government cannot do the Commonwealth so much harm as the disturbances will occasion, which must of necessity be raised before the people can get rid of him. But what is this to the Right of Kings by the Law of Nature? If Nature teaches me rather to fuffer my felf to be robbed by Highwaymen, or if I should be taken Captive by such, to purchase my Liberty with all my Estate, than to fight with them for my life, can you infer from thence, that they have a natural right to rob and spoil me? Nature teaches men to give way sometimes to the violence and outrages of Tyrants, the necessity of affairs sometimes enforces a Toleration with their enormities; what foundation can you find in this forced patience of a Nation, in this compulfory fubmiffion, to build a Right upon, for Princes to tyrannize by the Law of Nature? That Right which Nature has given the people for their own prefervation, can you affirm that she has invested Tyrants with for the people's ruin and destruction? Nature teaches us, of two evils to chuse the least; and to bear with oppression, as long as there is a necessity of so doing; and will you infer from hence, that Tyrants have fome Right by the Law of Nature to oppress their Subjects, and go unpunished, because as circumstances may fall out, it may sometimes be a less mischief to bear with them than to remove them? Remember what your self once wrote concerning Bishops against a Jesuit; you were then of another opinion than you are now: I have quoted your words formerly; you there affirm that feditious Civil diffensions and discords of the Nobles and Common People against and amongst one another, are much more tolerable, and less mischievous than certain misery and acstruction under the Government of a single person, that plays the Tyrant. And you faid very true. For you had not then run mad; you had not then been bribed with Charles his Jacobusses. You had not got the King's-Evil. I should tell you perhaps, if I did not know you, that you might be ashamed thus to prevaricate. But you can fooner burst than blush, who have cast off all shame for a little profit. Did you not remember, that the Commonwealth of the people of Rome flourished and became glorious when they had banished their Kings? Could you possibly forget that of the Low Countries? which after it had shook off the Yoke of the King of Spain, after long and tedious Wars, but crowned with success, obtained its Liberty, and feeds fuch a pitiful Grammarian as your felf with a Pension: but not with a design that their Youth might be so insatuated by your Sophistry,

in answer to Salmasius's Defence of the King.

Sophistry, as to chuse rather to return to their former Slavery than inherit the Glorious Liberty which their Ancestors purchased for them. May those pernicious principles of yours be banished with your self into the most remote and barbarous Corners of the World. And last of all, the Commonwealth of England might have afforded you an example, in which Charles, who had been their King, after he had been taken captive in War, and was found incurable, was put to death. But they have defaced and impoverish'd the Island with Civil broils and discords, which under its Kings was happy, and swam in Luxury. Yea, when it was almost buried in Luxury and Voluptuousness, and the more inured thereto, that it might be enthralled the more eafily; when its Laws were abolished, and its Religion agreed to be fold, they delivered it from Slavery. You are like him that published Simplicius and EpiEtetus in the same Volume; a very grave Stoic, who call an Island happy, because it swims in Luxury. I'm sure no such Doctrine ever came out of Zeno's School. But why should not you, who would give Kings a power of doing what they lift, have liberty your self to broach what new Philosophy you please? Now begin again to act your part. There never was in any King's Reign so much Blood spilt, so many Families ruined. All this is to be imputed to Charles, not to us, who first raised an Army of Icish men against us; who by his own Warrant authorized the Irifb Nation to confpire against the English; who by their means slew two hundred thousand of his English Subjects in the Province of Uister, befides what Numbers were flain in other parts of that Kingdom; who folicited two Armies towards the destruction of the Parlament of England, and the City of London; and did many other actions of Hostility before the Parliament and People had listed one Soldier for the prefervation and defence of the Government. What Principles, what Law, what Religion ever taught men rather to confult their eafe, to fave their Money, their Blood, nay their Lives themselves, than to oppose an Enemy with force? for I make no difference between a Foreign Enemy and another, fince both are equally dangerous and destructive to the good of the whole Nation. The People of Ifrael law very well, that they could not possibly punish the Benjamites for murdering the Levite's Wife, without the loss of many Men's Lives: And did that induce them to sit still? Was that accounted a sufficient Argument why they should abstain from War, from a very Bloody Civil War? Did they therfore fuffer the death of one poor Woman to be unrevenged? Certainly if Nature teaches us rather to endure the Government of a King, though he be never fo bad, than to endanger the Lives of a great many Men in the recovery of our Liberty; it must teach us likewise not only to endure a Kingly Government, which is the only one that you argue ought to be submitted to, but even an Aristocracy and a Democracy: Nay, and fometimes it will persuade us, to submit to a Multitude of Highwaymen, and to Slaves that mutiny. Fulvius and Rupilius, if your Principles had been received in their days, must not have engaged in the Servile War (as their Writers call it) after the Pretorian Armies were flain: Crassus must not have marched against Sparticus, after the Rebels had destroyed one Roman Army, and spoiled their Tents: Nor must Pompey have undertaken the Pyratic War. But the State of Rome must have pursued the dictates of Nature, and must have submitted to their own Slaves, or to the Pyrates rather than run the hazard of lofing fome Men's Lives. You do not prove at all, that Nature has imprinted any fuch notion as this of yours on the minds of Men: And yet you cannot forbear boding us ill luck, and denouncing the Wrath of God against us (which may Heaven divert, and instict it upon your felf, and all fuch Prognosticators as you) who have punished, as he deserved, one that had the name of our King, but was in fact our implacable Enemy; and we have made Atonement for the death of fo many of our Countrymen, as our Civil Wars have occasion'd, by shedding his Blood, that was the Author and Cause of them. Then you tell us, that a Kingly Government appears to be more according to the Laws of Nature, because more Nations, both in our days and of old, have fubmitted to that Form of Government, than ever did to any other. I answer, If that he so, it was neither the effect of any dictate of the Law of Nature, nor was it in Obedience to any Command from God. God would not fuffer his own People to be under a King; he confented at last, but unwillingly: what Nature and right Reason dictates, we are not to gather from the practice of most Nations, but of the wifest and most prudent. The Grecians, the Romans, the SII 2 Vol. L

Italians, and Carthaginians with many other, have of their own accord, out of choice, preferr'd a Commonwealth to a Kingly Government; and these Nations that I have named, are better instances than all the rest. Hence Sulpitius Severus fays, 'That the very name of a King was always very odious among freeborn People. But these things concern not our present purpose, nor many other Impertinences that follow over and over again. I'll make hafte to prove that by Examples, which I have proved already by Reason; viz. That it is very agreeable to the Law of Nature, that Tyrants should be punished; and that all Nations, by the instinct of Nature, have punished them; which will expose your Impudence, and make it evident, that you take a liberty to publish palpable downright Lyes. You begin with the Egyptians; and indeed, who does not see, that you play the Gipsy your self throughout? Amongst them, fay you, there is no mention extant of any King, that was ever slain by the People in a Popular Insurrection, no War made upon any of their Kings by their Subjects, no Attempt made to depose any of them. What think you then of Osiris, who perhaps was the first King that the Egyptians ever had? Was not he stain by his Brother Typhon, and five and twenty other Conspirators? And did not a great part of the Body of the People fide with them, and fight a Battel with Isis and Orus, the late King's Wife and Son? I pass by Sesostris, whom his Brother had well nigh put to death, and Chemmis, and Cephrenes, against whom the People were deservedly enraged; and because they could not do it while they were alive, they threatned to tear them in pieces after they were dead. Do you think that a People that durst lay violent hands upon good Kings, had any restraint upon them, either by the Light of Nature or Religion, from putting bad ones to death? Could they that threat-ned to pull the dead Bodies of their Princes out of their Graves, when they ceased to do mischief, (tho' by the Custom of their own Country, the Corps of the meanest Person was sacred and inviolable) abstain from inflicting Punishment upon them in their Life-time, when they were acting all their Villanies, if they had been able; and that upon fome Maxim of the Law of Nature? I know you would not flick to answer me in the affirmative, how absurd soever it be; but that you may not offer at it, I'll pull out your Tongue. Know then, that some Ages before Cephrenes's time, one Ammosis was King of Egypt, and was as great a Tyrant, as who has been the greatest; him the People bore with. This you are glad to hear; this is what you would be at. But hear what follows, my honest Tell-troth. I shall speak out of Diodorus, They bore with him for some while, because he was too strong for them. But when Actisanes King of Ethiopia made war upon him, they took that opportunity to revolt, fo that being deferted, he was easily subdued, and Egypt became an Accession to the Kingdom of Ethiopia. You fee the Egyptians, as foon as they could, took up Arms against a Tyrant; they joined Forces with a Foreign Prince, to depose their own King, and disinherit his Posterity; they chose to live under a moderate and good Prince, as Astisanes was, tho'a Foreigner, rather than under a Tyrant of their own. The fame People with a very unanimous Confent took up Arms against Apries, another Tyrant, who relied upon Foreign Aids that he had hired to affift him. Under the Conduct of Amasis their General they conquered, and afterward strangled him, and placed Amasis in the Throne. And observe this Circumstance in the History; Amasis kept the Captive King a good while in the Palace, and treated him well: At last, when the People complain'd that he nourished his own and their Enemy; he delivered him into their hands, who put him to death in the manner I have mentioned. These things are related by Herodotus and Diodorus. you now? Do you think that any Tyrant would not chuse a Hatchet rather than a Halter? Afterwards, say you, when the Egyptians were brought into subjection by the Persians, they continued faithful to them; which is most false, they never were faithful to them: For in the fourth year after Cambyfes had subdued them, they Afterwards, when Xerxes had tamed them, within a short time they revolted from his Son Artaxerxes, and fet up one Inarus to be their King. After his death they rebell'd again, and created one Tachus King, and made war upon Artaxerxes Mnemon. Neither were they better Subjects to their own Princes, for they deposed Tachus, and conferr'd the Government upon his Son Nectanebus, till at last Artaxernes Ochus brought them the second time under subjection to the Persian Empire. When they were under the Macedonian Empire, they declared by their Actions, that Tyrants ought to be under some restraint:

in answer to Salmasius's Defence of the King.

They threw down the Statues and Images of Ptolemæus Physico, and would have killed him, but that the mercenary Army that he commanded, was too firong His Son Alexander was forced to leave his Country by the meer violence of the People, who were incenfed against him for killing his Mother. And the People of Alexandria dragged his Son Alexander out of the Palace, whose infolent Behaviour gave just Offence, and killed him in the Theatre. And the same People depoted Ptolemaus Auletes for his many Crimes. Now fince it is impoffible that any Learned Man should be ignorant of these things that are so generally known; and fince it is an inexcusable Fault in Salmasius to be ignorant of them, whole Profession it is to teach them others, and whose very afferting things of this nature ought to carry in it felf an Argument of Credibility; it is certainly a very scandalous thing (I say) either that so ignorant, illiterate a Blockhead, should to the feandal of all Learning, profess himself, and be accounted a Learned Man, and obtain Salaries from Princes and States; or that so impudent and notorious a Lyar should not be branded with some particular mark of Infamy, and for ever banished from the Society of learned and honest Men. Having searched among the Egyptians for Examples, let us now confider the Ethiopians their Neighbours. They adore their Kings, whom they suppose God to have appointed over them, even as if they were a fort of Gods: And yet whenever the Priests condemn any of them, they kill themselves: And on that manner, says Diodorus, they punish all their Criminals; they put them not to death, but fend a Minister of Justice to command them to destroy their own Persons. In the next place, you mention the Affyrians, the Medes, and the Persians, who of all others were most observant of their Princes: And you affirm, contrary to all Historians that have wrote any thing concerning those Nations, That the Regal Power there, had an unbounded Liberty annexed to it, of doing what the King lifted. In the first place, the Prophet Daniel tells us, how the Babylonians expelled Nebuchadnezzar out of Human Society, and made him graze with the Beafls, when his Pride grew to be The Laws of those Countries were not intitled the Laws of their Kings, but the Laws of the Medes and Persians; which Laws were irrevocable, and the Kings themselves were bound by them: Insomuch that Darius the Mede, tho' he earnestly defired to have deliver'd Daniel from the hands of the Princes, yet could not effect it. Those Nations, say you, thought it no sufficient pretence to rejest a Prince, because he abused the Right that was inherent in him as he was Sovereign. But in the very writing of these words you are so stupid, as that with the same breath that you commend the Obedience and Submissiveness of those Nations, of your own accord you make mention of Sardanapalus's being depriv'd of his Crown by Arbaces. Neither was it he alone that accomplished that Enterprize; for he had the affifiance of the Priefts (who of all others were beft verfed in the ${
m Law}$) and of the People; and it was wholly upon this account that he deposed him, because he abused his authority and power, not by giving himself over to cruelty, but to luxury and effeminacy. Run over the Histories of Herodotus, Ctefias, Diodorus, and you will find things quite contrary to what you affert here; you will find that those Kingdoms were destroy'd for the most part by Subjects, and not by Fereigners; that the Affyrians were brought down by the Medes, who then were their Subjects, and the Medes by the Persians, who at that time were likewife subject to them. You your self confess, that Cyrus rebell'd, and that at the same time in divers parts of the Empire little upstart Governments were formed by those that shock off the Medes. But does this agree with what you said before? Does this prove the obedience of the Medes and Persians to their Princes, and that Jus Regium which you had afferted to have been univerfally receiv'd amongst those Nations? What Potion can cure this brain-fick Frenzy of yours? You fay, It afpears by Herodotus how absolute the Persian Kings were. Cambyses being desirous to marry his Sifters, confulted with the Judges, who were the Interpreters of the Laws, to whose Decision all difficult matters were to be referr'd. What answer had he from them? They told him, they knew no Law which permitted a Brother to marry his Sifter; but another Law they knew, that the Kings of Persia might do what they lifted. Now to this I answer, if the Kings of Persia were really so absolute, what need was there of any other to interpret the Laws, befides the King himfelf? Those superfluous unnecessary Judges would have had their abode and residence in any other place rather than in the Palace, where they were altogether useless. Again, if those Kings might do whatever they would,

it is not credible that so ambitious a Prince as Cambyses was, should be so ignorant of that grand Prerogative, as to confult with the Judges, whether what he defired were according to Law. What was the matter then? either they defigned to humour the King, as you fay they did, or they were afraid to cross his inclination, which is the account that Herodotus gives of it; and so told him of fuch a Law, as they knew would please him, and in plain terms made a fool of him; which is no new thing with Judges and Lawyers now a-days. But, fay you, Artabanus a Persian told Themistocles, that there was no better Law in Persia, than that by which it was enacted, That Kings were to be bonoured and adored. An excellent Law that was without doubt, which commanded Subjects to adore their Princes! but the Primitive Fathers have long ago damned it; and Artabanus was a proper person to commend such a Law, who was the very Man that a little while after slew Xerxes with his own hand. You quote Regicides to affert Roy-I am afraid you have fome defign upon Kings. In the next place, you quote the Poet Claudian, to prove how obedient the Persians were. But I appeal to their Histories and Annals, which are full of the Revolts of the Persians, the Medes, the Bettrians, and Babylonians, and give us frequent Instances of the Murders of their Princes. The next person whose authority you cite, is Otanes the Perfian, who likewise killed Smerdis then King of Perfia, to whom, out of the hatred which he bore to a Kingly Government, he reckons up the impieties and injurious actions of Kings, their violation of all Laws, their putting Men to death without any legal Conviction, their Rapes and Adulteries; and all this you will have called the Right of Kings, and slander Samuel again as a teacher of fuch Doctrines. You quote Homer, who fays that Kings derive their Authority from Jupiter; to which I have already given an answer. For King Philip of Mccedon, whose afferting the Right of Kings, you make use of; I'll believe Charles his description of it, as soon as his. Then you quote some Sentences out of a fragment of Diogenes a Pythagorean; but you do not tell us what fort of a King he speaks of. Observe therfore how he begins that Discourse; for whatever follows must be understood to have relation to it. 'Let him be King, * fays he, that of all others is most just, and so he is that acts most according to Law; for no Man can be King that is not just; and without Laws there can be no Justice. This is directly opposite to that Regal Right of yours. And Ecphantas, whom you likewise quote, is of the same opinion: 'Whose-* ever takes upon him to be a King, ought to be naturally most pure and clear 'from all imputation.' And a little after, 'Him, fays be, we call a King, that governs well, and he only is properly fo.' So that fuch a King as you speak of, according to the Philosophy of the Pythagoreans, is no King at all. Hear now what Plato says in his Eighth Epiftle: Let Kings, fays he, be liable to be called to account for what they do: Let the Laws controul not only the ' People, but Kings themselves, if they do any thing not warranted by Law." I'll mention what Ariftotle fays in the Third Book of his Politics; 'It is neither for 'the Public Good, nor is it just, fays be, feeing all men are by nature alike and equal, that any one should be Lord and Master over all the rest, where 'there are no Laws: nor is it for the Public Good, or Just, that one man 's should be a Law to the rest, where there are Laws; nor that any one, tho' ' a good man, should be Lord over other good men, nor a bad man over bad 'men.' And in the Fifth Book, fayshe, 'That King whom the People refuse to be 'govern'd by, is no longer a King, but a Tyrant.' Hear what Xenophon fays in Hiero: ' People are fo far from revenging the deaths of Tyrants, that they confer great Honour upon him that kills one, and erect Statues in their Temples • to the Honour of Tyrannicides.' Of this I can produce an Eye-witness, Marcus Tullius, in his Oration pro Milone; 'The Grecians, fays be, ascribe Divine Worship to such as kill Tyrants: What things of this nature have I my self feen at Athens, and in the other Cities of Greece? How many Religious Obfervances have been instituted in honour of such men? How many Hymns? ' They are confecrated to Immortality and Adoration, and their Memory endea-'voured to be perpetuated.' And lastly, Polybius, a Historian of great Authority and Gravity, in the Sixth Book of his History, says thus: 'When Princes began to ' indulge their own Lusts and sensual Appetites, then Kingdoms were turned ' into so many Tyrannies, and the Subjects began to conspire the death of their Governors; neither was it the profligate fort that were the Authors of those ' Designs,

Defigns, but the most Generous and Magnanimous.' I could quote many such like paffages, but I shall instance in no more. From the Philosophers you appeal to the Poets; and I am very willing to follow you thither. Æschylus is enough to inform us, That the Power of the Kings of Greece was such, as not to be liable to the censure of any Laws, or to be questioned before any Human Judicature ; for he in that Tragedy that is called, The Suppliants, calls the King of the Argives, a Governor not obnoxious to the Judgment of any Tribunal. But you must know (for the more you fay, the more you discover your rashness and want of judgment) you must know, I say, that one is not to regard what the Poet says, but what person in the Play speaks, and what that person says; for different persons are introduced, fometimes good, fometimes bad; fometimes wife men, fometimes fools; and fuch words are put into their mouths, as it is most proper for them to speak; not such as the Poet would speak, if he were to speak in his own person. The Fifty Daughters of Danaus being banished out of Egypt, became Suppliants to the King of the Argives; they begg'd of him, that he would protect them from the Egyptians, who purfued them with a Fleet of Ships. The King told them he could not undertake their Protection, till he had imparted the matter to the people; 'For fays be, if I should make a promife to you, I should not be able to perform it, unless I consult with them first.' The Women being Strangers and Suppliants, and fearing the uncertain fuffrages of the people, tell him, 'That the Power of all the people refides in him alone; that he judges all others, but is not judged himself by any.' He answers: 'I have told you already, That I cannot do this thing that you defire of me, without the peo-'ple's confent; may, and tho' I could, I would not.' At last he refers the matter to the people; 'I will assemble the people, fays be, and persuade them to pro-The people met, and refolved to engage in their quarrel; infomuch that *Danaus* their Father bids his Daughters, 'be of good cheer, for the 'People of the Country, in a popular Convention, had voted their Safeguard and Defence.' If I had not related the whole thing, how rathly would this impertinent Ignoramus have determined concerning the Right of Kings among the Grecians, out of the mouths of a few Women that were Strangers and Suppliants, tho' the King himfelf, and the Hiftory be guite contrary? The fame thing appears by the story of Orestes in Euripides, who after his Father's death was himself King of the Argives, and yet was called in question by the people for the death of his Mother, and made to plead for his Life, and by the major fuffrage was condemned to die. The fame Poet in his Play called The Suppliants, declares, That at Athens the Kingly Power was subject to the Laws; where Theseus then King of that City is made to say these words: 'This is a free City, it is not govern'd by one man; the people reigns here.' And his Son Demopboon, who was King after him, in another Tragedy of the fame Poet, called Heraclida; · I do not exercise a Tyrannical Power over them, as if they were Barbarians: 'I am upon other terms with them; but if I do them justice, they will do me ' the like.' Sophocles in his Œdipus shows, That anciently in Thebes the Kings were not absolute neither: Hence says Tirefius to Œdipus, 'I am not your Slave.' And Creon to the fame King, 'Hhave some Right in this City, Jays he, as well as you.' And in another Tragedy of the fame Poet, called Antigone, Amon tells the King, 'That the City of Thebes is not govern'd by a fingle person.' All men know that the Kings of Lacedemon have been arraigned, and fometimes put to death judicially. These instances are sufficient to evince what Power the Kings in Greece had. Let us consider now the Romans: You betake your felf to that passage of C. Memmius in Salust, of Kings having a liberty to do what they lift, and go unpunished; to which I have given an answer already. Salust himself tays in express words, 'That the ancient Government of Rome was by their Laws, tho' the Name and Form of it was Regal:' which Form of Government, when it grew into a Tyranny, you know they put down and changed. Cicero in his Oration against Piso, 'Shall I, says he, account him a Consul, who would not allow the Senate to have any Authority in the Commonwealth? Shall I take notice of any man as Conful, if at the same time there be no such thing as a Senate; when of old, the City of Rome acknowledged not their Kings, if they acted without, or in opposition to the Senate? Do you hear; the very Kings themselves at Rome signified nothing without the Senate. But, fay you, Romulus governed as he lifted; and for that you quote Tacitus.

No wonder: The Government was not then established by Law; they were a confus'd Multitude of Strangers, more likely regulated than a State; and all Mankind lived without Laws, before Governments were fettled. But when Romulus was dead, tho' all the People were desirous of a King, not having yet experienced the sweetness of Liberty, yet, as Livy informs us, 'The Sovereign Power refided in the People; fo that they parted not with more Right than they re-* tained.' The fame Author tells us, 'That the same Power was afterwards ex-torted from them by their Emperors.' Servius Tullius at first reigned by fraud, and as it were a Deputy to Tarquinius Priscus; but afterward he referr'd it to the People, Whether they would have him reign or no? At last, says Tacitus, he became the Author of fuch Laws as the Kings were obliged to obey. Do you think he would have done such an injury to himself and his Posterity, if he had been of opinion that the Right of Kings had been above all Laws? Their last King Tarquinius Superbus, was the first that put an end to that custom of consulting the Senate concerning all Public Affairs: for which very thing, and other enormities of his, the People deposed him, and banished him and his Family. These things I have out of Livy and Cicero, than whom you will hardly produce any better Expositors of the Right of Kings among the Romans. As for the Dictatorship, that was but temporary, and was never made use of, but in great extremities, and was not to continue longer than fix Months. But that which you call the Right of the Roman Emperors, was no Right, but a plain downright Force; and was gained by War only. But Tacitus, fay you, that lived under the Government of a fingle Person, writes thus; The Gods have committed the Sovereign Power in human Affairs to Princes only, and have left to Subjects the honour of being But you tell us not where Tacitus has these words, for you were conscious to your felf, that you imposed upon your Readers in quoting them; which I presently smelt out, tho' I could not find the place of a sudden: For that Expr. ssion is not Tacitus's own, who is an approved Writer, and of all others the greatest Enemy to Tyrants; but Tacitus relates that of M. Terentius, a Gentleman of Rome, being accused for a Capital Crime, amongst other things that he faid to fave his Life, flatter'd Tiberius on this manner. It is in the Sxith Book of his Annals. 'The Gods have entrusted you with the ultimate Judgment in all 6 things; they have left us the honour of Obedience.' And you cite this paffage as if Tacitus had faid it himself; you scrape together whatever seems to make for your Opinion, either out of oftentation, or out of weakness; you would leave out nothing that you could find in a Baker's, or a Barber's Shop; nay, you would be glad of any thing that look'd like an Argument, from the very Hang-If you had read Tacitus himself, and not transcribed some loose Quotations out of him by other Authors, he would have taught you whence that Imperial Right had its Original. 'After the Conquest of Asia, says he, the whole ftate of our Affairs was turned upfide down; nothing of the ancient integrity of our Forefathers was left amongst us; all men shook off that former equali-* ty which had been observed, and began to have a reverence for the Mandates of Princes.' This you might have learned out of the Third Book of his Annals, whence you have all your Regal Right. 'When that antient equality was laid afide, and instead therof Ambition and Violence took place, Tyrannical Forms of Government started up, and fixed themselves in many Countries.' This fame thing you might have learned out of Dio, if your natural Levity and Unfettledness of Judgment would have suffered you to apprehend any thing that's folid. He tells us in the Fifty-third Book of his History, out of which Book you have made fome quotation already, That Octavius Cafar, partly by force, and partly by Fraud, brought things to that pass, that the Emperors of Rome became no longer fetter'd by Laws. For he, tho' he promifed to the people in public that he would lay down the Government, and obey the Laws, and become subject to others; yet under pretence of making War in feveral Provinces of the Empire, still retained the Legions, and so by degrees invaded the Government, which he pretended he would refuse. This was not regularly getting from under the Law, but breaking forcibly through all Laws, as Spartacus the Gladiator might have done; and then affuming to himself the style of Prince or Emperor, as if God or the Law of Nature had put all Men and all Laws into subjection under him. Would you enquire a little further into the Original of the Right of the Roman Emperors? Marcus Antonius, whom Cafar (when by taking up Arms against the Commonwealth,

in answer to Salmasius's Defence of the King.

Commonwealth, he had got all the Power into his hands) had made Conful, when a Solemnity called the Lupercalia was celebrated at Rome, as had been contrived before-hand that he thould fet a Crown upon C.cfar's head, though the people fighed and lamented at the fight, caused it to be entered upon record, That Marcus Antonius, at the Lupercalia, made Cefar King at the Instance of the people. Of which action Cicero in his fecond Philippic fays, 'Was Lucius Tarquinius therfore expelled, Spurius Cassius, Sp. Melius, and Marcus Manilius "put to death, that after many ages Marcus Antonius shou'd make a King in Rome contrary to Law?' But you deserve to be tortured, and loaded with everlasting difgrace, much more than Mark Antony; tho' I would not have you proud because he and your self are put together: for I do not think so despicable a Wretch as you fit to be compared with him in any thing but his Impiety; you that in those horrible Lupercalia of yours, set not a Crown upon one Tyrant's head, but upon all, and fuch a Crown as you would have limited by no Laws, nor liable to any. Indeed if we must believe the Oracles of the Emperors themselves, (for so some Christian Emperors, as Theodosius and Valens, have called their Edicts, Cod. lib. 1. tit. 14.) the Authority of the Emperors depends upon that of the Law. So that the Majesly of the Person that reigns, even by the Judgment, or call it the Oracle of the Emperors themselves, must submit to the Laws, on whose Authority it depends. Hence Pliny tells Trajan in his Panegyric, when the Power of the Emperors was grown to its height, A Principality, and an Absolute Sovereignty are quite different things. Tra-'jan puts down whatever looks like a Kingdom; he rules like a Prince, that "there may be no room for a Magisterial Power.' And afterwards, "Whatever I have faid of other Princes, I faid that I might show how our Prince reforms and corrects the Manners of Princes, which by long cuftom have been corrupted and depraved.' Are not you ashamed to call that the Right of Kings, that Pliny calls the corrupt and depraved Customs of Princes? But let this suffice to have been faid in fhort of the Right of Kings, as it was taken at Rome. How they dealt with their Tyrants, whether Kings or Emperors, is generally known. They expelled Tarquin. But, say you, How did they expel him? Did they proceed against him judicially? No such matter: When he would have come into the City, they shut the gates against him. Ridiculous Fool! What could they do but thut the gates, when he was haftening to them with part of the Army? And what great difference will there be, whether they banished him, or put him to death, so they punished him one way or other? The best men of that age kill'd Cafar the Tyrant in the very Senate. Which action of theirs, Marcus Tulliuc, who was himself a very excellent Man, and publicly call'd the Father of his Country, both elfewhere and particularly in his fecond Philippie, extols wonderfully. I'll repeat foine of his words: 'All good men kill'd Cæfar, as far as in them lay. Some men could not advise in it, others wanted Courage to act 'in it, others wanted an Opportunity, all had a good will to it.' And afterwards, 'What greater and more glorious Action (ye holy Gods!) ever was 'performed, not in this City only, but in any other Country? what Action 'more we thy to be recommended to everlasting memory? I am not unwilling to be included within the number of those that advised it, as within the Trojan ' Horse.' The passage of Seneca may relate both to the Romans, and the Grecians: There cannot be a greater, nor more acceptable Sacrifice offered up to Jupiter, 'than a wicked Prince.' For if you confider Hercules, whose words these are, they shew what the Opinion was of the principal Men amongst the Grecians in that Age. If the Poet, who flourished under Nero, (and the most worthy Perfons in Plays generally express the Poet's own Sense) then this passage shows us what Seneca himself and all good Men, even in Nero's time, thought was fit to be done to a Tyrant; and how vertuous an Action, how acceptable to God they thought it to kill one. So every go od Man of Rome, as far as in him lay, kill'd Domitian. Pliny the Second owns it openly in his Panegyrick to Trajan the Emperor, 'We took pleasure in dashing those proud Looks against the ground, in piercing him with our Swords, in mangling him with Axes, as if he had bled and felt pain at every stroke: No Man could so command his passion of · Joy, but that he counted it a piece of Revenge to behold his mangled Limbs, his Members torn afunder, and after all, his ftern and horrid Statues thrown 'down and burnt.' And afterwards, 'They cannot love good Princes enough, Vol. I.

that cannot hate bad ones as they deferve. Then amongst other Enormities of Domitian, he reckons this for one, that he put to death Epaphroditus, that had kill'd Nero: 'Had we forgotten the avenging Nero's death? Was it likely that 'he would fuffer his Life and Actions to be ill spoken of, whose death he reveng-'ed?' He feems to have thought it almost a Crime not to kill Nero, that counts it so great a one to punish him that did it. By what has been said, it is evident, that the best of the Romans did not only kill Tyrants, as oft as they could, and howfoever they could; but that they thought it a commendable, and a praifeworthy Action fo to do, as the Grecians had done before them. For when they could not proceed judicially against a Tyrant in his life-time, being inferior to him in Strength and Power, yet after his death they did it, and condemn'd him by the Valerian Law. For Valerius Publicola, Junius Brutus his Colleague, when he faw that Tyrants, being guarded with Soldiers, could not be brought to a legal Trial, he devised a Law to make it lawful to kill them any way, tho' uncondemn'd; and that they that did it, should afterwards give an account of their fo doing. Hence, when Cassius had actually run Caligula through with a Sword, tho' every body else had done it in their hearts, Valerius Asiaticus, one that had been Conful, being prefent at the time, cried out to the Soldiers that began to mutiny because of his death, I wish I my felf had kill'd him. And the Senate at the fame time was fo far from being displeased with Cassius for what he had done, that they refolved to extirpate the Memory of the Emperors, and to raze the Temples that had been erected in honour of them. When Claudius was prefently faluted Emperor by the Soldiers, they forbad him by the Tribune of the People to take the Government upon him; but the Power of the Soldiers pre-The Senate declared Nero an Enemy, and made enquiry after him, to have punished him according to the Law of their Ancestors; which required, that he should be stript naked, and hung by the Neck upon a forked Stake, and whipt to death. Confider now, how much more mildly and moderately the English dealt with their Tyrant, tho' many are of opinion, that he caused the fpilling of more Blood than ever Nero himself did. So the Senate condemn'd Domitian after his death; they commanded his Statues to be pull'd down and dash'd in pieces, which was all they could do. When Commodus was slain by his own Officers, neither the Senate nor the People punish'd the Fact, but declared him an Enemy, and enquired for his dead Corps to have made it an Example. An Act of the Senate made upon that occasion is extant in Lampridius: Let the Enemy of his Country be depriv'd of all his Titles; let the Parricide be drawn, let him be torn in pieces in the Spoliary, let the Enemy of the Gods, ' the Executioner of the Senate be drag'd with a Hook, &c.' The same Persons in a very sull Senate condemn'd Didius Julianus to death, and sent a Tribune to The same Senate deposed Maximinus, and declared him flay him in the Palace. an Enemy. Let us hear the words of the Decree of the Senate concerning him, as Capitolinus relates it: 'The Conful put the question, Conscript Fathers, what is your pleasure concerning the Maximines? They answer'd, 'They are Enemies, they are Enemies, whoever kills them shall be rewarded.' Would you know now, whether the People of Rome, and the Provinces of the Empire obeyed the Senate, or Maximine the Emperor? Hear what the same Author says, The Senate wrote Letters into all the Provinces, requiring them to take care of their Common Safety and Liberty; the Letters were publicly read. And the Friends, the Deputies, the Generals, the Tribunes the Soldiers of Maximine, were flain in all places; very few Cities were found that kept their Faith with the public Herodian relates the fame thing. But what need we give any more Instances out of the Roman Histories? Let us now see what manner of thing the Right of Kings was in those days, in the Nations that bordered upon the Empire. Ambiorix, a King of the Gauls, confesses, 'The Nature of his Dominion to be fuch, that the People have as great Power over him, as he over them.' confequently, as well as he judged them, he might be judged by them. Vercingetoria, another King in Gaul, was accused of Treason by his own People. These things Cæsar relates in his History of the Gallic Wars. "Neither is the 'Regal Power among the Germans absolute and uncontroulable; lesser matters are ordered and disposed by the Princes; greater Affairs by all the People. The King or Prince is more confiderable by the Authority of his Perfwasions, than by any Power that he has of commanding. If his Opinion be not ap-'prov'd

in answer to Salmasius's Defence of the King.

' prov'd of, they declare their diflike of it by a general murmuring Noise.' This is out of Tacitus. Nay, and you your felf now confess, that what but of late you exclaim'd against as an unheard of thing, has been often done, to wit, That no less than fifty Scotish Kings have been either banished, or imprisoned, or put to death, nay, and some of them publickly executed. Which having come to pass in our very Island; why do you, as if it were your Office to conceal the violent deaths of Tyrants, by burying them in the dark, exclaim against it as an abominable and unheard of thing? You proceed to commend the Jews and Christians for their Religious Obedience even to Tyrants, and to heap one Lye upon another, in all which I have already confuted you. Lately you made large Encomiums on the Obedience of the Asyrians and Persians, and now you reckon up their Rebellions; and tho' but of late you faid they never had rebell'd at all, now you give us a great many reasons why they rebell'd so often. Then you refume the Narrative of the manner of our King's death, which you had broken off long fince; that if you had not taken care fufficiently to appear ridiculous, and a Fool then, you may do it now. You faid, He was led through the Members of bis own Court. What you mean by the Members of the Court, I would gladly know. You enumerate the Calamities that the Romans underwent by changing their Kingdom into a Commonwealth. In which I have already flown how grosly you give your self the Lye. What was it you said when you wrote against the Jesuit? You demonstrated, That in an Aristocracy, or a popular State, there could but be Seditions and Tunults, wheras under a Tyrant nothing was to be looked for, but certain Rum and Destruction: And dare you now say, you vain corrupt Mortal, That those Seditions were Punishments inflicted upon them for benishing their Kings? Forfooth, because King Charles gave you a hundred Jacobusses, therfore the Romans shall be punished for banishing their Kings. But 'they that kill'd Ju'lius Casar, did not prosper afterwards.' I confess, it I would have had any Tyrant spared, it should have been him. For altho' he introduced a Monarchical Government into a Free State by force of Arms, yet perhaps himself deferved a Kingdom best; and yet I conceive that none of those that kille1 him can be faid to have been punished for so doing, any more than Caius Antonius, Cicero's Colleague, for destroying Catiline, who when he was afterward condemn'd for other Crimes, says Cicero in his Oaration pro Flacco, Catiline's Sepulchre was adorned with Flowers. For they that favoured Catiline, they rejoyced; They gave out then, that what Catiline did was just, to encrease the People's hatred against those that had out him off. These are Artistices, which wicked Men make use of, to deter the best of Men from punishing Tyrants, and flagitious Persons. I might as easily say the quite contrary, and instance in them that have killed Tyrants, and prospered afterwards; if any certain inference might be drawn in fuch Cafes from the events of things. You object further, That the English did not put their Hereditary King to death in like manner, as Tyrants use to be slain, but as Robbers and Traytors are executed. In the first place I do not, nor can any wife Man understand what a Crown's being Hereditary should contribute to a King's Crimes being unpunishable. What you ascribe to the Barbarous Cruelty of the English, proceeded rather from their Clemency and Moderation, and as fuch, deferves Commendation; who, tho' the being a Tyrant is a Crime that comprehends all forts of Enormities, fuch as Robberies, Treafons, and Rebellions against the whole Nation, yet were contented to inflict no greater punishment upon him for being so, than they used of course to do upon any common Highway-man, or ordinary Trayter. You hope some such Men as Harmodius and Thrasibulus will rise up amongst us, and make expiation for the King's death, by shedding their Blood that were the Authors of it. But you will run mad with defpair, and be detefted by all good Men, and put an end to that wretched Life of yours, by hanging your felf, before you fee Men like Harmodius avenging the Blood of a Tyrant upon fuch as have done no other than what they did themselves. That you will come to such an end is most probable, nor can any other be expected of so great a Rogue; but the other thing is an utter impossibility. You mention thirty Tyrants that rebelled in Gallienus's time. And what if it fall out, that one Tyrant happens to oppose another, must therefore all they that resist Tyrants be accounted such themselves? You cannot persuade Men into such a belief, you Slave of a Kinght; nor your Author Trebellius Pollio, the most inconsiderable of all Historians that Titz

have writ. If any of the Emperors were declared Enemies by the Senate, you fay, it was done by Faction, but could not have been by Law. You put us in mind what it was that made Emperors at first: It was Faction and Violence, and to speak plainer, it was the madness of Anthony, that made Generals at first rebel against the Senate, and the People of Rome; there was no Law, no Right for their fo doing. Galba, you say, was punished for his Insurrection against Nero. Tell us likewife how Vespasian was punished for taking up Arms against Vitellius; There was as much difference, you fay, betwist Charles and Nero, as betwist those English Butchers, and the Roman Senators of that Age. Despicable Villain! by whom it is fcandalous to be commended, and a Praise to be evil spoken of: But a sew Periods before, discoursing of this very thing, you said, That the Roman Senate under the Emperors, was in effect but an Assembly of Slaves in Robes: And here you say, That very Senate was an Assembly of Kings; which if it be allowed, then are Kings, according to your own Opinion, but Slaves with Robes on. Kings are bleffed, that have fuch a Fellow as you to write in their praife, than whom no Man is more a Rafcal, no Beast more void of Sense, unless this one thing may be faid to be peculiar to you, that none ever brayed fo learnedly. You make the Parlament of England more like to Nero, than to the Roman Senate. This itch of yours of making filly Similitudes, enforces me to rectify you, whether I will or no : And I will let you fee how like King Charles was to Nero; Nero you fav commanded his own Mother to be run through with a Sword. But Charles murdered both his Prince, and his Father, and that by Poifon. For to omit other evidences; he that would not fuffer a Duke that was accufed for it, to come to his Tryal, must needs have been guilty of it himself. Nero slew many thousands of Christians; but Charles slew many more. There were those, says Suetonius, that praifed Nero after he was dead, that long'd to have had him again, That hung Garlands of Flowers upon his Sepulchre, and gave out that they would never profper that had been his Enemies. And fome there are transported with the like Phrenfy, that with for King Charles again, and extol him to the highest degree imaginable, of whom you a Knight of the Halter are a Ringleader. The English Soldiers more savage than their own Mastiffs, erested a new and unheard-of Court of Justice. Observe this ingenious Symbol, or Adage of Salmastus, which he has now repeated fix times over, more savage than their own Mastiffs. Take notice, Orators and School-Masters; pluck, if you are wife, this Elegant Flower, which Salmasius is so very fond of: Commit this Flourish of a Man, that is so much a Mafter of Words, to your Desks for safe Custody, lest it be lost. Has your rage made you forget words to that degree, that like a Cuckoo, you must needs fay the fame thing over and over again? What strange thing has befallen you? The Poet tells us, that Spleen and Rage turn'd *Hecuba* into a Dog; and it has turn'd you, the Lord of St. Lupus, into a Cuckoo. Now you come out with fresh Contradictions. You had faid before, pag. 113. That Princes were not bound by any Laws, neither Coercive, nor Directory; that they were bound by no Law at all. Now you fay, That you will discourse by and by of the difference betwint some Kings and others, in point of Power; some having had more, some less. You say, You will prove that Kings cannot be judged, nor condemned by their own Subjects, by a most solid Argument; but you do it by a very filly one, and 'tis this: You fay, There was no other difference than that betwixt the Judges, and the Kings of the Jews; and yet the reason why the Jews required to have Kings over them, was because they were weary of their Judges, and hated their Government. Do you think, that, because they might judge and condemn their Judges, if they mifbehaved themselves in the Government, they therfore hated and were weary of them, and would be under Kings, whom they should have no Power to restrain and keep within Bounds, tho' they should break through all Laws? Who but you ever argued so childishly? So that they defired a King for fome other reason, than that they might have a Master over them, whose Power should be superior to that of the Law; which reason, what it was, it is not to our present purpose to make a Conjecture. Whatever it was, both God and his Prophets tells us, it was no piece of prudence in the People to defire a King. And now you fall foul upon your Rabbins, and are very angry with them for faying, That a King might be judged and condemned to undergo Stripes; out of whose Writings you said before you had proved that the Kings of the Jews could not be judged. Wherin you confess, that you told a Lye when you faid you had proved any fuch thing out of their Writings.

in answer to Salmasius's Defence of the King.

Writings. Nay, you come at last to forget the Subject you were upon, of writing in the King's Desence, and raise little impertinent Controversies about some mon's Stables, and how may Stalls he had for his Horses. Then of a Jockey you become a Ballad-singer again, or rather, as I said before, a raving distracted Cuckoo. You complain, That in these latter Ages, Discipline has been more remiss, and the Rule less observed and kept up to; viz. because one Tyrant is not permitted, without a Check from the Law, to let loose the Reins of all Discipline, and corrupt all Mens manners. This Doctrine, you say, the Brownists introduced amongst those of the Resorm'd Religion; so that Luther, Calvin, Zwinglius, Bucer, and all the most Celebrated Orthodox Divines are Brownists in your Opinion. The English have the less reason to take your Reproaches ill, because they hear you belching out the same Slanders against the most eminent Doctors of the Church, and in effect against the whole Resormed Church it felf.

CHAP. VI.

Fter having discours'd upon the Law of God and of Nature, and handled both fo untowardly, that you have got nothing by the bargain but a deterved reproach of ignorance and knavery; I cannot apprehend what you can have farther to alledge in defence of your Royal Caufe, but meer trifles. I for my part hope I have given fatisfaction already to all good and learned men, and done this Noble Caufe right, should I break off here; yet lest I should seem to any to decline your variety of arguing and ingenuity, rather than your immoderate impertinence, and tittle-tattle, I'll follow you where-ever you have a mind to go; but with fuch brevity as shall make it appear, that after having perform'd whatever the necessary defence of the Cause required, if not what the dignity of it merited, I now do but comply with some mens expectation, if not their curiosity. Now, say you, I shall alledge other and greater Arguments. What! greater Arguments than what the Law of God and Nature afforded? Help Lucina! The Mountain Salmafius is in labour! It is not for nothing that he has got a She-Husband. Mortals expect some extraordinary Birth. If he that is, end is called a King, might be accused before any other Power, that Power must of necessity be greater than that of the King, and if so, then must that Power be indeed the Kingly Power, and ought to have the name of it: For a Kingly Power is thus defined; to wit, the Supreme Power in the State refiding in a fingle Person, and which has no superior. O ridiculous Birth! a Mouse crept out of the Mountain! Help Grammarians! one of your number is in danger of perithing! The Law of God and of Nature are fafe; but Salmafius's Dictionary is undone. What if I should answer you thus? That words ought to give place to things; that we having taken away Kingly Government it felf, do not think our felves concerned about its name, and definition; let others look to that, who are in love with Kings: We are contented with the enjoyment of our Liberty; fuch an answer would be good enough for you. But to let you fee that I deal fairly with you throughout, I will answer you, not only from my own, but from the opinion of very wife and good men, who have thought that the Name and Power of a King are very confiftent with a Power in the People and the Law, superior to that of the King himfelf. In the first place Lyeurgus, a man very eminent for wisdom, defigning, as *Plato* fays, to fecure a Kingly Government as well as it was possible, could find no better expedient to preferve it, than by making the Power of the Senate, and of the Ephori, that is, the Power of the People, superior to it. Thefeus, in Euripides, King of Athens, was of the same opinion; for he to his great honour restored the People to their Liberty, and advanced the Power of the People above that of the King, and yet left the Regal Power in that City to his Posterity. Whence Euripides in his Play called the Suppliants, introduces him fpeaking on this manner: 'I have advanced the People themselves into the Throne, having freed the City from Slavery, and admitted the People to a 's share in the Government, by giving them an equal right of Suffrage.' And in another place to the Herald of Thebes, 'In the first place, favs be, you begin 'your Speech, Friend, with a thing that is not true, in fliling me a Monarch;

for this City is not governed by a fingle Person, but is a Free State; the People reigns here.' These were his words, when at the same time he was both called, and really was King there. The Divine Plato likewise in his eighth Epistle, Lvcurgus, fays he, introduced the Power of the Senate and of the Ephori, athing very preservative of Kingly Government, which by this means has honourably flourished for to many Ages, because the Law in effett was made King. Now the Law cannot be King. unless there be some, who, if there should be occasion, may put the Law in execution against the King. A Kingly Government so bounded and limited, he himself commends to the Sicilians: 'Let the People enjoy their Liberty under a 'Kingly Government; let the King himfelf be accountable; let the Law take ' place even against Kings themselves, if they act contray to Law.' Aristotle likewise in the third Book of his Politics, 'Of all Kingdoms, fays be, that are goe vern'd by Laws, that of the Lacedemonians seems to be most truly and properly so. And he fays, all Forms of Kingly Governments are according to fettled and establish'd Laws, but one, which he calls παμβασιλεία, or Absolute Monarchy, which he does not mention ever to have obtain'd in any Nation. So that Ariflotle thought fuch a Kingdom, as that of the Lacedemonians was, to be and deferve the name of a Kingdom more properly than any other; and confequently that a King, the' fubordinate to his own People, was nevertheless actually a King, and properly fo called. Now fince fo many and fo great Authors affert that a Kingly Government both in name and thing may very well fubfift even where the People, tho' they do not ordinarily exercise the Supreme Power, yet have it actually residing in them, and exercise it upon occasion; be not you of so mean a Soul as to fear the downfall of Grammar, and the confusion of the fignification of words to that degree, as to betray the Liberty of Mankind, and the State, rather than your Gloffary should not hold water. And know for the future, that words must be conformable to things, not things to words. By this means you'll have more wit, and not run on in infinitum, which now you're afraid of. It was to no purpose then for Seneca, you say, to describe those three Forms of Government, as be has done. Let Seneca do a thing to no purpose, so we enjoy our Liberty. And if I mistake us not, we are other fort of Men than to be enslav'd by Seneca's Flowers. And yet Seneca, tho' he fays that the Sovereign Power in a Kingly Government refides in a fingle Person, says withal that the Power is the People's, and by them committed to the King for the welfare of the whole, not for their ruin and destruction; and that the People has not given him a propriety in it, but the use of it. Kings at this rate, you say, do not reign by God, but by the People. As if God did not so over-rule the People, that they set up such Kings, as it pleases God. Since Justinian himself openly acknowledges, that the Roman Emperors derived their Authority from that Royal Law, wherby the People granted to them and vested in them all their own Power and Authority. But how oft shall we repeat these things over and over again? Then you take upon you to intermeddle with the Conflitution of our Government, in which you are no way concerned, who are both a Stranger and a Foreigner; but it shows your fauciness, and want of good manners. Come then, let us hear your Solœcifms, like a bufy Coxcomb as you are. You tell us, but 'tis in false Latin, that what those Desperadoes say is only to deceive the People. You Rascal! was it not for this that you a Renegado Grammarian, were fo forward to intermeddle with the Affairs of our Government, that you might introduce your Solœcifms and Barbarifms amongst us? But fay, How have we deceiv'd the People? The Form of Government which they have set up, is not Popular, but Military. This is what that herd of Fugitives and Vagabonds hired you to write. So that I shall not trouble my felf to answer you, who bleat what you know nothing of, but I'll answer them that hired you. Who excluded the Lords from Parlament, was it the People? Ay, it was the People; and in fo doing they threw an intolerable Yoke of Slavery from off their necks. Those very Soldiers, who you say did it, were not Foreigners, but our own Country-men, and a great part of the People; and they did it with the consent, and at the defire of almost all the rest of the People, and not without the Authority of the Parlament neither. Was it the People that cut off part of the House of Commons, forcing some away? &c. Yes, I say, it was the People. For whatever the better and founder part of the Senate did, in which the true power of the People refided, why may not the People be faid to have done it? What if the greater part of the Senate should chuse to be Slaves, or to expose the Govern-

in answer to Salmasius's Defence of the King.

ment to fale, ought not the leffer number to interpose, and endeavour to retain their Liberty, if it be in their power? But the Officers of the Army and their Soldiers did it. And we are beholden to those Officers for not being wanting to the State, but repelling the tumultuary violence of the Citizens and Mechanics of London, who like that Rabble that appear'd for Clodius, had but a little before beset the very Parlament-House? Do you therfore call the right of the Parlament, to whom it properly and originally belongs to take care of the Liberty of the People both in Peace and War, a Military Power? But 'tis no wonder that those Traytors that have dictated these passages to you, should talk at that rate; so that profligate faction of Antony and his adherents used to call the Senate of Rome, when they armed themselves against the Enemies of their Country, The Camp of Pompey. And now I'm glad to understand that they of your party envy Cromwell, that most valiant General of our Army, for undertaking that Expedition in Ireland, (fo acceptable to Almighty God) furrounded with a joyful croud of his Friends, and profecuted with the well-wifnes of the people, and the prayers of all good men: For I question not but at the news of his many Victories there, they are by this time burst with spleen. I pass by many of your impertinencies concerning the Roman Soldiers. What follows is most notoriously false: The power of the people, say you, ceases where there is a King. Law or Right is that? Since it is known that almost all Kings, of what Nations foever, received their Authority from the people upon certain conditions; which if the King do not perform, I wish you would inform us, why that Power, which was but a truft, should not return to the people, as well from a King, as from a Conful, or any other Magistrate. For when you tell us, that 'tis necessary for the Public Safety, you do but trifle with us; for the fafety of the Public is equally concerned, whether it be from a King, or from a Senate, or from a Triumvirate, that the power wherewith they were entrusted, reverts to the people, upon their abuse of it; and yet you your self grant that it may so revert from all forts of Magistrates, a King only excepted. Certainly, if no people in their right wits ever committed the Government either to a King, or other Magistrates, for any other purpose than for the common good of them all, there can be no reason why, to prevent the utter ruin of them all, they may not as well. take it back again from a King, as from other Governors; nay, and it may with far greater ease be taken from one, than from many. And to invest any mortal creature with a power over themfelves, on any other terms than upon trust, were extreme madness; nor is it credible that any people since the Creation of the World, who had freedom of will, were ever so miserably filly, as either to part with the power for ever, and to all purposes, or to revoke it from those whom they had entrusted with it, but upon most urgent and weighty reafons. If Diffensions, if Civil Wars, are occasioned therby, there cannot any Right accrue from thence to the King, to retain that power by force of arms, which the people challenge from him as their own. Whence it follogs that what you fay, and we do not deny, That Governors are not lightly to be changed, is true with respect to the People's Prudence, not the King's Right; but that therfore they ought never to be changed, upon no occasion whatfoever, that does not follow by no means; nor have you hitherto alledged any thing, or made appear any Right of Kings to the contrary, but that all the people concurring, they may lawfully be deposed, when unfit for Government; provided it may be done, as it has been often done in your own Country of France, without any Tumults or Civil Wars. Since therfore the Safety of the People, and not that of a Tyrant, is the Supreme Law; and confequently ought to be alledged on the People's behalf against a Tyrant, and not for him against them: you that go about to pervert so facred and so gloriousa Law, with your sallacies and jugglings; you who would have this Supreme Law, and which of all others is most beneficial to Mankind, to serve only for the Impunity of Tyrants; let me tell you (since you call us Englishmen fo often inspired, and Enthusiasts, and Prophets) let me, I say, be so far a Prophet, as to tell you, That the Vengeance of God and Man hangs over your head for fo horrid a Crime; altho' your fubjecting all Mankind to Tyranny, as far as in you lies, which in effect is no better than condemning them to be devoured by wild Beafts, is in it felf part of its own Vengeance; and whitherfoever you fly, and wherefoever you wander, will first or last pursue you with its Furies, and overtake you, and cause you to rave worse than you do at pre-

A Defence of the People of England,

I come now to your fecond Argument, which is not unlike the first: If People may resume their Liberty, there would be no difference, say you, betwist Popular State and a Kingdom; but that in a Kingdom one Man rules, and in a Popular State many. And what if that were true; would the State have any prejudice by it? But you your felf tell us of other differences that would be not-withstanding; to wit, of Time and Succession; for in popular States, the Magifirates are generally chosen yearly; wheras Kings, if they behave themselves well, are perpetual; and in most Kingdoms there is a Succession in the same Family. But let them differ from one another, or not differ, I regard not those petty things: In this they agree, that when the Public Good requires it, the People may without doing injury to any, refume that Power for the Public Safety, which they committed to another for that end and purpose. But according to the Royal Law, by the Romans so called, which is mentioned in the Institutes, the People of Rome granted all their Power and Authority to the Prince. They did to by compulfion; the Emperor being willing to ratify their Tyranny by the Authority of a Law. But of this we have spoken before; and their own Lawyers, commenting upon this place in the Institutes, confess as much. So that we make no question but the People may revoke what they were forced to grant, and granted against their wills. But most rational it is to suppose, that the People of Rome transferred no other Power to the Prince, than they had before granted to their own Magistrates; and that was a power to govern according to Law, and a revocable, not an absurd, tyrannical power. Hence it was, that the Emperors assumed the Confular Dignity, and that of the Tribunes of the People; but after Julius Casar, not one of them pretended to the Dictatorship: In the Circus Maximus they used to adore the People, as I have said already out of Tacitus and Claudian. But as heretofere many private persons have sold themselves into Slavery, so a whole Nation may. Thou Goal-bird of a Knight, thou Day-spirit, thou everlasting scandal to thy Native Country! The most despicable Slaves in the world ought to abhor and fpit upon fuch a Factor for Slavery, fuch a public Pander as thou art. Certainly if people had so enflaved themselves to Kings, then might Kings turn them over to other Masters, or fell them for Money, and yet we know that Kings cannot fo much as alienate the Demefnes of the Crown: And sha'l he, that has but the Crown, and the Revenues that belong to it, as an Ufufructuary, and those given him by the People, can he be faid to have, as it were, purchased the People, and made them his Propriety? Tho' you were bored through both ears, and went barefoot, you would not be fo vile and despicable, so much more contemptible than all Slaves, as the broaching such a scandalous Doctrine as this makes you. But go on, and punish your felf for your Rogueries as now you do, tho' against your will. You frame a long Discourse of the Law of War; which is nothing to the purpose in this place: For neither did Charles conquerus; and for his Ancestors, if it were never so much granted that they did, yet have they often renounced their Title as Conquerors. And certain it is, That we were never fo conquered, but that as we fwore Allegiance to them, fo they fwore to maintain our Laws, and govern by them: Which Laws, when Charles had notoriously violated, taken in what capacity you will, as one who had formerly been a Conqueror or was now a perjured King, we subdued him by force, he himself having begun with us first. And according to your own opinion, Whatever is acquired by War, becomes his property that acquired it. So that how full foever you are of words, how impertinent soever a babbler, whatever you prate, how great a noise soever you make, what Quotations soever out of the Rabbins, tho' you make your self never so hearfe, to the end of this Chapter, affure your felf, That nothing of it makes for the King, he being now conquered, but all for us, who by God's affiftance are Conquerors.

CHAP. VII.

O avoid two very great inconveniences, and, confidering your own weight, very weighty ones indeed, you denied in the foregoing Chapter, That the People's Power was superior to that of the King; for if that should be granted, Kings must provide themselves of some other name, because the People would indeed be King, and some divisions in your System of Politics would be confounded: the first of which inconveniences would thwart with your Dictionary, and the latter overthrow your Politics. To these I have given fuch an answer as shows, That tho' our own Safety and Liberty were the principal things I aimed the preservation of, yet withal, I had some consideration of salving your Dictionary, and your Politics. Now, say you, I will prove by other arguments, That a King cannot be judged by his own Subjects; of which Arguments this shall be the greatest and most convincing, That a King has no Peer in his Kingdom. What? Can a King have no Peer in his Kingdom? What then is the meaning of those Twelve Ancient Peers of the Kings of France? Are they Fables and Tri-fles? Are they called so in vain, and in mock only? Have a care how you afficult these Principal Man after the Control of Principal Ma front those Principal Men of that Kingdom: Who if they are not the King's Peers, as they are called, I am afraid your Dictionary, which is the only thing you are concerned for, will be found more faulty in France, than in England. But go to, let's hear your demonstration, that a King has no Peer in his own Kingdom. Breause, say you, the People of Rome, when they had banished their King, appointed not one, but two Confuls; and the reason was, That if one of them should transgress the Laws, his Collegue might be a check to him. There could hardly have been devifed any thing more filly: How came it to pass then, that but one of the Confuls had the bundles of Rods carried before him, and not both, if two were appointed, that each might have a Power over the other? And what if both had conspired against the Commonwealth? Would not the Case then be the very fame that it would have been, if one Conful only had been appointed without a Collegue? But we know very well, that both Confuls, and all other Magistrates were bound to obey the Senate, whenever the Senate and the People faw, that the Interest of the Commonwealth so required. a famous instance of that in the Decemvirs, who tho' they were invested with the Power of Confuls, and were the chief Magistrates, yet the Authority of the Senate reduced them all, tho' they struggled to retain their Government. Nay, we read that some Confuls before they were out of Office, have been declared Enemies, and Arms been taken up against them; for in those days no man looked upon him as a Conful, who acted as an Enemy. So War was waged against Anteny, tho' a Consul, by Authority of the Senate; in which being worsted, he would have been put to death, but that Octovius, assecting the Empire, fided with him to subvert the Commonwealth. Now whereas you fay, That it is a property peculiar to Kingly Majesty, that the Power resides in a single perfon; that's but a loose expression, like the rest of what you say, and is contradicted by your self a little after: For the Hebrew Judges, you say, ruled as long as they lived, and there was but one of them at a time: The Scripture also calls them Kings; and yet they were accountable to the great Council. Thus we see, That an itch of Vain-glory, in being thought to have faid all that can be faid, makes you hardly fay any thing but contradictions. Then I ask, what kind of Government that was in the Roman Empire, when sometimes two, sometimes three Emperors, reign'd all at once? Do you reckon them to have been Emperors. rors, that is, Kings, or was it an Arifectaey, or a Triumvirate? Or will you deny, that the Roman Empire under Antoninus and Vorus, under Dioclefian and Maximian, under Constantine and Licinius, was still but one entire Empire? If these Princes were not Kings, your three Forms of Government will hardly hold; if they were, then it is not an essential Property of a Kingly Government, to refide in a fingle person. If one of these offend, say you, then may the other refer the matter to the Senate, or the People, where he may be accused and condenned. And does not the Senate and the People then judge, when the matter is so referred to them? So that if you will give any credit to your felf, there needs not one Collegue to judge another. Such a miferable Advocate as you, Vol. I. Uuu if

if you were not fo wretched a fellow as you are, would deferve compassion; you lie every way so open to blows, that if one were minded for iport's lake to make a Pafs at any part of you, he could hardly mits, let him aim where he would. Tis ridiculous, say you, to imagine, That a King will ever appoint Judges to condemn bimself. But I can tell you of an Emperor, that was no ridiculous perfor, but an Excellent Prince, and that was Trajan, who when he delivered a Dagger to a certain Roman Magistrate, as the custom was, that being the badge of his Office, frequently thus admonished him, 'Take this Sword, and use it for 'me, if I do as I ought; if otherwise, against me: for Miscarriages in the Su-'preme Magistrate are less excusable.' This Dion and Aurelius Vittor say or him: You fee here, that a worthy Emperor appointed one to judge himfelt, tho' he did not make him equal. Tiberius perhaps might have faid as much our of Vanity and Hypocrify; but 'tis almost a crime to imagine that so good and vertuous a Prince as Trajan, did not really fpeak as he thought, and according to what he apprehended right and just. How much more reasonable was it that tho' he were superior to the Senate in power, and might if he would, have refused to yield them any obedience, yet he actually did obey them, as by virtue of his Office he ought to do, and acknowledged their Right in the Government to be supperior to his own? For so Pliny tells us in his Panegyric, ' The Senate 6 both defired and commanded you to be Conful a fourth time; you may know by the Obedience you pay them, that this is no word of Flattery, but of Power.' And a little after, 'This is the defign you aim at, to reftore our lost Liberty.' And Trajan was not of that mind alone; the Senate thought fo too, and were of opinion, That their Authority was indeed Supreme: For they that could command their Emperor, might judge him. So the Emperor Marcus Aurelius, when Cassius Governor of Syria endeavoured to get the Empire from him, referred himself either to the Senate, or the People of Rome, and declared himfelf ready to lay down the Government, if they would have it fo. Now how should a man determine of the Right of Kings better, and more truly, than out of the very mouths of the best of Kings? Indeed every good King accounts either the Senate, or the People, not only equal, but superior to himself by the Law of Nature. But a Tyrant being by nature inferior to all men, every one that is stronger than he, ought to be accounted not only his equal, but superior: For as heretofore nature taught men from Force and Violence to betake themfelves to Laws; fo wherever the Laws are fet at naught, the same dictate of nature must necessarily prompt us to betake our selves to Force again. 'To be of this opinion, Jays Cicero pro Sestio, is a sign of Wisdom; to put it in prac-'tice, argues Courage and Resolution; and to do both, is the effect of Vertue 'in its perfection.' Let this stand then as a fettled Maxim of the Law of Nature, never to be shaken by any Artifices of Flatterers, That the Senate, or the People, are fuperior to Kings, be they good or bad: Which is but what you your felf do in effect confess, when you tell us, That the Authority of Kings was derived from the People. For that power which they transferred to Princes, doth yet naturally, or as I may fay virtually refide in themselves notwithflanding: for fo natural causes that produce any effect by a certain eminency of operation, do always retain more of their own virtue and energy than they impart; nor do they by communicating to others, exhauft themselves. fee, the closer we keep to Nature, the more evidently does the People's Power appear to be above that of the Prince. And this is likewise certain, That the People do not freely, and of choice, fettle the Government in their King absolutely, fo as to give him a Propriety in it, nor by Nature can do fo; but only for the Public Safety and Liberty, which when the King ceases to take care of, then the People in effect have given him nothing at all: For Nature fays, the People gave it him to a particular end and purpose; which end, if neither Nature nor the People can attain, the People's Gift becomes no more valid, than any other void Covenant or Agreement. These Reafons prove very fully, That the People are superior to the King; and so your greatest and most convincing Argument, That a King cannot be judged by his People, because he has no Peer in his Kingdom, nor any Superior, falls to the ground. For you take that for granted, which we by no means allow. In a popular State, fay you, the Magistrates being appointed by the People, may likewise be punghed for their Crimes by the People: In an Aristocracy the Senators may be punished by their Collegues :

legues: But 'tis a prodigious thing to proceed criminally against a King in his own Kingdom, and make him plead for his Life. What can you conclude from hence, but that they who fet up Kings over them, are the most miserable and most filly People in the World? But, I pray, what's the reason why the People may not punish a King that becomes a Malefactor, as well as they may popular Magithrates and Senators in an Aristocracy? Do you think that all they who live under a Kingly Government, were to strangely in love with Slavery, as when they might be free, to chufe Vaffalage, and to put themselves all and entirely under the dominion of one man, who often happens to be an ill Man, and often a Fool, so as whatever cause might be, to leave themselves no refuge in, no relief from the Laws nor the Dictates of Nature, against the Tyranny of a most outragious Mafter, when fuch a one happens? Why do they then tender Conditions to their Kings, when they first enter upon their Government, and prefcribe Laws for them to govern by? Do they do this to be trampled upon the more, and be the more laughed to fcorn? Can it be imagined, that a whole People would ever so vility themselves, depart from their own interest to that degree, be so wanting to themselves, as to place all their hopes in one Man, and he very often the most vain Person of them all? To what end do they require an Oath of their Kings, not to act any thing contrary to Law? We must suppose them to do this, that (poor Creatures!) they may learn to their forrow, That Kings only may commit Perjury with impunity. This is what your own wicked Conclusions hold forth. If a King that is cleeled, promise any thing to his People upon Oath, which if he would not have fworn to, perhaps they would not bave chose him, yet if he refuse to perform that promise, he falls not under the People's confure. Nay, the he fivear to his Subjects at his Election, That he will administer Justice to them according to the Laws of the Kingdom; and that if he do not, they shall be discharged of their Allegiance, and himself ipso sacto cease to be their King, yet if he break this Oath, 'tis God and not Man that must require it of him. I have transcribed these lines, not for their Elegance, for they are barbarously expresfed; nor because I think there needs any answer to them, for they answer themfelves, they explode and damn themselves by their notorious salshood and loathfomness: but I did it to recommend you to Kings for your great Merits; that among fo many places as there are at Court, they may put you into some Preferment or Office that may be fit for you. Some are Princes Secretaries, some their Capitearers, some Masters of the Revels: I think you had best be Master of the Perjuries to some of them. You shan't be Matter of the Ceremonies, you are too much a Clown for that; but their Treachery and Perfidiousness shall be under your care. But that Men may see that you are both a Fool and a Knave to the highest degree, let us consider these last affertions of yours a little more narrowly; A King, say you, the he fwear to his Subjects at his Election, that he will govern according to Law, and that if he do not, they shall be discharged of their Allegiance, and he himself ipso facto cease to be their King; yet can he not be depoted or punished by them. Why not a King, I pray, as well as popular Magistrates? because in a popular State, the People do not transfer all their Power to the Magistrates. And do they in the Case that you have put, vest it all in the King, when they place him in the Government upon those terms exprefly, to hold it no longer than he uses it well? Therefore it is evident, that a King fworn to observe the Laws, if he transgress them, may be punished and deposed, as well as popular Magistrates. So that you can make no more use of that invincible Argument of the People's transferring all their Right and Power to the Prince; you your felf have battered it down with your own Engines. Hear now another mest powerful and invincible Argument of his, why Subjects cannot judge their Kings, because he is bound by no Law, being himself the sole Lawgiver. Which having been proved already to be most salte, this great reafon comes to nothing, as well as the former. But the reason why Princes have but feldom been proceeded against for personal and private Crimes, as Whoredom, and Adultery, and the like, is not because they could not justly be punished even for such, but lest the People should receive more prejudice through diffurbances that might be occasioned by the King's death, and the change of Affairs, than they would be profited by the punishment of one Man or two. But when they begin to be univerfally injurious and infufferable, it has always been the Opinion of all Nations, that then, being Tyrants, it is lawful to put Vol. I.

Un n 2 them

them to death any how, condemn'd or uncondemn'd. Hence Cicero in his Second Philippic, fays thus of those that kill'd Cafar, 'They were the first that ran through with their Swords, not a Man who affected to be King, but who was actually fettled in the Government; which, as it was a worthy and godlike Action, so it's fet before us for our imitation.' How unlike are you to him! Murder, Adultery, Injuries, are not regal and public, but private and persona' Crimes. Well faid, Parafite! you have obliged all Pimps and Profligates in Courts by this Expression. How ingeniously do you act, both the Parasite, and the Fimp, with the fame breath? A King that is an Adulterer, or a Murderer, may yet govern well, and confequently ought not to be put to death, because together with his Life be nust lose his Kingdom; and it was never yet allowed by God's Laws, or Man's, that for one and the same Crime, a Man was to be punished twice. Infamous foul mouth Wretch! By the same reason the Magistrates in a popular State, or in an Arifloeracy, ought never to be put to death, for fear of double Punishment; no Judge, no Senator must die, for they must lose their Magistracy too, as well as their Lives. As you have endeavoured to take all Power out of the People's hands, and west it in the King, so you would all Majesty too: A delegated translatitious Majetty we allow, but that Majesty does chiefly and primarily reside in him, you can no more prove, than you can, that Power and Authority does. A King, you say, cannot commit Treason against his People, but a People may against their King. And yet a King is what he is for the People only, not the People for him. Hence I infer, that the whole Body of the People, or the greater part of them, must needs have greater Power than the King. This you deny, and begin to cast up accounts. He is of greater Power than any one, than any two, than any three, than any ten, than any hundred, than any thousand, than any ten thousand: be it so, He is of more Power than half the People. I will not deny that neither; Add now half of the other half, will be not have more Power than all those? Not at all. Go on, why do you take away the Board? Do you not understand Progression in Arithmetic? He begins to reckon after another manner. Has not the King, and the Nobility together, more Power? No, Mr. Changeling, I deny that too. If by the Nobility, whom you stile Optimates, you mean the Peers only; for it may happen, that amongst the whole number of them, there may not be one Man deferving that Appellation: for it often falls out, that there are better and wifer Men than they amongst the Commons, whom in conjunction with the greater, or the better part of the People, I should not scruple to call by the Name of, and take them for all the People. But if the King is not superior in Power to all the People together, he is then a King but of fingle Persons, he is not the King of the whole Body of the People. You fay well, no more he is, unless they are content he should be so. Now, ballance your Accounts, and you will find that by miscatting, you have lost your Principal. The English say, that the Right of Majesty originally and principally resides in the People; which Principle would introduce a Confusion of all States. What, of an Aristocracy and Democracy? But let that pass. What if it should overthrow a Gynacocracy too? (i. e. a Government of one or more Women) under which State or Form of Government, they fay, you are in danger of being beaten at home; would not the English do you a kindness in that, you sheepish Fellow, you? But there's no hope of that. For 'tis most justly so ordered, since you would subject all Mankind to Tyranny abroad, that you your self should live in a feandalous most unmanlike Slavery at home. We must tell you, you say, what ave mean by the sword People. There are a great many other things, which you fland more in need of being told: For of things that more immediately concern you, you feem altogether ignorant, and never to have learnt any thing but Words and Letters, nor to be capable of any thing else. But this you rhink you know, that by the word People, we mean the Common People only, exclulive of the Nobility, because we have put down the House of Lords. And yet that very thing shows, that under the word People, we comprehend all our Natives, of what Order and Degree soever; in that we have fettled one Supreme Senate only, in which the Nobility alfo, as a part of the People (not in their own Right, as they did before; but reprefenting those Boroughs or Counties, for which they may be chose) may give their Votes. Then you inveigh against the common People, as being blind and brutish, ignorant of the art of governing; you say there's nothing more empty, more vain, more inconstant, more uncertain than they. All which is very true of your felt, and it's true likewife of the Rabble, but not

of the middle fort, amongst whom the most prodest Men, and most in this Arfairs are generally found; others are most commonly diverted element of Lexico, and Plenty, or by Want and Poverty, from Vertue, and the fluty of Laws and Government. There are many ways, you say, is well I knys comment to Create, or as not to be beholden to the People at ailfor it; and especially, it will inverte a Kingdom. But those Nations must certainly be Slaves, and born to Slavery, that acknowledge any one to be their Lord and Maiter to abfolitely, as that they are his inheritance, and come to him by defeent, without any confent of their own; they deferve not the Appellation of Subjects, nor of Fre men, nor can they be justly reputed such; nor are they to be accounted as a Civil Society, but must be looked on as the Possessions and Estate of their Lord, and his Family: For I see no difference as to the Right of Ownership betwixt them, and Slaves, or Beasts. Secondly, They that come to the Crown by Conquest, cannot acknowledge themselves to bave received from the People the Power they ujurp. We are not now discoursing of a Conqueror, but of a conquered King; what a Conqueror may lawfully do, we'll discourie elsewhere; do you keep to your Subject. But whereas you ascribe to Kings that ancient Right that Masters of Families have over their Houtholds, and take an example from thence of their Absolute Power; I have shown already over and over, that there is no likeness at all betwixt them. And dristatle (whom you name so often) if you had read him, would have taught you as much in the beginning of his Politics, where he fays they judge amifs that think there is but little difference betwixt a King, and a Mafter of a Family: For that there is not a numerical, but a specifical difference between a Kingdom and a Family. For when Villages grew to be Towns and Cities, that Regal Domestic Right vanished by degrees, and was no more owned. Hence Diederus in his first Book fays, That anciently Kingdoms were transmitted not to the former Kings Sons, but to those that had best described of the People. And Justin, Oligi-' nally, fays be, the Government of Nations, and of Countries, was by King, ' who were exalted to that height of Majesty, not by popular Ambition, but for their Moderation which commended them to good Men.' Whence it is manifest, that in the very beginning of Nations, that Fatherly and Hereditary Government gave way to Vertue, and the People's Right: Which is the most natural reason and cause, and was the true rise of Kingly Government. For at lirit, Men entred into Societies, not that any one might infult over all the rest, but that in case any should injure other, there might be Laws and Judges to protect them from wrong, or at least to punish the wrong doers. When Men were at first dispers'd and scattered asunder, some wise and eloquent Man perswaded them to enter into Civil Societies; that he himself, say you, might exercise Dominion over them, when so united. Perhaps you meant this of Nimrod, who is said to have been the first Tyrant. Or else it proceeds from your own malice only, and certainly it cannot have been true of those great and generous-spirited Men, but is a Fiction of your own, not warranted by any Authority that I ever heard of. For all ancient Writers tell us, that those first Instituters of Communities of Men, had a regard to the good and fafety of Mankind only, and not to any private advantages of their own, or to make themselves great or powerful. One thing I cannot pass by, which I suppose you intended for an Emblem, to let off the rest of this Chapter: If a Consul, say you, had been to be accused before his Magi-stracy expired, there must have been a Dietator created for that purpose; the you had faid before, that for that very reason there were two of them. Just so your Positions always agree with one another, and almost every Page declares how weak and frivolous whatever you fay or write upon any Subject, is. Under the ancient English Saxon Kings, you fay, the People were never called to Parlaments. It any of our own Countrymen had afferted fuch a thing, I could eafily have convinced him that he was in an error. But I am not fo much concerned at your miftaking our Afrairs, because y'are a Foreigner. This in effect is all you say of the Right of Kings in general. Many other things I omit, for you use many Digressions, and put things down that either have no ground at all, or are nothing to the purpote, and my defign is not to vie with you in Impertinence.

CHAP. VIII.

F you had published your own opinion, Salmafius, concerning the Right of Kings in general, without affronting any Persons in particular, notwithstanding this alteration of Affairs in England, as long as you did but use your own liberty in writing what your felf thought fit, no Englishman could have had any cause to have been displeased with you, nor would you have made good the opinion you maintain ever a whit the lefs. For if it be a positive Command both of Moses and of Christ himself, That all Men what soever, whether Spaniards, French, Italians, Germans, English or Scotch, should be subject to their Princes, be they good er bad, which you afferted, pag. 127. to what purpose was it for you, who are a Foreigner and unknown to us, to be tampering with our Laws, and to read us Lectures out of them as out of your own Papers and Miscellanies, which, be they how they will, you have taught us already in a great many words, that they ought to give way to the Laws of God? But now it is apparent, that you have undertaken the defence of this Royal Caufe, not fo much out of your own inclination, as partly because you were hired, and that at a good round price too, confidering how things are with him that fet you on work; and partly, 'tis like, out of expectation of fome greater reward hereafter; to publish a scandalous Libel against the English, who are injurious to none of their Neighbours, and meddle with their own matters only. If there were no fuch thing as that in the case, is it credible that any Man should be so impudent or so mad, as tho' he be a stranger, and at a great distance from us, yet of his own accord to intermeddle with our Affairs, and side with a Party? What the devil, is it to you what the English do amongst themselves? What would you have, Pragmatical Puppy? What would you be at? Have you no concerns of your own at home? I wish you had the same concerns that that samous Olus, your fellow busy-body in the Epigram, had; and perhaps so you have; you deserve them, I'm sure. Or did that Hotspur your Wife, who encouraged you to write what you have done for out-law'd Charles's fake, promife you some profitable Professor's place in England, and God knows what Gratifications at Charles's Return? But affure your felves, my Mistress and my Master, that England admits neither of Wolves, nor Owners of Wolves: So that it's no wonder you spit so much Venom at our English Mastiss. It were better for you to return to those Illustrious Titles * St. Lou, in of yours in France; first to that hunger-starved Lordship of yours at * St. Lou; Latin, Sanctus and in the next place to the Sacred Confiftory of the most Christian King. Being Lupus, Saint Wolf, is the a Counfellor to the Prince, you are at too great a distance from your own Counname of a flace try. But I fee full well that she neither defires you, nor your Counsel; nor did in France, it appear she did, when you were there a few years ago, and began to lick a a there Salma-Cardinal's Trencher; she's in the right, by my troth, and can very willingly small Estate, suffer such a little Fellow as you, that are but one half of a Man, to run up and end was onledown with your Mistress of a Wife, and your Desks full of Trisles and Fooleries, Life from St till you light somewhere or other upon a Stipend, large enough for a Knight of Lupus a Gerthe Grammar, or an Illustrious Critic on horseback; if any Prince or State To real St. has a mind to hire a Vagabond Doctor, that is to be fold at a good round Price. German came But here's one that will bid for you; whether you're a Merchantable Commowinto Eng-dity or not, and what you are worth, we shall see by and by. You say, The Dom. 429. Parricides affert, that the Government of England is not meerly Kingly, but that it is a mixt Government. Sir Thomas Smith, a Country-man of ours in Edward the Sixth's days, a good Lawyer, and a Statefman, one whom you your felf will not call a Parricide, in the beginning of a Book which he wrote of the Commonevealth of England, afferts the same thing, and not of our Government only, but of almost all others in the World, and that out of Aristotle; and he fays it

> is not possible that any Government should otherwise subsist. But as if you thought it a crime to fay any thing, and not unfay it again, you repeat your

> Nation that did not understand by the very name of a King, a Person subost authority is inferior to God alone, and who is accountable to no other. And yet a little after you

confess, that the name of a King was formerly given to such Powers and Magistrates, as had not a full and absolute right of themselves, but had a dependance upon the Pec-

former threadbare Contradictions.

You fay, There neither is nor-ever was any

ple, as the Suffetes among the Carthaginians, the Hebrew Judges, the Kings of the Lacedemonians, and of Arragon. Are you not very confittent with yourfelf? Then you reckon up five feveral forts of Monarchies out of Ariffotle; in one of which only that Right obtain'd, which you fay is common to all Kings. Concerning which I have faid already more than once, that neither doth Ariffolle give an instance of any such Monarchy, nor was there ever any such in being; the other four he clearly demonstrates that they were bounded by Establish'd Laws, and the King's Power subject to those Laws. The first of which four was that of the Lacedemonians, which in his opinion did of all others best deserve the name of a Kingdom. The fecond was fuch as obtain'd among Barbarians, which was lasting, because regulated by Laws, and because the People willingly fubmitted to it; whereas by the fame Author's opinion in his third Book, what King foever retains the Sovereignty against the Pcople's will, is no longer to be accounted a King, but a downright Tyrant; all which is true likewise of his third fort of Kings, which he calls Afymnetes, who were chosen by the People, and most commonly for a certain time only, and for some particular purposes, such as the Roman Dictators were. The fourth fort he makes of such as reigned in the Heroical days, upon whom for their extraordinary merits the People of their own accord conferr'd the Government, but yet bounded by Laws; nor could these retain the Sovereignty against the will of the People: nor do these four forts of Kingly Governments differ, he says, from Tyranny in any thing elfe, but only in that these Governments are with the good liking of the People, and That against their will. The fifth fort of Kingly Government, which he calls παμβασίλεια, or absolute Monarchy, in which the Suprense Power refides in the King's Person, which you pretend to be the right of all Kings, is utterly condemn'd by the Philosopher, as neither for the good of Mankind, nor confonant to Juffice or Nature, unless some People should be content to live under fuch a Government, and withal confer it upon fuch as excel all others in vertue. These things any man may read in the third Book of his Politics. But you, I believe, that once in your life you might appear witty and florid, pleased your felf with making a comparison betwint these sive sorts of Kingly Government, and the five Zones of the World; betwint the two entremes of Kingly power, there are three more temperate Species interposed, as there lie three Zones betwixt the Torrid and the Frigid. Pretty Rogue! what ingenious comparifons he always makes us! May you for ever be banished, whither you your felf condemn an Absolute Kingdom to be, that is, to the frigid Zone, which when you are there, will be doubly cold to what it was before. In the mean while we shall expect that new-fashioned sphere which you describe, from you our modern Archimedes, in which there shall be two extreme Zones, one Torrid, and the other Frigid, and three temperate ones lying betwixt. The Kings of the Lacedemonians, you say, might lawfully be imprisoned, but it was not lawful to put them to death. Why not? Because the Ministers of Justice, and some Foreign Soldiers, being furprised at the Novelty of the thing, thought it not lawful to lead Agis to his Execution, though condemn'd to die? And the People of Lacedemon, were difpleafed at his death, not because condemn'd to die, though a King, but becaute he was a good man and popular, and had been circumvented by a Faction of the great ones. Says Plutarch, "Agis was the first King that was put to death "by the Ephori;" in which words he does not pretend to tell us what lawfully might be done, but what actually was done. For to imagine that fuch as may lawfully accuse a King, and imprison him, may not also lawfully put him to death, is a childish conceit. At last you betake your self to give an account of the Right of English Kings. There never was, you say, but one King in England. This you fay, because you had faid before, that unless a King be sole in the Government, he cannot be a King. Which if it be true, some of them, who I had thought had been Kings of England, were not really fo; for to omit many of our Saxon Kings, who had either their Sons, or their Brothers Partners with them in the Government, it is known that King Henry II. of the Norman Race, reign'd together with his Son. Let them shew, fay you, a Precedent of any Kingdom under the Government of a fingle person, who has not an absolute power; though in some Kingdoms more remiss, in others more intense. Do you show any Power that's absolute, and yet remiss, you Ass; is not that power that's absolute, the Supreme Power of all? How can it then be both supreme and remiss? Whatsoever Kings you shall

acknowledge to be invested with a remifs (or a lefs) power, those I will easily make appear to have no absolute power; and consequently to be inferior to a People, free by nature, who is both its own Law-giver, and can make the Regal Power more or lefs intense or remiss; that is, greater or less. Whether the whole Island of *Britain* was anciently governed by Kings, or no, is uncertain. It's most likely that the Form of their Government changed according to the Exigencies of the Times. Whence Tacitus fays, The Britains anciently were under Kings; now the great Men amongst them divide them into Parties and Factions. When the Romans left them, they were about forty years without Kirgs; they were not always therefore under a Kingly Government, as you fay they were. But when they were fo, that the Kingdom was Hereditary, I positively deny; which that it was not, is evident both from the Series of their Kings, and their way of creating them: for the confent of the People is asked in express words. When the King has taken the accustomed Oath, the Archbishop stepping to every side of the Stage erected for that purpose, asks the People four several times in these words, Do you confent to have this Man to be your King? Just as if he spoke to them in the Roman Stile, Vultis, Jubetis hune Regnare? 'Is it your pleasure, do you appoint this Man to reign?' Which would be needlefs, if the Kingdom were by the Law hereditary. But with Kings, Usurpation passes very frequently for Law and Right. You go about to ground Charles's Right to the Crown, who was so often conquered himself, upon the Right of Conquest. William, furnamed the Conqueror, for footh, subdued us. But they who are not strangers to our History, know full well, that the Strength of the English Nation was not so broken in that one Fight at Hastings, but that they might easily have renewed the War. But they chose rather to accept of a King, than to be under a Conqueror and a Tyrant: They swear therefore to William, to be his Liege-men, and he fwears to them at the Altar, to carry himself to-wards them as a good King ought to do in all respects. When he broke his Word, and the English betook themselves again to their Arms, being diffident of his Strength, he renewed his Oath upon the Holy Evangelists, to observe the ancient Laws of England. And therefore, if after that he miferably oppressed the English, (as you say he did) he did it not by Right of Conquest, but by Right of Perjury. Besides, it is certain, that many Ages ago, the Conquerors and Conquered coalesced into one and the same People: So that that Right of Conquest, if any fuch ever were, must needs have been antiquated long ago. His own words at his death, which I give you out of a French Manuscript written at Caen, put all out of doubt, I appoint no Man (fays he) to inherit the Kingdom of England. By which words, both his pretended Right of Conquest, and the Hereditary Right, were difclaim'd at his death, and buried together with him. I fee now that you have gotten a place at Court, as I foretold you would; you are made the King's Chief Treasurer and Steward of his Court-Crast: And what follows, you feem to write ex Officio, as by virtue of your Office, Magnificent Sir. If any preceding Kings, being thereunto compelled by Fallions of Great Men, or Seditions amongst the Common People, have receded in some measure from their Right, that cannot prejudice the Successor; but that he is at liberty to resume it. You say well; if therefore at any time our Ancestors have through neglect lost any thing that was their Right, why should that prejudice us their Posterity? If they would promise for themselves to become Slaves, they could make no such promise for us; who shall always retain the fame Right of delivering our felves out of Slavery, that they had of enflaving themselves to any whomsoever. You wonder how it comes to pass that a King of Great Britain must now-a-days be looked upon as one of the Magistrates of the Kingdom only; whereas in all other Kingly Governments in Christendom, Kings are invested with a Free and Absolute Authority. For the Scots, I remit you to Buchanan: For France, your own Native Country, to which you feem to be a stranger, to Hottoman's Franco-Gallia, and Girardus a French Historian; for the rest, to other Authors, of whom none that I know of, were Independents: Out of whom you might have learned a quite other Lession concerning the Right of Kings, than what you teach. Not being able to prove that a Tyrannical Power belongs to the Kings of England by Right of Conquest, you try now to do it by Right of Perjury. Kings profess themselves to reign by the Grace of God: What if they had professed themselves to be Gods? I believe if they had, you might eafily have been brought to become one of their Priefts,

So the Archbishops of Canterbury pretended to Archbishop it by Divine Providence. Are you fuch a Fool, as to deny the Pope's being a King in the Church, that you may make the King greater than a Pope in the State? But in the Statutes of the Realm the King is called our Lord. You are become of a fudden a wonderful Nomenclator of our Statutes: But you know not that many are called Lords and Matters, who are not really fo: You know not how unreasonable a thing it is to judge of Truth and Right by Titles of Honour, not to fay of Flattery. Make the fame Inference, it you will, from the Parlament's being called the King's Parlament; for it is called the King's Bridle too, or a Bridle to the King: and therfore the King is no more Lord or Mafter of his Parlament, than a Horfe is of his Bridle. But why not the King's Parlament, fince the King fummons them? I'll tell you why; because the Consuls used to indict a Meeting of the Senate, yet were they not Lords over that Council. When the King therfore fummons or calls together a Parlament, he does it by virtue and in discharge of that Office, which he has received from the People, that he may advise with them about the weighty Affairs of the Kingdom, not his own particular Affairs. Or when at any time the Parlament debated of the King's own Affairs, if any could properly be called his own, they were always the last things they did; and it was in their choice when to debate of them, and whether at all or no, and depended not upon the King's pleafure. And they whom it concerns to know this, know very well, that Parlaments anciently, whether fummoned or not, might by Law meet twice a Year: But the Laws are called too, The King's Laws. There are flattering Ascriptions; a King of England can of himself make no Law: For he was not constituted to make Laws, but to fee those Laws kept, which the People made. And you your felf here confels, that Parlaments meet to make Lacos; wherfore the Law is also called the Law of the Land, and the People's Law. Whence King Ethelstane in the Preface to his Laws, speaking to all the People, I have granted you every thing, flays he, by your own Law. And in the Form of the Oath, which the Kings of England used to take before they were made Kings, the People stipulate with them thus; Will you grant those just Lows, which the People shall chuse? The King answers, I will. And you are infinitely mistaken in Taying, That when there is no Parlament fitting, the King governs the whole State of the Kingdom, to all intents and purposes, by a regal Power. For he can determine nothing of any moment, with respect to either Peace or War; nor can he put any ftop to the Proceedings of the Courts of Justice. And the Judges therfore fwear, that they will do nothing judicially, but according to Law, though the King by Word, or Mandate, or Letters under his own Seal, should command the contrary. Hence it is that the King is often faid in our Law to be an Infant; and to possels his Rights and Dignities, as a Child or a Ward does his: See the Mirror, Cap. 4. Selt. 22. And hence is that common Saying amongst us, that the King can do no wrong: Which you, like a Rascal, interpret thus, Whatever the King does, is no Injury, because he is not liable to be punished for it. By this very Comment, if there were nothing elfe, the wonderful Impudence and Villany of this Fellow, discovers it fell sufficiently. It belongs to the Head, you fay, to command, and not to the Members: The King is the Head of the Parlament. You would not trifle thus, if you had any guts in your brains. You are mistaken again (but there's no end of your Mistakes) in not distinguishing the King's Counsellors from the States of the Realm: For neither ought he to make choice of all of them, nor of any of them, which the rest do not approve of; but for electing any Member of the House of Commons, he never so much as pretended to it. Whom the People appointed to that Service, they were feverally chofen by the Votes of all the People in their respective Cities, Towns, and Coun-I fpeak now of things univerfally known, and therfore I am the fhorter. But you tay, 'I'is false that the Parlament was indituted by the People, as the Worshippers of Saint Independency offert. Now I see why you took so much pains in endeavouring to subvert the Papacy; you carry another Pope in your Belly, as we say. For what else should you be in labour of, the Wife of a Woman, a He-Wolf, impregnated by a She-Wolf, but either a Monster, or some new fort of Papacy? You now make He-Saints, and She-Saints, at your pleafure, as if you were a true genuine Pope. You absolve Kings of all their fins; and as if you had utterly vanquish'd and subdu'd your Antagonist the Popc, you adorn your self with his fpoils. But because you have not yet profligated the Pope quite, till the second Vol. I.

and third, and perhaps the fourth and fifth Part of your Book of his Supremacy come out, which Book will nauseate a great many Readers to death, sooner than you'll get the better of the Pope by it; let it suffice you in the mean time, I befeech you, to become some Antipope or other. There's another She-Saint, besides that Independency that you deride, which you have canoniz'd in good earnest; and that is, the Tyranny of Kings: You shall therfore by my consent be the High-Priest of Tyranny; and that you may have all the Pope's Titles, you shall be a Servant of the Servants, not of God, but of the Court. For that Curse pronounced upon Canaan, seems to stick as close to you, as your Shirt. You call the People a Beaft. What are you then your felf? For neither can that facred Confistory, nor your Lordship of St. Lou, exempt you its Master from being one of the People, nay, of the common People; nor can make you other than what you really are, a most loathsome Beast. Indeed, the Writings of the Prophets shadow out to us the Monarchy and Dominion of great Kings by the Name, and under the Refemblance of a great Beast. You say, That there is no mention of Parlaments held under our Kings, that reigned before William the Conqueror. It is not worth while to jangle about a French word: The thing was always in being; and you your felf allow that in the Saxon times, Concilia Sapientum, Wittena-gemots, are mentioned. And there are wife Men among the Body of the People, as well as amongst the Nobility. But in the Statute of Merton made in the twentieth year of King Henry the 3d, the Earls and Barons are only named. Thus you are always imposed upon by words, who yet have spent your whole Life in nothing elfe but words; for we know very well that in that age, not only the Guardians of the Cinque-Ports, and Magistrates of Cities, but even Tradefmen are fometimes called Barons; and without doubt they might much more reasonably call every Member of Parlament, tho never so much a Commoner, by the Name of a Baron. For that in the fifty fecond Year of the fame King's Reign, the Commoners as well as the Lords were fummoned, the Statute of Marlbridge, and most other Statutes, declare in express words; which Commoners King Eaward the Third, in the Preface to the Statute-Staple, calls, Magnates Comitatum, the great Men of the Counties, as you very learnedly quote it for me; those to wit, that came out of the several Counties, and served for them; which number of Men constituted the House of Commons, and neither were Lords, nor could be. Befides, a Book more ancient than those Statutes, called, Modus habendi Parlamenta, i. e. The manner of bolding Parlaments, tells us, that the King and the Commons may hold a Parlament, and enact Laws, tho'the Lords, the Bishops, are absent; but that with the Lords, and the Bishops, in the absence of the Commons, no Parlament can be held. And there's a reason given for it, viz. because Kings held Parlaments and Councils with their People before any Lords or Bishops were made; besides, the Lords serve for themselves only, the Commons each for the County, City, or Borough that sent them. And that therfore the Commons in Parlament represent the whole Body of the Nation; in which respect they are more worthy, and every way prescrable to the Home of Peers. But the power of Judicature, you fay, never was invested in the House of Commons. Nor was the King ever possessed of it: Remember tho', that originally all power proceeded, and yet does proceed from the People. Which Marcus Tullius excellently well shows in his Oration, De lege Agraria, Of the Agrarian Law: 'As all Powers, Authorities, and public Administrations ought to be derived from the whole Body of the People; so those of them ought in an especial manner so to be derived, which are ordained and appointed for the common Benefit and Interest of all, to which Employments every " particular Person may both give his Vote for the chusing such Persons, as he thinks will take most care of the Public, and withat by voting and making ' Interest for them, lay fuch Obligations upon them, as may entitle them to ' their Friendship, and good Offices in time to come.' Here you fee the true rife and original of Parlaments, and that it was much ancienter than the Saxon Chronicles. Whilst we may dwell in such a light of Truth and Wisdom, as Cicero's Age afforded, you labour in vain to blind us with the darkness of obfeurer times. By the faying wherof I would not be understood to derogate in the leaft from the Authority and Prudence of our Ancestors, who most certainly went further in the enacting of good Laws, than either the Ages they lived in, or their own Learning or Education feem to have been capable of; and tho fometimes.

fometimes they made Laws that were none of the best, yet as being conscious to themselves of the Ignorance and Infirmity of Human Nature, they have conveyed this Doctrine down to Posterity, as the foundation of all Laws, which likewife all our Lawyers admit, that if any Law, or Custom, be contrary to the Law of God, of Nature, or of Reafon, it ought to be looked upon as null and void. Whence it follows, that tho' it were possible for you to discover any Statute, or other public Sanction, which afcribed to the King a tyrannical Power, fince that would be repugnant to the Will of God, to Nature, and to right Reason, you may learn from that general and primary Law of ours, which I have just now quoted, that it will be null and void. But you will never be able to find that any fuch Right of Kings has the least Foundation in our Law. Since it is plain therfore, that the Power of Judicature was originally in the People themselves, and that the People never did by any royal Law part with it to the King, (for the Kings of England neither use to judge any Man, nor can by the Law do it, otherwise than according to Laws settled and agreed to: Fleta, Book 1. Cap. 17.) it follows, that this Power remains yet whole and entire in the People themselves. For that it was either never committed to the House of Peers, or if it were, that it may lawfully be taken from them again, you your felf will not deny. But, It is in the King's power, you fay, to make a Village into a Borough, and that into a City; and confequently, the King does in effest create those that constitute the Commons House of Parlament. But, I say, that even Towns and Boroughs are more ancient than Kings; and that the People is the People, tho' they should live in the open Fields. And now we are extremely well pleafed with your Arglicisms, COUNTY COURT, THE TURNE, HUNDREDA: You have quickly learnt to count your hundred Jacobuffes in English.

Quis expedivit Salmasio suam HUNDREDAM?
Picamque docuit verba nostra conari?
Magister artis verter, & Jacobai
Centum, exulantis viscera marsupii Regis,
Quod si delosi spes resulserit nummi,
Ipse Artichristi modò qui Primatum Pap.e
Ninatus uno est dissipare sussiatu,
Cantabit ultrò Cardinalitium melos.

Who taught Salmafius, that French chatt'ring Pye, To aim at English, and HUNDREDA cry? The flarving Rascal, slush'd with just a Hundred English Jacobusses, HUNDREDA blunder'd. An out-law'd King's last slock.--- A hundred more, Would make him pimp for th' Antichristian Whore; And in Rome's praise employ his poison'd Breath, Who threaten'd once to stink the Pope to death.

The next thing you do is to trouble us with a long Discourse of the Earls and the Barons, to flow that the King made them all; which we readily grant, and for that reason they were most commonly at the King's beck; and therfore we have done well to take care, that for the future they shall not be Judges of a Free People. You affirm, that the Power of calling Parlaments as often as he pleases, and of diffolving them when he pleases, has belonged to the King time out of mind. Whether fuch a vile, mercenary Foreigner as you, who transcribe what some Fugitives dictate to you, or the express Letter of our own Laws are more to be credited in this matter, we shall enquire hereafter. But fay you, there is another Argument, and an invincible one, to prove the Power of the Kings of England superior to that of the Parlament; the King's Power is perpetual and of course, wherby he administers the Government fingly without the Parlament; that of the Parlament is extraordinary, er out of course, and limited to particulars only, nor can they enast any thing so as to be binding in Law, without the King. Where does the great force of this Argument lie? In the words of course and perpetual? Why, many inferior Magistrates have an ordinary and perpetual Power, those whom we call Justices of Peace. Have they therfore the Supreme Power? And I have faid already, that the King's Vol. I. $X \times X \times 2$

Power is committed to him, to take care, by interposing his Authority, that nothing be done contrary to Law, and that he may fee to the due observation of our Laws, not to top his own upon us: and confequently that the King has no Power out of his Courts; nay, all the ordinary power is rather the Peoples, who determine all Controversies themselves by Juries of twelve Men. And hence it is that when a Malefactor is asked at his arraignment, How will you be tried? he answers always, according to Law and Custom, by God and my Country; not by God and the King, or the King's Deputy. But the Authority of the Parlament, which indeed and in truth is the Supreme Power of the People committed to that Senate, if it may be called extraordinary, it must be by reason of its Eminence and Superiority; else it is known they are called Ordines, and therfore cannot properly be faid to be extra ordinem, out of order; and if not actually, as they fay, yet virtually they have a perpetual Power and Authority over all Courts and ordinary Magistrates, and that without the King. And now it seems our barbarous terms grate upon your critical Ears, forfooth! wheras, if I had leifure, or that if it were worth my while, I could reckon up fo many Barbarisms of yours in this one Book, as if you were to be chastiz'd for them as you deserve, all the School-boys Ferula's in Christendom would be broken upon you; nor would you receive so many pieces of Gold as that wretched Poet did of old, but a great many more Boxes o'th'ear. You fay, 'Tis a Predigy more monstrous than all the mot absurd Opinions in the world put together, that the Bedlams should make a distinction betwixt the King's Power and his Person. I will not quote what every Author has faid upon this Subject; but if by the words Personam Regis, you mean what we call in English, the Person of the King; Chrysolism, who was no Bedlam, might have taught you, that it is no abfurd thing to make a diffinction betwixt that and his Power; for that Father explains the Apostle's command of being fubject to the higher Powers, to be meant of the thing, the Power it felf, and not of the Persons of the Magistrates. And why may not I say that a King, who acts any thing contrary to Law, acts fo far forth as a private Person, or a Tyrant, and not in the Capacity of a King invested with a legal Authority? If you do not know that there may be in one and the fame Man more Persons or Capacities than one, and that those Capacities may in thought and conception be sever'd from the man himself, you are altogether ignorant both of Latin and common Sense. But this you fay to absolve Kings from all fin and guilt; and that you may make us believe that you are gotten into the Chair your felf, which you have pull'd the Pope out of. The King, you fay, is supposed not capable of committing any crime, because no punishment is consequential upon any crime of his. Whoever therfore is not punish'd, offends not; it is not the theft, but the punishment that makes the Thief. Salmafius the Grammarian commits no Solocifms now, because he is from under the Ferula; when you have overthrown the Pope, let these, for God's sake, be the Canons of your Pontificate, or at least your Indulgencies, whether you shall chuse to be called the High-Priest St. Tyranny, or St. Slavery. I pass by the reproachful Language which towards the latter end of the Chapter you give the State of the Commonwealth, and the Church of England; 'tis common to Such as you are, you contemptible Varlet, to rail at those things most, that are most praise-worthy. But that I may not seem to have afferted any thing rashly concerning the Right of the Kings of England, or rather concerning the People's Right with respect to their Princes; I will now alledge out of our ancient Histories a few things indeed of many, but such as will make it evident that the English lately tried their King according to the settled Laws of the Realm, and the Customs of their Ancestors. After the Romans quitted this Island, the Britains for about forty years were sui juris, and without any Kings at all. Of whom those they first set up, some they put to death. And for that, Gildas reprehends them, not as you do, for killing their Kings, but for killing them uncondemned, and (to use his own words,) Non pro veri examinatione, without enquiring into the matter of Fact. Vertigern was for his incestuous Marriage with his own Daughter condemn'd (as Nennius informs us, the most ancient of all our Historians next to Gildas) by St. German, and a General Council of the Britains, and his Son Vortimer fet up in his stead. This came to pass not long after St. Augustine's death, which is enough to discover how futilous you are, to fay, as you have done, that it was a Pope, and Zachary by name, who first held the lawfulness of judging Kings. About the

year of our Lord 600, Morcantius, who then reign'd in Wales, was by Oudeceus Bishop of Landass, condemn'd to Exile, for the murder of his Uncle, though he got the Sentence off by bestowing some Lands upon the Church. Come we now to the Saxons, whose Laws we have, and therfore I shall quote none of their Precedents. Remember that the Saxons were of a German extract, who neither invested their Kings with any absolute, unlimited power, and consulted in a Body of the more weighty affairs of Government; whence we may perceive that in the time of our Saxon Ancestors Parlaments (the name it felf only excepted) had the Supreme Authority. The name they gave them, was Councils of Wife-men; and this in the Reign of Ethelbert, of whom Bede says, that he made Laws in imitation of the Roman Laws, cum concilio sapientum; by the advice, or in a Council of his Wife-men. So Edwin, King of Northumberland; and Ina King of the West-Saxons, having consulted with their Wise-men, and the Elders of the People, made new Laws. Other Laws K. Alfred made, by the advice in like manner of his Wise-men; and he says himself, that it was by the consent of them all, that they were commanded to be observed. From these and many other like places, it is as clear as the Sun, that chosen Men even from amongst the common People, were Members of the Supreme Councils, unless we must believe that no Men are wife, but the Nobility. We have likewife a very ancient Book, called the Mirror of Justices, in which we are told, that the Savons, when they first subdued the Britains, and chose themselves Kings, required an Oath of them, to lubmit to the Judgment of the Law, as much as any of their Subjects, Cap. 1. Sett. 2. In the same place 'tis said, that it is but just that the King have his Peers in Parlament, to take cognizance of wrongs done by the King, or the Queen; and that there was a Law made in King Afred's time, that Parlaments should be holden twice a year at London, or oftner, if need were: Which Law, when through neglect it grew into difuse, was revived by two Statutes in King Edward the Third's time. And in another ancient Manufcript, called Medus tenendi Parlamenta, we read thus, 'If the King diffolve the Parlament before they have difpatch'd the business, for which the Council was fummon'd, he is guilty of Perjury; and shall be reputed to have broken his Coronation Oath.' For how can he be faid to grant those good Laws, which the People chuse, as he is sworn to do, if he hinders the People from chusing them, either by fummoning Parlaments feldomer, or by diffolving them fooner than the Public Affairs require, or admit? And that Oath, which the Kings of England take at their Coronation, has always been looked upon by our Lawvers, as a most facred Law. And what remedy can be found to obviate the great Dangers of the whole State (which is the very end of fummoning Parlaments) if that Great and August Assembly may be dissolved at the pleasure many times of a filly, head-strong King? To abtent himself from them, is certainly less than to diffolve them; and yet by our Laws, as that Modus lays them down, the King neither can, nor ought to absent himself from his Parlament, unless he be really indifposed in Health; nor then neither, till twelve of the Peers have been with him to inspect his Body, and give the Parlament an account of his Indisposition. Is this like the Carriage of Servants to a Master? On the other hand, the House of Commons, without whom there can be no Parlament held, tho' fummoned by the King, may withdraw, and having made a Secession, expostulate with the King concerning Male-administration, as the same Book has it. But, which is the greatest thing of all, amongst the Laws of King Fdward, commonly called the Confessor, there is one very excellent, relating to the kingly Office; which Office, if the King do not discharge as he ought, then, fays the Law, He shall not retain so much as the Name of a King. And left these words should not be sufficiently understood, the Example of Chilperic King of France is subjoin'd, whom the People for that Cause depoted. And that by this Law a wicked King is liable to Punishment, that Sword of King Edward, called Curtana, denotes to us, which the Earl of Chefter used to carry in the folemn Procession at a Coronation; A token, says Matthew Paris, that he has Authority by Law to punish the King, if he will not do his Duty: and the Sword is hardly ever made ute of but in capital Punishments. This same Law, together with other Laws of that good King Edward, did William the Conqueror ratify in the fourth Year of his Reign, and in a very full Council held at Verulam, confirm'd it with a most solemn Oath: And by so doing, he not only extinguish'd his Right of Conquest, if he ever had any over us, but subjected himself to be judged according to the Tenor of this very Law. And his Son Henry swore to the observance of King Edward's Laws, and of this amongst the rest; and upon those only terms it was, that he was chosen King, whilft his Elder Brother Robert was alive. The fame Oath was taken by all fueceeding Kings, before they were crowned. Hence our ancient and famous Lawyer Bratton, in his first Book, Chap. 8. There is no King in the case, says he, cohere Will rules the roaft, and Law does not take place. And in his third Book, Chap. 9. A King is a King so long as he rules well; he becomes a Tyrant when he oppresses the People committed to his Charge. And in the same Chapter, The King ought to use the Power of Law and Right, as God's Minister and Vice-gerent; the Power of wrong is the Devil's, and not God's; when the King turns afide to do Injustice, he is the Minister of the Devil. The very same words almost another ancient Lawyer has, who was the Author of the Book, called Fleta; both of them remember'd that truly Royal Law of King Edward, that fundamental Maxim in our Law, which I have formerly mentioned, by which nothing is to be accounted a Law, that is contrary to the Laws of God, or of Reason; no more than a Tyrant can be faid to be a King, or a Minister of the Devil a Minister of God. Since therfore the Law is chiefly right Reason, if we are bound to obey a King, and a Minister of God; by the very same Reason, and the very same Law, we ought to refift a Tyrant, and a Minister of the Devil. And because Controverfies arise oftner about Names than Things, the same Authors tell us, that a King of England, tho' he have not loft the Name of a King, yet is as liable to be judged, and ought so to be, as any of the common People. Brasien, Book 1. Chap. 8. Fleta, Book 1. Chap. 17. No Man ought to be greater than the King in the Administration of Justice; but he himself ought to be as little as the least in reeciving Justice, si peccat, if he offend. Others read it, si petat. Since our Kings therfore are liable to be judged, whether by the Name of Tyrants, or of Kings, it must not be difficult to assign their legal Judges. Nor will it be amiss to confult the fame Authors upon that point. Eraclon, Book 1. Chap. 16. Book 1. Chap. 17. The King has his Superiors in the Government; the Law, by which he is made King; and his Court, to wit, the Earls, and the Barons: Comites (Earls) are as much as to fay, Companions; and he that has a Companion, has a Master; and therfore, if the King will be without a Bridle, that is, not govern by Law, they ought to bridle him. That the Commons are comprehended in the word Barons, has been shown already; nay, and in the Books of our ancient Laws they are frequently faid to have been called Peers of Parlament: and especially in the Modus tenendi, &c. There shall be chosen (fays that Book) out of all the Peers of the Realm, five and twenty Persons, of whom five shall be Knights, five Citizens, and five Burgesses; and two Knights of a County, have a greater Vote in grantang and rejecting than the greatest Earl in England. And it is but reasonable thev should, for they vote for a whole County, &c. the Earls for themselves only. And who can but perceive that those Patent Earls, whom you call Earls made by Writ (fince we have now none that hold their Earldoms by Tenure) are very unfit Perfons to try the King, who conferr'd their Honours upon them? Since therfore by our Law, as appears by that old Book, call'd the Mirror, the King has his Peers, who in Parlament have cognizance of wrongs done by the King to any of his People; and fince it is notoriously known, that the meanest Man in the Kingdom may even in inferior Courts have the benefit of the Law against the King himfelf in case of any Injury, or Wrong sustained; how much more confonant to Justice, how much more necessary is it, that in case the King oppressall his People, there fhould be fuch as have authority not only to restrain him, and keep him within bounds, but to judge and punish him: For that Government must needs be very ill, and most ridiculously constituted, in which remedy is provided in case of little Injuries, done by the Prince to private Persons, and no remedy, no redress for greater, no care taken for the safety of the whole; no provision made to the contrary, but that the King may without any Law ruin all his Subjects, when at the fame time he cannot by Law so much as hurt any one of them. And fince I have shown that it is neither good manners, nor expedient, that the Lords should be the King's Judges; it follows, that the Power of Judicature in that case does wholly, and by very good Right, belong to the Commons, who are both Peers of the Realin, and Barons, and have the Power and Authority

in answer to Salmasius's Defence of the King.

Authority of all the People committed to them. For fince (as we find it expressly in our written Law, which I have already cited) the Commons together with the King make a good Parlament without either Lords or Bishops, because before either Lords or Bishops had a being, Kings held Parlaments with their Commons only; by the very fame reason the Commons apart must have the Sovereign Power without the King, and a Power of judging the King himself; because before there ever was a King, they in the Name of the whole Body of the Nation held Councils and Parlaments, had the Power of Judicature, made Laws, and made the Kings themselves, not to lord it over the People, but to administer their public Affairs. Whom if the King, instead of so doing, shall endeavour to injure and oppress, our Law pronounces him from time forward not so much as to retain the Name of a King, to be no such thing as a King; and if he be no King, what need we trouble our felves to find out Peers for him? For being then by all good Men adjudged to be a Tyrant, there are none but who are Peers good enough for him, and proper enough to pronounce Sentence of Death upon him judicially. These things being so, I think I have sufficiently proved what I undertook, by many Authorities, and written Laws; to wit, that fince the Commons have Authority by very good Right to try the King, and fince they have actually tried him, and put him to death, for the mischief he had done both in Church and State, and without all hope of amendment, they have done nothing therin but what was just and regular, for the Interest of the State, in discharging of their Trust, becoming their Dignity, and according to the Laws of the Land. And I cannot upon this occasion, but congratulate my telf with the Honour of having had fuch Ancestors, who founded this Government with no less Prudence, and in as much Liberty as the most worthy of the ancient Romans or Grecians ever founded any of theirs: and they must needs, if they have any knowledge of our Affairs, rejoice over their Posterity, who when they were almost reduced to Slavery, yet with so much Wisdom and Courage vindicated and afferted the State, which they fo wifely founded upon fo much Liberty, from the unruly Government of a King.

CHAP.

Think by this time 'tis sufficiently evident that Kings of England may be judged even by the Laws of England; and that they have their proper Judges, which was the thing to be proved. What do you do farther? (for wheras you repeat many things that you have faid before, I do not intend to repeat the anfwers that I have given them) 'Tis an easy thing to demonstrate even from the nature of the things for which Parlaments are summon'd, that the King is above the Parlament. The Parlament, you say, is wont to be affembled upon weighty affairs, fuch as wherin the safety of the Kingdom and of the People is concerned. If therfore the King call Parlaments together, not for his own concerns, but those of the Nation, nor to fettle those neither, but by their own consent, at their own differetion, what is he more than a Minister, and as it were an Agent for the People? hace without their Suffrages that are chosen by the People, he cannot enact the least thing whatsoever, either with relation to himself, or any body else? Which proves likewife that 'tis the King's duty to call Parlaments whenever the People defire it; fince the People's and not the King's concerns are to be treated of by that Affembly, and to be ordered as they fee cause. For although the King's affent be required for fathion take, which in lefter matters, that concerned the welfare of private persons only, he might refuse, and use that form, the King will advife; yet in those greater affairs that concern'd the public safety, and liberty of the People in general, he had no negative voice: for it would have been against his Coronation-Oath to deny his affent in such cases, which was as binding to him as any Law could be, and against the chief Article of Magna Charta, Cap. 29. ' We will not deny to any man, nor will we delay to ren-· der to every man Right and Justice.' Shall it not be in the King's power to deny Juffice, and shall it be in his power to deny the enacting of just Laws? Could be not deny Justice to any particular person, and could be to all his People? Could be not do it in inferior Courts, and could be in the supreme Court

of all? Or, can any King be fo arrogant as to pretend to know what's just and profitable better than the whole body of the People? Especially, since ' he is created and chosen for this very end and purpose, to do Justice to all, as Braston fays, Lib. 3. C. p. 9. that is, to do Justice according to such Laws as the People agree upon. Hence is what we find in our Records, 7 H. 4. Rott. Parl. num. 59. The King has no Prerogative that derogates from Justice and Equity. And formerly when Kings have refused to confirm Acts of Parlament, to wit, Magna Charta and some others, our Ancestors have brought them to it by sorce of Arms. And yet our Lawyers never were of opinion that those Laws were less valid, or less binding, since the King was forced to assent to no more than what he ought in Justice to have affented to voluntarily, and without constraint. Whilst you go about to prove that Kings of other Nations have been as much under the power of their Senates or Councils, as our Kings were, you do not argue us into Slavery, but them into Liberty. In which you do but that over again, that you have from the very beginning of your Discourse, and which fome filly Leguleians now and then do, to argue unawares, against their own Clients. But you fay, We confess that the King wherever he be, yet is supposed still to be present in his Parlament by virtue of his power; insomuch that whatever is transatted there, is supposed to be done by the King himself: and then as if you had got fome petty bribe or finall morfel, and tickled with the remembrance of your Purse of Gold, We take, say you, what they give us; and take a Halter then, for I'm sure you deserve it. But we do not give it for granted, which is the thing you thought would follow from thence. That therfore that Court asts only by virtue of a delegated Power from the King. For when we say that the Regal Power, be it what it will, cannot be absent from the Parlament, do we therby acknowledge that Power to be Supreme? Does not the King's Authority feem rather to be transferred to the Parlament, and, as being the leffer of the two, to be comprifed in the greater? Certainly if the Parlament may rescind the King's Acts whether he will or no, and revoke Privileges granted by him, to whomfoever they be granted: If they may fet bounds to his Prerogative, as they fee cause, if they may regulate his yearly Revenue, and the Expences of his Court, his Retinue, and generally all the Concerns of his Houshold; if they may remove his most intimate Friends and Counsellors, and as it were pluck them out of his bosom, and bring them to condign punishment: Finally, if any Subject may by Law appeal from the King to the Parlament (all which things, that they may lawfully be done, and have been frequently practifed, both our Histories and Records, and the most eminent of our Lawyers affure us) I suppole no man in his right wits will deny the Authority of the Parlament to be fuperior to that of the King. For even in an Interregnum the Authority of the Parlament is in being, and (than which nothing is more common in our Hiftories) they have often made a free Choice of a Succeffor, without any regard to a Hereditary defeent. In short, the Parlament is the Supreme Council of the Nation, conflituted and appointed by a most free People, and armed with ample Power and Authority, for this end and purpose; viz. to confult together upon the most weighty affairs of the Kingdom; the King was created to put their Laws in execution. Which thing after the Parlament themselves had declared in a public Edict (for fuch is the Juffice of their Proceedings, that of their own accord they have been willing to give an account of their actions to other Nations) is it not prodigious, that fuch a pitiful fellow as you are, a man of no authority, of no credit, of no figure in the world, a meer Burgandian flave, should have the impudence to accuse the Parlament of England, afferting by a public Instrument their own and their Country's Right, of a detestable and borrid Imposture? Your Country may be ashamed, you Rascal, to have brought forth a little inconfiderable fellow of fuch profligate impudence. But perhaps you have fomewhat to tell us that may be for our good: Go on, we'll hear you. What Laws, fay you, can a Parlament enact, in which the Bishops are not present? Did you then, ye Mad-man, expel the Order of Bishops out of the Church to introduce them into the State? O wicked Wretch, who ought to be delivered over to Satan, whom the Church ought to forbid her Communion, as being a Hypocrite, and an Atheist, and no civil Society of men to acknowledge as a member, being a public Enemy, and a Plague-fore to the common Liberty of Mankind; who, where the Gospel fails you, endeavour to prove out of Aristotle, Halicar-

Iialicarnasseus, and then from some Popish Authorities of the most corrupt ages, that the King of England is the head of the Church of England, to the end that you may, as far as in you lies, bring in the Bifhops again, his Intimates and Table-Companions, grown fo of late, to rob and tyrannize in the Church of God, whom God himfelf has deposed and degraded, whose very Order you had heretofore afferted in Print that it ought to be rooted out of the world, as destructive of and pernicious to the Christian Religion. What Apostate did ever so shamefully and wickedly defert as this man has done, I do not fav his own, which indeed never was any, but the Christian Doctrine which he had formerly afferted? The Bishops being put down, who under the King, and by his permission held Plea of Ecclesiastical Causes, upon whom, say you, will that Jurisdiction devolve? O Villain, have some regard at least to your own Conscience; Remember before it be too late, if at least this admonition of mine come not too late, remember that this mocking the Holy Spirit of God is an inexpiable crime, and will not be left unpunish'd. Stop at last, and set bounds to your fury, lest the Wrath of God lay hold upon you fuddenly, for endeavouring to deliver the flock of God, his Anointed ones that are not to be touched, to Enemies and cruel Tyrants, to be crush'd and trampled on again, from whom himself by a high and ftretched-out arm had foliately delivered them; and from whom you your felf maintained that they ought to be delivered, I know not whether for any good of theirs, or in order to the hardening of your own lieart, and to further your own damnation. If the Bilhops have no right to lord it over the Church, certainly much less have Kings, whatever the Laws of Men may be to the contrary. For they that know any thing of the Gospel know thus much, that the Government of the Church is altogether Divine and Spiritual, and no Civil Constitution. Wheras you say, that in fecular Affairs, the Kings of England have always had the Sovereign Power; our Laws do abundantly declare that to be falle. Our Courts of Justice are erected and suppressed, not by the King's Authority, but that of the Parlament; and yet in any of them, the meanest Subject might go to Law with the King: nor is it a rare thing for the Judges to give Judgment against him, which if the King should endeavour to obstruct by any Prohibition, Mandate, or Letters, the Judges were bound by Law, and by their Oaths not to obey him, but to reject such Inhibitions as null and void in Law. The King could not imprison any Man, or seize his Estate as sorfeited; he could not punish any Man, not summoned to appear in Court, where not the King, but the ordinary Judges gave Sentence; which they frequently did, as I have faid, against the King. Hence our Braston, lib. 3. cap. 9. The Regal Power, tays he, is according to Law; be has no power to do any wrong, nor can the King do any thing but what the Law warrants. Those Lawyers that you have consulted, Men that have lately fled their Country, may tell you another tale, and acquaint you with some Statutes, not very ancient neither, but made in King Edward ath's, King Henry 6th's, and King Faward 6th's days; but they did not confider, that what power foever thole Statutes gave the King, was conferred upon him by Authority of Parlament, fo that he was beholden to them for it; and the same power that conferr'd it, might at pleasure resume it. How comes it to pais that io acute a disputant as you, should suffer your self to be imposed upon to that degree, as to make use of that very Argument to prove the King's Power to be Absolute and Supreme, than which nothing proves more clearly, that it is subordinate to that of the Parlament? Our Records of the greatest Authority with us, declare, that our Kings owe all their Power, not to any Right of Inheritance, of Conquest, or Succession, but to the People. So in the Parlament Rolls of King Hen. 4. numb. 108. we read, that the kingly Office and Power was granted by the Commons to King Henry the 4th, and before him, to his Predeceffor King Riebard the 2d, just as Kings use to grant Commissioners Places, and Lieutenantships to their Deputies, by Edicts and Patents. Thus the House of Commons ordered expresly to be entred upon record, 'That they had granted to King Richard to use the same good Liberty that the Kings of England before bim had ufed:' Which because that King abused to the subversion of the Laws, and contrary to bis Oath at bis Coronation, the fame perfons that granted him that power, took it back again, and deposed him. The same Men, as appears by the same Record, declared in open Parlament, & That having confidence in the Prudence and Moderation of King Henry the 4th, they will and cnact, · That he enjoy the same Royal Authority that his Ancestors enjoyed. Which Y y y

if it had been any other than in the nature of a Trust, as this was, either those Houses of Parlament were foolish and vain, to give what was none of their own, or those Kings that were willing to receive as from them, what was already theirs, were too injurious both to themselves and their Posterity; neither of which is likely. A third part of the Regal Power, fay you, is conversant about the Militia; this the Kings of England have used to order and govern, without Fellow or Competitor. This is as false as all the rest that you have taken upon the credit of Fugitives: For in the first place, both our own Histories, and those of Foreigners, that have been any whit exact in the relation of our Affairs, declare, that the making of Peace and War, always did belong to the Parlament. And the Laws of St. Edward, which our Kings were bound to fwear that they would maintain, make this appear beyond all exception, in the Chapter De Heretochies, viz. 'That there were certain Officers appointed in every Province and Coun-' ty throughout the Kingdom, that were called Heretocks, in Latin Duces, Com-· manders of Armies, that were to command the Forces of the feveral Counties, not for the Honour of the Crown only, ' but for the good of the Realm. And they were chosen by the General Council, and in the several Counties at pub-· lie Assemblies of the Inhabitants, as Sheriss ought to be chosen.' Whence it is evident, That the Forces of the Kingdom, and the Commanders of those Forces, were anciently, and ought to be still, not at the King's Command, but at the People's; and that this most reasonable and just Law obtained in this Kingdom of ours, no less than heretofore it did in the Commonwealth of the Romans. Concerning which, it will not be amiss to hear what Cicero says, Philip. 1. ' All the Legions, all the Forces of the Commonwealth, wherefoever they are, are the People of Rome's; nor are those Legions that deserted the Conful Antonius, said to have been Antony's, but the Commonwealth's Legions." This very Law of St. Edward, together with the rest, did William the Conqueror, at the defire and inftance of the People, confirm by Oath, and added over and above, cap. 56. 'That all Cities, Boroughs, Castles, should be so watched every night, as the Sheriffs, the Aldermen, and other Magistrates, should ' think meet for the safety of the Kingdom. And in the 6th Law, ' Castles, Boroughs, and Cities, were first built for the Desence of the People, and thertore ought to be maintained free and entire, by all ways and means." What then? Shall Towns and Places of Strength in times of Peace be guarded against Thieves and Robbers by Common Councils of the several Places; and shall they not be defended in dangerous times of War, against both domestic and foreign Hostility, by the Common Council of the whole Nation? If this be not granted, there can be no Freedom, no Integrity, no Reason in the guarding of them; nor thall we obtain any of those ends, for which the Law it felf tells us, that Towns and Fortresses were at first founded. Indeed our Ancestors were willing to put any thing into the King's power, rather than their Arms, and the Garisons of their Towns; conceiving that to be neither better nor worse, than betraying their Liberty to the Fury and Exorbitancy of their Princes. Of which there are to very many instances in our Histories, and those so generally known, that it would be superfluous to mention any of them here. But the King owes protestion to his Subjects; and how can be protest them, unless he have Men and Arms at Command? But, fay I, he had all this for the good of the Kingdom, as has been faid, not for the destruction of his People, and the ruin of the Kingdom: Which in King Henry the 3d's time, one Leonard, a Learned Man in those days, in an Assembly of Bishops, told Rustandus, the Pope's Nuncio and the King's Procurator, in these words; 'All Churches are the Pope's, as all temporal things are faid to be the King's, for Defence and Protection, not his in Propricty and Ownership, as we say; they are his to desend, not to destroy. The aforementioned Law of St. Edward, is to the fame purpose; and what does this import more than a Truft? Does this look like absolute power? Such a kind of Power a Commander of an Army always has, that is, a delegated Power; and yet both at home and abroad he is never the lefs able to defend the People that chuse him. Our Parlaments would anciently have contended with our Kings about their Ligerty and the Laws of St. Edward, to very little purpose; and twould have been an unequal match betwixt the Kings and them, if they had been of opinion, that the Power of the Sword belonged to him alone: for how umust Laws soever their Kings would have imposed upon them, their Charter, tho' never fo great, would have been a weak Desence against Force.

fay you, What would the Parlament be the better for the Militia, fince without the King's effect they cannot raise the least Farthing from the People towards the maintaining it? Take you no thought for that: For in the first place you go upon a false supposition, that Parlaments cann t impose Taxes with ut the King's Assent, upon the People that fend them, and whose concerns they undertake. In the next place, you that are so officious an enquirer into other mens matters, cannot but have heard, that the People of their own accord, by bringing in their Plate to be melted down, raifed a great Sum of Money towards the carrying on of this War against the King. Then you mention the largeness of our King's Revenue: You mention over and over again Five Hundred and Forty Thousands: That those of our Kings that have been eminent for their Bounty and Liberality, have used to give large Boons out of their own Patrimony. This you were glad to hear; twas by this Charm, that those Traytors to their Country alluded you, as Balaam the Prophet was enticed of old, to curse the People of God, and exclaim against the judicial Dispensations of his Providence. You Fool! what was that unjust and violent King the better for fach abundance of Wealth? What are you the better for it? Who have been no partaker of any part of it, that I can hear of (how great hopes foever you may have conceiv'd of being vaftly enriched by it) but only of a hundred pieces of Gold, in a Purse wrought with beads. Take that reward of thine Iniquity, Balaam, which thou haft loved, and enjoy it. You go on to play the fool; The setting up of a Standard is a Prerogative that belongs to the King only. How fo? Why because Virgil tells us in his Æneis, ' That Turnus fet up a Standard on the top of the Tower at Laurentum, for an ' Enfign of War.' And do not you know, Grammarian, that every General of an Army does the fame thing? But, fays Ariflotle, The King must always be provided of a Military Power, that he may be able to defend the Laws; and therfore the King must be stronger than the whole body of the People. This man makes Confequences just as Enus does Ropes in Hell; which are of no use but to be eaten by Affes. For a number of Soldiers given to the King by the People, is one thing; and the fole power of the Militia is quite another thing; the latter, Aristotle does not allow that Kings ought to be Mafters of, and that in this very place which you have quoted: He ought, fays he, to have so many armed men about him, as to make him stronger than any one man, then many men got together; but he must not be stronger than all the People, Polit. lib. 3. cap. 4. Else instead of protecting them, it would be in his power to subject both People and Laws to himself. For this is the difference betwixt a King and a Tyrant: A King, by confent of the Senate and People, has about him so many armed men, as to enable him to refift Enemies, and suppress Seditions. A Tyrant, against the Will both of Senate and People, gets as great a number as he can, either of Enemies, or profligate Subjects, to fide with him against the Senate and the People. The Parlament therfore allowed the King, as they did whatever he had besides, the setting up of a Standard; not to wage War against his own People, but to defend them against such as the Parlament should declare Enemies to the State: If he acted otherwife, himself was to be accounted an Enemy; fince according to the very Law of St. Edward, or according to a more facred Law than that, the Law of Nature it felf, he lost the name of a King, and was no longer such. Whence Cicero in his Philip. ' He forfeits his Command in the Army, and In-' terest in his Government, that employs them against the State.' Neither could the King compel those that held of him by Knight-Service, to serve him in any other War, than fuch as was made by confent of Parlament; which is evident by many Statutes. So for Customs and other Subfidies for the maintenance of the Navy, the King could not exact them without an Act of Parlament; as was refolved about twelve years ago, by the ableft of our Lawyers, when the King's Authority was at the height. And long before them, Fortescue, an Eminent Lawyer, and Chancellor to King Henry the 6th, 'The King of England, says he, can neither alter the Laws, nor exact Subfidies without the People's confent. Nor can any Testimonies be brought from Antiquity, to prove the Kingdom of England to have been merely Regal. ' The King, fays Braston, has a Jurisdic-' tion over all his Subjects;' that is, in his Courts of Justice, where Justice is adminithred in the King's name indeed, but according to our own Laws. All ' are fubject to the King;' that is, every particular man is; and fo Bratton explains himself in the places that I have cited. What sollows is but turning the fame stone over and over again; (at which sport I believe you are able to tire Si-Vol. I. Yyy_2

fiphus himfelf) and is fufficiently answered by what has been faid already. For the rest, if our Parlaments have sometimes complimented good Kings with submissive expressions, tho neither savouring of Flattery nor Slavery, those are not to be accounted due to Tyrants, nor ought to prejudice the People's Right: good manners and civility do not infringe Liberty. Wheras you cite out of Sir Edw. Coke and others, 'That the Kingdom of England is an absolute Kingdom;' that is faid with respect to any Foreign Prince, or the Emperor; because as Camden fays, 'It is not under the Patronage of the Emperor: but both of them affirm that the Government of England resides not in the King alone, but in a Body Politic.' Whence Fortescue in his Book de Laud. Leg. Ang. cap. 9. 'The ' King of England, says he, governs his People, not by a meerly Regal, but a Political Power; for the English are govern'd by Laws of their own making. Foreign Authors were not ignorant of this: Hence Philip de Comines, a Grave Author, in the Fifth Book of his Commentaries, 'Of all the Kingdoms of the Earth, says he, that I have any knowledge of, there is none in my opinion, where the Government is more moderate, where the King has less power of hurting his People, than in England.' Finally, 'Tis ridiculous, fay you, for them to affirm that Kingdoms were ancienter than Kings; which is as much as if they should fay, that there was Light before the Sun was created. But with your good leave, Sir, we do not fay that Kingdoms, but that the People were before Kings. In the mean time, who can be more ridiculous than you, who deny there was Light before the Sun had a being? You pretend to a curiofity in other mens matters, and have forgot the very first things that were taught you. You wonder how they that have feen the King sit upon his Throne, at a Session of Parlament (sub aureo & ferico Cœlo, under a golden and filken Heaven) under a Canopy of State, should fo much as make a question whether the Majesty resided in him, or in the Parlament? They are certainly hard of belief, whom so lucid an Argument coming down from Heaven, cannot convince. Which golden Heaven, you, like a Stoic, have fo devoutly and ferioufly gaz'd upon, that you feem to have forgot what kind of Heaven Moses and Aristotle describe to us; for you deny that there was any Light in Moses's Heaven before the Sun; and in Aristotle's you make three temperate Zones. How many Zones you observed in that Golden and Silken Heaven of the King's, I know not; but I know you got one Zone (a Purse) well tempered with a Hundred Golden Stars by your Astronomy.

CHAP. X.

SINCE this whole Controversy, whether concerning the Right of Kings in general, or that of the King of *England* in particular, is rendred difficult and intricate, rather by the obstinacy of Parties, than by the nature of the thing it felf; I hope they that prefer Truth before the Interest of a Faction, will be fatisfied with what I have alledged out of the Law of God, the Laws of Nations, and the Municipal Laws of my own Country, that a King of England may be brought to Trial, and put to death. As for those whose minds are either blinded with Superflition, or fo dazled with the Splendor and Grandeur of a Court, that Magnanimity and true Liberty do not appear fo glorious to them, as they are in themselves, it will be in vain to contend with them, either by Reason and Arguments, or Examples. But you, Salmafius, feem very abfurd, as in every other part of your Book, fo particularly in this, who the' you rail perpetually at the Independents, and revile them with all the terms of Reproach imaginable, yet affert to the highest degree that can be, the Independency of a King, whom you defend; and will not allow him to owe his Sovereignty to the People, but to his Defeent. And wheras in the beginning of your Book you complain'd that he was put to plead for his Life, here you complain, that he perish'd without being heard to speak for bimself. But if you have a mind to look into the History of his Trial, which is very faithfully publish'd in French, it may be you'll be of another opinion. Wheras he had liberty given him for fome days together, to fay what he could for himself, he made use of it not to clear himself of the Crimes laid to his charge, but to disprove the Authority of his Judges, and the Judicature that he was called before. And whenever a Criminal is either mute, or fays nothing to the purpose, there is no Injustice in condemning him without hearing him, if his

Crimes are notorious, and publicly known. If you fay that Charles died as he lived, I agree with you: If you fay that he died pioufly, holily, and at eafe, you may remember that his Grandmother Mary, Queen of Scots, an infamous Woman, died on a Scaffold with as much outward appearance of Piety, Sanctity, and Constancy, as he did. And lest you should ascribe too much to that presence of mind which some common Malesactors have so great a measure of at their death; many times despair, and a hardned heart puts on as it were a Vizor of Courage; and Stupidity, a shew of Quiet and Tranquillity of Mind: Sometimes the worst of Men defire to appear good, undaunted, innocent, and now and then religious, not only in their life, but at their death; and in suffering death for their Villanies, use to act the last part of their Hypocrify and Cheats, with all the show imaginable; and like bad Poets or Stage-players, are very ambitious of being clapp'd at the end of the Play. Now, you say, you are come to enquire who they chiefly were, that gave Sentence against the King. Wheras it ought first to be enquired into, how you, a Foreigner, and a French Vagabond, came to have any thing to do to raile a question about our Assairs, to which you are so much a Stranger? And what Reward induced you to it? But we know enough of that, and who fatisfied your curiofity in these matters of ours; even those Fugitives, and Traitors to their Country, that could eafily hire fuch a vain Fellow as you, to speak ill of us. Then an account in writing, of the state of our Assairs, was put into you hands by some hair-brain'd, half Protestant, half Papist Chaplain or other, or by some sneaking Courtier, and you were put to translate it into Latin; out of that you took these Narratives, which, if you please, we'll examine a little: Not the hundred thousandth part of the People consented to this Sentence of Condemnation. What were the rest of the People then that suffer'd so great a thing to be transacted against their will? Were they Stocks and Stones, were they mere Trunks of Men only, or fuch Images of Britains, as Virgil defcribes to have been wrought in Tapestry?

Purpurea intexti tollunt aulea Britanni.

And Britains interwove held up the purple Hangings.

For you describe no true Britains, but painted ones, or rather Needle-wrought Men instead of them. Since therfore it is a thing so incredible that a warlike Nation should be subdued by so few, and those of the dregs of the People (which is the first thing that occurs in your Narrative) that appears in the very nature of the thing it felf to be most false. The Bishops were turn'd out of the House of Lords by the Parlament it felf. The more deplorable is your Madness (for are not you yet sensible that you rave) to complain of their being turn'd out of the Parlament, whom you your felf in a large Book endeavour to prove ought to be turn'd out of the Church. One of the States of Parlament, to wit, the House of Lords, consisting of Dukes, Earls, and Viscounts, was removed. And deservedly were they removed; for they were not deputed to sit there by any Town or County, but reprefented themselves only; they had no Right over the People, but (as if they had been ordained for that very purpose) used frequently to oppose their Rights and Liberties. They were created by the King, they were his Companions, his Servants, and as it were, Shadows of him. He being removed, it was necessary they should be reduced to the same Level with the Body of the People, from amongst whom they took their rise. One part of the Parlament, and that the worst of all, ought not to have assumed that Power of judging and condemning the King. But I have told you already, that the House of Commons was not only the chief part of our Parlament, while we had Kings, but was a perfect and entire Parlament of it felf, without the temporal Lords, much more without the Bishops. But, The whole House of Commons themselves were not admitted to have to do with the Trial of the King. To wit, that part of them was not admitted, that openly revolted to him in their Minds and Counfels; whom, tho' they stiled him their King, yet they had fo often acted against, as an Enemy. The Parlament of England, and the Deputies sent from the Parlament of Scatland, on the 13th of January, 1645, wrote to the King, in answer to a Letter of his, by which he defired a deceitful Truce, and that he might treat with them at London; that they could not admit him into that City, till he had made Satisfaction to the State for the civil War that he had raifed in the three Kingdoms, and for the Deaths of so many of his Subjects stain by his Order; and till he had agreed to a true and firm Peace upon fuch Terms as the Parlaments of both Kingdoms had offered him to often already, and should offer him again. He on the other hand either refused to hear, or by ambiguous Answers eluded their just and equal Proposals, tho' most humbly presented to him seven times over. The Parlament at last, after fo many years patience, lest the King should overturn the State by his Wiles and Delays, when in Prison, which he could not subdue in the Field, and left the vanquish'd Enemy, pleased with our Divisions, should recover himself, and triumph unexpectedly over his Conquerors, vote that for the future they would have no regard to him, that they would fend him no more Propofals, nor receive any from him: After which Vote, there were found even fome Members of Parlament, who out of the hatred they bore that invincible Army, whose Glory they envied, and which they would have had disbanded, and fent home with difgrace, after they had deserved so well of their Nation, and out of a fervile compliance with fome Seditious Ministers, finding their opportunity, when many, whom they knew to be otherwise minded than them-telves, having been sent by the House it self to suppress the *Presbyterians*, who began already to be turbulent, were abfent in the feveral Counties, with a strange Levity, not to fay Perfidiousness, vote that that inveterate Enemy of the State, who had nothing of a King but the Name, without giving any Satisfaction or Security, should be brought back to London, and restored to his Dignity and Government, as if he had deferved well of the Nation by what he had done. that they preferr'd the King before their Religion, their Liberty, and that very celebrated Covenant of theirs. What did they do in the mean time, who were found themselves, and saw such pernicious Councils on foot? Ought they therfore to have been wanting to the Nation, and not provide for its fafety, because the Infection had spread it felf even in their own House? But, who secluded those ill-affected Members? The English Army, you say: So that it was not an Army of Foreigners, but of most valiant, and faithful, honest Natives, whose Officers for the most part were Members of Parlament; and whom those good secluded Members would have feeluded their Country, and banished into Ireland; while in the mean time the Scots, whose Alliance began to be doubtful, had very confiderable Forces in four of our Northern Counties, and kept Garifons in the best Towns of those Parts, and had the King himself in Custody; whilst they likewife encouraged the tumultuating of those of their own Faction, who. did more than threaten the Parlament, both in City and Country, and through whose means not only a Civil, but a War with Scotland too shortly after brake out. If it has been always accounted praise-worthy in private Men to affift the State, and promote the public Good, whether by Advice or Action; our Army fure was in no fault, who being ordered by the Parlament to come to Town, obey'd and came, and when they were come, quell'd with eafe the Faction and Uproar of the King's Party, who fometimes threaten'd the House it felf. For things were brought to that pass, that of necessity either we must be run down by them, or they by us. They had on their fide most of the Shopkeepers and Handicrafts-men of London, and generally those of the Ministers, that were most factious. On our fide was the Army, whose Fidelity, Moderation, and Courage were fufficiently known. It being in our power by their means to retain our Liberty, our State, our common Safety, do you think we had not been Fools to have loft all by our negligence and tolly? They who had had places of Command in the King's Army, after their Party were fubdued, had laid down their Arms indeed against their wills, but continued Enemies to us in their Hearts; and they flock'd to Town, and were here watching all opportunities of renewing the War. With thefe Men, tho' they were the greatest Enemies they had in the world, and thirsted after their Blood, did the Presbyterians, because they were not permitted to exercise a Civil, as well as an Ecclesiastical Jurisdiction over all others, hold fecret Correspondence, and took measures very unworthy of what they had formerly both faid and done; and they came to that Spleen at last, that they would rather enthral themselves to the King again, than admit their own Brethren to share in their Liberty, which they likewise had purchafed at the price of their own Blood; they chose rather to be lorded over once more by a Tyrant, polluted with the Blood of fo many of his own Subjects, and who was enraged, and breath'd out nothing but revenge against those of them that were left, than endure their Brethren and Friends to be upon the fquare with them. The Independents, as they are called, were the only men, that from first to last kept to their point, and knew what use to make of their Victory.

They refus'd (and wifely, in my opinion) to make him King again, being then an Enemy; who when he was their King, had made himfelf their Enemy: Nor were they ever the less averse to a Peace, but they very prudently dreaded a new War, or a perpetual Slavery under the name of a Peace. To load our Army with the more reproaches, you begin a filly confused Narrative of our Affairs; in which tho' I find many things false, many things frivolous, many things laid to our charge, for which we rather merit; yet I think it will be to no purpose for me to write a true relation, in answer to your false one. For you and I are arguing, not writing Historics, and both fides will believe our reasons, but not our narrative; and indeed the nature of the things themselves is such, that they cannot be related as they ought to be, but in a fet History; so that I think it better, as Salust said of Carthage, rather to say nothing at all, than to say but a little of things of this weight and importance. Nay, and I scorn so much as to mention the praifes of great Men, and of Almighty God himfelf (who in fo wonderful a course of Assairs ought to be frequently acknowledged) amongst your Slanders and Reproaches. I'll therfore only pick out fuch things as feem to have any colour of argument. You fay, the English and Scotch promised by a felemn Covenant, to preserve the Majesty of the King. But you omit upon what terms they promised it; to wit, if it might consist with the safety of their Religion and their Liberty. To both which, Religion and Liberty, that King was fo averie to his last breath, and watch'd all opportunities of gaining advantages upon them, that it was evident that his Life was dangerous to their Religion, and the certain ruin of their Liberty. But then you fall upon the King's Judges again: If we consider the thing aright, the conclusion of this abominable action must be imputed to the Independents, yet so as the Presbyterians may justly challenge the glory of its beginning and progress. Hark, ye Presbyterians, what good has it done you? How is your Innocence and Loyalty the more cleared by your feeming to much to abhor the putting the King to death? You yourfelves in the opinion of this everlasting talkative Advocate of the King, your Accuser, went more than halfway towards it; you were feen affing the fourth Aft and more, in this Tragedy; you may justly be charged with the King's death, since you show'd the way to it; 'twas you and only you that laid his head upon the Block. Wo be to you in the first place, if ever Charles his Posterity recover the Crown of England; assure your selves, you are like to be put in the black Lift. But pay your Vows to God, and love your Brethren who have delivered you, who have prevented that Calamity from falling upon you, who have faved you from inevitable ruin, tho' against your own wills. You are accused likewise for that some years ago you endeavour'd by sundry Petitions to leffen the King's authority, that you publish'd some seandalous Expressions of the King himself in the Papers you presented him with in the name of the Parlament; to wit, in that Declaration of the Lords and Commons of the 26th of May 1642, you declar'd openly in some mad Positions that breath'd nothing but Rebellion, what your thoughts were of the King's authority: Hotham by order of Parlament shut the gates of Hull against the King; you had a mind to make a trial by this first act of Rebellion how much the King would bear. What could this Man fay more, if it were his defign to reconcile the minds of all Englishmen to one another, and alienate them wholly from the King? for he gives them here to understand, that if ever the King be brought back, they must not only expect to be punish'd for his Father's death, but for the Petitions they made long ago, and fome Acts that past in full Parlament, concerning the putting down the Common-Prayer and Bishops, and that of the triennial Parlament, and feveral other things that were enacted with the greatest consent and applause of all the People that could be; all which will be look'd upon as the Seditions and mad Positions of the Presbyterians. But this vain fellow changes his mind all of a fudden; and what but of late, when he confidered it aright, he thought was to be imputed wholly to the Presbyterians, now that he confiders the same thing from first to last, he thinks the Independents were the fole Actors of it. But even now he told us, the Presbyterians took up Arms against the King, that by them he was beaten, taken captive, and put in prison: Now he says, this whole Dostrine of Rebellion is the Independents Principle. O! the faithfulness of this Man's Narrative! How consistent he is with himself! What need is there of a Counter-Narrative to this of his, that cuts its own throat? But if any man should question whether you are an honest Man or a Knave, let him read these following lines of yours: It is time to explain whence and at what time this Sett of Enemies to Kingthip first began. Why truly these rare Puritans began in Queen Elizabeth's time to crawl out of Hell, and disturb not only the Church, but the State likewise; for they are no less plagues to the latter than to the former. Now your very speech bewrays you to be a right Balaam; for where you defigned to ipit out the most bitter Poison you could, there unwittingly and against your will you have pronounc'd a Bleffing. For it's notoriously known all over England, that if any endeavoured to follow the example of those Churches, whether in France or Germany, which they accounted beit reformed, and to exercise the public Worship of God in a more pure manner, which our Bishops had almost univerfally corrupted with their Ceremonies and Superstitions; or if any seemed either in point of Religion or Morality to be better than others, fuch persons were by the Favourers of Episcopacy termed Puritans. These are they whose Principles you fay are fo opposite to Kingship. Nor are they the only persons, most of the reformed Religion, that have not sucked in the rest of their principles, yet seem to have approved of those that strike at kingly Government. So that while you inveigh bitterly against the Independents, and endeavour to separate them from Christ's flock, with the same breath you praise them; and those Principles which almost every where you affirm to be peculiar to the Independents, here you confels have been approved of by most of the reformed Religion. Nay, you are arrived to that degree of impudence, impiety and apostacy, that though formerly you maintained Bishops ought to be extirpated out of the Church, root and branch, as fo many pefts and limbs of Antichrift, here you fay the King ought to protect them, for the faving of his Coronation-Oath. You cannot show your felf a more infamous Villain than you have done already, but by abjuring the Protostant Reformed Religion, to which you are a scandal. Whereas you tax us with giving a Toleration of all Sests and Herefies, you ought not to find fault with us for that; fince the Church bears with fuch a profligate Wretch as you your felf, fuch a vain Fellow, fuch a Lyar, fuch a Mercenary Slanderer, fuch an Apostate, one who has the impudence to affirm, that the best and most pious of Christians, and even most of those who profess the reformed Religion, are crept out of Hell, because they differ in opinion from you. I had best pass by the Calumni's that fill up the rest of this Chapter, and those prodigious Tenets that you ascribe to the *Independents*, to render them odious; for neither do they at all concern the Caufe you have in hand, and they are such for the most part as deferve to be laugh'd at, and despised, rather than receive a serious Anfwer.

CHAP. XI.

TOU feem to begin this eleventh Chapter, Salmafius, though with no modefty, yet with some sense of your weakness and trisling in this Discourie. For wheras you proposed to your self to enquire in this place, by what authority fentence was given against the King? you add immediately, which no body expected from you, that 'tis in vain to make any such enquiry; to wit, because the quality of the persons that did it, leaves hardly any room for such a quistion. And therfore as you have been found guilty of a great deal of Impudence and Sauciness in the undertaking of this Cause, so since you seem here conscious of your own impertinence, I shall give you the shorter Answer. To your question then; by what authority the House of Commons either condemn'd the King themselves, or delegated that Power to others; I answer, they did it by virtue of the fupreme Authority on Earth. How they come to have the Supreme Power, you may learn by what I have faid already, when I have refuted your Impertinencies upon that Subject. If you believed your felf that you could ever say enough upon any Subject, you would not be so tedious in repeating the same things fo many times over. And the House of Commons might delegate their Judicial Power by the fame reason, by which you say the King may delegate his, who received all he had from the People. Hence in that folemn League and Covenant that you object to us, the Parlaments of England and Scotland folerand protest and engage to each other, to punish the Traitors in such manner as the Supreme, Judicial Authority in both Nations, or Juch as Jhould have a delegated Power from them, should think sit. Now you hear the Parlaments of both Nations proceft with one voice, that they may delegate their Judicial Power, which they call

the Supreme; fo that you move a vain and frivolous Controverty about delegating this power. But, fay you, there were added to those Judges that were made choice of out of the House of Commons, some Officers of the Army, and it never was known that Soldiers had any right to try a Subjett for his life. I'll filence you in a very few words: You may remember that we are not now discourfing of a Subject, but of an Enemy; whom if a General of an Army, after he has taken him Prisoner, refolves to difpatch, would be be thought to proceed otherwife than according to Custom and Martial Law, if he himself with some of his Officers should fit upon him, and try and condemn him? An Enemy to a State made a Prisoner of War, cannot be lookt upon to be fo much as a Member, much lefs a King in that State. This is declared by that Sacred Law of St. Edward, which denies that a bad King is a King at all, or ought to be call'd fo. Wheras you fay, it was not the whole, but a part of the House of Commons that try'd and condemned the King, I give you this answer: The number of them, who gave their Votes for putting the King to death, was far greater than is necessary, according to the cuftom of our Parlaments, to transact the greatest Affairs of the Kingdom, in the absence of the rest; who fince they were absent through their own fault (for to revolt to the common Enemy in their hearts, is the worst fort of absence) their absence ought not to hinder the rest who continued saithful to the cause, from preferving the State; which when it was in a tottering condition, and almost quite reduced to Slavery and utter Ruin, the whole body of the People had at hift committed to their fidelity, prudence and courage. And they acted their parts like men; they fet themselves in opposition to the unruly wilfulness, the rage, the fecret defigns of an inveterate and exasperated King; they prefer'd the common Liberty and Safety before their own; they out-did all former Parlaments, they out-did all their Ancestors in Conduct, Magnanimity and Steddincis to their cause. Yet these very men did a great part of the People ungratefully defert in the midst of their undertaking, tho' they had promised them all fidelity, all the help and assistance they could afford them. These were for Slavery and Peace, with floth and luxury, upon any terms: Others demanded their Liberty, nor would accept of a Peace that was not fure and honourable. What fhould the Parlament do in this case? Ought they to have defended this part of the People, that was found, and continued faithful to them and their Country, or to have fided with those that deserted both? I know what you will say they ought to have done. You are not Eurylochus, but Elpenor, a miserable enchanted Beast, a filthy Swine, accustom'd to a fordid Slavery even under a Woman; fo that you have not the least relish of true Magnanimity, nor consequently of Liberty which is the effect of it: You would have all other men Slaves, because you find in your self no generous, ingenuous inclinations; you say nothing, you breathe nothing but what's mean and fervile. You raife another feruple, to wit, That he was the King of Scotland too, whom we condemn'd; as if he might therfore do what he would in England. But that you may conclude this Chapter, which of all others is the most weak and infipid, at least with some witty querk, There are two little words, fay you, that are made up of the same number of Letters, and differ only in the placing of them, but whose significations are wide asunder, to wit, Vis and Jus, (Might and Right.) 'Tis no great wonder that fuch a three-letter'd man as you, (Fur a Thief) should make such a Witticism upon three Letters: 'Tis the greater wonder (which yet you affert throughout your Book) that two things so directly opposite to one another as those two are, should yet meet and become one and the fame thing in Kings. For what violence was ever acted by Kings, which you do not affirm to be their Right? These are all the passages that I could pick out of nine long Pages, that I thought deserved an answer. The rest confins either of repetitions of things that have been answered more than once, or fuch as have no relation to the matter in hand. So that my being more brief in this Chapter than in the rest, is not to be imputed to want of diligence in me, which, how irkfome foever you are to me, I have not flackned, but to your tedious impertinence, so void of matter and sense.

CHAP. XII.

Wish, Salmasius, that you had left out this part of your Discourse concerning the King's crimes, which it had been more advisable for your felf and your party to have done; for I'm afraid left in giving you an answer to it, I should appear too sharp and severe upon him, now he his dead, and hath receives. I.

ved his punishment. But fince you chose rather to discourse considently and at large upon that Subject, I'll make you fenfible, that you could not have done a more inconfiderate thing, than to referve the worst part of your cause to the last, to wit, that of ripping up and enquiring into the King's Crimes; which when I shall have proved them to have been true and most exorbitant, they will render his memory unpleafant and odious to all good men, and imprint now in the close of the Controversy, a just hatred of you, who undertake his defence, on the Readers minds. Say you, His accusation may be divided into two parts, one is conversant about his Morals, the other taxeth him with such faults as he might commit in his public capacity. I'll be content to pass by in silence that part of his life that he spent in Banqueting, at Plays, and in the Conversation of Women; for what can there be in Luxury and Excefs, worth relating? And what would those things have been to us, if he had been a private person? But since he would be a King, as he could not live a private Life, so neither could his Vices be like those of a private Person. For in the first place, he did a great deal of mischief by his Example: In the fecond place, all that time that he fpent upon his lust, and his sports, which was a great part of his time, he stole from the State, the Government of which he had undertaken. Thirdly and laftly, he fquandered away vast Sums of Money, which were not his own, but the public Revenue of the Nation, in his domestic Luxury and Extravagance. So that in his private life at home he first began to be an ill King. But let us rather pass over to those Crimes that he is charged with on the account of milgovernment. Here you lament his being condemned as a Tyrant, a Traitor, and a Murderer. That he had no wrong done him, shall now be made appear. But first let us define a Tyrant, not according to vulgar conceits, but the judgment of Arifietle, and of all Learned Men. He is a Tyrant who regards his own welfare and profit only, and not that of the People. So Aristotic defines one in the Tenth Pook of his Ethics, and elsewhere, and so do very many others. Whether Charles regarded his own or the People's good, thefe few things of many that I shall but touch upon, will evince. When his Rents and other public Revenues of the Crown would not defray the Expences of the Court, he laid most heavy Taxes upon the People; and when they were fquandred away, he invented new ones; not for the benefit, honour, or desence of the State, but that he might hoard up, or lavish out in one House, the Riches and Wealth, not of one, but of three Nations. When at this rate he broke loofe, and afted without any colour of Law to warrant his proceedings, knowing that the Parlament was the only thing that could give him check, he endeavoured either wholly to lay afide the very calling of Parlaments, or calling them just as often, and no oftner, than to serve his own turn, to make them entirely at his devotion. Which Bridle when he had cast off himself, he put another Bridle upon the People; he put Garifons of German Horse and Irish Foot in many Towns and Cities, and that in time of Peace. Do you think he does not begin to look like a Tyrant? In which very thing, as in many other Particulars, which you have formerly given me occasion to instance (tho' you fcorn to have Charles compared with fo cruel a Tyrant as Nero) he resembled him extremely much. For Nero likewise often threatned to take away the Senate. Besides, he bore extreme hard upon the Confciences of good men, and compelled them to the use of Ceremonies and Superstitious Worship, borrowed from Popery, and by him reintroduced into the Church. They that would not conform, were imprisoned or banish'd. He made War upon the Scots twice for no other cause than that. By all these actions he has furely deserved the name of a Tyrant once over at least. Now I'll tell you why the word Traitor was put into his Indictment: When he affured his Parlament by Promifes, by Proclamations, by Imprecations, that he had no defign against the State, at that very time did he list Papists in Ireland, he fent a private Embassy to the King of Denmark to beg assistance from him of Arms, Horses and Men, expressy against the Parlament; and was endeavouring to raise an Army first in England, and then in Scotland. To the English he promifed the Plunder of the City of London; to the Scots, that the four Northern Counties should be added to Scotland, if they would but help him to get rid of the Parlament, by what means soever. These Projects not succeeding, he sent over one Dillon a Traitor, into Ireland with private Instructions to the Natives, to fall fuddenly upon all the English that inhabited there. These are the most remarkable inflances of his Treafons, not taken up upon hear-fay and idle reports, but discovered by Letters under his own Hand and Seal. And finally I suppose no

man will deny that he was a Murderer, by whose order the Irish took Arms, and put to death with most exquisite Torments, above a hundred thousand English, who lived peaceably by them, and without any apprehenfion of danger; and who raifed so great a Civil War in the other two Kingdoms. Add to all this, that at the Treaty in the Isle of Wight, the King openly took upon himself the guilt of the War, and clear'd the Parlament in the Confession he made there, which is publicly known. Thus you have in short why King Charles was adjudged a Tyrant, a Traitor, and a Murderer. But, fay you, why was he not declared fo before, neither in that Solemn League and Covenant, nor afterwards when he was deliver'd to them, either by the Presbyterians or the Independents, but on the other hand was received as a King ought to be, with all reverence? This very thing is fufficient to perfuade any rational man, that the Parlament entred not into any Councils of quite deposing the King, but as their last refuge, after they had suffered and undergone all that possibly they could, and had attempted all other ways and means. You alone endeavour maliciously to lay that to their charge, which to all good men cannot but evidence their great Patience, Moderation, and perhaps a too long forbearing with the King's Pride and Arrogance. But in the month of August, before the King suffer'd, the House of Commons, which then bore the only sway, and was govern'd by the Independents, wrote Letters to the Scots, in which they acquainted them that they never intended to alter the Form of Government that had obtain'd fo long in England under King, Lords, and Commons. You may see from hence, how little reason there is to afcribe the deposing of the King, to the principles of the Independents. They, that never used to diffemble and conceal their Tenets, even then, when they had the fole management of affairs, profess, That they never intended to alter the Government. But if afterwards a thing came into their minds, which at first they intended not, why might they not take fuch a course, tho' before not intended, as appear'd most advisable, and most for the Nation's Interest? Especially when they found that the King could not possibly be intreated or induced to affent to those just demands that they had made from time to time, and which were always the same from first to last. He perfished in those perverse sentiments with respect to Religion and his own Right, which he had all along espoused, and which were so destructive to us; not in the least altered from the man that he was, when in Peace and War, he did us all fo much mischief. If he assented to any thing, he gave no obscure hints that he did it against his will, and that whenever he should come into power again, he would look upon fuch his affent as null and void. The fame thing his Son declar'd by writing under his hand, when in those days he run away with part of the Flect, and so did the King himself by Letters to some of his own party in London. In the mean time, against the avowed sense of the Parlament, he struck up a private Peace with the Irish, the most barbarous Enemies imaginable to England, upon base dishonourable terms; but whenever he invited the English to Treaties of Peace, at those very times with all the power he had, and interest lie could make, he was preparing for War. In this case, what should they do, who were intrusted with the care of the Government? Ought they to have betrayed the fafety of us all to our most bitter Adversary? Or would you have had them left us to undergo the Calamities of another feven years War, not to fay worse? God put a better mind into them, of preferring, pursuant to that very solemn League and Covenant, their Religion, and Liberties, before those thoughts they once had, of not rejecting the King; for they had not gone fo far as to vote it; all which they faw at last (tho' indeed later than they might have done) could not possibly subfift, as long as the King continued King. The Parlament ought and must of necessity be entirely free, and at liberty to provide for the good of the Nation, as occasion requires; nor ought they so to be wedded to their first Sentiments, as to scruple the altering their minds, for their own, or the Nation's good, if God put an opportunity into their hands of procuring it. But the Scots were of another opinion; for they, in a Letter to Charles, the King's Son, call his Father a most Sacred Prince, and the putting him to death, a most execrable Villany. Do not you talk of the Scots, whom you know not; we know them well enough, and know the time, when they called that fame King, a most execrable Person, a Murderer, and Traitor; and the putting a Tyrant to death a most sacred action. Then you pick holes in the King's Charge, as not being properly penn'd; and you ask why we needed to call him a Traitor and a Murderer, after we had stiled him a Tyrant; fince the word Tyrant includes all the Crimes that may be: And then you explain to us grammatically and critically, what a Tyrant is. Away with those

Trifles, you Pedagogue, which that one definition of Ariftotle's, that has lately been cited, will utterly confound; and teach fuch a Doctor as you, That the word Tyrant (for all your concern is barely to have some understanding of words) may be applied to one, who is neither a Traitor nor a Murderer. But the Laws of England do not make it Treason in the King to stir up Sedition against himself or the People. Nor do they fay, That the Parlament can be guilty of Treaton by depofing a bad King, nor that any Parlament ever was fo, tho' they have often done it; but our Laws plainly and clearly declare, that a King may violate, diminish, nay, and wholly lose his Royalty. For that expression in the Law of St. Edward, of losing the name of a King, fignifies neither more nor less, than being deprived of the Kingly Office and Dignity; which befel Chilperic King of France, whose example, for illustration sake, is taken notice of in the Law it self. There is not a Lawyer amongst us that can deny, but that the highest Treason may be committed against the Kingdom as well as against the King. I appeal to Glanvile himself, whom you cite, 'If any man attempt to put the King to death, or raise 'Sedition in the Realm, it is High Treason.' So that Attempt of some Papists to blow up the Parlament-House, and the Lords and Commons there with Gunpowder, was by King James himself, and both Houses of Parlament, declar'd to be High Treason, not against the King only, but against the Parlament and the whole Kingdom. 'Twould be to no purpose to quote more of our Statutes, to prove so clear a Truth; which yet I could eafily do. For the thing it felf is ridiculous, and abfurd to imagine, That High Treason may be committed against the King, and not against the People, for whose good, may, and by whose leave, as I may say, the King is what he is: So that you babble over so many Statutes of ours, to no purpose; you toil and wallow in our Ancient Law-Books, to no purpose; for the Laws themselves stand or fall by Authority of Parlament, who always had power to confirm or repeal them; and the Parlament is the sole Judge of what is Rebellion, what High Treason (lesa Majestas) and what not. Majesty never was vested to that degree in the Person of the King, as not to be more conspicuous, and more august in Parlament, as I have often shown: But who can endure to hear fuch a fenfelefs Fellow, fuch a French Mountebank as you, declare what our Laws are? And, you English Fugitives, so many Bishops, Doctors, Lawyers, who pretend that all Learning and Ingenuous Literature is fled out of England with your felves, was there not one of you that could defend the King's Caufe and your own, and that in good Latin also, to be submitted to the judgment of other Nations, but that this brain-fick, beggarly Frenchman, must be hired to undertake the Defence of a poor indigent King, furrounded with fo many Infant-Priests and Doctors? This very thing, I affure you, will be a great imputation to you amongst Foreigners; and you will be thought defervedly to have lost that Cause you were fo far from being able to defend by Force of Arms, as that you cannot fo much as write in behalf of it. But now I come to you again, Good-man Goofecap, who scribble fo finely; if at least you are come to your felf again; for I find you here towards the latter end of your Book, in a deep fleep, and dreaming of fome voluntary Death or other, that's nothing to the purpose. Then you deny that 'tis possible for a King in his right wits to embroil his People in Seditions, to betray his own Forces to be flaughter'd by Enemies, and raise Factions against himself. All which things having been done by many Kings, and particularly by Charles the late King of England, you will no longer doubt, I hope, especially being addicted to Stoicism, but that all Tyrants, as well as profligate Villains, are downright mad. Hear what Horace says, 'Whoever through a senseless Stupidity, or any other ' cause whatsoever, hath his Understanding so blinded, as not to discern truth, the Stoics account of him as of a mad man: And fuch are whole Nations, fuch are Kings and Princes, fuch are all Mankind; except those very few that are "Wife." So that if you would clear King Charles from the Imputation of acting like a Mad-man, you must first vindicate his integrity, and show that he never acted like an ill man. But a King, you fay, cannot commit Treason against his own Subjetts and Vassals. In the first place, since we are as free as any People under Heaven, we will not be imposed upon by any Barbarous Custom of any other Nation whatfoever. In the fecond place, suppose we had been the King's Vasfals; that Relation would not have obliged us to endure a Tyrant to reign and lord it over us. All Subjection to Magistrates, as our own Laws declare, is circumscribed, and confined within the bounds of Honesty, and the Public Good. Read Leg. Hen. 1. Cap. 55. The obligation betwixt a Lord and his Tenants, is mutual, and

remains fo long as the Lord protects his Tenant; (this all our Lawyers tell us) but if the Lord be too fevere and cruel to his Tenant, and do him fome heinous Injury, The whole Relation betwixt them, and whatever Obligation the Tenant is under by having done Homage to his Lord, is utterly diffolv'd and extinguish'd. These are the very words of Bracton and Fleta. So that in some Case, the Law it self warrants even a Slave, or a Vaffal to oppose his Lord; and allows the Slave to kill him, if he vanquish him in Battle. If a City, or a whole Nation may not lawfully take this course with a Tyrant, the Condition of Freemen will be worse than that of Slaves. Then you go about to excuse King Charles's shedding of innocent Blood, partly by Murders committed by other Kings, and partly by foine Instances of Men put to death by them lawfully. For the matter of the Irish Massacre, you refer the Reader to 'Einau Broiding; and I refer you to Eiconoclastes. The Town of Rochel being taken, and the Townsmen betray'd, affistance shown, but not afforded them, you will not have laid at Charles's door; nor have I any thing to fay, whether he was faulty in that bufiness or not; he did mischief enough at home; we need not enquire into what Misdemeanors he was guilty of abroad. But you in the mean time would make all the Protestant Churches, that have at any time defended themselves by force of Arms against Princes, who were profess'd Enemies of their Religion, to have been guilty of Rebellion. Let them confider how much it concerns them for the maintaining their Ecclefiastical Discipline, and asferting their own Integrity, not to pass by so great an Indignity offer'd them by a Person bred up by and amongst themselves. That which troubles us most; is, that the English likewise were betray'd in that Expedition. He, who had design'd long ago to convert the Government of England into a Tyranny, thought he could not bring it to pass, till the Flower and Strength of the Military Power of the Nation were cut off. Another of his Crimes was, the caufing some words to be struck out of the usual Coronation-Oath, before he himself would take it. Unworthy and abominable Action! The Act was wicked in it felf; what shall be faid of him that undertakes to justify it? For, by the Eternal God, what greater breach of Faith, and Violation of all Laws, can possibly be imagin'd? What ought to be more facred to him, next to the Holy Sacraments themselves, than that Oath? Which of the two do you think the more flagitious Person, him that offends against the Law, or him that endeavours to make the Law equally guilty with himself? Or rather him who subverts the Law it self, that he may not seem to offend against it? For thus, that King violated that Oath which he ought most religiously to have sworn to; but that he might not seem openly and publicly to violate it, he craftily adulterated and corrupted it; and left he himfelf should be accounted perjur'd, he turn'd the very Oath into a Perjury. What other could be expected, than that his Reign would be full of Injustice, Crast, and Misfortune, who began it with fo deteftable an Injury to his People? And who durft pervert and adulterate that Law which he thought the only Obstacle that stood in his way, and hindred him from perverting all the rest of the Laws: But that Oath (thus you justify him) lays no other Obligation upon Kings, than the Laws themselves do; and Kings pretend that they will be bound and limited by Laws, the' indeed they are altogether from under the Power of Laws. Is it not prodigious, that a Man should dare to express himself so facrilegiously, and so fenselesly, as to affert that an Oath facredly sworn upon the Holy Evangelists, may be dispensed with, and fet aside as a little infignificant thing, without any Cause whatsoever! Charles himself refutes you, you Prodigy of Impiety! who thinking that Oath no light matter, chose rather by a Subterfuge to avoid the force of it, or by a Fallacy to elude it, than openly to violate it; and would rather falfify and corrupt the Outh, than manifestly forswear himself after he had taken it. But, The King indeed swears to his People, as the People do to him; but the People swear Fidelity to the King, not the King to them. Pretty Invention! Does not he that promises, and binds himself by an Oath to do anything to, or for another, oblige his Fidelity to them that require the Oath of him? Of a truth, every King swears Fidelity, and Service, and Obedience to the People, with respect to the performance of whatever he promises upon Oath to do. Then you run back to William the Conqueror, who was forced more than once to fwear to perform, not what he himfelf would, but what the People, and the great Men of the Realm requir'd of him. If many Kings are crown'd without the usual Solemnity, and reign without taking any Oath, the same thing may be said of the People; a great many of whom never took the Oath of Allegiance. If the King by not taking an Oath be at liberty, the People are fo too. And that part of the People that has fworn, fwore not to the King only, but to the Realm, and the Laws, by which the King came to his Crown; and no otherwise to the King, than whilst he should act according to those Laws, that the Common People, that is, the House of Commons, should chuse; (quas Vulgus elegerit.) For it were folly to alter the Phrase of our Law, and turn it into more genuine Latin. This Clause (quas Vulgus elegerit) Which the Commons shall chuse, Charles before he was crown'd, procured to be razed out. But, fay you, without the King's affent the People can chuse no Laws; and for this you cite two Statutes, viz. Anno 37 H. 6. Cap. 15. and 13 Edw. 4. Cap. 8. but these two Statutes are so far from appearing in our Statute-Books, that in the years you mention, neither of those Kings enacted any Laws at all. Go now and complain, that those Fugitives who pretended to furnish you with matter out of our Statutes, imposed upon you in it; and let other People in the mean time stand astonish'd at your Impudence and Vanity, who are not asham'd to pretend to be throughly vers'd in fuch Books, as it is fo evident you have never look'd into, nor fo much as feen. And that Claufe in the Coronation-Oath, which fuch a brazen-fac'd Brawler as you call fictitious, The King's Friends, you fay your felf, acknowledge that it may possibly be extant in some Ancient Copies, but that it grew into disuse, because it had no convenient signification. But for that very reason, did our Ancestors infert it in the Oath, that the Oath might have such a fignification as would not be for a Tyrant's conveniency. If it had really grown into difuse, which yet is most false, there was the greater need of reviving it; but even that would have been to no purpose, according to your Doctrine: For that Custom of taking an Oath, as Kings now-a-days generally use it, is no more, you say, than a bare Ceremony. And yer the King, when the Bishops were to be put down, pretended that he could not do it by reason of that Oath. And consequently, that reverend and facred Oath, as it ferves for the King's turn, or not, must be solemn and binding, or an empty Ceremony: Which I earnestly entreat my Country-men to take notice of, and to confider what manner of a King they are like to have, if he ever come back. For it would never have entered into the thoughts of this Raically foreign Grammarian to write a Discourse of the Rights of the Crown of England, unless both Charles Stuart now in Banishment, and tainted with his Father's Principles, and those Profligate Tutors that he has along with him, had industriously fuggested to him what they would have writ. They dictated to him, That the whole Parlament were liable to be proceeded against as Traitors, because they declared without the King's Affent all them to be Traitors, who had taken up Arms against the Parlament of England; and that Parlaments were but the King's Vassals: That th. Oath which our Kings take at their Coronation, is but a Ceremony: And why not that a Vaffal too? So that no reverence of Laws, no facredness of an Oath, will be sufficient to protect your Lives and Fortunes, either from the Exorbitance of a furious, or the Revenge of an exasperated Prince, who has been fo instructed from his Cradle, as to think Laws, Religion, nay, and Oaths themfelves, ought to be subject to his Will and Pleasure. How much better is it, and more becoming your felves, if you defire Riches, Liberty, Peace and Empire, to obtain them affuredly by your own Virtue, Industry, Prudence and Valour, than to long after, and hope for them in vain under the Rule of a King? They, who are of opinion that these things cannot be compais'd but under a King, and a Lord; it cannot well be expressed how mean, how base, I do not say, how unworthy thoughts they have of themselves; for in effect, what do rhey other than confess, that they themselves are lazy, weak, senseless, filly Persons, and fram'd for Slavery both in Body and Mind? And indeed all manner of Slavery is scandalous and disgraceful to a freeborn ingenious Person; but for you, after you have recovered your lost Liberty, by God's Assistance, and your own Arms; after the performance of fo many valiant Exploits, and the making fo remarkable an Example of a most Potent King, to desire to return again into a Condition of Bondage and Slavery, will not only be scandalous and difgraceful, but an impious and wicked thing; and equal to that of the *Ifraelites*, who for defiring to return to the *Egyptian* Slavery, were fo feverely punished for that fordid, flavish Temper of mind, and so many of them destroy'd by that God, who had been their Deliverer. But what fay you now, who would perfuade us to become Slaves? The King, fay you, had a Power of pardoning fueh as were guilty of Treason, and other Crimes; which evinces sufficiently that the King himself was under no Law. The King might indeed pardon Treason, not against the Kingdom, but against

againft himfelf; and fo may any body elfe pardon wrongs done to themfelves; and he might, perhaps, pardon some other Offences, tho' not always. But does it follow, because in some Cases he had the Right of saving a Malesactor's life, that therfore he must have a Right to destroy all good Men? If the King be impleaded in an inferior Court, he is not obliged to answer, but by his Attorney: Does it therfore follow, that when he is summoned by all his Subjects to appear in Parlament, he may chuse whether he will appear or no, and resuse to answer in Perion? You fay, That we endeavour to justify what we have done by the Hollanders Example; and upon this occasion, fearing the loss of that Stipend with which the Hollanders feed fuch a Murrain and Pest as you are, if by reviling the English, you should consequentially reslect upon them that maintain you, you endeavour to demonstrate how unlike their Actions and ours are. The Comparison that you make betwixt them, I refolve to omit (tho' many things in it are most false, and other thing; flattery all over, which yet you thought your felf obliged to put down, to deferve your Pension.) For the English think they need not alledge the Examples of Foreigners for their Juftification. They have Municipal Laws of their ov. 5, by which they have acted; Laws with relation to the matter in hand, the bert in the World: They have the Examples of their Ancestors, great and gallent Men, for their imitation, who never gave way to the Exorbitant Power of Princes, and who have put many of them to death, when their Government became insupportable. They were born free, they stand in need of no other Nation, they can make what Laws they please for their own good Government. One Law in particular they have a great veneration for, and a very Ancient one it is, enacted by Nature it felf, That all Human Laws, all Civil Right and Government must have a respect to the lasety and welfare of good Men, and not be fubject to the Lusts of Princes. From hence to the end of your Book, I find nothing but Rubbish and Trisles, pick'd out of the former Chapters; of which you have here raifed to great a heap, that I cannot imagine what other defign you could have in it, than to prefage the ruin of your whole Fabric. At last, after an infinite deal of tittle-tattle you make an end, calling God to witnefs, that you undertook the defence of this Caufe, not only because you were desired so to do, but because your own Conscience told you, that you could not possibly undertake the Defence of a better. Is it fit for you to intermeddle with our matters, with which you have nothing to do, because you were defired, when we our selves did not defire you? to reproach with contumelious and opprobrious Language, and in a Printed Book, the Supreme Magistracy of the English Nation, when according to the authority and power that they are intrufted with, they do but their duty within their own Jurisdiction, and all this without the least injury or provocation from them? for they did not fo much as know that there was fuch a man in the world as you.) And I pray by whom were you defired? By your Wife, I suppose, who, they say, exercises a Kingly Right and Jurisdiction over you; and whenever she has a mind to it (as Fulvia is made to speak in that ob-Rene Epigram, that you collected some Centoes out of, Pag. 320.) cries, Either write, or les's fight; That made you write perhaps, lest the Signal should be given. Or were you asked by Charles the Younger, and that profligate Gang of Vagabond Courtiers, and like a fecond Balaan call'd upon by another Balak to restore a desperate Cause by ill writing, that was lost by ill fighting? That may be; but there's this difference, for he was a wife understanding man, and rid upon an Ass that could speak, to curse the People of God: Thou art a very talkative Ass thy felf, and rid by a Woman, and being furrounded with the healed heads of the Bishops that heretofore thou hadst wounded, thou seemest to represent that Beast in the Revelation. But they fay that a little after you had written this Book, you repented of what you had done. 'Tis well if it be fo; and to make your Repentance public, I think the best course that you can take will be, for this long Book that you have writ, to take a Halter, and make one long Letter of your felf. So Judas Iscariot repented, to whom you are like; and that young Charles knew, which made him fend you the Purse, Judas his Badge; for he had heard before, and found afterward by experience, that you were an Apostate and a Devil. $\mathcal{J}u$ das betray'd Chrift himfelf, and you betray his Cliurch; you have taught heretofore that Bishops were Antichristian, and you are now revolted to their Party. You now undertake the Defence of their Cause, whom formerly you damn'd to the pit of Hell. Christ delivered all men from Bondage, and you endeavour to enflave all Mankind. Never question, fince you have been such

a Villain to God himfelf, his Church, and all Mankind in general, but that the same sate attends you that befel your equal, out of despair rather than repentance, to be weary of your life, and hang your felf, and burit afunder as he did; and to fend before-hand that faithless and treacherous Conscience of yours, that railing Confcience at good and Holy men, to that place of torment that's prepared for you. And now I think, through God's affistance, I have finished the Work I undertook, to wit, the defence of the Noble Actions of my Country-men at home and abroad, against the raging and envious madness of this distracted Sophister; and the afferting of the common Rights of the People against the unjust domination of Kings, not out of any hatred to Kings, but Tyrants: Nor have I purpoiely left unanswered any one argument alledged by my adversary, nor any one example or authority quoted by him, that seem'd to have any force in it, or the least colour of an argument. Perhaps I have been guilty rather of the other extreme, of replying to some of his fooleries and trifles, as if they were folid arguments, and therby may feem to have attributed more to them than they deferved. One thing yet remains to be done, which perhaps is of the greatest concern of all, and that is, That you, my Countrymen, refute this adverfary of yours yourfelves, which I do not fee any other means of your effecting, than by a constant endeavour to out-do all men's bad words by your own good deeds. When you laboured under more forts of oppression than one, you betook your felves to God for refuge, and he was graciously pleased to hear your most earnest Prayers and Desires. He has gloriously delivered you the first of Nations, from the two greatest mischies of this life, and most pernicious to Virtue, Tyranny and Superstition; he has endued you with greatness of mind to be the first of Mankind, who after having conquered their own King, and having had him delivered into their hands, have not fcrupled to condemn him judicially, and purfuant to that Sentence of Condemnation, to put him to death. After the performing to glorious an Action as this, you ought to do nothing that's mean and little, not fo much as to think of, much less to do any thing but what is great and fublime. Which to attain to, this is your only way; as you have fubdued your Enemies in the Field, fo to make appear, that unarmed, and in the highest outward Peace and Tranquillity, you of all Mankind are best able to fubdue Ambition, Avarice, the Love of Riches, and can best avoid the corruptions that Prosperity is apt to introduce, (which generally subdue and triumph over other Nations) to shew as great Justice, Temperance and Moderation in the maintaining your Liberty, as you have shown Courage in freeing your selves from Slavery. These are the only Arguments by which you will be able to evince that you are not fuch Perfons as this Fellow represents you, Traitors, Robbers, Murderers, Parricides, Madmen; that you did not put your King to death out of any ambitious design, or a desire of invading the Rights of others, not out of any seditious Principles or finister Ends; that it was not an act of Fury or Madness; but that it was wholly out of love to your Liberty, your Religion, to Justice, Vertuc, and your Country, that you punished a Tyrant. But if it should fall out otherwife (which God forbid) if as you have been valiant in War, you should grow debauch'd in Peace, you that have had such visible demonstrations of the Goodness of God to your selves, and his Wrath against your Enemies; and that you should not have learned by so eminent, so remarkable an Example before your Eyes, to fear God, and work Righteousness; for my part, I shall eafily grant and confess (for I cannot deny it) whatever ill men may speak or think of you, to be very true. And you will find in a little time, that God's Difpleafure against you, will be greater than it has been against your Adversaries, greater than his Grace and Favour has been to your felves, which you have had larger experience of, than any other Nation under Heaven.

TREATISE

O F

Civil Power in Ecclefiaftical Causes:

SHEWING,

That it is not Lawful for any Power on Earth to compel in Matters of Religion.

To the Parlament of the Commonwealth of England, with the Dominions thereof.

Have prepar'd, supreme Council, against the much-expected time of your fitting, this Treatise; which, though to all Christian Magistrates equally belonging, and therfore to have been written in the common Language of Christendom, natural Duty and Assection hath confined, and dedicated first to my own Nation; and in a season wherin the timely reading therof, to the easier accomplishment of your great work, may save you much labour and interruption: of two parts usually proposed, Civil and Ecclefiaftical, recommending Civil only to your proper care, Ecclefiaftcal to them only from whom it takes both that Name and Nature. Yet not for this cause only do I require or trust to find acceptance, but in a twofold respect besides: first, as bringing clear Evidence of Scripture and Protestant Maxims to the Parlament of England, who in all their late Acts, upon occasion, have profess'd to affert only the true Protestant Christian Religion, as it is contain'd in the holy Scriptures: next, in regard that your Power being but for a time, and having in yourselves a Christian Liberty of your own. but for a time, and having in yourfelves a Christian Liberty of your own, which at one time or other may be oppress'd, therof truly sensible, it will concern you while you are in Power, fo to regard other Mens Consciences, as you would your own should be regarded in the power of others; and to consider that any Law against Conscience is alike in sorce against any Conscience, and fo may one way or other justly redound upon your selves. One advantage I make no doubt of, that I shall write to many eminent Persons of your number, already perfect and refolv'd in this important Article of Christianity. Some of whom I remember to have heard often for feveral Years, at a Council next in Authority to your own, fo well joining Religion with civil Prudence, and yet fo well distinguishing the different Power of either; and this not only voting, but frequently reasoning why it should be so, that if any there prefent had been before of an opinion contrary, he might doubtless have departed thence a Convert in that point, and have confess'd, that then both Commonwealth and Religion will at length, if ever, flourish in Christendom, when either they who govern difcern between Civil and Religious, or they only who fo difcern shall be admitted to govern. Till then, nothing but Troubles, Persecutions, Commotions can be expected, the inward decay of true Religion among ourselves, and the utter overthrow at last by a common Enemy. Of Civil Liberty I have written heretofore by the appointment, and not without the approbation of Civil Power: of Christian Liberty I write now, which others long fince having done with all freedom under Heathen Em-Aaaa perors, Vol. I.

perors, I shall do wrong to suspect, that I now shall with less, under Christian Governors, and such especially as profess openly their defence of Christian Liberty; although I write this, not otherwise appointed or induced, than by an inward persuasion of the Christian Duty, which I may usefully discharge herin to the common Lord and Master of us all, and the certain hope of his approbation, first and chiefest to be sought: In the hand of whose Providence I remain, praying all success and good event on your public Councils, to the defence of true Religion and our Civil Rights.

A Treatise of Civil Power in Ecclesiastical Causes.

WO things there be which have been ever found working much Mifchief to the Church of God, and the Advancement of Truth;
Force on one fide reftraining, and Hire on the other fide corrupting the Teachers thereof. Few Ages have been fince the Ascension of our Saviour, wherin the one of these two, or both together have not prevail'd. It can be at no time therfore unfeafonable to speak of these things; fince by them the Church is either in continual Detriment and Oppression, or in continual danger. The former shall be at this time my Argument; the latter as I shall find God disposing me, and opportunity inviting. What I argue, shall be drawn from the Scripture only; and therin from true fundamental Principles of the Gospel, to knowing Christians undeniable. And if the Governors of this Commonwealth fince the rooting out of Prelates have made least use of Force in Religion, and most have favour'd Christian Liberty of any in this Island before them fince the first preaching of the Gospel, for which we are not to forget our Thanks to God, and their due Praise; they may, I doubt not, in this Treatise find that which not only will confirm them to defend still the Christian Liberty which we enjoy, but will incite them also to enlarge it, if in aught they yet straiten it. To them who perhaps hereafter, less experienc'd in Religion, may come to govern or give us Laws, this or other fuch, if they please, may be a timely instruction: however, to the Truth it will be at all times no unneedful Testimony; at least some discharge of that general Duty which no Christian but according to what he hath receiv'd, knows is requir'd of him, if he have aught more conducing to the advancement of Religion than what is utually endeavour'd, freely to impart it.

It will require no great labour of Exposition to unfold what is here meant by matters of Religion; being as soon apprehended as defin'd, such things as belong chiefly to the Knowledge and Service of God: and are either above the reach and light of Nature without Revelation from above, and therfore liable to be variously understood by human Reason, or such things as are enjoin'd or forbidden by divine Precept, which else by the Light of Reason would seem indifferent to be done or not done; and so likewise must needs appear to every Man as the Divine Precept is understood. Whence I here mean by Conscience or Religion, that full persuasion wherby we are affur'd that our Belief and Practice, as far as we are able to apprehend and probably make appear, is according to the Will of God and his holy Spirit within us, which we ought to follow much rather than any Law of Man, as not only his Word every where bids us, but the very Dictate of Reason tells us. Asis 4. 19. Whether it be right in the sight of God, to hearken to you more than to God, judge ye. That for Belief or Practice in Religion according to this consciencious Persussion, no Man ought to be punish'd or molested by any outward Force on Earth whatsoever, I distrust not, through God's implor'd Assistance,

to make plain by these following Arguments.

First, it cannot be deny'd, being the main Foundation of our Protestant Religion, that we of these Ages, having no other divine Rule or Authority from without us, warrantable to one another as a common ground, but the holy Scripture, and no other within us but the Illumination of the holy Spirit so

inter-

interpreting that Scripture as warrantable only to our felves, and to fuch whose Consciences we can so perfuade, can have no other ground in matters of Religion but only from the Scriptures. And these being not possible to be understood without this Divine Illumination, which no Man can know at all times to be in himself, much less to be at any time for certain in any other, it follows clearly, that no Man or body of Men in these times can be the infallible Judges or Determiners in matters of Religion to any other Mens Consciences but their own. And thersore those Bereaus are commended, 1875 17. 11. who after the preaching even of S. Paul, search'd the Scriptures daily, whether those things were so. Nor did they more than what God himself in many places commands us by the fame Apostle, to search, to try, to judge of these things our selves: And gives us reason also, Gal. 6. 4, 5. Let every Man prove his own Work, and then shall be have rejoicing in himself alone, and not in another: for every Manshall bear his own burden. If then we count it so ignorant and irreligious in the Papist to think himself discharg'd in God's account, believing only as the Church believes, how much greater Condemnation will it be to the Protestant his Condemner, to think himself justified, believing only as the State believes? With good cause therfore it is the general confent of all found Protestant Writers, that neither Traditions, Councils nor Canons of any visible Church, much less Edicts of any Magistrate or Civil Session, but the Scripture only, can be the final Judge or Rule in matters of Religion, and that only in the Conscience of every Christian to himself. Which Protestation made by the first public Reformers of our Religion against the Imperial Edicts of *Charles* the fish, imposing Church-Traditions without Scripture, gave first beginning to the name of Protestant; and with that name hath ever been receiv'd this Doctrine, which prefers the Scripture before the Church, and acknowledges none but the Scripture fole Interpreter of it felf to the Conscience. For if the Church be not sufficient to be implicity believ'd, as we hold it is not, what can there else be nam'd of more Authority than the Church but the Confcience, than which God only is greater, 1 Joh. 3. 20? But if any Man shall pretend, that the Scripture judges to his Conscience for other Men, he makes himself greater not only than the Church, but also than the Scripture, than the Consciences of other Men: a Presumption too high for any Mortal, since every true Christian, able to give a reason of his Faith, hath the word of God before him, the promis'd Holy Spirit, and the Mind of Christ within him, 1 Cor. 2. 16. a much better and tafer guide of Conscience, which as far as concerns himself he may far more certainly know than any outward Rule impos'd upon him by others whom he inwardly neither knows nor can know; at least knows nothing of them more fure than this one thing, that they cannot be his Judges in Religion. I Cor. 2.15. The spiritual Man judgeth all things, but he himself is judg'd of no Man. Chiefly for this cause do all true Protestants account the Pope Antichrist, for that he assumes to himself this Infallibility over both the Conscience and the Scripture; fitting in the Temple of God, as it were opposite to God, and exalting kimfelf above all that is called God, or is worshipped, 2 Theff. 2. 4. That is to fay, not only above all Judges and Magistrates, who though they be call'd Gods, are far beneath infallible; but also above God himself, by giving Law both to the Scripture, to the Conscience, and to the Spirit it self of God within us. Whenas we find, James 4. 12. There is one Lawgiver, who is able to fave and to destroy: Who art thou that judgest another? That Christ is the only Lawgiver of his Church, and that it is here meant in religious matters, no well-grounded Christian will deny. Thus also S. Paul, Rom. 14. 4. Who art thou that judgest the Servant of another? to his own Lord he standeth or falleth: but be shall stand; for God is able to make him stand. As therfore of one beyond expression bold and presumptuous, both these Apostles demand, Who art thou, that presum's to impose other Law or Judgment in Religion than the only Lawgiver and Judge Christ, who only can fave and destroy, gives to the Confcience? And the forecited place to the Theffalonians by compar'd Effects refolves us, that be he or they who or wherever they be or can be, they are of far less Authority than the Church, whom in these things as Protestants they receive not, and yet no less Antichrist in this main point of Antichristianifm, no less a Pope or Popedom than he at Rome, if not much more, by ferring. VOL. I. Aaaa2

fetting up supreme Interpreters of Scripture either those Doctors whom they follow, or which is far worse, themselves as a civil Papacy assuming unaccountable Supremacy to themselves, not in Civil only, but in Ecclesiastical Seeing then that in matters of Religion, as hath been proved, none can judge or determine here on Earth, no not Church-Governors themselves against the Consciences of other Believers, my Inference is, or rather not mine but our Saviour's own, that in those matters they neither can command nor use Constraint, lest they run rashly on a pernicious Consequene, sorewarn'd in that Parable, Mat. 13. from the 26th to the 31st Verse: Lest while ye gather up the Tares, ye root up also the Wheat with them. Let both grow together until the Harvest: and in the time of Harvest I will say to the Reapers, Gather ye together first the Tares, &c. Whereby he declares that this work neither his own Minifters nor any else can discerningly enough or judgingly perform without his own immediate direction, in his own fit season, and that they ought till then not to attempt it. Which is further confirmed 2 Cor. 1. 24. Not that we have dominion over your Faith, but are helpers of your Joy. If Apostles had no Dominion or constraining Power over Faith or Conscience, much less have ordinary Ministers, 1 Pet. 5. 2, 3. Feed the Flock of God, not by constraint, &c. neither as being Lords over God's Heritage. But some will object, that this overthrows all Church-discipline, all Censure of Errors, if no Man can determine. My Answer is, that what they hear is plain Scripture, which forbids not Churchfentence or determining, but as it ends in violence upon the Conscience un-convinc'd. Let whoso will interpret or determine, so it be according to true Church-discipline, which is exercis'd on them only who have willingly join'd themselves in that Covenant of Union, and proceeds only to a separation from the rest, proceeds never to any corporal inforcement or sorfeiture of Money, which in all spiritual things are the two Arms of Antichrist, not of the true Church; the one being an Inquisition, the other no better than a temporal indulgence of Sin for Money, whether by the Church exacted or by th Magistrate; both the one and the other a temporal Satisfaction for what Christ hath fatisfied eternally; a popish commuting of Penalty, corporal for spiritual: a satisfaction to Man, especially to the Magistrate, for what and to whom we owe none: these and more are the Injustices of force and fining in Religion, besides what I most insist on, the violation of God's express Commandment in the Gospel, as hath been shewn. Thus then if Church-Governors cannot use Force in Religion, though but for this reason, because they cannot infallibly determine to the Conscience without convincement, much less have Civil Magistrates authority to use Force where they can much less judge, unless they mean only to be the civil Executioners of them who have no Civil Power to give them fucli Commission, no nor yet Ecclesiastical, to any force or violence in Religion. To fum up all in brief, if we must believe as the Magistrate appoints, why not rather as the Church? If not as either without Convincement, how can Force be lawful? But some are ready to cry out, what shall then be done to Blasphemy? Them I would first exhort not thus to terrify and pose the People with a Greek word; but to teach them better what it is, being a most usual and common word in that Language to fignify any standar, any malicious or evil speaking, whether against God or Man, or any thing to good belonging: Blasphemy or evil speaking against God maliciously, is far from Conscience in Religion, according to that of Mar. 9. 39. There is none who doth a powerful work in my name, and can likely speak evil of me. If this fuffice not, I refer them to that prudent and well-deliberated Act, August 9. 1650. where the Parlament defines Blasphemy against God, as far as it is a Crime belonging to civil Judicature, plenius ac melius Chrysippo & Crantore; in plain English, more warily, more judiciously, more orthodoxally than twice their number of Divines have done in many a prolix Volume : although in all likelihood they whose whole study and profession these things are, should be most intelligent and authentic therin, as they are for the most part, yet neither they nor these unerring always, or infallible. But we shall not carry it thus; another Greek Apparition stands in our way, Heresy and Heretic; in like manner also rail'd at to the People as in a Tongue unknown, They should first interpret to them, that Heresy by what it signifies in that Language, is no word of evil note, meaning only the choice or following of

in Ecclesiastical Causes.

any opinion good or bad in Religion, or any other Learning: and thus not only in Heathen Authors, but in the New Testament it self without censure or blame; Acts 15. 5. Certain of the Heresy of the Pharisees which believ'd; and 26. 5. After the exactest Heresy of our Religion I liv'd a Pharisee. In which sense Presbyterian or Independent may without reproach be call'd a Herefy. it is mention'd with blame, it feems to differ little from Schifm; I Cor. 11. 18, 19. I hear that there be Schisms among you, &c. for there must also Heresies be among you, &c. Though fome who write of Herefy after their own heads, would make it far worse than Schism; whenas on the contrary, Schism signifies division, and in the worse sense; Heresy, choice only of one Opinion before another, which may be without Discord. In Apostolic times thersore, ere the Scripture was written, Herefy was a Doctrine maintain'd against the Doctrine by them deliver'd; which in these times can be no otherwise defin'd than a Doctrine maintain'd against the Light, which we now only have of the Scripture. Seeing therfore that no Man, no Synod, no Seffion of men, though call'd the Church, can judge definitively the fense of Scripture to another man's Conscience, which is well known to be a general maxim of the Protestant Religion; it follows plainly, that he who holds in Religion that belief, or those opinions which to his Conscience and utmost Understanding appear with most evidence or probability in the Scripture, though to others he feem erroneous, can no more be justly censur'd for a Heretic than his censurers; who do but the same thing themselves while they censure him for so doing. For ask them, or any Protestant, which hath most Authority, the Church or the Scripture? They will answer, doubtless, that the Scripture: and what hath most Authority, that no doubt but they will confess is to be follow'd. He then, who to his best apprehension follows the Scripture, though against any point of Doctrine by the whole Church receiv'd, is not the Heretic; but he who follows the Church against his Conscience and Persuasion grounded on the Scripture. To make this yet more undeniable, I shall only borrow a plain simile, the same which our own Writers, when they would demonstrate plainest, that we rightly prefer the Scripture before the Church, use frequently against the Papist in this manner. As the Samaritans believ'd Christ, first for the Woman's Word, but next and much rather for his own, fo we the Scripture: first on the Church's Word, but afterwards and much more for its own, as the Word of God; yea, the Church it felf we believe then for the Scripture. The inference of it felf follows: it by the Protestant Doctrine we believe the Scripture, not for the Church's faying, but for its own as the Word of God, then ought we to believe what in our Conscience we apprehend the Scripture to say, tho' the Visible Church, with all her Doctors, gainsay: and being taught to believe them only for the Scripture, they who so do are not Heretics, but the best Protestants: and by their opinions, whatever they be, can hurt no Protestant, whose Rule is not to receive them but from the Scripture, which to interpret convincingly to his own Conscience, none is able but himself guided by the Holy Spirit; and not so guided, none than he to himself can be a worse Deceiver. To Protestants therfore, whose common Rule and Touchstone is the Scripture, nothing can with more Conscience, more Equity, nothing more Protestantly can be permitted, than a free and lawful Debate at all times by Writing, Conference, or Disputation of what Opinion soever, disputable by Scripture: concluding, that no man in Religion is properly a Heretic at this day, but he who maintains Traditions or Opinions not probable by Scripture, who, for aught I know, is the Papift only; he the only Heretic, who counts a'l Heretics but himfelf. Such as these, indeed, were capitally punish'd by the Law of Moses, as the only true Heretics, Idolaters, plain and open deferters of God and his known Law: but in the Gospel such are punished by Excommunion only. Tit. 3. 10. An Heretic, after the first and second Adminition, reject. But they who think not this heavy enough, and underftand not that dreadful Awe and spiritual Efficacy which the Apostle hath express'd so highly to be in Church-discipline, 2 Cor. 10. of which anon, and think weakly that the Church of God cannot long subsist but in a bodily fear, for want of other proof will needs wrest that place of S. Paul, Rom. 13. to set up civil Inquisition, and give Power to the Magistrate both of civil Judgment, and punishment in causes Ecclesiastical. But let us see with what

firength of Argument; Let every Soul be fubjest to the higher Powers. First, how prove they that the Apostle means other Powers than such as they to whom he writes were then under; who meddled not at all in Ecclefiaftical Causes, unless as Tyrants and Persecuters? And from them, I hope, they will not derive either the right of Magistrates to judge in Spiritual things, or the duty of fuch our Obedience. How prove they next, that he intitles them here to spiritual Caufes, from whom he withheld, as much as in him lay, the judging of Civil? I Cor. 6. 1, &c. If he himself appeal'd to Casar, it was to judge his Innocence, not his Religion. For Rulers are not a Terror to good Works, but to the evil: then are they not a terror to Conscience, which is the rule or judge of good Works grounded on the Scripture. But Herefy, they fay, is reckon'd among evil Works, Gal. 5. 20. as if all evil Works were to be punish'd by the Magistrate; wherof this place, their own Citation, reckons up besides Heresy a sufficient number to consute them; Uncleanness, Wantonness, Enmity, Strife, Emulations, Animofities, Contentions, Entryings; all which are far more manifest to be judg'd by him than Herety, as they define it; and yet I suppose they will not fubject these evil Works, nor many more such-like, to his cognizance and punishment. Wilt thou then not be afraid of the Power? Do that which is good, and thou shalt have praise of the same. This shews that Religious matters are not here meant; wherin, from the Power here fpoken of, they could have no praise: For he is the Minister of God to thee for good: True; but in that office, and to that end, by those means which in this place must be clearly found, if from this place they intend to argue. And how, for thy good by forcing, oppressing, and infnaring thy Conscience? Many are the Ministers of God, and their Offices no lefs different than many: none more different than State and Church-Government. Who feeks to govern both, must needs be worse than any Lord Prelate, or Church-Pluralist; for he in his own Faculty and Profession, the other not in his own, and for the most part not thoroughly understood, makes himself supreme Lord or Pope of the Church, as far as his Civil Jurisdiction stretches; and all the Ministers of God therin, his Ministers, or his Curates rather in the Function only, not in the Government; while he himself assumes to rule by Civil Power things to be rul'd only by Spiritual: whenas this very Chapter Ver. 6. appointing him his peculiar Office, which requires utmost attendance, forbids him this worse than Church-plurality from that full and weighty Charge, wherin alone he is the Minister of God, attending continually on this very thing. To little purpose will they here instance Moses, who did all by immediate divine direction; no nor yet Asa, Jehosaphat, or Justa, who both might, when they pleas'd, receive answer from God, and had a Commonwealth by him deliver'd them, incorporated with a National Church, exercis'd more in bodily, than in spiritual Worship; so as that the Church might be call'd a Commonwealth, and the whole Commonwealth a Church: nothing of which can be faid of Christianity, deliver'd without the help of Magistrates, yea, in the midst of their opposition; how little then with any reference to them, or mention of them, fave only of our Obedience to their Civil Laws, as they countenance Good, and deter Evil? which is the proper work of the Magistrate following in the same Verse, and shews distinctly wherin he is the Minister of God, a revenger to execute Wrath on him that doth evil, But we must first know who it is that doth Evil; the Heretic they say among the first. Let it be known then certainly who is a Heretic; and that he who holds opinions in Religion profesfedly from Tradition, or his own Inventions, and not from Scripture, but rather against it, is the only Heretic: and yet though fuch, not always punishable by the Magistrate, unless he do evil against a Civil Law, properly so call'd, hath been already prov'd without need of Repetition. But if thou do that which is evil, be afraid. To do by Scripture and the Gospel, according to Conscience, is not to do evil; if we therof ought not to be afraid, he ought not by his judging to give cause: causes therfore of Religion are not here meant; For he beareth not the Sword in vain. Yes, altogether in vain, if it smite he knows not what; if that for Herefy, which not the Church it felf, much lefs he can determine absolutely to be so; if Truth for Error, being himself so often fallible, he bears the Sword not in vain only, but unjuilly and to evil. Be subject not only for Wrath, but for Conscience sake: How for Confeience fake, against Conscience? By all these reasons it appears

in Ecclefiastical Causes.

plainly that the Apostle in this place gives no Judgment or coercive Power to Magistrates, neither to those then, nor these now, in matters of Religion; and exhorts us no otherwise than he exhorted those Romans. It hath now twice beillen me to affert, through God's Affifance, this most wrested and vex'd Place of Scripture; heretofore against Salmasius, and regal Tyranny over the State; now against Erastus, and State-tyranny over the Church. If from fuch uncertain, or rather fuch improbable Grounds as thefe, they endue Magistracy with spiritual Judgment, they may as well invest him in the same spiritual kind with power of utmost Punishment, Excommunication; and then turn Spiritual into Corporal, as no worse Authors did than Chrysostom, Jerome, and Austin, whom Erasmus and others in their notes on the New Testament have cited, to interpret that cutting off which S. Paul wish'd to them who had brought back the Galatians to Circumcifion, no less than the amercement of their whole Virility: and Grotius adds, that this concising punishment of Circumcifers, became a Penal Law therupon among the Visigoths: a dangerous example of beginning in the Spirit to end fo in the Flesh; wheras that cutting off much like ier feems meant a cutting off from the Church, not unufually fo term'd in Scripture, and a zealous imprecation, not a command. But I have mention'd this Paffage, to shew how absurd they often prove, who have not learn'd to distinguish rightly between Civil Power and Ecclesiastical. How many Perfecutions then, Imprisonments, Banishments, Penalties, and Stripes; how much bloodshed have the forcers of Conscience to answer for, and Protestants rather than Papists! For the Papist, judging by his Principles, punishes them who believe not as the Church believes, though against the Scripture; but the Protestant, teaching every one to believe the Scripture, though against the Church, counts Heretical, and perfecutes against his own Principles, them who in any particular so believe as he in general teaches them; them who most honour and believe divine Scripture, but not against it any human Interpretation though univerfal; them who interpret Scripture only to themselves, which by his own position, none but they to themselves can interpret: them who use the Scripture no otherwise by his own Doctrine to their Edification, than he himself ules it to their punishing; and so whom his Doctrine acknowledges a true Believer, his Discipline persecutes as a Heretic. The Papist exacts our belief as to the Church due above Scripture; and by the Church, which is the whole People of God, understands the Pope, the general Councils, prelatical only, and the furnam'd Fathers: but the forcing Protestant, though he deny fuch belief to any Church whatfoever, yet takes it to himfelf and his Teachers, of far less Authority than to be call'd the Church, and above Scripture believ'd; which renders his practice both contrary to his Belief, and far worse than that Belief which he condemns in the Papift. By all which well confider'd, the more he professes to be a true Protestant, the more he hath to anfwer for his perfecuting than a Papist. No Protestant therfore, of what Sect foever, following Scripture only, which is the common Sect wherin they all agree, and the granted rule of every man's Confeience to himfelf, ought, by the common Doctrine of Protestants, to be forc'd or molested for Religion. But as for Popery and Idolatry, why they also may not hence plead to be to-lerated, I have much less to say. Their Religion the more consider'd, the less can be acknowledg'd a Religion; but a Roman Principality rather, endeavouring to keep up her old univerfal Dominion under a new name, and meer shadow of a Catholic Religion; being indeed more rightly nam'd a Catholic Herely against the Scripture, supported mainly by a civil, and except in Rome, by a foreign Power: justly therfore to be suspected, not tolerated by the Magistrate of another Country. Besides, of an implicit Faith which they profess, the Conscience also becomes implicit, and so by voluntary servitude to man's Law, forseits her Christian Liberty. Who then can plead for such a Conscience, as being implicitly enthral'd to man instead of God, almost becomes no Conscience, as the Will not free, becomes no Will? Nevertheless, if they ought not to be tolerated, it is for just reason of State, more than for Religion; which they who force, though professing to be Protestants, descrive as little to be tolerated themselves, being no less guilty of Popery, in the most Popish Point. Lastly, for Idolatry, who knows it not to be evideatly against all Scripture, both of the Old and New Testament, and therfore a true Herefy, or rather an Impiety, wherin a right Confcience can late nought to do; and the Works therof fo manifest, that a Magistrate can hardly err in prohibiting and quite removing at least the public and scandalous Use therof?

From the riddance of these Objections, I proceed yet to another Reason why it is unlawful for the Civil Magistrate to use Force in Matters of Religion; which is, because to judge in those things, though we should grant him able, which is prov'd he is not, yet as a Civil Magistrate he hath no right. Christ hath a Government of his own, sufficient of it self to all his Ends and Purpofes in governing his Church, but much different from that of the Civil Magistrate; and the difference in this very thing principally consists, that it governs not by outward Force; and that for two Reasons. First, Because it deals only with the inward Man and his Actions, which are all Spiritual, and to outward Force not liable. 2dly, To flew us the Divine Excellence of his Spiritual Kingdom, able, without worldly Force, to fubdue all the Powers and Kingdoms of this World, which are upheld by outward Force only. That the inward Man is nothing else but the inward part of Man, his Understanding and his Will; and that his Actions thence proceeding, yet not fimply thence, but from the Work of Divine Grace upon them, are the whole Matter of Religion under the Gofpel, will appear plainly by confidering what that Religion is; whence we shall perceive yet more plainly that it cannot be forc'd. What Evangelic Religion is, is told in two words, *Faith* and *Charity*, or *Belief* and *Practice*. That both these flow, either, the one from the Understanding, the other from the Will, or both jointly from both; once indeed naturally free, but now only as they are regenerate and wrought on by Divine Grace, is in part evident to common Sense and Principles unquestioned, the rest by Scripture: Concerning our Belief, Mat. 16. 17. Flesh and Blood hath not revealed is unto thee, but my Father which is in Heaven. Concerning our practice, as it is religious, and not meerly civil, Gal. 5. 22, 23. and other places, declare it to be the Fruit of the Spirit only. Nay, our whole practical Duty in Religion is contain'd in Charity, or the Love of God and our Neighbour, no way to be forc'd, yet the fulfilling of the whole Law; that is to fay, our whole practice in Religion. If then both our Belief and Practice, which comprehend our whole Religion, flow from Faculties of the inward Man, free and unconftrainable of themselves by Nature, and our Practice not only from Faculcies endu'd with freedom, but from Love and Charity besides, incapable of Force, and all these things by Transgression lost, but renewed and regenerated in us by the Power and Gift of God alone; how can fuch Religion as this admit of Force from Man, or Force be any way apply'd to fuch Religion, especially under the free Offer of Grace in the Gospel, but it must forthwith frustrate and make of no effect, both the Religion and the Gospel? And that to compel outward Profession, which they will fay perhaps ought to be compell'd, though inward Religion cannot, is to compel Hypocrify, not to advance Religion, shall yet, though of it self-clear enough, be ere the conclusion further manifest. The other reason why Christ rejects outward Force in the Government of his Church, is, as I said before, to show us the Divine Excellence of his Spiritual Kingdom, able without worldly Force to fubdue all the Powers and Kingdoms of this World, which are upheld by outward Force only: By which to uphold Religion otherwife than to defend the Religious from outward Violence, is no Service to Christ or his Kingdom, but rather a Difparagement, and degrades it from a Divine and Spiritual Kingdom, to a Kingdom of this World: which he denies it to be, because it needs not Force to confirm it: Joh. 18.36. If my Kingdom were of this World, then would my Servants fight, that I should not be delivered to the Jews. This proves the Kingdom of Christ not govern'd by outward Force, as being none of this World, whose Kingdoms are maintain'd all by Force only: and yet disproves not that a Christian Commonwealth may defend it self against outward Force, in the Cause of Religion as well as in any other; though Christ himself coming purposely to die for us, would not be so defended. 1 Cor. 1. 27. God hath cho-Jen the weak things of the World, to confound the things which are mighty. Then furely he hath not chosen the Force of this World to fubdue Conscience, and confrientious Men, who in this World are counted weakest; but rather Con-

felence.

frience, as being weakest, to subdue and regulate Force, his Adversary, not his Aid or Instrument in governing the Church: 2 Cor. 10. 3, 4, 5, 6. For though we walk in the Flesh, we do not war after the Flesh: For the Weapons of our Warfare are not carnal, but mighty through God to the pulling down of Strong-bolds, casting down Imaginations, and every high thing that exalts itself against the knowledge of God, and bringing into captivity every thought to the obedience of Christ: And having in a readiness to avenge all disobedience. It is evident by the first and second Verses of this Chapter, and the Apostle here speaks of that Spinited Power by which Christ governs his Church, how all sufficient it is ritual Power by which Christ governs his Church, how all-sufficient it is, how powerful to reach the Conscience, and the inward Man with whom it chiefly deals, and whom no Power else can deal with. In comparison of which, as it is here thus magnificently deferib'd, how uneffectual and weak is outward Force with all her boifterous Tools, to the shame of those Christians, and especially those Churchmen, who to the exercising of Church-Discipline, never cease calling on the Civil Magistrate to interpose his sleshly Force? An Argument that all true ministerial and spiritual Power is dead within them; who think the Gospel, which both began and spread over the whole World for above three hundred Years, under heathen and perfecuting Emperors, cannot stand or continue, supported by the same Divine Presence and Protection, to the World's end, much easier under the defensive favour only of a Christian Magistrate, unless it be enacted and settled, as they call it, by the State, a Statute or State-Religion; and understand not that the Church itfelf cannot, much less the State, settle or impose one tittle of Religion upon our Obedience implicit, but can only recommend or propound it to our free and confcientious examination: unless they mean to fet the State higher than the Church in Religion, and with a gross Contradiction give to the State in their settling Petition, that command of our implicit Belief, which they deny in their fettled Confession, both to the State and to the Church. Let them cease then to importune and interrupt the Magistrate from attending to his own charge in Civil and Moral things, the settling of things Just, things Honest, the defence of things Religious, settled by the Churches within themselves; and the repressing of their Contraries, determinable by the common Light of Nature; which is not to constrain or to repress Religion probable by Scripture, but the Violaters and Perfecutors therof: Of all which things he hath enough and more than enough to do, left yet undone; for which the Land groans, and Justice goes to wrack the while. Let him also forbear Force where he hath no right to judge, for the Conscience is not his Province, lest a worse Woe arrive him, for worse offending than was denounc'd by our Saviour, Matth. 23. 23. against the Pharisees: Ye have forc'd the Confcience, which was not to be forc'd; but Judgment and Mercy ye have not executed; this ye should have done, and the other let alone. And fince it is the Counsel and set Purpose of God in the Gospel, by spiritual Means which are counted weak, to overcome all Power which refifts him; let them not go about to do that by worldly ftrength, which he hath decreed to do by those means which the World counts Weakness, lest they be again obnoxious to that Saying which in another place is also written of the Pharifees, Luke 7. 30. that they frustrated the Counsel of God. The main Plea is, and urg'd with much vehemence to their imitation, that the Kings of Judah, as I touch'd before, and especially Josiah, both judg'd and us'd Force in Religion: 2 Chron. 34. 33. He made all that were present in Ifrael to serve the Lord their God: an Argument, if it be well weigh'd, worse than that us'd by the salse Prophet Shemaia to the High Priest, that in imitation of Jehoiada, he ought to put Jeremiah in the Stocks, Jer. 29. 24, 26, &c. for which he receiv'd his due Denouncement from God. But to this besides I return a three-sold Answer: First, That the State of Religion under the Gospel is far differing from what it was under the Law; then was the State of Rigour, Childhood, Bondage and Works, to all which Force was not unbefitting; now is the State of Grace, Manhood, Freedom and Faith, to all which belongs Willingness and Reason, not Force: the Law was then written on Tables of Stone, and to be perform'd according to the Letter, willingly or unwillingly; the Gospel, our new Covenant, upon the Heart of every Believer, to be interpreted only by the fenfe of Charity and inward Perswasion: The Law had no distinct Vol. I. Вььь GovernGovernment or Governors of Church and Commonwealth, but the Priefts and Levites judg'd in all Causes, not Ecclesiastical only, but Civil, Deut. 17. 8, &c. which under the Gospel is forbidden to all Church-Ministers, as a thing which Christ their Master in his Ministry disclaim'd, Luke 12. 14. as a thing beneath them, I Cor. 6. 4. and by many other Statutes, as to them who have a peculiar and far differing Government of their own. If not, why different the Governors? Why not Church-Ministers in State-Affairs, as well as State-Ministers in Church-Affairs? If Church and State shall be made one Flesh again as under the Law, let it be withal consider'd, that God who then join'd them, liath now fever'd them; that which, he fo ordaining, was then a lawful Conjunction, to fuch on either fide as join again what he hath fever'd, would be nothing now but their own presumptuous Fornication. Secondly, The Kings of Judah, and those Magistrates under the Law might have recourfe, as I faid before, to Divine Inspiration; which our Magistrates under the Gospel have not, more than to the same Spirit, which those whom they force have oft-times in greater measure than themselves: and so, instead of sorcing the Christian, they force the Holy Ghost; and, against that wise forewarning of Gamaliel, sight against God. Thirdly, Those Kings and Magistrates us'd Force in such things only as were undoubtedly known and forbidden in the Law of Moses, Idolatry and direct Apostacy from that national and strict enjoin'd Worship of God; wherof the corporal Punishment was by himself expresly set down: But Magistrates under the Gospel, our free, elective and rational Worship, are most commonly busest to force those things which in the Gospel are either left free, nay, sometimes abolish'd when by them compell'd, or elfe controverted equally by Writers on both fides, and fometimes with odds on that fide which is against them. By which means they either punish that which they ought to favour and protect, or that with corporal Punishment, and of their own inventing, which not they, but the Church hath receiv'd Command to chastife with a spiritual Rod only. Yet some are so eager in their Zeal of Forcing, that they result not to descend at length to the utmost shift of that parabolical Proof, Luke 14. 16, &c. Compel them to come in: Therfore Magistrates may compel in Religion. As if a Parable were to be strain'd through every Word or Phrase, and not expounded by the general scope therof; which is no other here than the earnest expression of God's Displeasure on those Recusant Jews, and his purpose to prefer the Gentiles on any terms before them; express'd here by the word Compel. But how compels he? Doubtless no other way than he draws, without which no Man can come to him, John 6. 44. and that is by the inward per-fwafive Motions of his Spirit, and by his Ministers; not by the outward compulfions of a Magiltrate or his Officers. The true People of Christ, as is foretold, Pfal. 110. 3. are a willing People in the day of his Power; then much more now when he rules all things by outward weakness, that both his inward Power and their Sincerity may the more appear. God loveth a cheerful Giver: then certainly is not pleas'd with an uncheerful Worshipper; as the very Words declare of his Evangelical Invitations, Esa. 55. 1. Ho, every one that thirsteth, come. John 7. 37. If any Manthirsteth. Rev. 3. 18. I counsel thee. And 22. 17. Whosover will, let him take the Water of Life freely. And in that grand Commission of Preaching, to invite all Nations, Mark 16. 16. as the Reward of them who come, to the Penalty of them who come not, is only Spiritual. But they bring now fome Reafon with their Force, which muft not pass unanswer'd, that the Church of Thyatira was blam'd, Rev. 2. 20. for fuffering the false Prophetess to teach and to seduce. I answer, That Seducement is to be hinder'd by fit and proper means ordain'd in Church-discipline, by instant and powerful Demonstration to the contrary; by opposing Truth to Error, no unequal match; Truth the strong, to Error the weak, though sly and shifting. Force is no honest Consutation, but uneffectual, and for the most part unsuccessful, oft-times fatal to them who use it: Sound Doctrine, diligently and duly taught, is of herfelf both fufficient, and of herfelf (if fome fecret Judgment of God hinder not) always prevalent against Seducers. This the Thyatirians had neglected, suffering, against Church-discipline, that Woman to teach and seduce among them: Civil Force they had not then in their power, being the Christian part only of that City, and then especially under

in Ecclesiastical Causes.

under one of those ten great Persecutions, wherof this the second was rais'd by Domitian: Force therfore in these Matters could not be requir'd of them, who were under Force themselves.

I have shewn that the Civil Power hath neither right, nor can do right, by forcing religious things: I will now shew the wrong it doth, by violating the fundamental Privilege of the Gospel, the new Birth-right of every true Believer, Christian Liberty: 2 Cor. 3. 17. Where the Spirit of the Lord is, there is Liberty. Gal. 4. 26. Jerusalem, which is above, is free; which is the Mother of us all. And v. 31. We are not Children of the Bond-woman, but of the free. It will be fufficient in this Place to fay no more of Christian Liberty, than that it fets us free not only from the Bondage of those Ceremonies, but also from the forcible imposition of those Circumstances, Place and Time, in the Worship of God: which though by him commanded in the old Law, yet in respect of that Verity and Freedom which is Evangelical, St. Paul comprehends both kinds alike, that is to fay, both Ceremony and Circumstance, under one and the same contemptuous name of weak and beggarly Rudiments, Gal. 4. 3, 9, 10. Col. 2. 8, with 16. conformable to what our Saviour himself taught, John 4. 21, 23. Neither in this Mountain, nor yet at Jerusalem. In Spirit and in Truth; for the Father seeketh such to worship him: that is to say, not only sincere of Heart, for such he sought ever; but also, as the words here chiefly import, not compell'd to Place, and by the same reason, not to any set Time, as his Apostle by the same Spirit hath taught us, Rom. 14. 6, &c. One Man esteemeth one day above another; another, &c. Gal. 4. 10. Ye observe Days and Months, &c. Col. 2. 16. These and other such Places in Scripture the best and learnedest reformed Writers have thought evident enough to instruct us in our Freedom, not only from Ceremonies, but from those Circumstances also, though impos'd with a confident Perfwasion of Morality in them, which they hold impossible to be in place or time. By what warrant then our Opinions and Practices herin are of late turn'd quite against all other Protestants, and that which is to them Orthodoxal, to us becomes fcandalous and punishable by Statute, I wish were once again better confider'd; if we mean not to proclaim a Schism in this point from the best and most reformed Churches abroad. who would feem more knowing, confess that these things are indifferent, but for that very cause by the Magistrate may be commanded. As if God of his special Grace in the Gospel had to this end freed us from his own Commandments in these things, that our Freedom should subject us to a more grievous Yoke, the Commandments of Men. As well may the Magistrate call that common or unclean which God hath cleans'd, forbidden to St. Peter, Acts 10. 15. as well may he loofen that which God hath streighten'd, or streighten that which God hath loofen'd, as he may injoin those things in Religion which God hath left free, and lay on that Yoke which God hath taken off. For he hath not only given us this Gift as a special Privilege and Excellence of the free Gospel above the servile Law, but strictly also hath commanded us to keep it and enjoy it. Gal. 5. 13. You are call'd to Liberty. 1 Cor. 7. 23. Be not made the Servants of Men. Gal. 5. 14. Stand fast therfore in the Liberty wherewith Christ hath made us free; and be not intangled again with the Yoke of Bondage. Neither is this a meer Command, but for the most part in these forecited Places, accompanied with the very weightiest and inmost Reasons of Christian Re igion: Rom. 14. 9, 10. For to this end Christ both died, and rose, and reviv'd, that he might be Lord both of the dead and living. But why dost thou judge thy Brother? &c. How prefumest thou to be his Lord, to be whose only Lord, at leaft in these things, Christ both died, and rose, and liv'd again? We shall all fland before the Judgment-seat of Christ. Why then dost thou not only judge, but persecute in these things for which we are to be accountable to the Tribunal of Christ only, our Lord and Law-giver? 1 Cor. 7. 23. To are bought with a price; be not made the Servants of Men. Some trivial price belike, and for tome frivolous pretences paid in their opinion, if bought and by him redeem'd who is God from what was once the Service of God, we shall be enthrall'd again, and forc'd by Men to what now is but the Service of Men. Gal. 4.31. with 5. 1. We are not Children of the Bond-woman, &c. stand fast therfore, &c. Col. 2. 8. Beware lest any Man spoil you, &c. after the Rudiments of the World, and not after Christ. Solid Reasons wherof are continu'd through the whole VOL. I. Bbbb 2 ChapChapter. Ver. 10. Te are compleat in him, which is the head of all Principality and Power: Not compleated therfore or made the more religious by those Ordinances of Civil Power, from which Christ their Head hath discharged us; blotting out the hand-writing of Ordinances that was against us, which was contrary to us; and took it out of the way, nailing it to bis Cross, ver. 14. blotting out Ordinances written by God himfelf, much more those so boldly written over again by Men: Ordinances which were against us, that is, against our Frailty, much more those which are against our Conscience. Let no Man therfore judge you in respect of, &c. v. 16. Gal. 4. 3. Go. Even so we, when we were Children, were in bondage under the Rudiments of the World: But when the fulness of Time was come, God fent forth his Son, &c. to redeem them that were under the Law, that we might receive the Adoption of Sons, &c. Wherfore thou art no more a Servant, but a Son, &c. But now, &c. how turn ye again to the weak and beggarly Rudiments, wherunto ye defire again to be in Bondage? Ye observe days, &c. Hence it plainly appears, that if we be not free, we are not Sons, but still Servants unadopted; and if we turn again to those weak and beggarly Rudiments, we are not free; yea, though willingly, and with a mifguided Conscience, we defire to be in bondage to them; how much more then if unwillingly and against our Conscience? Ill was our Condition, chang'd from Legal to Evangelical, and small Advantage gotten by the Gospel, if for the Spirit of Adoption to Freedom promis'd us, we receive again the Spirit of Bondage to Fear; if our Fear, which was then servile towards God only, must be now servile in Religion towards Men: Strange also and preposterous Fear, if when and wherin it hath attain'd by the Redemption of our Saviour to be filial only towards God, it must be now servile towards the Magistrate. Who by subjecting us to his Punishment in these things, brings back into Religion that Law of Terror and Satisfaction belonging now only to civil Crimes; and therby in effect abo-lishes the Gospel, by establishing again the Law to a far worse Yoke of Servitude upon us than before. It will therfore not misbecome the meanest Christian to put in mind Christian Magistrates, and so much the more freely by how much the more they defire to be thought Christian, (for they will be therby, as they ought to be in these things, the more our Brethren and the less our Lords) that they meddle not rashly with Christian Liberty, the Birth-right and outward Testimony of our Adoption: lest while they little think it, nay, think they do God service, they themselves, like the Sons of that Boud-Woman, be found perfecuting them who are free-born of the Spirit; and by a Sacrilege of not the least aggravation, bereaving them of that facred Liberty which our Saviour with his own Blood purchas'd for them.

A fourth Reason why the Magistrate ought not to use Force in Religion, I bring from the Confideration of all those ends which he can likely pretend to the interpoling of his Force therin: and those hardly can be other than first the Glory of God; next, either the spiritual Good of them whom he forces, or the temporal Punishment of their Scandal to others. As for the promoting of God's Glory, none, I think, will fay that his Glory ought to be promoted in religious things by unwarrantable means, much less by means contrary to what he hath commanded. That outward Force is fuch, and that God's Glory in the whole Administration of the Gospel according to his own Will and Counsel ought to be fulfill'd by Weakness, at least so refuted, not by Force; or if by Force inward and spiritual, not outward and corporeal, is already prov'd at large. That outward Force cannot tend to the Good of him who is forc'd in Religion, is unquestionable. For in Religion, whatever we do under the Gospel, we ought to be therof perswaded without scruple; and are justified by the Faith we have, not by the Work we do: Rom. 14. 5. Let every Man be fully perswaded in his own Mind. The other Reason which follows necessarily is obvious, Gal. 2. 16. and in many other places of St. Paul, as the Ground-work and Foundation of the whole Gospel, that we are justified by the Faith of Christ, and not by the Works of the Law. If not by the Works of God's Law, how then by the Injunctions of Man's Law? Surely Force cannot work Perfwasion, which is Faith; cannot therfore justify nor pacify the Conscience; and that which justifies not in the Gospel, condemns; is not only not good, but finful to do: Rom. 14. 23. What soever is not of Faith, is Sin. It concerns the Magistrate then to take heed how he forces in Religion conscientious Men: lest by compelling them to do that wherof they cannot be perfwaded, that wherin they cannot find themselves justified, but by their own Consciences condemn'd, instead of aiming at their spiritual Good, he forces them to do Evil; and while he thinks himself Asa, Josiah, Nehemiah, he be found Jeroboam, who caus'd Israel to sin; and therby draw upon his own head all those Sins and Ship-wracks of implicit Faith and Consormity, which he hath forc'd, and all the Wounds given to those little ones, whom to offend he will find worse one day than that violent drowning mentioned Mat. 18. 6. Lastly, as a Presace to force, it is the usual pretence, That although tender Consciences shall be tolerated, yet Scandals therby given shall not be unputionally to peoplest the nish'd, prophane and licentious Men shall not be encourag'd to neglect the Performance of religious and holy Duties by colour of any Law giving Liberty to tender Consciences. By which contrivance the way lies ready open to them herafter who may be fo minded, to take away by little and little that Liberty which Christ and his Gospel, not any Magistrate, hath right to give: though this kind of his giving be but to give with one hand, and take away with the other, which is a deluding, not a giving. As for Scandals, if any Man be offended at the conscientious Liberty of another, it is a taken Scandal, not a given. To heal one Conscience, we must not wound another: and Men must be exhorted to beware of Scandals in Christian Liberty, not forc'd by the Magistrate? lest while he goes about to take away the Scandal, which is uncertain whether given or taken, he take away our Liberty, which is the certain and the facred Gift of God, neither to be touch'd by him, nor to be parted with by us. None more cautious of giving Scandal than St. Paul. Yet while he made himself Servant to all, that he might gain the more, he made himself so of his own accord, was not made so by outward Force, testifying at the same time that he was free from all Men, 1 Cor. 9. 19. and therafter exhorts us also, Gal. 5. 13. Te were call'd to Liberty, &c. but by Love serve one another: then not by Force. As for that Fear, left prophane and licentious Men should be encouraged to omit the Performance of religious and holy Duties, how can that care belong to the Civil Magistrate, especially to his Force? For if prophane and licentious Persons must not neglect the Personance of religious and holy Duties, it implies, that fuch Duties they can perform, which no Protestant will assirm. They who mean the outward Persormance, may so explain it; and it will then appear yet more plainly, that such Performance of religious and holy Duties, especially by prophane and licentious Persons, is a dishonouring rather than a worshipping of God; and not only by him not required, but detested: Prov. 21. 27. The Sacrifice of the wicked is an Abomination; how much more when he bringeth it with a wicked Mind? To compel therfore the prophane to things holy in his Prophanenefs, is all one under the Gospel, as to have compell'd the unclean to facrifice in his Uncleanness under the Law. And I add withal, that to compel the licentious in his Licentiousness, and the consciencious against his Conscience, comes all to one; tends not to the Honour of God, but to the multiplying and the aggravating of Sin to them both. We read not that Christ ever exercis'd Force but once; and that was to drive prophane ones out of his Temple, not to force them in: and if their being there was an Offence, we find by many other Scriptures that their praying there was an Abomination: and yet to the fewifb Law that Nation, as a Servant, was oblig'd; but to the Gospel each Person is lest voluntary, call'd only, as a Son, by the preaching of the Word; not to be driven in by Edicts and Force of Arms. For if by the Apostle, Rom. 12. 1. we are befeech'd as Brethren by the Mercies of God to prefent our Bodies a living Sacrifice, holy, acceptable to God, which is our reasonable Service or Worship, then is no Man to be forc'd by the compulfive Laws of Men to prefent his Body a dead Sacrifice; and so under the Gospel most unholy and unacceptable, because it is his unreasonable Service, that is to say, not only unwilling but unconscionable. But if prophane and licentious Persons may not omit the Personmance of holy Duties, why may they not partake of holy things? Why are they prohibited the Lord's Supper, since both the one and the other Action may be outward, and outward Dusconscionable. on may be outward; and outward Performance of Duty may attain at least an outward Participation of Benefit? The Church denying them that Communion of Grace and Thankfgiving, as it justly doth, why doth the Magistrate compel

compel them to the Union of performing that which they neither truly can, being themselves unholy, and to do seemingly is both hateful to God, and perhaps no less dangerous to perform holy Duties irreligiously, than to reccive holy Signs or Sacraments unworthily? All prophane and licentious Men, fo known, can be confider'd but either fo without the Church as never yet within it, or departed thence of their own accord, or excommunicate: It never yet within the Church, whom the Apostle, and so consequently the Church, have nought to do to judge, as he professes, 1 Cor. 5. 12. then by what Authority doth the Magistrate judge; or, which is worse, compel in relation to the Church? If departed of his own accord, like that loft Sheep, Luke 15. 4, &c. the true Church either with her own or any borrow'd Force worries him not in again, but rather in all charitable manner fends after him; and if she find him, lays him gently on her Shoulders; bears him, yea bears his Burdens, his Errors, his Infirmities any way tolerable, so fulfilling the Law of Christ, Gal. 6.2. If excommunicate, whom the Church hath bid go out, in whose name doth the Magistrate compel to go in? The Church indeed hinders none from hearing in her public Congregation, for the doors are open to all: nor excommunicates to destruction; but, as much as in her lies, to a final faving. Her meaning therfore must needs be, that as her driving out brings on no outward Penalty, fo no outward Force or Penalty of an improper and only a destructive Power should drive in again her infectious Sheep; therfore fent out because infectious, and not driven in but with the danger not only of the whole and found, but also of his own utter perishing. Since Force neither instructs in Religion, nor begets Repentance or Amendment of Life, but on the contrary, Hardness of Heart, Formality, Hypocrify, and, as I faid before, every way increase of Sin, more and more alienates the Mind from a violent Religion, expelling out and compelling in, and reduces it to a condition like that which the Britains complain of in our Story, driven to and fro between the PiEts and the Sea. If after Excommunion he be found intractable, incurable, and will not hear the Church, he becomes as one never yet within her Pale, a Heathen or a Publican, Mat. 18. 17. not further to be judg'd, no not by the Magistrate, unless for civil Causes; but lest to the final Sentence of that Judge, whose coming shall be in flames of Fire; that Aleranathà, 1 Cor. 16. 22. than which to him so left nothing can be more dreadful, and oft-times to him particularly nothing more speedy, that is to say, the Lord cometh, in the mean while deliver'd up to Satan, 1 Cor. 5. 5. 1 Tim. 1. 20. that is, from the Fold of Christ and Kingdom of Grace to the World again, which is the Kingdom of Satan; and as he was receiv'd from Darkness to Light, and from the Power of Satan to God, Acts 26. 18. fo now deliver'd up again from Light to Darkness, and from God to the Power of Satan; yet 10 as is in both Places manifested, to the intent of faving him, brought sooner to Contrition by spiritual than by any corporal Severity. But grant it belonging any way to the Magistrate, that prophane and licentious Persons omit not the performance of holy Duties, which in them were odious to God even under the Law, much more now under the Gospel; yet ought his care both as a Magistrate and a Christian, to be much more that Conscience be not inwardly violated, than that Licence in these things be made outwardly conformable: fince his part is undoubtedly as a Christian, which puts him upon this Office much more than as a Magistrate, in all respects to have more care of the conscientious than of the prophane; and not for their sakes to take away (while they pretend to give) or to diminish the rightful Liberty of religious Con-

On these four scriptural Reasons, as on a firm Square, this Truth, the Right of Christian and Evangelic Liberty, will stand immoveable against all those pretended Consequences of Licence and Consusion, which for the most part Men most licentious and confus'd themselves, or such as whose Severity would be wifer than Divine Wisdom, are ever aptest to object against the ways of God: as if God without them, when he gave us this Liberty, knew not of the worst which these Men in their Arrogance pretend will follow: yet knowing all their worst, he gave us this Liberty as by him judg'd best. As to those Magistrates who think it their work to settle Religion, and those Ministers or others, who so oft call upon them to do so, I trust, that having well

in Ecclefiastical Causes.

consider'd what hath been here argu'd, neither they will continue in that intention, nor these in that expectation from them: when they shall find that the Settlement of Religion belongs only to each particular Church by perfwafive and spiritual means within itself, and that the Desence only of the Church belongs to the Magistrate. Had he once learnt not further to concern himself with Church-Affairs, half his Labour might be spar'd, and the Comhimself with Church-Affairs, half his Labour might be spar'd, and the Comhimself with Church-Affairs, half his Labour might be spar'd, and the Comhimself with Church-Affairs, half his Labour might be spar'd, and the Comhimself with Church-Affairs, half his Labour might be spar'd, and the Comhimself with Church-Affairs, half his Labour might be spar'd, and the Comhimself with Church-Affairs, half his Labour might be spar'd, and the Comhimself with Church-Affairs, half his Labour might be spar'd, and the Comhimself with Church-Affairs, half his Labour might be spar'd, and the Comhimself with Church-Affairs, half his Labour might be spar'd, and the Comhimself with Church-Affairs, half his Labour might be spar'd, and the Comhimself with Church-Affairs, half his Labour might be spar'd, and the Comhimself with Church-Affairs, half his Labour might be spar'd, and the Comhimself with Church-Affairs, half his Labour might be spar'd, and the Comhimself with Church-Affairs, half his Labour might be spar'd, and the Comhimself with Church-Affairs, half his Labour might be spar'd, and the Comhimself with Church-Affairs, half his Labour might be spar'd, and the Comhimself with Church-Affairs, half his Labour might be spar'd, and the Comhimself with Church-Affairs with the Church-Affairs monwealth better tended. To which end, that which I premis'd in the beginning, and in due place treated of more at large, I defire now concluding, that they would confider feriously what Religion is: and they will find it to be, in sum, both our Belief and our Practice depending upon God only. That there can be no place then left for the Magistrate or his Force in the Settlement of Religion, by appointing either what we shall believe in divine things, or practife in Religious, (neither of which things are in the power of Man either to perform himself, or to enable others) I perswade me in the Christian Ingenuity of all religious Men, the more they examine seriously, the more they will find clearly to be true: and find how false and deceivable that common faying is, which is fo much rely'd upon, that the Christian Magistrate is Custos utriusque Tabulæ, Keeper of both Tables, unless is meant by Keeper the Defender only: neither can that Maxim be maintain'd by any Proof or Argument which hath not in this Discourse first or last been refuted. For the two Tables, or ten Commandments, teach our Duty to God and our Neighbour from the Love of both; give Magistrates no Authority to force either: they feek that from the judicial Law, though on false grounds, especially in the first Table, as I have shewn; and both in first and second execute that Authority for the most part, not according to God's judicial Laws but their own. As for civil Crimes, and of the outward Man, which all are not, no not of those against the second Tab'e, as that of covering; in them what Power they have, they had from the beginning, long before Moses or the two Tables were in being. And whether they be not now as little in being to be kept by any Christian as they are two legal Tables, remains yet as undecided, as it is fure they never were yet deliver'd to the keeping of any Christian Magiftrate. But of these things perhaps more some other time; what may ferve the prefent hath been above discours'd sufficiently out of the Scriptures: and to those produc'd, might be added Testimonies, Examples, Experiences of all fucceeding Ages to these times, afferting this Doctrine: but having herin the Scripture fo copious and fo plain, we have all that can be properly call'd true Strength and Nerve; the rest would be but Pomp and Incumbrance. Pomp and Oftentation of reading is admir'd among the Vulgar: but doubtless in Matters of Religion he is learnedest who is plainest. The brevity I use, not exceeding a small Manual, will not therfore, I suppose, be thought the less confiderable, unless with them perhaps who think that great Books only can determine great Matters. I rather chose the common Rule, not to make much ado where less may serve. Which in Controversies, and those especially of Religion, would make them lefs tedious, and by confequence read oftner by many more, and with more Benefit.

CONSIDERATIONS

Touching the Likeliest Means to remove

HIRELINGS

OUT OF THE CHURGH.

Wherin is also discours'd

Of $\begin{cases} Tithes, \\ Church-Fees, \\ Church-Revenues; \end{cases}$

And whether any Maintenance of Ministers can be settled by Law.

To the Parlament of the Commonwealth of England, with the Dominions theref.

Wing to your Protection, supreme Senate, this liberty of writing which I have us'd these eighteen Years on all occasions to affert the just Rights and Freedoms both of Church and State, and safert the just Rights and Freedoms both of Church and State, and so far approv'd, as to have been trusted with the representment and defence of your Astions to all Christendom against an Adversary of no mean repute; to whom should Iaddress what I still publish on the same Argument, but to you, whose magnanimous Councils first open'd and unbound the Age from a double Bondage under Prelatical and Regal Tyranny: above our own hopes heartning us to look up at last like Men and Christians from the slavish Dejection wherin from Father to Son we were bred up and taught; and therby deserving of these Nations, if they be not barbarously ingrateful, to be acknowledged, next under God, the Authors and best Patrons of Religious and Civil Liberty, that ever these Islands brought forth? The care and tuition of whose Peace and Safety, after a short, but scandalous night of Interruption, is now again by a new dawning of God's miraculous Providence among us, revolv'd upon your shoulders. And to whom more appertain these Considerations which I propound, than to yourselves, and the Debate before you, though I trust of no difficulty, yet at present of great expectation, not whether ye will gratify, were it no more than so, but whether ye will hearken to the just Petition of many thousands best affected both to Religion and to this your Return, or whether ye will satisfy, which you never can, the covetous Pretences and Demands of insatiable Hirelings, whose Disassection ye well know both to yourselves and your Resolutions? That I, though among many others in this common Concernment, interpose to your Deliberations what my Thoughts also are, your own Judgment and the necessist therof hath given me the considence: which requests but this, that if I have prosperously, God so favouring me, desended the public Cause of this

Commonwealth to Foreigners, ye would not think the reason and ability, wheron ye trusted once, and repent not, your whole Reputation to the World, either grown lefs by more maturity and longer study, or lefs available in English than in another Tongue: but that if it suffic'd some years past to convince and fatisfy the uningag'd or other Nations in the justice of your doings, though then held paradoxal, it may as well fuffice now against weaker opposition in matters, except here in England with a spirituality of Men devoted to their temporal Gain, of no Controversy else among Protestants. Neither do I doubt, seeing daily the acceptance which they find who in their Petitions venture to bring advice also, and new models of a Commonwealth, but that you will interpret it much more the duty of a Christian to offer what his Conscience per-swades him may be of moment to the freedom and better constituting of the Church: fince it is a deed of highest charity to help undeceive the People, and a work worthiest your Authority, in all things else Authors, Assertors and now Recoverers of our Liberty, to deliver us, the only People of all Protestants left still undeliver'd from the Oppressions of a simonious decimating Clergy, who shame not, against the judgment and practice of all other Churches reform'd, to maintain, though very weakly, their Popish and oft refuted Positions; not in a point of Conscience, wherin they might be blameless, but in a point of Covetouiness and unjust claim to other Men's Goods; a Contention foul and odious in any Man, but most of all in Ministers of the Gospel, in whom Contention, though for their own right, scarce is allowable. Till which Grievances be remov'd, and Religion fet free from the monopoly of Hirelings, I dare affirm, that no Model whatfoever of a Commonwealth will prove successful or undisturbed; and to perswaded, implore Divine Affistance on your pious Counfe's and Proceedings to unanimity in this and all other Truth.

Vol. I. Ceec CON-

CONSIDERATIONS

TOUCHING

The likeliest Means to remove Hirelings out of the CHURCH.

HE former Treatise, which leads in this, began with two things ever found working much mischief to the Church of God, and the advancement of Truth; Force on the one fide restraining, and Hire on the other fide corrupting the Teachers therof. The latter of thefe is by much the more dangerous: for under Force, though no thank to the Forcers, true Religion oft-times best thrives and slourishes; but the Corruption of Teachers, most commonly the effect of Hire, is the very bane of Truth in them who are fo corrupted. Of Force not to be us'd in matters of Religion, I have already spoken; and so stated matters of Conscience and Religion in Faith and Divine Worship, and so sever'd them from Blasphemy and Herefy, the one being fuch properly as is defpiteful, the other fuch as stands not to the Rule of Scripture, and so both of them not matters of Religion, but rather against it, that to them who will yet use Force, this only choice can be lest, whether they will force them to believe, to whom it is not given from above, being not forc'd therto by any Principle of the Gospel, which is now the only Difpensation of God to all Men; or whether being Protestants, they will punish in those things wherin the Protestant Religion denies them to be Judges, either in themselves infallible, or to the Consciences of other Men; or whether, lastly, they think fit to punish Error, supposing they can be infallible that it is so, being not wilful, but conscientious, and, according to the best light of him who errs, grounded on Scripture: which kind of Error all Men religious, or but only reasonable, have thought worthier of pardon, and the growth theror to be prevented by spiritual Means and Church-Discipline, not by civil Laws and outward Force, since it is God only who gives us well to believe aright, as to believe at all; and by those means which he ordain'd sufficiently in his Church to the full execution of his divine Purpose in the Gospel. It remains now to speak of Hire, the other evil fo mischievous in Religion: wherof I promis'd then to speak further, when I should find God disposing me, and opportunity inviting. Opportunity I find now inviting; and apprehend therin the concurrence of God disposing; since the Maintenance of Church-Ministers, a thing not properly belonging to the Magistrate, and yet with such importunity call'd for, and expected from him, is at present under public debate. Wherin lest any thing may happen to be determin'd and establish'd prejudicial to the right and freedom of Church, or advantagious to fuch as may be found Hirelings therin, it will be now most seasonable, and in these matters wherin every Christian hath his free Suffrage, no way misbecoming Christian Meekness to offer freely, without disparagement to the wifest, such Advice as God shall incline him and enable him to propound: Since hertosore in Commonwealths of most same for Government, Civil Laws were not establish'd till they had been first for certain days publish'd to the view of all Men, that whoso pleas'd might speak freely his Opinion theres, and give in his Exceptions, e'er the Law could pass to a full establishment. And where ought this Equity to have more place, than in the liberty which is inseparable from Christian Religion? This, I am not ignorant, will be a work unpleasing to some: but what Truth is not hateful to some or other, as this, in likel:hood, will be to none but Hirelings. And if there be among them who hold it their duty to speak impartial Truth, as the work of their Ministry, though not perform'd without Money, let them not envy others who think the fame no lefs their duty by the general office of Christianity, to speak truth, as in all reason may be thought, more impartially and unsuspectedly without Money.

Hirelings out of the Church.

Hire of itself is neither a thing unlawful, nor a word of any evil note, fignilying no more than a due Recompence or Reward; as when our Saviour faith, the Labourer is worthy of his Hire. That which makes it to dangerous in the Church, and properly makes the Hireling, a word always of evil Signification, is either the excess theroi, or the undue manner of giving and taking it. What harm the excess therof brought to the Church, perhaps was not found by experience till the days of Constantine; who out of his zeal thinking he could be never too liberally a nursing Father of the Church, might be not unfitly faid to have either over-laid it or choak'd it in the Nursing. Which was foretold, as is recorded in Ecclefiaftical Tradition, by a Voice heard from Heaven, on the very day that those great Donations and Church Revenues were given, crying aloud, This day is Poison pour'd into the Church. Which the event foon after verify'd, as appears by another no less antient Observation, That Religion brought forth Wealth, and the Daughter devour'd the Mother. But long e'er Wealth came into the Church, fo foon as any Gain appear'd in Keligion, Hirelings were apparent; drawn in long before by the very fcent theref. Judas therfore, the first Hireling, for want of present Hire antwendble to his coveting, from the finall number of the meannels of fuch as then were the Religious, fold the Religion itself with the Founder therof, his Matter. Stmon Magus the next, in hope only that preaching and the Gitts of the Holy Ghost would prove gainful, offer'd beforehand a Sum of Money to obtain them. Not long after, as the Apollle foretold, Hirelings like Wolves came in by Herds; Lies 20. 29. For Iknow this, that after my departing shall grievous Welves enter in among you, not sparing the Flock. Tit. 1.11. Teaching things which they ought not, for fithy lucre's sake. 2 Pet. 2.3. And through Covercusing's shall they with seign'd words make Merchandise of you. Yet they taught not talk Doctrine only, but seeming Piety; 1 Tim. 6. 5. Supposing that Goin is Gedliness. Neither came they in of themselves only, but invited oft-times by a corrupt. Audience: 2 Tim. 4. 3. For the time will come, when they will not endure found Doctrine, but after their own Lufts they well beap to themselves Teachers having itching Ears: and they on the other fide, as full heaping to themselves Disciples, 11/15 20. 30. doubtless had as itching Palms: 2 Pct. 2. 15. Following the way of Balaam, the Son of Bosor, who lov'd the wages of unrighteousness. Jude 11. They ran greedily after the error of Balaam for reward. Thus we see that not only the excess of Hire in wealthiest times, but also the undue and vicious taking or giving it, though but small or mean, as in the Primitive Times, gave to Hirelings occafion, though not intended, yet sufficient to creep at first into the Church. Which argues also the difficulty, or rather the impossibility, to remove them quite, unless every Minister were, as St. Paul, contented to teach gratis; but few fuch are to be found. As therfore we cannot justly take away all Hire in the Church, because we cannot otherwise quite remove al-Hirelings, so are we not for the impossibility of removing them all, to use therfore no endea-vour that fewest may come in; but rather, in regard the Evil, do what we can, will always be incumbent and unavoidable, to use our utmost diligence how it may be least dangerous: which will be likeliest effected, if we consider, first, what recompence God hath ordain'd should be given to Ministers of the Church; (for that a recompence ought to be given them, and may by them justly be received, our Saviour himself from the very light of Reason and of Equity hath declar'd, Luke 10. 7. The Labourer is worthy of his Hire); next, by whom; and lattly, in what manner.
What Recompence ought be given to Church-Ministers, God hath answer-

What Recompence ought be given to Church-Ministers, God hath answerably ordain'd according to that difference which he hath manifestly put between those his two great Dispensations, the Law and the Gospel. Under the Law, he gave them Tithes; under the Gospel, having left all things in his Church, to Charity and Christian Freedom, he hath given them only what is justly given them. That, as well under the Gospel, as under the Law, say our English Divines, and they only of all Protestants, is Tithes; and they say true, it any Man be so minded to give them of his own the tenth or twentieth; but that the Law therfore of Tithes is in force under the Gospel, all other Protestant Divines, though equally concern'd, yet constantly deny. For although Hire to the Labourer be of moral and perpetual Right, yet that special kind of Hire, the tenth, can be of no Right or Necessity, but to that

Vol. I. Cocc 2 special

special Labour for which God ordain'd it. That special Labour was the Levitical and Ceremonial Service of the Tabernacle, Numb. 18. 21, 31. which is now abolish'd: the right therfore of that special Hire must needs be withal abolish'd, as being also Ceremonial. That Tithes were Ceremonial, is plain, not being given to the Levites till they had been first offer'd a Heave-Offering to the Lord, Ver. 24, 28. He then who by that Law brings Tithes into the Gopel, of necessity brings in withal a Sacrifice, and an Altar; without which Tithes by that Law were unfanctify'd and polluted, Ver. 32. and therfore never thought on in the first Christian times, till Ceremonies, Altars, and Oblations, by an ancienter Corruption were brought back long before. And yet the Jews, ever fince their Temple was destroy'd, though they have Rabbies and Teachers of their Law, yet pay no Tithes, as having no Levites to whom, no Temple where to pay them, no Altar wheron to hallow them: which argues that the Jews themselves never thought Tithes Moral, but Ceremonial only. That Christians therfore should take them up, when Jews have laid them down, must needs be very absurd and preposterous. Next, it is as clear in the fame Chapter, that the Priests and Levites had not Tithes for their labour only in the Tabernacle, but in regard they were to have no other Part nor Inheritance in the Land, Ver. 20, 24. and by that means for a Tenth, loft a Twelfth. But our Levites undergoing no fuch Law of Deprivement, can have no right to any fuch Compensation: nay, if by this Law they will have Tithes, can have no Inheritance of Land, but forfeit what they have. Besides this, Tithes were of two sorts, those of every Year, and those of every third Year: of the former, every one that brought his Tithes, was to ear his finire; Deut. 14. 23. Thou shalt cat before the Lord thy God, in the place which he shall chuse to place his name there, the Tithe of thy Corn, of thy Wine, and estime Oil, &c. Nay, though he could not bring his Tithe in kind, by reaten of his diffant dwelling from the Tabernacle or Temple, but was therby tore'd to turn it into Money, he was to bestow that Money on whatsoever pleas'd him, Oxen, Sheep, Wine, or strong Drink; and to eat and drink therof there before the Lord, both he and his Houshold, Ver. 24, 25, 26. As for the Tithes of every third Year, they were not given only to the Levite, but to the Stranger, the Fatherless, and the Widow, Ver, 28, 29. and Chap. 26. 12, 13. So that ours, if they will have Tithes, must admit of these sharers with them. Nay, these Tithes were not paid in at all to the Levite, but the Levite himself was to come with those his Fellow-Guests, and eat his share of them only at his House who provided them; and this not in regard of his ministerial Office, but because he had no Part nor Inheritance in the Land. Laftly, the Priests and Levites, a Tribe, were of a far different Constitution from this of our Ministers under the Gospel: in them were Orders and Degrees both by Family, Dignity, and Office, mainly diftinguish'd; the High Priest, his Brethren, and his Sons, to whom the Levites themselves paid Tithes, and of the best, were eminently superior, Numb. 18. 28, 29. No Protestant, I suppose, will liken one of our Ministers to a High Priest, but rather to a common Levite. Unless then, to keep their Tithes, they mean to bring back again Bishops, Archbishops, and the whole gang of Prelatry, to whom will they themselves pay Tithes, as by that Law it was a Sin to them if they did not? ver. 32. Certainly this must needs put them to a deep demur, while the defire of holding fast their Tithes without fin, may tempt them to bring back again Bishops, as the likeness of that Hierarchy that should receive Tithes from them; and the defire to pay none, may advise them to keep out of the Church all Orders above them. But if we have to do at prefent, as I suppose we have, with true resormed Protestants, not with Papists or Prelates, it will not be deny'd that in the Gospel there be but two ministerial Degrees, Presbyters and Deacons: which if they contend to have any fuccession, reference, or conformity with those two degrees under the Law, Priests and Levites, it must needs be tuch wherby our Prefbyters or Ministers may be answerable to Priests, and our Descons to Levites; by which Rule of Proportion it will follow, that we must pay our Tithes to the Deacons only, and they only to the Ministers. But if it be truer yet, that the Priefthood of Aaron typify'd a better reality, 1 Pet. 2. 5. fignitying the Christian true and holy Priesthood, to offer up spiritual Sacrifice; it follows hence, that we are now justly exempt from paying Tithes to any

Hirelings out of the Church.

who claim from Aaron, fince that Priesthood is in us now real, which in him was but a shadow. Seeing then by all this which hath been shewn, that the Law of Tithes is partly Ceremonial, as the work was for which they were given, partly judicial, not of common, but of particular right to the Tribe of Levi, nor to them alone, but to the Owner also and his Houshold, at the time of their Offering, and every three year to the Stranger, the Fatherlefs, and the Widow, their appointed Sharers, and that they were a Tribe of Priests and Deacons improperly compar'd to the Constitution of our Ministry; and the Tithes given by that People to those Deacons only; it follows that our Ministers at this day, being neither Priests nor Levites, nor fitly answering to either of them, can have no just title or pretence to Tithes, by any confequence drawn from the Law of Moses. But they think they have yet a betallic in the answer of Moses. ter Plea in the example of Melchifedec, who took Tithes of Abraham ere the Law was given; whence they would infer Tithes to be of Moral right. But they ought to know, or to remember, that not examples, but express Commands oblige our obedience to God or Man: next, that whatfoever was done in Religion before the Law written, is not prefently to be counted Moral, whenas to many things were then done both Ceremonial and Judaically judicial, that we need not doubt to conclude all times before Christ, more or lels under the Ceremonial Law. To what end ferv'd else those Altars and Sacrifices, that diffinction of clean and unclean entering into the Ark, Circumcifion, and the raifing up of Seed to the elder Brother? Gen. 38. 8. If these things be not Moral, though before the Law, how are Tithes, though me the example of Abraham and Melchisedee? But this instance is so far from being the just ground of a Law, that after all Circumstances duly weigh'd both from Gen. 14. and Heb. 7. it will not be allow'd them so much as an example. ample. Melchisedec, befiles his Priestly Benediction, brought with him Bread and Wine sufficient to resresh Abraham and his whole Army; incited to do so, first, by the secret Providence of God, intending him for a Type of Christ and his Priefthood; next, by his due thankfulness and honour to Abraham, who had freed his borders of Salem from a potent Enemy: Abreham on the other fide honours him with the tenth of all, that is to fay (for he tool; not fure his whole Estate with him to that War) of the Spoils, Heb. 7. 4. Incited here also by the same secret Providence, to signify as Grandsather of Levi, that the Levitical Priesthood was excelled by the Priesthood of Christ. For the giving of a Tenth declar'd, it feems, in those Countries and Times, him the greater who receiv'd it. That which next incited him, was partly his gratitude to requite the Present, partly his Reverence to the Person and his Benediction: to his Person, as a King and Priest, greater therfore than Abraham; who was a Priest also, but not a King. And who unhir'd will be so hardy as to say, that Abrabam at any other time ever paid him Tithes, either before or after; or had then, but for this accidental meeting and obligement; or that else Melchisedec had demanded or exacted them, or took them otherwise than as the voluntary gift of Abraham? But our Ministers, though neither Priests nor Kings more than any other Christian, greater in their own esteem than Abraham and all his Seed, for the verbal labour of a feventh day's Preachment, not bringing, like Melebifedee, Bread or Wine at their own cost, would not take only at the willing hand of Liberality or Gratitude, but require and exact as due, the tenth, not of Spoils, but of our whole Estates and Labours; nor once, but yearly. We then it feems, by the example of Abraham, must pay Tithes to these Melchisedees: but what if the Person of Abraham can neither no way represent us, or will oblige the Ministers to pay Tithes no lefs than other Men? Abraham had not only a Priest in his Loins, but was himself a Priest, and gave Tithes to Melchisedec either as Grandsather of Levi, or as Father of the faithful. If as Grandfather (though he understood it not) of Levi, he oblig'd not us, but Levi only, the inferior Priest, by that Homage (as the Apostle of the Hebrews clearly enough explains) to acknowledge the greater. And they who by Melchifedee claim from Arraham as Levi's Grandfather, have none to feek their Tithes of but the Levites, where they can find them. If Abraham, as Father of the Faithful, paid Tithes to Melebyedee, then certainly the Ministers also, if they be of that number, paid in him equally with the reft. Which may induce us to believe, that as both Abrakan and Melebisedee, so Tithes also in that Action Typical

Typical and Ceremonial, fignify'd nothing else but that subjection which all the Faithful, both Ministers and People, owe to Christ, our High Priest and King.

In any literal Senfe, from this Example, they never will be able to extort that the People in those days paid Tithes to Priests, but this only, that one Priest once in his Life, of Spoils only, and in requital partly of a liberal Prefent, partly of a Benediction, gave voluntary Tithes, not to a greater Priest than himself, as far as Abraham could then understand, but rather to a Priest and King join'd in one Person. They will reply, perhaps, that if one Priest paid Tithes to another, it must needs be understood that the People did no less to the Priest. But I shall easily remove that Necessity, by remembring them that in those days was no Priest, but the Father, or the first-born of each Family; and by consequence no People to pay him Tithes, but his own Children and Servants, who had not wher withal to pay him, but of his own. Yet grant that the People then paid Tithes, there will not yet be the like reason to enjoin us; they being then under Ceremonies, a meer Laity, we now under Christ, a Royal Priesthood, 1 Pet. 2. 9. as we are Coheirs, Kings and Priests with him, a Priest for ever after the order or manner of Melchisedec. As therfore Abraham paid Tithes to Melchisedec because Levi was in him, fo we ought to pay none because the true Nielchisedec is in us, and we in him who can pay to none greater, and hath freed us, by our Union with himfelf, from all compulsive Tributes and Taxes in his Church. Neither doth the collateral place, Heb. 7. make other use of this Story, than to prove Christ, personated by Melchisedec, a greater Priest than Aaron: Vers. 4. New consider how great this Man was, &c. and proves not in the least manner that Tithes be of any right to Ministers, but the contrary: first, the Levites had a Commandment to take Tithes of the People according to the Law, that is, of their Brethren, though they come out of the Loins of Abraham, Ver. 5. The Commandment then was, it feems, to take Tithes of the Jews only, and according to the Law. That Law changing of necessity with the Priesthood, no other fort of Ministers, as they must needs be another fort under another Priesthood, can receive that Tribute of Tithes which fell with that Law, unlefs renew'd by another express Command, and according to another Law; no fuch Law is extant. Next, Melchisedec not as a Minister, but as Christ himself in Person, bless'd Abraham who had the Promises, Ver. 6. and in him bless'd all both Ministers and People, both of the Law and Gospel: That Bleffing declar'd him greater and better than whom he blefs'd, Ver. 7. receiving Tithes from them all, not as a Maintenance, which Melehifedee needed not, but as a fign of Homage and Subjection to their King and Priest: wheras Ministers bear not the Person of Christ in his Priesthood or Kingship, bless not as he blesses, are not by their Bleffing greater than Abraham; and all the Faithful with themfelves included in him, cannot both give and take Tithes in Abraham, cannot claim to themselves that sign of our Allegiance due only to our Eternal King and Priest, cannot therefore derive Tithes from Melchisedec. Lastly, The eighth Verse hath thus; Here Men that die receive Tithes: There he received them, of whom it is witneffed that he liveth. Which words intimate, that as he offer'd himself once for us, so he received once of us in Abraham, and in that place the typical acknowledgment of our Redemption: which had it been a perpetual annuity to Christ, by him claim'd as his due, Levi must have paid it yearly, as well as then, Ver. 9. and our Ministers ought still, to some Melchijedee or other, as well now as they did in Abraham. But that Christ never claim'd any fuch Tenth as his annual Due, much less refign'd it to the Minifters, his fo officious Receivers, without exprets Commission or Assignment, will be yet clearer as we proceed. Thus much may at length affure us, that this Example of Abraham and Melchisedec, though I see of late they build most upon it, can so little be the ground of any Law to us, that it will not fo much avail them as to the Authority of an Example. Of like impertinence is that Example of Jacob, Gen. 28. 22. who of his free choice, not enjoin'd by any Law, vow'd the Tenth of all that God should give him: which, for aught appears to the contrary, he vow'd as a thing no less indifferent before his Vow, than the foregoing part therof: That the Stone which he had fet there for a Pillar, should be God's House. And to whom vow'd he this Tenth, but to God? Not to any Priest, for we read of none to him greater

Hirelings out of the Church.

than himfelf: and to God, no doubt, but he paid what he vow'd, both in the building of that Bethel, with other Altars elsewhere, and the expence of his continual Sacrifices, which none but he had a right to offer. However therfore he paid his Tenth, it could in no likelihood, unless by such an occasion as befel his Grandfather, be to any Priest. But, say they, All the Tithe of the Land, whether of the Seed of the Land, or of the Fruit of the Tree, is the Lord's, holy unto the Lord, Lev. 27. 30. And this before it was given to the Levites; therfore fince they ceas'd. No question; For the whole Earth is the Lord's, and the Fulness theref, Pfal. 24. 1. and the Light of Nature shews us no less: But that the Tenth is his more than the rest, how know I, but as he so declares it? He declares it so here, of the Land of Canaan only, as by all Circumstance appears, and passes, by Deed of Gift, this Tenth to the Levite; yet so as offer'd to him first a Heaveoffering, and confecrated on his Altar, Numb. 18. all which I had as little known, but by that Evidence. The Levites are ceas'd, the Gift returns to the Giver. How then can we know that he hath given it to any other? Or how can these Men presume to take it unoffer'd first to God, unconsecrated. without another clear and express Donation, wherof they shew no Evidence or Writing? Befides, he hath now alienated that holy Land; who can warrantably affirm, that he hath fince hallow'd the Tenth of this Land, which none but God hath Power to do or can warrant? Their last Proof they cite out of the Gospel, which makes as little for them, Mat. 23. 23. where our Saviour denouncing Woe to the Scribes and Pharifees, who paid Tithe fo exactly, and omitted weightier Matters, tells them, that these they ought to have done, that is, to have paid Tithes. For our Saviour spake then to those who obferv'd the Law of Moses, which was yet not fully abrogated, till the destruction of the Temple. And by the way here we may observe, out of their own proof, that the Scribes and Pharifees, though then chief Teachers of the People, such at least as were not Levites, did not take Tithes, but paid them: So much lefs covetous were the Scribes and Pharifees in those worst times than ours at this day. This is so apparent to the Reformed Divines of other Countries, that when any one of ours hath attempted in Latin to maintain this Argument of Tithes, though a Man would think they might fuffer him without opposition, in a point equally tending to the advantage of all Ministers, yet they forbear not to oppose him, as in a Doctrine not fit to pass unoppos'd under the Gospel. Which shews the Modesty, the Contentedness of those Foreign Pastors, with the Maintenance given them, their Sincerity also in the Truth, though less gainful, and the Avarice of ours; who through the love of their old Papiftical Tithes, confider not the weak Arguments, or rather Conjectures and Surmifes which they bring to defend them. On the other fide, although it be fufficient to have provid in general the abolithing of Tithes, as part of the Judaical or Ceremonial Law, which is abolish'd all, as well that before, as that after Moses; yet I shall further prove them abrogated by an express Ordinance of the Gospel, founded not on any Type, or that Municipal Law of Mojes, but on moral and general Equity, given us in flead: 1 Cor. 9. 13, 14. Know ye not, that they who minister about holy things, live of the things of the Temple; and they which wait at the Altar, are partakers with the Altar? So also the Lord bath ordain'd, that they who preach the Gospel, should live of the Gospel. He faith not, thould live on things which were of the Temple, or of the Altar, of which were Tithes, for that had given them a clear Title: but abrogating that former Law of Moses, which determin'd what and how much, by a later Ordinance of Christ, which leaves the what and how much indefinite and free, so it be sufficient to live on: he saith, The Lord bath so ordain'd, that they who preach the Gospel, should live of the Gospel; which hath neither Temple, Altar, nor Sacrifice: Heb. 7. 13. For he of whom theje things are spoken, pertaineth to another Tribe, of which no Man gave attendance at the Atar: His Ministers therfore cannot thence have Tithes. And where the Lord hath fo ordain'd, we may find cafily in more than one Evangelift: Luke 10. 7, 8. In the same bouse remain, eating and drinking such things as they give: For the Labourer is worthy of his hire, &c. And into what sever City you enter, and they receive you, eat fuch things as are fet before you. To which Ordinance of Christ it may feem likeliest, that the Apostle refers us both here, and I Tim. 5.18. where he cites this as the Saying of our Saviour, That the Labourer is worthy of his bire. And both by this place of Luke, and that of Mat. 10. 9, 10, 11. it evidently appears that our Saviour ordain'd no certain Maintenance for his Apo-Ales or Ministers, publicly or privately, in House or City receiv'd; but that, whatever it were, which might suffice to live on: and this not commanded or proportion'd by Abraham or by Moses, whom he might easily have here cited, as his manner was, but declar'd only by a Rule of common Equity, which proportions the Hire as well to the Ability of him who gives, as to the labour of him who receives, and recommends him only as worthy, not invests him with a legal Right. And mark wheron he grounds this his Ordinance; not on a perpetual Right of Tithes from Melchisedec, as Hirelings pretend, which he never claim'd, either for himself, or for his Ministers, but on the plain and common equity of rewarding the Labourer; worthy fometimes of fingle, fometimes of double Honour, not proportionable by Tithes. And the Apostle in this forecited Chapter to the Corinthians, Ver. 11. affirms it to be no great Recompence, if carnal things be reap'd for spiritual sown; but to mention Tithes, neglects here the fittest occasion that could be offer'd him, and leaves the rest free and undetermin'd. Certainly if Christ or his Apostles had approv'd of Tithes, they would have, either by Writing or Tradition, recommended them to the Church; and that foon would have appear'd in the practice of those primitive and the next Ages. But for the first three hundred Years and more, in all the Ecclefiastical Story, I find no such Doctrine or Example: though Error by that time had brought back again Priests, Altars and Oblations; and in many other Points of Religion had miserably judaiz'd the Church. So that the Defenders of Tithes, after a long pomp, and tedious preparation out of Heathen Authors, telling us that Tithes were paid to Hercules and Apollo, which perhaps was imitated from the Jews, and as it were befpeaking our Expectation, that they will abound much more with Authorities out of Christian Story, have nothing of general Approbation to begin with from the first three or four Ages, but that which abundantly ferves to the Confutation of their Tithes; while they confess that Churchmen in those Ages liv'd meerly upon free-will Offerings. Neither can they fay, that Tirkes were not then paid for want of a civil Magistrate to ordain them, for Christians had then also Lands, and might give out of them what they pleas'd; and yet of Tithes then given we find no mention. And the first Christian Emperors, who did all things as Bishops advis'd them, supply'd what was wanting to the Clergy not out of Tithes, which were never motion'd, but out of their own imperial Revenues; as is manifest in Eusebius, Theodoret, and Sozomen, from Constantine to Areadius. Hence those ancientest reformed Churches of the Weldenses, if they rather continu'd not pure fince the Apoitles, deny'd that Tithes were to be given, or that they were ever given in the primitive Church, as appears by an ancient Tractate inferted in the Bobe-Thus far hath the Church been always, whether in her prime or mian History. in her ancientest Reformation, from the approving of Tithes: nor without Reafon; for they might eafily perceive that Tithes were fitted to the Jews only, a national Church of many incompleat Synagogues, uniting the Accomplishment of divine Worship in one Temple; and the Levites there had their Tithes paid where they did their bodily Work; to which a particular Tribe was fet apart by divine Appointment, not by the People's Election: but the Christian Church is universal; not ty'd to Nation, Diocess, or Parish, but confisting of many particular Churches compleat in themselves, gather'd not by Compulsion, or the accident of dwelling night together, but by free Confent, chuling both their particular Church and their Church-Officers. as if Tithes be fet up, all these Christian Privileges will be disturb'd and soon loft, and with them Christian Liberty.

The first Authority which our Adversaries bring, after those fabulous Apostolic Canons, which they dare not insist upon, is a provincial Council held at Cullen, where they voted Tithes to be God's Rent, in the Year three hundred fifty six; at the same time perhaps when the three Kings reign'd there, and of like Authority. For to what purpose do they bring these trivial Testimonies, by which they might as well prove Altars, Candles at noon, and the greatest part of those Superstitions setch'd from Paganism or Jewism, which the Papist, inveigled by this fond Argument of Antiquity, retains to this day?

To what purpose those Decrees of I know not what Bishops, to a Parlament and People who have thrown out both Bishops and Altars, and promis'd all Reformation by the Word of God? And that Altars brought Tithes hither, as one Corruption begot another, is evident by one of those Questions which the Monk Audin propounded to the Pope, concerning those things, which by Offerings of the faithful came to the Altar; as Beda writes, l. 1. c. 27. If then by these Testimonies we must have Tithes continu'd, we must again have Altars. Of Fathers, by custom so call'd, they quote Ambrose, Augustin, and some other ceremonial Doctors of the same Leven: whose Affertion, without pertinent Scripture, no reformed Church can admit; and what they vouch is founded on the Law of Moses, with which, every where pitifully mistaken, they again incorporate the Gospel; as did the rest also of those titular Fathers, perhaps an Age or two before them, by many Rites and Ceremonies, both Jewish and Heathenish, introduc'd; wherby thinking to gain all, they lost all: and instead of winning Jews and Pagans to be Christians, by too much condescending they turn'd Christians into Jews, and Pagans. To heap fuch unconvincing Citations as these in Religion, wherof the Scripture only is our Rule, argues not much Learning nor Judgment, but the lost Labour of much unprofitable reading. And yet a late hot Querift for Tithes, whom Prynne. ye may know by his Wit's lying ever beside him in the Margin, to be ever befide his Wits in the Text, a fierce Reformer once, now rankled with a contrary heat, would fend us back, very reformedly indeed, to learn Reformation from Tyndarus and Rebuffus, two canonical Promoters. They produce next the ancient Constitutions of this Land, Saxon Laws, Edicts of Kings, and their Councils, from Athelftan, in the year nine hundred twenty eight, that Tithes by Statute were paid: and might produce from Ina, above two hundred years before, that Romescot or Peter's Penny, was by as good Statute Law paid to the Pope; from feven hundred twenty five, and almost as long continu'd. And who knows not that this Law of Tithes was enacted by those Kings and Barons upon the opinion they had of their divine Right? as the very Words import of Edward the Confessor, in the close of that Law: For so bleffed Austin preach'd and taught; meaning the Monk, who first brought the Romish Religion in England from Gregory the Pope. And by the way I add, that by these Laws, imitating the Law of Moses, the third part of Tithes only was the Priest's due; the other two were appointed for the Poor, and to adorn or repair Churches; as the Canons of Ecbert and Elfric witness: Concil. Brit. If then these Laws were founded upon the Opinion of divine Authority, and that Authority be found mistaken and erroneous, as hath been fully manitested, it follows, that these Laws fall of themselves with their false Foundation. But with what Face or Conscience can they alledge Moses, or these Laws for Tithes, as they now enjoy or exact them? wherof Moses ordains the Owner, as we heard before, the Stranger, the Fatherless, and the Widow, Partakers with the Levite and these Fathers which they cite, and these though Romish rather than English Laws, allotted both to Priest and Bishop the third part only. But these our Protestant, these our new reformed English Prefbyterian Divines, against their own cited Authors, and to the shame of their pretended Reformation, would engross to themselves all Tithes by Statute; and supported more by their wilful Obstinacy and Defire of filthy Lucre, than by these both insufficient, and impertinent Authorities, would perfuade a Christian Magistracy and Parlament, whom we trust God hath restor'd for a happier Reformation, to impose upon us a Judaical Ceremonial Law, and yet from that Law to be more irregular and unwarrantable, more complying with a covetous Clergy, than any of those Popish Kings and Parlaments alledg'd. Another thift they have to plead, that Tithes may be moral as well as the Sabbath, a tenth of Fruits as well as a feventh of Days: I answer, that the Prelates who urge this Argument have least reason to it, denying Morality in the Sabbath, and therin better agreeing with Reformed Churches abroad than the rest of our Divines. As therfore the seventh day is not moral, but a convenient Recourse of Worship in sit season, whether seventh or other number; fo neither is the tenth of our Goods, but only a convenient Subfiftence, morally due to Ministers. The last and lowest fort of their Arguments, that Men purchas'd not their Tithe with their Land, and such Vol. I. Dddd

like Pettifoggery, I omit; as refuted fufficiently by others: I omit also then violent and irreligious Exactions, related no lefs credibly; their feizing of Pots and Pans from the Poor, who have as good right to Tithes as they; from fome, the very Beds; their fuing and imprisoning; worse than when the Canon Law was in force; worse than when those wicked Sons of Eli were Priests, whose manner was thus to seize their pretended priestly Due by force; 1 Sam. 2. 12, &c. Whereby Men abhorr'd the Offering of the Lord. And it may be fear'd that many will as much abhor the Gospel, if such Violence as this be fuffer'd in her Ministers, and in that which they also pretend to be the Offering of the Lord. For those Sons of Belial within some Limits made feizure of what they knew was their own by an undoubted Law; but these, from whom there is no Sanctuary, feize out of Mens Grounds, out of Mens Houses, their other Goods of double, sometimes of treble value, for that which, did not Covetousness and Rapine blind them, they know to be not their own by the Gospel which they preach. Of some more tolerable than these, thus severely God hath spoken; Isa. 46. 10, &c. They are greedy dogs; they all look to their own way, every one for his gain, from his quarter. With what Anger then will he judge them who stand not looking, but under colour of a divine Right, fetch by Force that which is not their own, taking his Name not in vain, but in violence? Nor content, as Gehazi was, to make a cunning, but a constrain'd Advantage of what their Master bids them give freely, how can they but return fmitten, worse than that sharking Minister, with a spiritual Leprofy? And yet they cry out Sacrilege, that Men will not be gull'd and baffl'd the tenth of their estates, by giving credit to frivolous Pretences of divine Right. Where did God ever clearly declare to all Nations, or in all Lands, (and none but Fools part with their Estates without clearest Evidence, on bare Supposals and Prefumptions of them who are the Gainers therby) that he requir'd the tenth as due to him or his Son perpetually and in all places? Where did he demand it, that we might certainly know, as in all claims of temporal Right is just and reasonable? or if demanded, where did he affign it, or by what evident conveyance to Ministers? Unless they can demonstrate this by more than Conjectures, their Title can be no better to Tithes than the Title of Gehazi was to those things which by abusing his Master's name he rook'd from Naaman. Much less where did he command that Tithes should be fetch'd by force, where left not under the Gospel, whatever his Right was, to the Freewill-offerings of Men? Which is the greater Sacrilege, to belye divine Authority, to make the name of Christ accessory to Violence, and robbing him of the very Honour which he aim'd at in bestowing freely the Gospel, to commit Simony and Rapine, both secular and ecclesiastical; or on the other fide, not to give up the tenth of Civil Right and Propriety to the Tricks and Impostures of Clergy-men, contriv'd with all the Art and Argument that their Bellies can invent or fuggeft; yet fo ridiculous and prefuming on the People's Dulness and Superstition, as to think they prove the divine Right of their Maintenance by Abraham paying Tithes to Melchisedec, whenas Melehisedee in that passage rather gave Maintenance to Abraham; in whom all, both Priefts and Ministers as well as Lay-men, paid Tithes, not receiv'd them. And because I affirm'd above, beginning this first part of my Discourse, that God hath given to Ministers of the Gospel that Maintenance only which is justly given them, let us see a little what hath been thought of that other Maintenance besides Tithes, which of all Protestants our *English* Divines either only or most apparently both require and take. Those are Fees for Christenings, Marriages, and Burials: which, though whoso will may give freely, yet being not of Right, but of free Gift, if they be exacted or establish'd, they become unjust to them who are otherwise maintain'd; and of fuch evil note, that even the Council of Trent, 1. 2. p. 246. makes them liable to the Laws against Simony, who take or demand Fees for the administring of any Sacrament: Che la finodo volendo levare gli abusi introdotti, &c. And in the next Page, with like Severity, condemns the giving or taking for a Benefice, and the celebrating of Marriages, Christenings, and Burials, for Fees exacted or demanded: nor counts it lefs Simony to fell the Ground or Place of Burial. And in a State-Assembly at Orleans, 1561, it was decreed, Che non si potesse essigner cosa alcuna, &c. p. 429. That nothing shoula

he exacted for the administring of Sacraments, Burials, or any other spiritual Function. Thus much that Council, of all others the most Popish, and this Assembly of Papists, though, by their own Principles, in bondage to the Clergy, were induc'd, either by their own reason and thame, or by the light of Reformation then shining in upon them, or rather by the known Canons of many Councils and Synods long before, to condemn of Simony spiritual Fees demanded. For if the Minister be maintain'd for his whole Ministry, why should he be twice paid for any part thereof? Why should he, like a Servant, feek Vails over and above his Wages? As for Christnings, either they themselves call Men to Baptism, or Men of themselves come: if Ministers invite, how ill had it become John the Baptist to demand Fees for his baptizing, or Christ for his christnings? Far less becomes it these now, with a greediness lower than that of Tradesmen calling Passengers to their Shop, and yet paid beforehand, to ask again for doing that which those their Founders did freely. If Men of themselves come to be baptized, they are either brought by fuch as already pay the Minister, or come to be one of his Disciples and Maintainers: of whom to ask a Fee as it were for entrance, is a piece of paultry crast or caution, besitting none but beggarly Artists. Burials and Marriages are so little to be any part of their Gain, that they who confider well, may find them to be no part of their Function. At Burials their attendance they alledge on the Corps; all the Guests do as much unhir'd. But their Prayers at the Grave, superstitiously requir'd: yet if requir'd, their last performance to the deceas'd of their own Flock. But the Funeral Sermon, at their choice, or if not, an occasion offer'd them to preach out of feasion, which is one part of their Office. But something must be spoken in praise; if due, their duty; if undue, their corruption: a peculiar Simony of our Divines in England only. But the ground is broken, and especially their unrighteous Possessinon, the Chancel. To fell that, will not only raise up in judgment the Council of Trent against them, but will lose them the best Champion of Tithes, their zealous Antiquary, Sir Henry Spelman, who in a Book written to that purpose, by many cited Canons, and some even of times corruptest in the Church, proves that Fees exacted or demanded for Sacraments, Marriages, Burials, and especially for interring, are wicked, accursed, simoniacal and abominable: Yet thus is the Church, for all this noise of Reformation, left ftill unreform'd, by the centure of their own Synods, their own Favourers, a den of Thieves and Robbers. As for Marriages, that Ministers should meddle with them, as not fanctify'd or legitimate, without their Celebration, I find no ground in Scripture either of Precept or Example. Likeliest it is (which our Selden hath well observ'd, l. 2. c. 28. Un. Eb.) that in imitation of Heathen Priests who were wont at Nuptials to use many Rites and Ceremonies, and especially, judging it would be profitable, and the increase of their Authority, not to be Spectators only in business of such concernment to the Life of Man, they infinuated that Marriage was not holy without their Benediction, and for the better colour, made it a Sacrament; being of it self a Civil Ordinance, a houshold Contract, a thing indifferent and free to the whole race of Mankind, not as religious, but as Men : best, indeed, undertaken to religious ends, and as the Apostle saith, I Cor. 7. in the Lord. Yet not therfore invalid or unholy without a Minister and his pretended necessary hallowing, more than any other Act, Enterprize or Contract of civil Life, which ought all to be done also in the Lord and to his Glory: All which, no lefs than Marriage, were by the cunning of Priefts heretofore, as material to their Profit, transacted at the Altar. Our Divines deny it to be a Sacrament; yet retain'd the Celebration, till prudently a late Parlament recover'd the Civil Liberty of Marriage from their incroachment, and transferr'd the ratifying and registring therof from the Canonical Shop to the proper cognizance of Civil Magistrates. Seeing then, that God hath given to Ministers under the Gospel, that only which is justly given them, that is to fay, a due and moderate Livelihood, the hire of their labour, and that the Heave-offering of Tithes is abolish'd with the Alrar; yea, though not abolish'd, yet lawless, as they enjoy them; their Melchisedechian Right also trivial and groundless, and both Tithes and Fees, if exacted or establish'd, unjust and scandalous; we may hope, with Dddd2 VOL. I.

them remov'd, to remove Hirelings in some good measure, whom these temp-

ting Baits, by Law especially to be recover'd, allure into the Church.

The next thing to be confider'd in the maintenanance of Ministers, is by whom it should be given. Wherin though the Light of Reason might sufficiently inform us, it will be best to consult the Scripture: Gal. 6. 6. Let him that is taught in the word, communicate to him that teacheth, in all good things: that is to fay, in all manner of Gratitude, to his ability. I Cor. 9. 11. If we bave fown unto you spiritual things, is it a great matter if we reap your carnal things? To whom therfore hath not been fown, from him wherfore should be reap'd? 1 Tim. 5. 17. Let the Elders that rule well, be counted worthy of double bonour; especially they who labour in word and dostrine. By these places we see, that Recompence was given either by every one in particular who had been inftructed, or by them all in common, brought into the Church-Treasury, and distributed to the Ministers according to their several labours: and that was judg'd either by fome extraordinary Person, as Timothy, who by the Apostle was then left Evangelist at Ephesus, 2 Tim. 4. 5. or by some to whom the Church deputed that care. This is so agreeable to reason, and so clear, that any one may perceive what Iniquity and Violence hath prevail'd fince in the Church, wherby it hath been fo order'd that they also shall be compell'd to recompence the Parochial Minister, who neither chose him for their Teacher, nor have receiv'd Instruction from him, as being either insufficient, or not refident, or inferior to whom they follow; wherin to bar them their Choice, is to violate Christian Liberty. Our Law-books testify, that before the Council of Lateran, in the year 1179, and the fifth of our Henry 2. or rather before a decretal Epistle of Pope Innocent the Third, about 1200, and the first of King John, any Man might have given Tithes to what spiritual Person he would: and as the Lord Coke notes on that place, Inflit, part 2, that this decretal bound not the Subjects of this Realm, but as it feem'd just and reasonable. The Pope took his reason rightly from the above-cited place, I Cor. 9. 11. but falsly suppos'd every one to be instructed by his Parish-Priest. Whether this were then first so decreed, or rather long before, as may seem by the Laws of Edgas and Canute, that Tithes were to be paid, not to whom he would that paid them, but to the Cathedral Church or the Parish Priest, it imports not; fince the reason which they themselves bring, built on false supposition, becomes alike infirm and absurd, that he should reap from me, who sows not to me, be the cause either his desect, or my free choice. But here it will be readily objected, What if they who are to be instructed be not able to maintain a Minister, as in many Villages? I answer, that the Scripture shews in many places what ought to be done herein. First I offer it to the reason of any Man, whether he think the knowledge of Christian Religion harder than any other Art or Science to attain. I suppose he will grant that it is far easier, both of it felf, and in regard of God's affifting Spirit, not particularly promis'd us to the attainment of any other Knowledge, but of this only: fince it was preach'd as well to the Shepherds of Bethlehem by Angels, as to the Eastern Wisemen by that Star: and our Saviour declares himself anointed to preach the Gospel to the poor, Luke 4. 18. then surely to their Capacity. They who after him first taught it, were otherwise unlearned Men: they who before Hus and Luther first reform'd it, were for the meanness of their condition call'd, the poor Men of Lions: and in Flanders at this day, les gueus, which is to fay, Beggars. Therefore are the Scriptures translated into every vulgar Tongue, as being held in main matters of Belief and Salvation, plain and easy to the poorest: and such no less than their Teachers have the Spirit to guide them in all Truth, Job. 14. 26. and 16. 13. Hence we may conclude, if Men be not all their life-time under a Teacher to learn Logic, natural Philosophy, Ethics or Mathematics, which are more difficult, that certainly it is not necessary to the attainment of Christian Knowledge that Men should sit all their life long at the feet of a pulpited Divine; while he, a Lollard indeed over his elbow-cushion, in almost the seventh part of forty or fifty years teaches them scarce half the Principles of Religion; and his Sheep oftimes sit the while to as little purpose of benefiting as the Sheep in their Pews at Smithfield; and for the most part by some Simony or other, bought and fold like them: or if this Comparison be too low, like those Women, 1 Tim.

3. 7. Ever learning and never attaining; yet not so much through their own fault, as through the unskilful and immethodical teaching of their Pastor, teaching here and there at random out of this or that Text, as his ease or fancy, and oft-times as his stealth guides him. Seeing then that Christian Religion may be fo easily attain'd, and by meanest Capacities, it cannot be much difficult to find ways, both how the poor, yea all Men may be foon taught what is to be known of Christianity, and they who teach them, recompene'd. First, if Ministers of their own accord, who pretend that they are call'd and sent to preach the Gospel, those especially who have no particular Flock, would imitate our Saviour and his Disciples who went preaching through the Villages, not only through the Cities, Matth. 9. 35. Mark 6. 6. Luke 13. 22. ARs 8. 25. and there preach'd to the poor as well as to the rich, looking for no recompence but in Heaven: John 4. 35, 36. Look on the fields, for they are white already to Harvest: and he that reapeth, receiveth wages, and gathereth fruit unto Life eternal. This was their Wages. But they will foon reply, we our felves have not wherwithal; who shall bear the Charges of our Journey? To whom it may as foon be answer'd, that in likelihood they are not poorer than they who did thus; and if they have not the same Faith which those Disciples had to trust in God and the Promise of Christ for their Maintenance as they did, and yet intrude into the Ministry without any livelihood of their own, they cast themselves into miserable hazard or temptation, and oft-times into a more miferable necessity, either to starve, or to please their Paymasters rather than God; and give Men just cause to suspect, that they came neither call'd nor fent from above to preach the Word, but from below, by the in-Yet grant it needful flinct of their own hunger, to feed upon the Church. to allow them both the Charges of their Journey and the Hire of their Labour, it will belong next to the Charity of richer Congregations, where most commonly they abound with Teachers, to fend some of their number to the Villages round, as the Apostles from Jerusalem sent Peter and John to the City and Villages of Samaria, Acts 8. 14, 25. or as the Church at Jerusalem sent Barnabas to Antioch, chap. 11. 22. and other Churches joining tent Luke to travel with Paul, 2 Cor. 8. 19. though whether they had their Charges borne by the Church or no, it be not recorded. If it be objected that this itinerary preaching will not ferve to plant the Gospel in those places, unless they who are fent, abide there some competent time; I answer, that if they stay there a year or two, which was the longest time usually staid by the Apostles in one place, it may fuffice to teach them, who will attend and learn, all the Points of Religion necessary to Salvation; then forting them into feveral Congregations of a moderate number, out of the ablest and zealousest among them to create Elders, who, exercising and requiring from themselves what they have learn'd (for no Learning is retain'd without constant exercise and methodical repetition) may teach and govern the rest: and so exhorted to continue faithful and stedfast, they may securely be committed to the Providence of God and the guidance of his holy Spirit, till God may offer some opportunity to visit them again, and to confirm them: which when they have done, they have done as much as the Apostles were wont to do in propagating the Gospel, Acts 14. 23. And when they had ordain'd them Elders in every Church, and had pray'd with fasting, they commended them to the Lord, on whom they believ'd. And in the same Chapter, Vers. 21, 22. When they had preach'd the Gospel to that City, and had taught many, they returned again to Lystra, and to Iconium and Antioch, confirming the Souls of the Disciples, and exhorting them to continue in the Faith. And Chap. 15. 36. Let us go again, and visit our Brethren. And Verf. 41. He went thorow Syria and Cilicia, confirming the Churches. To these I might add other helps, which we enjoy now, to make more easy the attainment of Christian Religion by the meanest: the entire Scripture translated into English with plenty of Notes; and somewhere or other, I trust, may be found some wholesome body of Divinity, as they call it, without School Terms and Metaphyfical Notions, which have obscur'd rather than explain'd our Religion, and made it feem difficult without cause. taught once for all, and thus now and then visited and confirm'd, in the most destitute and poorest places of the Land, under the Government of their own Elders performing all Ministerial Offices among them, they may be trusted

to meet and edify one another whether in Church or Chappel, or, to fave them the trudging of many miles thither, nearer home, though in a House or Barn. For notwithstanding the gaudy Superstition of some devoted still ignorantly to Temples, we may be well assur'd that he who disdain'd not to be laid in a Manger, difdains not to be preach'd in a Barn; and that by fuch meetings as these, being indeed most Apostolical and Primitive, they will in a short time advance more in Christian Knowledge and Reformation of Life, than by the many years preaching of fuch an Incumbent, I may fay, fuch an Incubus oft-times, as will be meanly hir'd to abide long in those places. They have this left perhaps to object further; that to fend thus, and to maintain, though but for a year or two, Ministers and Teachers in several places, would prove chargeable to the Churches, though in Towns and Cities round about. To whom again I answer, that it was not thought so by them who first thus propagated the Gospel, though but sew in number to us, and much less able to sustain the Expence. Yet this Expence would be much less than to hire Incumbents, or rather Incumbrances, for life-time; and a great means (which is the fubject of this Discourse) to diminish Hirelings. But be the Expence less or more, if it be found burdensome to the Churches, they have in this Land an eafy remedy in their recourse to the Civil Magistrate; who hath in his hands the disposal of no small Revenues, lest perhaps anciently to fuperstitious, but meant undoubtedly to good and best uses; and therfore, once made public, appliable by the present Magistrate to fuch uses as the Church, or folid Reason from whomsoever, shall convince him to think beft. And those uses may be, no doubt, much rather than as Glebes and Augmentations are now bestow'd, to grant such requests as these of the Churches; or to erect in greater number all over the Land . Schools, and competent Libraries to those Schools, where Languages and Arts may be taught free together, without the needless, unprofitable and inconvenient removing to another place. So all the Land would be foon better civiliz'd, and they who are taught freely at the public Cost, might have their Education given them on this condition, that therewith content, they should not gad for Preferment out of their own Country, but continue there thankful for what they receiv'd freely, bestowing it as freely on their Country, without foaring above the meannefs wherin they were born. But how they shall live when they are thus bred and dismiss'd, will be still the sluggish Objection. To which is answer'd, that those public Foundations may be so inflituted, as the Youth therin may be at once brought up to a competence of Learning and to an honest Trade; and the hours of teaching so order'd, as their study may be no hindrance to their labour or other calling. This was the breeding of St. Paul, though born of no mean Parents, a free Citizen of the Roman Empire: so little did his Trade debase him, that it rather enabled him to use that magnanimity of preaching the Gospel through Asia and Europe at his own charges. Thus those Preachers among the poor Waldenses, the ancient flock of our Reformation, without these helps which I speak of, bred up themselves in Trades, and especially in Physic and Surgery, as well as in the study of Scripture (which is the only true Theology) that they might be no burden to the Church; and by the Example of Christ, might cure both Soul and Body, through industry joining that to the Ministry, which he join'd to his by gift of the Spirit. Thus relates Peter Gilles in his History of the Waldenses in Piemont. But our Ministers think scorn to use a Trade, and count it the reproach of this Age, that Tradefmen preach the Gospel. It were to be wish'd they were all Tradesmen; they would not then so many of them, for want of another Trade, make a Trade of their preaching: and yet they clamour that Tradefinen preach; and yet they preach, while they themselves are the worst Tradesmen of all. As for Church-Endowments and Possessions, I meet with none considerable before Constantine, but the Houses and Gardens where they met, and their places of burial: and I perfuade me, that from them the ancient Waldenses, whom deservedly I cite so often, held, That to endow Churches is an evil thing; and, that the Church then fell off and turn'd Whore, fitting on that Beaft in the Revelation, when under Pope Sylvefter she received those Temporal Donations. So the forecited Tractate of their Doctrine testifies. This also their own Traditions of that heavenly Voice wirnefs'd,

witness'd, and fome of the ancient Fathers then living foresaw and deplor'd. And indeed, how could these Endowments thrive better with the Church, being unjustly taken by those Emperors, without suffrage of the People, out of the Tributes and public Lands of each City, wherby the People became liable to be oppress'd with other Taxes. Being therfore given for the most part by Kings and other public Persons, and so likeliest out of the Public, and if without the People's consent, unjustly, however to public ends of much concernment, to the good or evil of a Commonwealth, and in that regard made public though given by private Perfons, or which is worse, given, as the Clergy then persuaded men, for their Souls Health, a pious Gift; but as the truth was, oft-times a bribe to God, or to Christ for Absolution, as they were then taught, for Murders, Adulteries, and other heinous Crimes; what shall be found heretofore given by Kings or Princes out of the public, may justly by the Magistrate be recall'd and re-appropriated to the Civil Revenue: what by private or public Persons out of their own, the price of Blood or Lust, or to some such purgatorious and superstitious Uses, not only may, but ought to be taken off from Christ, as a foul dishonour laid upon him, or not impiously given, nor in particular to any one, but in general to the Church's good, may be converted to that use which thall be judg'd tending more directly to that general end. Thus did the Princes and Cities of Germany in the first Reformation; and defended their fo doing by many reasons, which are set down at large in Sleidan, Lib. 6. Anno 1526, and Lib. 11. Anno 1537, and Lib. 13. Anno 1540. But that the Magithrate either out of that Church-Revenue which remains yet in his hand, or establishing any other Maintenance instead of Tithe, should take into his own power the stipendiary maintenance of Church-Ministers, or compel it by Law, can stand neither with the People's Right, nor with Christian Liberty, but would fulpend the Church wholly upon the State, and turn her Ministers into State-Pensioners. And for the Magistrate in Person of a nursing Father to make the Church his meer Ward, as always in Minority, the Church, to whom he ought as a Magistrate, Ifa. 49. 23. to bow down with his face toward the Earth, and lick up the dust of her Feet; her to subject to his political Drifts or conceiv'd Opinions, by maftering her Revenue; and by his examinant Committees to circumferibe her free election of Ministers, is neither just nor pious; no honour done to the Church, but a plain difhonour: and upon her whose only Head is in Heaven, yea upon him, who is her only Head, sets another in effect, and which is most monttrous, a human on a Heavenly, a carnal an a Spiritual, a political Head on an Ecclefiaftical Body; which at length by fuch heterogeneal, fuch inceftuous conjunction, transforms her oft-times into a Beaft of many Heads and many Horns. For if the Church be of all Societies the holieft on Earth, and so to be reverenc'd by the Magistrate, not to trust her with her own Belief and Integrity; and therfore not with the keeping, at least with the disposing of what Revenue shall be found justly and lawfully her own, is to count the Church not a Holy Congregation, but a pack of giddy or dishonest Persons, to be ruled by Civil Power in Sacred Affairs. But to proceed further in the Truth yet more freely, feeing the Christian Church is not National, but confifting of many particular Congregations, subject to many changes, as well through Civil Accidents, as through Schifm and various Opinions, not to be decided by any outward judge, being matters of Confeience, wherby these pretended Church-Revenues, as they have been ever, so are like to continue endless matter of Diffension both between the Church and Magistrate, and the Churches among themselves, there will be found no better remedy to these evils, otherwise incurable, than by the incorruptest Council of those Waldenses, or first Reformers, to remove them as a Pett, an Apple of discord in the Church, (for what else can be the effect of Riches, and the fnare of Money in Religion?) and to convert them to those more profitable Uses above express'd, or other such as shall be judg'd most necessary; confidering that the Church of Christ was founded in Poverty rather than in Revenues, flood pureft and prosper'd best without them, receiv'd them unlawfully from them who both erroneously and unjustly, sometimes impiously, gave them, and so justly was ensuar'd and corrupted by them. And lest it be thought that these Revenues withdrawn and better employ'd, the Magistrate ought in stead to settle by Statute some maintenance of Ministers, let this be confider'd first, that it concerns every man's Conscience to what Religion he contributes; and that the Civil Magistrate is intrusted with Civil Rights only; not with Conscience, which can have no Deputy or Representer of it self, but one of the fame Mind: next, that what each man gives to the Minister, he gives either as to God, or as to his Teacher; if as to God, no Civil Power can justly confecrate to religious Uses any part either of Civil Revenue, which is the People's, and must fave them from other Taxes, or of any man's Propriety, but God by special command, as he did by Moses, or the owner himself by voluntary intention and the perfuasion of his giving it to God. Forc'd Confecrations out of another man's Estate are no better than forc'd Vows, hateful to God, who loves a chearful giver; but much more hateful, wrung out of mens Purles to maintain a disapprov'd Ministry against their Conscience; however unholy, infamous, and dishonourable to his Ministers, and the free Gospel maintain'd in fuch unworthy manner as by Violence and Extortion. If he give it as to his Teacher, Justice or Equity compels him to pay for learning that Religion which leaves freely to his choice, whether he will learn it or no, whether of this Teacher or another, and especially to pay for what he never learn'd, or approves not; whereby, besides the wound of his Conscience, he becomes the lefs able to recompence his true Teacher? Thus far hath been enquir'd by whom Church-ministers ought to be maintain'd, and hath been prov'd most natural, most equal and agreeable with Scripture, to be by them who receive their Teaching; and by whom, if they be unable? Which ways well obferv'd, can difcourage none but Hirelings, and will much lessen their number in the Church.

It remains lastly to consider, in what manner God hath ordain'd that Recompence be given to Ministers of the Gospel; and by all Scripture it will appear that he hath given it them not by Civil Law and Freehold, as they claim, but by the Benevolence and free Gratitude of fuch as receive them: Luke 10.7, 8. Eating and drinking fuch things as they give you. If they receive you, eat fuch things as are fet before you. Matth. 10.7, 8. As ye go, preach, saying, The Kingdom of God is at hand, &c. Freely ye have receiv'd, freely give. If God have ordain'd Ministers to preach freely, whether they receive recompence or no, then certainly he hath forbid both them to compel it, and others to compel it for them. But freely given, he accounts it as given to himfelf: Philip. 4. 16, 17, 18. Ye sent once and again to my necessity: Not because I desire a Gift; but I defire Fruit that may abound to your account. Having receiv'd of Epaphroditus the things which were fent from you, an odour of fweet smell, a sacrifice acceptable, well pleafing to God: which cannot be from force or unwillingness. The same is said of Alms, Heb. 13. 16. To do good and to communicate, forget not; for with fuch Sacrifices God is well pleas'd. Whence the Primitive Church thought it no shame to receive all their maintenance as the Alms of their Auditors. Which they who defend Tithes, as if it made for their caute, whenas it utterly confutes them, omit not to fet down at large; proving to our hands out of Origen, Tertullian, Cyprian, and others, that the Clergy liv'd at first upon the meer benevolence of their Hearers; who gave what they gave, not to the Clergy, but to the Church; out of which the Clergy had their Portions given them in Baskets, and were thence call'd sportularii, basketclerks: that their Portion was a very mean allowance, only for a bare livelihood; according to those Precepts of our Saviour, Matth. 10. 7, &c. the rest was distributed to the Poor. They cite also out of Prosper, the disciple of St. Austin, that such of the Clergy as had means of their own, might not without fin partake of Church-maintenance; not receiving thereby food which they abound with, but feeding on the fins of other men: that the Holy Ghost saith of such Clergymen, they eat the fins of my People; and that a Council at Antioch, in the year 340, suffer'd not either Priest or Bishop to live on Church-maintenance without Necessity. Thus far Tithers themselves have contributed to their own confutation, by confessing that the Church liv'd primitively on Alms. And I add, that about the year 359, Constantius the Emperor having fummon'd a general Council of Bishops to Ariminum in Italy, and provided for their subfishence there, the British and French Bishops judging it not decent to live on the Public, chose rather to be at their own charges. Three

Hirelings out of the Church.

Three only out of Britain constrain'd through want, yet refusing offer'd affistance from the rest, accepting the Emperor's Provision; judging it more convenient to sublist by public than by private sustenance. Whence we may conclude, that Bishops then in this Island had their livelihood only from benevolence; in which regard this relater Sulpitius Severus, a good Author of the fame time, highly praifes them. And the Waldenses, our first Reformers, both from the Scripture and these Primitive Examples, maintain'd those among them who bore the Office of Ministers by Alms only. Take their very words from the History written of them in French, Part 3. Lib. 2. Chap. 2. La nourriture & ce de quoy nous sommes couverts, &c. Our Food and Cloathing is sufficiently administer d and given to us by way of Gratuity and Alms, by the good People whom we teach. If then by Alms and Benevolence, not by legal force, not by the good People whom we teach. not by tenure of Freehold or Copyhold: for Alms, though just, cannot be compell'd; and Benevolence forc'd is Malevolence rather, violent and inconfiftent with the Gospel; and declares him no true Minister therof, but a rapacious Hireling rather, who by force receiving it, eats the bread of Violence and Exaction, no holy or just livelihood, no not civilly counted honest; much less beseeming such a spiritual Ministry. But say they, our Maintenance is our due, Tithes the right of Christ, unseparable from the Priest, no where repeal'd; if then, not otherwise to be had, by Law to be recover'd: for though *Paul* were pleas'd to forgo his due, and not to use his Power, 1 Cor. 9. 12. yet he had a Power, Ver. 4. and bound not others. I answer first, because I see them still so loth to unlearn their decimal Arthmetic, and still grasp their Tithes as inseparable from a Priest, that Ministers of the Gospel are not Priests; and therfore separated from Tithes by their own exclusion, being neither call'd Priests in the New Testament, nor of any Order known in Scripture; not of Mclcbisedec, proper to Christ only; not of Aeron, as they themselves will consess; and the third Priesthood only remaining, is common to the Faithful. But they are Ministers of our High Priest. True, but not of his Priesthood, as the Levites were to daron; for he performs that whole Office himself incommunicably. Yet Tithes remain, say they, still unreleased, the due of Christ; and to whom payable, but to his Ministers? I say again, that no man can so understand them, unless Christ in some place or other so claim them. That example of Abraham argues nothing but his voluntary act; honour once only done, but on what consideration, whether to a Priest or to a King, whether due the honour, arbitrary that kind of honour or not, will after all contending be left still in meer conjecture: which must not be permitted in the claim of such a needy and suttle spiritual Corporation, pretending by divine right to the Tenth of all other Mens Estates; nor can it be allow'd by wife Men or the verdict of common Law. And the tenth part, though once declar'd 'holy, is declared now to be no holier than the other nine, by that command to Peter, Alls 10. 15, 28. whereby all diffinction of Holy and Unholy is remov'd from all things. Tithes therfore though claim'd, and holy under the Law, yet are now releas'd and quitted both by that command to *Peter*, and by this to all Ministers above-cited, *Luke* 10. eating and drinking such things as they give you: made Holy now by their free Gift only. And therfore St. Paul, 1 Cor. 9. 4. afferts his Power indeed; but of what? not of Tithes, but, to eat and drink such things as are given in reference to this command; which he calls not Holy things, or things of the Gospel, as if the Gospel, had any conferenced things in answer. things of the Gospel, as if the Gospel had any confectated things in answer to things of the Temple, Ver. 13. but he calls them your Carnal things, Ver. 11. without changing their property. And what Power had he? Not the Power of Force, but of Conscience only, wherby he might lawfully and without scruple live on the Gospel; receiving what was given him, as the recompence of his Labour. For if Christ the Master hath profess'd his Kingdom to be not of this World, it suits not with that profession, either in him or his Ministers, to claim temporal Right from spiritual Respects. He who resused to be the divider of an Inheritance between two Brethren, cannot approve his Ministers, by pretended right from him, to be dividers of Tenths and Freeholds out of other Mens Possessions, making therby the Gospel but a cloak of carnal Interest, and, to the contradiction of their Master, turning his heavenly Kingdom into a Kingdom of this World, a Kingdom of Force and Ra-Eeec Vol. I.

pine: To whom it will be one day thunder'd more terribly than to Gehezi, for thus dishonouring a far greater Master and his Gospel; is this a time to receive Money, and to receive Garments, and Olive-yards, and Vineyards, and Sheep and Oxen? The Leprofy of Naaman link'd with that Apostolic curse of perishing imprecated on Simon Magus, may be fear'd will cleave to fuch and to their seed for ever. So that when all is done, and Belly hath us'd in vain all her cunning shifts, I doubt not but all true Ministers, considering the demonstration of what hath been here prov'd, will be wife, and think it much more tolerable to hear that no maintenance of Ministers, whether Tithes or any other, can be settled by Statute, but must be given by them who receive Instruction; and freely given, as God hath ordain'd. And indeed what can be a more honourable Maintenance to them than fuch, whether Alms or willing Oblations, as these. which being accounted both alike as given to God, the only acceptable Sacrifices now remaining, must needs represent him who receives them much in the care of God, and nearly related to him, when not by worldly force and constraint, but with religious awe and reverence; what is given to God, is given to him; and what to him, accounted as given to God. This would be well enough, fay they; but how many will to give? I answer, as many, doubtless, as shall be well taught, as many as God shall so move. Why are ye so distrustful, both of your own Doctrine and of God's Promises, sulfill'd in the experience of those Disciples sirst sent: Luke 22. 35. When I fent you without Purse, and Scrip, and Shoes, lacked ye any thing? And they said, Nothing. How then came ours, or who fent them thus destitute, thus poor and empty both of Purse and Faith? Who stile themselves Embassadors of Jesus Christ, and seem to be his Tithe-gatherers, though an Office of their own fetting up to his dishonour, his Exacters, his Publicans rather, not trusting that he will maintain them in their embaffy, unless they bind him to his Promife by a Statute-law, that we shall maintain them. I ay down for shame that magnific Title, while ye feek Maintenance from the People: It is not the manner of Embafiadors to ask Maintenance of them to whom they are fent. But he who is Lord of all things, hath fo ordain'd: trust him then; he doubtlefs will command the Pcople to make good his Promifes of Maintenance more honourably unask'd, unrak'd for. This they know, this they preach, yet believe not: but think it as impossible, without a Statute-law, to live of the Gospel, as if by those words they were bid go eat their Bibles, as Ezekiel and John did their Books; and fuch Doctrines as these are as bitter to their Bellies; but will ferve fo much the better to discover Hirelings, who can have nothing, though but in appearance, just and solid to answer for themselves against what hath been here spoken, unless perhaps this one remaining Pretence, which we shall quickly see to be either false or uningenuous.

They pretend that their Education, either at School or University, hath been very chargeable, and therfore ought to be repair'd in future by a plentiful Maintenance: Whenas it is well known, that the better half of them, and oft-times poor and pitiful Boys, of no merit or promising hopes that might intitle them to the public Provision, but their Poverty and the unjust Favour of Friends, have had the most of their breeding, both at School and Univerfity, by Scholarships, Exhibitions and Fellowships at the Public Cost, which might engage them the rather to give freely, as they have freely received. Or if they have miss'd of these helps at the latter place, they have after two or three Years left the course of their studies there, if they ever well began them, and undertaken, though furnish'd with little else but Ignorance, Boldrefs and Ambition, if with no worse Vices, a Chaplainship in some Gentleman's house, to the frequent imbasing of his Sons with illiterate and narrow Principles. Or if they had liv'd there upon their own, who knows not that feven years Charge of living there, to them who fly not from the Government of their Parents to the Licence of a University, but come seriously to study, is no more than may be well defray'd and reimburs'd by one year's Revenue of an ordinary good Benefice? If they had means of Breeding from their Parents, 'tis likely they have more now; and if they have, it needs must be mechanicand uningenuous in them, to bring a Bill of Charges for the · learning of those liberal Arts and Sciences, which they have learn'd (if they have indeed learn'd them, as they feldom have) to their own benefit and ac-

But they will fay, we had betaken us to some other Trade or Profession, had we not expected to find a better Livelihood by the Ministry. This is that which I look'd for, to discover them openly neither true Lovers of Learning, and so very feldom guilty of it, nor true Ministers of the Goipel. So long ago out of date is that old true faying, 1 Tim. 3. 1. If a Man defire a Bishoprie, he desires a good work: for now commonly he who defires to be a Minister, looks not at the Work, but at the Wages; and by that Lure or Loubell, may be toll'd from Parish to Parish all the Town over. But what can be plainer Simony, than thus to be at Charges beforehand, to no other end than to make their Ministry doubly or trebly beneficial? To whom it might be faid, as justly as to that Simon, Thy Money perish with thee, because thou hast thought that the Gift of God may be purchas'd with Money; thou hast neither part nor let in this matter. Next, it is a fond Error, though too much believ'd among us, to think that the University makes a Minister of the Gospel; what it may conduce to other Arts and Sciences, I dispute not now: but that which makes fit a Minister, the Scripture can best inform us to be only from above, whence also we are bid to feek them; Mat. 9. 38. Pray ye therfore to the Lord of the Harvest, that he will send forth Labourers into his Harvest. Acts 20. 28. The Flock, over which the Holy Ghost hath made you Overseers. Rom. 10. 15. How shall they preach, unless they be fent? By whom tent? by the University, or the Magistrate, or their Belly? No surely, but sent from God only, and that God who is not their Belly. And whether he be fent from God, or from Simon Magus, the inward fenfe of his Calling and spiritual Abisity will sufficiently tell him; and that strong Obligation selt within him, which was felt by the Apostle, will often express from him the same words: r Cor. 9. 16. Necessity is laid upon me, yea, Wo is me if I preach not the Gospel. Not a beggarly Necessity, and the Wo sear'd otherwise of perpetual want, but such a Necessity as made him willing to preach the Gospel gratis, and to embrace Poverty, rather than as a Wo to sear it. 1 Cor. 12. 28. God hath set some in the Church, sirst Apostles, &c. Ephes. 4. 11. Ec. He gave some Apostles, &c. For the perfecting of the Saints, for the work of the Ministry, for the edifying of the Body of Christ, till we all come to the Unity of the Faith. Wherby we may know, that as he made them at first, so he makes them still, and to the World's end. 2 Cor. 3. 6. Who hath also made us fit or able Ministers of the New Testament. 1 Tim. 4. 14. The Gift that is in thee, which was given thee by Prophecy, and the laying on of the Hands of the Prefbytery. These are all the means which we read of, requir'd in Scripture to the making of a Minister. All this is granted, you will fay; but yet that it is also requisite he should be train'd in other Learning; which can be no where better had than at Universities. I answer, that what Learning, either Human or Divine, can be necessary to a Minister, may as easily and less chargeably be had in any private house. How deficient else, and to how little purpole are all those piles of Sermons, Notes, and Comments on all parts of the Bible, Bodies and Marrows of Divinity, befides all other Sciences, in our English Tongue; many of the same Books which in Latin they read at the University? And the small necessity of going thither to learn Divinity, I prove first from the most part of themselves, who seldom continue there till they have well got through Logic, their first Rudiments; though, to say truth, Logic also may much better be wanting in Disputes of Divinity, than in the fubtile Debates of Lawyers, and Statefmen, who yet feldom or never deal with Syllogitms. And those Theological Disputations there held by Profesfors and Graduates, are fuch as tend least or all to the Edification or Capacity of the People, but rather perplex and leven pure Doctrine with scholaffical Trash, than enable any Minister to the better preaching of the Gospel. Whence we may also compute, fince they come to reckonings, the charges of his needful Library: which, though some shame not to value at 600 l. may be competently furnish'd for 60 l. If any Man for his own curiofity or delight be in Books further expensive, that is not to be reckon'd as necessary to his ministerial, either Breeding or Function. But Papists and other Adversaries, cannot be consuted without Fathers and Councils, immense Volumes, and of vaft charges. I will shew them therfore a shorter and a better way of confu-; tation: Tit. 1. 9. Holding fast the faithful Word, as he hash bin taught, that he Vol. I. Eeee 2 may

may be able by found Doctrine, both to exhort and to convince Gainfayers: who are confuted as foon as heard, bringing that which is either not in Scripture, or against it. To pursue them further through the obscure and intangled Wood of Antiquity, Fathers and Councils fighting one against another, is needless, endless, not requisite in a Minister, and refus'd by the first Reformers of our Religion. And yet we may be confident, if these things be thought needful, let the State but erect in public good store of Libraries, and there will not want men in the Church, who of their own Inclinations will become able in this kind against Papists or any other Adversary. I have thus at large examin'd the usual Pretences of Hirelings, colour'd over most commonly with the cause of Learning and Universities; as if with Divines Learning stood and fell, wherin for the most part their Pittance is so small: and, to fpeak freely, it were much better there were not one Divine in the University, no School-divinity known, the idle Sophistry of Monks, the Canker of Religion; and that they who intended to be Ministers, were train'd up in the Church only by the Scripture, and in the Original Languages thereof at School; without fetching the compass of other Arts and Sciences, more than what they can well learn at secondary leisure, and at home. Neither speak I this in contempt of Learning, or the Ministry, but hating the common cheats of both; hating that they who have preach'd out Bishops, Prelates, and Canonifts, should, in what serves their own ends, retain their false Opinions, their Pkarifaical Leven, their Avarice, and closely, their Ambition, their Pluralities, their Non-refidences, their odious Fees, and use their Legal and Popish Arguments for Tithes: That Independents should take that Name, as they may justly from the true freedom of Christian Doctrine and Church-discipline subject to no superior Judge but God only, and seek to be Dependents on the Magistrate for their Maintenance; which two things, Independence and Statehire in Religion, can never confift long or certainly together. For Magithrates at one time or other, not like these at present our Patrons of Christian Liberty, will pay none but fuch whom by their Committees of Examination, they find conformable to their Interest and Opinions: And Hirelings will soon frame themselves to that Interest, and those Opinions which they see best pleasing to their Paymasters; and to seem right themselves, will force others as to the truth. But most of all they are to be revil'd and sham'd, who cry out with the distinct Voice of notorious Hirelings; that if ye settle not our Maintenance by Law, farewel the Gospel; than which nothing can be utter'd more false, more ignominious, and I may say, more blasphemous against our Saviour; who hath promis'd without this Condition, both his Holy Spirit, and his own Presence with his Church to the world's end: Nothing more false (unless with their own Mouths they condemn themselves for the unworthiest and most mercenary of all other Ministers) by the experience of 300 Years after Christ, and the Churches at this day in France, Austria, Polonia, and other places, witnessing the contrary under an adverse Magistrate, not a favourable; nothing more ignominious, levelling, or rather undervaluing Christ beneath Mahomet. For if it must be thus, how can any Christian object it to a Turk, that his Religion stands by Force only; and not justly fear from him this Reply, yours both by Force and Money in the judgment of your own Preachers? This is that which makes Atheifts in the Land, whom they fo much complain of: not the want of Maintenance, or Preachers, as they alledge, but the many Hirelings and Cheaters that have the Gospel in their hands; hands that still crave, and are never fatisfy'd. Likely Ministers indeed, to proclaim the Faith, or to exhort our trust in God, when they themfelves will not trust him to provide for them in the Message wheron, they fay, he fent them; but threaten, for want of temporal means, to defert it; calling that want of means, which is nothing else but the want of their own Faith; and would force us to pay the hire of building our Faith to their covetous Incredulity. Doubtless, if God only be he who gives Ministers to his Church till the World's end; and through the whole Gospel never sent us for Ministers to the Schools of Philosophy, but rather bids us beware of such vain deceit, Col. 2. 8. (which the Primitive Church, after two or three Ages not remembring, brought herfelf quickly to confusion) if all the Faithful be now a Holy and a Royal Priesthood, I Pet. 2.5, 9. not excluded from the Dif-, pensation

Hirelings out of the Church.

pen rion of things holieft, after free election of the Church, and imposition of hads, there will not want Waisfters elected out of all forts and orders of Men, for the Gospel makes no difference from the Mightrate himself to the. meanest Artificer, if God evidences havour him with Spiritual Gifts, as he can easily, and oft hath done, while those Batchelor Divines and Dectors of the Tippet have been pass'd by. Heretorore in the first Evangelic Times, (and it were happy for Christendom if it were so again) Ministers of the Gospel were by nothing else distinguish'd from other Christians, but by their spiritual Knowledge and Sanctity of Life, for which the Church elected them to be her Teachers and Overfeers, though not therby to separate them from whatever Calling she then found them following besides; as the Example of St. Paul declares, and the first times of Christianity. When once they affected to be called a Clergy, and became as it were, a peculiar Tribe of $L_{\ell-1}$ vites, a Party, a distinct Order in the Commonwealth, bred up for Divines in babling Schools, and fed at the public Cost, good for nothing else but what was good for nothing, they soon grew idle: that Idleness, with fulness of Bread, begat pride and perpetual contention with their Feeders the despised Laity, through all Ages ever fince; to the perverting of Religion, and the difturbance of all Christendom. And we may confidently conclude, it never will be otherwise while they are thus upheld undepending on the Church, on which alone they anciently depended, and are by the Magistrate publicly maintain'd a numerous Faction of indigent Persons, crept for the most part out of extreme want and bad nurture, claiming by divine right and freehold the tenth of our Estares, to monopolize the Ministry as their peculiar, which is free and open to all able Christians, elected by any Church. Under this pretence exempt from all other Imployment, and inriching themfelves on the public, they last of all prove common Incendiaries, and exalt their Horns against the Magistrate himself that maintains them, as the Priest of Rome did soon after against his Benefactor the Emperor, and the Presbyters of late in Scotland. Of which hireling Crew, together with all the Mischies, Dissensity of the Color of the C fions, Troubles, Wars meerly of their kindling, Christendom might soon rid her felf and be happy, if Christians would but know their own Dignity, their Liberty, their Adoption, and let it not be wonder'd if I fay, their spiritual Priefthood, wherby they have all equally access to any ministerial Function, whenever call'd by their own Abilities, and the Church, though they never came near Commencement or University. But while Protestants, to avoid the due labour of understanding their own Religion, are content to lodge it in the Breast, or rather in the Books of a Clergyman, and to take it thence by scraps and mammocks, as he dispenses it in his Sunday's Dole; they will be always learning, and never knowing; always Infants; always either his Vaffals, as Lay-papifts are to their Priests; or at odds with him, as reformed Principles give them fome light to be not wholly conformable; whence infinite diffurbances in the State, as they do, must needs follow. Thus much I had to fay; and, I suppose, what may be enough to them who are not avaricioutly bent otherwise, touching the likeliest means to remove Hirelings out of the Church; than which nothing can more conduce to Truth, to Peace and all Happineis both in Church and State. If I be not heard nor believ'd, the Event will bear me witness to have spoken Truth; and I, in the mean while, have borne my Witness, not out of scason, to the Church and to my Country.

LETTER

TO A

FRIEND,

Concerning the Ruptures of the Commonwealth.

Publish'd from the Manuscript.

STR,

PON the fad and ferious Discourse which we fell into last night, concerning these dangerous Ruptures of the Commonwealth, scarce yet in her Infancy, which cannot be without some inward slaw in her Bowels; I began to confider more intensity theron than hitherto I have been wont, refigning my self to the Wisdom and Care of those who had the Government; and not finding that either God, or the Public requir'd more of me, than my Prayers for them that govern. And fince you have not only stirr'd up my thoughts, by acquainting me with the state of Assairs, more inwardly than I knew before; but also have defired me to set down my Opinion therof, trusting to your Ingenuity, I shall give you freely my apprehension, both of our present Evils, and what Expedients, if God in Mercy regard us, may remove them. I will begin with telling you now I was over-joy'd, when I heard that the Army, under the working of Cod's help Spirit as I thought and still hope well, had been so for wrought God's holy Spirit, as I thought, and still hope well, had been so far wrought to Christian Humility, and Self-denial, as to confess in public their back-fliding from the Good Old Cause, and to shew the fruits of their Repentance, in the righteousness of their restoring the old famous Parlament, which they had without just Authority dissolved: I call it the samous Parlament, the not the harmless, fince none well-affected, but will confess, they have deserved much more of these Nations, than they have undeserved. And I persuade me, that God was pleas'd with their Restitution, signing it, as he did, with such a signal Victory, when so great a part of the Nation were desperately conspired to eall back again their Egyptian Bondage. So much the more it now amazes me, that they whose Lines were yet scarce closed from giving now amazes me, that they, whose Lips were yet scarce clos'd from giving Thanks for that great Deliverance, should be now relapsing, and so soon again backfliding into the same fault, which they confess'd so lately, and so solemnly to God and the World, and more lately punish'd in those Chessian Rebels; that they fhould now diffolve that Parliament, which they themselves re-establish'd, and acknowledg'd for their Supreme Power in their other day's humble Representation: and all this, for no apparent cause of public Concernment to the Church or Commonwealth, but only for difcommissioning nine great Officers in the Army; which had not been done, as is reported, but upon notice of their Intentions against the Parlament. I presume not to give my Cenfure on this Action, not knowing, as yet I do not, the bottom of it I speak only what it appears to us without doors, till better cause be declared, and I am fure to all other Nations most illegal and scandalous, I fear me barbarous, or rather fearce to be exampled among any Barbarians, that a paid Army should, for no other cause, thus subdue the Supreme Power that sex them up. This, I fay, other Nations will judge to the fad difhonour of that Army, lately fo renown'd for the civilest and best-order'd in the World, and by us here at home, for the most conscientious. Certainly, if the great

Officers and Soldiers of the Holland, French, or Venetian Forces, should thus fit in Council, and write from Garifon to Garifon against their Superiors, they might as easily reduce the King of France, or Duke of Venice, and put the United Provinces in like Diforder and Confusion. Why do they not, being most of them held ignorant of true Religion? because the Light of Nature, the Laws of Human Society, the Reverence of their Magistrates, Covenants, Engagements, Loyalty, Al'egiance, keeps them in awe. How gricvous will it then be? how infamous to the true Religion which we protess? how difhonourable to the Name of God, that his Fear and the Power of his Knowledge in an Army professing to be his, should not work that Obedience, that Fidelity to their Supreme Magistrates, that levied them, and paid them, when the Light of Nature, the Laws of Human Society, Covenants, and Contracts, yea common Shame works in other Armics, amongst the worst them? Which will undoubtedly pull down the heavy Judgment of God among us, who cannot but avenge these Hypocrifies, Violations of Truth and Holiness; if they be indeed to as they yet feem. For neither do I speak this in reproach to the Army, but as jealous of their Honour, inciting them to manifest and publish, with all speed, some better cause of these their late Actions, than hath hitherto appear'd, and to find out the Ackan amongst them, whose close Ambition in all likelihood abuses their honest Natures against their meaning to these Disorders; their readiest way to bring in again the common Enemy, and with him the Destruction of true Religion, and civil Liberty. But, because our Evils are now grown more dangerous and extreme, than to be remedied by Complaints, it concerns us now to find our what Remedies may be likeliest to save us from approaching Ruin. Being now in Anarchy, without a counfelling and governing Power; and the Army, I suppose, finding themselves insufficient to discharge at once both Military and Civil Affairs, the first thing to be found out with all speed, without which no Commonwealth can fublish, must be a Senate, or General Council of State, in whom must be the Power, first, to preserve the public Peace; next, the Commerce with Foreign Nations; and laftly, to raife Moneys for the Management of these Affairs: this must either be the Parlament re-admitted to fit, or a Council of State allow'd of by the Army, fince they only now have the Power. The Terms to be flood on are, Liberty of Confcience to all professing Scripture to be the Rule of their Faith and Worship; and the Abjuration of a single Person. If the Parlament be again thought on, to falve Honour on both fides, the well-affected Party of the City, and the congregated Churches, may be induced to mediate by public Addresses, and brotherty Befeechings; which, if there be that Saintship among us which is talk'd of, ought to be of highest and undeniable Persuasion to Reconcilement. If the Parlament be thought well diffolv'd, as not complying fully to grant Liberty of Confcience, and the necessary Confequence therof, the removal of a fore'd Maintenance from Ministers, then must the Army forthwith choose a Council of State, wheref as many to be of the Parlament, as are undoubtedly affected to these two Conditions propos'd. That which I conceive only ab'e to cement, and unite for ever the Army, either to the Parlament recall'd, or this choice Council, must be a mutual League and Oath, private or public, not to defert one another till Death: That is to say, that the Army be kept up, and all these Officers in their places during Life, and so likewise the Parlament, or Counsellors of State; which will be no way unjust, confidering their known Merits on either fide, in Council or in Field, uniess any be found false to any of these two Principles, or otherwise personally criminous in the Judgment of both Parties. If fuch a Union as this be not accepted on the Army's part, be confident there is a fingle Person underneath. That the Army be upheld, the necessity of our Affairs and Factions will conftrain long enough perhaps, to content the longest Liver in the Army. And whether the Civil Government be an annual Democracy, or a perpetual Ariftocracy, is not to me a confideration for the Extremities wherein we are, and the hazard of our Safety from our common Enemy, gaping at present to devour us. That it be not an Oligarchy, or the Faction of a few, may be easily prevented by the Numbers of their own chooling, who may be found infallibly conflant to those two Conditions forenam'd, full Liberty

Concerning the Ruptures of the Commonwealth.

of Conscience, and the Abjuration of Monarchy propos'd: and the well-order'd Committees of their faithfullest Adherents in every County, may give this Government the refemblance and effects of a perfect Democracy. As for the Reformation of Laws, and the places of Judicature, whether to be here, as at present, or in every County, as hath been long aim'd at, and many such Propofals, tending no doubt to public good, they may be confider'd in due time, when we are past these pernicious Pangs, in a hopeful way of Health, and firm Constitution. But unless these things, which I have above propos'd, one way or other, be once fettled, in my fear, which God avert, we instantly ruin; or at best become the Servants of one or other single Person, the secret Author and Fomenter of these Disturbances. You have the sum of my present Thoughts, as much as I understand of these Assairs freely imparted; at your request, and the persuasion you wrought in me, that I might chance hereby to be some way serviceable to the Commonwealth, in a time when all ought to be endeavouring what good they can, whether much or but little. With this you may do what you please, put out, put in, communicate or suppress : you offend not me, who only have obey'd your Opinion, that in doing what I have done, I might happen to offer fomething which might be of some use in this great time of need. However, I have not been wanting to the opportunity which you prefented before me, of shewing the readiness which I have in the midst of my Unfitness, to whatever may be required of me, as a public Duty.

October 20. 1659.

THE

Prefent Means, and Brief Delineation

Free Commonwealth,

Eafy to be put in Practice, and without Delay.

In a Letter to General Monk.

Published from the Manuscript.

IRST, all endeavours speedily to be us'd, that the ensuing Election be of fuch as are already firm, or inclinable to conftitute a Free. Commonwealth (according to the former qualifications decreed in Parlament, and not yet repeal'd, as I hear) without fingle Person, or House of Lords. If these be not such, but the contrary, who foresees not, that our Liberties will be utterly lost in this next Parlament, without some powerful course taken, of speediest prevention? The speediest prevention? est way will be to call up forthwith the chief Gentlemen out of every County; to lay before them (as your Excellency hath already, both in your publish'd Letters to the Army, and your Declaration recited to the Members of Parlament) the Danger and Confusion of re-admitting Kingship in this Land; especially against the Rules of all Prudence and Example, in a Family once ejected, and therby not to be trusted with the power of Revenge: that you will not longer delay them with vain expectation, but will put into their hands forthwith the possession of a Free Commonwealth; if they will first return immediately and elect them, by such at least of the People as are rightly qualify'd, a standing Council in every City, and great Town, which may then be dignified with the name of City, continually to consult the good and flourishing state of that Place, with a competent Territory adjoin'd; to affume the judicial Laws, either these that are, or such as they themselves shall new make severally, in each Commonalty, and all Judicatures, all Magistracies, to the Administration of all Justice between man and man, and all the Ornaments of public Civility, Academies, and fuch like, in their own hands. Matters appertaining to men of feveral Counties, or Territories, may be determin'd, as they are here at London, or in some more convenient Place, under equal Judges.

Next, That in every such capital Place, they will choose them the usual number of ablest Knights and Burgesses, engaged for a Commonwealth, to make up the Parlament, or (as it will from henceforth be better called) the Grand or General Council of the Nation: whose Office must be, with due Caution, to dispose of Forces, both by Sea and Land, under the conduct of your Excellency, for the preservation of Peace, both at home and abroad, must raise and manage the public Revenue, but with provided inspection of their Accompts; must administer all foreign Assairs, make all General Laws, Peace, or War, but not without Assented in standing Council in each City, or such other general Assembly as may be call'd on such occasion, from the Vol. I.

whole Territory, where they may, without much trouble, deliberate on all things fully, and fend up their Suffrages within a fet time, by Deputies appointed. Though this grand Council be perpetual (as in that Book I prov'd would be best and most conformable to best examples) yet they will then, thus limited, have so little matter in their hands, or Power to endanger our Liberty; and the People so much in theirs, to prevent them, having all Judicial Laws in their own choice, and free Votes in all those which concern generally the whole Commonwealth, that we shall have little cause to fear the perpetuity of our general Senate; which will be then nothing else but a firm Foundation and Custody of our Public Liberty, Peace, and Union, through the whole Commonwealth, and the transactors of our Affairs with foreign Nations.

If this yet be not thought enough, the known Expedient may at length be

us'd, of a partial Rotation.

Laftly, if these Gentlemen convocated, refuse these sair and noble Offers of immediate Liberty, and happy Condition, no doubt there be enough in every County who will thankfully accept them; your Excellency once more declaring publicly this to be your Mind, and having a faithful Veteran Army, so ready, and glad to affist you in the prosecution thereof. For the full and absolute Administration of Law in every County, which is the difficultest of these Proposals, hath bin of most long desired; and the not granting it, held a general Grievance. The rest when they shall see the beginnings and proceedings of these Constitutions proposed, and the orderly, the decent, the civil, the safe, the noble Effects thereof, will be soon convinced, and by degrees come in of their own accord, to be partakers of so happy a Government.

The

The ready and eafy Way to establish a

Free Commonwealth,

And the Excellence therof,

Compar'd with the Inconveniencies and Dangers of re-admitting Kingship in this Nation.

Confilium dedimus Syllæ, demus populo nunc.

Lthough fince the writing of this Treatife, the face of things hath had some change, Writs for new Elections have bin recall'd, and the Members at first chosen, re-admitted from exclusion; yet not a little rejoicing to hear declar'd the Resolution of those who are in Power, tending to the establishment of a Free Commonwealth, and to remove, if it be possible, this noxious humour of returning to Bondage, instill'd of late by some Deceivers, and nourish'd from bad Principles and false Apprehensions among too many of the People; I thought best not to suppress what I had written, hoping that it may now be of much more use and concernment to be freely publish'd, in the midst of our Elections to a Free Parlament, or their sitting to consider freely of the Government; whom it behoves to have all things represented to them that may direct their Judgment therin; and I never read of any State, scarce of any Tyrant grown so incurable, as to resulte Counsel from any in a time of public Deliberation, much less to be offended. If their absolute Determination be to enthral us, before so long a Lent of Servitude, they may permit us a little Shroving-time first, wherin to speak freely, and take our leaves of Liberty. And because in the former Edition, through haste, many Faults escap'd, and many Books were suddenly dispersed, ere the Note to mend them could be sent, I took the opportunity from this occasion to revise and somewhat to enlarge the whole Discourse, especially that part which argues for a perpetual Senate. The Treatise thus revis'd and enlarg'd, is as follows.

The Parlament of England, affifted by a great number of the People who appear'd and fluck to them faithfullest in defence of Religion and their Civil Libertics, judging Kingship by long experience a Government unnecessary, burdensome and dangerous, justly and magnanimously abolish'd it, turning regal Bondage into a Free Commonwealth, to the Admiration and Terrour of our emulous Neighbours. They took themselves not bound by the Light of Nature or Religion to any former Covenant, from which the King himself, by many Forfeitures of a latter date or discovery, and our own longer consideration theron, had more and more unbound us, both to himself and his posterity; as hath been ever the Justice and the Prudence of all wise Nations that have ejected Tyranny. They covenanted to preserve the King's Person and Authority, in the preservation of the true Religion, and our Liberties; not in his endeavouring to bring in upon our Consciences, a Popish Religion; upon our Liberties, Thraldom; upon our Lives, Destruction, by his occasioning, if not complotting, as was after discovered, the Irish Massacre; his somenting and arming Vol. I.

the Rebellion; his covert leaguing with the Rebels against us; his refusing, more than seven times, Propositions most just and necessary to the true Religion and our Liberties, tender'd him by the Parlament both of England and They made not their Covenant concerning him with no difference between a King and a God; or promis'd him, as Job did to the Almighty, to trust in him though he slay us: They understood that the folemn Ingagement, wherin we all forfwore Kingship, was no more a breach of the Covenant, than the Covenant was of the Protestation before, but a faithful and prudent going on both in words well weigh'd, and in the true lense of the Covenant, without respett of Persons, when we could not serve two contrary Masters, God and the King, or the King and that more supreme Law, sworn in the first place to maintain our Safety and our Liberty. They knew the People of England to be a free People, themselves the Representers of that Freedom; and although many were excluded, and as many fled (fo they pretended) from Tumults to Oxford, yet they were left a sufficient Number to act in Parlament, therfore not bound by any Statute of preceding Parlaments, but by the Law of Nature only, which is the only Law of Laws truly and properly to all Mankind fundamental; the beginning and the end of all Government; to which no Parlament or People that will throughly reform, but may and must have recourse, as they had, and must yet have, in Church-Reformation (if they throughly intend it) to Evangelic Rules; not to Ecclefiaftical Canons, though never fo ancient, fo ratify'd and establish'd in the Land by Statutes, which for the most part are meer positive Laws, neither natural nor moral; and so by any Parlament, for just and serious Considerations, without scruple to be at any time repeal'd. If others of their Number in these things were under Force, they were not, but under free Conscience; if others were excluded by a Power which they could not refift, they were not therfore to leave the Helm of Government in no hands, to discontinue their care of the public Peace and Safety, to defert the People in Anarchy and Confusion, no more than when so many of their Members left them, as made up in outward Formality a more legal Parlament of three Estates against them. The best-affected also, and best-principled of the People, stood not numbering or computing, on which fide were most Voices in Parlament, but on which fide appear'd to them most Reason, most Safety, when the House divided upon main Matters: What was well motion'd and advis'd, they examin'd not whether Fear or Perswasion carried it in the Vote, neither did they measure Votes and Counfels by the Intentions of them that voted; knowing that Intentions either are but guess'd at, or not soon enough known; and although good, can neither make the Deed fuch, nor prevent the Consequence from being bad: Suppose bad Intentions in things otherwise well done; what was well done, was by them who fo thought, not the lefs obey'd or follow'd in the State; fince in the Church, who had not rather follow Iscariot or Simon the Magician, though to covetous Ends, preaching, than Saul, though in the uprightness of his Heart perfecuting the Gospel? Safer they therfore judged what they thought the better Counfels, though carried on by fome perhaps to bad Ends, than the worse by others, though endeavour'd with best Intentions: and yet they were not to learn that a greater Number might be corrupt within the Walls of a Parlament, as well as of a City; wherof in Matters of nearest concernment all Men will be judges; nor easily permit, that the Odds of Voices in their greatest Council, shall more endanger them by corrupt or credulous Votes, than the Odds of Enemies by open Assaults; judging that most Voices ought not always to prevail where main Matters are in question. If others hence will pretend to disturb all Counsels; what is that to them who pretend not, but are in real danger; not they only so judging, but 2 great, tho' not the greatest Number of their chosen Patriots, who might be more in Weight than the others in Number; there being in Number little Virtue, but by Weight and Meafure Wifdom working all things: and the Dangers on either fide they feriously thus weigh'd. From the Treaty, short Fruits of long Labours, and 7 years War; Security for 20 Years, if we can hold it, Reformation in the Church for three Years: then put to shift again with our vanquish'd Master. His Justice, his Honour, his Conscience declar'd quite contrary to ours: which would have furnish'd him with many such Evations, as in a Book entitled

entitled, An Inquisition for Blood, foon after were not concealed: Bishops not totally remov'd, but left, as it were, in Ambush, a Reserve, with Ordination in their fole Power; their Lands already feld, not to be alienated, but rented, and the fale of them call'd Sacrilege; Delinquents, few of many brought to condign Punishment; Accessories punish'd; the chief Author, above Pardon, though after utmost Resistance, vanquish'd; not to give, but to receive Laws; yet befought, treated with, and to be thank'd for his gracious Concessions, to be honour'd, worshipp'd, glorify'd. If this we swore to do, with what Righteousness in the fight of God, with what Assurance that we bring not by such an Oath, the whole Sea of Blood-guiltiness upon our own Heads? If on the other fide we prefer a Free Government, though for the prefent not obtain'd, yet all those suggested Fears and Difficulties, as the Event will prove, eafily overcome, we remain finally fecure from the exasperated Regal Power, and out of Snares; shall retain the best part of our Liberty, which is our Religion, and the civil part will be from these who defer us, much more eafily recover'd, being neither to futtle nor to awful as a King re-inthron'd. Nor were their Actions less both at home and abroad, than might become the hopes of a glorious rifing Commonwealth: Nor were the Expressions both of Army and People, whether in their public Declarations, or feveral Writings, other than such as testify'd a Spirit in this Nation, no less noble and well fitted to the Liberty of a Commonwealth, than in the ancient Greeks or Romans. Nor was the heroic Cause unsuccessfully defended to all Christendom, against the Tongue of a samous and thought invincible Adversary; nor the Constancy and Fortitude that fo nobly vindicated our Liberty, our Victory at once against two the most prevailing Usurpers over Mankind, Superstition and Tyranny, unprais'd or uncelebrated in a written Monument, likely to outlive Detraction, as it hath hitherto convinc'd or silenc'd not a few of our Detractors, especially in parts abroad. After our Liberty and Religion thus prosperously fought for, gain'd, and many Years posses'd, except in those unhappy Interruptions, which God hath remov'd; now that nothing remains, but in all reason the certain hopes of a speedy and immediate Settlement for ever in a firm and free Commonwealth, for this extoll'd and magnify'd Nation, regardless both of Honour won, or Deliverances vouchfal'd from Heaven, to fall back, or rather to creep back so poorly, as it feems the multitude would, to their once abjur'd and detefted Thraldom of Kingship, to be our selves the standerers of our own just and religious Deeds, though done by some to covetous and ambitious Ends, yet not therfore to be stain'd with their Infamy, or they to asperse the Integrity of others; and yet these now by revolting from the Conscience of Deeds well done, both in Church and State, to throw away and forfake, or rather to betray a just and noble Cause for the mixture of bad Men who have ill manag'd and abus'd it, (which had our Fathers done heretofore, and on the same pretence deserted true Religion, what had long ere this become of our Gospel, and all Proteitant Reformation fo much intermixt with the Avarice and Ambition of fome Reformers?) and by thus relapfing, to verify all the bitter Predictions of our triumphing Enemies, who will now think they wifely difcern'd and juftly cenfur'd both us and all our Actions as rash, rebellious, hypocritical and impious; not only argues a strange degenerate Contagion suddenly spread among us, fitted and prepar'd for new Slavery, but will render us a Scorn and Derifion to all our Neighbours. And what will they at best say of us, and of the whole English Name, but scoffingly, as of that Foolish Builder mention'd by our Saviour, who began to build a Tower, and was not able to finish it? Where is this goodly Tower of a Commonwealth, which the English boasted they would build to overfladow Kings, and be another Rome in the West? The Foundation indeed they laid gallantly, but fell into a worfe Confusion, not of Tongues, but of Factions, than those at the Tower of Babel; and have left no Memorial of their Work behind them remaining, but in the common Laughter of Europe. Which must needs redound the more to our shame, if we but look on our Neighbours the United Provinces, to us inferior in all outward Advantages; who notwithstanding, in the midst of greater Difficulties, couragiously, wifely, constantly went through with the same Work, and

are fettled in all the happy enjoyments of a potent and flourishing Republic to

this day.

Besides this, if we return to Kingship, and soon repent, as undoubtedly we shall, when we begin to find the old encroachments coming on by little and little upon our Consciences, which must necessarily proceed from King and Bishop united inseparably in one Interest, we may be forc'd perhaps to fight over again all that we have fought, and spend over again all that we have fpent, but are never like to attain thus far as we are now advanc'd to the recovery of our Freedom, never to have it in possession as we now have it, never to be vouchfafed hereafter the like Mercies and fignal Affiftances from Heaven in our Cause: If by our ingrateful backsliding we make these fruitless, flying now to regal Concessions from his divine condescensions, and gracious answers to our once importuning Prayers against the Tyranny which we then groan'd under; making vain and viler than dirt, the Blood of fo many thoufand faithful and valiant Englishmen, who left us in this Liberty, bought with their Lives; losing by a strange after-game of Folly, all the Battels we have won, together with all Scotland as to our Conquest, hereby lost, which never any of our Kings could conquer, all the Treasure we have spent, not that corruptible Treasure only, but that far more precious of all our late miraculous Deliverances; treading back again with loft labour, all our happy fleps in the progress of Reformation, and most pitifully depriving our selves the instant fruition of that free Government which we have so dearly purchas'd, a free Commonwealth, not only held by wifeft men in all Ages the noblest, the manlieft, the equalleft, the justest Government, the most agreeable to all due Liberty and proportion'd Equality, both Human, Civil, and Christian, most cherishing to Virtue and true Religion, but also (I may fay it with greatest probability) plainly commended, or rather enjoin'd by our Saviour himfelf, to all Christians, not without remarkable disallowance, and the brand of Gentilism upon Kingship. God in much displeasure gave a King to the Israelites, and imputed it a fin to them that they fought one: But Christ apparently forbids his Disciples to admit of any such heathenish Government; The Kings of the Gentiles, faith he, exercise Lordship over them; and they that exercise Authority upon them are call'd Benefactors: but ye shall not be so; but he that is greatest among you, let bim be as the younger; and he that is chief, as he that ferveth. The occasion of these his words was the ambitious desire of Zebedee's two Sons, to be exalted above their Brethren in his Kingdom, which they thought was to be e'er long upon Earth. That he speaks of Civil Government, ismanifest by the former part of the Comparison, which infers the other part to be always in the same kind. And what Government comes nearer to this precept of Christ, than a free Commonwealth; wherin they who are greatest, are perpetual Servants and Drudges to the public at their own cost and charges, neglect their own Affairs, yet are not elevated above their Brethren; live toberly in their Families, walk the Street as other men, may be spoken to freely, familiarly, friendly, without Adoration? Wheras a King must be ador'd like a Demigod, with a diffolute and haughty Court about him, of vaft expence and luxury, Masks and Revels, to the debauching of our prime Gentry both Male and Female; not in their pastimes only, but in earnest, by the loofe imployments of Court-fervice, which will be then thought honourable. There will be a Queen of no less charge; in most likelihood Outlandish and a Papist, besides a Queen-mother such already; together with both their Courts and numerous Train: then a Royal iffue, and c'er long feverally their fumptuous Courts; to the multiplying of a fervile Crew, not of Servants only, but of Nobility and Gentry, bred up then to the hopes not of Public, but of Court-Offices, to be Stewards, Chamberlains, Ushers, Grooms, even of the Close-stool; and the lower their Minds debas'd with Court-opinions, contrary to all Virtue and Reformation, the haughtier will be their Pride and Profusenefs. We may well remember this not long fince at home; nor need but look at prefent into the French Court, where Enticements and Preferments daily draw away and pervert the Protestant Nobility. As to the burden of expence, to our cost we shall foon know it; for any good to us deserving to be term'd no better than the vast and lavish price of our subjection, and their Debauchery, which

to establish a Free Commonwealth.

which we are now fo greedily cheap'ning, and would fo fain be paying most inconfiderately to a fingle Perfon; who for any thing wherin the Public really needs him, will have little elfe to do, but to bestow the eating and drinking of excessive Dainties, to set a pompous face upon the superficial actings of State, to pageant himself up and down in Progress among the perpetual bowings and cringings of an abject People, on either fide deifying and adoring him for nothing done that can deferve it. For what can he more than another man? who even in the expression of a late Court-poet, fits only like a great Cypher fet to no purpose before a long row of other fignificant Figures. Nay, it is well and happy for the People if their King be but a Cypher, being oft-times a Mischief, a Pest, a Scourge of the Nation, and which is worse, not to be remov'd, not to be controul'd, much lefs accus'd or brought to punishment, without the danger of a common ruin, without the flaking and almost subversion of the whole Land: wheras in a free Commonwealth, any Governor or chief Counfellor offending, may be remov'd and punish'd without the least Commotion. Certainly then that People must needs be mad, or strangely infatuated, that build the chief hope of their common happiness or safety on a fingle Person; who if he happen to be good, can do no more than another man; if to be bad, hath in his hands to do more evil without check, than milions of other men. The happiness of a Nation must needs be firmest and certainest in full and free Council of their own electing, where no single Perfon, but Reafon only fways. And what madness is it for them who might manage nobly their own Affairs themselves, sluggishly and weakly to devolve all on a fingle Person; and more like Boys under Age than Men, to commit all to his patronage and disposal, who neither can perform what he undertakes, and yet for undertaking it, though royally paid, will not be their Servant, but their Lord? How unmanly must it needs be, to count such a one the breath of our Notirils, to hang all our felicity on him, all our fafety, our well-being, for which if we were aught else but Suggards or Babies, we need depend on none but God and our own Counfels, our own active Virtue and Industry. Go to the Ant, theu Sluggard, faith Solomon; confider her ways, and he wife; which having no Prince, Ruler, or Lord, provides ber meat in the Summer, and gathers her food in the Harvest: which evidently thews us, that they who think the Nation undone without a King, though they look grave or haughty, have not fo much true Spirit and Understanding in them as a Pismire: neither are these diligent Creatures hence concluded to live in lawlefs Anarchy, or that commended, but are fet the examples to imprudent and ungovern'd men, of a frugal and felf-governing Democraty or Commonwealth; fafer and more thriving in the joint Providence and Counfel of many industrious equals, than under the fingle domination of one imperious Lord. It may be well wonder'd that any Nationstilling themselves free, can suffer any man to pretend Hereditary Right over them as their Lord; whenas by acknowledging that Right, they conclude themselves his Servants and his Vassals, and so renounce their own freedom. Which how a People and their Leaders effecially can do, who have fought to gloriously for Liberty; how they can change their noble Words and Actions, heretofore to becoming the majesty of a free People, into the base necessity of Court-flatteries and Prostrations, is not only strange and admirable, but lamentable to think on. That a Nation should be so valorous and courageous to win their Liberty in the Field, and when they have won it, should be fo heartless and unwise in their Counsels, as not to know how to use it, value it, what to do with it, or with themselves; but after ten or twelve years prosperous War and contestation with Tyranny, basely and besottedly to run their necks again into the Yoke which they have broken, and proftrate all the fruits of their Victory for naught at the feet of the vanquish'd, besides our lofs of Glory, and fuch an example as Kings or Tyrants never yet had the like to boast of, will be an ignominy if it befall us, that never yet befel any Nation posses'd of their Liberty; worthy indeed themselves, whatsoever they be, to be for ever flaves; but that part of the Nation which confents not with them, as I perswade me, of a great number, far worthier than by their means to be brought into the fame Bondage. Confidering these things so plain, fo rational, I cannot but yet further admire on the other fide, how any man who hath the true principles of Justice and Religion in him, can presume

or take upon him to be a King and Lord over his Brethren, whom he cannot but know whether as Men or Christians, to be for the most part every way equal or fuperior to himself: how he can display with such Vanity and Ostentation his regal fplendor fo supereminently above other mortal Men; or being a Christian, can assume such extraordinary Honour and Worthip to himfelf, while the Kingdom of Christ our common King and Lord, is hid to this World, and fuch gentilish imitation forbid in express words by himself to all All Protestants hold that Christ in his Church hath left no his Disciples. Vicegerent of his Power; but himfelf without Deputy, is the only Head therof, governing it from Heaven: how then can any Christian man derive his Kingship from Christ, but with worse usurpation than the Pope his headship over the Church, fince Christ not only hath not left the least shadow of a command for any fuch Vicegerence from him in the State, as the Pope pretends for his in the Church, but hath expreshy declar'd, that such regal Dominion is from the Gentiles, not from him, and hath strictly charg'd us not to imitate them therin?

I doubt not but all ingenuous and knowing men will eafily agree with me, that a Free Commonwealth without fingle Person, or House of Lords, is by far the best Government if it can be had; but we have all this while, say they, bin expecting it, and cannot yet attain it. 'Tis true indeed, when Monarchy was diffolv'd, the Form of a Commonwealth should have forthwith bin fram'd, and the practice therof immediately begun; that the People might have foon been fatisfy'd and delighted with the decent Order, Eafe, and Benefit theroi: we had bin then by this time firmly rooted past fear of Commotions or Mutations, and now flourishing: this care of timely settling a new Government inftead of the old, too much neglected, hath been our mischief. Yet the cause therof may be ascrib'd with most reason to the frequent disturbances, interruptions, and diffolutions which the Parlament hath had, partly from the impatient or disaffected People, partly from some ambitious Leaders in the Ariny; much contrary, I believe, to the mind and approbation of the Army it felf and their other Commanders, once undeceiv'd, or in their own power. Now is the opportunity, now the very feafon wherin we may obtain a Free Commonwealth, and establish it for ever in the Land, without difficulty or much delay. Writs are fent out for Elections, and which is worth observing in the name, not of any King, but of the keepers of our Liberty, to fummon a free Parlament; which then only will indeed be free, and deferve the true honour of that supream Title, if they preserve us a free People. Which never Parlament was more free to do; being now call'd, not as heretosore, by the fummons of a King, but by the voice of Liberty: and if the People, laying afide prejudice and impatience, will feriously and calmly now confider their own good, both Religious and Civil, their own Liberty and the only means therof, as shall be here laid down before them, and will elect their Knights and Burgesses able men, and according to the just and necessary Qualifications (which, for aught I hear, remain yet in torce unrepeal'd, as they were formerly decreed in Parlament) men not addicted to a fingle Person or House of Lords, the work is done; at least the foundation firmly laid of a Free Commonwealth, and good part also erected of the main Structure. For the ground and basis of every just and free Government (since men have smarted so oft for committing all to one Person, is a general Council of abiest men, chosen by the People to confult of public Affairs from time to time for the common In this Grand Council must the Sovereignty, not transferr'd, but delegated only, and as it were deposited, reside; with this Caution they must have the forces by Sea and Land committed to them for preservation of the common Peace and Liberty; must raise and manage the public Revenue, as least with some Inspectors deputed for satisfaction of the People, how it is employ'd; must make or propose, as more expressly shall be said anon, Civil Laws, treat of Commerce, Peace, or War with foreign Nations, and for the carrying on fome particular Affairs with more fecrecy and expedition, must elect, as they have already out of their own number and others, a Council of

And although it may feem strange at first hearing, by reason that mens minds are prepostessed with the notion of successive Parlaments, I affirm that

the Grand or General Council being well chosen, should be perpetual: for so their business is or may be, and oft-times urgent; the opportunity of Affairs gain'd or loft in a moment. The day of Council cannot be fet as the day of a Festival; but must be ready always to prevent or answer all occasions. By this continuance they will become every way skilfuilest, best provided of Intelligence from abroad, best acquainted with the People at home, and the People with them. The Ship of the Commonwealth is always under fail; they fit at the Stern, and if they fleer well, what need is there to change them, it being rather dangerous? Add to this, that the Grand Council is both Foundation and main Pillar of the whole State; and to move Pillars and Foundations, not faulty, cannot be fafe for the Building. I fee not therfore, how we can be advantag'd by fuccessive and transitory Parlaments; but that they are much likelier continually to unfettle rather than to fettle a free Government, to breed Commotions, Changes, Novelties and Uncertainties, to bring neglect upon present Affairs and Opportunities, while all Minds are in fulpente with expectation of a new Affembly, and the Affembly for a good space taken up with the new settling of it self. After which, if they find no great work to do, they will make it, by altering or repealing former Acts, or making and multiplying new; that they may feem to fee what their Predecessors saw not, and not to have assembled for nothing: till all Law be lost in the multitude of clashing Statutes. But if the Ambition of such as think themselves injur'd that they also partake not of the Government, and are impatient till they be chosen, cannot brook the perpetuity of others chosen before them; or if it be fear'd that long continuance of Power may corrupt sincerest Men, the known Expedient is, and by some lately propounded, that annually (or if the space be longer, so much perhaps the better) the third part of Senators may go out according to the precedence of their Election, and the like number be chosen in their places, to prevent their fettling of too absolute a Power, if it should be verpetual: and this they call partial Rotation. But I could wish that this wheel or partial wheel in State, if it be possible, might be avoided, as having too much assinity with the wheel of Fortune. For it appears not how this can be done, without danger and mischance of putting out a great number of the best and ablest: in whose stead new Elections may bring in as many raw, unexperienc'd and otherwife affected, to the weakning and much altering for the worse of public Transactions. Neither do I think a perpetual Senate, especially chosen and entrusted by the People, much in this land to be fear'd, where the well-affected either in a flanding Army, or in a fetiled Militia, have their Arms in their own hands. Safest therfore to me it seems, and of leaft hazard or interruption to Affairs, that none of the Grand Council be mov'd, unless by Death or just Conviction of some Crime: for what can be expected firm or stedfast from a floating Foundation? however, I forejudge not any probable Expedient, any Temperament that can be found in things of this nature so disputable on either side. Yet lest this which I affirm, be thought my fingle Opinion, I shall add fusficient Testimony. Kingship it self is therfore counted the more safe and durable, because the King, and for the most part his Council, is not chang'd during Life: but a Commonwealth is held immortal, and therin firmest, safest and most above Fortune: for the Death of a King caufeth oft-times many dangerous Alterations; but the Death now and then of a Senator is not felt, the main body of them still continuing permanent in greatest and noblest Commonwea'ths, and as it were eternal. Therfore among the Jews, the supreme Council of Seventy, call'd the Sanbedrim, founded by Moles, in Aihens that of Areopagus, in Sparta that of the Ancients, in Rome the Senate, confilted of Members cholen for term of Life; and by that means remain'd as it were still the same to Generations. In Venice they change indeed ofter than every year fome particular Council of State, as that of fix, or fuch other; but the true Senate, which upholds and fustains the Government, is the whole Aristocracy immoveable. So in the United Provinces, the States General, which are indeed but a Council of State deputed by the whole Union, are not usually the same Persons for above three or fix Years; but the States of every City in whom the Sovereignty hath been plac'd time out of mind, are a standing Senate, with-VOL. I. Gggg

out Succession, and accounted chiefly in that regard the main prop of their Liberty. And why they should be so in every well-order'd Commonwealth, they who write of Policy, give these Reasons; "That to make the Senate successive, not only impairs the dignity and lustre of the Senate, but wear 66 kens the whole Commonwealth, and brings it into manifest danger; while 66 by this means the Secrets of State are frequently divulg'd, and matters of er greatest consequence committed to inexpert and novice Counsellors, ut-66 terly to feek in the full and intimate knowledge of Affairs past." I know not therfore what should be peculiar in England to make successive Parlaments thought fafest, or convenient here more than in other Nations, unless it be the fickleness which is attributed to us as we are Islanders: but good Education and acquisite Wisdom ought to correct the fluxible fault, if any such be, of out watry fituation. It will be objected, that in those places where they had perpetual Senates, they had also popular Remedies against their growing too imperious: as in Athens, besides Areopagus, another Senate of sour or sive hundred; in Sparta, the Ephori; in Rome, the Tribunes of the People. But the Event tells us, that these Remedies either little avail the People, or brought them to fuch a licentious and unbridled Democraty, as in fine ruin'd themselves with their own excessive power. So that the main reason urg'd why popular Affemblies are to be trufted with the People's Liberty, rather than a Senate of principal Men, because great Men will be still endeavouring to enlarge their Power, but the common fort will be contented to maintain their own Liberty, is by Experience found false; none being more immoderate and ambitious to amplify their Power, than fuch Popularities, which were feen in the People of Rome; who at first contented to have their Tribunes, at length contended with the Senate that one Conful, then both, foon after, that the Cenfors and Prætors also should be created Plebeian, and the whole Empire put into their hands; adoring lastly those, who most were adverse to the Senate, till Marius by fulfilling their inordinate Defires, quite loft them all the Power for which they had fo long bin striving, and left them under the Tyranny of Sylla: the ballance therfore must be exactly so set, as to preferve and keep up due Authority on either fide, as well in the Senate as in the People. And this annual Rotation of a Senate to confift of three hundred, as is lately propounded, requires also another popular Assembly upward of a thousand, with an answerable Rotation. Which, besides that it will be liable to all those Inconveniencies found in the forefaid Remedies, cannot but be troublesome and chargeable, both in their Motion and their Session, to the whole Land, unwieldy with their own bulk, unable in fo great a number to mature their Confultations as they ought, if any be allotted them, and that they meet not from fo many parts remote to fit a whole year Lieger in one place, only now and then to hold up a forest of Fingers, or to convey each Man his bean or ballot into the Box, without reason shewn or common deliberation; incontinent of Secrets, if any be imparted to them, emulous and always jarring with the other Senate. The much better way doubtless will be, in this wavering condition of our Affairs, to defer the changing or circumfcribing of our Senate, more than may be done with ease, till the Commonwealth be throughly fettled in Peace and Safety, and they rhemfelves give us the occasion. Military Men hold it dangerous to change the form of Battel in view of an Enemy: neither did the People of Rome bandy with their Senate while any of the Tarquins liv'd, the Enemies of their Liberty, nor fought by creating Tribunes to defend themselves against the sear of their Patricians, till fixteen years after the expulsion of their Kings, and in full security of their State, they had or thought they had just cause given them by the Senate. Another way will be, to well qualify and refine Elections: not committing all to the noise and shouting of a rude Multitude, but permitting only those of them who are rightly qualify'd, to nominate as many as they will; and out of that number others of a better breeding, to chuse a less number more judiciously, till after a third or fourth sisting and refining of exactest choice, they only be left chosen who are the due number, and feem by most voices the To make the People fittest to chuse, and the chosen fittest to goworthieft. vern, will be to mend our corrupt and faulty Education, to teach the People Faith not without Virtue, Temperance, Modelly, Sobriety, Parlimony, Justice,

Justice; not to admire Wealth or Honour; to hate Turbulence and Ambition; to place every one his private Welfare and Happiness in the public Peace, Liberty and Safety. They shall not then need to be much mistrustful or their chosen Patriots in the Grand Council; who will be then rightly call'd the true Keepers of our Liberty, though the most of their business will be in foreign Affairs. But to prevent all Mistrust, the People then will have their feveral ordinary Assemblies (which will henceforth quite annihilate the odious Power and Name of Committees) in the chief Towns of every County, without the Trouble, Charge, or time loft of fummoning and affembling from far in so great a number, and so long residing from their own Houses, or removing of their Families, to do as much at home intheir feveral Shires, entire or subdivided, toward the securing of their Liberty, as a numerous Assembly of them all form'd and conven'd on purpose with the wariest Rotation. Wherof I shall speak more ere the end of this Discourse: for it may be referred to time, so we be still going on by degrees to perfection. The People well weighing and performing these things, I suppose would have no cause to fear, though the Parlament abolishing that Name as originally signifying but the Parly of our Lords and Commons with their Norman King when he pleas'd to call them, should, with certain limitations of their Power, fit perpetual, if their ends be faithful and for a free Commonwealth, under the name of a Grand or General Council. Till this be done, I am in doubt whether our State will be ever certainly and throughly fettled; never likely till then to fee an end of our Troubles and continual Changes, or at least never the true Settlement and Affurance of our Liberty. The Grand Council being thus firmly conflicted to Perpetuity, and Itill, upon the Death or Default of any Member, fupply'd and kept in full number, there can be no cause alledg'd why Peace, Justice, plentiful Trade, and all Prosperity should not therupon enfue throughout the whole Lund; with as much affurance as can be of human things, that they shall so continue (if God favour us, and our wilful Sins provoke him not) even to the coming of our true and rightful, and only to be expected King, only worthy as he is our only Saviour, the Mcfliah, the Chrift, the only Heir of his eternal Father, the only by him anointed and ordained fince the Work of our Redemption finish'd, universal Lord of all Mankind. The way propounded is plun, eafy and open before us; without Intricacies, without the Introducement of new or abiolute Forms or Terms, or exotic Models; Edea's that would effect nothing; but with a number of new Injunctions to manacle the native Liberty of Mankind; turning all Virtue into Prescription, Servicide, and Necessity, to the great impairing and frustrating of Christian Liberty. I say again, this way lies free and finooth before us; is not tangled with Inconveniencies; invents no new Incumbrances; requires no perilous, no injurious Alteration or Circumfeription of Mens Lands and Proprieties; fecure, that in this Commonwealth, temporal and foirinal Lords remov'd, no Man or number of Men can attain to fuch Wealth or vaft possession, as will need the hedge of an Agrarian Law (never successful, but the caute rather of Sedition, fave only where it began feafonably with first possession) to confine them from endangering our public Liberty. To conclude, it can have no confiderable Objection made against it, that it is not practicable; left it be faid hereafter, that we gave up our Liberty for want of a ready way or diffinct Form proposed of a free Commonwealth. And this Facility we shall have above our next neighbouring Commonwealth (if we can keep us from the fond Conceit of something like a Duke of Voxice, put lately into many Mens heads by some one or other futtly driving on under that notion his own ambitious ends to lurch a Crown, that our Liberty shall not be hamper'd or hover'd over by any engagement to fach a potent Family as the House of Najer, of whom to stand in perpetual Doubt and Suspicion, but we shall live the clearest and absolutest free Kation in the World.

On the contrary, it there be a King, which the inconfiderate multitude are now fo mad upon, mark how far thort we are like to come of all those Happinesies, which in a free State we shall immediately be possessed of. First, the Grand Council, which, as I show'd before, should fit perpetually (unless their leifure gire them now and then some Intermissions or Vacations, easily manageable by the Council of State left sitting shall be call'd, by the King's good Vol. 1.

Grang gra

Will and utmost Endeavour, as feldom as may be. For it is only the King's Right, he will fay, to call a Parlament; and this he will do most commonly about his own Affairs rather than the Kingdom's, as will appear plainly for foon as they are call'd. For what will their business then be, and the chief hxpence of their time, but an endless tugging between Petition of Right and Royal Prerogative, especially about the negative Voice, Militia, or Subfidies, demanded and oft-times extorted without reasonable cause appearing to the Commons, who are the only true Representatives of the People and their Liberty, but will be then mingled with a Court-faction; besides which, within their own Walls, the fincere part of them who frand faithful to the People, will again have to deal with two troublesome counter-working Adversaries from without, meer Creatures of the King, spiritual, and the greater part, as is likelieft, of temporal Lords, nothing concern'd with the People's Liber-If these prevail not in what they please, though never so much against the People's Interest, the Parlament shall be soon dissolv'd, or sit and do nothing; not fuffer'd to remedy the least Grievance, or enact aught advantageous to the People. Next, the Council of State shall not be chosen by the Parlament, but by the King, still his own Creatures, Courtiers and Favourites; who will be fure in all their Counsels to set their Master's Grandure and absolute Power, in what they are able, far above the People's Liberty. I deny not but that there may be fuch a King, who may regard the common Good before his own, may have no vicious Favourite, may hearken only to the wifeft and incorruptest of his Parlament: but this rarely happens in a Monarchy not elective; and it behoves not a wife Nation to commit the fum of their wellbeing, the whole state of their Safety to Fortune. What need they; and how abfurd would it be, whenas they themselves to whom his chief Virtue will be but to hearken, may with much better Management and Dispatch, with much more Commendation of their own Worth and Magnanimity govern without a Master? Can the Folly be parallel'd, to adore and be the Slaves of a fingle Person, for doing that which it is ten thousand to one whether he can or will do, and we without him might do more eafily, more effectually, more laudably, our felves? Shall we never grow old enough to be wife, to make feafonable use of gravest Authorities, Experiences, Examples? Is it such an unspeakable Joy to serve, such Felicity to wear a Yoke? to clink our Shackles, lock'd on by pretended Law of Subjection, more intolerable and hopelefs to be ever shaken off, than those which are knock'd on by illegal Injury and Violence? Aristotle, our chief Instructor in the Universities, lest this Doctrine be thought Sectorien, as the Royalist would have it thought, tells us in the third of his Politics, that certain Men at first, for the matchless Excellence of their Virtue above others, or some great public Benefit, were created Kings by the People; in small Cities and Territories, and in the scarcity of others to be found like them: but when they abus'd their Power, and Governments grew larger, and the number of prudent Men increas'd, that then the People foon deposing their Tyrants, betook them, in all civilett places, to the form of a free Commonwealth. And why should we thus disparage and prejudicate our own Nation, as to fear a scarcity of able and worthy Men united in Counfel to govern us, if we will but use diligence and impartiality to find them out and chuse them, rather yoking our selves to a single Person, the natural Adversary and Oppressor of Liberty, though good, yet far easier corruptible by the excess of his fingular Power and Exaltation, or at best, nor comparably sufficient to bear the weight of Government, nor equally difpos'd to make us happy in the enjoyment of our Liberty under him.

But admit, that Monarchy of it felf may be convenient to some Nations; yet to us who have thrown it out, receiv'd back again, it cannot but prove pernicious. For Kings to come, never forgetting their former Ejection, will be sure to fortify and arm themselves sufficiently for the suture against all such Attempts hereafter from the People: who shall be then so narrowly watch'd and kept so low, that though they would never so sain, and at the same rate of their Blood and Treasure, they never shall be able to regain what they now have purchas'd and may enjoy, or to free themselves from any Yoke impos'd upon them: nor will they dare to go about it; utterly dishearten'd for the sure, if these their highest Attempts prove unsuccessful; which will be the

Triumph of all Tyrants hereafter over any People that shall refist Oppression; and their Song will then be, to others, how fped the rebellious English? to our Posterity, how sped the Rebels your Fathers? This is not my Conjecture, but drawn from God's known Denouncement against the gentilizing Ifraelites, who though they were govern'd in a Commonwealth of God's own ordaining, he only their King, they his peculiar People, yet affecting rather to refemble Heathen, but pretending the Mifgovernment of Samuel's Sons, no more a reason to dislike their Commonwealth, than the Violence of Eli's Sons was imputable to that Priefthood or Religion, clamour'd for a King. had their longing, but with this Testimony of God's Wrath; Ye shall cry out in that day, because of your King whom ye shall have chosen, and the Lord will not bear you in that day. Us if he shall hear now, how much less will he hear when we cry hereaster, who once deliver'd by him from a King, and not without wondrous Acts of his Providence, insensible and unworthy of those high Mercies, are returning precipitantly, if he withhold us not, back to the Captivity from whence he freed us. Yet neither shall we obtain or buy at an eafy rate this new gilded Yoke which thus transports us: a new Royal Revenue must be found, a new Episcopal; for those are individual: both which being wholly diffipated or bought by private Perfons, or affign'd for Service done, and especially to the Army, cannot be recovered without a general Detriment and Confusion to Mens Estates, or a heavy Imposition on all Mens Purses; Benefit to none, but to the worst and ignoblest fort of Men, whose hope is to be either the Ministers of Court-Riot and Excess, or the Gainers by it: But not to speak more of Losses and extraordinary Levies on our Estates, what will then be the Revenges and Offences remember'd and return'd, not only by the chief Person, but by all his Adherents; Accounts and Reparations that will be requir'd, Suits, Inditements, Inquiries, Discoveries, Complaints, Informations, who knows against whom or how many, though perhaps Neuters, if not to utmost Infliction, yet to Imprisonment, Fines, Banishment, or Molestation? if not these, yet Disfavour, Discountenance, Difregard and Contempt on all but the known Royalist or whom he favours, will be plenteous. Nor let the new royaliz'd Presbyterians perswade themselves that their old doings, though now recanted, will be forgotten; whatever Conditions be contrived or trusted on. Will they not believe this; nor remember the Pacification how it was kept to the Scots; how other folemn Promifes many a time to us? Let them but now read the diabolical forerunning Libels, the Faces, the Geftures that now appear foremost and briskest in all public places, as the Harbingers of those that are in expectation to reign over us; let them but hear the Infolencies, the Menaces, the Infultings of our newly animated common Enemies crept lately out of their Holes, their Hell, I might fay, by the Language of their infernal Pamphlets, the Spue of every Drunkard, every Ribald; nameless, yet not for want of Licence, but for very shame of their own vile Perfons, not daring to name themselves, while they traduce others by name; and give us to forefee, that they intend to fecond their wicked Words, if ever they have Power, with more wicked Deeds. Let our zealous Backfliders forethink now with themselves, how their Necks yok'd with thefe Tygers of Bacchus, thefe new Fanatics of not the preaching but the fweating-tub, infpir'd with nothing ho'ier than the Venereal Pox, can draw one way under Monarchy to the eflablishing of Church-Discipline with these new-dilgorg'd Atheifins: yet shall they not have the honour to yoke with thefe, but shall be yok'd under them; thefe shall plow on their backs. And do they among them who are to forward to bring in the fingle Perfon, think to be by him trufted or long regarded? So trufted they shall be and so regarded, as by Kings are wont reconcil'd Enemies; neglected, and foon after difcarded, if not profecuted for old Traytors; the first Inciters, Beginners, and more than to the third part actors of all that follow'd. It will be found also, that there must be then as necessarily as now (for the contrary part will be fill tear'd) a flanding Army; which for certain shall not be this, but of the hercest Cavaliers, of no less expence, and perhaps again under Rupert. But let this Army be fure they shall be foon disbanded, and likeliest without Arrear or Pay; and being difbanded, not be fure but they may as foon be queftie.dd for being in Arms against their King: the same let them sear, who have

contributed Money; which will amount to no small number that must their take their turn to be made Delinquents and Compounders. They who past reason and recovery are devoted to Kingship, perhaps will answer, that a greater part by far of the Nation will have it so, the rest therfore must yield. Not so much to convince these, which I little hope, as to confirm them who yield not, I reply; that this greatest part have both in Retfon, and the trial of just Battel, lost the right of their Election what the Go vernment shall be: of them who have not lost that right, whether they for Kingship be the greater Number, who can certainly determine? Suppose they be, yet of freedom they partake all alike, one main End of Government: which if the greater part value not, but will degenerately forgo, is it just or reasonable, that most Voices against the main End of Government, should enslave the less Number that would be free? More just it is, doubtless, if it come to force, that a lefs Number compel a greater to retain, which can be no wrong to them, their Liberty, than that a greater Number, for the pleasure of their baseness, compel a less most injuriously to be their Fellow-Slaves. They who feek nothing but their own just Liberty, have always right to win it, and to keep it, whenever they have Power, be the Voices never for numerous that oppose it. And how much we above others are concern'd to defend it from Kingship, and from them who in pursuance therof so pernicioally would betray us and themselves to most certain Misery and Thraldom, will be needless to repeat.

Having thus far shewn with what ease we may now obtain a Free Commonwealth, and by it with as much case all the Freedom, Peace, Justice, Plenty, that we can defire; on the other side, the Difficulties, Troubles, Uncertainties, may rather Impossibilities to enjoy these things constantly under a Monarch: I will now proceed to shew more particularly wherin our Freedom and shourithing Condition will be more ample and secure to us under a Free Com-

menwealth, than under Kingship.

The whole freedom of Man confills either in Spiritual or Civil Liberty. As for Spiritual, who can be at reft, who can enjoy any thing in this World with contentment, who hath not liberty to ferve God, and to fave his own Soul, according to the best Light which God hath planted in him to that purpose, by the reading of his reveal'd Will, and the guidance of his Holy Spirit? That this is best pleasing to God, and that the whole Protestant Church allows no supream Judge or Rule in Matters of Religion, but the Scriptures; and these to be interpreted by the Scriptures themselves, which necessarily infers Liberty of Conscience; I have heretofore prov'd at large in another Treatise; and might yet surther, by the public Declarations, Conseinors and Admonitions of whole Churches and States, obvious in all Histories since the Reformation.

This Liberty of Confcience, which above all other things ought to be to all Men dearest and most precious, no Government more inclinable not to tayour only, but to protect, than a free Commonwealth; as being most magnanimous, most fearless and consident of its own fair Proceedings. Wheras Kingship, though looking big, yet indeed most pusillanimous, sull of Fears, full of Jealousies, startled at every Ombrage, as it hath been observ'd of old to have ever fuspected most, and mistrusted them who were in most esteem for Virtue and Generosity of Mind; so it is now known to have most in doubt and fuspicion, them who are most reputed to be religious. Queen Elizabeth, though her felf accounted to good a Protestant, to moderate, to confident of her Subjects Love, would never give way fo much as to Presbyterian Reformation in this L and, though once and again befought, as Camden relates; but imprifor'd, and perfecuted the very Propofers therof; alledging it as her Mind and Maxim unalterable, that fuch Reformation would diminish Regal Authority. What Liberty of Conscience can we then expect of others, far worse principled from the Cradle, train'd up and govern'd by Popish and Spanish Countels, and on such depending hitherto for substitlence? Especially what can this last Parlament expect, who having reviv'd lately and publish'd the Covenant, have re-engag'd themselves, never to re-admit Epitcopacy? Which no Son of Charles returning, but will most certainly bring back with him, if he regard the last and strictest Charge of his Father, to persevere in, not the Dostrine only, but Government of the Church of England; not to neglest the speedy and effectual suppressing of Errors and Schisms; among which he accounted Presbytery one of the chief. Or if, notwithstanding that Charge of his Father, he submit to the Covenant, how will he keep Faith to us, with Disobedience to him; or regard that Faith given, which must be sounded on the breach of that last and solemnest paternal Charge, and the Reluctance, I may say the Antipathy, which is in all Kings against Presbyterian and Independent Discipline? For they hear the Gospel speaking much of Liberty; a word which Monarchy and her Bishops both sear and hate, but a Free Commonwealth both savours and promotes; and not the word only, but the thing it self. But let our Governors beware in time, lest their hard measure to Liberty of Conscience be found the Rock wheron they shipwrack themselves, as others have now done before them in the course wherin God was directing their Steerage to a Free Commonwealth; and the abandoning of all those whom they call Sestaries, for the detected Falshood and Ambition of some, be a wilful rejection of their own chief Strength and Interest in the freedom of all Protestant Religion, under what abusive Name soever calumniated.

The other part of our Freedom confifts in the Civil Rights and Advancements of every Person according to his Merit: the enjoyment of those never more certain, and the access to these never more open, than in a Free Commonwealth. Both which, in my Opinion, may be best and soonest obtain'd, if every County in the Land were made a kind of subordinate Commonalty or Commonwealth, and one chief Town or more, according as the Shire is in Circuit, made Cities, if they be not fo call'd already; where the Nobility and chief Gentry, from a proportionable compass of Territory annex'd to each City, may build Houses or Palaces besitting their Quality, may bear part in the Government, make their own judicial Laws, or use these that are, and execute them by their own elected Judicatures and Judges without Appeal, in all things of Civil Government between Man and Man: fo they shall have Justice in their own hands, Law executed fully and finally in their own Counties and Precincts, long wish'd and spoken of, but never yet obtain'd; they shall have none then to blame but themselves, if it be not well administer'd; and sewer Laws to expect or fear from the supreme Authority; or to those that shall be made, of any great concernment to Public Liberty, they may, without much trouble in these Commonalties, or in more General Assemblies call'd to their Cities from the whole Territory on fuch occasion, declare and publish their affent or diffent by Deputies, within a time limited, fent to the Grand Council; yet to as this their Judgment declar'd, shall submit to the greater number of other Counties or Commonalties, and not avail them to any exemption of themselves, or resultal of Agreement with the rest, as it may in any of the United Provinces, being Sovereign within it felf, oft-times to the great disadvantage of that Union. In these Employments they may much better than they do now, exercise and fit themselves till their Lot fall to be chosen into the Grand Council, according as their Worth and Merit shall be taken notice of by the People. As for Controversies that shall happen between Men of several Counties, they may repair, as they do now, to the Capital City, or any other more commo lious, indifferent Place, and equal Judges. And this I find to have been practised in the old Athenian Commonwealth, reputed the first and ancientest place of Civility in all Greece: that they had in their feveral Cities, a peculiar; in Athens, a common Government; and their Right, as it befel them, to the Administration of both. They should have here also Schools and Academies at their own choice, wherin their Children may be bred up in their own fight to all Learning and noble Education; not in Grammar only, but in all Liberal Arts and Exercites. This would foon fpread much more Knowledge and Civility, yea, Religion, through all parts of the Land, by communicating the natural heat of Government and Culture more distributively to all extreme parts, which now lie numb and neglected, would foon make the whole Nation more industrious, more ingenuous at home; more potent, more honourable abroad. To this a Free Commonwealth will eafily affent; (nay, the Parlament hath had already some such thing in defign) for of all Governments a Commonwealth aims most to make the People flourishing, virtuous, noble and

high-spirited. Monarchs will never permit; whose Aim is to make the People wealthy indeed perhaps, and well fleec'd, for their own flearing, and the fupply of Regal Prodigality; but otherwise fostest, basest, viciousest, fervileft, easiest to be kept under; and not only in Fleece, but in Mind alto sheepissiest; and will have all the Benches of Judicature annex'd to the Throne, as a Gift of Royal Grace, that we have Justice done us: whenas nothing can be more effential to the Freedom of a People, than to have the administration of Justice, and all Public Ornaments, in their own Election, and within their own Bounds, without long travelling or depending on remote Places to obtain their Right, or any Civil Accomplishment; so it be not fupreme, but subordinate to the general Power and Union of the whole Republic. In which happy firmness, as in the Particular above-mention'd, we shall also far exceed the United Provinces, by having, not as they (to the retarding and distracting oft-times of their Counsels or urgentest Occasions) many Sovereignties united in one Commonwealth, but many Commonwealths under one united and entrusted Sovereignty. And when we have our Forces by Sea and Land, either of a faithful Army, or a settled Militia, in our own hands, to the firm establishing of a Free Commonwealth, public Accounts under our own Inspection, general Laws and Taxes, with their Causes, in cur own Domestic Suffrages, Judicial Laws, Offices and Ornaments at home in our own ordering and administration, all distinction of Lords and Commoners, that may any way divide or fever the Public Interest, remov'd; what can a perpetual Senate have then, wherin to grow corrupt, wherin to encroach upon us, er usurp? or if they do, wherin to be formidable? Yet if all this avail not to remove the Fear or Envy of a perpetual Sitting, it may be easily provided, to change a third part of them yearly, or every two or three Years, as was above-mention'd; or that it be at those times in the People's choice, whether they will change them, or renew their Power, as they shall find cause.

I have no more to fay at present: sew words will save us, well considered; sew and easy things, now seasonably done. But if the People be so affected, as to profitute Religion and Liberty to the vain and groundless apprehension, that nothing but Kingship can restore Trade, not remembring the frequent Plagues and Pestilences that then wasted this City, such as through God's Mercy we never have felt fince; and that Trade flourishes no where more than in the Free Commonwealths of Italy, Germany, and the Low Countries, before their eyes at this day: yet if Trade be grown to craving and importunate through the profuse living of Tradesmen, that nothing can support it, but the luxurious Expences of a Nation upon Trifles or Superfluities; io as if the People generally should betake themselves to Frugality, it might prove a dangerous matter, lest Tradesinen should mutiny for want of Trading; and that therfore we must forgo and set to sale Religion, Liberty, Honour, Safety, all Concernments Divine or Human, to keep up Trading: If, laftly, after all this Light among us, the same Reason shall pass for current, to put our Necks again under Kingship, as was made use of by the Jews to return back to Egypt, and to the Worship of their Idol Queen, because they falfly imagin'd that they then liv'd in more plenty and proferity; our Condition is not found but rotten, both in Religion and all Civil Prudence; and will bring us foon, the way we are marching, to those Calamities which attend always and unavoidably on Luxury, all national Judgments under Foreign and Domestic Slavery: So far we shall be from mending our condition by monarchizing our Government, whatever new Conceit now possesses us. However, with all hazard I have ventured what I thought my Duty to speak in seafon, and to forewarn my Country in time; wherin I doubt not but there be many wife Men in all Places and Degrees, but am forry the Effects of Wifdom are so little seen among us. Many Circumstances and Particulars I could have added in those things wherof I have spoken: but a few main-Matters now put speedily in execution, will suffice to recover us, and set all right: And there will want at no time who are good at Circumstances; but Men who fet their Minds on main Matters, and fufficiently urge them, in these most difficult times I find not many. What I have spoken, is the Language of that which is not call'd amifs The Good Old Cause: if it feem strange to any, it will not seem more strange, I hope, than convincing to Back-sliders. Thus much I should perhaps have said, though I were sure I should have spoken only to Trees and Stones; and had none to cry to, but with the Prophet, O Earth, Earth, Earth! to tell the very Soil itself, what her perverse Inhabitants are deaf to. Nay, though what I have spoke, should happen (which Thou setter not, who didst create Mankind free; nor Thou next, who didst redeem us from being Servants of Men!) to be the last words of our expiring Liberty. But I trust I shall have spoken Perswasion to abundance of sensible and ingenuous Men; to some perhaps whom God may raise to these Stones to become Children of reviving Liberty; and may reclaim, though they seem now chusing them a Captain back for Egypt, to bethink themselves a little, and consider whither they are rushing; to exhort this Torrent also of the Peopl, not to be so impetuous, but to keep their due Channel; and at length recovering and uniting their better Resolutions, now that they see already how open and unbounded the insolence and rage is of our common senemics, to stay these ruinous Proceedings, justly and timely searing to what a Precipics of Destruction the deluge of this epidemic Madnets would harry us, through the general desection of a misguided and abus'd Multitude.

Vol. I. Hhhh Brief

Brief NOTES upon a late

TITL'D,

The Fear of GOD and the KING;

Preach'd, and fince publish'd,

By MATTHEW GRIFFITH, D. D.

And Chaplain to the late KING.

Wherin many notorious Wreftings of Scripture, and other Falsities are observ'd.

Affirm'd in the Preface of a late Discourse, entitl'd, The ready way to establish a free Commonwealth, and the dangers of readmitting Kingship in this Nation, that the humour of returning to our old Bondage, was instilled of late by fome Deceivers; and to make good, that what I then affirm'd, was not without just ground, one of those Deceivers I present here to the People: and if I

prove him not fuch, refuse not to be so accounted in his stead.

He begins in his Epittle to the General, and moves cunningly for a Licence to be admitted Physician both to Church and State; then sets out his practice in Physical terms, an wholesome Electuary to be taken every Morning next cur Hearts; tells of the opposition which he met with from the College of State-Physicians, then lays before you his Drugs and Ingredients; Strong Purgatives in the Pulpit, contemper'd of the myrrh of Mortification, the aloes of Confession and Contrition, the rubarh of Restitution and Satisfaction; a pretty funtable dose of Divinity from a Pulpit-Mountebank, not unlike the Fox, that turning Pedlar, open'd his pack of Ware before the Kid; though he now would feem to perfo-nate the good Samaritan, undertaking to describe the Rise and Progress of our national Malady, and to prescribe the only Remedy; which how he performs, we

thall quickly fee. First, he would suborn Saint Luke as his Spokesiman to the General, prefuming, it feems, to have had as perfect underglanding of things from the very first, as the Evangelist had of his Gospel; that the General who hath so emimently borne his part in the whole Action, might know the certainty of to fe things better from him a partial Sequestred Enemy; for so he presently appears, though covertly and like the Tempter, commencing his Address with an impudent Calumny and Affront to his Excellence, that he would be pleas'd /2 carry on what he had so happily begun in the name and cause not of God only, which we doubt not, but of his Anointed, meaning the late King's Son; which is to charge him most audaciously and falsly with the renouncing of his own public Promises and Declarations, both to the Parlament and the Army, and we trust his Actions e'er long will deter such infinuating Slanderers from thus approaching him for the future. But the General may well excute him; for the Comforter himself scapes not his Presumption, avouch'd as falsly, to have impower'd to those designs kim and him only, who hath solemnly declar'd the contrary. What Phanatic, against whom he so often inveighs, could more presument and the Comforter hath interest and the Army, and we trust the contrary. fumptuoufly affirm whom the Comforter hath impower'd, than this Anti-Fanatic, as he would be thought?

The

The Text.

Prov. 24. 21. My Son, fear God and the King, and moddle not with them that be feditious, or definous of change, &c.

Letting pass matters not in Controversy, I come to the main drift of your Sermon, the King; which word here is either to signify any supreme Magistrate, or else your latter Object of sear is not universal, belongs not at all to many parts of Christendom, that have no King; and in particular, not to us. That we have no King since the putting down of Kingship in this Commonwealth, is manifest by this last Parlament, who to the time of their Distolving, not only made no Address at all to any King, but summon'd this next to come by the Writ formerly appointed of a free Commonwealth, without Restitution or the least mention of any Kingly Right or Power; which could not be, if there were at present any King of England. The main part therfore of your Sermon, if it mean a King in the usual sense, is either impertinent and absurd, exhorting your Auditory to sear that which is not; or if King here be, as it is understood, for any supreme Magistrate, by your own Exhortation they are in the first place not to meddle with you, as being yourself most of all the seditious meant here, and the desirous of change, in stirring them up to sear a King, whom the present Government takes no notice of.

You begin with a vain Vision, God and the King at the first blush (which will not be your last blush) seeming to stand in your Text like those two Cherubius on the Mercy-seat, looking on each other. By this Similitude, your conceited Sanctuary, worse than the Altar of Akaz, pattern'd from Damaseus, degrades God to a Cherub, and raises your King to be his collateral in place, notwithstanding the other differences you put; which well agrees with the Court-Letters, lately publish'd from this Lord to t'other Lord, that cry him up for no less than Angelical and Celessial.

Your first observation, pag. 8. is, That God and the King are coupied in the Text, and what the Holy Ghost hath thus firmly combin'd, we may not, we must not dare to put asunder; and yourself is the first Man who puts them asunder by the first proof of your Doctrine immediately following, Judg. 7. 20. which couples the Sword of the Lord and Gideon, a man who not only was no King, but refus'd to be a King or Monarch, when it was offer'd him, in the very next Chapter, Ver. 22, 23. I will not rule over you, neither shall my Son rule ever you; the Lord shall rule over you. Here we see that this worthy Heroic Deliverer of his Country, thought it best govern'd, if the Lord govern'd it in that Form of a tree Commonwealth, which they then enjoy'd without a single Person. And this is your first Scripture, abus'd and most impertmently cited, nay, against yourself, to prove that Kings at their Coronation have a Sword given them, which you interpret the Militia, the power of life and death put into their hands, against the declar'd judgment of our Parlaments, nay, of all our Laws, which referve to themselves only the power of Lise and Death, and render you in their just resentment of this boldness, another Doctor Marwaring.

Your next proof is as false and frivolous, The King, say you, is God's Sword-bearer; true, but not the King only: for Gideon, by whom you feek to prove this, neither was, nor would be a King; and as you yourself consess, pag, 40. There be divers Forms of Government. He bears not the Sword in vain, Rom. 13. 4. this also is as true of any lawful Rulers, especially supreme; so that Rulers, ver. 3. and therfore this present Government, without whose Authority you excite the People to a King, bear the Sword as well as Kings, and as little in vain. They fight against God, who resist his Ordinance, and go about to wrest the Sword out of the hands of his Anointed. This is likewise granted: but who is his Anointed? Not every King, but they only who were Anointed or made Kings by his special Command; as Saul, David, and his Race, which ended in the Messiah, (from whom no Kings at this day can derive their Title) schu, Cyrus, and if any other were by name appointed by him to some particular Service: as for the rest of Kings, all other supreme Magistrates are as much the Lord's Anointed as they; and our Obedience commanded equally to them all; For there is no Power but of God, Rom. 13. 1. and we are exhorted in Vol. 1.

the Gospel to obey Kings, as other Magistrates, not that they are call'd any where the Lord's Anointed, but as they are the Ordinance of Man, 1 Pet. 2. 13. You therfore and other such false Doctors, preaching Kings to your Auditory, as the Lord's only Anointed, to withdraw People from the present Government, by your own Text are self-condemn'd, and not to be follow'd, not to be meddl'd with, but to be noted, as most of all others the seditious and

desirous of change.

Your third Proof is no less against yourself. Psal. 105. 15. Touch not mine For this is not fpoken in behalf of Kings, but spoken to reprove Kings, that they should not touch his anointed Saints and Servants, the Seed of Abraham, as the Verse next before might have taught you: He reprov'd Kings for their fakes, faying, Touch not mine Anointed, and do my Prophets no barm; according to that, 2 Cor. 1. 21. He who hath anointed us, is God. But how well you confirm one wrested Scripture with another? I Sam. 8. 7. They have not rejetted thee, but me: grossy misapplying these words, which were not spoken to any who had resisted or rejetted a King, but to them who much against the Will of God had sought a King, and rejected a Commonwealth, wherin they might have liv'd happily under the Reign of God only, their King. Let the words interpret themselves; ver. 6, 7. But the thing displeased Samuel, when they said, give us a King to judge us: and Samuel pray'd unto the Lord. And the Lord said unto Samuel, hearken unto the voice of the People in all that they say unto thee; for they have not rejected thee, but they have rejected me, that I should not reign over them. Hence you conclude, so indissoluble is the Conjunction of God and the King. O notorious Abuse of Scripture! whenas you should have concluded, fo unwilling was God to give them a King, fo wide was the difjunction of God from a King. Is this the Doctrine you boast of, to be so clear in itself, and like a Mathematical Principle, that needs no farther Demonstration? Bad Logic, bad Mathematics (for Principles can have no Demonstration) stration at all) but worse Divinity. O People of an implicit Faith no better than Romifh, if these be thy prime Teachers, who to their credulous Audience dare thus juggle with Scripture, to alledge those places for the proof of their Doctrine, which are the plain Refutation: and this is all the Scripture which he brings to confirm his point.

The rest of his Preachment is meer groundless Chat, save here and there a few grains of Corn scatter'd to intice the filly Fowl into his Net, interlac'd here and there with some human reading, tho' slight, and not without Geographical and Historical Mistakes: as pag. 29. Suevia the German Dukedom, for Suecia the Northern Kingdom: Philip of Macedon, who is generally understood of the Great Alexander's Father only, made contemporary, page 31. with T. Quintus the Roman Commander, instead of T. Quintius, and the latter Philip: and pag. 44. Tully cited in bis third Oration against Verres, to say of him, that he was a wicked Consul, who never was a Consul: nor Trojan Sedition ever pourtray'd by that Verse of Virgil, which you cite pag. 47. as that of Troy: School-boys could have told you, that there is nothing of Troy in that whole Pourtraiture, as you call it, of Sedition. These gross Mistakes may justly bring in doubt your other loose Citations, and that you take them up somewhere at the second or third hand rashly, and without due considering.

Nor are you happier in the relating or the moralizing your Fable. The Frogs (heing once a free Mation, faith the Fable) petition'd Jupiter for a King: he tumbled among them a Log: They found it insensible; they petition'd then for a King that should be active: he sent them a Crane (a Stork, faith the Fable) which straight sell to pecking them up. This you apply to the reproof of them who defire change: wheras indeed the true Moral shews rather the folly of those who being free seek a King; which for the most part either as a Log lies heavy on his Subjects, without doing aught worthy of his Dignity and the Charge to maintain him, or as a Stork is ever pecking them up, and devouring

them,

But by our fundamental Laws, the King is the highest Power, pag. 40. If we must hear Mooting and Law-Lectures from the Pulpit, what shame is it for a Doctor of Divinity, not first to consider, that no Law can be fundamental, but that which is grounded on the Light of Nature or right Reason, commonly call'd Moral Law: which no Form of Government was ever counted,

bur

Brief Notes on Dr. Griffith's Sermon.

but arbitrary, and at all times in the choice of every free People, or their Representers? This choice of Government is so effential to their Freedom, that longer than they have it, they are not free. In this Land not only the late King and his Posterity, but Kingship itself hath been abrogated by a Law; which involves with as good reason the Posterity of a King forfeited to the People, as that Law hertofore of Treason against the King, attainted the Children with the Father. This Law against both King and Kingship they who most question, do not less question all enacted without the King and his Anti-Parlament at Oxford, though call'd Mungrel by himself. If no Law must be held good, but what passes in full Parlament, then furely in exactness of Legality, no Member must be missing: for look how many are missing, so many Counties or Cities that fent them want their Representers. But if being once chofen, they ferve for the whole Nation, then any number which is fufficient, is full, and most of all in times of discord, necessity and danger. himself was bound by the old Mode of Parlaments, not to be absent, but in case of Sickness, or some extraordinary occasion, and then to leave his Subflitute; much less might any Member be allow'd to absent himself. If the King then and many of the Members with him, without leaving any in his stead, forfook the Parlament upon a meer panic fear, as was that time judg'd by most Men, and to levy War against them that sat, should they who were left fitting, break up, or not dare enact aught of nearest and presentest concernment to public Safety, for the punctilio wanting of a full number, which no Law-book in such extraordinary cases hath determin'd? Certainly if it were lawful for them to fly from their Charge upon pretence of private Safety, it was much more lawful for these to fit and act in their trust what was necessary for the public. By a Law therfore of Parlament, and of a Parlament that conquer'd both Ireland, Scotland, and all their Enemies in England, defended their Friends, were generally acknowledged for a Parlament both at home and abroad, Kingship was abolish'd: This Law now of late hath been negatively repeal'd; yet Kingship not positively restor'd, and I suppose never was establish'd by any certain Law in this Land, nor possibly could be: for how could our Fore fathers bind us to any certain Form of Government. more than we can bind our Posterity? If a People be put to war with their King for this Misgovernment, and overcome him, the Power is then undoubtedly in their own hands how they will be govern'd. The War was granted just by the King himself at the beginning of his last Treaty, and still maintain'd to be so by this last Parlament, as appears by the Qualification prescrib'd to the Members of this next ensuing, That none shall be elected, who have borne Arms against the Parlament fince 1641. If the War were just, the Conquest was also just by the Law of Nations. And he who was the chief Enemy, in all right ceas'd to be the King, especially after Captivity, by the deciding Verdict of War; and Royalty with all her Laws and Pretentions, yet remains in the Victor's power, together with the choice of our future Government. Free Commonwealths have been ever counted fittest and properest for civil, virtuous and industrious Nations, abounding with prudent Men worthy to govern: Monarchy fittest to curb degenerate, corrupt, idle, proud, luxurious People. If we defire to be of the former, nothing better for us, nothing nobler than a Free Commonwealth: if we will needs condemn ourfelves to be of the latter, despairing of our own Virtue, Industry, and the Number of our able Men, we may then, conscious of our own unworthiness to be govern'd better, sadly betake us to our besitting Thraldom: yet chufing out of our own number one who hath best aided the People, and best merited against Tyranny, the space of a Reign or two we may chance to live happily enough, or tolerably. But that a victorious People should give up themselves again to the vanquish'd, was never yet heard of; feems rather void of all Reason and good Policy, and will in all probability tubject the Subduers to the Subdu'd, will expose to Revenge, to Beggary, to Ruin and perpetual Bondage, the Victors under the Vanquish'd: than which what can be more unworthy?

From misinterpreting our Law, you return to do again the same with Scripture, and would prove the Supremacy of English Kings from 1 Pet. 2. 13. as if that were the Apostle's work: wherin if he saith that the King is su-

preme, he speaks so of him but as an Ordinance of Man, and in respect of those Governors that are sent by him, not in respect of Parlaments, which by the Law of this Land are his Bridle; in vain his Bridle, if not also his Rider: and therfore hath not only Co-ordination with him, which you falfly call feditious, but hath Superiority above him, and that neither against Religion, nor right Reason: no nor against common Law; for our Kings reign'd only by Law. But the Parlament is above all positive Law, whether civil or common, makes or unmakes them both; and still the latter Parlament above the former, above all the former Lawgivers, then certainly above all precedent Laws, entail'd the Crown on whom it pleas'd; and, as a great Lawyer faith, is so transcendent and absolute, that it cannot be confined either for Causes or Persons, within any bounds. But your cry is, no Parlament without a King. If this be fo, we have never had lawful Kings, who have all been created Kings either by fuch Parlaments, or by Conquest: if by such Parlaments, they are in your allowance none: if by Conquest, that Conquest we have now conquer'd. So that as well by your own Affertion as by ours, there can at prefent be no King. And how could that Perion be absolutely supreme, who reign'd, not under Law only, but under Oath of his good Demeanor, given to the People at his Coronation, e'er the People gave him his Crown? And his principal Oath was to maintain those Laws which the People should chuse. If then the Law itself, much more he who was but the Keeper and Minister of Law, was in their choice, and both he subordinate to the performance of his Duty fworn, and our fworn Allegiance in order only to his performance.

You fall next on the Confisterian Schismatics; for so you call Presbyterians, fig. 40. and judge them to have enervated the King's Supremacy by their Opinions and Prastice, differing in many things only in terms from Popery; though some of those Principles which you there cite concerning Kingship, are to be read in Aristotle's Politics, long e'er Popery was thought on. The Presbyterians therfore it concerns to be well forewarn'd of you betimes; and to them I

leave you.

As for your Examples of feditious Men, pag. 54, &c. Cora, Abfalom, Zimri, Sheba, to these you might with much more reason have added your own Name, who blew the Trumpet of Sedition from your Pulpit against the present Government: in reward wherof they have sent you by this time, as I hear, to your own place, for preaching open Sedition, while you would seem to preach

against it.

As for your Appendix annex'd of the Samaritan reviv'd, finding it so soul a Libel against all the well-affected of this Land, since the very time of Shipmoney, against the whole Parlament, both Lords and Commons, except those that fled to Oxford, against the whole reform'd Church, not only in England and Sectiand, but all over Europe (in comparison where you and your Prelatical Party are more truly Schismatics and Sectarians, nay, more properly Fanatics in your Fanes and gilded Temples, than those whom you revile by those Names) and meeting with no more Scripture or solid Reason in your Samaritan wine and oil, than hath already been found sophisticated and adultenate, I scave your malignant Narrative, as needing no other Consutation, than the just Censure already pass'd upon you by the Council of State.

ACCEDENCE

Commenc'd

GRAMMAR,

Supply'd with fufficient

RULES

For the use of such as, Younger or Elder, are desirous, without more trouble than needs, to attain the *Latin Tongue*; the elder sort especially, with little teaching, and their own industry.

To the READER.

Thath bin long a general complaint, not without cause, in the bringing up of Youth, and still is, that the tenth part of man's life, ordinarily extended, is taken up in learning, and that very scarcely, the Latin Tongue. Which tardy proficience may be attributed to several causes: in particular, the making two Labours of one, by learning first the Accedence, then the Grammar in Latin, e'er the Language of those Rules be understood. The only remedy of this, was to join both Books into one, and in the English Tongue; wherby the long way is much abbreviated, and the labour of understanding much more easy: a work supposed not to have been done formerly; or if done, not without such difference here in brevity and alteration, as may be found of moment. That of Grammar, touching Letters and Syllables, is omitted, as learnt before, and little different from the English Spelling-book; especially fince few will be perswaded to pronounce Latin otherwise than their own English. What will not come under Rule, by reason of the much variety in Declension, Gender, or Construction, is also here omitted, lest the course and clearness of method be clogg'd with Catalogues instead of Rules, or too much interruption between Rule and Rule: Which Linaker, fetting down the various Idioms of many Verbs, was fored to do by Alphabet; and therfore though very learned, not thought fit to be read in Schools. But in fuch words, a Dictionary stor'd with good Authorities will be found the readiest guide. Of figurate Construction, what is useful, is digested into several Rules of Syntaxis: and Prosody, after this Grammar well learn'd, will not need to be English'd for him who hath a mind to read it. Account might be now given what addition or alteration from other Grammars hath bin here made, and for what reason. But he who would be short in teaching, must not be long in presacing: The Book itself sollows, and will declare sufficiently to them who can dissern.

ACCEDENCE

Commenc'd

GRAMMAR.

attin Grammar is the Art of right understanding, speaking, or writing Latin, observed from them who have spoken or written it best.

wording, ufually call'd *Etymology*; and right-joining of words, or *Syntaxis*.

Etymology, or right-wording, teacheth what belongs to every fingle word or part of Speech.

Of Latin SPEECH

Are eight General Parts:

Eclin'd are those words which have divers endings; as Homo a man, Hominis of a man; Amo I love, amas thou lovest. Undeclin'd are those words which have but one ending, as bene well, cum when, tum then.

Nouns, Pronouns, and Participles, are declin'd with Gender, Number, and Cafe; Verbs, as hereafter in the Verb

Of Genders.

Finders are three, the Masculin, Feminin, and Neuter. The Masculin may be declin'd with this Article Hic, as hie Vir a Man; the Feminin with this Article, Hec, as hee Mulier a Woman; the Neuter with this Article Hoc, as hee Saxum a Stone.

Of the Malculin are generally all Nouns belonging to the Male kind, as also the Names of Rivers, Months and Winds.

Of the Feminin, all Nouns belonging to the Female kind, as also the Names of Countries, Cities, Trees, fome sew of the two latter excepted: Of Cities, as Agragas and Sulmo, Masculin; Argos, Tibur, Pranesle, and such as end in um, Neuter; Anaur both. Of Trees, Oleaster and

Spinus, Masculin; but Olecster is read also Feminin, Cic. verr. 4. Acer. siler, suber, thus, robur, Neuter.

And of the Neuter are all Nouns, not being proper Names, ending in

um, and many others.

Some Nouns are of two Genders, as bic or bee dies a day; and all fuch as may be spoken both of Male and Female, as bic or bee Parens a Father or Mother: some be of three, as bic bee and boc Felix happy.

Of Numbers.

Ords declin'd have two Numbers, the Singular and the Plural. The Singular speaketh but of one, as Lapis a Stone. The Plural of more than one, as Lapides Stones; yet sometimes but of one, as Athenæ the City Athens, Literæ an Epissle, ædes ædium a House.

Note, that some Nouns have no singular, and some no plural, as the nature of their fignification requires. Some arc of one Gender in the singular; of another, or of two Genders in the plural, as reading will best teach.

Of Cafes.

Ouns, Pronouns, and Participles are declin'd with fix endings, which are call'd Cafes, both in the fingular and plural number. The Nominative, Genitive, Dative, Accufative, Vocative, and Ablative.

The *Nominative* is the first Case, and properly nameth the thing, as *Libera*

Book.

The *Genitive* is english'd with this Sign of, as *Libri* of a Book.

The Dative with this Sign to, or for, as Libro to or for a Book.

The Accufative hath no Sign.

The *Vocative* calleth or speaketh to, as *O Liber* O Book, and is commonly like the Nominative.

But in the Neuter Gender the Nominative, Accufative, and Vocative, are like in both Numbers, and in the *Plural* end always in a.

The

The Ablative is english'd with these Signs, in, with, of, for, from, by, and such like, as De Libro of or from the Book, pro Libro for the Book; and the Ablative Plural is always like the Dative.

Note, that fome Nouns have but one ending throughout all Cases, as *Frugi*, nequam, nibil; and all words of number from three to a hundred, as quatuor four, quinque five, &c.

Some have but one, fome two, fome three Cafes only, in the fingular or plural, as Use will best teach.

Of a Noun.

A Noun is the name of a thing, as Manus a Hand, Domus a House, Bonus Good, Pulcher Fair.

Nouns be Substantives or Adjectives. A Noun Substantive is understood by it felf, as *homo* a man, *domus* a house.

An Adjective, to be well understood, requireth a Substantive to be join'd with it, as bonus good, parvus little, which cannot be well understood unless something good or little be either nam'd, as bonus vir a good man, parvus puer a little boy; or by use understood, as konestum an honest thing, toni good men.

The Declining of Substantives.

Ouns Substantives have five Declensions or forms of ending their Cases, chiesly distinguish'd by the different ending of their Genitive Singular.

The first Declension.

THE first is when the Genitive and Dative singular end in α , $\mathcal{C}c$. as in the Example following.

Singular.
No.Voc.Abl. musa
Gen. Dat. musa
Acc. musam

Plural.
Nom. Voc. musa
Gen. musarum
Dat. Abl. musis
Acc. musas.

This one word familia join'd with pater, mater, filius, or filia, endeth the Genitive in as, as pater familias, but fometimes familiae. Dea, mula, equa, liberta, make the Dative and Ablative plural in abus; filia and nata in is or abus.

The first Declension endeth always in a, unless in some words deriv'd of the Greek: and is always of the Femimine Gender, except in names attributed to men, according to the general Rule, or to Stars, as Cometa. Planeta. Vol. I.

Nouns, and especially proper Names derived of the Greek, have here three endings, as, es, e, and are declin'd in some of their Cases after the Greek form. Eneas, acc. Enean, voc. Enea; Anchises, acc. Anchisen, voc. Anchise or Anchise, abl. Anchise. Penelope, Penelopes, Penelopen, voc. abl. Penelope. Sometimes following the Latin, as Marsya, Philosteta, for as, and es; Philostetam, Eriphylam, for an and en. Cic.

The fecond Declenfion.

THE fecond is when the Genitive Singular endeth in i, the Dative in o, G_c .

Sing.
Nom. Voc. Liber
Nom. Voc. Liber
Gen. libri
Dat. Abl. libro
Acc. librum
Plur.
Nom. Voc. Libri
Gen. librorum
Dat. Abl. libris
Acc. librum

Note that when the Nominative endeth in us, the Vocative shall end in e, as Dominus & Domine, except Deus & Deus. And these following, Agnus, lucus, vulgus, populus, chorus, fluvius, e or us.

When the Nominative endeth in ius, if it be the proper name of a man, the vocative shall end in i, as Georgius ô Georgi; hereto add filius ô fili, and genius ô geni.

nius o geni.
All Nouns of the Second Declention are of the Masculine or Neuter Gender; of the Masculine, such as end in ir, or, or us, except some sew, bumus, domus, alvus, and others deriv'd of the Greek, as methodus, antidotus, and the like, which are of the Feminine, and some of them sometimes also Masculine, as atomus, phaselus; to which add sicus the name of a disease, grossus, pampinus, and rubus.

Those of the Neuter, except virus, pelagus, and vulgus (which last is sometimes Masculine) end all in um, and are declined as followeth:

Sing.
No. Ac. Vo. StuGen. studii [dium]
Dat. Abl. studio]

Plur.
No. Ac. Voc. Studia
Gen. studiorum
Dat. Abl. studio
Dat. Abl. studiis.

Some Nouns in this Declension are of the first Example Singular, of the second Plural, as Pergamus the City Troy, Plur. Hac Pergama; and some names of Hills, as Manalus, Ismarus, hac Ismara; so also Tartarus, and the Lake Avernus; others are of both, as sibilus, jecur, locus, bi loci, or bac loca. Some I i i i

are of the fecond example Singular, of the first Plural, as Argos, Calum, Plur. bi Cali; others of both, as Rastrum, Capistrum, Filum, Frænum; Plur. fræni or fræna. Nundinum, & Epulum, are of the first Declension Plural, Nundinæ, Epulæ; Balneum of both, balneæ or balnea.

Greek proper names have here three endings, os, on, and us long from a Greek Diphthong. Hac Delos, hanc Delon. Hoc Ilion. The rest regular, Hic panthus, ô panthu, Virg.

The third Declenfion.

HE third is when the Genitive fingular endeth in is, the Dative in i, the Accufative in em, and sometimes in im, the Ablative in e, and fometimes in i; the Nom. Acc. Voc. Plural. in es, the Genitive in um, and fometimes in ium, &c.

Sing.
No.Gen.Vo.PaNom.Ac.Vo.panes
Gen. panum Sing. Dat. Abl. panibus. Acc. panem Abl. pane.

Plur. Sing. No. Voc. Parens No. Ac. Vo. parentes Gen. parentis Dat. Ab. parenti-Dat. parenti Acc. parentem Abl. parente

This third Declension, with many endings, hath all Genders, best known by dividing all Nouns hereto belonging into fuch as either increase one fyllable long or short in the Genitive, or increase not at all.

Such as increase not in the Genitive are generally Feminine, as Nubes nubis, Caro carnis.

Except fuch as end in er, as bic venter ventris, and these in is sollowing, natalis, aqualis, lienis, orbis, callis, caulis, collis, follis, mensis, ensis, fustis, sunis, panis, penis, crinis, ignis, catsis, fascis, torris, piscis, unguis, vermis, vectis, postis, axis, and the Compounds of affis, as centuffis.

But Canalis, finis, clunis, restis, sentis, amnis, corbis, linter, torquis, anguis, bic or bec: To these add vepres.

Such as end in e are Neuters, as mare, rete, and two Greek in es, as hippomanes, cacoëthes.

Nouns encreasing long.

Nouns encreasing one fyllable long in the Genitive are generally Feminine, as bece pietas pietatis, virtus virtutis.

Except fuch as end in ans Masculin, as dodrans, quadrans, sextans; in ens, as oriens, torrens, bidens, a pick-ax.

In or, most commonly deriv'd of Verbs, as pallor, clamor; in o, not thence deriv'd, as ternio, fenio, fermo, temo, and the like.

And these of one fyllable, fal, sol, ren, splen, as, bes, pes, mos, flos, ros, dens, mons, pons, fons, grex.

And words deriv'd from the Greek in en, as lichen; in er, as crater; in as, as adamas; in es, as letes; to these, hydrops, thorax, phænix.

But firebs, rudens, stirps, the body or root of a tree, and calv a heel, bis or hac.

Neuter, these of one syllable, mel, fel, lac, far, ver, cor, æs, vas vafis, os ossis, os oris, rus, thus, jus, crus, pus. And of more fyllables in al and ar, as capital, laquear, but halee hot or hee.

Nouns encreasing short.

Nouns encreasing fhort in the Genitive are generally Masculine, as bie

sanguis sanguinis, lapis lapidis.

Except, Feminine all words of many fyllables ending in do or go, as dulcedo, compago, arbor, hyems, cuspis, pecus pecudis: These in ex, forfex, carex, tomen, fupellen: In in, appendin, histrin, conendin, filin; Greek Nouns in as and is, as lampas, iaspis: To these add chlamis, bacchar, fyndon, icon.

But margo, cinis, pulvis, adeps, forceps, pumex, ramex, imbrex, obex, filex, cortex, onyx and fardonyx, bic or

Neuters are all ending in a, as problema; in en, except hic petten; in ar, as jubar; in er thefe, verber, iter, uber, cadaver, zinziber, lajer, cicer, fifer, piper, papaver; fometimes in ur, except bic furfur, in us, as onus, in ut, as caput; to these marmor, aquor, ador.

Greek proper names here end in as, an, is, and ens, and may be declin'd fome wholly after the Greck form, as Pallas, pallados, palladi, pallada; others in fome Cafes, as Atlas, acc. Atlanta, voc. Atla. Garamas, plur. garamantes, acc. garamantas. Pan, panos, pana. Phyllis, phyllidos, voc. phylli, plur. Phyllides, acc. phyllidas. Tetbys, tethyos, acc. tethyn, voc. tethy. Neapolis neapolics, acc. neapolin. Paris, paridos or parios, acc. farida or parin. Ortheus, orpheos, orphei, orphea, orpheu. But Names in eus borrow fometimes their Genitive of the fecond Declension, as Erechtheus, erechthei. Cic. Achilles or Achilleus, AchilAbillei; and sometimes their Accusative in on or um, as Orpheus Orpheon, Theseus Theseum, Perseus Perseum, which sometimes is form'd after Greek words of the first Declention Latin, Perseus or Perses, Perse Perse Perse Perse.

The fourth Declenfion.

Singular endeth in us, the Dative Singular in ui, and fometimes in u, Plural in ibus, and fometimes in ubus.

Sing.
No.Ge.Vo.Senfus
Dat. fenfui
Acc. fenfum
Abl. fenfu.

Plur.
No.Ac.Vo. fenfus
Gen. fenfuum
Dat. Abl. fenfibus.

The fourth Declention hath two endings, us and u; us generally Mafeuline, except fome few, as kee manus, ficus, the fruit of a tree, acus, portious, tribus, but penus and specus hie or kee. U of the Neuter, as gelu, genu, veru; but in the Singular most part defective.

Proper Names in os and o long, pertaining to the fourth Declension Greek, may belong best to the fourth in Latin, as Androgeos, Gen. Androgeo, Ac. Androgeon; Hic Athos, hunc Atho, Virg. Hee Suppho, Gen Sapphus, Acc. Sappho. Better Authors follow the Latin form, as Dido Didonis Didonem. But Jesus Jesu Jesu Jesu Jesu Jesu Jesu

The fifth Declenfion.

HE fifth is when the Genitive and Dative Singular end in ei, &c.

Sing.
Nom. Voc. Res
Gen. Dat. rei
Acc. rem
Abl. re

All Nouns of the fifth Declention are of the Ferninine Gender, except dies bic or her, and his Compound meridies bic only.

Some Nouns are of more Declensions than one, as vas vasis of the third in the Singular, of the second in the Piural vasa vasorum. Colus, lauru, and some others, of the second and fourth. Saturnalia, faturnalium or saturnaliorum, saturnalibu, and such other names of seasts. Poëmata poèmatum, seematis or poëmatibus, of the second and third Plural. Plebs of the third and sisth, plebis or plebei.

The Declining of Adjectives.

Noun Adjective is declin'd with three Terminations, or with three Articles.

An Adjective of three terminations is declin'd like the first and second Declension of Substantives join'd together after this manner.

Sing.
Nom. bouns bona bonum
Gen. boni bona boni
Dat. bono bona bono
Ac. boni bona bono
Voc bone bona bono
Abl. bono bona bono
Abl. bono bona bono
Plar.
Nom. Vo. boni bona bono
fona.

Plar.
Nom. Vo. boni bona bono
fona.

Plar.

Rom. Vo. bono bona bono
fona.

Plar.

Nom. Vo. boni bono
fona.

Dat. Abl. bonos bonas bona.

In like manner those in er and ur, as facer sacra sacrum, satur satura saturum; but unus, totus, solus, alius, alius, alicr, ullus, user, with their Compounds neuter, uterque, and the like, make their Genitive Singular in ius, the Dative in i, as Usus una unum; Gen. unius. Dat. uni, in all the rest like bonus, save that alius maketh in the Neuter Gender aliud, and in the Dative aii, and sometimes in the Genicive.

Ambo and duo be thus declin'd in the plural only.

Nom. Voc. Ambs ambe ambo Gen. amborum ambarum amborum. Dat. Ab! ambobus ambabus ambobus Acc. ambos or ambo, ambas ambo.

Adjectives of three Articles have in the Nominative either one ending, as bic, bac, & boc felix; or two, as kie & bac trifts & boc trifte; and are declin'd like the third Declenfion of Subflantives, as followeth.

Sing.
Nom. bic bæc & boc
Felix.
Gen. fedicis
Dat. félici
Acc. hunc & have félice
cem, & hoc felix.
Voc. ô felix.
Abl. félice or feli.i

Plur.
Nom 11 & her felices,
& here filicia
Gen. feliciam
Dat Abl. felicibus
Acc. hos & has felices
& hæc félicia
Voc. ô felices, & ô felicia.

Sing.

No kie & kæe triflis

S boe trifle

Gen. triflis

Dat. Abl. trifli

Ac. lane & kane trifle

Voc. & triflis & o

trifle

S hæe triflia

Gen. triflia

Ac. hos & las triflias

Ac. hos & las triflis,

& læe triflia

Voc. o triflis & o

trifle

There be also another fort which have in the Nominative Case three Terminations and three Articles, as hie acer, hie & hee acris, hoe acre. In like manner be declined equester, volucer, and some sew others, being in all other cases like the Examples beforegoing.

Iiii 2 Com

Comparisons of Nouns.

A Djectives, whose fignification may increase or be diminish'd, may form Comparison, wherof there be two degrees above the positive word it felf, The Comparative, and Superlative.

The Positive signifies the thing it felf without comparing, as durus hard.

The Comparative exceedeth his Pofitive in fignification, compar'd with fome other, as durior harder; and is form'd of the first Case of his Positive that endeth in t, by putting therto or and us, as of duri, bic & bee durior, & boc durius: of dulci, dulcior, dulcius.

The Superlative exceedeth his Positive in the highest degree, as durissimus hardest; and it is form'd of the first Case of his Positive that endeth in is, by putting therto simus, as of duris du-

rissimus, dulcis dulcissimus.

If the Positive end in er, the Superlative is form'd of the nominative case by putting to rimus, as pulcher pulcherrimus. Like to these are vetus veterrimus, maturus maturimus; but dexter dexterrimus, and sinister, sinisterior, sinisterrimus.

All these Nouns ending in lis make the Superlative by changing is into limus, as bumilis, similis, facilis, gracilis,

agilis, docilis docillimus.

All other Nouns ending in lis do follow the general Rule, as utilis utilissimus.

Of these Positives following are form'd a different fort of Superlatives; of superus, supremus and summus; inferus, infimus and imus; exterus, extimus and extremus; posterus postremus.

Some of these want the Positive, and are form'd from Adverbs; of intra, interior intimus, ultra ulterior ultimus, citra citerior citimus, pridem prior primus, prope propior proximus.

Others from Positives without Case,

as nequam, nequior, nequissimus.

Some also from no Positive, as ocior ocissimus. Some want the Comparative, as novus novissimus, inclytus inclytissimus.

Some the Superlative, as fenen fenior, juvenis junior, adolescens adolescentior.

Some ending in us, frame their Comparative as if they ended in ens, benevolus, maledicus, magnificus magnificentior magnificentissimus.

These following are without Rule, Bonus melior optimus, Malus pejor pessimus, Magnus major maximus, Parvus minor minimus; Multus plurimus, multa plurima, multum plus plurimum.

If a Vowel come before us, it is compared with magis and maxime, as pius, magis pius, maxime pius; ideneus, magis and maxime ideneus. Yet fome of these follow the general Rule, as Assiduus assiduissimus, strenuus strenuier, exiguus exiguissimus, tenuis tenuier tenuississimus

Of a Pronoun.

Pronoun is a part of Speech that flandeth for a Noun Substantive, either at prefent or before spoken of, as ille, he or that, bic this, qui who.

There be ten Pronouns, Ego, tu, jui, ille, ipse, iste, bic, is, qui and quis, befides their Compounds, egomet, tute, bicce, idem, quisnam, aliquis, and such others. The rest so call'd, as meus, tuus, suas, noster, vester, nostras, vestras, cujus and cujas, are not Pronouns, but Adjectives thence deriv'd.

Of *Pronouns* such as shew the thing present are called *Demonstratives*, as ego, tu, bic; and such as refer to a thing antecedent, or spoken of before, are called Relatives, as qui who or which.

Quis, and often qui, because they ask a question, are called Interrogatives, with their Compounds ecquis, numquis.

Declensions of Pronouns are three.

Ego, tu, fui, be of the first Declenfion, and be thus declin'd.



Sing. } { Nom. Voc. caret } { Dat. fibi Plur. } { Gen. fui } { Acc. Abl. fe.

From these three be deriv'd meus, tuus, suus, noster, vester, nostras, vestras, (which are called Possessives) wherof the former sive be declin'd like Adjectives of three Terminations, except that meus in the Vocative Case maketh mi, mea, meum; Nostras. Vestras, with three Articles, as bic & bæc nostras, & boc nostras or nestrate, vestrate. In other Cases according to rule.

These three, ille, iste, iste, be of the second Declension, making their Geni-

tive

tive fingular in ius, their Dative in i; and the former two be declin'd like the Adjective alius, and the third like unus before spoken of.

Sing. { Nom. ille illa illud, Gen. illius, Dat. illi. Nom. iste ista istud, Gen. istius, Dat. isti. Nom. iste ista istud, Gen. istius, Dat. isti.

These four, bic, is, qui and quis, be of the third Declension, making their Genitive singular in jus, with j consonant, and be declin'd after this manner.

Sing.

Nom. bic bæc boc

Gen. bujus

Dat. buic

Acc. bunc hanc koc

Voc. caret.

Abl. bec bac boc.

Plur.

Nom. bi bæ bæc

Gen. horum barum borum

Dat. Abl. bis
Acc. bos bas bæc

Voc. caret.

Of ifte and bic is compounded iftic, iftee, iftee or iftee. Acc. iftene, iftee, iftee only.

Sing.
Nom. is ea id
Gen epis
Dat. et
Acc. cum cam id
Voc. caret
Abl. eo ca co.

Plur.
Nom it cae ca
Gen. corum carum corum
Dat. Abl. iis or cis
Acc. cos cos ca
Voc. caret.

Sing.
Nom. qui quæ quod
Gen. cujus
Dat. cui
Acc. quem quam quod
Voc. caret
Abl. quo qua quo or qui

Plur.
Nom. qui quæ quæ
Gen. quo quam quam
quorum quarum
quorum (queis
Dat. Abl. quibus or
Acc. quis quæ
Voc. caret.

In like manner, quivis, quilibet, and quicunque the Compounds.

Sing. Nom. quis, qua or quæ, quid, Gen. &c. like qui. So quisquam, quis-nam, Compounds.

Of Quis are made these Pronoun Adjectives, cujus cuja cujum, whose; and bic & bac cujas and boc cujate, of what Nation.

Quisquis is defective, and thus declin'd,

Of a Verb.

Verb is a part of fpeech, that betokeneth being, as fum I am, or acing, as laudo I praise; and is declin'd with Mood, Tense, Number and Person.

Moods.

Here be four Moods which express the manner of doing; the Indicative, the Imperative, the Potential or Subjunctive, and the Infinitive. The Indicative Mood freweth or declareth, as laudo I praife.

The Imperative biddeth or enhorteth,

as laudo praise thou.

The Potential or Subjunctive is englished with these Signs, may, can, might, would, could, should: Or without them as the Indicative, if a Conjunction go before or follow; as laudem, I may or can praise. Cumlaudarem when I praised. Cavissem, si pravidissem, I had bewar'd if I had foreseen.

The Infinitive is *englifted* with this fign to, as laudare to praise.

Tenfes.

Here be three Tenses which express the time of doing: The Present, the Preterit or past, and the Future.

The Present Tense speaketh of the time that now is, as laudo I praise.

The Preterit speaketh of the time peft, and is distinguished by three degrees: the Preterimpersect, the Preterpersect, and the Preterplupersect.

The Preterimpertect speaketh of the time not perfectly past, as landabam I

praised or did praise.

The Preterperfect speaketh of the time perfectly past, as laudavi I have praised.

The Preterpluperfect speaketh of the time more than perfectly past, as landaveram I had praised.

The Future Tense speaketh of the time to come, as laudabo I shall or will praise.

Persons.

Hrough all Moods, except the Infinitive, there be three Perfons in both Numbers, as, Sing. Laudo I praife, laudas thou praifet, laudat he praifeth; Plur. Laudamus we praife, laudatis ye praife, laudant they praife. Except fome Verbs which are declin'd or form'd in the third Perfon only, and have before them this fign, it, as Tadet it irketh, oportet it behoveth, and are called Imperfonals.

The Verb which betokeneth being, is properly the Verb fum only, which is therfore call'd a Verb Substantive,

and formed after this manner.

Indicative.

Pref. { I am. Sum, es, est, Plur. sumus, estis, sunc. Pret. { I awas. Eram, eras, erat, Pl. eramus, eratis, erans. A. Pret.

Accedence commenc'd Grammar.

I have been. Pret. { Fui, fuisti, fuit, Plur. fuimus, fuistis, fuerfeet. } fuerunt or fuere.

I had been

Fueram. fueras, fuerat, Pl. fueramus, fueratis, fuerant. Pret. I stall or will be. Ero, eris, erit, Pl. erimus, eritis, erunt.

Fu-

Imperative.

Be thou,

Potential.

Pref. { I may or can be. Sim, fis, fit, Pl. fimus, fitis, fint. I might or could be. Preter- Essem or forem, es. et, Pl. essemus, imposf. Essem or forem, es. et, Pl. essemus, Preterd. { I might or could have been.
perfect. { Fuerim, ris, rit, Pl. rimus, ritis, rint.
Preterflup. { It I had been
with a confulfilm, es, et, Pl. emus, etis, ent.
panction Si
Future. { If I shall be, or shall have been.
Si { Fuero, ris, rit, Pl. rimus, ritis, rint. I might or could have been.

Infinitive.

Pref. and freter Esse, to be. \begin{cases} Prefer, & Fusse, to \text{kave or had} \\ \text{kepet}, & \text{fuper.} \end{cases} \end{cases} \text{heen.} Future S Fore, to be bereafter.

In like manner are form'd the Compounds; Absum adsum, desum, obsum, fr. sum, prosum, possum; but possum

iomething varies after this manner. Indicat. Pref. Sing. Poffum, potes, potest, Plur. possumus, potestis, possunt. The other are regular, poteram, potui, potueram, potero.

Imperative it wants.

Potent. Pref. Poffum, &c. Preterim-Perfect, Possem.

Infin. Pref. Poffe. Preterit. Potuiffe.

Voices.

N Verbs that betoken doing are two Verices, the Astive and the Paffive. The Active fignifieth to do, and always endeth in o. as docco I teach.

The Passive fignisheth what is done to one by another, and always endeth in or, as doccor I am taught.

From these are to be excepted two forts of Verbs. The first are cal-Icd Neuters, and cannot take or in the puffive, as curro I run. fedeo I fit; yet fignil'; fometimes passively, as vapulo

The fecond are call'd Deponents, and Benify actively, as loquor I speak; or

I am beaten.

Neuters, as glorior I boaft: but are form'd like Passives.

Conjugations.

Erbs both Active and Passive V have four Conjugations, or forms of declining, known and diffinguish'd by their Infinitive Mood active, which always endeth in re.

In the first Conjugation, after a

long, as *laudare* to praife.

In the fecond, after e long, as leabere to have.

In the third, after e short, as legere to read.

In the fourth, after i long, as audire to hear.

In these four Conjugations, Verbs are declin'd or form'd by Mood, Tenfe, Number and Perfon, after these Examples.

Indicative Mood.

Present Tense,

Plural.

Singular.

I Then He S We Te The peails, praise, praise, praise, praise.
Habec, habes, habet, hakemu:, habetis, habent, Lego, legis, legit, Audio, audis, audit,
Preter- Laudabam, imperfect Habebam, tenf. fing. Legebam, Audiebam, Audiebam, I prais'd or did praise. bats, bat, Plur. bamus, batis, batt.
Preter- Laudavi fersse Habui tens. sing. Legi Audivi I have prais'd. I have prais'd. I have prais'd. I have prais'd. Plur. imus, isse, erunt or cie.
Pretter- Laudaveram pluperfeët Habueram tense sing. Legeram Audiveram Pras, rat, Plur. ramus, ratis, rant.
Future Habebo 5 bis, bit, Plur. bimus, biti- tenf. fing. Legam 3 cs, ct, Plur. emus, cris, Audiam 5

Imperative Mood.

Praise Praise Let lim Let us thou. praise. praise. 30. /Lauda, Laudet Pl. lau- Laudate, Laudent, laudato, laudato, demus, laudatote, laudanto. Habe, Habeat. Pl. habe- Habete, Habeant, habeto. habeto. amus, habetote. habento. habeto, habeto. Lege, Legat Pl. Lega- Legite, Legant, legito, legito, mus. legitote. legunto Audi, Audiat Pl. audi- Audite, Audiant. amue. auditote. audiunto. \audite. audite.

Potential

Potential Mood.

I Laudem, laudes, laudet, Pl. laudemus, Present Habeam, laudetis, laudents tenses fing. Legam, Audiam, as, at, Pl. amus, atis, ant.

Preterim- Laudarem, ferse Est. Haberem, tense sing. Legerem, Audirem, Audirem, Audirem,

I might or could have prais'd.

Preterperfect Habuerim,
tens. fing. Legerim,
Audiverim,
Audiverim,

If I had prais'd.

Preterplu. Laudavissem,

sing. with Habuissem,

a Conjunc. Legissem,

tion, Si Audivissem,

fets, sent.

If I shall praise, or shall have praised.

Future Habuero, tenf. fing. Legero, Si Audivero,

Infinitive Mood.

Present Laudare, and Pre-Habère, terimper- Legere, feet tense. Audire,

Preterper- Laudavisse, feet & Praise.

Praise Hawe.

Read.

Hear.

Praised.

Fraised.

To have Had.

Read.

Read.

Feet tense. Audivisse, or had Eeard.

Verbs of the third Conjugation irregular in some Tenses of the Astive Voice.

Indicative Mood.

Present Tense singular.

Volo, vis, vult,

Nolo,

The reft is awant
Malo, mavis, mavult

Volumus, vultis, volunt.

Nolumus,—nolunt.

ing in this Tenfe.
Malumus, mavultis, malunt.

Volui.
Nolui.
Nolui.
Malui.

Volo and Malo want the Imperative Mood.

Imperative.

Sing. { Noli, Nolito. } Pinr. { Nolite, Nolitoe.

Potential.

Prefent Velim, Nolin, Nolin, Nolin, Nolin, Malim, Preterim- Vellem, Nollem, Perfect Nollem, See, et, Pl. emus, etis, ent. tenf. fing. Mallem,

Infinitive.

Present. \begin{cases} Velle, \ Nolle, \ Malle. \end{cases}

Indicar. Pres. Edo, edis or es, edit or est; Plur. Editis, or estis.

Imper. Ede or es, edito or esto. Edat, edito or esto. Plur. Edite este, editote estote.

Poten. Preterimperfect Tense, Ederem or essem.

Infinit. Edere or esse.

Verbs of the fourth Conjugation irregular, in some Tenses Active.

Nequeo, make eunt and queunt in the Plural Indicative present, and in their Preterimpersect ibam and quibam, their Future; ibo and quibo.

Imperat. I, ito. Eat, ito. Plur. Ea-

mus, ite, itote. Eant, eunto. Potent. Eam, Irem. &c.

The forming of the Passive Voice.

Indicative.

I am praised.

Laudor, aris or are, atur, Habeor, eris or ere, etur, Ecgor, eris or ere, itur, Audior, iris or ire, itur,

I was prais d.

Preterim- Laudabar, forfest Habebar, baris or bare, batur, Plur. Legebar, Audiebar, Audiebar,

Note that the *Passive Voice* hath no Preterperfect, nor the Tenses deriv'd from thence in any Mood,

I shall or will be prais'd.

Fature Habebor, beris or bere, bitur, Plur, Future Habebor, bimur, bimini, buntur. Legar, Audiar, cris or ere, etur, Pl. emur. emini, entur.

Imperative,

Be then Let him be Let us be Beye Let them be prais'd, prais'd, prais'd, prais'd,

Laudare, laudetur, P.lau-laudamini, laudentur, laudator, laudator, demur. laudaminor. laudan

Habere, habeatur, Pl. habe-habemini, habeantur, babetor. habetor. habentor. Legere, legatur, Pl. lega-legimini, legantur, legitor. legitor. legitor. legitor.

Audire, audiatur, Plaudi- audimini, audiantur, auditor, auditor, amur, audiminor, audiuntor,

Potential.

Potential.

I may or can be prais'd.

Lauder, eris or ere, etur, Plar. emur, emini, entur. Habear, Legar, Audiar, Plur. amur, Audiar, amini, antur. Present sing.

I might or should be praised.

Preterim- Laudarer, Preris or rere, retur. Plu. perfest Legerer, remur, remini, rentur. sing.

Infinitive.

Present & Laudari Preserim- Haberi persect. Legi Audiri

Verbs irregular in some Tenses Passive.

Por, editor, or oftur: The rest is Regular.
The Verb Fio, is partly of the Third, and partly of the Fourth Conjugation, and hath only the Infinitive of the

Paffive Form. Indicat. Pref. Sing. Fio, fis, fit, Plur. fimus, fitis, fiunt. Preterimperfect, Fiebam. Preterpersect it wants. Future Fiam, &c.

Imperat. Fi, fito. Plur. fite, fitote,

Fiant, fiunto.

Poten. Pres. Fiam, &c. Preterimperfect. Fierem.

Infinit. Fieri.

Also this Verb Fero, is contracted or shorten'd in some Tenses, both Active and Passive, as Fers, fert, for feris, ferit, &c.

Indicat. Pref. Sing. Fero, fers, fert, Plu.--fertis,---Preterperfect. Tuli.

Imperat, Fer ferto, &c. Plur. Ferte

Potent. Preterimperfect, Ferrem, &c. Infinit. Ferre.

Paffive.

Indic. Pref. Sing. Feror ferris or ferre, sertur, &c.

Imperat. Sing. Ferre, fertor, &c. Potent. Preterimperfect, Ferror. Infinit. Ferri.

Of Gerunds and Supines.

Here be also belonging to the Infinitive Mood of all Verbs certain Voices called Gerunds and Supines both of the Active and Passive fignification.

The first Gerund endeth in di, as Laudandi of praising or of being prais'd. The second in do, as Laudando in praising or in being prais'd, The third in dum, as Laudandum to praise or to be prais'd.

Note that in the two latter Conjugations, the Gerunds end fometimes in undi, do, dum, as dicendi or dicundi: But from Eo always eundi, except in

the Compound Ambiendi.

Supines are two. The first fignifieth Actively, as laudatum to praise; the latter Passively, as laudatu to be Note that most Neuters of praised. the fecond Conjugation, and volo, nolo, malo, with many other Verbs, have no Supine.

Verbs of the four Conjugations irrcgular in the PreterperfeEt Tenfe or Supines.

Erbs of the first Conjugation form their Preterperfect Tenfe in avi, Supine in atum, as Laudo laudavi laudatum.

Except

Poto potavi potatum or potum; neco necavi necatum or nelium.

Domo, tono, sono, crepo, veto, cubo, form ui, itum, as cubui cubitum; but secui sectum, fricui frictum, mico micui: yet some of these are found Regular in the Preterperfect Tense or Supine, especially compounded, as increpavit, discrepavit, dimicavit, sonatum, dimicatum, intonatum, infricatum, and the like.

Plice and his Compounds form ui or avi, as explicui explicavi, explicitum or explicatum; except fupplico, and fuch as are compounded with a Noun, as Duplico Multiplico in avi only.

But Lavo lavi lautum lotum or lavatum, juvo juvi, adjuvo adjuvi adjutum.

Do dedi datum, Sto steti statum, in the Compounds, stiti, stitum and sometimes statum, as Præsto præstiti præstitum and præstatum.

TErbs of the fecond Conjugation form their Preterperfect Tenfe in ui, their Supine in itum, as babeo habui habitum.

Some are Regular in their Preterperfect Tense, but not in their Supines, as doceo docui doctum, misceo miscui mistum, teneo tenui tentum, terreo torrui tostum, censeo censui censum, pateo patui passum, careo carui cassum and caritum.

Others

Others are Irregular both in Preterpersect Tense and Supines, as Jubeo justi justum, sorbeo sorbui sorpsi sorptum, mulceo mulsi mulium, luceo luxi.

Deo in di, as sedeo sedi sessum, video vidi visum, prandeo prandi pransum. And some in si, as suadeo suasi suasum, rideo rist risum, ardeo arsi arsum. Four double their first Letters, as Pendeo pependi pensum, mordeo momordi morsum, spondeo spopondi sponsum, tondeo totondi tonsum; but not in their Compounds, as dependi depensum.

Geo in fi, and some in xi, as urgeo ursi, mulgeo mulsi mulxi multium, augeo auxi auttum, indulgeo indulsi indultum,

frigeo frixi, lugeo luxi.

Ico leo and neo nevi, vieo vievi vietum: But cieo cievi citum, deleo delevi deletum, fleo flevi fletum, compleo complevi completum; as also the Compounds of oleo, except redoleo and suboleo; but adolevi adultum, neo nevi netum, but maneo mansi, torqueo torsi tortum, berco basi.

Veo in vi, as ferveo fervi, but deserveo deserbui, conniveo connivi and connixi, movi motum, vovi votum, cavi

cautum, favi fautum.

the Preterperfect Tense, by changing O of the Present Tense into I; the Supine without certain Rule, as lego legi lestum, bibo bibi bibitum, lambo lambi, scabo scabi, ico ici istum, mando mandi mansum, pando pandi passum, edo edi esum or estum, in like manner comedo, the other Compounds esum only; rudo rudi, sallo salli salsum, psallo psalli, emo emi emptum, viso visi visum, verto verti versum, solvo solvi solutum, volvo volvi volutum, exuo exui exutum, but ruo rui ruitum, in Compound rutum, as derui derutum; ingruo, metuo metui.

Others are irregular both in Preter-

perfect Tenfe and Supine.

In bo, scribo scripsi scriptum, nubo nupsi nuptum, cumbo cubui cubitum.

In cc, vinco vici victum, dico dixi dictum; in like manner duco, parco peperci and parfi parfum and parcitum.

In do, these three lose n, findi fidi figium, scindo scidi scissum, sundo sudi fujum. These following, vado, rado, lado, ludo, divido, trudo, claudo, plaudo, rodo, si and sum, as rost rosum, but cedo cesti cessum. The rest double their first Letter in the Preterpersect Tense, but not compounded, as tundo tutudi tunsum, contundo contudi contusum, and so in other Compounds. Pendo pepen-

Vol. I.

di pensum, dependo dependi, tendo tetendi tensum and tentum, contendo contendi, pedo pepedi peditum, cado cecidi casum, occido, recido recidi recasum. The other Compounds have no Supine. Cado cecidi casum, occido occidi occisum. To these add all the compounds of do in this Conjugation, addo, credo, edo, dedo, reddo, perdo, abdo, obdo, condo, indo, trado, prodo, vendo vendidi venditum, except the double Compound, obscondo obscondi.

In go, ago egi actum, dego degi, satago sategi, frango sregi fractum, pango to join pegi pactum, pango to sing panwi, ango anxi, jungo junxi junctum; but these sive, singo mingo pingo stringo ringo lose n in their Supines, as sinxi sictum, ningo ninxi, sigo sivi sixum, rego revi rectum; diligo, negligo, intelligo, text lectum, spargo sparsi sparjium. These double their sirth Letter, tango tengt tactum, but not in his Compounds, as contingo contigi, pargo to bargain pepigi pactum, pungo and repungo pupugi and punxi punctum, the other Compounds punxi only.

Ho in Ni, trabo trani trastum, vebo

vexi vettum.

In lo, vello velli and vulfi vulfum, colo colui cultum; excello, pracelio, cellui celfum; alo alui alitum altum. The rett, not compounded, double their first Letter, Fallo fefelli faljum, refello refelli, pello pepuli pulfum, compello compuli, cello ceculi, percello perculi perculfi perculfim.

In mo, vomo vomui vomitum, tremo tremui, premo pressi pressum, como, premo, demo, sumo, after the same man-

ner, as sumpsi, sumptum.

In No, fino sivi situm, sierno stravi stratum, sperno sprevi spretum, lino levi lini and livi litum, cerno crevi cretum, temno tempsi, contemno contempsi contemptum, gigno genui genitum, pono posui positum, cano cecini cantum, concino concinui concentum.

In Po, rumpo rupi ruptum, scalpo scalpsi scalptum; the rest in ui, strepo

strepui strepitum.

In quo, linguo liqui, relinguo reliqui,

relictum, coquo coni coctum.

In Ro, verro verri and versi versum, sero to sow sevi satum, in compound, situm, as insero insitum; sero of another signification most us'd in his compounds, Assero, consero, desero, exero, jerui, sertum, uro ust ustum, gero gesti gestum, quero quesivi questum, tero trivi tritum, curro excurro, præcurro, cucurri cursum, the other compounds double not, as concurro concurri.

K k k k

In

In fo, accerfo, arceffo, inceffo, laceffo, ivi itum, capesso both i and ivi, pinso

pinsui pistum and pinstum.

In sco, pasco pavi pastum; compesco, d spesco, ui; posco poposci, disco didici, quinisco quexi, nosco novi notum, but agnosco agnitum, cognosco cognitum.

In to, sisto stiti statum, flecto flexi flexum, petto pexui pexi pexum and pectitum, nesto nexui nexi nexum, plesto plexi plexum, sterto stertui, meto messui nessum, mitto mist missum, peto petivi petitum.

In vo, vivo vixi vistum.

In No, texo texui textum, neno nenui

In cie, facio feci faelum, jacio jeci jaetum, lacio lexi leetum, specio spexi spestum, with their Compounds, but elicio elicui elicitum.

In die, fodio fodi fossum. In gio, fugio sugi fugitum.

In pio, capio cepi capium, rapio repui raptum, cupio cupivi cupitum, sapio Japui japivi sapitum.

In rio, pario peperi partum.

In tio, quatio quassi quassum, concutio concuffi concuffum.

In no, pluo plui pluvi plutum, struo firuxi fiructum, fluo fluxi fluxum.

HE fourth Conjugation formeth the Preterperfect Tenfe in ivi, the Supine in itum.

Except, Venio veni ventum, comperio, reperio reperi repertum, cambio campsi campsum, sepio sepsi septum, sarcio farsi jartum, fulceo sulsi fultum, sentio finst finsum, baurio baust baustum, fancio sanxi santtum sancitum, vincio vinxi vinctum, salio salui saltum, in Compound fultum, as defileo defilui defultum, amicio amicui amidum, aperio, operio perui pertum, veneo venivi venum, fmgultivi fingultum, sepelivi sepultum.

Of Verbs Compounded.

Hefe Verbs Compounded change a into e throughout, Damno, lasto, facro, fallo, arceo, trasto, partio, farcio, carpo, patro, scando, spargo, as consper-

go conspersi conspersum.

These following change their first Vowel into i, and fome of them their Supines into e, babeo, lateo, falio, statuo, cado, lado, cano, quaro, cado, tango, egeo, teneo, tacco, fapio, rapio, placeo, ai placeo, displicui displicitum; except, complaceo perplaceo posthabeo.

Scalpo, calco, falto, change a into u, as exculpo; claudo quatio bavo lofe a

as excludo, excutio, eluo.

These following change their first Vowel into i, but not in the Preterperfect Tenfe, and fometimes a into e in the Supine, emo, sedeo, rego, frango, capio, jacio, lacio, specio, premo, as comprimo compressi compressum, conjicio conject conjectum, pango in two only, compingo, impingo: Azo, in all but perago, satago, circumago, dego and cogo ccegi: Facio with a Preposition only, not in other Compounds, as inficio, olfacio: Lego in thefe only, diligo, eligo, intelligo, negligo, feligo, in the rest not, as prælego, add to these supersedio,

Of Verbs Defective.

7 Erbs called Inceptives ending in Jeo, borrow their Preterperlest Tense from the Verb wherof they are deriv'd, as tepesco tepui from tepeo, ingemisco ingeniui trom ingemo; as also these Verbs, cerns to see, vidi from video, sido sedi from sedeo, sero tuli from tulo out of use, in the Supine latum, tollo sustuli sublatum from suffero.

These want the Preterpersect Tense. Verbs ending in *a/co*, as *puerafco*; in ifco, as fatifco; in urio, except parturio, efurio: these also, vergo, ambigu, ferio, furo, polleo, nideo, have no Pre-terperfect Tense.

Contrary, these four, Odi, capi, novi memini, are found in the Preterperfect Tense only, and the Tenses thence deriv'd, as odi, oderam, oderim, odiffem, odero, odiffe, except memini, which hath memento mementote in the Imperative.

Others are defective both in Tenfe and Person, as dio, ais, ait, Plur, aiunt. The Preterimperfect aielam is intire. Imperative, ai. Potential, aias, aiat, Plur. aiamus, aiant.

Ausim for ausus sim, ausis, ausit,

Plur. aufint.

Salveo, falvebis, falve falveto, falvete salvetote, salvere.

Ave aveto, avete avetote. Faxo, faxis, faxit, faxint. Queso, Plur. quasumus.

Infit, infiunt.

Inquio or inquam, inquis inquit, Plur. inquiunt. Inquibat, Cic. Topic. inqui-fli, inquit. Future, inquies, inquiet, Imperat. Inque inquito. Potent. Inquiat.

Dor the first Person Passive of do, and for before farris or farre in the Indicative, are not read, nor der or fer

in the Potential.

Of a Participle.

A Participle is a part of Speech, partaking with the Verb from whence it is deriv'd in Voice, Tense, and Signification, and with a Noun Adjective in manner of Declining.

Participles are either of the Active

or Passive Voice.

Of the Active Two. One of the Prefent Tense ending in ans, or ens, as laudans praising, habens, legens, audiens, and is declin'd like falix, as hie hae & boc habens, Gen. habentis, Dat. habenti, &c. Docens decentis, &c. But from eo, euns, and in the compounds iens euntis, except ambiens ambientis. Note that some Verbs otherwise desective, have this Participle, as aiens, inquiens.

The other of the Future Tense is most commonly form'd of the first Supine, by changing m into rus, as of laudatum laudaturus to praise or about to praise, babiturus, lesturus, auditurus; but some are not regularly form'd, as of sestum secaturus, or jutum juvaturus, sonitum soniturus, partum pariturus, argutum arguiturus, and such like; of sum, futurus: This, as also the other two Participles following are declin'd like

This Participle, with the Verb Sum, affordeth a fecond Future in the Active Voice, as laudaturus sum, es, est, &c. as also the Future of the Infinitive, as laudaturum esse to praise hereafter, futurum esse, &c.

Participles of the Passive Voice are also two, one of the Preterperfect Tense,

another of the Future.

A Participle of the Preterperfect Tense, is form'd of the latter supine, by putting theretos, as of laudatu lauautes prais'd, of babitu babitus, lectu

lestus, auditu auditus.

This Participle join'd with the Verb Sum, supplieth the want of a Preterperfect and Preterpluperfect Tense in the Indicative Mood paffive, and both them and the Future of the Potential; as also the Preterpersect and Preterpluperfect of the Infinitive, and with ire or fore the Future; as laudatus fum or fui I have been prais'd, Plur. laudati fumus or fuimus we have been prais'd, laudatus eram or fueram, &c. Potential, laudatus sum or fuerim, laudatus essem or fuissem, laudatus ero or fuero. Infinit. laudatum effe or fuiffe to have or had been prais'd; laudatum ire or fare to beprais'd hereafter.

Nor only Passives, but some Actives also or Neuters, besides their own Preterpersect Tense borrow another from this Participle; Cano Canavi and Canatus sum, Juravi and Juratus, Potavi and Potus sum, Titubavi and titubatus, Careo carui cassus sum, Prandeo prandi and pransus, Pateo patui and passus sum, Placeo placui placitus, Suesco suevi suetus sum, Libet libuit and libitum est, Licet licuit licitum, Pudet puduit puditum, Piget piguit pigitum, Tædet taduit pertasum est, and this Deponent Mereor merui and meritus sum.

These Neuters following, like Passives, have no other Preterpersect Tense, but by this Participle, Gaudeo gavisus sum, sido sisus, andeo ausus, sio.

jačtus, joleo folitus jum.

These Deponents also form this Participle from Supines irregular; Labor lapsus, patior passus, perpetior perpessus, fateor fallus, confiteor, diffiteor diffes. jus, gradior gressus, ingredior ingressus, satistion fessus, metion mensus, utor usus, ordior to spin orditus, to begin or sus, nitor nisus and nixus, ulciscor ultus, irascor iratus, reor ratus, obliviscor oblitus, fruor fructus or fruitus, misereor misertus, tuor and tueor tuitus, loquor locutus, fequor secutus, experior expertus, paciscor pastus, nanciscor nastus, apiscor aptus, adipiscor adeptus, queror questus, proficiscor profectus, experziscor experrestus, comminiscor commentus, nascor natus. morior mortuus, orior ortus juni.

A Participle of the Future Passive is form'd of the Gerund in dum, by changing m into s, as of laudandum laudandus to be prais'd, of habendum habendus, &c. And likewife of this Participle with the Verb Sum, may be form'd the same Tenses in the Passive, which were form'd with the Participle of the Preterperfect Tenses, as laudandus sum or sui, &c.

Infinit. Laudendum esse or fore.

Of Verbs Deponent come Participles both of the Active and Passive form, as loquor loquens locutus locuturus loquendus; wherof the Participle of the Preter Tense significant fometimes both Actively and Passively, as dignatus, telatus, meditatus, and the like.

Of an Adverb.

A N Adverbis a part of Speech join'd with some other to explain its signification, as valde probus very honest, bene est it is well, valde doctus very learned, bene mane early in the morning.

Of Adverbs, some be of Time, as kodie to day, cras to morrow, &c.

Kakka Some

Some be of *Place*, as *Ubi* where, *ibi* there, &c. And of many other forts needless to be here set down.

Certain Adverbs also are compar'd, as Dostè learnedly, dostiùs dostissme, fortiter fortiùs fortissimè, sape sapiùs sapissimè, and the like.

Of a Conjunction.

A Conjunction is a part of Speech that joineth Words and Sentences together.

Of Conjunctions some be Copulatives, as & and, quoque also, nec neither.

Some be disjunctive, as aut or.

Some be Causal, as nam for, quia

because, and many such like.

Adverbs when they govern Mood and Tenfe, and join Sentences together, as cum, ubi, poliquam, and the like, are rather to be call'd Conjunctions.

Of a Preposition.

A Preposition is a part of Speech most commonly, either set before Nouns in Apposition, as ad patrem, or join'd with any other words in Composition, as indostius.

These fix, di, dis, re, se, am, con,

are not read but in Composition.

As Adverbs having Cases after them, may be call'd Prepositions, so Prepositions having none, may be counted Adverbs.

Of an Interjection.

A N Interjection is a part of Speech, expressing some passion of the mind.

Some be of forrow, as heu, hei. Some be of marvelling, as papæ. Some of difdaining, as vah. Some of praising, as euge.

Some of exclaiming, as ô, proh, and fuch like.

Figures of Speech.

Ords are fometimes encreas'd or diminish'd by a Letter or Syllable in the beginning, middle or ending, which are call'd Figures of Speech.

Encreas'd

In the beginning, as Gnatus for Natus, Tetuli for tuli. Prothesis.

In the middle, as Rettulit for Retulit, Cinstutus for Cinstus. Epenthesis.

In the end, as Dicier for dici. Paragoge.

Diministo'd

In the beginning, as Ruit for Eruit.

Apherisis.

In the middle, as Audit for Audivit, Dixti for dixisti, Lamua for lamina. Syncope.

In the end, as Consili for consilii; scin for scisse. Apocope.

The fecond Part of Grammar,

commonly called Syntaxis, or Construction.

Itherto the Eight Parts of Speech Declin'd and Undeclin'd have been spoken of single, and each one by it self: Now solloweth Syntamis or Construction, which is the right joining of these parts together in a Sentence.

Construction consistes the either in the agreement of words together in Number, Gender, Case, and Person, which is call'd Concord; or the governing of one the other in such Case

or Mood as is to follow.

Of the Concords.

Here be Three Concords or A-greements.

The First is of the Adjective with

his Substantive.

The Second is of the Verb with his Nominative Cafe.

The *Third* is of the Relative with his Antecedent.

An Adjective (under which is comprehended both Pronoun and Participle) with his Substantive or Substantives, a Verb with his Nominative Cafe or Cases, and a Relative with his Antecedent or Antecedents, agree all in number, and the two latter in person also: as Amicus certus, Viri dosti. Praceptor prælegit, vos vero negligitis. Xenophon & Plato fuere æquales. Vir fapit, qui pauca loquitur. Pater & Praceptor veniunt. Yea though the Conjunction be disjunctive, as Quos neque desidia neque luxuria vitiaverant. Celtus. Pater & Praceptor, quos quæritis. But if a Verb fingular follow many Nominatives, it must be applied to each of them apart, as Nisi foro & curia officium ac verecundia sua constiterit. Val. Max.

An Adjective with his Substantive, and a Relative with his Antecedent

agree-

agree in Gender and Case; but the Relative not in Case always, being ofttimes govern'd by other constructions: as Amicus certus in re incerta cernitur. Liber quem dedisti mihi.

And if it be a Participle ferving the Infinitive Mood future, it oft-times agrees not with the Substantive neither in Gender nor in Number, as Hanc sibirem præsidio sperat suturum. Cic. Audierat non datum ire silio Uxorem. Terent. Omnia potius actumiri puto quam de provinciis. Cic.

But when a Verb cometh between two Nominative Cases not of the same number, or a Relative between two Substantives not of the same Gender, the Verb in Number, and the Relative in Gender may agree with either of them; as Amantium iræ amoris integratio est. Quid enim nist vota supersunt. Tuentur illum globum qui terra dicitur. Animal plenum rationis, quem vocamus hominem. Lutetia est quam nos Parissos dicimus.

And if the Nominative Cases be of several Persons, or the Substantives and Antecedents of several Genders, the Verb shall agree with the second person before the third, and with the first before either; and so shall the Adjective or Relative in their Gender; as Ego & tu sumus in tuto. Tu & Pater periclitamini. Pater & Mater mortui funt. Frater & Soror quos vidisti.

But in things that have not life, an Adjective or Relative of the Neuter Gender, may agree with Substantives or Antecedents, Masculine or Feminine, or both together; as Arcus & calami funt bona. Arcus & calami quæ fregisti. Pulcritudinem, constantiam, ordinem in Consiliis fastisque conservanda putat. Cic. Off. 1. Ira& agritudo permista funt. Sal.

Note that the Infinitive Mood, or any part of a Sentence may be instead of a Nominative Case to the Verb, or of a Substantive to the Adjective, or of an Antecedent to the Relative, and then the Adjective or Relative shall be of the Neuter Gender: And if there be more parts of a Sentence than one, the Verb shall be in the plural number; Diluculo surgere saluberrimum est. Virtutem sequi, vita est honestissima. Audito proconsulem in Ciliciam tendere. In tempore veni, quod omnium rerum est primum. Tu multum dormis & sepe potas, quae duo sunt corpori inimica.

Sometimes also an Adverb is put for the Nominative Case to a Verb, and for a Substantive to an Adjective; as Partim signorum sunt combusta. Prope centies & vicies erogatum est. Cic. verr. 4.

Sometimes also agreement, whether it be in Gender or Number, is grounded on the fense, not on the words; as Illum senium for illum fenem. Iste scelus for iste scelestus. Ter. Transtulit in Eunuchum fuam, meaning Comædiam. Ter. Pars magna obligati, meaning Homines. Liv. Impliciti laqueis nudus uterque for Ambo. Ov. Alter in alterius jastantes lumina vultus. Ovid. that is, Alter & alter. Insperanti ipsa refers te nobis, for mibi. Catul. Difce omnes. Virg. Æn. 2. for tu quifquis es. Dua importuna prodigia, quos egestas tribuno plebis constrictos addixerat. Cic. pro Sest. Pars mersi tenuere ratem. Rhemus cum fratre Quirino jura dabant. Virg. that is, Rhemus & frater Quirinus. Divellinur inde Iphitus & Pelias mecum, Virg.

Construction of Substantives.

Itherto of Concord or Agreement; the other part followeth, which is Governing, wherby one part of Speech is govern'd by another, that is to fay, is put in such Case or Mood as the word that governeth or goeth before in Construction requireth.

When two Substantives come together, betokening divers things, wherof the former may be an Adjestive in the Neuter Gender taken for a Substantive, the latter (which also may be a Pronoun) shall be in the Genitive Case; as Facundia Ciceronis. Amator studiorum. Ferimur per opaca locorum. Corruptus vanis rerum. Hor. Desiderium tui Pater ejus.

Sometimes the former Substantive, as this word Officium or Mos, is understood; as Oratoris est, It is the part of an Orator. Extreme est dementiæ, It is the manner of extream mad 1ess. Ignavi est, It is the quality of a flothful man. Usi ad Diana vener.s; Templum is understood. Justitiane prius mrer belline leborum. Virg. Understand Causa. Neque illi sepesai Ciceris, neque longæ invidit avenæ. Hor. Supply partem.

But if both the Substantives be spoken of one thing, which is call'd apposition, they shall be both of the same Case; as Pater meus vir, amat me puerum.

Words that fignify Quality, following the Substantive wherof they are spoken, may be put in the Genitive or Ablative Case; as Puer bona indolis, or bona indole. Some have a Genitive only; as Ingent's Ren nominis. Liv. De-

CEN

cem annorum puer. Hujusmodi pax. Hujus generis animal. But genus is sometimes in the Accusative: as Si hoc genus rebus non proficitur. Varr. de re rust. And the cause or manner of a thing in the Ablative only; as Sum tibi natura parens, praceptor consiliis.

Opus and Usus when they signify Need, require an Ablative; as Opus est mihi tuo judicio. Viginti minis usus est filio. But Opus is sometimes taken for an Adjective undeclin'd, and signifieth Needful: as Dux nobis & Author opus est. Alia quæ opus sunt para.

Confiruction of Adjectives, governing a Genitive.

A Diectives that fignify Desire, Knowledge, Ignorance, Remembrance, Forgetfulness, and such like; as also certain others deriv'd from Verbs, and ending in ax, require a Genitive; as Cupidus auri. Peritus belli. Ignarus omnium. Memor præteriti. Reus furti. Tenax propositi. Tempus edax rerum.

Adjectives call'd Nouns Partitive, because they fignify part of some whole quantity or number, govern the word that fignifieth the thing parted or divided, in the Genitive; as Aliquis no-Primus omnium. Aurium molstrum. lior est sinistra. Oratorum eloquentissimus. And oft in the Neuter Gender; as Multum lucri. Id negotii. Hoc noetis. Sometimes, though feldom, a word fignifying the whole, is read in the same Case with the Partitive, as Habet duos gladios quibus altero te occisurum minatur, altero villicum, Plaut. for Quorum altero. Magnum opus habeo in manibus; quod jampridem ad bune ipsum (me autem dicebat) quædam institui. Cic. Acad. 1. Quod quadam for cujus quadam.

A Dative.

A Diectives that betoken Profit or Disprosit, Likeness or Unlikeness, Fitness, Pleasure, Submitting, or belonging to any thing, require a Dative; as Labor of utilis corpori. Equalis Hestori. Idoneus bello. Jucundus omnibus. Parenti supplex. Mibi proprium.

But fuch as betoken Profit or Difprofit have fometimes an Accufative with a Preposition; as Homo ad nullam partem utilis. Cic. Inter se aquales.

And some Adjectives signifying Likeness, Unlikeness, or Relation, may have a Genitive. Par bujus. Ejus culp.e affines.

Domini smilis es. Commune animantium est conjunctionis appetitus. Alienum dignitatis ejus. Cic. Fin. 1. Fuit hoc quondam proprium populi Romani longè a domo bellare. But proprior and proximus admit sometimes an Accusative; as proximus Pompeium sedebam. Cic.

An Accusative.

Ouns of Measure are put after Adjectives of like fignification in the Accusative, and sometimes in the Ablative; as Turris alta centum pedes. Arbor lata tres digitos. Liber crassus tres pollices, or tribus pollicibus. Sometimes in the Genitive; as Areas latas pedum denum facito.

All words expressing part or parts of a thing, may be put in the Accusative, or sometimes in the Ablative; as Saucius frontem or fronte. Excepto quòd non simul esses catera latus. Hor. Nuda pedem. Ov. Os humerosque deo similis. Virg. Sometimes in the Genitive; as Dubius mentis.

An Ablative.

Diectives of the Comparative degree english'd with this sign then or by, asalfo Dignus, Indignus, Præditus, Contentus, and these words of Price, Carus, vilis, require an Ablative; as Frigidior glacie. Multo dostior. Uno pede altior. Dignus bonore. Virtute præditus. Sorte sua contentus. Asse charum.

But of Comparatives, plus, amplius, and minus, may govern a Genitive; also a Nominative, or an Accusative; as Plus quinquaginta bominum. Amplius duorum millium. Ne plus tertia pars eximatur mellis. Varro. Paulo plus quingentos passus. Ut ex sua cujusque parte ne minus dimidium ad fratrem perveniret. Cic. Verr. 4. And Dignus, Indignus, have sometimes a Genitive after them; as Militia est operis altera digna tui. Indignus avorum. Virg.

Adjectives betokening Plenty or Want, will have an Ablative, and sometimes a Genitive; as Vacuus ira, or iræ. Nulla Epistola inanis re aliqua. Ditissimus agri. Stultorum pleno sunt omnia. Integer vitæ, scelerisque purus. Expers omnium. Vobis immunibus hujus esse moli dabitur.

Words also betokening the cause, or form, or manner of a thing, are put after Adjectives in the Ablative Case; as Pallidus ira. Trepidus morte sutura. Nomine Grammaticus, re Barbarus.

Oi

Of Pronouns.

Ronouns differ not in Construction from Nouns over 1 from Nouns, except that Possesfives, Meus, tuus, suus, noster, vester, by a certain manner of Speech, are fometimes join'd to a Substantive, which governs their Primitive understood with a Noun or Participle in a Genitive Case; as Dico mea unius opera rempublicam esse liberatam, Cic. for Mei unius opera. In like manner Nostra duorum, trium, paucorum, omnium virtute, sor nostrum duorum, &c. Meum folius peccatum, Cic. En tuo ipsus animo, for Tui ipius. Ex fua cujulque parte, Id. verr. 2. Ne tua quidem recentia proximi Praetoris vestigia persequi poterat. Cic. verr. 4. Si meas priesentis preces non putas profuisse, id. Pro Planc. Nostros vidisti flentis ocellos. Ovid.

Alfo a Relative, as qui or is, fometimes answers to an Antecedent Noun or Pronoun Primitive understood in the Postessive; as Osmes laudare fortunas meas qui filium haberem tali ingenio præditum. Terent.

Construction of Verbs.

Etbs for the most part govern either one case after them, or more than one in a different manner of Construction.

Of the Verb Substantive Sum, and fuch like, with a Nominative and other oblique Cases.

Erbs that fignify Being, as Sum, eviflo, fio; and certain Paffives, as dieor, vocor, falutor, at pellor, habeor, existimor, videor; also Verbs of motion or refl, as incedo, difeedo, fedeo, vith fuch like, will have a Nominative Cafe after them, as they have before them, because both Cafes belong to the same person or thing, and the latter is rather in apposition with the former, than govern'd by the Verb; as Temperantia of virtus. Horatius salutatur Posta. Ist ego que divum insedo regina.

And if off be an Impersonal, it may sometimes govern a Genitive, as Ujus Poeta, ut moris of, licentia. Phædrus 1. 4. Negavut moris offe Gracorum ut Ge. Cic. Verr. 2.

But if the following Noun be of another perion, or not directly spoken of

the former, both after Sum and all his Compounds, except possum, it shall be put in the Dative; as Est miki domi pater. Multa petentibus desunt multa.

And if a thing be spoken of, relating to the person, it may be also in the Dative; as Sum tibi presidio. Has resest mibi voluptati. Quorum alteri Capitoni cognomen suit. Cic. Pessori nomen Faustulo suisse ferunt. Liv.

Of Verbs Transitives with an Accufative, and the Exceptions thereto belonging.

Erbs Active or Deponent, call'd Transitive, because their action passeth forth on some person or thing, will have an Accusative after them of the person or thing to whom the action is done; as Amote. Vitium suge. Draws venerare. Uses promptes facit. Therest me. Opertet te.

Also Verbs call'd Neuters, may have an Accusative of their own fignification; as Duram servit servitatem, Longam ire viam, Enlymion's someom dormis, Pastillos Rusillus oles. Nee v se hominem sonot. Cum Glowcum saltader. Patere, Asis lastum convivam. Horas Hec me laset.

But these Verbs, though Transitive, Misereor and Misereor, pass into a Genitive; as Misereor mei. Sometimes into a Dative: Huie misereor. Sen. D.-lige bonos, misereste malis. Boet.

Reminiscor, Obliviscor, Recordor, and Memini, fometimes also require a Genitive; as Data fidei reminiscitur. Memini tui. Obliviscor carminis. Sometime retain the Accusative; as Recordor pueritiam. Omnia quae curant sons meminerunt. Plant.

These Impersonals also, interest and refers, signifying to concern, require a Genitive, except in these Abatives Feminine, Mea, tua, sua, nestra, vestra, cuja. And the measure of concernment is often added in these Genitives, magni, parvi, tanti, quanti, with their Compounds; as Interest omnium reste agere. Tua refert teigum nesse. Vestra parvi interest.

But Verbs of Profiting or Disprositing, Believing, Pleasing, Obeying, Opposing, or being angry with, passinto a Dative; as non potes mibi commodare nee incommedare. Placeo omnibus. Crede mibi. Nimium ne crede colori, Pareo parentibus. Tibi rejugno. Adeleficat. nib.! of qual succenseut. But of the first and third sort, Juvo, adjuvo, lado, offendo, retain an Accusative.

Lastly, these Transitives, fungor, fruor, utor, potior, and Verbs betokening want, pass direct into an Ablative. Fungitur officio. Aliena frui insania. Utere sorte tua. But fungor, fruor, utor, had anciently an Accusative. Verbs of want, and potior, may have also a Genitive. Pecuniæ indiget. Quest tu bujus indigeas patris. Potior Urbe, or Urbis.

Sometimes a phrase of the same signification with a single Verb, may have the Case of the Verb after it; as Id operam do, that is to say, id ago. Idne estis authores mihi? for id suadetis. Quid me vobis tastio est? for tangitis. Plaut. Quid tibi banc curatio est rem? Id.

The Accufative with a Genitive.

Itherto of Transitives governing their Accusative, or other Case, in single and direct Construction: Now of such as may have after them more Cases than one in Construction direct and oblique, that is to say, with an Accusative, a Genitive, Dative, other Accusative, or Ablative.

Verbs of esteeming, buying or selling, besides their Accusative, will have a Genitive betokening the value of price, flocci, nibili, pili, bujus; and the like after Verbs of esteeming: Tanti, quanti, pluris, minoris, and such like, put without a Substantive, after Verbs of buying or selling; as Non bujus to estimo. Ego illum flocci pendo. Equi boni boc facio or conjulo. Quanti mercatus es bunc equum? Pluris quam vellem.

But the word of Value is fometimes in the Ablative; as Parvi or parvo aftimas probitatem. And the word of Price most usually; as Teruncio eum non emerim. And particularly in these Adjectives, Vili, paulo, minimo, magno, nimio, plurimo, duplo, put without a Substantive, as Vili, vendo triticum. Redimite captum quam queas minimo. And fometimes minore for minoris. Nam a Calio propingui minore centessimis nummum movere non possunt. Cic. Att. l. 1. But Verbs Neuter or Passive have only the oblique Cafes after them; as Tanti eris aliis, quanti tibi fueris. Pudor parvi penditur. Which is also to be observ'd in the following Rules.

And this Neuter Valeo governeth the word of value in the Accusative; as Denarii disti quod denos æris valebant. Varr.

Verbs of admonishing, accusing, condemning, acquitting, will have, besides their Accusative, a Genitive of the Crime, or Penalty, or Thing; as Admonuit me errati. Accusas me furti? Vatem sceleris damnat. Furem duțli condemnavit. And sometimes an Ablative with a Preposition, or without; as Condemnabo eodem ego te crimine. Accusas furti, an stupri, an utroque? De repetundis accusavit, or damnavit. Cic.

Also these Impersonals, familet, thedet, miseret, miserescit, pudet, siger, to their Accusative will have a Genitive, either of the person, or of the thing; as Nostri nosmet panitet. Urbis me tadet. Miseret me tui. Pudet me negligentia.

An Accufative with a Dative.

Erbs of giving or reftoring, promising or paying, commanding or shewing, trusting or threating, add to their Accusative a Dative of the perfon; as Fortuna multis ninuum dedit. Hec tili promitto. Æs alienum mibi numeravit. Frumentum imperat civitatibus. Quod & cui dicas, videco. Hec tibi suadeo. Tibi or ad te scribo. Pecunians omnem tibi credo. Utrique mortem minatus est.

To these add Verbs Active compounded with these Prepositions, pra, ad, ab, con, de, ex, ante, sub, post, cb, in and inter; as Pracipio boe tibi. Admovit urbi exercitum. Collega suo imperium abrogavit. Sic parvis componere magna solebam.

Neuters have a Dative only; as Meis majoribus virtute proluxi. But tome compounded with pro and ante may have an Accusative; as Prostatingenio alius alium. Multos anteit sapientia. Others with a Preposition; as Quo ad ventris vistum conducunt. In bace studia incumbite. Cic.

Also all Verbs Active, betokening acquisition, likening or relation, commonly englished with to or for, have to their Accusative a Dative of the person; as Magnam laudem sibi peperit. Huic babco non tibi. Se illis aquarunt. Expedi mibi boc negotium: but mibi tibi sibi, sometimes are added for elegance, the sense not requiring; as Suo bunc sibi jugulat gladio. Terent. Neuters 2 Dative only; as Non omnibus dormio. Libet mibi. Tibi licet.

Sometimesa Verb Transitive will have to his Accusative a double Dative, one of the person, another of the thing;

us.

as Do tibi veflem pignori. Verto boc tibi vitio. Hoc tu tibi laudi ducis.

A double Accufative.

V Erbs of asking, teaching, arraying, and concealing, will have two Accusatives, one of the person, another of the thing; as Rogo te pecuniam. Doceo te literas. Quod te jamdudum bortor. Induit se calceos. Hoc me celabas.

And being Paffives, they retain one Accusative of the thing, as Sumtumque recingitur anguem. Ovid. Met. 4. Induitur togam. Mart.

But Verbs of arraying sometimes change the one Accusative into an Ablative or Dative; as Induo to tunica, or tibi tunicam. Instravit equam penula, or equo penulam.

An Accusative with an Ablative.

Erbs Transitive may have to their Accusative an Ablative of the instrument or cause, matter, or manner of doing; and Neuters the Ablative only; as Ferit eum gladio. Tacco metu. Malis gaudet alienis. Summa eloquentia causam egit. Capitolium saxo quadrato substructum est. Tuo consilio nitor. Vescor pane. Assum opibus. Amore abundas. Sometimes with a Preposition of the manner; as Summa cum bumanitate me trastavit.

Verbs of endowing, imparting, depriving, dicharging, filling, emptying, and the like, will have an Ablative, and sometimes a Genitive; as Dono te hoc annulo. Plurima falute te impertit. Aliquem familiarem suo sermone participavit. Paternum servum sui participavit consilii. Interdico tibi aqua & igni. Libero te hoc metu. Implentur veteris Bacchi.

boc metu. Implentur veteris Bacchi.
Also Verbs of comparing or exceeding, will have an Ablative of the excess; as Presero hunc multis gradibus. Magno intervallo cum superat.

After all manner of Verbs, the word fignifying any part of a thing, may be put in the Genitive, Acculative or Ablative; as Abfurdè facis qui angas te animi. Pendet animi. Discrucior animi. Desipit mentis. Candet dentes. Rubet capillos. Ægrotat animo, magis qu'àm corpere.

Nouns of Time and Place after Verbs.

Ouns betokening part of time, be put after Verbs in the Ablative, and fometimes in the Accufative; as Vol. I.

Notte vigilas, luce dormis. Nullam partem noctis requiescit. Cic. Abbinc triennium ex Andro commigravit. Ter. Re-Spondit triduo illum, ad summum quatriduo periturum. Cic. Or if continuance of time, in the Accusative, sometimes in the Ablative; as Sexaginta annos natus. Hyemem totam stertis. Imperium deponere malucrunt, quam id tenere punctum temporis contra Religionem. Cic. Imperavit triennio, & decem mensibus. Suet. Sometimes with a Preposition; as Ferè in diebus paucis, quibus bæc acta funt. Ter. Rarely with a Genitive; as Temporis angusti mansit concordia discors. Lucan.

Also Nouns betokening space between places are put in the Accusative, and sometimes in the Ablative; as Pedem bine ne discesseries. Abest ab Urbe quingentis millibus passum. Terra marique gentibus imperavit.

Nouns that fignify Place, and also proper Names of greater Places, as Countries, be put after Verbs of moving or remaining, with a Preposition, signifying to, from, in, or by, in such case as the Preposition requireth; as Prosiciscor ab Urbe. Vivit in Anglia. Veni per Galliam in Italiam,

But if it be the proper Name of a leffer place, as of a City, Town, or leffer Island, or any of these sour, Humus, Domus, Militia, Bellum, with these figns, on, in, or at before them, being of the first or second Declension, and fingular number, they shall be put in the Genitive; if of the third Declenfion, or plural Number, or this word rus, in the Dative or Ablative; as Vixit Rom.e, Londini. Ea habitabat Rhodi. Conon plurimum Cypri vixit. Cor. Nep. Procumbit humi bos. Domi bellique simul viximus. Militavit Carthagini or Carthagine. Studuit Athenis. Ruri or rure educatus est.

If the Verb of moving be to a place, it shall be put in the Accusative; as Eo Romam, Domum, Rus. If from a place, in the Ablative; as Discessit Londino. Abiit Domo. Rure of reversus.

Sometimes with a Preposition; as A Brundusio prosectus est. Cic. Manil. Ut ab Athenis in Baotium irem, Sulpit. apud Cic. Fain. 1. 4. Cum te prosectum ab domo scirem. Liv. 1. 8.

Construction of Passives.

A Verb Passive will have after it an Ablative of the doer, with the Preposition a or abbefore it, sometimes without, and more often a Dative: as L111 Virgilius

Virgilius legitur a me. Fortes creantur fortibus. Hor. Tibi fama petatur. And Neutro-passives, as Vapulo, veneo, licco, exulo, sio, may have the same Construction; as ab boste venire.

Sometimes an Accusative of the thing is found after a Passive; as Coronari Olympia. Hor. Epist. 1. Cyclopa movetur. Hor. for fallat or egit. Purgor bilem. Id.

Construction of Gerunds and Supines.

Erunds and Supines will have fuch cases as the Verb from whence they come; as Otium scribendi literas. Eo auditum Poetas. Ad consulendum tibi.

A Gerund in di is commonly govern'd both of Subfrantives and Adjectives in manner of a Genitive; as Causa videndi. Amor habendi. Cupidus visendi. Certus cundi. And sometimes governeth a Genitive Plural; as illorum videndi gratia. Ter.

Gerunds in do are us'd after Verbs in manner of an Ablative, according to former Rules, with or without a Preposition; as Defessus sum ambulando. A discendo facile deterretur. Casar dando, sublevando, ignoscendo, gloriam adeptus est. In apparando consumunt diem.

A Gerund in dum is us'd in manner of an Accusative after Prepositions governing that case; as Ad capiendum bostes. Ante domandum ingentes tollent animos. Virg. Ob redimendum captivos. Inter canandum.

Gerunds in fignification are ofttimes us'd as Participles in dus; Tuorum confiliorum, reprimendorum causa. Cic. Orationem Latinam legendis nostris efficies pleniorem. Cic. Ad accusandos homines premio ducitur.

A Gerund in dum join'd with the Impersonal est, and implying some necessity or duty to do a thing, may have both the Active and Passive Construction of the Verb from whence it is deriv'd; as Utendum est ætate. Ov. Pacem Trojano a rege petendum. Virg. Iterandum eadem ista miki. Cic. Serviendum est miki amicis. Plura dixi quam dicendum suit. Cic. pro Sest.

Construction of Verb with Verb.

Hen two Verbs come together without a Nominative Cafe between them, the latter shall be in the Infinitive Mood; as Cupio difcere. Or

in the first Supine after Verbs of moving; as Eo cubitum, spectatum. Or in the latter with an Adjective; as Turpe est dictu. Facile sactu, opus scitu.

But if a Case come between, not govern'd of the former Verb, it shall always be an Accusative before the Infinitive Mood; as Terediisse incolument gaudeo. Malo me divitem esse, quan baberi.

And this Infinitive esse, will have always after it an Accusative, or the same Case which the former Verb governs; as Expedit bonos esse vobis. Quo mihi commisso, non licet esse piam. But this Accusative agreeth with another understood before the Infinitive; as Expedit vobis vos esse bonos. Natura beatis omnibus esse dedit. Nobis non licet esse tam disertis. The same Construction may be us'd after other Infinitives Neuter or Passive like to esse in signification; as Maximo tibi postea & civi, & duci evadere contigit. Val. Max. 1. 6.

Sometimes a Noun Adjective or Substantive governs an Infinitive; as audan omnia perpeti. Dignus amari. Confilium ceperunt en oppido profugere. Cæs. Minari divisoribus ratio non erat. Cic. verr. 1.

Sometimes the Infinitive is put abfolute for the Preterimperfect or Preterperfect Tenie; as Ego illud fedulo negare factum. Ter. Galba autem multas similitudines afferre. Cic. Ille contra heco omnia rucre, agere vitam. Ter.

Construction of Participles.

Articiples govern fuch Cafes as the Verb from whence they come, according to their Active or Passive fignification; as Fruiturus amicis. Nunquam audita mihi. Diligendus ab omnibus. Sate sanguine divûm. Telamone creatus. Corpore mortali cretus. Lucret. Nate ded. Edite regibus. Lævo suspensi loculos tabulasque lacerto. Hor. Census equestrem summam. Id. Abeundum est mihi. Venus orta mari. Exosus Bella. Virg. Exosus diis. Gell. Arma perofus. Ovid. But Pertafus hath an Accufative otherwife than the Verb; as Pertæsus ignaviam. Semet ipse pertæsus. Suet. To thefe add participal Adjectives ending in bilis of the Passive Signification, and requiring like cafe after them; as Nulli penetrabilis aftro lucus

Participles chang'd into Adjectives have their Conftruction by the Rules of Adjectives; as Appetens vini, Fugitans litum. Fidens animi.

An

An Ablative put absolute.

🥆 Wo Nounstogether, or a Noun and Pronoun with a Participle exprest or understood, put absolutely, that is to fay, neither governing nor governed of a Verb, shall be put in the Ablative; as Authore Senatu bellum geritur. Me duce vinces. Cajare veniente bostes fugerunt.Sublatoclamsreprællum committitur.

Construction of Adverbs.

N and ecce will have a Nominative, or an Accusative, and sometimes with a Dative; En Priamus, Ecce tibi shatus noster. En haii.um. Ecce autem alterun.

Adverbs of quantity, time, and place require a Genitive; as Satis loquentia, fapientile perum fatis. Allo compounded with a Verb; as Is rerum fuarum fatagit. Tune temporis ubique gentium. Eo impudentile processit. Quoca ejus fieri poterit.

To these add Ergo fignitying the cause; as Illius erge. Virg. Virtuis ergo. Fugse atque formidinis erzo non abiturus. Liv.

Others will have fuch cases as the Nouns from whence they come; as Minime gentium. Optime omnium. Venit obviem illi. Canit similiter buie. Aibarum, sive Falernum te magis oppositis deletat. Hor.

Adverbs are join'd in a Sentence to feveral Moods of Verbs.

Of Time, Ubi, postquam, cum or quum, to an Indicative or Subjunctive; us H.ce ubi dicta dedit. Ubi nos laverimus. Postquam excessit ex Ephebis, Cum faciamvitula. Virg. Cum canerem reges. Id.

Donec while, to an Indicative. Donec cris fiells. Donec until, to an Indicative er Subjunctive; Cogere donec oves justit. Virg. Donerea aqua decosta fit, Colum.

Dun while, to an Indicative. Dum epparatur Virgo. Dum until, to an Indicative or Subjunctive; as Dum redeo. Tirria dum Latio regnantem viderit æftas. Dum for dummodo so as, or so that, to a Subjunctive; Dum prefim tibi.

Quoad while, to an Indicative. Quoad expectas contubernalem. Quoad until, to a Subjunctive. Omnia integra fervavo, quoad exercitus bue mittatur.

Simulac, fimulatque to an Indicative or Subjunctive; as Simulac belli patiens crat, simulatque adoleverit ætas.

. Ut as, to the fame Moods. Ut falutalis, ita refalutaberis. Ut sementem fece : ris, ita & metos. Hor. Ut fo foon as, to an Indicative only: as Ut ventum est in Urbem.

Quasi, tanquam, perinde, ac si, to a Subjunctive only; as Quafi non norimus nos inter nos. Tonquam feceris ipse ali-

Narepsilon of forbidding, to an Imperative

or Subjunctive; as Ne sevi. Ne metuas. Certain Adverbs of quantity, quality or cause; as Quam, quoties, cur, quare, &c. Thence also qui, quis, quantus, qualis, and the like, coming in a fentence after the principal Verb, govern the Verb following in a Subjunctive; as Videte quem valde malitie sue confidat. Cic. Quid est cur tu in isto loco sedeas? Cic. pro Cluent. Subfideo mibi diligentiam comparavi que quanta sit intelligi non potest, nisi, &c. Cic. pro Quint. Nam quid hor iniquius dici potest. Quam me qui caput alterius fortunafque defendam, Priore loco discere. Ibid. Nullum est Ossicium tam sanAum atque solenn**e,** quod non avaritia violare foleat. Ibid. Non me fallit, fi conjulamini quid fitis responsuri. Ibid. Dici vix potest quam multa fint quæ respondeatis ante jieri oportere. Ibid. Docui quo die bunc siòi promisifie dicat, eo die ne Rome quidem eum fuisse. Ibid. Conturbatus discedit neque mirum cui hec optio tam misera daretur. Ibid. Narrat quo in loco viderit Quintium. Ibid. Rectemajores eum qui sociun. sefellisset in virorum bonorum numero non putarunt baberi oportere. Cic. pro Rofc. Am. Que concursatio percontantium quid Prætor edixiffet, ubi canaret, quid enuntiasset. Cic. Agrar. 1.

Of Conjunctions.

7 Onjunctions Copulative and Difjunctive, and these four, Quam, usi, præterquam, an, couple like cases; as Socrates docuit Xenophontem & Platonem. Aut dies est, aut non. Nescio albus an ater sit. Est minor natu quam tu. Nemini-places pricterquam fibi,

Except when fome particular construction requireth otherwife; as Studui Romæ & Athenis. Emi fundum centum nummis & pluris. Accusas furti, an stupri, an utroque?

They also couple for the most part like Moods and Tenfes, as Retto Jtat corpore, despicitque terras. But not always like Tenfes; as Nist me lattasses, ಆ vana spe produceres. Et habetur, ಆ referetur tibi a me gratia.

Of other Conjunctions, some govern an Indicative, fome a Subjunctive, according to their several Significations.

Etsi, tametsi, etiamsi, quanquam an Indicative; quamvis and livet most commonly commonly a Subjunctive; as Etsi nibil novi afferrebatur. Quanquam animus meminisse borret. Quamvis Elysios miretur Gracia campos. Ipse licet venias.

Ni, nisi, si, siquidem, quod, quia, 10stquam, posteaquam, antequam, priusquam, an Indicative or Subjunctive; as Nisi vi mavis eripi. Ni faciat. Castigo te, non quòd odeo habeam, sed quòd amem. Antequam dicam. Si for quamvis a Subjunctive only. Redeam? Non si me obsecret.

Si also conditional may sometimes govern both Verbs of the fentence in a Subjunctive; as Respiraro si te videro.

Cic. ad Attic.

Quando, quandoquidem, quoniam an Indicative; as Dicite quandoquidem in molli confedimus berba. Quoniam convenimus ambo.

Cum, feeing that, a Subjunctive; as Cum sis officiis Gradive virilibus aptus.

Ne, an, num, of doubting, a Subjunctive; as Nihil refert, secerisne, an

persuaseris. Vise num redierit.

Interrogatives also of disdain or reproach understood, govern a Subjuncvive; as tantum dem, quantum ille popos-cerit? Cic. verr. 4. Sylvam tu Scantiam vendas? Cic. Agrar. Hunc tu non ames? Cic. ad Attic. Furem aliquem aut rapacem accusaris? Vitanda semper erit omnis avaritie suspicio. Cic. ver. 4. Sometimes an Infinitive; as Méne incapto desistere vistam? Virg.

 \hat{U}_t that, left not, or although, a Subjunctive; as Te oro, ut redeat jam in viam. Metuo ut substet hospes. Ut

emnia contingant que volo.

Of Prepositions.

F Prepositions, some will have an Accusative after them, some an Ablative, fome both, according to

their different fignification.

An Accufative thefe following, Ad, apud, ante, adversus adversum, ciscitra, circum circa, circiter, contra, erga, extra, inter, intra, infra, juxta, ob, ponè, per, profè, propter, post, penes, præter, secundum, supra, secus, trans, ultra, usque, versus: But versus is most commonly let after the case it governs, as Londinum verjus.

And for an Accusative after ad, a Dative sometimes is us'd in Poets; as It clamor cælo. Virg. Cælo si gloria tollit Æneadum. Sil. tor ad calum.

An Ablative there, A, ab, abs, absque, cum, coram, de, e, ex, pro, pra, palàm, sine, tenus, which last is also put after his Case, being most usually a Genitive, if it be plural; as Capulo tenus. Aurium tenus.

These, both Cases, In, sub, super,

tubier, clam, procul.

In, fignifying to, towards, inte, or against, requires an Accufative; as Pifces cinptos obolo in canam seni. Animus in Teucros benignus. Versa est in cineres Troja. Inte committere tantum quid Troes potuere? Lastly, when it signisses future time, or for; as Bellum in trigesimum diem indixerunt. Designati conjules in annum sequentem. Alii pretia faciunt in singula capita canum. Var. Otherwise in will have an Ablative; as In Urbe. In Terris.

Sub, when it fignifies to, or in time, about, or a little before, requires an Accufative; as fub umbram properemus. Sub id tempus. Sub nottem. Otherwise an Ablative. Sub pedibus. Sub umbra.
Super fignifying beyond, or prefent

time, an Accufative; as Super Garaman. tas & Indos. Super canam. Suet. at fupper-time. Of or concerning an Ablative; as Multa super Priamo rogitans. Super

Super, over or upon, may have either case; as Super ripas Tiberis effusus. S.eva fedens fuper arma. Fronde fuper viridi.

So also may subter; as pugnatum est super subterque terras. Ster densa testudine. Virg. Clam passem or patre.
Procul muros. Liv. Patria procul.

Prepolitions in composition govern the same cases as before in apposition. Adibo hominem. Detrudunt naves scopulo. And the Preposition is sometimes repeated; as Detrahere de tua fama nunquam cogitavi. And fometimes underthood, governeth his usual case; as Habeo te loco parentis. Apparu**it** bumana specie. Cumis erant oriundi. Liv. Liberis parentibus oriundis. Colum. quadrata rotundis. Hor. Pridie Compitalia. Pridie nonas or calendas. Postridie Idus. Postridie ludos. Before which Accusatives ante or post is to be understood, Filii id ætatis. Cic. Hoc noctis. Liv. Understand Secundum. Or refer to part of time. Omnia Mercurio fimilis. Virg .Understand per.

Of Interjections.

Ertain Interjections have feveral Cafes after them. O, a Nominative, Accusative or Vocative; as O festus dies hominis. O ego lavus. Hor. O fortunatos. O formose puer.

Others a Nominative or an Accusative; as Heu prisca fides! Heustirpem invisam! Prob santte Jupiter! Prob deum atque hominum fidem! Hem tibi Dovum!

Yea, though the Interjection be understood; as Me miserum! Me cacum, qui bæc ante non viderim!

Others will have a Dative; as Hei miki. Væ misero miki. Terent.

D 000 015 961 6

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA LIBRARY
Los Angeles
This book is DUE on the last date stamped below.

RE: LO UI -

Form L9-Series 444

INPORTED OF CALLSONIA

